UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Flourishing as a Measure of Global Well-being in First Year Residents: A Pilot Longitudinal Cohort Study

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6tv447xk

Authors

Lebares, Carter C Greenberg, Anya L Shui, Amy <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2021

DOI

10.1177/23821205211020758

Peer reviewed

Flourishing as a Measure of Global Well-being in First Year Residents: A Pilot Longitudinal Cohort Study

Carter C Lebares¹, Anya L Greenberg¹, Amy Shui², Christy Boscardin³ and Marieke van der Schaaf⁴

¹Department of Surgery, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA. ²Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA. ³Office of Medical Education, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA. ⁴Center for Research and Development of Health Professions Education, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands.

Journal of Medical Education and Curricular Development Volume 8: 1-8 © The Author(s) 2021 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/23821205211020758



ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Physician well-being is critical to optimal learning and performance, yet we remain without validated measures to gauge the efficacy of well-being curricula for trainees. This study evaluates initial evidence of flourishing as a valid measure of global well-being in postgraduate-year-1 residents (PGY-1s), providing a means of assessing well-being intervention efficacy.

STUDY DESIGN: In this single-site study of PGY-1s participating in Enhanced Stress Resilience Training (ESRT), an online questionnaire of published measures was administered at baseline (T1, just before PGY-1), post-ESRT (T2, 7 weeks later), and at PGY-1 end (T3, 11 months later). The Mental Health Continuum (MHC) was used to assess our primary outcome variable, flourishing, a well-established metric of psychosocial thriving in non-physicians that can be treated continuously or categorically. Correlation between flourishing and both resilience (mindfulness and workplace support) and risk (emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, stress, depressive symptoms, anxiety, and workplace demand) factors was assessed at each time-point and longitudinally.

RESULTS: Forty-five interns completed the survey at T1, 37 at T2, and 21 at T3; 21 responded at all time points. MHC score was significantly positively correlated with mindfulness (β = 1.47, SE = 0.35, P<.001) and workplace support (β = 2.02, SE = 1.01, P=.05) longitudinally, and at all time points. Flourishing was significantly negatively correlated with depressive symptoms ($\beta = -7.48$, SE = 1.68, P < .001), stress ($\beta = -1.28$, SE = 0.29, P<.001), and anxiety (β = -1.74, SE = 0.38, P<.001) longitudinally and at all time points, and significantly negatively correlated with emotional exhaustion ($\beta = -2.65$, SE = 0.89, P = .003) longitudinally and at T1 ($\beta = -3.36$, SE = 1.06, P = .003).

CONCLUSION: Flourishing showed appropriate correlation with established resilience and risk factors, thus supporting its concurrent validity as a measure of global well-being in this population. As such, the MHC may provide a simple, meaningful assay of well-being and an effective tool for evaluating the efficacy of well-being interventions. Further validation requires a larger, multi-center study.

KEYWORDS: Surgical resident well-being, mindfulness for surgeons, flourishing, job strain, distress, surgical education

RECEIVED: March 24, 2021. ACCEPTED: May 10, 2021.

TYPE: Original Research

FUNDING: The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS: The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Carter C Lebares, Department of Surgery, University of California, San Francisco School of Medicine, 531 Parnassus Avenue, HSW 1601, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA. Email: carter.lebares@ucsf.edu

Background

Physician well-being is a critical component of sustainable healthcare,¹ yet burnout,² depression,³ and attrition⁴ remain alarmingly high. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the stressors of clinical training were exacerbated by social isolation, risk of COVID-19 exposure, and increased workplace uncertainty.5 Increasing evidence suggests that both individual- and workplace-level interventions are necessary to both promote and sustain physician well-being,^{2,6,7} and to minimize pathology. This is exemplified by the recent Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) mandate for accredited programs to implement and evaluate well-being interventions for all trainees and faculty. However, within this realm of study we remain without a validated measure of well-being for physicians (or trainees), much less one which accounts for individual differences, or the modern conceptualization of wellbeing as a complex state of positive affect, belonging, and

purpose.8 This limits our ability to evaluate individual needs, assess intervention efficacy, and target precious resources.

To address this gap, we hypothesized that flourishing is an appropriate measure of global (i.e. multi-faceted) well-being that can be used over time to assess changes in individual wellbeing and thereby evaluate the efficacy of interventions. Flourishing as measured by the Mental Health Continuum (MHC), is defined as positive social, emotional, and psychological functioning (3 related but distinct domains of mental health)⁹ which acknowledges the complex construct that is global individual well-being. Furthermore, there exists a rich and long-standing body of evidence demonstrating occupational and clinical relevance, with flourishing positively associated with greater job satisfaction,¹⁰⁻¹² and negatively associated with the risk of future mental illness,¹³ healthcare utilization,¹⁴ suicidality,¹⁵ and mortality¹⁶ in large studies of non-physicians. Validity of the MHC has been demonstrated in a more rigorous



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

and faceted way than arguably any extant assay of well-being, to date. Such a well contextualized, vetted, and succinct measure is perfect for evaluating the critical asset of well-being in timecompressed surgeons. In a longitudinal study of mixed-specialty post-graduate-year 1 (PGY-1s) medical trainees, individuals who were flourishing were found to have lower depressive symptom scores throughout the year than those who were not.¹⁷ Our prior work suggests flourishing as a potential measure of individual global well-being within surgery,¹⁸ as evidenced by cross-sectional association with high resilience factors and low risk factors in mixed PGY-level surgical trainees. Additionally, we found higher mindfulness to be correlated with higher flourishing. This suggests that Enhanced Stress Resilience Training (ESRT), a mindfulness-based curricular intervention tailored to surgeons and shown to increase mindfulness,19 might serve as an individual-level intervention effective at promoting global, that is, multi-domain, well-being.

In this pilot longitudinal cohort study of mixed-specialty PGY-1 trainees in 3 procedural-based specialties (i.e. General Surgery (GS), Emergency Medicine (EM), and Obstetrics & Gynecology (Ob/Gyn)) who received ESRT, we evaluated initial evidence of the validity of flourishing as a measure of wellbeing in these populations. Further, we explored the association of flourishing with modifiable individual- and workplace-level factors. Our goal was to provide early data on targets and metrics to guide the design and evaluation of fluure curricular wellbeing interventions.

Methods

Study design

A longitudinal, single-institution, cohort study of mixed-specialty PGY-1 trainees was conducted from 2019 to 2020. An online survey instrument was administered at baseline (T1, prior to initiation of PGY-1), immediately after ESRT completion (T2, 7 weeks later) and end of PGY-1 (T3, 11 months later). The study was approved by UCSF's institutional review board and informed consent was obtained for all participants.

Study population

Forty-six incoming interns participated in ESRT, with a 77% attendance rate across all 5 sessions of the class. Most cited reasons for non-attendance were being with an unstable patient post-call or holding the team pager. The study was limited to PGY-1 trainees (1) to allow the largest possible single-institution sample of surgical trainees with a highly similar 12-month experience and (2) to capture the effect of new exposure to residency stressors on our metrics of interest. The study population included only individuals who were exposed to ESRT, which is an individual-level mental skills training program tailored to surgeons and described in detail elsewhere.¹⁹⁻²¹ Briefly, ESRT is comprised of 5 weekly 60-minute classes, centered on the development of 3 key cognitive skills: interoception (i.e.

moment-to-moment situational awareness of thoughts, emotions, and sensations), emotional regulation (i.e. learned nonreactivity in response to these stimuli), and meta-cognition (i.e. awareness of non-reactivity and utilization under stress). Skills are taught through experiential training in various contemplative practices (mindful sitting, walking, and standing meditation, breath awareness, body-scan, qi gong), and scaffolded onto a conceptual framework explaining the relationship to cognitive training and stress resilience in physicians. Skills are explicitly applied to surgery, hospital-based work, and the challenges of maintaining well-being during demanding training. Focus is on informal (i.e. "throughout the day") practice. ESRT has proven to be feasible, acceptable, and effective in small randomized trials of surgical trainees, showing increased mindfulness and improvements in burnout, cognition, and physiologic markers of stress.¹⁹⁻²¹ While the effects of ESRT have been shown to persist over time, the skills taught can and likely should be reinforced by intermittent "booster sessions" after course completion.

Survey instrument

An online survey was used to collect basic demographic information (Table 1) and to measure the presence of resilience (characterized by high positive emotions, acceptance/nonreactivity to stressors, and connectedness/high social support, as defined by seminal works in the field of resilience science²²⁻²⁷) and presence of distress (characterized by high burnout, stress, anxiety or depressive symptoms, as defined by multiple works exploring distress and burnout in surgery).²⁻⁴ These Likert scale-based measures have been found reliable in our prior work and were scored according to published methods.

Our primary outcome variable, flourishing, was assessed through the Mental Health Continuum-Short Form (MHC-SF), a 14-item measure of individual social, emotional, and psychological mental health domains, with strong internal consistency (>0.80)²⁸ and strong literature base of clinical relevance.²⁹ Similar to standard diagnostic criteria for depression, the MHC-SF items are scored according to the frequency with which respondents experience each symptom of positive mental health. Per convention, scores can be treated categorically to identify flourishing (i.e. experiencing high positive functioning and high positive emotions 'every day' or 'almost every day') or languishing (i.e. 'never' experiencing, or only experiencing 'once or twice in the past month' high positive functioning and high positive emotions). Scores can also be treated continuously.³⁰ In our work, we use both the categorical (i.e. 'flourishing') and continuous (i.e. 'MHC score') forms of this measure.

To assess individual-level risk and resilience factors, we used the *Cognitive Affective Mindfulness Scale-Revised (CAMS-R)* a 10-item measure of both dispositional and trained mindfulness in the form of attention, present-focus, awareness, and acceptance,³ with good reliability $(0.7-0.74)^{31}$ and a calculated global score, shown to increase with mindfulness training.¹⁷ Higher scores $\label{eq:table 1. Participant characteristics and scores at each time point.$

PARTICIPANT CHARACTERISTICS	TIME 1 (N=45)	TIME 2 (N=37)	TIME 3 (N=21)
Specialty, % (n)			
EM	20.0 (9)	21.6 (8)	14.3 (3)
GS	57.8 (26)	51.4 (19)	57.1 (12)
Ob/Gyn	22.2 (10)	27.0 (10)	28.6 (6)
Gender identity, % (n)			
Female	66.7 (30)	65.7 (23)	76.2 (16)
Male	31.1 (14)	31.4 (11)	23.8 (5)
Other	2.1 (1)	2.9 (1)	0 (0)
SCORES OF ALL RESPONDENTS AT EACH TIME POINT	TIME 1 (N=45)	TIME 2 (N=37)	TIME 3 (N=21)
Wellbeing			
MHC total score, mean (SD)	49.89 (11.29)	51.95 (9.51)	48.76 (14.16)
Flourishing, % (n)	73.3 (33)	70.3 (26)	71.4 (15)
Resilience, mean (SD)			
CAMS-R	26.16 (4.22)	27.22 (3.77)	27.76 (5.02)
DCSQ-Support	17.33 (2.07)	17.70 (1.82)	16.67 (2.35)
Risk, mean (SD)			
PHQ	0.84 (0.95)	0.49 (0.73)	1.05 (1.02)
MBI-EE	2.18 (1.47)	2.24 (1.16)	2.90 (1.34)
MBI-DP patients	1.29 (1.34)	1.70 (1.68)	2.10 (1.45)
MBI-DP colleagues	1.09 (1.28)	1.32 (1.16)	1.76 (1.41)
PSS	17.38 (6.41)	16.68 (4.63)	17.95 (5.90)
STAI	13.04 (3.57)	11.08 (2.88)	11.67 (3.79)
DCSQ-Demand	15.82 (1.28)	16.38 (1.44)	16.14 (2.31)
SCORES OF RESPONDENTS WHO COMPLETED SURVEY AT ALL 3 TIME POINTS	TIME 1 (N=21)	TIME 2 (N=21)	TIME 3 (N=21)
Wellbeing			
MHC total score, mean (SD)	49.29 (13.29)	53.90 (10.09)	48.76 (14.16)
Flourishing, % (n)	71.4 (15)	85.7 (18)	71.4 (15)
Resilience, mean (SD)			
CAMS-R	25.56 (3.97)	27.33 (3.93)	27.76 (5.02)
DCSQ-Support	17.76 (1.81)	17.76 (1.87)	16.67 (2.35)
Risk, mean (SD)			
PHQ	0.90 (1.04)	0.62 (0.74)	1.05 (1.02)
MBI-EE	2.52 (1.36)	2.24 (0.89)	2.90 (1.34)
MBI-DP patients	1.52 (1.50)	1.76 (1.48)	2.10 (1.45)
MBI-DP colleagues	1.05 (1.36)	1.19 (1.03)	1.76 (1.41)
PSS	17.90 (5.89)	16.81 (5.53)	17.95 (5.90)
STAI	13.67 (3.55)	10.10 (2.79)	11.67 (3.79)
DCSQ-Demand	16.14 (1.01)	16.38 (1.47)	16.14 (2.31)

Abbreviations: CAMS-R, Cognitive Affective Mindfulness Scale Revised; DCSQ, Demand-Control-Support Questionnaire; DP, depersonalization; EE, emotional exhaustion; EM, emergency medicine; GS, general surgery; MBI, Maslach Burnout Inventory; MHC, mental health sontinuum-short form; Ob/Gyn, obstetrics & gynecology; PHQ, Patient Health Questionnaire; PSS, Perceived Stress Scale; SD, standard deviation; STAI, State Trait Anxiety Index.

associated with lower odds of distress in surgical trainees^{3,31}; modified Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI), a 1-item validated screen for high emotional exhaustion (EE)³² and a 2-item screen for depersonalization (DP) toward patients and colleagues, each associated with multiple negative sequelae in surgical trainees; Cohen's Perceived Stress Scale (PSS), a 10-item widely-used measure of stress, with good internal consistency (>0.80),^{33,34} normative data for men and women aged 18 to 34, and high scores correlated with cognitive impairment, missed work and disability35; and Spielberger's State Trait Anxiety Index (STAI), a 6-item measure of subjective feelings (e.g. apprehension, tension) and autonomic arousal36-40 correlated with state anxiety, used with surgical trainees in real-life and simulated trauma scenarios, with good internal consistency $(0.92)^{41}$ and a cutoff of ≥ 40 used in other studies to denote high anxiety^{39,40}; and Patient Health Questionnaire-2 (PHQ-2), a 2-item rigorously evaluated and validated depression screening tool,⁴² with a total score of \geq 3 correlated with increased use of clinical resources.²⁹

Finally, we explored the influence of workplace risk and resilience factors through use of the *Swedish Demand-Control-Support Questionnaire (DCSQ)*, a 16-item measure of job strain, with good internal consistency (0.7-0.85)⁴³ rooted in Job Demand-Resource theory, with sub-domains for risk factors (psychological demand) and resilience factors (control and social support),^{44,45} and 'High' and 'Low' subdomain cut-offs respectively defined by convention as scores in the upper or lower tertile of possible scores.⁴³ Only demand and social support (12 items) were assessed here.

Data analysis

At each of the 3 time points, all measures of interest were described overall and by flourishing status. Correlation was assessed between total MHC score and each continuous variable using linear regression. Logistic regression was used to test for differences in each measure by the binary flourishing variable.

Longitudinally, repeated measures generalized estimating equation (GEE) linear regression models,⁴⁶ which account for within-person correlation, were used to assess trends in continuous measures over time, along with the associations between continuous measures. The Cochran-Armitage exact test for trend was used for the binary flourishing variable. Hypothesis tests were two-sided, and the significance threshold was set to 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4.

Results

Respondents

Forty-five of the 46 ESRT participants completed the online survey at T1 (baseline, prior to start of PGY-1), 37 at T2 (post-ESRT) and 21 at T3 (12-month follow-up) (Table 1). Twentyone participants (3 EM, 12 GS, and 6 Ob/Gyn; 76% female-identifying, 24% male-identifying) had survey responses at all 3 time-points (Table 1).

Flourishing over time

Among the 21 participants who responded to the survey at all 3 time points, the MHC score increased from T1 (49.29) to T2 (53.90), and then decreased below the T1 level at T3 (48.76). Among the 21 participants who responded to the survey at all 3 time points, the prevalence of flourishing increased from T1 (76.2%) to T2 (85.7%), and then returned to the T1 level at T3 (76.2%). Neither trend was significant (respectively, P=.84 and P=.99).

Mindfulness over time

Among the 21 participants who responded to the survey at all 3 time points, the raw CAMS-R scores increased from T1 (25.56) to T2 (27.33) and then again from T2 to T3 (27.76). This trend was significant (slope=0.69, SE=0.30, P=.02).

Validity of flourishing as a measure of well-being

In terms of the resilience factors, total MHC score was significantly and positively correlated with mindfulness at T1 (CAMS-R, β =1.29, SE=0.36, *P*=.001), T2 (β =1.51, SE=0.34, *P*<.0001), and T3 (β =1.79, SE=0.50, *P*=.002) and with workplace support at T1 (DCSQ-Support, β =1.65, SE=0.79, *P*=.04), T2 (β =2.72, SE=0.75, *P*=.001) and T3 (β =3.11, SE=1.18, *P*=.02, Table 2). At T1 and T2, flourishing was significantly and positively correlated with CAMS-R (T1 OR=1.28, CI 1.04-1.59, *P*=.02; T2 OR=1.94, CI 1.25-3.00, *P*=.003) and DCSQ-Support (T1 OR=1.84, CI 1.16-2.93, *P*=.01; T2 OR=1.85, CI 1.12-3.07, *P*=.02). At T3, the correlation between flourishing and CAMS-R (T3 OR=1.21, CI 0.95-1.54, *P*=0.12) and DCSQ-Support (T3 OR=1.57, CI 0.87-2.85, *P*=.13) did not reach significance.

From the repeated measures models, total MHC score was significantly positively correlated with CAMS-R (β =1.47, SE = 0.35, *P* < .001) and DCSQ-Support (β =2.02, SE = 1.01, *P*=.05) (Table 3). Flourishing was significantly positively correlated with CAMS-R (OR=1.37, CI 1.15-1.64, *P*=.001) but the correlation between flourishing and DCSQ-Support did not reach significance (OR=1.43, CI 0.95-2.17, *P*=.09).

In terms of the risk factors, at T1, total MHC score was significantly negatively correlated with emotional exhaustion (MBI-EE, $\beta = -3.36$, SE = 1.06, P = .003), depersonalization (MBI-DP patients, $\beta = -2.86$, SE = 1.21, P = .02), stress (PSS, $\beta = -0.98$, SE = 0.22, P < .0001), anxiety (STAI $\beta = -2.02$, SE = 0.37, P < .0001), and depression (PHQ, $\beta = -5.38$, SE = 1.61, P = .002). At T2 and T3, MHC score was significantly negatively correlated with PSS (T2 $\beta = -0.86$, SE = 0.32, P = .01; T3 $\beta = -1.61$, SE = 0.41, P = .001), STAI (T2 $\beta = -1.70$, SE = 0.48, P = .001; T3 $\beta = -2.94$, SE = 0.53, P < .0001), and PHQ (T2 $\beta = -5.66$, SE = 1.99, P = .01; T3 $\beta = -11.35$, SE = 1.82, P < .0001).

At T1, flourishing was significantly negatively correlated with MBI-EE (OR=0.56, CI 0.33-0.95, P=.03), PSS (OR=0.72,

Table 2. Cross-sectional associations between dependent and independent variables.

DEPENDENT VARIABLE	INDEPEND							
	FLOURISHING MHC SCORE							
	OR	95% CI	<i>P</i> -VALUE	β	SE	<i>P</i> -VALUE		
	TIME 1 (N=45)							
Resilience								
CAMS-R	1.28	1.04-1.59	.02	1.29	0.36	.001		
DCSQ-Support	1.84	1.16-2.93	.01	1.65	0.79	.04		
Risk								
MBI-EE	0.56	0.33-0.95	.03	-3.36	1.06	.003		
MBI-DP patients	0.71	0.43-1.17	.18	-2.86	1.21	.02		
MBI-DP colleagues	0.79	0.47-1.34	.38	-1.61	1.33	.23		
PSS	0.72	0.59-0.89	.003	-0.98	0.22	<.0001		
STAI	0.60	0.43-0.84	.003	-2.02	0.37	<.0001		
PHQ	0.39	0.17-0.89	.03	-5.38	1.61	.002		
DCSQ-Demand	0.80	0.45-1.41	.44	-1.86	1.31	.16		
	TIME 2 (N=	= 37)						
Resilience								
CAMS-R	1.94	1.25-3.00	.003	1.51	0.34	<.0001		
DCSQ-Support	1.85	1.12-3.07	.02	2.72	0.75	.001		
Risk								
MBI-EE	0.57	0.28-1.17	.12	-1.81	1.37	.39		
MBI-DP patients	0.87	0.56-1.35	.54	0.13	0.96	.89		
MBI-DP colleagues	0.79	0.41-1.52	.49	1.20	1.38	.39		
PSS	0.88	0.73-1.06	.17	-0.86	0.32	.01		
STAI	0.72	0.53-0.99	.04	-1.70	0.48	.001		
PHQ	0.39	0.14-1.07	.07	-5.56	1.99	.01		
DCSQ-Demand	1.38	0.80-2.37	.24	-0.12	1.12	.91		
	TIME 3 (N=	=21)						
Resilience								
CAMS-R	1.21	0.95-1.54	.12	1.79	0.50	.002		
DCSQ-Support	1.57	0.87-2.85	.13	3.11	1.18	.02		
Risk								
MBI-EE	1.08	0.50-2.36	.84	-0.10	2.43	.97		
MBI-DP patients	1.23	0.58-2.60	.59	1.78	2.21	.43		
MBI-DP colleagues	1.55	0.66-3.65	.31	-0.03	2.30	.99		
PSS	0.80	0.64-1.00	.06	-1.61	0.41	.001		
STAI	0.69	0.45-1.04	.08	-2.94	0.53	<.0001		
PHQ-2	0.08	0.01-0.87	.04	-11.35	1.82	<.0001		
DCSQ-Demand	1.35	0.84-2.18	.21	0.48	1.40	.74		

Abbreviations: β , linear regression coefficient; CAMS-R, Cognitive Affective Mindfulness Scale Revised; CI, confidence interval; DCSQ, Demand-Control-Support Questionnaire; DP, depersonalization; EE, emotional exhaustion; MBI, Maslach Burnout Inventory; MHC, mental health continuum-short form; OR, odds ratio; PHQ, Patient Health Questionnaire; PSS, Perceived Stress Scale; SE, standard error; STAI, State Trait Anxiety Index.

INDEPENDENT VARIABLE	DEPENDENT VARIABLE						
	FLOURISHING			MHC SCORE			
	OR	CI	<i>P</i> -VALUE	β	SE	P-VALUE	
Resilience							
Mindfulness	1.37	1.15-1.64	.001	1.47	0.35	<.001	
DCSQ-Support	1.43	0.95-2.17	.09	2.02	1.01	.05	
Risk							
MBI-EE	0.82	0.57-1.17	.27	-2.65	0.89	.003	
MBI-DP patients	1.00	0.61-1.66	.99	-1.53	1.29	.23	
MBI-DP colleagues	0.86	0.59-1.25	.43	-2.06	1.46	.16	
PSS	0.71	0.58-0.88	.002	-1.28	0.29	<.001	
STAI	0.71	0.55-0.92	.01	-1.74	0.38	<.001	
PHQ-2	0.35	0.15-0.82	.02	-7.48	1.68	<.001	
DCSQ-Demand	1.08	0.88-1.32	.48	-0.33	0.45	.47	

Table 3. Results from repeated measures models.*

Abbreviations: β, repeated measures model regression coefficient; CAMS-R, Cognitive Affective Mindfulness Scale Revised; DCSQ, Demand-Control-Support Questionnaire; DP, depersonalization; EE, emotional exhaustion; MBI, Maslach Burnout Inventory; MHC, mental health continuum-short form; PHQ, Patient Health Questionnaire; PSS, Perceived Stress Scale; SE, standard error; STAI, State Trait Anxiety Index.

*Data from 21 respondents who answered survey at all 3 time points; the number of respondents in the non-flourishing category were n=5 at time 1, n=3 at time 2, and n=5 at time 3.

CI 0.59-0.89, P=.003), STAI (OR=0.60, CI 0.43-0.84, P=.003) and PHQ (OR=0.39, CI 0.17-0.89, P=.03). At T2, flourishing was significantly negatively correlated with STAI (OR=0.72, CI 0.53-0.99, P=.04). At T3, flourishing was significantly negatively correlated PHQ (OR=0.08, CI 0.01-0.87, P=.04). No significant correlation was seen between flourishing or total MHC score and workplace demand in our population at any time point.

From the repeated measures model, total MHC score was significantly negatively correlated with MBI-EE (β =-2.65, SE=0.89, *P*=.003), PSS (β =-1.28, SE=0.29, *P*<.001), STAI (β =-1.74, SE=0.38, *P*<.001), and PHQ (β =-7.48, SE=1.68, *P*<.001). Flourishing was significantly negatively correlated with PSS (OR=0.71, CI 0.58-0.88, *P*=.002), STAI (OR=0.71, CI 0.55-0.92, *P*=.01), and PHQ (OR=0.35, CI 0.15-0.82, *P*=.02).

Discussion

The results of this longitudinal pilot study in proceduralfocused PGY-1 trainees revealed 4 key findings within this population. First, flourishing is a promising measure of individual global well-being; second, flourishing is positively associated with individual mindfulness; third, flourishing is positively associated with workplace support; and fourth, flourishing is not associated with workplace demand.

Our first finding, that the categorical designation of flourishing accurately reflects high individual well-being in this population, is evidenced by the positive correlation between flourishing and resilience factors such as high coping skills (e.g. mindfulness) and low negative emotions (e.g. stress, anxiety), both cross-sectionally and modeled over time. Moreover, when we analyze the total MHC score as a continuous variable, the same relationships are reiterated and even expanded to reveal a relationship between higher MHC score and lower EE. By definition, flourishing represents the presence of high social, emotional and psychological functioning, all fundamental components of the resilient phenotype, as demonstrated in multiple high-stress populations.^{47,48} As such, our data suggest that these ascribed attributes also hold true among flourishing, procedurally-oriented, highly time-compressed graduate medical trainees. Thus, the MHC may serve as a screening instrument for well-being in this population of trainees and as a means for measuring the efficacy of curricular initiatives to address well-being.

Our second finding, that flourishing is positively associated with individual mindfulness, is supported by the significant positive correlation between MHC score and CAMS-R, further seen when modeled longitudinally. This is reinforced by the significant positive association between flourishing and CAMS-R at the first 2 time points. This is not surprising, as both theory and empirical evidence support a strong positive relationship between flourishing and both inherent and trained mindfulness skills.^{49,50} Broaden and Build theory describes how positive emotions (cultivated through the practice of mindfulness^{26,51}) expand one's thought-action repertoire,^{52,53} which in turn enhances individual capability and attracts collaborative outside support.^{54,55} This so-called "upward spiral of positive emotions" reciprocates with flourishing, as reflected in prior studies^{56,57} and as suggested by our pilot data here. This is an important finding precisely because mindfulness can be trained, as evidenced by multiple studies in our target population,¹⁸⁻²⁰ providing a promising target for well-being program resources.

Our third finding, that flourishing is positively associated with workplace support, is suggested by the significant positive correlation between MHC score and DCSQ-Support at all 3 time points, including when modeled longitudinally. Job Demand-Resource theory suggests that job strain (which includes burnout) develops in settings where workplace demands outstrip resources^{58,59} resulting in job dissatisfaction and even pathology.43,60,61 A large body of empirical work has shown that the negative effects of demanding work can be mitigated through increased workplace control (i.e. decisionmaking latitude) and support (i.e. internal resources such as coping skills, and external resources such as acknowledgment and appreciation).⁴³ Our finding here appears to reflect this very relationship and moreover suggests that workplace support is a second promising target for well-being program resources, one whose impact might be evaluated by measuring MHC score/flourishing.

Our fourth finding, that flourishing is not directly associated with workplace demand, is evidenced by lack of significant correlation between flourishing or MHC score and DCSQ-Demand at any of the 3 time points or longitudinally. Interestingly, studies of job strain have shown that workplace demand is not homogeneous, and can promote or diminish work engagement depending, respectively, on perceived challenge vs. hindrance.⁴⁶ Perhaps relatedly, observations from our prior work suggest work quality may be more important than quantity among surgical trainees, thus affecting how workplace demand is perceived.¹⁸ Similarly, findings from the FIRST trial showed that duty hour restrictions were not associated with higher well-being.⁶² Taken together, these findings underscore our lack of clarity regarding the difference between type of work and amount of work, and how the difference may influence perceived workplace strain in this population. In other words, how time is spent may be more important than how much time is spent in the workplace. Another possible explanation is that while workplace demand is not directly associated with flourishing, the effect of workplace demand may be mediated by other factors (e.g. depression or anxiety). These concepts warrant further refinement and exploration.

While our findings show promise in terms of identifying a valid measure of trainee well-being, as well as promising individual-level and systems-level targets for intervention, our study should be viewed in the context of several limitations. First, due to the pilot nature of this work, our sample size is small and our cohort limited to a single institution. This suggests caution in interpreting our results, although their potential impact on the design and evaluation of future well-being interventions warrants a larger, multi-center study focused on a more homogeneous population (e.g. just surgeons). Second, given the small sample size, we were unable to explore effects such as the ability of ESRT to increase the prevalence of flourishing or relationships and trends that may vary by gender and race/ethnicity. Given findings in our and others' work showing well-being, training experience, and risk and resilience factors to differ by gender identity and race18, it will be critical to examine these sub-populations in a larger study. Finally, our study population included only individuals who were exposed to ESRT, precluding a comparative control group and potentially influencing the magnitude of scores.

Conclusions

Our results suggest that flourishing, as measured by the MHC, has concurrent validity for assessing global well-being in surgical and non-surgical trainees. Moreover, flourishing, as a composite score of social, emotional, and psychological well-being, may account for variability in what comprises well-being across different individuals and contexts. Having such a measure would provide a simple, meaningful, individualized assay of well-being; and provide a foundation for more effective design and assessment of well-being intervention curricula. Next steps include conducting a multi-center study to confirm the findings in this pilot and the development of guidelines to inform impactful, cost-effective, multi-level well-being curricula nationally.

Author Contributions

CCL was responsible for concept and design; CCL, CB, ALG, and AS were responsible for acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data; CCL and ALG were responsible for drafting of the manuscript; CCL, ALG, CB, and MS were responsible for critical revision of the manuscript.

ORCID iDs

Carter C Lebares (D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4522-1301 Anya L Greenberg (D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6174-5976

REFERENCES

- Bodenheimer T, Sinsky C. From triple to quadruple aim: care of the patient requires care of the provider. *Ann Fam Med.* 2014;12:573-576.
- Hu Y-Y, Ellis RJ, Hewitt DB, et al. Discrimination, abuse, harassment, and burnout in surgical residency training. *NEngl J Med.* 2019;381:1741-1752.
- Lebares CC, Guvva EV, Ascher NL, O'Sullivan PS, Harris HW, Epel ES. Burnout and stress among us surgery residents: psychological distress and resilience. J Am Coll Surg. 2018;226:80-90.
- Jackson TN, Pearcy CP, Khorgami Z, Agrawal V, Taubman KE, Truitt MS. The physician attrition crisis: a cross-sectional survey of the risk factors for reduced job satisfaction among us surgeons. *World J Surg.* 2018;42:1285-1292.
- Lai J, Ma S, Wang Y, et al. Factors associated with mental health outcomes among health care workers exposed to coronavirus disease 2019. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3:e203976.
- Tawfik DS, Profit J, Webber S, Shanafelt TD. Organizational factors affecting physician well-being. *Curr Treat Options Pediatr*. 2019;5:11-25.

- Mayordomo T, Viguer P, Sales A, Satorres E, Meléndez JC. Resilience and coping as predictors of well-being in adults. *J Psychol.* 2016;150:809-821.
- Diener E, Suh EM, Lucas RE, Smith HL. Subjective well-being: three decades of progress. *Psychol Bull*. 1999;125:276-302.
- Robitschek C, Keyes CLM. Keyes's model of mental health with personal growth initiative as a parsimonious predictor. *J Couns Psychol.* 2009;56:321-329.
- Capone V, Petrillo G. Mental health in teachers: relationships with job satisfaction, efficacy beliefs, burnout and depression. *Curr Psychol.* 2020;39:1757-1766.
- Hone LC, Jarden A, Duncan S, Schofield GM. Flourishing in New Zealand workers: associations with lifestyle behaviors, physical health, psychosocial, and work-related indicators. *J Occup Environ Med.* 2015;57:973-983.
- Diedericks E, Rothmann S. Flourishing of information technology professionals: the role of work engagement and job satisfaction. J Psychol Afr. Published online May 1, 2014. doi:10.1080/14330237.2013.10820618
- Keyes CLM, Dhingra SS, Simoes EJ. Change in level of positive mental health as a predictor of future risk of mental illness. *Am J Public Health*. 2010;100:2366-2371.
- 14. Keyes CLM. Promoting and protecting mental health as flourishing: a complementary strategy for improving national mental health. *Am Psychol.* 2007;62:95-108.
- Keyes CLM, Eisenberg D, Perry GS, Dube SR, Dhingra SS. The relationship of level of positive mental health with current mental disorders in predicting suicidal behavior and academic impairment in college students. *J Am Coll Health*. 2012;60:126-133.
- 16. Keyes CLM, Simoes EJ. To flourish or not: positive mental health and all-cause mortality. *Am J Public Health*. 2012;102:2164-2172.
- Grant F, Guille C, Sen S. Well-being and the risk of depression under stress. PLoS One. 2013;8:e67395.
- Lebares CC, Greenberg AL, Ascher NL, et al. Exploration of individual and system-level well-being initiatives at an academic surgical residency program: a mixed-methods study. JAMA Netw Open. 2021;4:e2032676.
- Lebares CC, Coaston TN, Delucchi KL, et al. Enhanced stress resilience training in surgeons: iterative adaptation and biopsychosocial effects in 2 small randomized trials. *Ann Surg.* 2021;273:424-432.
- Lebares CC, Hershberger AO, Guvva EV, et al. Feasibility of formal mindfulness-based stress-resilience training among surgery interns: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Surg.* 2018;153:e182734.
- Lebares CC, Guvva EV, Olaru M, et al. Efficacy of mindfulness-based cognitive training in surgery: additional analysis of the mindful surgeon pilot randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2019;2:e194108.
- Masten AS. Ordinary magic: resilience processes in development. Am Psychol. 2001;56:227-238.
- Masten AS, Obradovic J. Competence and resilience in development. Ann NY Acad Sci. 2006;1094:13-27.
- Sapienza JK, Masten AS. Understanding and promoting resilience in children and youth. Curr Opin Psychiatry. 2011;24:267-273.
- Southwick SM, Bonanno GA, Masten AS, Panter-Brick C, Yehuda R. Resilience definitions, theory, and challenges: interdisciplinary perspectives. *Eur J Psychotraumatol.* 2014;5:25338.
- Garland EL, Farb NA, Goldin P, Fredrickson BL. Mindfulness broadens awareness and builds eudaimonic meaning: a process model of mindful positive emotion regulation. *Psychol Ing.* 2015;26:293-314.
- Farb N, Daubenmier J, Price CJ, et al. Interoception, contemplative practice, and health. *Front Psychol.* 2015;6:763.
- Keyes CLM. Mental illness and/or mental health? Investigating axioms of the complete state model of health. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2005;73:539-548.
- Sen S, Kranzler HR, Krystal JH, et al. A prospective cohort study investigating factors associated with depression during medical internship. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2010;67:557-565.
- Lamers SM, Westerhof GJ, Bohlmeijer ET, ten Klooster PM, Keyes CL. Evaluating the psychometric properties of the mental health continuum-short form (MHC-SF). J Clin Psychol. 2011;67:99-110.
- Feldman G, Hayes A, Kumar S, Greeson J, Laurenceau J-P. Mindfulness and emotion regulation: the development and initial validation of the cognitive and affective mindfulness scale- revised (CAMS-R). J Psychopathol Behav Assess. 2007;29:177-190.
- West CP, Dyrbye LN, Satele DV, Sloan JA, Shanafelt TD. Concurrent validity of single-item measures of emotional exhaustion and depersonalization in burnout assessment. J Gen Intern Med. 2012;27:1445-1452.
- 33. Nielsen MG, Ørnbøl E, Vestergaard M, et al. The construct validity of the perceived stress scale. *J Psychosom Res.* 2016;84:22-30.
- Cohen S, Janicki-Deverts D. Who's stressed? Distributions of psychological stress in the United States in probability samples from 1983, 2006, and 2009. J Appl Soc Psychol. 2012;42:1320-1334.

- Nordin M, Nordin S. Psychometric evaluation and normative data of the Swedish version of the 10-item perceived stress scale. *Scand J Psychol.* 2013;54:502-507.
- Spielberger CD, Gorsuch RL, Lushene RE. Manual for the state-trait anxiety inventory. *Published* 1970. Accessed February 1, 2021. http://ubir.buffalo.edu/ xmlui/handle/10477/2895
- Stefanidis D, Anton NE, Howley LD, et al. Effectiveness of a comprehensive mental skills curriculum in enhancing surgical performance: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Surg.* 2017;213:318-324.
- Harvey A, Bandiera G, Nathens AB, LeBlanc VR. Impact of stress on resident performance in simulated trauma scenarios. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2012;72: 497-503.
- Knight RG, Waal-Manning HJ, Spears GF. Some norms and reliability data for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory and the Zung Self-Rating Depression scale. Br J Clin Psychol. 1983;22:245-249.
- 40. Addolorato G, Ancona C, Capristo E, et al. State and trait anxiety in women affected by allergic and vasomotor rhinitis. *J Psychosom Res.* 1999;46:283-289.
- Spielberger C, Gorsuch RL, Lushene R. Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory. Consulting Psychologists Press; 1983.
- 42. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JBW. The patient health questionnaire-2: validity of a two-item depression screener. *Med Care*. 2003;41:1284-1292.
- 43. Sanne B, Mykletun A, Dahl AA, Moen BE, Tell GS. Testing the job demand– control–support model with anxiety and depression as outcomes: the Hordaland health study. *Occup Med.* 2005;55:463-473.
- Sanne B, Torp S, Mykletun A, Dahl AA. The Swedish Demand—Control— Support Questionnaire (DCSQ): factor structure, item analyses, and internal consistency in a large population. *Scand J Public Health.* 2005;33:166-174.
- Crawford ER, LePine JA, Rich BL. Linking job demands and resources to employee engagement and burnout: a theoretical extension and meta-analytic test. J Appl Psychol. 2010;95:834-848.
- Hardin JW. Generalized estimating equations (GEE). In: Everitt BS, Howell DC (eds) *Encyclopedia of Statistics in Behavioral Science*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2005:bsa250. doi:10.1002/0470013192.bsa250
- 47. Faulk KE, Gloria CT, Steinhardt MA. Coping profiles characterize individual flourishing, languishing, and depression. *Anxiety Stress Coping*. 2013;26:378-390.
- Umucu E, Grenawalt TA, Reyes A, et al. Flourishing in student veterans with and without service-connected disability: psychometric validation of the flourishing scale and exploration of its relationships with personality and disability. *Rebabil Couns Bull.* 2019;63:3-12.
- Garland EL, Gaylord SA, Fredrickson BL. Positive reappraisal mediates the stress-reductive effects of mindfulness: an upward spiral process. *Mindfulness*. 2011;2:59-67.
- Catalino LI, Fredrickson BL. A Tuesday in the life of a flourisher: the role of positive emotional reactivity in optimal mental health. *Emotion*. 2011;11:938-950.
- Lindsay EK, Chin B, Greco CM, et al. How mindfulness training promotes positive emotions: dismantling acceptance skills training in two randomized controlled trials. J Pers Soc Psychol. 2018;115:944-973.
- Cohn MA, Fredrickson BL, Brown SL, Mikels JA, Conway AM. Happiness unpacked: positive emotions increase life satisfaction by building resilience. *Emotion*. 2009;9:361-368.
- 53. Fredrickson BL, Branigan C. Positive emotions broaden the scope of attention and thought-action repertoires. *Cogn Emot.* 2005;19:313-332.
- 54. Algoe SB, Fredrickson BL. Emotional fitness and the movement of affective science from lab to field. *Am Psychol*. 2011;66:35-42.
- Fredrickson BL. The broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 2004;359:1367-1378.
- Fredrickson BL, Joiner T. Reflections on positive emotions and upward spirals. Perspect Psychol Sci. 2018;13:194-199.
- Tugade MM, Fredrickson BL. Resilient individuals use positive emotions to bounce back from negative emotional experiences. J Pers Soc Psychol. 2004;86:320-333.
- Demerouti E, Bakker AB, Nachreiner F, Schaufeli WB. The job demandsresources model of burnout. J Appl Psychol. 2001;86:499-512.
- Pelfrene E, Vlerick P, Mak RP, De Smet P, Kornitzer M, De Backer G. Scale reliability and validity of the Karasek "job demand-control-support" model in the belstress study. *Work Stress.* 2001;15:297-313.
- Schaufeli WB. Applying the job demands-resources model: a 'how to' guide to measuring and tackling work engagement and burnout. Organ Dyn. 2017;46: 120-132.
- Maslach C, Schaufeli WB, Leiter MP. Job burnout. Annu Rev Psychol. 2001;52:397-422.
- Bilimoria KY, Chung JW, Hedges LV, et al. National cluster-randomized trial of duty-hour flexibility in surgical training. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;374:713-727.