

UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Evaluating the Mental Health of Physician-Trainees Using an SMS Text Message-Based Assessment Tool: Longitudinal Pilot Study

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6vt8b0np>

Authors

Goldhaber, Nicole H

Chea, Annie

Hekler, Eric B

et al.

Publication Date

2023

DOI

10.2196/45102

Peer reviewed

Original Paper

Evaluating the Mental Health of Physician-Trainees Using an SMS Text Message–Based Assessment Tool: Longitudinal Pilot Study

Nicole H Goldhaber¹, BA, MA, MD; Annie Chea², BSc; Eric B Hekler², BA, MSc, PhD; Wenjia Zhou³, BSc, MSc; Byron Ferguson⁴, BSc, MD

¹Department of Surgery, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego Health, La Jolla, CA, United States

²Herbert Wertheim School of Public Health, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, United States

³MEMOTEXT Corporation, Toronto, ON, Canada

⁴Department of Anesthesiology, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego Health, La Jolla, CA, United States

Corresponding Author:

Nicole H Goldhaber, BA, MA, MD

Department of Surgery

School of Medicine

University of California, San Diego Health

9300 Campus Point Drive

#7220

La Jolla, CA, 92037

United States

Phone: 1 310 889 8678

Email: nhgoldhaber@health.ucsd.edu

Abstract

Background: Physician burnout is a multibillion-dollar issue in the United States. Despite its prevalence, burnout is difficult to accurately measure. Institutions generally rely on periodic surveys that are subject to recall bias. SMS text message–based surveys or assessments have been used in health care and have the advantage of easy accessibility and high response rates.

Objective: In this pilot project, we evaluated the utility of and participant engagement with a simple, longitudinal, and SMS text message–based mental health assessment system for physician-trainees at the study institution. The goal of the SMS text message–based assessment system was to track stress, burnout, empathy, engagement, and work satisfaction levels faced by users in their normal working conditions.

Methods: Three SMS text message-based questions per week for 5 weeks were sent to each participant. All data received were deidentified. Additionally, each participant had a deidentified personal web page to follow their scores as well as the aggregated scores of all participants over time. A 13-question optional survey was sent at the conclusion of the study to evaluate the usability of the platform. Descriptive statistics were performed.

Results: In all, 81 participants were recruited and answered at least six (mean 14; median 14; range 6-16) questions for a total of 1113 responses. Overall, 10 (17%) out of 59 participants responded “Yes” to having experienced a traumatic experience during the study period. Only 3 participants ever answered being “Not at all satisfied” with their job. The highest number of responses indicating that participants were stressed or burnt out came on day 25 in the 34-day study period. There were mixed levels of concern for the privacy of responses. No substantial correlations were noted between responses and having experienced a traumatic experience during the study period. Furthermore, 12 participants responded to the optional feedback survey, and all either agreed or strongly agreed that the SMS text message–based assessment system was easy to use and the number of texts received was reasonable. None of the 12 respondents indicated that using the SMS text message–based assessment system caused stress.

Conclusions: Responses demonstrated that SMS text message–based mental health assessments are potentially useful for recording physician-trainee mental health levels in real time with minimal burden, but further study of SMS text message–based mental health assessments should address limitations such as improving response rates and clarifying participants’ sense of privacy when using the SMS text message–based assessment system. The findings of this pilot study can inform the development of institution-wide tools for assessing physician burnout and protecting physicians from occupational stress.

(*JMIR Form Res* 2023;7:e45102) doi: [10.2196/45102](https://doi.org/10.2196/45102)

KEYWORDS

physician burnout; mental health; text-message assessment; text; mobile text; stress assessment; text message; pilot study; physician; burnout; United States; survey; trainee; stress; engagement; users; tracking

Introduction

Physician burnout is an enormous problem across the nation; it was present prior to the COVID-19 pandemic but was also amplified by the pandemic [1]. Defined as “a syndrome of exhaustion, cynicism, and decreased effectiveness at work,” physician burnout affects the quality and productivity of the health care system and the personal lives of physicians themselves [2]. According to a selection of recent reports on prepandemic physician burnout, the prevalence of physician burnout symptoms was greater than 40% [3-5]. With the rise of physician burnout and increased advocacy for physician well-being, studies have mostly retrospectively explored risk factors for this type of occupational stress and interventions that may potentially be used to address it—such as mindfulness, exercise, and building community [4].

When evaluating physician well-being, accurate and reliable measurements of physician burnout must be used. Currently, there are several retrospective secondary use-type methods of gathering existing data to measure physician burnout, including the use of existing data collected from electronic health record-usage logs, physician vacation-day usage, clinician turnover rates, and reports on clinical effort [6]. Alternative methods used to measure physician well-being include survey- or questionnaire-type measurement tools such as the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) [7]. However, criticisms of the MBI include its length (22 items), the lack of utility for developing interventions, and risk for recall biases [8]. Alternatively, single-item measures have been found to be effective for measuring burnout in physicians and medical students [9].

SMS text message-based surveys have been utilized in health care and have the advantage of easy accessibility and high response rates [10-12]. In this pilot project, we trialed a simple, engaging, longitudinal, and single-item SMS text message-based survey system to evaluate physician-trainee mental health. We then evaluated the utility, usability, and burden of the SMS text message-based assessment system. Ultimately, the goal of these assessments was to monitor the stress levels faced at work by physicians at our institution.

Methods

Study Design

This study was a longitudinal pilot study at the University of California, San Diego Health Medical Center (UCSDH), to determine if an SMS text message-based assessment program can efficiently track physician mental health. A collaboration between a third-party company—MEMOTEXT—and UCSDH was formed to create the SMS text message platform.

Sample Recruitment

All participants recruited were physicians in training (residents or fellows) working at UCSDH, which was the only inclusion criteria for this study. Participants were recruited through email and word of mouth during October to November 2021. There were no specific exclusion criteria for this study.

Study Protocol

The enrollment survey collected the following participant data to provide basic demographic information and allow for further contact: phone number, email, and department. Three SMS text message-based questions per week for 5 weeks were sent to participants; they were asked to reply with a single number from the scale provided (between 1 and 5), for example, “On a scale of 1-5 how stressed are you right now?” (Additional examples can be found in [Table 1](#)). The question sent was randomly chosen. Weekdays and times (between 9 AM and 4 AM) were also randomly assigned. The method of randomization was done through MEMOTEXT’s platform using an SQL function. Participants were asked to answer each prompt based on how the participant felt at the moment of the reply. Replies were anonymous. One reminder SMS text message was sent if there was no reply received within 1 hour. On the Monday of the sixth week, each participant received 1 additional text question, “Have you endured a traumatic event in the last 5 weeks?” If the answer is yes to this question, this participant’s subsequent responses were analyzed in the context of the traumatic effect accordingly.

Table 1. SMS text message–based questions.

Question or statement	Response				
	1	2	3	4	5
How satisfied are you with your job?	Not at all satisfied	Not too satisfied	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	Somewhat satisfied	Very satisfied
To what extent does the following statement describe you: “I am an empathetic person.”	Very untrue for me	Untrue for me	Neither true nor untrue	True for me	Very true for me
Stress means a state in which a person feels tense, restless, nervous or anxious or is unable to sleep at night because his/her mind is troubled all the time. Do you feel this kind of stress?	Very much	Somewhat	Neither stressed nor unstressed	Not too much	Not at all
To what extent does the following statement describe you: “I feel burned out from my work.”	Very true for me	True for me	Neither true nor untrue	Untrue for me	Very untrue for me
Work engagement means a positive, fulfilling, work-related state of mind that is characterized by vigor, dedication, and absorption. Do you feel this kind of work engagement?	Not at all	Not too much	Neither engaged nor disengaged	Somewhat	Very much

Ethics Approval

The study protocol was approved by the University of California, San Diego, Institutional Review Board (IRB# 803280). Participation was voluntary, and informed consent was obtained through the enrollment survey. All data collected for the study were deidentified for the research team. Participants were not compensated and could opt out at any time.

Participants were also given access to follow their scores, as well as the anonymous aggregated scores of all participants, over time in a web-based “Personal Dashboard.” A 13-question optional survey was sent to participants at the conclusion of the study to evaluate the usability of the platform. No additional direct incentives were provided for participants.

Statistical Analysis

For data analysis, MEMOTEXT provided processed data (graphs of aggregated participant scores) on a weekly basis. Each participant was assigned a deidentified subject ID. The response (a number between 1-5), the time and date of response, the day and week of the study, participant’s department, and the type of question asked were recorded. The total number of questions each participant responded to was also recorded. In addition, Microsoft Excel was utilized for basic demographic descriptive statistics.

Results

In all, 81 resident physicians and fellows at UCSDH were recruited to participate in this study, with representation from the following departments and divisions: anesthesia (n=34,

42.0%), family medicine (n=1, 1.2%), geriatrics (n=1, 1.2%), infectious disease (n=11, 13.6%), obstetrics and gynecology (n=17, 21.0%), psychiatry (n=1, 1.2%), pathology (n=1, 1.2%), and surgery (n=15, 18.5%). Participants answered at least six (mean 14; median 14; range 6-16) questions for a total of 1113 responses. Overall, 10 (17%) out of 59 participants responded “Yes” to having experienced a traumatic experience during the study period. Only 3 participants ever answered being “Not at all satisfied” with their job (during week 3: 1/43, 2% and week 5: 2/30, 7%; [Figure 1](#)).

The highest number of responses indicating that the participants were stressed (“Somewhat (2)” or “Very much (1)”; 8/13, 61%) or burnt out (“True of me (2)” or “Very true (1)”; 6/11, 54%) came on day 25 in the 34-day study period ([Figures 2 and 3](#)). No substantial correlations were noted between responses and having experienced a traumatic experience during the study period.

In all, 12 participants responded to the optional feedback survey following the program. All respondents either “Agreed” (n=3, 25%) or “Strongly Agreed” (n=9, 75%) that the text assessment system was easy to use. All respondents either “Agreed” (n=6, 50%) or “Strongly Agreed” (n=6, 50%) that the number of texts received was reasonable. All respondents either “Strongly Disagreed” (n=3, 25%), “Disagreed” (n=8, 67%), or “Somewhat Disagreed” (n=1, 8%) that using the SMS text message–based stress assessment system caused stress. There was a mix of level of concern for privacy of responses: “Strongly Disagree” (n=2, 17%), “Disagree” (n=4, 33%), “Neither Agree nor Disagree” (n=3, 25%), “Agree” (n=2, 17%), and “Strongly Agree” (n=1, 8%).

Figure 1. Participant response distribution to the job satisfaction question.




Figure 2. Participant response distribution to the stress assessment question across the study period.





Figure 3. Participant response distribution to burnout assessment across the study period.



Discussion

This project demonstrates that the SMS text message–based stress assessment system is a tool that has potential for recording participant mental health in real time and over time, as shown by participants’ responses to questions regarding usability and burden. Overall, our findings are concordant with the limited literature on SMS text message–based stress assessments for physicians. One Swedish research team previously used weekly SMS text messages to monitor physician occupational stress and successfully measured individual levels of stress and linked them to objective workload measures [13]. To our knowledge, there have not been any further studies on the usability of SMS text message–based stress assessments for physicians nor physician-trainees.

In addition to being a promising tool for measuring physician well-being, SMS text message–based surveys can also serve as behavioral reinforcements and reminders of mindfulness. The implementation of SMS text messaging services acting as mental health interventions (daily supportive psychoeducational texts) has been shown to effectively reduce symptoms of stress [14,15]. Our study population included physician-trainees for this pilot phase and can be generalized to all physicians given that differences in burnout have been shown to be relatively minimal between physician-trainees and early career physicians [16]. Future studies could provide supportive well-being SMS text messages as an intervention to increase self-awareness and mindfulness for physicians specifically and utilize the same SMS text message as a method of surveying well-being to begin addressing the systematic problem of physician burnout.

Strengths of this study include the cost- and time-effective execution of data collection for both the participants and the researchers. Because collecting longitudinal data in the form of lengthy surveys from already busy physicians can present a substantial time burden and can be subject to recall bias, SMS text message–based assessments effectively minimize these

potential weaknesses, as the texts are sent out in real time. Considering the frequency that an average person, including physicians, uses their phone, sending out SMS text message–based surveys may be the most accurate way for researchers to collect prospective data in real time. Previously mentioned retrospective methods of evaluating physician burnout, such as the MBI, can be subject to recall bias because those tools ask “in the last X days, have you experienced...” as opposed to immediate assessment and response to an SMS text message upon reading it [7]. The efficacy of SMS text message monitoring in a clinical setting has previously been demonstrated to be user-friendly and have high response rates [11].

Limitations of this pilot study include the small sample size from a single institution, low response rates in the final survey, mixed level of concern in privacy, and potential intraindividual variability of stress over time. Small-scale recruitment was done in only 1 institution and was skewed toward inpatient physician-trainees. The feedback survey at the end of this study protocol was optional, resulting in only 12 (14.8%) responses out of 81 total participants. Together with mixed levels of concern regarding the privacy of the SMS text message–based stress assessment system, this may indicate underreporting, especially for sensitive questions regarding work satisfaction, stress, burnout, and traumatic experiences. Future studies should aim for more robust recruitment and further reassurance of privacy to enforce a sense of psychological safety. Intraindividual variability of stress over time is a factor that is hard to control due to the randomization of the time that the SMS text messages were sent out during the week, as well as the experiences physicians may see on their day-to-day job, which the tool aims to assess.

The findings of this pilot study show promise and encourage the pursue of future research utilizing this tool on a larger scale. Future studies should include a larger sample size and higher response rates across multiple training levels, multiple departments, and ultimately multiple institutions. Furthermore,

researchers could potentially include comparison groups for utilizing SMS text message-based mental health assessments for other occupational groups (eg, nurses and non-health care occupations with high occupational stress). As more literature is published on this topic, future studies can utilize different study designs, such as cohort study or randomized controlled

trials, including stress intervention methods to shift data toward a more casual nature. The ultimate goal of these studies would be to inform the development of institution-wide intervention efforts to protect physicians from occupational stress and prevent physician burnout at a systematic level.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the University of California Office of the President under the Professional Medical and Hospital Liability Program Loss Prevention Grant.

Data Availability

The data sets generated during and/or analyzed during this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest

WZ is employed at MEMOTEXT corporation—the company's technology was utilized in data collection for this study. The remaining authors report there are no competing or conflicts of interests to declare.

References

1. Natsuhara KH, Borno HT. The distance between us: the COVID-19 pandemic's effects on burnout among resident physicians. *Med Sci Educ* 2021 Dec 15;31(6):2065-2069 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1007/s40670-021-01431-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40670-021-01431-7)] [Medline: [34692226](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34692226/)]
2. Shanafelt TD, Dyrbye LN, West CP. Addressing physician burnout: the way forward. *JAMA* 2017 Mar 07;317(9):901-902 [doi: [10.1001/jama.2017.0076](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.0076)] [Medline: [28196201](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28196201/)]
3. Bradley M, Chahar P. Burnout of healthcare providers during COVID-19. *Cleve Clin J Med* 2020 Jul 09 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3949/ccjm.87a.ccc051](https://doi.org/10.3949/ccjm.87a.ccc051)] [Medline: [32606049](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32606049/)]
4. Seward MW, Marso CC, Soled DR, Briggs LG. Medicine in motion: addressing physician burnout through fitness, philanthropy, and interdisciplinary community building. *Am J Lifestyle Med* 2022 Dec 29;16(4):462-468 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1177/1559827620983782](https://doi.org/10.1177/1559827620983782)] [Medline: [35860365](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35860365/)]
5. Yates SW. Physician stress and burnout. *Am J Med* 2020 Feb;133(2):160-164 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1016/j.amjmed.2019.08.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2019.08.034)] [Medline: [31520624](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31520624/)]
6. Rotenstein LS, Sinsky C, Cassel CK. How to measure progress in addressing physician well-being: beyond burnout. *JAMA* 2021 Dec 07;326(21):2129-2130 [doi: [10.1001/jama.2021.20175](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.20175)] [Medline: [34757427](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34757427/)]
7. Rafferty JP, Lemkau JP, Purdy RR, Rudisill JR. Validity of the Maslach Burnout Inventory for family practice physicians. *J Clin Psychol* 1986 May;42(3):488-492 [doi: [10.1002/1097-4679\(198605\)42:3<488::aid-jclp2270420315>3.0.co;2-s](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-4679(198605)42:3<488::aid-jclp2270420315>3.0.co;2-s)] [Medline: [3711351](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/3711351/)]
8. Williamson K, Lank PM, Cheema N, Hartman N, Lovell EO, Emergency Medicine Education Research Alliance (EMERA). Comparing the Maslach Burnout Inventory to other well-being instruments in emergency medicine residents. *J Grad Med Educ* 2018 Oct;10(5):532-536 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.4300/JGME-D-18-00155.1](https://doi.org/10.4300/JGME-D-18-00155.1)] [Medline: [30386478](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30386478/)]
9. West CP, Dyrbye LN, Satele DV, Sloan JA, Shanafelt TD. Concurrent validity of single-item measures of emotional exhaustion and depersonalization in burnout assessment. *J Gen Intern Med* 2012 Nov 24;27(11):1445-1452 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1007/s11606-012-2015-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-012-2015-7)] [Medline: [22362127](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22362127/)]
10. Arapovic-Johansson B, Wählin C, Kwak L, Björklund C, Jensen I. Work-related stress assessed by a text message single-item stress question. *Occup Med (Lond)* 2017 Dec 02;67(8):601-608 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1093/occmed/kqx111](https://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/kqx111)] [Medline: [29016877](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29016877/)]
11. Axén I, Bodin L, Bergström G, Halasz L, Lange F, Lövgren PW, et al. The use of weekly text messaging over 6 months was a feasible method for monitoring the clinical course of low back pain in patients seeking chiropractic care. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2012 Apr;65(4):454-461 [doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.07.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.07.012)] [Medline: [22169083](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22169083/)]
12. Hough S, McDevitt R, Nachar VR, Kraft S, Brown A, Christen C, et al. Chemotherapy remote care monitoring program: integration of SMS text patient-reported outcomes in the electronic health record and pharmacist intervention for chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. *JCO Oncol Pract* 2021 Sep;17(9):e1303-e1310 [doi: [10.1200/OP.20.00639](https://doi.org/10.1200/OP.20.00639)] [Medline: [33534634](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33534634/)]
13. Arapovic-Johansson B, Wählin C, Hagberg J, Kwak L, Axén I, Björklund C, et al. Experience of stress assessed by text messages and its association with objective workload—a longitudinal study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020 Jan 21;17(3):680 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.3390/ijerph17030680](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17030680)] [Medline: [31973041](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31973041/)]

14. Agyapong VI, Hrabok M, Shalaby R, Vuong W, Noble JM, Gusnowski A, et al. Text4Hope: receiving daily supportive text messages for 3 months during the COVID-19 pandemic reduces stress, anxiety, and depression. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep* 2022 Aug 08;16(4):1326-1330 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1017/dmp.2021.27](https://doi.org/10.1017/dmp.2021.27)] [Medline: [33551009](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33551009/)]
15. Obuobi-Donkor G, Eboime E, Bond J, Phung N, Eyben S, Hayward J, et al. An e-mental health solution to prevent and manage posttraumatic stress injuries among first responders in Alberta: protocol for the implementation and evaluation of text messaging services (Text4PTSI and Text4Wellbeing). *JMIR Res Protoc* 2022 Apr 25;11(4):e30680 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/30680](https://doi.org/10.2196/30680)] [Medline: [35468094](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35468094/)]
16. Dyrbye LN, West CP, Satele D, Boone S, Tan L, Sloan J, et al. Burnout among U.S. medical students, residents, and early career physicians relative to the general U.S. population. *Acad Med* 2014 Mar;89(3):443-451 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1097/ACM.000000000000134](https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.000000000000134)] [Medline: [24448053](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24448053/)]

Abbreviations

MBI: Maslach Burnout Inventory

UCSDH: University of California, San Diego Health Medical Center

Edited by A Mavragani; submitted 16.12.22; peer-reviewed by D Lewis, R Hillard; comments to author 12.04.23; revised version received 02.05.23; accepted 12.05.23; published 02.06.23

Please cite as:

Goldhaber NH, Chea A, Hekler EB, Zhou W, Ferguson B

Evaluating the Mental Health of Physician-Trainees Using an SMS Text Message-Based Assessment Tool: Longitudinal Pilot Study
JMIR Form Res 2023;7:e45102

URL: <https://formative.jmir.org/2023/1/e45102>

doi: [10.2196/45102](https://doi.org/10.2196/45102)

PMID: [37266985](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37266985/)

©Nicole H Goldhaber, Annie Chea, Eric B Hekler, Wenjia Zhou, Byron Ferguson. Originally published in JMIR Formative Research (<https://formative.jmir.org>), 02.06.2023. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Formative Research, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <https://formative.jmir.org>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.