

UC Merced

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society

Title

End-to-End Models for the Analysis of System 1 and System 2 Interactions based on Eye-Tracking Data

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6t25p08t>

Journal

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 42(0)

Authors

Rossi, Alessandro

Ermini, Sara

Bernabini, Dario

et al.

Publication Date

2020

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

End-to-End Models for the Analysis of System 1 and System 2 Interactions based on Eye-Tracking Data

Alessandro Rossi

University of Siena, Siena, Italy

Sara Ermini

University of Siena, Siena, Italy, Italy

Dario Bernabini

University of Siena, Siena, Italy

Dario Zanca

university of siena, Siena, Italy

Marino Todisco

Universit di Siena, Siena, Italy

Alessandro Genovese

AIDILAB, Siena, Italy

Antonio Rizzo

University of Siena, Siena, Italy

Abstract

The Stroop test evaluates the ability to inhibit cognitive interference. This interference occurs when the processing of one stimulus characteristic affects the simultaneous processing of another attribute of the same stimulus. Eye movements are an indicator of the individual attention load required for inhibiting cognitive interference. We used an eye tracker to collect eye movements data from more than 60 subjects each performing four different but similar tasks (some with cognitive interference and some without). After the extraction of features related to fixations, saccades and gaze trajectory, we trained different Machine Learning models to recognize tasks performed in the different conditions (i.e. with interference, without interference). The models achieved good classification performances when distinguishing between similar tasks performed with or without cognitive interference. This suggests the presence of characterizing patterns common among subjects, despite of the individual variability of visual behavior. The results open up interesting investigations.