# **UC Riverside UC Riverside Previously Published Works**

## **Title**

Removal of neonicotinoid insecticides in a large-scale constructed wetland system

## **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6qz5j1qm>

## **Authors**

Cao, Meixian Sy, Nathan D Yu, Chang-Ping [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6qz5j1qm#author)

**Publication Date** 2024-03-01

## **DOI**

10.1016/j.envpol.2024.123303

Peer reviewed



E-mail address: mxcao@iue.ac.cn 22

#### **Abstract** 24

Neonicotinoid insecticides are among the most used insecticides and their residues are frequently found in surface water due to their persistence and mobility. Neonicotinoid insecticides exhibit toxicity to a wide range of aquatic invertebrates at environmentally relevant levels, and therefore their contamination in surface water is of significant concern. In this study, we investigated the spatiotemporal distribution of six neonicotinoids in a large wetland system, the Prado Wetlands, in Southern California, and further evaluated the wetlands' efficiency at removing these insecticides. Total neonicotinoid concentrations in water ranged from 3.17 to 46.93 ng L -1 at different locations within the wetlands, with imidacloprid and dinotefuran among the most detected. Removal was calculated based on concentrations as well as mass fluxes. The concentration-based removal values for a shallow pond (vegetationfree), moderately vegetated cells, densely vegetated cells, and the entire wetland train were 16.9%, 34.2%, 90.2%, and 61.3%, respectively. Principal component analysis revealed that pH and temperature were the primary factors affecting the removal of neonicotinoidss removal. Results from this study demonstrated the ubiquitous presence of neonicotinoids in surface water impacted by urban runoff and wastewater effluent and highlighted the efficiency of wetlands in removing these trace contaminants due to concerted effects of uptake by wetland plants, photolysis, and microbial degradation. 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43

**Keywords** 45

- Neonicotinoid insecticides; Constructed wetland; Phyto-mitigation; Removal
- 47 | efficiency; Ecological risk

**1. Introduction** 49







50 shallow wetland ponds (OCWD, 2019). The primary use of the Prado Wetlands 114



**2.2 Chemicals and Materials** 134

All analytical standards used in this study were procured with reported purities ≥ 98 %. Specifically, acetamiprid, clothianidin, dinotefuran, imidacloprid, 135 136

thiamethoxam, and thiacloprid standards were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Louis, MO). Methanol, acetone, and acetonitrile (HPLC grade) were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ). Ultrapure water was prepared using an in-house Milli-Q water purification system from Millipore (Carrigtwohill, Cork, Ireland). 137 138 139 140

141

#### **2.3 Sample collection and water quality parameters** 142

In order to investigate neonicotinoids removal in the Prado wetlands, a total of 54 surface water samples were collected on a monthly basis from June to November in 2022 at various locations, including Prado inlet, BB1 inlet, BB1 outlet, S7 inlet, S8 outlet, S9 inlet, S10 outlet, and Prado outlet (Figure 1). Grab samples were collected directly into 1-L amber glass bottles, kept at 4°C℃, and extracted within 24 h after collection. Additionally, plant samples including bulrush shoots ( $n = 5$ ), bulrush roots  $(n = 5)$ , duckweed  $(n = 5)$ , hydrocotyle  $(n = 4)$  and sediment samples  $(n = 11)$  were collected in wetland cells BB1, S7-S8, and S9-S10. Sediment samples were collected by using a small hand shovel from a surface depth of  $0 - 15$  cm, and placed in 50 mL centrifuge tubes. Bulrush was collected along with the root, while only the shoot and leaves were collected for hydrocotyle. Duckweed was collected by using a small hand fishing net. All the plant samples were wrapped in foil and stored in a -80°C freezer until analysis. All sediment and plant samples were freeze-dried under vacuum at - 60°C for three days before analysis. The water quality parameters, including temperature (T), pH, electric 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157

conductivity (EC), TDS, and dissolved oxygen (DO), were measured *in situ* using a 158

YSI Pro20 meter (Yellow Spring, OH). Water samples (50 mL) were filtered through 0.45 μm-PTFE filters (ANPEL, Shanghai, China), and the filtrate was used for analysis 159 160

of nutrients. The concentrations of nitrite  $(NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>N)$ , nitrate  $(NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>N)$ , and phosphorus 161

(PO3− <sup>4</sup> -P) were measured by using a Dionex Aquion Ion Chromatography (Sunnyvale, 162

CA), along with a Seal AQ2 Discrete Analyzer (Mequon WI) for ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub>-N). 163

Further information and details are given in Table S1. 164

165

#### **2.4 Sample extraction and analysis** 166

#### **2.4.1 Extraction of water samples** 167

A 1.0-L aliquot of water sample was filtered through glass fiber filters (GF/F, 0.7 mm, Whatman, England), followed by the addition of 500 mg  $Na_4EDTA \cdot 2H_2O$ . To address the matrix effects, the filtered samples were spiked with surrogate standard. 168 169 170

Solid-phase extraction (SPE) was carried out using an Oasis HLB cartridge (500 mg 171

6mL, Waters) to extract and concentrate neonicotinoid compounds. The cartridges 172

were sequentially activated with 18 mL methanol and 6 mL Milli-Q water. 173

Subsequently, the water samples were loaded onto the cartridges at a flow rate of 5 mL 174

min<sup>-1</sup>, and the loaded cartridges were then dried under vacuum for approximately 10 175

min. The sample cartridges were then eluted with 12 mL methanol and 6 mL of 176

acetone: methanol (1:1 v/v), sequentially. The eluate was evaporated to dryness under 177

a gentle stream of nitrogen and reconstituted with 1.0 mL methanol:  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  (1:1 v/v). 178

The final samples were filtered through a 0.22 μm-PTFE syringe filter into a glass 179

HPLC vial and kept at -20  $\degree$ C before further analysis by LC-MS/MS. 180

### **2.4.2 Extraction of sediment and plant samples** 182



### **2.4.3 Chemical Analysis** 204



instrumental detection limits (IDLs), and instrumental quantification limits (IQLs) **of**  225

**the six neonicotinoids** in water, plant, and sediment samples **are shown in Table S3.**  226

The limit of quantification (LOQs) was estimated as a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of 227

10, which was given by TargetLynx XS software (Table S3). 228

229

#### **2.5 Environmental risk assessment** 230

The risk quotient (RQ) method was used to evaluate the potential ecological risk of individual neonicotinoids for freshwater species. The RQ values in the water were calculated as follows: 231 232 233

234

$$
RQ = \frac{MEC}{PNEC}
$$
 (1)

236



concentrations of neonicotinoids, respectively. The PNEC values for dinotefuran, 238

thiamethoxam, clothianidin, imidacloprid, acetamiprid, and thiacloprid were reported 239

to be 0.953, 0.4, 0.0024, 0.18, 0.1, and 0.017 mg  $L^{-1}$ , respectively (Mahai et al., 2019; 240

Zhang et al., 2023). The ecological risks were classified into three levels: low risk, RQ 241

 $\leq$  0.1; medium risk, 0.1  $\leq$  RQ  $\leq$  1; and high risk, RQ  $\geq$  1 (Zhang et al., 2023). 242

243

**3. Results and Discussion** 244

#### **3.1 Occurrence of neonicotinoid insecticides at the Prado Wetlands** 245



### **3.1.1 Spatiotemporal trends of neonicotinoid insecticides in water** 246







Rainfall-runoff was also found to play an important role in the offsite transport of neonicotinoids to streams in Struger et al. (2017), even during peak pesticide applications in summer (Main et al., 2014). Findings from this and earlier studies suggested that the management of neonicotinoid contamination in surface waters should take into consideration the effect of precipitation on their offsite movement, particularly during the rainy season. **3.1.2 Spatiotemporal variation of neonicotinoids in sediments and wetland plants** With the exception of imidacloprid, the other five neonicotinoids were below the detection limits in sediment and plant samples collected from the Prado Wetlands. The low occurrence or non-detection of these compounds in sediment and plant samples was consistent with their high water solubility, which would limit their partition into the sediment phase (Zhang et al., 2018). Figure 2B shows the imidacloprid concentrations in sediment and plant samples in the Prado Wetlands. The average imidacloprid concentrations in sediment, bulrush shoot, bulrush root, hydrocotyle, and duckweed were 0.770, 0.760, 0.700, 0.650, and 0.900 ng g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The detection of imidacloprid in sediment and plant samples from the Prado Wetlands was likely due to the fact that it was present in the wetland system at 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329

- higher levels and that imidacloprid is more persistent than the other neonicotinoids 330
- (Buzby et al., 2020; Maloney et al., 2017). The general lack of detectable 331

neonicotinoids in the wetland sediments was in line with that reported for the Walnut 332

- Creek Watershed in Jasper County (Hladik et al., 2017) and Sacramento and Orange 333
	- 17



**3.2 Removal and mass fluxes of neonicotinoids** 355

The concentration-based removal efficiencies of neonicotinoids in water as they passed through the Prado wetland system are given in Figure 4A. The removal factor (RF, in %) was calculated based on the differences in concentrations at the inlet and outlet of the system under consideration: 356 357 358 359

$$
\textbf{360} \qquad \qquad (\%)\,\,RF\,\,=\,\,\frac{C_{\text{Inlet}} - C_{\text{Outlet}}}{C_{\text{Inlet}}} \tag{1}
$$

where  $C_{\text{in}}$  and  $C_{\text{out}}$  are the neonicotinoid concentrations at the inlet and outlet of a wetland system. To estimate the removal factor for the entire Prado Wetland system, concentrations at the Prado inlet and Prado outlet (W17) were used for the calculation. Additionally, it is important to acknowledge that the 100% removal included outlet concentrations that were below the detection limit. Throughout the duration of this study, the average removal efficiencies of the Prado inlet-Prado outlet, BB1, S7-S8, and S9-S10 were 66.59%, 27.61%, 42.65%, and 79.18%, respectively. Among the systems under evaluation, S9-S10 exhibited the highest removal efficiency, followed by Prado inlet – Prado outlet and S7-S8, whereas BB1 displayed the lowest removal values. The lowest removal observed in BB1 could be attributed to its relatively small area (0.770 ha) as well as low vegetation density. In comparison, the higher vegetation density and the relatively large area of S9-S10 likely contributed to the greater removal efficiency. However, the removal efficiency of neonicotinoids for the entire wetland system was not the highest, likely due to the fact that many wetland cells of different configurations and with varying states of vegetation and hydraulic retention times were operated in parallel before the treated water converged and discharged (Figure 1). In 361 362 363 364 365 366 367 368 369 370 371 372 373 374 375 376

addition, uncertainties caused by spot sampling and the associated flow and sediment resuspension conditions at the time of sampling could also contribute to variations in chemical concentrations and hence the derived removal efficiencies. The generally efficient removal of neonicotinoids through vegetated wetlands was in agreement with previous studies showing that the systemic neonicotinoid insecticides were effectively eliminated from hydroponic planted systems, with removal rates ranging from 9.5% to 99.9% (Liu et al., 2021). 377 378 379 380 381 382 383

There were no discernible monthly or seasonal patterns observed in the removal of neonicotinoids (Figure S2A). However, the peak removal efficacy was observed in August, which may be due to the relatively elevated temperature during this month, as well as active vegetation growth. The observed variations in removal efficiencies among different wetland cells could be attributed to many factors, including differences in vegetation densities (Dabrowski et al., 2006), hydraulic retention time (Gregoire et al., 2009), and environmental parameters (Main et al., 2017). The upstream Santa Ana River supplies a sufficient amount of nutrients to the wetlands (Bear et al., 2017; Vitko, 1996), which facilitates the establishment and growth of macrophytes that act to take up and metabolize neonicotinoids. Moreover, microbial communities in wetlands in warm regions such as Southern California promote active biotic degradation in the sediment, especially in root zones of wetland plants (Cryder et al., 2021). 384 385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396

In addition to the concentration-based removal, another essential metric for ascertaining the effectiveness of wetlands in attenuating contaminants is the mass flux 397 398

of chemicals (Figure 4B). In this study, the mass flux of neonicotinoids was calculated using the following equation: 399 400

(2)

401

$$
402 \quad MF = C_{\text{water}} * Water \ Flc
$$

403

where  $\overline{MF}$  is the mass flux,  $\overline{C}_{water}$  is the chemical concentration in water, and the water flow rate is estimated by the onsite weir boxes or flumes. It is important to note that the mass flux values obtained were discrete estimates at the time of sampling. Specifically, the mass influx, mass efflux, and changes in mass flux ( $\Delta$  mass flux) were calculated for the inlet and outlet of the individual wetland systems under consideration. The median  $\Delta$  mass flux of BB1, S7-S9, and S8-S10 were 137.89, 148.70, and 219.36 mg d-1, respectively. Positive changes in mass flux indicate the removal of neonicotinoids in a system, while a negative value would indicate a net export from the system. The majority of  $\Delta$  mass flux values were statistically significant (*Wilcoxon* test, *P* < 0.05). Positive changes in mass flux values were observed for BB1 (with a median value, of 137.87 mg d<sup>-1</sup>), S7-S8 (with a median value, of 148.70 mg d<sup>-1</sup>), and S9-S10 (with a median value, of 219.36 mg  $d^{-1}$ ), which provides further evidence that the wetland cells were effective in removing neonicotinoid insecticides. However, there were significant variations in  $\Delta$  mass flux values based on specific sampling time points. The 5-95% ranges were 21.700 - 819.39, 0.61000 - 748.85, and 47.780 - 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418 419





Based on previous studies, contamination of neonicotinoids in rivers can pose ecological risks to aquatic organisms, particularly aquatic animals, resulting in adverse impacts on the biodiversity and overall functions of the aquatic ecosystem (Chen et al., 2019; Naumann et al., 2022). The risk quotient (RQ) was calculated based on the detected concentrations of individual neonicotinoids in the Prado Wetland system during the sampling period (Figure 6A). The monitored neonicotinoids, except for clothianidin, presented a relatively low ecological risk to aquatic ecosystems with RQ < 0.1 (Sánchez-Bayo et al., 2002). The RQs in the Prado Wetlands were comparable to 456 457 458 459 460 461 462 463



#### **4. Conclusions** 479

This study provides a comprehensive characterization of the spatiotemporal variations and the removal of neonicotinoids in a large wetland system during the dry season in California. The detected neonicotinoid concentrations in the Prado Wetlands were relatively low, with imidacloprid and dinotefuran as the most frequently detected compounds. The changes in neonicotinoid concentrations and mass fluxes highlighted that constructed wetlands were effective at removing neonicotinoid insecticides, likely 480 481 482 483 484 485



- described in this study. The authors also thank David Lyons of the Environmental 505
- Sciences Research Laboratory and at the University of California, Riverside for 506
- guidanceassistance in with sample processing and data collectionanalysis. 507
- 508

#### **References** 509



- Neonicotinoid insecticides in the environment: A critical review of their 511
- distribution, transport, fate, and toxic effects. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 10, 108485. 512
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2022.108485 513
- Anderson, J.C., Dubetz, C., Palace, V.P., 2015. Neonicotinoids in the Canadian 514
- aquatic environment: A literature review on current use products with a focus on 515
- fate, exposure, and biological effects. Sci. Total Environ. 505, 409–422. 516
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.09.090 517
- Bear, S.E., Nguyen, M.T., Jasper, J.T., Nygren, S., Nelson, K.L., Sedlak, D.L., 2017. 518
- Removal of nutrients, trace organic contaminants, and bacterial indicator 519
- organisms in a demonstration-scale unit process open-water treatment wetland. 520

Ecol. Eng. 109, 76–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2017.09.017 521

- Bonmatin, J., Mitchell, E.A.D., Glauser, G., Lumawig-heitzman, E., Claveria, F., 522
- Bijleveld, M., Lexmond, V., Taira, K., Sánchez-bayo, F., 2021. Science of the 523
- Total Environment Residues of neonicotinoids in soil , water and people ' s hair: 524
- A case study from three agricultural regions of the Philippines. Sci. Total 525
- Environ. 757, 143822. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143822 526
- Bonmatin, J.M., Giorio, C., Girolami, V., Goulson, D., Kreutzweiser, D.P., Krupke, 527
- C., Liess, M., Long, E., Marzaro, M., Mitchell, E.A., Noome, D.A., Simon-528
- Delso, N., Tapparo, A., 2015. Environmental fate and exposure; neonicotinoids 529
- and fipronil. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 22, 35–67. 530
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3332-7 531
- Braskerud, B.C., Haarstad, K., 2018. Screening the retention of thirteen pesticides in a 532
- small constructed wetland 267–274. 533
- Buzby, N., Lin, D., Sutton, R., Buzby, N., Lin, D., Sutton, R., 2020. Neonicotinoids 534
- and Their Degradates in San Francisco Bay Water Prepared by : Neonicotinoids 535
- and Their Degradates in San Francisco Bay Water. 536
- Casida, J.E., 2011. Neonicotinoid Metabolism: Compounds , Substituents , 537
- Pathways , Enzymes , Organisms , and Relevance 2923–2931. 538
- Chen, Qianyu, Zhang, Y., Li, J., Su, G., Chen, Qi, Ding, Z., 2021. Serum 539
- concentrations of neonicotinoids , and their associations with lipid molecules of 540
- the general residents in Wuxi City , Eastern China. J. Hazard. Mater. 413, 541
- 125235. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125235 542
- Chen, Y., Zang, L., Shen, G., Liu, M., Du, W., Fei, J., Yang, L., Chen, L., Wang, X., 543
- Liu, W., Zhao, M., 2019. Resolution of the Ongoing Challenge of Estimating 544
- Nonpoint Source Neonicotinoid Pollution in the Yangtze River Basin Using a 545
- Modified Mass Balance Approach. Environ. Sci. Technol. 53, 2539–2548. 546
- https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b06096 547
- Chiovarou, E.D., Siewicki, T.C., 2007. Comparison of storm intensity and application 548
- timing on modeled transport and fate of six contaminants 9. 549
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2007.08.029 550
- Cryder, Z., Wolf, D., Carlan, C., Gan, J., 2021. Removal of urban-use insecticides in a 551
- large-scale constructed wetland. Environ. Pollut. 268, 115586. 552
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115586 553
- Dabrowski, J.M., Bennett, E.R., Bollen, A., Schulz, R., 2006. Mitigation of azinphos-554
- methyl in a vegetated stream: Comparison of runoff- and spray-drift. 555
- Chemosphere 62, 204–212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.05.021 556
- Dijk, T.C. Van, Staalduinen, M.A. Van, Sluijs, J.P. Van Der, 2013. Macro-557
- Invertebrate Decline in Surface Water Polluted with Imidacloprid 8. 558
- https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0062374 559
- Douglas, M.R., Tooker, J.F., 2015. Large-Scale Deployment of Seed Treatments Has 560
- Driven Rapid Increase in Use of Neonicotinoid Insecticides and Preemptive Pest 561

Management in U.S. Field Crops. https://doi.org/10.1021/es506141g 562

- Du, J., Xu, T., Guo, X., Yin, D., 2022. Science of the Total Environment 563
- Characteristics and removal of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes in a 564
- constructed wetland from a drinking water source in the Yangtze River Delta. 565
- Sci. Total Environ. 813, 152540. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152540 566
- Ensminger, M.P., Budd, R., Kelley, K.C., Goh, K.S., 2013. Pesticide occurrence and 567
- aquatic benchmark exceedances in urban surface waters and sediments in three 568
- urban areas of California, USA, 2008-2011. Environ. Monit. Assess. 185, 3697– 569
- 3710. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-012-2821-8 570
- Ge, J., Cui, K., Yan, H., Li, Y., Chai, Y., Liu, X., Cheng, J., Yu, X., 2017. Uptake and 571
- translocation of imidacloprid, thiamethoxam and difenoconazole in rice plants. 572
- Environ. Pollut. 226, 479–485. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.04.043 573
- Gibbons, D., Morrissey, C., Mineau, P., 2015. A review of the direct and indirect 574
- effects of neonicotinoids and fipronil on vertebrate wildlife 103–118. 575
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3180-5 576
- Gifford, M., Chester, M., Hristovski, K., Westerhoff, P., 2018. Human health tradeoffs 577
- in wellhead drinking water treatment: Comparing exposure reduction to 578
- embedded life cycle risks. Water Res. 128, 246–254. 579
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.10.014 580
- Gould, F., Brown, Z.S., Kuzma, J., 2018. Wicked evolution: Can we address the 581
- sociobiological dilemma of pesticide resistance? Science (80-. ). 360, 728–732. 582
- https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar3780 583
- Gregoire, C., Elsaesser, Æ.D., Huguenot, Æ.D., Lange, Æ.J., Ralf, Æ., Gabriela, 584
- S.Æ., Tournebize, J., Trevisan, Æ.M., Wanko, Æ.A., 2009. Mitigation of 585
- agricultural nonpoint-source pesticide pollution in artificial wetland ecosystems 586

205–231. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-008-0167-9 587

- Guzsvány, V., Csanádi, J., Gaál, F., 2006. NMR study of the influence of pH on the 588
- persistence of some neonicotinoids in water. Acta Chim. Slov. 53, 52–57. 589
- Hallmann, C.A., Foppen, R.P.B., Van Turnhout, C.A.M., De Kroon, H., Jongejans, 590
- E., 2014. Declines in insectivorous birds are associated with high neonicotinoid 591
- concentrations. Nature 511, 341–343. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13531 592
- Hladik, M.L., Bradbury, S., Schulte, L.A., Helmers, M., Witte, C., Kolpin, D.W., 593
- Garrett, J.D., Harris, M., 2017. Neonicotinoid insecticide removal by prairie 594
- strips in row-cropped watersheds with historical seed coating use. Agric. 595
- Ecosyst. Environ. 241, 160–167. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.03.015 596
- Hladik, M.L., Corsi, S.R., Kolpin, D.W., Baldwin, A.K., Blackwell, B.R., Cavallin, 597
- J.E., 2018. Year-round presence of neonicotinoid insecticides in tributaries to the 598
- Great Lakes, USA. Environ. Pollut. 235, 1022–1029. 599
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.01.013 600
- Hladik, M.L., Kolpin, D.W., 2016. First national-scale reconnaissance of 601
- neonicotinoid insecticides in streams across the USA. Environ. Chem. 13, 12–20. 602
- https://doi.org/10.1071/EN15061 603
- Hladik, Michelle L, Kolpin, D.W., Kuivila, K.M., 2014. Widespread occurrence of 604
- neonicotinoid insecticides in streams in a high corn and soybean producing 605
- region , USA. Environ. Pollut. 193, 189–196. 606
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.06.033 607
- Hladik, Michelle L., Kolpin, D.W., Kuivila, K.M., 2014. Widespread occurrence of 608
- neonicotinoid insecticides in streams in a high corn and soybean producing 609
- region, USA. Environ. Pollut. 193, 189–196. 610
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.06.033 611
- Iancu, V.-I., Radu, G.-L., 2018. Analytical Methods Occurrence of neonicotinoids in 612
- waste water from the Bucharest treatment plant 2691–2700. 613
- https://doi.org/10.1039/c8ay00510a 614
- Jeschke, P., Nauen, R., Schindler, M., Elbert, A., 2011. Overview of the status and 615
- global strategy for neonicotinoids. J. Agric. Food Chem. 59, 2897–2908. 616
- https://doi.org/10.1021/jf101303g 617
- Klarich, K.L., Pflug, N.C., DeWald, E.M., Hladik, M.L., Kolpin, D.W., Cwiertny, 618
- D.M., LeFevre, G.H., 2017. Occurrence of neonicotinoid insecticides in finished 619
- drinking water and fate during drinking water treatment. Environ. Sci. Technol. 620

Lett. 4, 168–173. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.7b00081 621

- Kolpin, D.W., Furlong, E.T., Meyer, M.T., Thurman, E.M., Zaugg, S.D., Barber, 622
- L.B., Buxton, H.T., 2002. Pharmaceuticals, Hormones, and Other Organic 623
- Wastewater Contaminants in U.S. Streams, 1999−2000: A National 624
- Reconnaissance. Environ. Sci. Technol. 36, 1202–1211. 625
- https://doi.org/10.1021/es011055j 626
- Kuechle, K.J., Webb, E.B., Mengel, D., Main, A.R., 2019. Factors In-fl-uencing  $627$
- Neonicotinoid Insecticide Concentrations in Floodplain Wetland Sediments 628

across Missouri. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b01799 629

- Lavine, B.K., Ding, T., Jacobs, D., 2010. LC-PDA-MS Studies of the Photochemical 630
- Degradation of Imidacloprid 2719. https://doi.org/10.1080/00032711003654013 631
- Liang, R., Tang, F., Wang, J., Id, Y.Y., 2019. Photo-degradation dynamics of five 632
- neonicotinoids: Bamboo vinegar as a synergistic agent for improved functional duration. 633 634
- Lima, L.K.S., Pelosi, B.T., Silva, M.G.C., Vieira, M.G.A., 2013. Lead and chromium 635
- biosorption by pistia stratiotes biomass. Chem. Eng. Trans. 32, 1045–1050. 636
- https://doi.org/10.3303/CET1332175 637
- Lin, A.Y.C., Debroux, J.F., Cunningham, J.A., Reinhard, M., 2003. Comparison of 638
- rhodamine WT and bromide in the determination of hydraulic characteristics of 639
- constructed wetlands. Ecol. Eng. 20, 75–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925- 640
- 8574(03)00005-3 641
- Liu, H., Tang, X., Xu, X., Dai, Y., Zhang, X., Yang, Y., 2021. Chemosphere Potential 642
- for phytoremediation of neonicotinoids by nine wetland plants. Chemosphere 643
- 283, 131083. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.131083 644
- Lu, C., Chang, C.H., Palmer, C., Zhao, M., Zhang, Q., 2018. Neonicotinoid Residues 645
- in Fruits and Vegetables: An Integrated Dietary Exposure Assessment Approach. 646
- Environ. Sci. Technol. 52, 3175–3184. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b05596 647
- Lu, Z., Challis, J.K., Wong, C.S., 2015. Quantum Yields for Direct Photolysis of 648
- Neonicotinoid Insecticides in Water: Implications for Exposure to Nontarget 649
- Aquatic Organisms. Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 2, 188–192. 650
- https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.5b00136 651
- Mahai, G., Wan, Y., Xia, W., Yang, S., He, Z., 2019. Chemosphere Neonicotinoid 652
- insecticides in surface water from the central Yangtze. Chemosphere 229, 452– 653
- 460. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.05.040 654
- Main, A.R., Fehr, J., Liber, K., Headley, J. V., Peru, K.M., Morrissey, C.A., 2017. 655
- Reduction of neonicotinoid insecticide residues in Prairie wetlands by common 656
- wetland plants. Sci. Total Environ. 579, 1193–1202. 657
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.11.102 658
- Main, A.R., Headley, J. V., Peru, K.M., Michel, N.L., Cessna, A.J., Morrissey, C.A., 659
- 2014. Widespread use and frequent detection of neonicotinoid insecticides in 660
- wetlands of Canada's prairie pothole region. PLoS One 9. 661
- https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0092821 662



- Ecological and Landscape Drivers of Neonicotinoid Insecticide Detections and 664
- Concentrations in Canada's Prairie Wetlands. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 8367– 665
- 8376. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b01287 666
- Maloney, E.M., Orrissey, C.H.A.M., Eadley, J.O.H.N.V.H., Eru, K.E.M.P., Ibera, 667
- K.A.L., 2017. CUMULATIVE TOXICITY OF NEONICOTINOID 668
- INSECTICIDE MIXTURES TO CHIRONOMUS DILUTUS UNDER ACUTE 669
- EXPOSURE SCENARIOS 36, 3091–3101. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.3878 670
- Michelle L. Hladik, -and D.L.C., 2012. Analysis of the Herbicide Diuron, Three 671
- Diuron Degradates, and Six Neonicotinoid Insecticides in Water—Method 672
- Details and Application to Two Georgia Streams 1–20. 673
- Miles, J.C., Hua, J., Sepulveda, M.S., Krupke, C.H., Hoverman, T., 2017. Effects of 674
- clothianidin on aquatic communities: Evaluating the impacts of lethal and 675
- sublethal exposure to neonicotinoids 1–24. https://doi.org/10.4231/R7RX992T 676
- Morrissey, C.A., Mineau, P., Devries, J.H., Sanchez-bayo, F., Liess, M., Cavallaro, 677
- M.C., Liber, K., 2015. Neonicotinoid contamination of global surface waters and 678
- associated risk to aquatic invertebrates: A review. Environ. Int. 74, 291–303. 679
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.10.024 680
- Naumann, T., Bento, C.P.M., Wittmann, A., Gandrass, J., Tang, J., Zhen, X., Liu, L., 681
- Ebinghaus, R., 2022. Occurrence and ecological risk assessment of 682
- neonicotinoids and related insecticides in the Bohai Sea and its surrounding 683
- rivers, China. Water Res. 209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117912 684



- pharmaceuticals and personal care products using constructed wetlands: effective 686
- plant-bacteria synergism may enhance degradation efficiency 21109–21126. 687
- Nomura, H., Ueyama, J., Kondo, T., Saito, I., Murata, K., Iwata, T., Wakusawa, S., 688
- Kamijima, M., 2013. Quantitation of neonicotinoid metabolites in human urine 689
- using GC-MS thiacloprid nitenpyram. J. Chromatogr. B 941, 109–115. 690
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2013.10.012 691
- OCWD, 2019. Facts About OCWD ' s Prado Constructed Wetlands. OCWD'S 692
- Newsl. 100. 693
- Paz, A. de la, Salinas, N., Matamoros, V., 2019. Unravelling the role of vegetation in 694
- the attenuation of contaminants of emerging concern from wetland systems: 695
- Preliminary results from column studies. Water Res. 166. 696
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.115031 697
- Pecenka, J.R., Lundgren, J.G., 2015. Non-target effects of clothianidin on monarch 698
- butterflies. Sci. Nat. 102. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-015-1270-y 699
- Phillips, P.J., Bode, R.W., 2004. Pesticides in surface water runoff in south-eastern 700
- New York State, USA: Seasonal and stormflow effects on concentrations. Pest 701
- Manag. Sci. 60, 531–543. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.879 702
- Pietrzak, D., Kania, J., Kmiecik, E., Malina, G., Wątor, K., 2020. Fate of selected 703
- neonicotinoid insecticides in soil–water systems: Current state of the art and 704
- knowledge gaps. Chemosphere 255. 705
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126981 706



- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.10.051 729
- Schaafsma, A., Limay-rios, V., Baute, T., Smith, J., Xue, Y., 2015. Neonicotinoid 730
- Insecticide Residues in Surface Water and Soil Associated with Commercial 731
- Maize ( Corn ) Fields in Southwestern Ontario 1–21. 732
- https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0118139 733
- Shi, P., Zhang, Y., Song, J., Li, P., Wang, Y., Zhang, Xiaoming, Li, Z., Bi, Z., Zhang, 734
- Xin, Qin, Y., Zhu, T., 2019. Response of nitrogen pollution in surface water to 735
- land use and social-economic factors in the Weihe River watershed, northwest 736
- China. Sustain. Cities Soc. 50, 101658. 737
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2019.101658 738
- Simon-Delso, N., Amaral-Rogers, V., Belzunces, L.P., Bonmatin, J.M., Chagnon, M., 739
- Downs, C., Furlan, L., Gibbons, D.W., Giorio, C., Girolami, V., Goulson, D., 740
- Kreutzweiser, D.P., Krupke, C.H., Liess, M., Long, E., Mcfield, M., Mineau, P., 741
- Mitchell, E.A., Morrissey, C.A., Noome, D.A., Pisa, L., Settele, J., Stark, J.D., 742
- Tapparo, A., Van Dyck, H., Van Praagh, J., Van Der Sluijs, J.P., Whitehorn, 743
- P.R., Wiemers, M., 2015. Systemic insecticides (Neonicotinoids and fipronil): 744
- Trends, uses, mode of action and metabolites. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 22, 5– 745
- 34. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3470-y 746
- Song, S., Zhang, T., Huang, Y., Zhang, B., Guo, Y., He, Y., Huang, X., Bai, X., 747
- Kannan, K., 2020. Urinary Metabolites of Neonicotinoid Insecticides: Levels and 748
- Recommendations for Future Biomonitoring Studies in China. 749
- https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c01227 750



- Xiong, J., Tan, B., Ma, X., Li, H., You, J., 2021. Tracing neonicotinoid insecticides 773
- and their transformation products from paddy field to receiving waters using 774
- polar organic chemical integrative samplers. J. Hazard. Mater. 413, 125421. 775
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125421 776
- Yamamoto, A., Terao, T., Hisatomi, H., Kawasaki, H., Arakawa, R., 2012. Evaluation 777
- of river pollution of neonicotinoids in Osaka City (Japan) by LC/MS with 778
- dopant-assisted photoionisation. J. Environ. Monit. 14, 2189–2194. 779
- https://doi.org/10.1039/c2em30296a 780
- Zeng, T., Arnold, W.A., 2013. Pesticide Photolysis in Prairie Potholes: Probing 781
- Photosensitized Processes. 782
- Zhang, J., Wei, Y., Li, H., Zeng, E.Y., You, J., 2017. Application of Box–Behnken 783
- design to optimize multi-sorbent solid phase extraction for trace neonicotinoids 784
- in water containing high level of matrix substances. Talanta 170, 392–398. 785
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2017.04.031 786
- Zhang, P., Ren, C., Sun, H., Min, L., 2018. Science of the Total Environment 787
- Sorption , desorption and degradation of neonicotinoids in four agricultural soils 788
- and their effects on soil microorganisms. Sci. Total Environ. 615, 59–69. https:// 789
- doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.097 790
- Zhang, X., Cao, Y., Cao, J., Li, Q., Yan, Y., 2023. Occurrence , source , and risk 791
- assessment of neonicotinoid insecticides in the Huai River, China. Environ. 792
- Pollut. 333, 122068. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2023.122068 793
- 794

**Tables:** 796

797

**Table 1.** Concentrations of six neonicotinoid insecticides of different sampling sites at 798





ND: Not detected (below detection limit) 800

- **Figures:**
- 

**Figure 1.** Schematic map of the Prado Wetlands in Corona, California. Red squares are sampling points for BB1, S7-S8, and S9-S10 wetland cells, and Prado inlet and Prado outlet of the whole wetland system (Figure credit: Orange County Water District). 





Figure 2. Total concentrations of six neonicotinoids in water samples (A);







water samples from S7 inlet and Prado outlet sampling points in the Prado Wetlands.

Figure 3. Temporal distribution and compositions of neonicotinoid insecticides in 

**Figure 4.** Removal efficiencies (A) and Δ mass flux (B) of six neonicotinoid insecticides in different cells at the Prado Wetlands. \*\*\*,  $P \le 0.001$ ; \*,  $P \le 0.05$ ; NS, 







**Figure 5.** PCA biplots of 14 hydrogeochemical variables for the surface water of the Prado Wetlands. Arrows represent the PC1 and PC2 loading of each variable. The dots signify the PC1 and PC2 scores for each sampling site. The circles characterize the 95% confidence interval. 



Figure 6. The ecological risk quotient of individual neonicotinoid (A); the ecological 



risk of imidacloprid in the water samples at Prado Wetlands. 

