UC Berkeley

UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Understanding the degradation mechanism of rechargeable lithium/sulfur cells: a comprehensive study of the sulfur-graphene oxide cathode after discharge-charge cycling.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6st3864p>

Journal

Physical chemistry chemical physics : PCCP, 16(32)

ISSN

1463-9076

Authors

Feng, Xuefei
Song, Min-Kyu
Stolte, Wayne C
et al.

Publication Date

2014-08-01

DOI

10.1039/c4cp01341g

Peer reviewed

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c4cp01341g

Understanding the degradation mechanism of rechargeable lithium/sulfur cells: a comprehensive study of the sulfur–graphene oxide cathode after discharge–charge cycling†

Xuefei Feng,^{‡ab} Min-Kyu Song,^{‡cd} Wayne C. Stolte,^{be} David Gardenghi,^{be}
Duo Zhang,^{bf} Xuhui Sun,^f Junfa Zhu,^{*a} Elton J. Cairns^{*dg} and Jinghua Guo^{*bh}

Lithium/sulfur (Li/S) cells have attracted much attention due to their higher theoretical specific capacity and energy compared to those of current lithium-ion cells. However, the application of Li/S cells is still hampered by short cycle life. Sulfur–graphene oxide (S–GO) nanocomposites have shown promise as cathode materials for long-life Li/S cells because oxygen-containing functional groups on the surface of graphene oxide were successfully used as sulfur immobilizers by forming weak bonds with sulfur and polysulfides. While S–GO showed much improved cycling performance, the capacity decay still needs to be improved for commercially viable cells. In this study, we attempt to understand the capacity fading mechanism based on an *ex situ* study of the structural and chemical evolution of S–GO nanocomposite cathodes with various numbers of cycles using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), near edge X-ray absorption fine structure (NEXAFS) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). It is found that both the surface morphologies and chemical structures of the cathode materials change considerably with increasing number of cycles. These changes are attributed to several unexpected chemical reactions of lithium with S–GO nanocomposites occurring during the discharge–charge processes with the formation of Li_2CO_3 , Li_2SO_3 , Li_2SO_4 , and COSO_2Li species. These reactions result in the loss of recyclable active sulfur on the surface of the electrode, and thus capacity fades while coulombic efficiency is near 100%. Moreover, the reaction products accumulate on the cathode surface, forming a compact blocking insulating layer which may make the diffusion of Li ions into/out of the cathode difficult during the discharge–charge process and thus lead to lower utilization of sulfur at higher rates. We think that these two observations are significant contributors to the capacity and rate capability degradation of the Li/S–GO cells. Therefore, for the rechargeable Li/S–GO cells, we suggest that the content of oxygen-containing functional groups on GO should be optimized and more stable functional groups need to be identified for further improvement of the cycling performance. The information we gain from this study may provide general insights into the fundamental understanding of the degradation mechanisms of other rechargeable Li/S cells using similar oxygen-containing functional groups as sulfur immobilizers.

Received 27th March 2014,
Accepted 7th April 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4cp01341g

www.rsc.org/pccp

^a National Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory & Collaborative Innovation Center of Suzhou Nano Science and Technology, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230029, China. E-mail: jfzhu@ustc.edu.cn

^b Advanced Light Source, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA. E-mail: jguo@lbl.gov

^c The Molecular Foundry, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

^d Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

^e Department of Chemistry, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV 89154-4003, USA

^f Institute of Functional Nano & Soft Materials (FUNSOM), Soochow University, Suzhou 215123, Jiangsu, China

^g Environmental Energy Technologies Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA. E-mail: ejcairns@lbl.gov

^h Department of Chemical and Biochemistry, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: S K-edge comparison of $\text{S}_8/\text{S–GO}$ (TEY); S K-edge (TEY) of LiTFSI ; O K-edge (TEY) evolution of S–GO cathode with increasing number of cycles; TFY signals for S K-edge and C K-edge of S–GO cathode. See DOI: 10.1039/c4cp01341g

‡ These authors contributed equally.

1. Introduction

Although Li-ion cells are still considered as “state-of-the-art” technology amongst many existing cells on the market, it is questionable whether they are able to meet tomorrow’s energy storage requirements for advanced transportation and portable electronics.^{1–5} Current cathode materials such as LiCoO₂ and LiFePO₄ have exhibited good cycling performance so far, however, their obtainable specific energies are insufficient to meet the ever-increasing demands of the rapidly emerging advanced technologies.^{3,6} In contrast, sulfur (S) is a very attractive material for use as a cathode in rechargeable lithium batteries with high specific energy owing to its high theoretical specific capacity of 1675 mA h g^{−1} and high theoretical specific energy (with lithium) of 2600 W h kg^{−1}. However, despite these technical merits, the practical application of Li/S cells is still hindered by their low coulombic efficiency and short cycle life.^{7–14} One key reason for the short cycle life and low coulombic efficiency was attributed to the formation of lithium polysulfides and the dissolution of these polysulfides into organic solvent electrolytes during the discharge–charge process, which leads to low utilization and the loss of so-called “recyclable” active sulfur material (sulfur that can react reversibly with lithium during the cycling process, $2\text{Li} + x\text{S} \rightleftharpoons \text{Li}_2\text{S}_x$, $1 \leq x < 8$) in the cathode.^{15–19} In recent years, conductive polymers and various carbon materials have been widely used for accommodating S to mitigate the issues related to the loss of sulfur, as reported by Nazar *et al.* and others.^{20–30} For instance, sulfur–graphene oxide (S–GO) nanocomposites produced *via* a chemical approach were used as cathode materials of Li/S cells and a high reversible capacity of 950–1400 mA h g^{−1} for more than 50 cycles has been achieved.²² More recently, we reported that a Li/S cell employing a cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB)-modified sulfur–graphene oxide (S–GO) nanocomposite cathode can be discharged at rates as high as 6 C (1 C = 1.675 A g^{−1} sulfur) and charged at rates as high as 3 C while still maintaining high specific capacity (~800 mA h g^{−1} sulfur at 6 C), with a long cycle life exceeding 1500 cycles and an extremely low decay rate (0.039% per cycle), perhaps the best performance demonstrated so far for a Li/S cell.²⁸ The CTAB was thought to protect the outer layer of sulfur from dissolving and the elastomeric SBR/CMC binder might maintain the integrity of the electrode structure. However, the capacity fading (~60% after 1500 cycles) is still the main obstacle for further improving the cell cycle life. Therefore, it is of great importance to deeply understand why the capacity fades upon cycling by analyzing the structural evolution of the cathode materials at different discharge–charge cycles.

In our previous works, we have studied the structure and morphology of pure S–GO material before cycling.^{22,31} The results suggested that the carbon rings and oxygen-containing functional groups (such as hydroxyl, epoxide, carbonyl and carboxyl groups) of GO form chemical bonds with sulfur, which helps to immobilize S atoms on the GO surface and thus reduce the shuttle phenomenon. In this work, we report a comprehensive *ex situ* investigation of the morphological and structural evolution of the S–GO based cathode used in the Li/S cell before and after various numbers of discharge–charge cycles using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), total electron yield and

total fluorescence yield near edge X-ray absorption fine structure (TEY- and TFY-NEXAFS) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Here we use S–GO instead of CTAB-modified S–GO to eliminate the impact of CTAB, as the C–S bonds may also form between S and CTAB.²⁸ We have demonstrated that the surface morphological/chemical structural changes of the S–GO nanocomposite cathode after the discharge–charge process are significant factors that influence the cell performance. The information we gain here may provide a general insight into fundamental understanding of the degradation mechanisms of the Li/S cells and will be helpful for the optimal design of novel cathode materials.

2. Experimental procedures

2.1. Synthesis and characterization of S–GO nanocomposites

0.36 g sodium sulfide (Na₂S, anhydrous, Alfa Aesar) was dissolved in 25 ml ultrapure water (Millipore®) to form a Na₂S solution, followed by addition of 0.45 g elemental sulfur (S, sublimed, 99.9%, Mallinckrodt) and stirring with a magnetic stirrer for 2 hours at room temperature to obtain a sodium polysulfide (Na₂S_x) solution. In the meantime, 18 ml of commercial graphene oxide (GO) dispersed in deionized (DI) water (10 mg ml^{−1}, ACS Material) was taken by an auto pipette and diluted with ultrapure water (162 ml) to form a uniform GO suspension (180 mg GO in 180 ml ultrapure water). Next, the Na₂S_x solution was added to the prepared GO suspension dropwise using a glass pipette while stirring, followed by further stirring for an additional 16 hours. The as-prepared Na₂S_x–GO blended solution was then slowly added to 100 ml of formic acid (HCOOH, 88%, Aldrich, 2 M) using a burette while vigorously stirring. This mixture was stirred for half an hour to allow elemental S to be precipitated onto the GO. Finally, the S–GO nanocomposite was filtered and washed with acetone and ultrapure water multiple times to remove salts and impurities. The S–GO nanocomposite was then dried at 50 °C in a vacuum oven for 24 hours. Thereafter, the as-synthesized S–GO nanocomposite was heat treated in a tube furnace under flowing argon with a flow rate of 100 cc s^{−1} at 155 °C for 12 hours. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA, TA Instruments Q5000) was used to determine the weight of the sulfur deposited onto the GO using a heating rate of 10 °C min^{−1} in N₂. The TGA weight loss to 600 °C was found to be approximately 50 wt%. A detailed description of the S–GO morphology can be found in the previous studies.^{22,31} Briefly, a uniform deposition of a thin sulfur film on GO can be observed without substantial agglomeration of sulfur. This is important for achieving a high utilization by providing a short diffusion distance for lithium atoms and a better electronic conductivity.

2.2. Electrode fabrication and electrochemical characterization

The sulfur electrodes were prepared by ultrasonically mixing the S–GO nanocomposite and a conductive carbon black additive (Super P) with a PVDF binder at a weight ratio of 70:20:10 in *N*-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) to form a uniform slurry.

The resulting slurry was spread using a doctor blade onto an aluminum foil. The NMP solvent was allowed to evaporate at room temperature for 24 hours. The electrodes were then dried in a vacuum oven at 50 °C for 48 hours to fully eliminate any residual solvent. The electrode was punched into 12.7 mm diameter circular pieces for cell assembly. The average sulfur loading of the electrodes was $\sim 0.7 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$. For the electrolyte, a mixture of 1,3-dioxolane (DOL) and dimethoxyethane (DME) was introduced to ionic liquid, (*n*-methyl-*n*-butyl) pyrrolidinium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (PYR₁₄TFSI, Sigma-Aldrich), to form a 1 M LiTFSI in PYR₁₄TFSI/DOL/DME solution (2:1:1 by volume). The electrolyte also contained 0.1 M LiNO₃ as an additive.

CR2032-type coin cells were assembled by sandwiching two separators (Celgard 2400) between lithium metal foil (99.98%, Cyprus Foote Mineral) and a sulfur electrode (area = 1.26 cm²) fabricated with the S-GO nanocomposite in an argon glove box. Galvanostatic discharge and charge cycling between 1.5 V and 2.8 V was performed using a battery cycler (Maccor Series 4000). The cell capacity was normalized to the weight of sulfur (based on the TGA weight loss to 600 °C). Before all electrochemical characterizations, the cells were held at open circuit at room temperature for 24 h. All electrochemical characterizations were performed inside a test chamber (TestEquity TEC1) maintained at 30 °C.

2.3. Observation of structural changes of electrodes using scanning electron microscopy

Five Li/S-GO cells with the same initial structures were assembled and discharged-charged at the rate of 1 C and 0.25 C (1 C = 1675 mA g⁻¹ sulfur), respectively. Note that all cathodes were examined after the cells were charged up to 2.8 V vs. Li/Li⁺. After 1, 10, 30, 50 or 100 cycles, the Li/S cells were disassembled inside a glove box filled with high-purity argon gas, followed by the separation of lithium metal anodes and the rinsing of the sulfur cathodes with a DOL-DME mixture (1:1 by volume). Thereafter, the cathode materials, which are a combination of S-GO, carbon black (super P) and poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) binder, were dried inside the antechamber attached to the glove box for 30 minutes under vacuum. Through these treatments, the salts (for example, LiTFSI) in the electrolyte and possibly a small amount of high-order polysulfides that may remain on the S-GO cathode surface were removed, enabling us to better investigate the evolution of chemical bonding between S and GO after discharge-charge cycles. For comparison, a sulfur cathode without prior cycling was also prepared. A scanning electron microscope (SEM, Zeiss Gemini Ultra-55) was operated at an accelerating voltage of 3 kV to examine the morphologies of the S-GO nanocomposite electrodes before and after cycling.

2.4. Total electron yield (TEY) and total fluorescence yield (TFY) NEXAFS experiments

Before the NEXAFS experiments, six samples (pristine and after 1, 10, 30, 50, and 100 cycles) were transferred into chambers under the protection of argon gas and then the chambers were

pumped down immediately. The NEXAFS experiments were carried out at two separate beam lines at the Advanced Light Source (ALS), Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL). The S K-edge data were collected at a bending magnet beam line 9.3.1 with photon energies ranging from 2320 to 5600 eV and an unfocused beam size of 1 mm × 0.7 mm. A silicon drift detector was equipped for TFY measurements and the TEY signals were recorded by measuring the sample drain current. The C K-edge and O K-edge measurements were acquired at the undulator beamline 8.0.1. This beamline consists of a 5 cm period undulator with photon energies ranging from 80 eV to 1250 eV. The TEY signal was obtained by monitoring the sample drain current. The TFY signals at the Beamline 8.0.1 were collected by a channeltron. The energy resolutions were approximately 0.36 eV for the S K-edge, and 0.1 eV for the C K-edge and O K-edge measurements. The photon incident angle was set at 45° for all samples.

2.5. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopic characterization

The XPS experiments on the same samples were carried out on a VG MARK II spectrometer, which has been described in detail elsewhere.³² All the samples were protected by argon before the measurements. This spectrometer comprises three ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) chambers: analysis chamber, preparation chamber and scanning tunneling microscope (STM) chamber. The base pressures of the three chambers are 4×10^{-11} mbar, 3×10^{-11} mbar and 2×10^{-11} mbar, respectively. For S 2p measurements, the Mg K α source ($h\nu = 1253.6 \text{ eV}$) was chosen and the overall energy resolution was 0.9 eV. Note that all the XPS data were collected at normal emission and the binding energies of the peaks were referenced to the Au 4f of a clean Au(111) single crystal.

3. Results

3.1. Cycling performance of S-GO nanocomposite cathodes

Initially, the nanostructured S-GO composite cathodes made with a PVDF binder exhibited a specific capacity of 1115 mA h g⁻¹ for sulfur at the 0.05 C rate and a good utilization of 863 mA h g⁻¹ for sulfur at the 1 C rate, as shown in Fig. 1a and b, respectively. This electrode can be successfully cycled in the ionic liquid-based electrolyte up to 100 cycles at a rate of 1 C and 0.25 C for discharge and charge, respectively, with a high coulombic efficiency of near 100% (Fig. 1a). We used a slower charge rate than discharge rate as we found that how the Li/S cells are charged can notably affect the cycle life and coulombic efficiency. We will report these results in a separate publication. After the first discharge-charge cycle, the cycling performance of the S-GO composite electrode became stable at 1 C and exhibited better capacity retention after the noticeable initial loss (−11.6%). The average decay rate per cycle for 100 cycles was −0.14% (or 86.6% capacity retention) after the 2nd cycle and −0.23% (or 76.5% capacity retention) including the 1st cycle. To check the specific capacity that can be obtained at a lower C-rate, discharge capacity was measured

Fig. 1 (a) Capacity and coulombic efficiency evolution of S-GO based Li/S cells, (b) discharge profiles at initial discharge and after 100 cycles of Li/S cells with S-GO nanocomposite cathodes.

using the 0.05 C rate. After 100 cycles at 1 C/0.25 C discharge/charge, the S-GO nanocomposite cathodes exhibited a discharge capacity of 920 mA h g^{-1} for sulfur at 0.05 C (83% capacity retention with respect to the initial 1115 mA h g^{-1} for sulfur at 0.05 C), showing the promise of the S-GO nanocomposite as a cathode material for high energy rechargeable Li/S cells (Fig. 1b). As can be seen in Fig. 1a and b, although the coulombic efficiency can be kept high, the capacity still fades with increasing number of cycles. Therefore, we need a deep fundamental understanding of the degradation mechanism in order to further improve the cycling performance for commercially viable cells.

3.2. Structural/morphological changes in sulfur electrodes

To understand the capacity fading mechanism of such Li/S-GO cells, we have first carried out SEM experiments to investigate the morphological evolution of the S-GO composite electrodes after different numbers of discharge-charge cycles, and the results are shown in Fig. 2. The layer-like conjugated nanostructures with highly developed porous structures of pure S-GO have been described in detail in the previous studies.^{22,31} Here, the SEM image of the original S-GO cathode (before cycling) is also shown as a reference. As can be seen in Fig. 2a, the original S-GO cathode has a porous structure with a well-mixed morphology of S-GO and carbon black. After the first discharge-charge cycle, the porous material becomes a little

Fig. 2 SEM images of S-GO nanocomposite electrodes consisting of S-GO, PVDF binder and carbon black: (a) before and after (b) 1, (c) 10, (d) 30, (e) 50 and (f) 100 cycles. All electrodes were examined in the fully charged state.

smoother compared to the initial sample, as shown in Fig. 2b. With increasing number of cycles, the morphology of the cathode material surface becomes smoother and smoother, as seen in the SEM images of the cathode material with 10, 30, 50 and 100 cycles (Fig. 2c–f). It can be seen that the initial porous surface turns from sharp to blurry and the edges of S-GO are attached by membranous materials. In particular, after 100 cycles, remarkable morphological changes can be observed: (1) the sharp S-GO pieces in the cathode disappear and the edges of the S-GO nanocomposite become much smoother; (2) the macroporous structure of the cathode becomes less porous. As the large contact area of the electrolyte/cathode can shorten the transport lengths for both lithium ions and electrons, which helps to improve the overall electrochemical performance,^{22,33} one can imagine that the cell cycle performance can be strongly influenced by the change of S-GO nanocomposite morphology. In general, the morphology evolution is usually associated with the changes of surface chemical structure. However, these SEM images do not provide any chemical information about the surfaces. In order to gain information about the chemical changes upon discharge-charge cycles, we have performed a parallel set of NEXAFS and XPS experiments on the electrodes, which will be presented below.

3.3. NEXAFS characterization of sulfur electrodes

NEXAFS, based on the excitation of core electrons to empty or partially filled states, is a powerful technique for probing the electronic band structure of materials.^{34,35} In addition, by recording NEXAFS spectra with different detection modes such as total electron yield (TEY) and total fluorescence yield (TFY), different probing depths can be achieved. The TEY mode probes a depth of $\sim 5 \text{ nm}$, whereas the TFY mode probes a depth of $\sim 100 \text{ nm}$. Here we employed both TEY- and TFY-NEXAFS

Fig. 3 NEXAFS spectra of the S K-edge (a) and C K-edge (b) of the S-GO cathode materials at different discharge-charge cycles. The top two lines in (a) show the spectra of the TEY signal from pure S-GO and the TFY signal from the cathode materials before cycling. All the samples were examined in the fully charged state and the characteristic peaks of different functional groups are indicated in the figures.

experiments to explore the evolution of the electronic and chemical structures of S-GO electrode materials after the discharge-charge process. Fig. 3a displays the S K-edge TEY-NEXAFS spectra of the cathode materials after six different numbers of cycles (labeled as 0, 1, 10, 30, 50 and 100). For comparison, the TEY S K-edge absorption spectrum of pure S-GO and the TFY signal from the cathode before cycling are presented as the top two lines, respectively. For the initial S-GO composite cathode (0 cycle), several absorption features can be observed. According to the previous studies,^{31,36–43} the feature at 2472.2 eV can be attributed to the transition from the S 1s core level to the S-S π^* states of elemental S or C-S-S-C π^* states of S-GO, and the strong absorption feature at 2473.7 eV corresponds to the transition from the S 1s to the C-S σ^* of S-GO species.^{36,37,42} The results can be confirmed by comparison of the TEY spectra of pure elemental S and S-GO, as shown in Fig. S1 (ESI[†]). In addition, the fairly weak and wide peak around 2480.5 eV is assigned to the S 1s transition to $\text{COSO}_2^- \sigma^*$, while the S 1s transition to $\text{SO}_4^{2-} \sigma^*$ is located at 2482.5 eV.³⁹ One may notice a small peak at 2470.2 eV, which is related to chemical bonding between S atoms and GO sheets. However, the correlation of this peak to a specific transition is still an open question so far. By comparing the spectra of the TEY mode and the TFY mode from the 0 cycle sample, one can notice that the ratio between the peaks at 2472.2 eV and 2473.7 eV in the TFY mode is higher than that in the TEY spectrum. Because the probing depth of the TEY mode is smaller than that of the TFY mode, this lower intensity of the 2472.2 eV feature in the TEY spectrum implies less elemental S or C-S-S-C species near the electrode surface. In contrast, the stronger 2473.7 eV peak in TEY spectra indicates that there are more C-S species located on the cathode surface than in the bulk after cell assembly.

Upon cycling, three significant changes in the S K-edge TEY-NEXAFS spectra can be found with increasing number of cycles: (1) intensity decay of the peaks at 2470.2, 2472.2 and 2473.7 eV; (2) appearance of a new peak at 2478.0 eV; (3) intensification

of the two peaks located at 2480.5 and 2482.5 eV. Note that all of the measured spectra are from fully charged S-GO cathodes; thus, the evolution of the spectra directly reflects the reversibility of the cathode structure as a result of cycling. The significant decay of the three peaks at 2470.2, 2472.2 and 2473.7 eV implies the loss of elemental S and/or S species bonded to GO, *i.e.*, active S species, as a result of cycling. The new peak at 2478.0 eV can be assigned to the transition of S 1s to $\text{S}^{2-} \sigma^*$ and/or $\text{SO}_3^{2-} \sigma^*$.^{37,38} For the 2480.5 eV peak, at first thought it may appear to originate from the LiTFSI, the S K-edge of which shows a strong absorption peak at 2480.5 eV (see Fig. S2, ESI[†]), left on the cathode surface during sample preparation. However, this salt also contains N element, but no N signal can be found in the N K-edge spectra (spectrum not shown), indicating that the peak at 2480.5 eV should be attributed to the COSO_2^- species.⁴² In addition, the peak at 2482.5 eV can be assigned to S 1s transition to σ^* of SO_4^{2-} .³⁶ The appearance and increase of COSO_2^- and SO_4^{2-} species in the cathode after cycling further confirms that they originate at the expense of active S species. One may argue that lithium also possibly reacts with LiTFSI during cycling. However, according to the literature, this reaction is very weak.⁴⁴ Moreover, our S-GO cathodes were rinsed with the DOL-DME mixture many times to avoid the influence of the sulfur-containing lithium salt. Thus, we attribute most of the reaction products to the reaction between Li and S-GO.

The C K-edge spectra shown in Fig. 3b further reveal remarkable changes in the chemical structure of the electrode materials after cycling. As can be seen, before cycling, three distinct features located at 285.5, 287.6 and 292.0 eV can be observed. By comparing to the study of pure S-GO nanocomposites and other carbon related materials,^{31,45,46} the strong 285.5 eV peak can be assigned to the C 1s transition to π^* of GO and/or carbon black, and the 287.6 eV peak is attributed to the transition from C 1s to C-H and C-S σ^* . Moreover, the peak around 292.0 eV corresponds to transitions from the 1s level to dispersionless σ^* states at the Γ point of the graphene Brillouin zone (BZ).^{31,47} After cycling, significant changes in the C K-edge spectra with increasing number of cycles can be observed: (1) the damping of peak at 285.5 eV; (2) the intensity decrease of the 287.6 eV feature; (3) the development of two new features located at 288.7 and 290.6 eV. According to the previous study on lithium oxalate, lithium succinate and lithium methoxide by Augustsson *et al.*,⁴⁸ the 288.7 eV peak can be assigned to the transition of the C 1s level to the σ^* of $-\text{CH}_2-$ species, while the peak at 290.6 eV can be ascribed to the C 1s transition to CO_3^{2-} and $\text{RO}^- \sigma^*$. After 100 cycles, the 290.6 eV peak becomes the strongest peak in the spectra. These results imply the formation of lithium carboxylate (for example, Li_2CO_3) and possibly lithium alkoxide during Li/S-GO cell operation. To understand more about the reaction products after the unexpected chemical reactions, further theoretical calculation is needed.

To further understand the changes in the chemical structure of the cathode materials after cycling, the S K-edge and C K-edge spectra are deconvoluted with Gaussian functions after subtracting an arctan function background. Representative spectrum deconvolutions for the S K-edge and C K-edge of the S-GO

Fig. 4 Representative NEXAFS peak fittings for the S K-edge (a) and C K-edge (b) of the cathode materials after subtracting an arctan background. The spectra were obtained after 30 cycles. The black open circles are the experimental data. Individual components together with their assignments are labeled with different colors. The evolutions of each S and C species as a function of the number of discharge-charge cycles are plotted in (c) and (d), respectively. All electrodes were examined in the fully charged state.

nanocomposite cathode are presented in Fig. 4a and b, respectively. These spectra are obtained from the cathode material after 30 cycles. All the peak assignments have been described above. By normalizing to the total integrated area of each spectrum, the relative intensity of each sulfur-containing species as a function

of the number of cycles is shown in Fig. 4c. As seen, the continuous decrease of S-S/S-C bonds with increasing cycles indicates the significant loss of active sulfur species in S-GO or residual S on the cathode surface. In contrast, the contents of SO₃²⁻, COSO₂⁻, and SO₄²⁻ species increase, implying that the strong chemical reactions of lithium with sulfur and the oxygen-containing functional groups in S-GO occur, leading to the formation of lithium sulfite, lithium sulfate and other lithium-sulfur compounds. Judging from the intensity variation of the C-S feature (2473.7 eV feature in S K-edge spectra) after 100 cycles, about 65% of the C-S species is lost.

The evolution of different C species, shown in Fig. 4d, in the C K-edge spectra further confirms the reaction of Li ions with the functional groups in the S-GO cathode. The decrease of C π* (red line) implies the strong interaction between lithium ions and the conjugated structure of GO or carbon black, while the content reduction of C-S bonds (blue line) indicates that some of the C-S bonds disappear due to the occurrence of strong chemical reactions between lithium and functional groups in GO together with sulfur during the discharge-charge process. In addition, with increasing number of cycles, the lithium carbonate species are progressively formed.

This is further supported by the evolution of O K-edge spectra with different cycles (see Fig. S3, ESI†). As shown, significant peak shape changes of O K-edge spectra can be observed. The detailed peak assignments are given in the ESI.† Together with the above observations of S K-edge and C-edge, we can conclude that during discharging, Li can diffuse into the cathode and react with both the S-O functional groups and the oxygen-containing functional groups on GO sheets to form Li₂SO₃ and Li₂SO₄ species on the cathode surface. On the other hand, strong chemical reactions between Li and carboxyl groups on GO sheets can also occur, leading to the formation of Li₂CO₃ species.

Note that the above-described evolution of S K-edge and C K-edge spectra is from the TEY signals. For comparison, the bulk-sensitive TFY signals are also acquired and the results are presented in Fig. S4 (ESI†). Significantly, the peak intensities of CO₃²⁻, SO₃²⁻, SO₄²⁻ and COSO₂⁻ features are lower than those of the TEY spectra at the same cycles, indicating that the reaction products mainly accumulate on the cathode surface.

3.4. XPS spectroscopy characterization of S-GO nanocomposite cathodes

XPS is another powerful surface-sensitive technique for probing the chemical structure of materials. To validate the chemical reactions between Li and S-GO during cycling, S 2p measurements on the same samples were carried out. As shown in Fig. 5, before cycling, the strong S 2p_{3/2} peak at 163.8 eV is attributed to the active S species with S-S/C-S bonds in S-GO and possibly residual S after heat treatment,^{31,36} while the weak S 2p_{3/2} feature at 161.5 eV can be ascribed to the S²⁻ of residual Na₂S during the chemical synthesis process.³¹ In addition, two weak S 2p_{3/2} peaks at 168.5 eV and 169.5 eV are also observed, which can be assigned to the COSO₂Li/Li₂SO₃ and Li₂SO₄ species, respectively.^{36,49,50} It should be mentioned that these

Fig. 5 S 2p spectra together with their peak fittings from the cathode materials after 0, 1, 10, 30, 50 and 100 cycles. Each individual component corresponds to a different functional group, as indicated in the figures. The black open circles represent the experimental data. All the samples were examined in the fully charged state.

two peaks may be also from the sulphate species formed by oxidation of sulfur.^{31,36,51} After 1 cycle, the damping of the S 2p_{3/2} at 163.8 eV as well as the intensification of the 168.5 eV and 169.5 eV peaks can be observed, implying that strong chemical reactions occur between Li ions diffused into the cathode and S-GO during the discharge-charge process. After more cycles, further damping of S 2p of S-GO species is found together with the increase in the intensities of S 2p of Li₂SO₃, COSO₂Li and Li₂SO₄. After 100 cycles, only about 25% of the active S species (the peak of 163.8 eV) in S-GO remains on the cathode surface. This result coincides very well with the above TEY-NEXAFS results. Therefore, the combination of XPS and NEXAFS measurements provides strong evidence that part of the low valence S converts to high oxidation state S during discharge-charge cycling, leading to the loss of recyclable active S, which can be one of the key reasons for the capacity fading.

4. Discussion

As described above, we have comprehensively investigated both the morphological and chemical evolution of the S-GO cathode materials in real cell operating environments with various numbers of discharge-charge cycles. For the SEM images of the S-GO cathode surface with different cycles, there are two dominant observations that should be rationalized:

(1) The original sharp edges of the S-GO nanocomposite in the cathode become smoother and the surface of S-GO is covered by dense membranous materials after cycling. Especially for the sample after 100 cycles, the highly developed layer-like structure of S-GO can be hardly observed.

(2) After discharge-charge cycles, the cathode material surface becomes less porous.

On the other hand, key chemical structure evolution of the S-GO cathode was obtained from our NEXAFS and XPS experiments:

(1) The S K-edge spectra combined with C K-edge results indicate the loss of recyclable active S in S-GO as well as the appearance of Li₂CO₃, Li₂SO₃, Li₂SO₄, and COSO₂Li species in the cathode materials after cycling. XPS spectra strongly confirm the NEXAFS results.

(2) By comparing the TEY spectra of S K-edge and C K-edge with TFY signals, we demonstrate that these reacted lithium compounds mainly accumulate on the cathode surface.

By combining with the electrochemical results, we propose an explanation that is consistent with the above observations to understand the relation between the cycle performance of Li/S-GO cells and the morphological/chemical structures of the cathode materials:

Firstly, the strong GO-S chemical interaction can help to reduce the dissolution of the lithium polysulfides into the electrolyte and thus minimize the shuttle phenomenon.²² Therefore, the coulombic efficiency can be kept as high as nearly 100% with a very low capacity decay rate (−0.14% per cycle for 100 cycles after the 2nd cycle). However, the functional groups in GO, together with sulfur, can react strongly with lithium during the discharge-charge process, leading to the loss of recyclable active sulfur materials in the cathode. This can be the immediate cause for the capacity fading while the decay rate can be minimized using oxygen-containing functional groups on the surface of GO.

Secondly, as the structure of the cathode material is critical to the cycle performance of rechargeable lithium batteries,^{9,22,52–56}

Fig. 6 Schematic illustration of the discharge-charge process for the Li/S-GO battery.

the cycle performance of the Li/S-GO cell is significantly influenced by the accumulation of these lithium compounds on the cathode surface: (i) after cycling, the less porous structure of the cathode cannot accommodate the large volume change of S anymore, leading to the possible mechanical degradation of the cathode and the particles of disintegrated sulfur may be more vulnerable to dissolution in the electrolyte; (ii) these lithium compounds are electrical insulators. Thus, the deposition of such reaction products on the cathode surface can reduce the in-depth discharge of sulfur, leading to low utilization of sulfur especially at higher rates. As a result, the Li/S-GO cell capacity fades with the increase of such reaction products; (iii) the intimate contact of S provided by the large surface area and the functional groups on GO is favorable for good electron/ion accessibility. However, when the porous S-GO cathode surface is covered by these reacted lithium compounds, not only the surface area of S-GO is reduced but also the contact between diffused lithium ions and sulfur during discharging is prevented, leading to degradation of cycle performance.

Summarizing the SEM, NEXAFS, XPS results and the analysis above, we have demonstrated that besides the supposed electrochemical reaction of $2\text{Li} + x\text{S} \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{S}_x$, the unexpected strong chemical reactions between lithium and the oxygen-containing functional groups on GO and immobilized sulfur also occur on the S-GO cathode surface, leading to the loss and lower utilization of recyclable active sulfur materials in the cathode with increasing cycles. A simplified model is proposed to describe the discharge-charge process of the Li/S-GO cell, as schematically shown in Fig. 6. In the first several cycles, Li ions diffuse into the cathode to react with S-GO during discharging. Thereafter, most of the Li ions can move back to the lithium electrode during charging. This can be proved by our NEXAFS and XPS spectra which show that only a small amount of unexpected reaction products (*i.e.*, SO_3^{2-} , SO_4^{2-} species) can be found. With increasing number of cycles, more and more unexpected reaction products appear and accumulate on the cathode surface, leading to the formation of a lithium

compound blocking layer at the electrolyte/electrode interface. Therefore, during the discharge-charge process, the diffusion of Li ions into and out of the cathode becomes increasingly difficult and the expected electrochemical reactions between Li and S atoms are progressively hindered. As a result, the overall capacity fading is found in the electrochemical cycling tests.

The above results suggest that although the oxygen-containing functional groups on GO can help to immobilize S atoms on GO and improve the initial cycling performance of Li/S cells, they can also strongly react with lithium, leading to the loss of recyclable active sulfur material in S-GO and the formation and accumulation of Li_2CO_3 , Li_2SO_3 , Li_2SO_4 , and COSO_2Li insulating layers on the cathode surface that result in the reduction of in-depth discharge of sulfur as well as the mechanical degradation of the cathode. Moreover, the same phenomena will be expected even if the S loading is increased in the cathode because the chemical process taking place on S-GO composite cathodes during cycling is expected to be the same. Therefore, in order to further improve the Li/S-GO cell cycle stability, the content of oxygen-containing functional groups on GO should be optimized to both increase the interaction of S with GO and minimize the unexpected chemical reactions. In addition, more stable functional groups as sulfur immobilizers need to be identified for further improving the cycle performance. On the other hand, we strongly suggest that when introducing new materials, such as conducting polymers, porous carbon materials and metal oxides, as S immobilizers, the interaction of Li and these materials should be taken into account. We expect that the new degradation mechanism we have proposed in this study can be also helpful for understanding why the capacity fades in other Li/S cells.

Acknowledgements

J.F.Z. acknowledges the financial support from the National Basic Research Program of China (2010CB923302, 2013CB834605), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.

U1232102), and the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of Ministry of Education (Grant No. 20113402110029). E.J.C. acknowledges financial support from the University of California, Office of the President, under the Proof of Concept Grant No. 12PC247581. The work at the Advanced Light Source is supported by the Office of Science, the Office of Basic Energy Sciences and the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

References

- 1 P. G. Bruce, S. A. Freunberger, L. J. Hardwick and J.-M. Tarascon, *Nat. Mater.*, 2012, **11**, 19.
- 2 M. Armand and J. M. Tarascon, *Nature*, 2008, **451**, 652.
- 3 M.-K. Song, S. Park, F. M. Alamgir, J. Cho and M. Liu, *Mater. Sci. Eng., R*, 2011, **72**, 203.
- 4 J. B. Goodenough and K. S. Park, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 1167.
- 5 X. L. Ji, K. T. Lee and L. F. Nazar, *Nat. Mater.*, 2009, **8**, 500.
- 6 B. L. Ellis, K. T. Lee and L. F. Nazar, *Chem. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 691.
- 7 M.-K. Song, E. J. Cairns and Y. Zhang, *Nanoscale*, 2013, **5**, 2186.
- 8 T. Q. Lin, Y. F. Tang, Y. M. Wang, H. Bi, Z. Q. Liu, F. Q. Huang, X. M. Xie and M. H. Jiang, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, **6**, 1283.
- 9 W. Weng, V. G. Pol and K. Amine, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 1608.
- 10 L. C. Yin, J. L. Wang, F. J. Lin, J. Yang and Y. Nuli, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, **5**, 6966.
- 11 L. F. Xiao, Y. L. Cao, J. Xiao, B. Schwenzer, M. H. Engelhard, L. V. Saraf, Z. M. Nie, G. J. Exarhos and J. Liu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 1176.
- 12 K. P. Cai, M. K. Song, E. J. Cairns and Y. G. Zhang, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, **12**, 6474.
- 13 X. L. Ji, S. Evers, R. Black and L. F. Nazar, *Nat. Commun.*, 2011, **2**, 1293.
- 14 G. He, X. L. Ji and L. Nazar, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**, 2878.
- 15 J. R. Akridge, Y. V. Mikhaylik and N. White, *Solid State Ionics*, 2004, **175**, 243.
- 16 K. Kumaresan, Y. Mikhaylik and R. E. White, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2008, **155**, 576.
- 17 Y. V. Mikhaylik and J. R. Akridge, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2004, **151**, 1969.
- 18 H. Yamin, A. Gorenstein, J. Penciner, Y. Sternberg and E. Peled, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1988, **135**, 1045.
- 19 J. Shim, K. A. Striebel and E. J. Cairns, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2002, **149**, 1321.
- 20 C. D. Liang, N. J. Dudney and J. Y. Howe, *Chem. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 4724.
- 21 Y. J. Choi, Y. D. Chung, C. Y. Baek, K. W. Kim, H. J. Ahn and J. H. Ahn, *J. Power Sources*, 2008, **184**, 548.
- 22 L. W. Ji, M. M. Rao, H. M. Zheng, L. Zhang, Y. C. Li, W. H. Duan, J. H. Guo, E. J. Cairns and Y. G. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 18522.
- 23 C. Zu and A. Manthiram, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2013, 1008.
- 24 Y. Cao, X. Li, I. A. Aksay, J. Lemmon, Z. Nie, Z. Yang and J. Liu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **13**, 7660.
- 25 H. Wang, Y. Yang, Y. Liang, J. T. Robinson, Y. Li, A. Jackson, Y. Cui and H. Dai, *Nano Lett.*, 2011, **11**, 2644.
- 26 S. Evers and L. F. Nazar, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 1135.
- 27 Y. Yang, M. T. McDowell, A. Jackson, J. J. Cha, S. S. Hong and Y. Cui, *Nano Lett.*, 2010, **10**, 1486.
- 28 M.-K. Song, Y. Zhang and E. J. Cairns, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 5891.
- 29 S. T. Lu, Y. W. Cheng, X. H. Wu and J. Liu, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 2485.
- 30 Z. W. Seh, W. Y. Li, J. J. Cha, G. Y. Zheng, Y. Yang, M. T. McDowell, P. C. Hsu and Y. Cui, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, **4**, 2327.
- 31 L. Zhang, L. Ji, P.-A. Glans, Y. Zhang, J. Zhu and J. Guo, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **14**, 13670.
- 32 M. Chen, X. F. Feng, L. Zhang, H. X. Ju, Q. Xu, J. F. Zhu, J. M. Gottfried, K. Ibrahim, H. J. Qian and J. O. Wang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 9908.
- 33 Y. Yu, C. H. Chen and Y. Shi, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 3541.
- 34 M. Cuisinier, P. E. Cabelguen, S. Evers, G. He, M. Kolbeck, A. Garsuch, T. Bolin, M. Balasubramanian and L. F. Nazar, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2013, **4**, 3227.
- 35 X. S. Liu, D. D. Wang, G. Liu, V. Srinivasan, Z. Liu, Z. Hussain and W. L. Yang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, **4**, 3568.
- 36 H. Ota, T. Akai, H. Namita, S. Yamaguchi and M. Nomura, *J. Power Sources*, 2003, **119**, 567.
- 37 T. Takeuchi, H. Kageyama, K. Nakanishi, M. Tabuchi, H. Sakaebe, T. Ohta, H. Senoh, T. Sakai and K. Tatsumi, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2010, **157**, 1196.
- 38 T. Takeuchi, H. Kageyama, K. Nakanishi, Y. Inada, M. Katayama, T. Ohta, H. Senoh, H. Sakaebe, T. Sakai, K. Tatsumi and H. Kobayashi, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2012, **159**, 75.
- 39 A. Dey, M. Chow, K. Taniguchi, P. Lugo-Mas, S. Davin, M. Maeda, J. A. Kovacs, M. Odaka, K. O. Hodgson, B. Hedman and E. I. Solomon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 533.
- 40 D. A. Totir, M. R. Antonio, P. Schilling, R. Tittsworth and D. A. Scherson, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2002, **47**, 3195.
- 41 G. Ouvrard and Z. Y. Wu, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B*, 1997, **133**, 120.
- 42 A. Schmalenberger, W. Pritzkow, J. J. Ojeda and M. Noll, *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.*, 2011, **65**, 1215.
- 43 O. A. Baturina, B. D. Gould, A. Korovina, Y. Garsany, R. Stroman and P. A. Northrup, *Langmuir*, 2011, **27**, 14930.
- 44 D. Ensling, M. Stjern Dahl, A. Nyten, T. Gustafsson and J. O. Thomas, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2009, **19**, 82.
- 45 K. A. Mkhoyan, A. W. Contryman, J. Silcox, D. A. Stewart, G. Eda, C. Mattevi, S. Miller and M. Chhowalla, *Nano Lett.*, 2009, **9**, 1058.
- 46 H. K. Jeong, H. J. Noh, J. Y. Kim, M. H. Jin, C. Y. Park and Y. H. Lee, *EPL*, 2008, **82**, 67004.
- 47 V. Lee, L. Whittaker, C. Jaye, K. M. Baroudi, D. A. Fischer and S. Banerjee, *Chem. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 3905.

- 48 A. Augustsson, M. Herstedt, J. H. Guo, K. Edstrom, G. V. Zhuang, P. N. Ross, J. E. Rubensson and J. Nordgren, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2004, **6**, 4185.
- 49 H. Ota, T. Sato, H. Suzuki and T. Usami, *J. Power Sources*, 2001, **97**(8), 107.
- 50 M. Lu, H. Cheng and Y. Yang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2008, **53**, 3539.
- 51 A. G. Schaufuss, H. W. Nesbitt, I. Kartio, K. Laajalehto, G. M. Bancroft and R. Szargan, *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.*, 1998, **96**, 69.
- 52 W. Bensch, J. Ophey, H. Hain, H. Gesswein, D. Chen, R. Monig, P. A. Gruber and S. Indris, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **14**, 7509.
- 53 J. C. Lytle, H. W. Yan, N. S. Ergang, W. H. Smyrl and A. Stein, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2004, **14**, 1616.
- 54 Y. Yang, G. H. Yu, J. J. Cha, H. Wu, M. Vosgueritchian, Y. Yao, Z. A. Bao and Y. Cui, *ACS Nano*, 2011, **5**, 9187.
- 55 F. Ding, W. Xu, G. L. Graff, J. Zhang, M. L. Sushko, X. L. Chen, Y. Y. Shao, M. H. Engelhard, Z. M. Nie, J. Xiao, X. J. Liu, P. V. Sushko, J. Liu and J. G. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 4450.
- 56 J. C. Guo, Y. H. Xu and C. S. Wang, *Nano Lett.*, 2011, **11**, 4288.

Supporting information

Understanding the degradation mechanism of rechargeable lithium/sulfur cells: a comprehensive study of the sulfur-graphene oxide cathode after discharge/charge cycling

Xuefei Feng,^{‡a,b} Min-Kyu Song,^{‡c,d} Wayne C. Stolte,^{b,e} David Gardenghi,^{b,e} Duo Zhang,^{b,f} Xuhui Sun,^f Junfa Zhu,^{*a} Elton J. Cairns,^{*d,g} and Jinghua Guo^{*b,h}

a. National Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory & Collaborative Innovation Center of Suzhou Nano Science and Technology, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230029, China.

b. Advanced Light Source, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA.

c. The Molecular Foundry, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

d. Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

e. Department of Chemistry, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV 89154-4003, USA

f. Institute of Functional Nano & Soft Materials (FUNSOM), Soochow University, Suzhou 215123, Jiangsu, China

g. Environmental Energy Technologies Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

h. Department of Chemical and Biochemistry, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA

Corresponding Author

jfzhu@ustc.edu.cn; ejcairns@lbl.gov; jguo@lbl.gov;

Author Contributions

‡These authors contributed equally.

S1. S K-edge Comparison of elemental S (S_8) and S-GO

Figure S1. Total electron yield (TEY) S K-edge NEXAFS spectra of elemental S (S_8) and S-GO.

S2. S K-edge TEY spectra of pure LiTFSI

Figure S2. TEY NEXAFS spectra of S K-edge of pure LiTFSI.

S3. O K-edge TEY spectra of the cathode materials before and after cycling

For the S-GO cathode materials with 0 cycle, several absorption features can be observed. The peak at 531.1 eV and 533.7 eV are assigned as the O 1s transition to carboxyl π^* and epoxide π^* , respectively.¹⁻³ It should be mentioned that the 533.7 eV peak can also be attributed the O 1s transition to the π^* orbital of C=O in Li_2CO_3 .⁴ The peak around 537.1 eV is due to the O 1s transition to S-O σ^* , while the feature at 539.4 eV should be the contribution of O 1s transition to C-O σ^* .¹ After cycling, the ratio of the peaks at 537.1 eV and 539.4 eV increases, implying the formation of possible lithium sulfite and lithium sulfate species on the cathode interface. The damping of the feature at 531.1 eV shows the strong interaction between Li atoms and carboxyl. In addition, the intensification of the 533.7 eV feature after 100 cycles proves the formation of Li_2CO_3 on the S-GO cathode surface.

Figure S3. TEY NEXAFS of O K-edge of the S-GO cathode materials at different discharge/charge cycles. All electrodes were examined in the fully charged states.

S4. TFY signals of S K-edge and C K-edge absorption spectra of S-GO cathode

Figure S4. (a) S K-edge and (b) C K-edge absorption spectra of S-GO cathode materials measured with TFY mode.

REFERENCES

- (1) Zhang, L.; Ji, L. W.; Glans, P. A.; Zhang, Y. G.; Zhu, J. F.; Guo, J. H. *PCCP* **2012**, *14*, 13670.
- (2) Rodriguez, J. A.; Dvorak, J.; Jirsak, T. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2000**, *104*, 11515.
- (3) Jeong, H. K.; Noh, H. J.; Kim, J. Y.; Jin, M. H.; Park, C. Y.; Lee, Y. H. *EPL* **2008**, *82*.
- (4) Qiao, R. M.; Chuang, Y. D.; Yan, S. S.; Yang, W. L. *Plos One* **2012**, *7*.