# UC Santa Cruz UC Santa Cruz Previously Published Works

# Title

Ultrafast preparation of ruthenium nanoparticle/molybdenum oxide/nitrogen-doped carbon nanocomposites by magnetic induction heating for efficient hydrogen evolution reaction

### Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6rt2f5wc

# Journal

Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 12(26)

# ISSN

2050-7488

# Authors

Yu, Bingzhe Liu, Qiming Pan, Dingjie <u>et al.</u>

# **Publication Date**

2024-07-02

# DOI

10.1039/d4ta00884g

# **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

# Journal of Materials Chemistry A



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

# PAPER



Cite this: J. Mater. Chem. A, 2024, 12, 16087

Received 6th February 2024 Accepted 20th May 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4ta00884g

rsc.li/materials-a

### Introduction

With the rapid depletion of fossil fuels, development of green and sustainable energy technologies has been attracting extensive interest.<sup>1</sup> Hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) represents a unique source of energy due to its high energy density, zero-carbon emission, and environmental cleanliness.<sup>2</sup> Currently, hydrogen is produced predominantly by coal gasification or steam methane reforming, which is energy-intensive and emits a large amount of carbon dioxide, and the obtained hydrogen is generally known as grey hydrogen.<sup>3</sup> To move away from grey hydrogen to green hydrogen, one effective technology is based on electrochemical water splitting, which entails hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) at the cathode and oxygen evolution reaction (OER) at the anode.4 Thanks to the complex reaction pathways and sluggish electron-transfer kinetics, appropriate catalysts are needed for both half-reactions such that a sufficiently high current density can be produced for practical applications. Currently platinumbased materials are the catalysts of choice for HER.<sup>5</sup> However, the high cost and natural scarcity of Pt has hindered their

# Ultrafast preparation of ruthenium nanoparticle/ molybdenum oxide/nitrogen-doped carbon nanocomposites by magnetic induction heating for efficient hydrogen evolution reaction<sup>†</sup>

Bingzhe Yu,<sup>a</sup> Qiming Liu,<sup>a</sup> Dingjie Pan,<sup>a</sup> Kevin Singewald,<sup>a</sup> Davida DuBois,<sup>a</sup> John Tressel,<sup>a</sup> Bryan Hou,<sup>a</sup> Glenn L. Millhauser,<sup>a</sup> Frank Bridges<sup>b</sup> and Shaowei Chen <sup>b</sup>\*<sup>a</sup>

Ruthenium has emerged as a viable electrocatalyst towards hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) in alkaline media, where the performance can be further enhanced by slight weakening of H adsorption. Herein, nanocomposites with ruthenium nanoparticles dispersed on molybdenum oxide supported on nitrogen-doped carbon are synthesized *via* ultrafast magnetic induction heating at controlled currents for only 10 s, and exhibit evident HER activity in 1 M KOH. Among the series, the RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 sample, with a Ru : Mo atomic ratio of *ca.* 3 : 1, shows the best performance, featuring a low overpotential of only -39 mV to reach the current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and a low Tafel slope of 28.3 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>, which is markedly better than those of the monometal counterparts, samples with a lower Ru : Mo ratio, and even commercial 20 wt% Pt/C. This is attributed to weakened H adsorption on Ru active sites by the synergetic interactions between ruthenium and molybdenum oxide, as confirmed in theoretical studies based on density functional theory calculations.

widespread applications.6 Ruthenium (Ru) has emerged as a promising alternative for HER electrocatalysis, in particular in alkaline media, thanks to its low energy barrier of water dissociation, comparable H adsorption to that of Pt, and it being only half of the cost of Pt (ca. \$450 vs. \$950 per ounce).7,8 Nevertheless, as Ru resides on the left side of the HER volcano plot, the HER performance can be further enhanced by somewhat weakening the H adsorption.7,9 This can be achieved by dispersing ruthenium onto a functional scaffold, such as carbon, metal, and metal oxides.<sup>10-12</sup> For instance, Pi et al.<sup>13</sup> anchored subnanometer-sized Ru clusters on tungsten oxide nanowires by hydrothermal synthesis and observed a low overpotential  $(\eta_{10})$  of -21 mV to reach the current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> in acidic media, which was ascribed to electrons transfer from Ru clusters to W18O49. In another study, Guo et al.14 prepared Ru/CoO hybrids by thermal annealing and observed a high HER activity ( $\eta_{10} = -55$  mV) in alkaline media, due to extensive oxygen vacancies in the oxide scaffold that facilitated water dissociation and enhanced the electrical conductivity. Naseeb et al.15 synthesized Ru-CoO heterostructured nanoparticles and observed a low  $\eta_{10}$  of -90 mV in 1 M KOH, which was attributed to charge transfer from Ru to CoO. Molybdenum oxides have also been used as a supporting matrix, as demonstrated by Liu et al.,16 where MoRu diatomic pairs were dispersed on well-coupled graphene and MoO3-x via controlled pyrolysis. The low  $\eta_{10}$  of -20 mV in 1 M KOH was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, 1156 High Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA. E-mail: shaowei@ucsc.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Department of Physics, University of California, 1156 High Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d4ta00884g

ascribed to the abundant O and Ru vacancies on the composite surfaces. In another study, Yuan *et al.*<sup>17</sup> prepared Ru–MoO<sub>2</sub>/C nanocomposites *via* two-stage calcination, and observed an extraordinary HER activity ( $\eta_{10} = -12$  mV) in alkaline media, due to the formation of oxygen vacancies and defective Ru sites.

In these earlier studies, the samples were mostly prepared by conventional pyrolysis, which is energy- and time-consuming. Recently, magnetic induction heating (MIH) has been exploited as a powerful tool for ultrafast preparation of a range of functional nanocomposites for HER and OER electrocatalysis due to rapid Joule's heating within seconds,18 such as FeNi spinel oxides,19 Co nanoparticles encapsulated in defective carbon,<sup>20</sup> RuCl<sub>x</sub>-decorated Ru nanoparticles,<sup>10</sup> and amorphous  $MoS_x$  nanocomposites.<sup>21</sup> In the present study, we prepared a series of nanocomposites using MIH at controlled currents for only 10 s where Ru nanoparticles were dispersed on molybdenum oxides  $(MoO_x)$  supported on N-doped carbon that was pyrolytically derived from zeolitic imidazolate framework-8 (ZIF-8). The resultant RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC nanocomposites were found to possess a rather consistent Ru and Mo combined content of 3 to 4 at%. Electrochemical measurements showed that the RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC composites exhibited a marked increase of the HER activity with a decreasing Mo: Ru atomic ratio, and the best sample RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 displayed a low  $\eta_{10}$  of -39 mV, a Tafel slope of 28.3 mV dec $^{-1}$ , as well as excellent stability and durability in alkaline media. Such a performance was drastically better than those of the monometal counterparts of Ru/NC and MoO<sub>x</sub>/NC, as well as commercial Pt/C. This was ascribed to electron transfer from Ru to the molybdenum oxide scaffold that weakened H adsorption on Ru, as evidenced by the results from density functional theory (DFT) calculations.

### Result and discussion

The preparation of the RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC nanocomposites consists of three major steps (Fig. 1a). First, N-doped carbon (NC) was synthesized by pyrolysis of ZIF-8 in a tube furnace at 900 °C for 3 h. Second, a controlled amount of RuCl<sub>3</sub> and (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>6</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub> was loaded onto the N-doped carbon via freeze-drying, where the Mo: Ru molar feed ratio was set at 4:1, 2:1, 1:1, 1:2 and 1:4 with the total metal content kept roughly constant at 20 wt% of the carbon scaffold. Finally, the precursors were transformed to RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC nanocomposites by MIH treatment at 300 A for 10 s (Fig. 1b), which were referred to as RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NCn (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5). Two control samples were prepared in the same manner except for the addition of RuCl<sub>3</sub> or  $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24}$  before freeze-drying, and denoted as  $MoO_x/NC$ and Ru/NC, respectively. This is to take advantage of the Joule's heating effect that can produce a temperature over 1000 °C within seconds (Fig. 1c).<sup>10,19-21</sup> The details are included in the Experimental section.

The sample structures were first examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurements. From the SEM images in Fig. 2a, it can be seen that RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 retained the dodecahedral morphology of the original ZIF-8 precursor, with a lateral size of *ca.* 315 nm. In TEM measurements (Fig. 2b and c), the sample

can be seen to consist of a number of dark-contrast nanoparticles embedded onto a low-contrast matrix; and highresolution TEM measurements showed that the nanoparticles possess well-defined lattice fringes with an interplanar spacing of 0.237 nm (Fig. 2d and e), which is consistent with the (100) planes of metallic Ru (PDF 06-0663),<sup>22,23</sup> indicating the formation of Ru nanoparticles, likely due to thermal reduction of RuCl<sub>3</sub> to metallic Ru by carbon at elevated temperatures.<sup>10</sup> The corresponding core size histogram is shown in Fig. 2f, where the nanoparticles can be seen to fall primarily within the range of 1 to 5 nm, with an average diameter of 2.85  $\pm$  0.91 nm.

The morphological features were similar with other samples in the series (Fig. S1-S6<sup>†</sup>). Nevertheless, for the two samples prepared at a high Mo: Ru feed ratio (i.e., RuMoOx/NC-2 and RuMoO<sub>r</sub>/NC-1), no nanoparticles could be resolved; instead, the samples exhibited two interplanar spacings of 0.348 and 0.384 nm (Fig. S2b and S3b<sup>†</sup>), consistent with the (210) and (001) planes of MoO<sub>3</sub> (PDF 21-0569 and 47-1081), suggesting the successful conversion of (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>6</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub> to MoO<sub>3</sub>.<sup>24,25</sup> In addition, one can see that the Ru nanoparticle size diminished markedly with the decreasing initial feed of RuCl<sub>3</sub> in sample preparation (Fig. S7<sup>†</sup>), 10.99  $\pm$  3.14 nm for Ru/NC, 2.85  $\pm$ 0.91 nm for RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5, 2.84  $\pm$  0.57 nm for RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-4 and 1.99  $\pm$  0.35 nm for RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-3. This suggests that the produced molybdenum oxide scaffold facilitated the dispersion of the ruthenium nanoparticles and impeded their agglomeration.

In elemental mapping analysis based on energy-dispersive Xray spectroscopy (EDS) measurements (Fig. 2g), the elements of C, N, O, Ru, Mo and Cl can be clearly resolved with a rather homogeneous distribution across the sample, suggesting good dispersion of Ru nanoparticles within the  $MOO_x/NC$  matrix and the nanocomposites were most likely functionalized with Cl residues. Consistent results were obtained for other samples in the series (Fig. S8–S14†) and the corresponding elemental compositions are listed in Table S1,† where the total metal content was rather consistent at 3 to 4 at% within the series of samples, with an apparent decrease of the Mo : Ru atomic ratio from RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-1 to RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5. Nevertheless, one can see that the Mo : Ru atomic ratio was greater than the initial feed ratio, most likely due to the higher thermal volatility of RuCl<sub>3</sub> than that of  $(NH_4)_6MO_7O_{24}$ .

The porosity and specific surface areas of the samples were then examined by nitrogen sorption measurements. From Fig. 2h, one can see that NC and RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 both exhibited a Type I isotherm, suggesting the formation of mostly micropores. In fact, from the pore size distributions in Fig. 2i, one can see that the pores were under 2 nm for both NC and RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/ NC-5, averaging 1.56 nm for the former and 1.79 nm for the latter. Furthermore, the specific surface area can be seen to decrease slightly from 442.29 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> for NC to 382.51 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> for RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5, likely due to the impregnation of RuMoO<sub>x</sub> into the NC pores.<sup>26</sup>

The elemental composition and valency were then examined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements. Fig. S15<sup>†</sup> shows the survey spectra of the series of samples, where the O 1s, Mo 3s, Ru 3p, N 1s, C 1s, Cl 2s, Mo 3d and Cl 2p



Fig. 1 Schematic illustrations of (a) ultrafast synthesis of RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC by (b) MIH. (c) Heating temperature as a function of MIH time at varied currents, as determined with an infrared thermometer. TF and HT denote conventional tube furnace and hydrothermal method, respectively.<sup>10,19–21</sup>

electrons can be readily resolved at *ca.* 532, 498, 461, 399, 285, 269, 232 and 198 eV, respectively (except that no Ru signals in  $MoO_x/NC$  and no Mo signals in Ru/NC). The corresponding elemental contents are listed in Tables S2 and S3.† It can be seen that the Ru content increases, whereas the Mo content decreases, from RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-1 to RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5, largely consistent with results from EDS analysis (Table S1†).

The high-resolution scans of the C 1s electrons are shown in Fig. S16a.<sup>†</sup> Deconvolution yields three peaks at ca. 284.6, 286.3 and 288.5 eV, which can be attributed to the C-C, C-O-C and C=O species of the N-doped carbon matrix.<sup>27,28</sup> In addition, for samples with a high Ru content such as RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-4, RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 and Ru/NC, a doublet can be resolved at ca. 280.4 and 284.5 eV due to the  $3d_{5/2}$  and  $3d_{3/2}$  electrons of metallic Ru, and a minor one at a somewhat higher binding energy of 282.0 and 286.1 eV arising from  $Ru^{\delta+}$  species.<sup>10,29,30</sup> The corresponding N 1s spectra are shown in Fig. S16b,† where three species can be resolved at 398.4 eV for pyridinic N, 400.1 eV for pyrrolic N and 401.2 eV for graphitic N, confirming the successful formation of N-doped carbon from ZIF-8.31,32 Fig. 3a shows the corresponding O 1s spectra, where the Ru-O/ Mo-O peak can be resolved at ca. 529.9 eV, along with C=O and/or oxygen vacancy in MoO<sub>x</sub> at 531.6 eV and C-O at 533.2 eV.<sup>33-35</sup> In fact, in electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) measurements (Fig. 3b), the samples can all be seen to display a symmetric profile centered at ca. 3524 G with a corresponding g value of 2.000, due to the formation of oxygen vacancies, which became intensified with increasing Mo loading.<sup>17,36</sup> This suggests that the oxygen vacancies were formed primarily within the MoO<sub>x</sub> scaffold.

The corresponding Ru 3p spectra are shown in Fig. 3c, which consist of a dominant doublet at 462.0/484.2 eV that can be

ascribed to the  $3p_{3/2}/3p_{1/2}$  electrons of metallic Ru and a minor one at 465.1/487.3 eV to Ru<sup> $\delta^+$ </sup> (the peak at *ca.* 474.7 eV likely due to the Auger peak of residual Zn), in good agreement with results from the 3d spectra in Fig. S16a.<sup>+37</sup> Notably, in comparison to the monometal Ru/NC, the Ru 3p binding energies exhibited an apparent blue shift upon the incorporation of  $MoO_x$  into the composites, and increased with an increasing Mo loading (from RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 to RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-1), suggesting Ru to Mo electron transfer and hence increasingly electron-deficient Ru in the RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC nanocomposites. For instance, the Ru 3p binding energies of RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-1 were the highest among the series, ca. 0.18 eV higher than those of Ru/ NC (Table S4<sup>†</sup>). The corresponding Mo 3d spectra are shown in Fig. 3d, where deconvolution yields a major doublet at 232.2/ 235.3 eV for the  $3d_{5/2}/3d_{3/2}$  electrons of Mo<sup>6+</sup>, and a minor one at 229.5/232.6 eV for  $Mo^{4+}$ , suggesting the formation of  $MoO_x$  in the nanocomposites.38,39 Notably, a slight increase of the binding energies can be observed from RuMoOx/NC-1 to RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5, with RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 displaying the highest binding energies, ca. 0.14 eV greater than those of MoO<sub>x</sub>/NC (Table S5<sup>†</sup>), consistent with the diminishing content of oxygen vacancies as observed in the above EPR measurements (Fig. 3b).

The Cl 2p spectra are shown in Fig. 3e, where two doublets can be deconvoluted at 197.7/199.4 eV and 199.7/201.4 eV due to metal-Cl and organic Cl species, respectively.<sup>40</sup> The former suggests the formation of residual Cl species within the nano-composites, most likely due to the incomplete decomposition of RuCl<sub>3</sub> during the ultrafast MIH treatment.<sup>10</sup> In fact, in EDS measurements (Fig. S8–S14†), the Cl content can be seen to increase markedly from RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-1 to RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 (not detectable in MoO<sub>x</sub>/NC) (Table S1†).



**Fig. 2** (a) SEM image and (b–d) TEM images of  $RuMOO_x/NC-5$ . (e) Interplanar spacing of the lattice fringes of the circled area in (d). (f) Particle size distribution histogram based on panel (c). (g) SEM image and elemental maps of ( $g_1$ ) C, ( $g_2$ ) N, ( $g_3$ ) O, ( $g_4$ ) Ru, ( $g_5$ ) Mo and ( $g_6$ ) Cl. Scale bars are (a) 500 nm, (b) 50, (c) 10, (d) 2, and (g) 500 nm. (h) Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms and (i) pore size distribution profiles of NC and RuMOO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5.

Consistent results were obtained in X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) measurements. Fig. 4a shows the Ru K-edge Xray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) spectra. One can see that the edge energy of the sample series was similar to that of Ru foil, suggesting a valence state close to 0, in accordance with results from the above TEM and XPS measurements where metallic Ru was the dominant component. Moreover, the absorption edge can be seen to shift to a slightly lower energy from RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-1 to RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 (Fig. 4a inset), consistent with the red shift of the Ru 3p binding energy, as observed in XPS measurements (Fig. 3c). The corresponding Mo K-edge XANES spectra are displayed in Fig. 4b and inset, where an opposite trend was observed with the absorption edge energy, also in good agreement with results from XPS measurements (Fig. 3d). In addition, all samples can be seen to exhibit a preedge peak at *ca.* 20 013 eV due to the dipole-forbidden 1s to 4d transition into the  $\pi^*$  orbitals along the Mo–O bond vector, and the fact that the peak amplitude increased from RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-1 to RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 (Fig. 4b inset) suggests a diminishing amount of oxygen vacancies and hence an increasing valence state of Mo.<sup>41</sup> This is in excellent agreement with results from EPR (Fig. 3b) and XPS measurements (Fig. 3d).



Fig. 3 High-resolution XPS spectra of the (a) O 1s, (c) Ru 3p, (d) Mo 3d, and (e) Cl 2p electrons of the sample series, and (b) the corresponding EPR spectra.

Fig. 4c and d show the corresponding Fourier transforms of the extended X-ray absorption fine structure (FT-EXAFS) spectra. One can see from Fig. 4c that the Ru K-edge EXAFS spectra of the nanocomposites closely resembled that of Ru foil, featuring a main peak at *ca.* 2.42 Å due to the Ru–Ru path.<sup>42</sup> Note that the peaks of Ru–O and Ru–Ru in RuO<sub>2</sub> are situated at *ca.* 1.54 Å and 3.21 Å, and no such features can be detected in the nanocomposites, suggesting the absence of RuO<sub>x</sub> species.<sup>43</sup> The Mo K-edge FT-EXAFS spectra are shown in Fig. 4d, where the nanocomposites can be seen to possess four peaks. The dominant peak at *ca.* 1.19 Å most likely arose from the Mo–O first-shell path, the second at *ca.* 1.85 Å due to the contribution of the Mo–O second shell, and the remaining two at *ca.* 2.86 Å and 3.36 Å to the Mo–Mo paths of  $MoO_3$ .<sup>44–46</sup> Taken together, these results are consistent with the formation of Ru nanoparticles supported onto a  $MoO_3$  scaffold, in good accord with the conclusion from the above TEM and XPS measurements. Note that while Ru–Cl species were readily identified in XPS



Fig. 4 (a) Ru K-edge and (b) Mo K-edge XANES spectra. (c) Fourier transforms of the Ru EXAFS spectra of the sample series, Ru foil, and commercial  $RuO_2$ . (d) Fourier transforms of the Mo EXAFS spectra of the sample series.

measurements (Fig. 3e), the content (Table S2†) was too low to make a meaningful impact on EXAFS fitting, such that the Ru–Cl path, which was located slightly below 2.0 Å, was not resolved. We observed a similar phenomenon in an earlier study.<sup>10</sup>

From fitting of the Ru and Mo K-edge FT-EXAFS spectra (Fig. S17 and S18†), the coordination number (CN) of Ru–Ru in all the samples can be found to increase from 5.1 for RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-1 to 6.2 for RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-2, 7.6 for RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-3, 8.0 for RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-4, and 8.9 for RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 and RuNC (Table S6†). This is consistent with the increasing Ru nanoparticle core size in the series (Fig. S7†). Concurrently, the CN for the first and third shells of Mo–O was observed to vary only slightly within the range of 1.4 to 1.7, with the second shell from 2.6 to 3.2. Additionally, the CN for the first and second shells of Mo–Mo was estimated to be in the range of 0.6 to 1.2 and 3.4 to 2.8, respectively. It should be noted that no Ru–Mo path can be resolved, likely due to the dispersion of ruthenium nanoparticles onto the MoO<sub>x</sub> scaffold and the minimal amount of interfacial contacts.

Remarkably, the resulting nanocomposites display an apparent HER activity in both alkaline and acidic media. Fig. 5a shows the HER polarization curves of the nanocomposites in 1 M KOH. One can see that NC (Fig. S19a†) and MoO<sub>x</sub>/NC showed virtually no activity in alkaline media. However,

markedly enhanced activity was observed with the incorporation of Ru, where  $\eta_{10}$  decreased significantly to -98 mV for RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-1, -83 mV for RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-2, -55 mV for RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/ NC-3, -89 mV for RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-4, -39 mV for RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5, and -55 mV for Ru/NC, in comparison to -40 mV for Pt/C. That is, RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 stood out as the best HER catalysts among the series (note that at higher current densities, RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 even outperformed Pt/C), and the fact that the performance of RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 was even better than that of Ru/ NC suggests that the high HER activity was facilitated by the synergistic interaction between Ru and MoO<sub>x</sub>.

In fact, when the RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 nanocomposite was subject to H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> etching, whereas the sample morphology remained mostly unchanged and Ru nanoparticles clearly resolved (Fig. S20†), XPS measurements (Fig. S21†) showed a dramatic decrease of the Mo content from 0.34 at% to 0.17 at% whereas virtually no change for Ru (from 3.31 at% to 3.18 at%), indicating efficient removal of MoO<sub>3</sub> from the nanocomposite by phosphoric acid,<sup>47</sup> and meanwhile the content of Cl decreased sharply from 2.92 at% to 0.63 at% (Table S2†). With the apparent losses of both Mo and Cl, the Ru 3p binding energies became increasingly similar to those of Ru/NC (Table S4†), hence leading to a marked diminishment of the HER activity (Fig. S20b†). These results further confirmed that the high HER activity of RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 was primarily due to the electronic



Fig. 5 (a) HER polarization curves in 1 M KOH, (b) Tafel plots, and (c) Nyquist plots at the overpotential of -100 mV of the sample series in 1 M KOH. (d) HER polarization curves of RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 before and after 5000 CV cycles. Inset is the chronopotentiometric curves of RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 and 20 wt% Pt/C at the current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for 30 000 s.

interactions between Ru and  $MoO_x$ , with minimal contributions from the N-doped carbon.

Fig. 5b shows the Tafel plots derived from the polarization curves in Fig. 5a, where RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 can be seen to display the lowest slope of 28.3 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>, as compared to MoO<sub>x</sub>/NC (418.1 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>), RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-1 (49.5 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>), RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-2 (37.6 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>), RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-3 (29.0 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>), RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-4 (41.6 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>), Ru/NC (30.0 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>), and Pt/C (30.5 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>), suggesting fastest HER electron-transfer kinetics with RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5. In fact, the performance of RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 is highly comparable to or even better than leading results of relevant catalysts reported recently in the literature (Table S7†).

Consistent results were obtained from electrochemical impedance measurements. From the Nyquist plots acquired at the overpotential of -100 mV (Fig. 5c and S22†), RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 can be seen to exhibit the lowest charge-transfer resistance ( $R_{ct} = 14.5 \Omega$ ), as compared to RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-1 (21.2  $\Omega$ ), RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-2 (23.7  $\Omega$ ), RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-3 (20.6  $\Omega$ ), RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-4 (21.5  $\Omega$ ), and Ru/NC (24.1  $\Omega$ ) (Table S8†). In addition, one can observe that the serial resistance ( $R_s$ ) diminishes from RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-1 to RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5, suggesting increasing electrical conductivity of the materials, consistent with the increasing (decreasing) content of Ru (MoO<sub>x</sub>) in the sample (Table S2†).

Notably, RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 showed the largest double layer capacitance of 34.08 mF cm<sup>-2</sup>, as compared to 21.23 mF cm<sup>-2</sup>

for MoO<sub>x</sub>/NC, 13.84 mF cm<sup>-2</sup> for RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-1, 11.75 mF cm<sup>-2</sup> for RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-2, 14.17 mF cm<sup>-2</sup> for RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-3, 19.18 mF cm<sup>-2</sup> for RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-4, and 14.41 mF cm<sup>-2</sup> for Ru/NC (Fig. S23 and S24<sup>†</sup>).<sup>48</sup> The high electrochemically active surface area is anticipated to facilitate access to the catalytic active sites.

In addition, RuMoOx/NC-5 shows remarkable durability with a decay of  $\eta_{10}$  by only 6 mV after 5000 cycles between -0.1 and 0 V (Fig. 5d). In fact, TEM measurements of RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 after 5000 CV cycles (Fig. S25<sup>†</sup>) showed that the dodecahedral morphology was largely unchanged, with clearly-defined lattice fringes of the (101) and (002) planes of metallic Ru, very similar to the as-produced sample (Fig. 2). Consistent results were obtained in XPS measurements (Fig. S26<sup>†</sup>), where metallic Ru remained the dominant species, with only a small red-shift of 0.2–0.3 eV for the Ru  $3p_{3/2}/3p_{1/2}$  doublet binding energies (Table S4<sup>†</sup>); and the Cl signal diminished drastically, likely because of electrochemical reduction of the residual RuCl<sub>r</sub> species into metallic Ru. In chronopotentiometric measurements (Fig. 5d inset), virtually no decay was observed of the overpotential of RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 for 30 000 s at the current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, in contrast to a dramatic increase with Pt/C, which further confirmed the excellent stability of RuMoOx/NC-5. Certainly, for practical applications, tests at higher currents for a longer period of time are needed. This will be pursued in future study.

The electrocatalytic activity towards HER in acidic media was also tested (Fig. S27 and S28†), where it can be seen that RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 again stood out among the sample series, although the performance was subpar as compared to Pt/C. We also carried out measurements to test the OER activity of RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-5 in 1 M KOH, and observed virtually no activity, likely because of the low RuO<sub>x</sub> content in the sample series (Fig. 3c and Table S2†). In addition, the samples under study above were synthesized at 300 A for 10 s, which represented the optimal condition for sample preparation (Fig. S29–S31†).

To unravel the mechanistic insights into the enhanced electrocatalytic activity of  $RuMoO_x/NC$  composites as compared to the monometal counterparts, a structural model was constructed with a Ru cluster supported onto a molybdenum oxide cluster ( $Ru/MoO_x$ ), based on results from the above experimental characterizations. The electronic interactions between the Ru and  $MoO_x$  clusters is evidenced in Bader charge analysis. From Fig. 6a, one can see a transfer of 3.2 electrons from the Ru cluster to the  $MoO_x$  cluster. This is consistent with results from the above XPS and XAS measurements (Fig. 3 and 4). The resultant electron-deficient Ru is anticipated to weaken H adsorption, leading to an enhanced HER activity, as observed experimentally with the  $RuMoO_x/NC$  composites.<sup>7,9</sup>

This is also manifested in the analysis of the Gibbs free energy of H<sup>\*</sup> adsorption ( $\Delta G_{H^*}$ ) onto the Ru cluster (Fig. 6b),



Fig. 6 (a) Charge density distribution of the structural model consisting of a Ru cluster supported on a molybdenum oxide cluster. The cyan and yellow areas represent electron loss and gain, respectively. The isovalue of charge density is  $0.001 \text{ e au}^{-3}$ . (b) H adsorption on the Ru cluster supported on a molybdenum oxide cluster. (c) Gibbs free energy of H\* adsorption ( $\Delta G_{H*}$ ) on a Ru cluster with and without the modification of a molybdenum oxide cluster.

a commonly used descriptor of HER electrocatalysis, where a  $|\Delta G_{\mathrm{H}^*}|$  close to zero eV is preferred for optimal performance.<sup>7,9</sup> From Fig. 6c, one can see that the addition of a MoO<sub>x</sub> cluster markedly reduced the  $|\Delta G_{\mathrm{H}^*}|$  on the Ru cluster to |-0.12| eV from |-0.30| eV for the bare Ru cluster. This is, again, consistent with the enhanced HER activity of RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC composites observed above. Additionally, the HER activity may be facilitated by N doping that enhanced the electrical conductivity of the carbon scaffold, as manifested by the minimal serial resistance in electrochemical impedance measurements (Fig. 5c), as well as from Cl residues that further depleted the Ru electron density by interfacial charge transfer, as demonstrated previously.<sup>10</sup>

# Conclusion

In summary, a series of RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC composites were prepared via a rapid MIH procedure where Ru nanoparticles were loaded onto MoO<sub>x</sub> supported on a nitrogen-doped carbon matrix. The composites were found to exhibit a markedly enhanced HER activity in both acidic and alkaline media, as compared to the monometal counterparts. This was ascribed to electron transfer from Ru to MoO<sub>r</sub> that weakened H adsorption on Ru, as manifested in XPS and XAS measurements and confirmed in theoretical studies based on DFT calculations. Results from this study highlight the fundamental significance of interfacial electron transfer in manipulating the adsorption energetics of key reaction intermediates and hence the electrocatalytic activity. Such fundamental insights can be exploited for the structural engineering of nanocomposites based on earthabundant transition metals as high-performance electrocatalysts that can rival the precious metal-based counterparts.

# **Experimental section**

### Chemicals

2-Methylimidazole (CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>H, 99%, Acros Organics), zinc nitrate hexahydrate (Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, certified ACS, Fisher Chemicals), ruthenium(m) chloride hydrate (RuCl<sub>3</sub>·*x*H<sub>2</sub>O, 35-40% Ru, Acros), ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate ((NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>6</sub>-Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O, certified ACS, Fisher Chemicals), potassium hydroxide (KOH, certified ACS, Fisher Chemicals), Pt/C (20 wt%, Alfa Aesar), methanol (CH<sub>3</sub>OH, certified ACS, Fisher Chemicals), Nafion 117 solution (95%, Aldrich), 2-propanol ((CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-CHOH, HPLC Grade, Fisher Chemicals), sulfuric acid (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 98%, Fisher Chemicals), and phosphoric acid (H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 65%, Sigma-Aldrich) were used as received. Deionized water was obtained *via* a Barnstead Nanopure water system (resistivity 18.3 MΩ cm).

### Synthesis of ZIF-8

ZIF-8 was synthesized by following a literature procedure.<sup>49</sup> Typically, 1.190 g of  $Zn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  was dissolved in 30 mL of methanol in a flask, and 1.314 g of 2-methylimidazole was dissolved in 15 mL of methanol in another flask. The two solutions were then blended under sonication for 15 min to

#### Paper

produce a milky-white solution, which was transferred into a 100 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave and heated at 120 °C for 4 h. The milky sediment was collected by centrifugation at 6000 rpm for 5 min, rinsed three times with methanol, and dried under vacuum at 60 °C overnight, affording the ZIF-8 powders.

#### Synthesis of N-doped carbon

NC was prepared *via* controlled pyrolysis of ZIF-8. Briefly, the ZIF-8 powders prepared above were put into a ceramic boat, which was transferred into a tube furnace and calcined at 900 °C for 3 h at a heating rate of 5 °C min<sup>-1</sup> under a flowing N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, producing NC as black powders.

#### Synthesis of RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC nanocomposites

The samples were prepared by using a MIH procedure reported previously.10,19-21 In brief, 25 mg of the N-doped carbon prepared above was dispersed under sonication for 30 min in 2 mL of a solution containing a varied amount of RuCl<sub>3</sub> and  $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24}$  at the Mo : Ru molar ratios of 4:1, 2:1, 1:1, 1:2and 1:4 with the total metal content kept roughly constant at 20 wt% of the carbon scaffold. The resultant solutions were freeze-dried to produce a black powder, which was deposited onto an iron sheet (2.5 cm imes 2.5 cm imes 0.2 mm) covered with a piece of graphite paper (0.01 mm in thickness, which was used to isolate the samples from the iron sheet and minimize contamination). The assembly was placed in the center of a firebrick within a quartz tube, which was purged with an Ar gas for 10 min before being put into a four-turn induction coil (5 cm in diameter). MIH treatment was carried out at the current of 300 A for 10 s. The resulting samples were denoted as RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC-n (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5). Monometal MoO<sub>x</sub>/NC and Ru/NC were synthesized in the same fashion but without the addition of RuCl<sub>3</sub> or (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>6</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub> before freeze-drying, respectively.

Acid etching experiment was conducted by dispersing 30 mg of the RuMoO<sub>x</sub>/NC samples into 50 mL of 4 M H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> under reflexing at 80 °C for 1 h to remove  $MoO_x$ .<sup>47</sup> The etched samples were collected after water rinsing for three times and dried in vacuum at 80 °C for 24 h.

#### Characterization

SEM and EDS studies were conducted with an Apreo SEM microscope. TEM images were acquired with a Tecnai G2 operated at 200 kV. XPS data were obtained with a Thermo Fisher K-alpha system with the binding energy calibrated against the C 1s electrons. Nitrogen sorption isotherms were acquired with a Micromeritics Tristar 3020 Porosimeter system at 77.3 K. XAS measurements were conducted at 10 K at beamline 4-1 of the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Light-source using an Oxford liquid helium cryostat. The collected data were reduced, fitted, and analyzed using the RSXAP software.<sup>50</sup> The Fourier transform range was from 3.5 to 12.5 Å<sup>-1</sup> for both Ru K and Mo K edges, while the fit range was 2.0–2.8 Å for the the former and 0.8–3.5 Å for the latter. The theoretical functions for each pair (Ru–Ru, Mo–O, and Mo–Mo) were

calculated in WebAtoms using FEFF7 software.<sup>51,52</sup> In EPR measurements, the prepared samples were tapped into a capillary tube and placed in the cavity resonator. Spectra were collected at room temperature with a Bruker EMX EPR spectrometer operated at the X-band frequency (~9.86 GHz) using an ER 4122SHQE resonator (Bruker). The spectra intensity was normalized by the sample mass.

#### **Electrochemical measurements**

Electrochemical measurements were conducted with a CHI 710 electrochemical workstation in a three-electrode configuration, using a Hg/HgO (in alkaline media) or Ag/AgCl (in acidic media) reference electrode, a graphite rod counter electrode, and a glassy carbon rotating disk working electrode (surface area 0.196 cm<sup>2</sup>). The reference electrode was calibrated against a reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) and all the potentials in this research were referenced to the RHE. The catalyst inks were prepared by dispersing 2 mg of the samples prepared above into a mixed solution containing 740 µL of isopropanol, 250 µL of H<sub>2</sub>O and 10 µL of Nafion under sonication for 30 min. Then 20 µL of the inks was dropcast onto the surface of the glassy carbon disk electrode, and dried naturally at room temperature before being coated with 5 µL of 20 wt% Nafion. The catalyst loading was  $0.204 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$ . The HER polarization curves were collected in 1 M KOH at the scan rate of 10 mV  $s^{-1}$  and rotation rate of 1600 rpm with 80% iR compensation. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were carried out within the frequency range of 0.1 to 10<sup>5</sup> Hz at an AC amplitude of 5 mV. Chronopotentiometric measurements were conducted at the current density of 10 mA  $cm^{-2}$  for 30 000 s.

### Theoretical study

First-principles computations were carried out using Quantum ESPRESSO, an open-source plane-wave code,<sup>53</sup> with a model consisting of a Ru<sub>36</sub> cluster placed onto a molybdenum oxide cluster. A cutoff of 40 and 240 Ry for kinetics and charge density was chosen with the ultrasoft pseudopotential.<sup>54</sup> The smearing parameter was set at 0.01 Ry in the Marzari–Vanderbilt smearing for all calculations.<sup>55</sup> For geometric relaxation, the convergence was  $10^{-8}$  Ry of the electronic energy and  $10^{-4}$  au for the total force. Density functional perturbation theory was performed to calculate the phonon frequency as inputs for entropy and zero point energy.<sup>56</sup>

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

# Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (CHE-1900235 and CHE-2003685). TEM, XPS and nitrogen sorption studies were conducted as part of a user project at the National Center for Electron Microscopy and Molecular Foundry, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, which was supported by the Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. XAS measurements were performed at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL), which is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Contract No. DE-AC02-76SF00515. Computational studies were carried out using the UCSC Lux supercomputer which was funded by the NSF MRI program (AST1828315).

# References

- Z. K. Peng, H. Y. Wang, L. L. Zhou, Y. B. Wang, J. Gao,
   G. J. Liu, S. A. T. Redfern, X. L. Feng, S. Y. Lu, B. J. Li and
   Z. Y. Liu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, 7, 6676–6685.
- 2 C. C. Lv, Z. P. Huang, Q. P. Yang, G. F. Wei, Z. F. Chen, M. G. Humphrey and C. Zhang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, 5, 22805–22812.
- 3 F. Nichols, Q. M. Liu, J. Sandhu, Z. Azhar, R. Cazares, R. Mercado, F. Bridges and S. W. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2022, **10**, 5962–5970.
- 4 H. Y. Jin, S. Sultan, M. Ha, J. N. Tiwari, M. G. Kim and K. S. Kim, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2020, **30**, 2000531.
- 5 T. Ding, Z. Y. Wang, L. Zhang, C. D. Wang, Y. Sun and Q. Yang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, 4, 15309–15315.
- 6 J. Zhang, T. Wang, P. Liu, Z. Q. Liao, S. H. Liu, X. D. Zhuang, M. W. Chen, E. Zschech and X. L. Feng, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, 8, 15437.
- 7 B. Z. Lu, L. Guo, F. Wu, Y. Peng, J. E. Lu, T. J. Smart, N. Wang, Y. Z. Finfrock, D. Morris, P. Zhang, N. Li, P. Gao, Y. Ping and S. W. Chen, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 631.
- 8 J. Mahmood, F. Li, S. M. Jung, M. S. Okyay, I. Ahmad, S. J. Kim, N. Park, H. Y. Jeong and J. B. Baek, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2017, **12**, 441–446.
- 9 Y. J. Yang, Y. H. Yu, J. Li, Q. R. Chen, Y. L. Du, P. Rao, R. S. Li, C. M. Jia, Z. Y. Kang, P. L. Deng, Y. J. Shen and X. L. Tian, *Nano-Micro Lett.*, 2021, **13**, 160.
- 10 Q. M. Liu, B. Z. Lu, F. Nichols, J. Ko, R. Mercado, F. Bridges and S. W. Chen, *SusMat*, 2022, **2**, 335–346.
- 11 Q. M. Liu, H. B. Zhou, F. Nichols, H. L. Kuo, R. Mercado, B. Z. Lu, W. Y. Zhu, Y. S. Liu, J. Q. Lu, F. Bridges and S. W. Chen, *Mater. Adv.*, 2022, 3, 4556–4565.
- 12 T. He, Y. Peng, Q. X. Jia, J. E. Lu, Q. M. Liu, R. Mercado, Y. Chen, F. Nichols, Y. Zhang and S. W. Chen, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2019, 11, 46912–46919.
- 13 Y. C. Pi, Z. M. Qiu, Y. Sun, H. Ishii, Y. F. Liao, X. Y. Zhang, H. Y. Chen and H. Pang, *Adv. Sci.*, 2023, **10**, 202206096.
- 14 J. X. Guo, D. Y. Yan, K. W. Qiu, C. Mu, D. Jiao, J. Mao, H. Wang and T. Ling, *J. Energy Chem.*, 2019, 37, 143–147.
- 15 W. Naseeb, Q. Liu, F. Nichols, D. Pan, M. K. Khosa and S. Chen, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2023, 932, 117272.
- 16 S. L. Liu, C. Chen, Y. F. Zhang, Q. H. Zheng, S. D. Zhang, X. Q. Mu, C. Y. Chen, J. M. Ma and S. C. Mu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, 7, 14466–14472.
- 17 Y. Yuan, W. Han, C. C. Zhang, Q. Sun, Y. X. Hao, J. M. Zhao, J. S. Zhao, X. J. Zhong and N. Q. Zhang, *Nano Res.*, 2022, 16, 2230–2235.
- 18 Q. M. Liu and S. W. Chen, Trends Chem., 2022, 4, 918-934.

- 19 B. Lu, Q. Liu, C. Wang, Z. Masood, D. J. Morris, F. Nichols, R. Mercado, P. Zhang, Q. Ge, H. L. Xin and S. Chen, *Research*, 2022, 2022, 9756983.
- 20 Q. Liu, S. McNair, F. Nichols, B. Lu, B. Yu, D. Pan, J. Ko, A. Bhuller, F. Bridges and S. Chen, *Adv. Sens. Energy Mater.*, 2023, 2, 100046.
- 21 Q. M. Liu, F. Nichols, A. Bhuller, K. Singewald, H. L. Kuo, J. Q. Lu, G. L. Millhauser, F. Bridges, Q. F. Ge and S. W. Chen, *Appl. Catal.*, *B*, 2024, **342**, 123399.
- L. H. Zhu, S. Y. Shan, V. Petkov, W. W. Hu, A. Kroner, J. B. Zheng, C. L. Yu, N. W. Zhang, Y. H. Li, R. Luque, C. J. Zhong, H. Q. Ye, Z. Q. Yang and B. H. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, 5, 7869–7875.
- 23 H. X. Yao, X. K. Wang, K. Li, C. Li, C. H. Zhang, J. Zhou,
  Z. W. Cao, H. L. Wang, M. Gu, M. H. Huang and
  H. Q. Jiang, *Appl. Catal.*, *B*, 2022, 312, 121378.
- 24 A. N. P. Raj, T. Adinaveen, R. B. Bennie, C. Joel, S. H. Kengaram and P. L. Sophie, *J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym.*, 2022, **32**, 4284–4294.
- 25 Y. X. Sun, J. Wang, B. T. Zhao, R. Cai, R. Ran and Z. P. Shao, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 4736–4746.
- 26 K. S. W. Sing, D. H. Everett, R. A. W. Haul, L. Moscou, R. A. Pierotti, J. Rouquerol and T. Siemieniewska, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1985, 57, 603–619.
- 27 B. Z. Yu, J. Diniz, K. Lofgren, Q. M. Liu, R. Mercado, F. Nichols, S. R. J. Oliver and S. W. Chen, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.*, 2022, **10**, 15501–15507.
- 28 J. P. Guo, J. Wang, Z. X. Wu, W. Lei, J. Zhu, K. D. Xia and D. L. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 4879–4885.
- 29 J. Wojciechowska, E. Gitzhofer, J. Grams, A. M. Ruppert and N. Keller, *Materials*, 2018, **11**, 2329.
- 30 M. K. Jo, H. Heo, J. H. Lee, S. Choi, A. Kim, H. B. Jeong, H. Y. Jeong, J. M. Yuk, D. Eom, J. Jahng, E. S. Lee, I. Y. Jung, S. R. Cho, J. Kim, S. Cho, K. Kang and S. Song, *ACS Nano*, 2021, **15**, 18113–18124.
- 31 B. Yan, Y. Y. Liu, Y. L. Zhang, Y. J. Guo, W. Guo, L. Chen, F. Yu and G. Wang, *Mater. Lett.*, 2020, 273, 127861.
- 32 Z. Y. Tang, G. H. Zhang, H. Zhang, L. Wang, H. M. Shi, D. H. Wei and H. G. Duan, *Energy Storage Mater.*, 2018, **10**, 75–84.
- 33 X. H. Zhang, X. Chen, C. G. Chen, T. Liu, M. M. Liu, C. C. Zhang, T. Huang and A. S. Yu, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, 8, 39829–39836.
- 34 E. Lee, T. H. Kim, S. W. Lee, J. H. Kim, J. Kim, T. G. Jeong, J. H. Ahn and B. Cho, *Nano Convergence*, 2019, 6, 24.
- 35 A. Yu, Y. Zhao, L. Zhu, W. Yang, P. Peng and F.-F. Li, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2023, 462, 142268.
- 36 B. Z. Lu, B. Yao, G. Roseman, C. P. Deming, J. E. Lu, G. L. Millhauser, Y. Li and S. W. Chen, *Chemelectrochem*, 2017, 4, 2211–2217.
- 37 J. W. Zhang and C. Q. Teng, Mater. Des., 2020, 192, 108774.
- 38 D. Escalera-Lopez, Y. B. Niu, S. J. Park, M. Isaacs, K. Wilson, R. E. Palmer and N. V. Rees, *Appl. Catal.*, *B*, 2018, 235, 84–91.
- 39 W. X. Ji, R. Shen, R. Yang, G. Y. Yu, X. F. Guo, L. M. Peng and W. P. Ding, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, 2, 699–704.
- 40 B. Z. Yu, Q. M. Liu, F. Nichols, K. Mayford, D. J. Pan,
  H. L. Kuo, J. Q. Lu, F. Bridges and S. W. Chen, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2023, 127, 3996–4005.

- 41 S. Reschke, K. G. V. Sigfridsson, P. Kaufmann, N. Leidel, S. Horn, K. Gast, C. Schulzke, M. Haumann and S. Leimkuhler, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2013, 288, 29736–29745.
- 42 Y. C. Lin, Z. Q. Tian, L. J. Zhang, J. Y. Ma, Z. Jiang,
  B. J. Deibert, R. X. Ge and L. Chen, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, 10, 162.
- 43 J. L. Cai, J. Ding, D. H. Wei, X. Xie, B. J. Li, S. Y. Lu, J. M. Zhang, Y. S. Liu, Q. Cai and S. Q. Zang, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2021, 11, 2100141.
- 44 W. Y. Qu, X. N. Liu, J. X. Chen, Y. Y. Dong, X. F. Tang and Y. X. Chen, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 1532.
- 45 D. Han, S. Hwang, S. M. Bak and K. W. Nam, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2021, **388**, 138635.
- 46 S. Borg, W. H. Liu, B. Etschmann, Y. Tian and J. Brugger, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 2012, 92, 292–307.
- 47 P. Cannon, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 1959, 11, 124-127.
- 48 Y. Peng, W. Z. Pan, N. Wang, J. E. Lu and S. W. Chen, *ChemSusChem*, 2018, **11**, 130–136.
- 49 Q. M. Liu, Y. Peng, Q. X. Li, T. He, D. Morris, F. Nichols, R. Mercado, P. Zhang and S. W. Chen, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 17641–17650.

- 50 C. Booth and F. Bridges, *Real Space X-ray Absorption Package* (*RSXAP*), 1999, https://lise.lbl.gov/RSXAP.
- 51 A. L. Ankudinov and J. J. Rehr, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1997, **56**, R1712–R1715.
- 52 B. Ravel, *WebAtoms*, 2016, https://millenia.cars.aps.anl.gov/webatoms.
- 53 P. Giannozzi, S. Baroni, N. Bonini, M. Calandra, R. Car, C. Cavazzoni, D. Ceresoli, G. L. Chiarotti, M. Cococcioni, I. Dabo, A. Dal Corso, S. de Gironcoli, S. Fabris, G. Fratesi, R. Gebauer, U. Gerstmann, C. Gougoussis, A. Kokalj, M. Lazzeri, L. Martin-Samos, N. Marzari, F. Mauri, R. Mazzarello, S. Paolini, A. Pasquarello, L. Paulatto, C. Sbraccia, S. Scandolo, G. Sclauzero, A. P. Seitsonen, A. Smogunov, P. Umari and R. M. Wentzcovitch, *J. Phys.: Condens.Matter*, 2009, 21, 395502.
- 54 K. F. Garrity, J. W. Bennett, K. M. Rabe and D. Vanderbilt, *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, 2014, **81**, 446–452.
- 55 Y. Peng, Q. M. Liu, B. Z. Lu, T. He, F. Nichols, X. Hu, T. Huang, G. Huang, L. Guzman, Y. Ping and S. W. Chen, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 1179–1188.
- 56 S. Baroni, S. de Gironcoli, A. Dal Corso and P. Giannozzi, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 2001, 73, 515–562.