Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

Simultaneous carbon catabolite repression governs sugar and aromatic co-utilization in Pseudomonas putida M2.

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6xv8v7mn

Journal Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 89(10)

Authors

Chen, Yan Gin, Jennifer Petzold, Christopher <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2023-10-31

DOI

10.1128/aem.00852-23

Peer reviewed



8 Applied and Industrial Microbiology | Full-Length Text

Simultaneous carbon catabolite repression governs sugar and aromatic co-utilization in *Pseudomonas putida* M2

Shilva Shrestha,^{1,2} Deepika Awasthi,^{1,2} Yan Chen,^{1,2} Jennifer Gin,^{1,2} Christopher J. Petzold,^{1,2} Paul D. Adams,^{1,3} Blake A. Simmons,^{1,2} Steven W. Singer^{1,2}

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS See affiliation list on p. 13.

ABSTRACT Pseudomonas putida have emerged as promising biocatalysts for the conversion of sugars and aromatic compounds obtained from lignocellulosic biomass. Understanding the role of carbon catabolite repression (CCR) in these strains is critical to optimize biomass conversion to fuels and chemicals. The CCR functioning in P. putida M2, a strain capable of consuming both hexose and pentose sugars as well as aromatic compounds, was investigated by cultivation experiments, proteomics, and CRISPRibased gene repression. Strain M2 co-utilized sugars and aromatic compounds simultaneously; however, during cultivation with glucose and aromatic compounds (p-coumarate and ferulate) mixture, intermediates (4-hydroxybenzoate and vanillate) accumulated, and substrate consumption was incomplete. In contrast, xylose-aromatic consumption resulted in transient intermediate accumulation and complete aromatic consumption, while xylose was incompletely consumed. Proteomics analysis revealed that glucose exerted stronger repression than xylose on the aromatic catabolic proteins. Key glucose (Eda) and xylose (XyIX) catabolic proteins were also identified at lower abundance during cultivation with aromatic compounds implying simultaneous catabolite repression by sugars and aromatic compounds. Reduction of crc expression via CRISPRi led to faster growth and glucose and p-coumarate uptake in the CRISPRi strains compared to the control, while no difference was observed on xylose+p-coumarate. The increased abundances of Eda and amino acid biosynthesis proteins in the CRISPRi strain further supported these observations. Lastly, small RNAs (sRNAs) sequencing results showed that CrcY and CrcZ homologues levels in M2, previously identified in P. putida strains, were lower under strong CCR (glucose+p-coumarate) condition compared to when repression was absent (p-coumarate or glucose only).

IMPORTANCE A newly isolated *Pseudomonas putida* strain, *P. putida* M2, can utilize both hexose and pentose sugars as well as aromatic compounds making it a promising host for the valorization of lignocellulosic biomass. Pseudomonads have developed a regulatory strategy, carbon catabolite repression, to control the assimilation of carbon sources in the environment. Carbon catabolite repression may impede the simultaneous and complete metabolism of sugars and aromatic compounds present in lignocellulosic biomass and hinder the development of an efficient industrial biocatalyst. This study provides insight into the cellular physiology and proteome during mixed-substrate utilization in *P. putida* M2. The phenotypic and proteomics results demonstrated simultaneous catabolite repression in the sugar-aromatic mixtures, while the CRISPRi and sRNA sequencing demonstrated the potential role of the *crc* gene and small RNAs in carbon catabolite repression.

KEYWORDS lignocellulose, *Pseudomonas putida*, carbon catabolite repression, Crc, proteomics, CRISPRi, small RNA

Editor Pablo Ivan Nikel, Danmarks Tekniske Universitet, Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Biosustainability, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark

Address correspondence to Steven W. Singer, swsinger@lbl.gov.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

See the funding table on p. 13.

Received 21 May 2023 Accepted 14 July 2023 Published 19 September 2023

Copyright © 2023 Shrestha et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.



ignocellulosic biomass, including residue from agriculture and forestry, is an attractive alternative carbon feedstock for bioprocesses (1). However, its inherent heterogeneity and recalcitrant nature pose a unique challenge to the efficient utilization of this abundant, renewable resource (2). Integrating physicochemical pretreatment with microbial conversion of lignocellulose-derived sugars and aromatic compounds to fuels and chemicals has been proposed to overcome this challenge (3, 4). Depolymerization of lignocellulose results in mixtures of hexose (glucose) and pentose (xylose, arabinose) sugars as well as aromatic compounds (4). Most microbes grow on a subset of these compounds which limits their industrial use. Pseudomonas alloputida KT2440 (previously known as Pseudomonas putida KT2440), hereafter referred to as KT2440, is a promising industrial biocatalyst due to its metabolic versatility, ability to tolerate environmental stresses, and amenability to genetic manipulation (5-8). However, KT2440 cannot natively utilize xylose (9), which makes up a significant fraction of total plant sugars, preventing the complete utilization of lignocellulose sugars. Recently P. putida strains capable of growing on pentose sugars were characterized by isolation, genomic sequencing, and phylogenetic analysis (10). One of these strains, *Pseudomonas putida* M2 (hereafter referred to as M2), is a newly isolated soil bacteria that grows on glucose, xylose, and arabinose as well as biomass-derived aromatic monomers, making it a promising host for plant biomass valorization (10, 11).

To thrive in different nutritional environments with numerous and fluctuating amounts of carbon sources, Pseudomonads regulate carbon substrate use to optimize metabolism and growth. This complex regulation is known as carbon catabolite repression (CCR) (12, 13). Previous studies have shown that Pseudomonads generally prefer organic acids and amino acids to glucose, which is preferred to hydrocarbons and aromatic compounds, with a few exceptions (12, 14–16). For instance, KT2440 utilizes glucose and acetate in preference to aromatic compounds (14, 17, 18). In Pseudomonads, Crc (catabolite repression control), a major regulatory protein, inhibits the translation of mRNAs responsible for the catabolism of non-preferred compounds in the presence of other preferred carbon sources (12, 19). The RNA-binding Hfq protein facilitates the Crc-dependent inhibition of mRNA translation by recognizing the catabolite activity (CA) motif (AANAANAA) in the target mRNA (20, 21). Furthermore, previous CCR studies have shown that small RNAs (sRNAs) that contain several CA motifs antagonize the regulatory effect of Crc by sequestering the Hfq protein, thus determining the strength of Crc-mediated CCR (19, 22).

Depending on the type of lignocellulosic biomass and depolymerization method employed, a heterogeneous mixture of sugar and aromatic monomers is obtained. The conversion of these mixtures makes understanding of cellular physiology during mixed-substrate utilization of particular interest. To enable the conversion of lignocellulose-derived sugars and aromatic compounds with a single microbe, the microbial host must consume all the carbon sources present rapidly and simultaneously. However, preferential or incomplete consumption of carbon sources due to CCR hinders efficient valorization of lignocellulosic biomass-derived components (14, 23) and negatively affects the economics of the bioconversion process. Accumulation of intermediates due to CCR creates challenges in the downstream processes used to extract the desired product. A systemic understanding of CCR in M2 is required to overcome this barrier and develop strategies for metabolic engineering to develop a robust microbial host. This work focused on characterizing and comparing the growth of M2 when both sugar and aromatic compound were simultaneously present in the growth medium. Furthermore, CRISPRi and sRNAs sequencing were employed to probe the role of crc and sRNAs in CCR-mediated repression in M2.

RESULTS

Sugars and aromatic compounds were simultaneously utilized in P. putida M2

Strain M2 grows on both hexose (glucose) and pentose (xylose, arabinose) sugars and biomass-derived aromatic monomers [*p*-coumarate (*p*CA), 4-hydroxybenzoate (4-HBA), ferulate (FA), and vanillate (VA)] (Fig. S1), consistent with metabolic pathways identified in its genome (10). Previous studies have shown that Pseudomonads, such as KT2440, prefer sugars over aromatic compounds (14, 17, 18), which complicates the development of an industrially relevant strain capable of efficiently utilizing both sugar and aromatic compound. We, therefore, investigated the growth phenotypes of M2 in a mixture of glucose/xylose+aromatic compound (Fig. 1; Fig. S2; Tables S1 to S5).

Both glucose and aromatic compound (pCA, 4-HBA, FA, or VA) were simultaneously metabolized (Fig. 1; Fig. S3) in M2; however, both compounds were not consumed completely after 48h. In general, glucose between 52.1% and 76.9% was depleted, while it was observed that aromatic compound between 58.6% and 81.7% was consumed over a period of 60h. Also, M2 exhibited a longer lag phase in glucose and aromatic compound mixtures compared to growth in single aromatic compound conditions (Fig. 1; Fig. S1 and S3). M2 exhibited a 24-h lag time when grown in glucose+pCA after which simultaneous consumption of both substrates commenced. It should be noted that M2 also showed long lag phase and incomplete substrate consumption when grown in glucose alone. In contrast, growth on xylose and aromatic compounds showed no lag, and the aromatic compounds were consumed faster than the xylose. After 48h, xylose consumption was not complete, as was observed for glucose. For example, 100% of the pCA was depleted within 9h in growth experiments with xylose+pCA, at which time approximately 29% of xylose was consumed. During growth on both glucose and xylose, aromatic intermediates 4-HBA (in pCA) and VA (in FA), accumulated during the cultivation. In the case of glucose, the aromatic intermediates persisted as the uptake of pCAand FA ceased (Fig. 1b and c). For xylose growth, transient accumulation of 4-HBA and VA was observed (Fig. 1e and f). The co-consumption of glucose and aromatic compounds in strain M2 was compared to co-consumption by KT2440 under the same conditions. Both glucose and aromatic compounds were consumed simultaneously in KT2440 with aromatic compounds consumed at a faster rate; unlike M2, both substrates were completely metabolized (Fig. 1h and i). Furthermore, the metabolic intermediates 4-HBA and VA accumulated transiently in KT2440 during the growth consistent with previous studies (14, 17).

Aromatic and sugar catabolic proteins were present at significantly lower abundance during their co-utilization

Phenotypic growth data of M2 and KT2440 on sugars and aromatic compounds indicated simultaneous CCR was occurring. To study these effects on protein levels, the proteome of M2 and KT2440 grown in dual carbon conditions (sugar+aromatic compound, Table S6) were analyzed and compared with those grown in a minimal medium containing sugar only or aromatic compound only (control). We assessed the differentially abundant proteins using a criterion of $P \le 0.05$ and \log_2 fold change (FC) ≥ 0.5 value (see Supplementary Information Tables S6 to S26 for a complete list of the differentially abundant proteins).

For M2, the proteins involved in the catabolism of *p*CA and FA to 4-HBA and VA, respectively, such as feruloyl-CoA synthase (Fcs), feruloyl-CoA hydratase-lyase (Ech), and vanillin dehydrogenase (Vdh) were present at lower abundance (-1.3 to $-3.1 \log_2$ FC) in glucose+*p*CA and glucose+FA conditions compared to the control cells grown in *p*CA or FA only (Fig. 2a and b; Tables S7 and S9). The abundances of 4-hydroxybenzoate hydroxy-lase (PobA) and vanillate O-demethylase monooxygenase subunit (VanA) responsible for converting 4-HBA and FA, respectively, to protocatechuate (PCA), were also significantly lower ($-1.9 \log_2$ FC for PobA and $-2.3 \log_2$ FC for VanA). These results are in agreement with the accumulation of intermediates 4-HBA and VA observed during the growth in



FIG 1 Phenotype of *P. putida* M2 (a–f) and *Pseudomonas alloputida* KT2440 (g–i) showing OD₆₀₀ and substrate consumption and accumulation of intermediates in a mixture of glucose+*p*-coumarate (b, h), glucose+ferulate (c, i), xylose+*p*-coumarate (e), andxylose+ferulate (f) as carbon sources. The data represent the mean value of three replicates and error bars represent the standard deviation.

glucose+*p*CA or FA mixture (Fig. 1). Many of the proteins in the PCA branch of the β -ketoadipate pathway (PcaHG, PcaB, PcaC, and PcaD) and β -ketoadipate conversion to the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) intermediates (PcaIJ and PcaF) were also found at significantly lower abundances in dual substrate conditions compared to the control even though PCA was not observed to accumulate during growth. Similar results were observed for M2 cells grown on glucose+4HBA or VA where PobA (-1.7 log₂ FC), Van A (-2.8 log₂ FC), and most of the β -ketoadipate pathway proteins (Fig. S4; Tables S8 and S10) were at significantly lower abundance in dual substrate growth conditions. For KT2440, the aromatic catabolic proteins (-1.0 to -2.5 log₂ FC) and most of the β -ketoadipate (-0.6 to -2.4 log₂ FC) proteins, with the notable exception of Ech, which is involved in the catabolism of both *p*CA and ferulate, were also present at significantly lower abundance (Fig. S5; Tables S23 to S26). The lower abundances observed during glucose+aromatic compound growth in KT2440 were consistent with previous proteomic studies of substrate co-utilization (14, 17).

Comparing the proteome of M2 cells grown in glucose +aromatic compound with glucose as the sole carbon source identified that 2-keto-3-deoxy-6-phosphogluconate (KDPG) aldolase (Eda), which converts KDPG into glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate and pyruvate, was present at significantly lower abundance (-0.8 log₂FC, Fig. 2d; Table S11), consistent with incomplete glucose consumption. A subset of TCA and glyoxylate cycle proteins [isocitrate dehydrogenase (Icd), aconitate hydratase (Acn), malate synthase (GlcB), and isocitrate lyase (AceA)] were also observed in significantly lower abundance compared to the single carbon conditions (Fig. 2e). Additionally, some amino acid biosynthesis proteins (2-isopropylmalate synthase, glutamine synthetase, O-succinylhomoserine sulfhydrylase), catabolic proteins (ornithine decarboxylase and ornithine

Full-Length Text



FIG 2 The \log_2 fold changes of upper pathway proteins (a) and β -ketoadipate pathway proteins (b) involved in aromatic catabolism, glucose/xylose catabolic proteins (d), and tricarboxylic acid cycle and gluconeogenesis proteins (e) present at significantly ($P \le 0.05$, $\log_2 FC \le 0.5$) lower abundances in *Pseudomonas putida* M2 grown in dual carbon source conditions when compared to single carbon source. The schematics of aromatic (c), glucose (f), and xylose (g) catabolic pathways in *P. putida* M2 are shown as described previously (10). The $\log_2 FC$ was calculated from three biological replicates for each condition.

carbamoyltransferase), and transporters were also significantly at lower abundance (Tables S7 to S14).

When grown in minimal media containing xylose and pCA or FA, only some of the aromatic catabolic and β -ketoadipate proteins were present at lower abundance, unlike what was observed in the presence of glucose (Table S15 to S18; Fig. 2a and b). Interestingly, pyruvate carboxylase subunits A and B proteins, which catalyze the first step of gluconeogenesis and replenish TCA cycle intermediates, were also found at significantly lower abundances (-0.5 to $-1.9 \log_2$ FC for subunit A and -1.0 to $-2.7 \log_2 FC$ for subunit B) (Fig. 2e). For xylose metabolism, co-feeding pCA lowered the relative abundance of 2-keto-3-deoxyxylonate dehydratase (XyIX) (-0.7 log₂FC, Fig. 2d; Table S19), a key xylose catabolic protein, which dehydrates α -keto-3-deoxy-xylonate to α -ketoglutarate semialdehyde, thus explaining the incomplete consumption of xylose. Amino acid biosynthesis (threonine synthase, 2-isopropylmalate synthase, acetylornithine/N-succinyldiaminopimelate aminotransferase, glutamate N-acetyltransferase/amino-acid N-acetyltransferase, tryptophan synthase alpha chain) and transport proteins, as well as ribosomal proteins, were present at significantly lower abundance during xylose +aromatic compound growth compared to when only single carbon was present (Tables S15 to S22).

Decreasing *crc* expression via CRISPRi enhanced growth and metabolism in dual carbon substrates

As Crc has been identified as the main regulatory protein governing CCR in Pseudomonads (12), the *crc* gene expression was reduced using a previously developed dual-inducible CRISPR/dCas9-based gene repression system to determine if the aromatic and sugar metabolism in M2 are subject to Crc regulation (24, 25). The functionality of the arabinose-inducible pRGPspdCas9bad-edd plasmid (the backbone plasmid used to design CRISPRi strains) was confirmed to verify sgRNA expression (Fig. S6). The pRGPspdCas9bad-edd strain showed delayed growth on glucose compared to the control due to sgRNA-targeted repression of the *edd* gene, an essential gene for glucose metabolism.

We compared the growth phenotype (Fig. 3; Fig. S7 and S8; Tables S2 to S5) and proteomes (Table S27) of the CRISPRi strains to the control strain containing empty plasmid (pRGPspdCas9bad, Table 1) in a minimal medium containing glucose +pCA or FA and xylose +pCA. The CRISPRi strains (crc-1, crc-2, and crc-3) grew faster than the control strain (maximal growth rate of 0.17–0.23 h⁻¹ vs 0.11-0.16 h⁻¹, Table S2) upon induction when fed with a 10:1 mixture of glucose +pCA or FA. The CRISPRi strains grew within 9–12 h, while the control strain exhibited a much longer lag phase (32–36 h, Fig. 3; Fig. S7). However, the growth profiles were similar between the CRISPRi and control strains in the presence of xylose +pCA. The substrate consumption results also showed that both glucose and aromatic compound (pCA or FA) were consumed faster by the CRISPRi strains than the control (Tables S4 and S5), while xylose and pCA consumption profiles were identical in all strains, thus corroborating the OD₆₀₀ measurements. However, both glucose and pCA/FA were still not completely consumed in both the CRISPRi strains as observed in the control experiment. It should be noted that the expression of the sgRNA targeting crc gene was under the control of the arabinose inducible ParaC/bad promoter system. Even though M2 can use arabinose as a carbon source for its growth, we confirmed that arabinose was not consumed in the presence of a high concentration of glucose (10 g L⁻¹, Fig. S9) and therefore the faster growth observed in CRISPRi strains compared to the control can be attributed to decrease in crc expression during glucose +pCA or FA conditions and not due to the presence of additional carbon source.

The MS-based proteomics showed that Eda was significantly upregulated (0.8 log₂FC, Fig. 3g; Table S27) in crc-1 which might explain faster glucose consumption than the control strain. The proteins involved in amino acid biosynthesis (acetolactate synthase, glutamine synthetase, 3-isopropylmalate dehydratase, 3-isopropylmalate dehydrogenase, aspartate kinase) and transport were also significantly upregulated in the CRISPRi strains possibly explaining faster growth compared to the control (Table S27). However, there was no significant difference in the aromatic catabolic and the β -ketoadipate proteins between the CRISPRi strains (except for upregulation of PcaJ in the crc-1 strain) and the control grown in media containing glucose+*p*CA (Fig. 3h).

The abundance of sRNAs was proportional to the strength of carbon catabolite repression

Bacterial sRNAs are non-coding RNAs, ranging from 50 to 500 nt, that are found to be involved in gene regulation (26). In Pseudomonads, the Crc protein interacts with Hfq, RNA chaperon protein, creating a stable Hfq/Crc/mRNA complex (20). The strength of CCR is inversely proportional to the abundance of one or more sRNAs as they antagonize Crc by sequestering Hfq (22). Their abundance is low under conditions that elicit strong CCR and high in the absence or low catabolic repression (27–29). Moreno et al. (27) showed that the levels of sRNAs, CrcY and CrcZ, in *P. putida* PBA1 were lower in cells growing exponentially in lysogeny broth (LB, strong CCR conditions) than in minimal

TABLE 1	List of p	lasmids	used	in thi	s study ^a
---------	-----------	---------	------	--------	----------------------

Name	Purpose	Strain	Reference
pRGPspdCas9bad-edd1	Streptococcus pyogenes dCas9 based CRISPRi vector used as a	<i>P. putida</i> PP60 (JPUB_018594)	(24)
	backbone for insertion of crc sgRNAs		
pRGPspdCas9bad	Control (empty plasmid with no targeting sgRNA sequence)	<i>P. putida</i> PP49 (JPUB_018611)	(24)
pRGPspdCas9bad-crc1	Streptococcus pyogenes dCas9 based CRISPRi with crc1 sgRNA	JPUB_021188	This study
pRGPspdCas9bad-crc2	Streptococcus pyogenes dCas9 based CRISPRi with crc2 sgRNA	JPUB_021190	This study
pRGPspdCas9bad-crc3	Streptococcus pyogenes dCas9 based CRISPRi with crc3 sgRNA	JPUB_021192	This study

^aNote: The plasmids have been deposited in Escherichia coli Top10.



FIG 3 Phenotype of *P. putida* M2 CRISPRi (crc-1) and wild-type strains showing OD_{600} and substrate consumption in glucose+*p*-coumarate (a–c) and xylose+*p*-coumarate (d–f) as carbon sources. The data represent the mean value of three replicates and error bars represent the standard deviation. The significantly upregulated ($P \le 0.05$, $log_2FC \ge 0.5$) proteins in the crc-1 strain compared to the control strain grown in glucose+*p*-coumarate (g). The log_2FC was calculated from three biological replicates for each condition. The peptide spectral counts of aromatic catabolic genes in crc-1 and control strains when grown in glucose+*p*-coumarate (h).

media containing citrate or succinate (non-repression conditions). Under non-repressing conditions, CrcY and CrcZ sequestered Crc and impeded its binding to target mRNAs.

In the current study, the abundance of CrcY and CrcZ in KT2440 correlated with catabolite repression observed in the phenotypic study as well as with the proteomics results. The CrcY and CrcZ levels were lowest in the dual carbon condition compared to that in the single substrate condition (Fig. 4), showing potential catabolite repression. A search of M2 sRNA sequences identified homologous sequences with 94% and 97% similarities to KT2440 CrcY and CrcZ, respectively. The expression of these homologues in M2 was lower under the glucose +pCA condition (strong CCR) than when only pCA or glucose (non-repressing condition) was present, possibly allowing the Crc protein to block the translation of the target mRNAs. On the other hand, when pCA or glucose was provided as the sole carbon source, the CrcY and CrcZ levels were higher compared to glucose +pCA condition, sequestering Crc by binding to it with high affinity as catabolite repression is not needed. Furthermore, CrcZ and CrcY in both KT2440 (six and four repeated motifs in CrcZ and CrcY, respectively) and M2 (six repeated motifs in both sRNA homologues) contain several repeated AANAANAA boxes, which have been described as Crc binding site (20, 30). These preliminary results suggest that the levels of sRNAs might have a role in controlling the amount of free Crc protein and, therefore, the strength of catabolite repression in KT2440 and M2 as already demonstrated in other P. putida strains.



FIG 4 The abundance of sRNAs, CrcY and CrcZ, in *P. alloputida* KT2440 and *P. putida* M2 when grown in the presence of *p*-coumarate, glucose, or glucose+*p*-coumarate.

DISCUSSION

Pseudomonads grow and compete in diverse habitats due to their flexible metabolic pathway and have a global regulatory mechanism referred to as CCR that ensures that the most energy-efficient carbon source is utilized from a mixture of nutrient sources. This study demonstrated that M2 can co-metabolize sugars and aromatic compounds; however, both sugars and aromatic compounds were not completely consumed, except for aromatic compounds in the presence of xylose. Unlike glucose-aromatic, the aromatic compounds were consumed rapidly, and the aromatic intermediates accumulated transiently in the xylose-aromatic mixtures. The proteomics results also showed that glucose repressed all the aromatic catabolic and β-ketoadipate proteins, while xylose inhibited only some of the aromatic catabolic proteins. Therefore, glucose exerted a stronger CCR effect over the consumption of aromatic compounds compared to xylose. The difference in CCR between glucose and xylose could be due to the difference in reducing power generated during their catabolism and their dependence on the aromatic catabolic pathways for their energy need. A multi-omics approach integrating proteomics, ¹³C-metabolomics, and quantitative flux analysis will provide a detailed understanding of carbon partitioning and the metabolic network during the co-utilization of sugars and aromatic compounds in M2.

In the case of glucose and aromatic compounds, there was a long lag phase after which consumption of both substrates commenced simultaneously and followed a similar trend indicating cross-catabolite repression. It should be noted that the wild-type M2 strain exhibited a similar phenotype of long lag phase and incomplete substrate consumption in both single (glucose alone) and dual (glucose +pCA) conditions; however, the proteomics and CRISPRi results as discussed below consolidate the hypothesis regarding simultaneous cross-catabolite repression. This mode of metabolism differs from the CCR where one carbon is strictly preferred over the other as observed in other cases (15, 31, 32). For example, *Pseudomonas putida* CSV86 preferentially consumes aromatic compounds (naphthalene and benzyl alcohol) and organic acids over glucose where glucose metabolizing enzymes such as glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase and glucose transport protein were repressed during aromatic compounds or organic acids utilization (31, 32). The phenomena of simultaneous CCR have been observed in KT2440 strains where glucose and toluene (33) and glucose and benzoate (34, 35) were simultaneously metabolized while repressing each other's metabolism but in a

manner that optimizes biomass growth. In KT2440 (pWW0), toluene-induced repression of glucose metabolism was directed to the glucokinase branch of glycolysis, while glucose inhibited TOL plasmid Pu promoter for toluene catabolism (33). A segregated metabolic flux was observed in KT2440-JD1 grown on glucose and benzoate where glucose-derived carbons were compartmentalized in the upper Embden–Meyerhof–Parnas (EMP) and the pentose-phosphate (PP) pathways and the benzoate-derived carbons primarily populated the TCA cycle and the glyoxylate shunt (34). In our study with M2, the proteome analysis demonstrated that the presence of sugars repressed both the upper aromatic catabolic pathway and β -ketoadipate proteins required for the aromatics assimilation including TCA and gluconeogenesis (in the case of xylose) proteins, while the effect of aromatic compounds was directed toward the Entner–Doudoroff (ED) branch (particularly the *eda* gene) and the *xylX* gene, required for glucose and xylose metabolism, respectively, as well as the amino acid biosynthesis and catabolic pathways.

In M2, aromatic monomers such as *p*CA and VA are funneled into central intermediate PCA, which is further catabolized through the β -ketoadipate pathway to the TCA cycle to yield acetyl-CoA (10). The conversions of 4-HBA and VA to PCA catalyzed by PobA and VanAB, respectively, are considered the rate-limiting steps in KT2440 and have been identified as targets for Crc regulation in KT2440 (14). PobA and VanAB are the only enzymes in the aromatic catabolic pathway that require reducing equivalents for their activity; therefore, they may be subject to tighter regulation by Crc when selecting the most energy-efficient carbon substrate. Replacing the native PobA in KT2440 with Pral from *Paenibacillus* sp. JJ-1b, which has a broader nicotinamide cofactor preference, relieved this bottleneck and improved muconate production from *p*CA and glucose (36). In M2, PobA and VanAB were also significantly downregulated; however, more work is needed to identify metabolic bottlenecks preventing the complete metabolism of aromatic compounds in the presence of glucose in M2.

The global regulatory protein Crc plays a key role in CCR in Pseudomonads where Crc has been shown to inhibit the translation of mRNA encoding the catabolic enzymes, transcriptional regulators, as well as transporters required for substrates to enter the cell (37–39). The phenotypic characterization demonstrated that decreasing the expression of the crc gene led to faster growth and metabolism of CRISPRi strains compared to the control during the co-utilization of glucose and pCA or FA. The faster growth was further supported by evidence of upregulation of proteins involved in amino acid biosynthesis and transport, while the upregulation of Eda potentially led to faster glucose consumption. However, the CRISPRi strains still exhibited an accumulation of aromatic intermediates and incomplete substrate consumption which suggests there might be additional yet unknown regulatory elements that control CCR. While alleviating CCR by deleting the crc gene has been shown to be beneficial to ensure efficient metabolism and improve product yield (14, 29, 40, 41), there are also contrary results that showed no positive effect after crc deletion (18, 42–44). Castillo and Ramos (33) showed that repression of glucose metabolism by toluene is mediated through the Crc protein, while glucose-mediated repression of toluene degradation requires PtsN protein. Besides Crc, some potential regulators related to catabolite repression in P. putida are the cytochrome o ubiquinol oxidase (Cyo), the phosphoenolpyruvate: sugar phosphotransferase (Pts) system (44–48). Therefore, CCR regulation is a complex phenomenon that can be carbon source- and strain-specific and more often involves more than one regulatory element. The mechanism of Crc-mediated CCR and other potential regulatory mechanisms are still unknown in M2 and need to be further investigated in future research. Besides alleviating CCR, it is also necessary to broaden the substrate spectrum, enhance substrate uptake, and improve tolerance to toxic compounds that might be present in biomass hydrolysates or lignolysates to create an efficient and robust single strain-based biocatalyst for upgrading lignocellulosic biomass (49). Overexpressing gene encoding aromatic transporter [e.g. PcaK, the 4-HBA transporter (50)] was shown to improve the bioconversion of pCA to 2,4 pyridine dicarboxylic acid in engineered KT2440 (native pcaHG was replaced with ligAB from Sphingobium sp. SYK-6)

and avoid 4-HBA accumulation (51). Future research should identify transporter genes and evaluate if overexpression or heterologous expression of transporter genes would improve bioconversion in M2.

The difference in sRNA abundances between different carbon sources in both KT2440 and M2 followed the same trend; however, the difference was more distinct in KT2440 compared to that in M2. While we have no experimental evidence to explain these differences, there may be other potential sRNAs in M2 that played an important role in CCR. Previous studies have used bioinformatics tools for sRNAs prediction (52, 53) and experimental approaches involving shotgun cloning approach combined with Northern blotting and RT-PCR (28, 54), to identify sRNAs involved in CCR in *Pseudomonas* strains and can provide directions for future studies in M2.

As demonstrated in this study, M2 may be used as a platform biocatalyst to produce industrially relevant products from lignocellulosic biomass due to its versatile sugar and aromatic metabolism. Our findings provide important insights into the physiology and metabolism of M2 during sugar and aromatic compound co-utilization. However, incomplete consumption and accumulation of aromatic intermediates due to CCR may hinder its industrial application. Simultaneous and complete utilization of sugar and aromatic compound could also be beneficial for bioproduct formation, one substrate could be used for energy for cell growth, while the other substrate for product formation, a strategy already being used in KT2440 (14). As M2 is amenable to genetic manipulation via CRISPRi as shown by our study and previous publications (10, 25), this study provides a framework for the use of metabolic engineering tools to alleviate CCR without causing other metabolism imbalances.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Culturing conditions for *P. putida* M2 and *P. alloputida* KT2440 and growth measurements

All microbial cultivation experiments were conducted in triplicates at 30°C using 10mL 0.2µm filter sterilized modified M9 mineral medium (final pH adjusted to 7) with the following composition: (NH₄)₂SO₄ (1.0 g L⁻¹), KH₂PO₄ (1.5 g L⁻¹), Na₂HPO₄ (3.54 g L⁻¹), ammonium ferric citrate (0.06 g L^{-1}), MgSO₄·7H₂O (0.2 g L^{-1}), CaCl₂·2H₂O (0.01 g L^{-1}), and trace elements H_3BO_3 (0.3 mg L⁻¹), CoCl₂·6H₂O (0.2 mg L⁻¹), ZnSO₄·7H₂O (0.1 mg L⁻¹), MnCl₂·4H₂O (0.03 mg L⁻¹), NaMoO₄·2H₂O (0.03 mg L⁻¹), NiCl₂6H₂O (0.02 mg L⁻¹), and CuSO₄·5H₂O (0.01 mg L⁻¹). The M2 and KT2440 strains were inoculated from glycerol stocks onto an LB agar plate and a single colony from the plate was grown in 5mL of LB medium (first preculture) overnight with continuous shaking at 200rpm. The first preculture (100 µL) was inoculated into 5mL freshly prepared M9 minimal medium with a corresponding carbon source to prepare the second preculture and incubated overnight. Finally, the growth curve and growth kinetics experiments were started the following day from the second preculture at a desired starting optical density (0.025-0.05) in 50mL glass tubes and 48-well plates with 250µL cell culture in each well, respectively. The minimal media was supplemented with a mixture of sugar (glucose or xylose) and aromatic compound (pCA, 4-HBA, FA, or VA) as model lignocellulose-derived substrates at a concentration of~10 g L^{-1} (sugars) and~1 g L^{-1} (aromatic compounds). For the growth curve experiments, the cell culture samples were taken at different time points to determine growth spectrophotometrically (SpectraMax M2, Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA) by measuring absorbance at 600nm (OD₆₀₀). Growth kinetics was done using a Synergy plate reader (BioTek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA).

Quantification of sugars was performed using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using Agilent 1260 Infinity system (Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with an Aminex HPX-87H (300 mm \times 7.8 mm) column (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and a refractive index detector heated at 35°C. An aqueous solution of 4 mM sulfuric acid was used as the mobile phase (0.4 mL min⁻¹, column temperature of 25°C). The amount of monomeric aromatic compounds was analyzed using Agilent 1200 system with Eclipse Plus

Phenyl-Hexyl (250 mm length, 4.6 mm diameter, 5 μ m particle size) column kept at 50°C. The mobile phase consisted of binary system phases with 10 mM ammonium acetate in 0.07% formic acid (Solvent A) and 10 mM ammonium acetate in 90% acetonitrile and 0.07% formic acid (Solvent B). The mobile phase gradient method was as follows: 30% B (0 min; 0.5 mL min⁻¹), 80% B (12 min; 0.5 mL min⁻¹), 100% B (12.1 min; 0.5 mL min⁻¹), 100% B (12.6 min; 1 mL min⁻¹), 30% B (12.8 min; 1 mL min⁻¹), and 30% B (15.6 min; 1 mL min⁻¹). The metabolite concentration was quantified from peak areas using calibration curves generated by each metabolite standard.

Shotgun proteomics analysis using LC-MS

All strains were grown in three biological replicates at 30°C following a similar procedure as stated in the culturing conditions section above starting with an LB agar plate followed by the first and second preculture before growing them in 10mL M9 minimal media supplemented with corresponding carbon sources (Table S6) at 30°C. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at OD between 0.4 and 0.5 and stored at -80°C until sample preparation. Proteins from the samples were extracted using a previously described chloroform/methanol precipitation method (55). Extracted proteins were resuspended in 100mM ammonium bicarbonate buffer supplemented with 20% methanol, and protein concentration was determined by the DC assay (BioRad). Protein reduction was accomplished using 5mM tris 2-(carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) for 30min at room temperature, and alkylation was performed with 10mM iodoacetamide (IAM; final concentration) for 30min at room temperature in the dark. Overnight digestion with trypsin was accomplished with a 1:50 trypsin:total protein ratio. The resulting peptide samples were analyzed on an Agilent 1290 UHPLC system coupled to a Thermo scientific Obitrap Exploris 480mass spectrometer for the discovery proteomics (56). Briefly, 20µg of tryptic peptides were loaded onto an Ascentis (Sigma-Aldrich) ES-C18 column (2.1 mm \times 100mm, 2.7µm particle size, operated at 60°C) and were eluted from the column by using a 10-min gradient from 98% buffer A (0.1% FA in H2O) and 2% buffer B (0.1% FA in acetonitrile) to 65% buffer A and 35% buffer B. The eluting peptides were introduced to the mass spectrometer operating in positive-ion mode. Full MS survey scans were acquired in the range of 300-1200 m/z at 60,000 resolution. The automatic gain control (AGC) target was set at 3E06 and the maximum injection time was set to 60ms. The top 10 multiply charged precursor ions (2-5) were isolated for higher-energy collisional dissociation (HCD) MS/MS using a 1.6-m/z isolation window and were accumulated until they either reached an AGC target value of 1e5 or a maximum injection time of 50ms. MS/MS data were generated with a normalized collision energy (NCE) of 30, at a resolution of 15,000. Upon fragmentation, precursor ions were dynamically excluded for 10s after the first fragmentation event. The acquired LCMS raw data were converted to mgf files and searched against the latest UniProt P. alloputida KT2440 protein database or JGI P. putida M2 protein database (IMG submission ID: 236130) with Mascot search engine version 2.3.02 (Matrix Science). The resulting search results were filtered and analyzed by Scaffold version 5.0 (Proteome Software Inc.). The normalized spectra counts of identified proteins were exported for relative quantitative analysis. The proteomics data were analyzed using a customized Python script to calculate log₂ FC values and identify proteins that were significantly downregulated in the dual substrate conditions compared to the single substrate condition. Only proteins with spectral counts above five were retained in the analysis. Proteins were identified to be significantly differentially expressed when they displayed a $\log_2 FC \ge 0.5$ across at least two out of three biological replicates with the P-value cut-off of 0.05.

CRISPR interference-based crc gene repression

Escherichia coli TOP10 cells were used as the primary host for gene cloning and plasmid isolation. Kanamycin (50 µg mL⁻¹) was used for the selection of plasmid-harboring cells. *E. coli* competent cell preparation and transformation were performed using standard molecular biology techniques (57). Electroporation (1 mm gap cuvette, with parameters

set at the resistance of 200 Ω , voltage 1.8 KV, capacitance of 25 µFD for 4.0–5.0 ms) was used for the transformation of competent M2 cells with selected plasmids. Cells were incubated in LB medium for 1.5 h at 30°C and 200 rpm on a shaker incubator and plated on LB plates with kanamycin for selection. Q5 High-Fidelity 2X and OneTaq 2X Master Mix (New England Biolabs; Ipswich, MA, USA) were used according to the manufacturer's instructions for PCR amplification during gene cloning and colony PCR to confirm gene cloning, respectively. NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix (New England Biolabs) was used to assemble plasmids. QIAprep Spin miniprep kit and QIAquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) were used according to the manufacturer's protocol to isolate plasmids and to separate PCR products from agarose gels, respectively. The sequences of all plasmids and DNA constructs were confirmed by Sanger sequencing (Genewiz, CA, USA).

The CRISPR interference system utilizing the type II dCas9 homologs from Streptococcus pyogenes (pRGPspdCas9bad, Table 1) previously developed for KT2440 and M2 (24, 25) was used as the backbone plasmid to develop a dual inducible CRISPR/dCas9 interference-based gene repression system in M2. Expression of spdCas9 was under the control of the P_{tac} [Isopropyl β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG)-inducible] promoter, while sgRNA expression was under the control of the ParaC/bad (arabinose-inducible) promoter. The wild-type M2 strain carrying an empty pRGPspdCas9bad plasmid served as the control. An open reading frame (Ga0436255_01_2263068_2263847) was found which had 95% sequence similarity to the KT2440 crc sequence in the M2 genome. Three sqRNAs (20 bp) targeting three different regions of the crc gene (Fig. S10), resulting in three recombinant strains (crc-1, crc-2, and crc-3) were designed and cloned into the plasmid by PCR amplifications using primers listed in Table 2. One sgRNA targeted a region close to the start codon at the 5' end (crc-1) and two inside the ORF (crc-2 and crc-3) of the crc gene. The constructed plasmids were electroporated into M2 to generate the CRISPRi strains. The recombinant CRISPRi strains were grown in minimal media supplemented with corresponding carbon sources (glucose +pCA, glucose +FA, and xylose +pCA), and both inducers (~3.5 g L⁻¹ arabinose and 1 mM IPTG) for phenotypic characterization (following a similar protocol as stated in the culturing conditions section above) and proteome comparison (glucose, pCA, or glucose +pCA as carbon sources) with the control strain.

The oligonucleotides were ordered from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT, San Diego, CA, USA). All the bacterial strains, plasmids, and primers used in this study can be found in Tables 1 and 2.

Small RNA sequencing

M2 and KT2440 cells were grown in minimal media containing either glucose, pCA, or glucose +pCA, and cell pellets were submitted to CD genomics (NY, USA) for total RNA extraction and sRNA sequencing. Briefly, total RNA was isolated using Qiagen miRNeasy mini kit (Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's protocol, quantified by NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and quality assessed with agarose gel electrophoresis. The preparation of the sRNA-enriched library was done with NEBNext Ultra II RNA kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) following the manufacturer's recommendation which included cDNA synthesis, 3' and 5' adapter

TABLE 2	List of oligonucleotide	s used in	this study
---------	-------------------------	-----------	------------

Name	Sequence (5'–3')	Purpose	Reference
crc1_spd_sgRNA_For	gatccatcagtgtgaacgtaaatgggttttagagctagaaatagcaagttaaaat	crc-1 sgRNA cloning into	This study
		pRGPspdCas9bad-edd1	
crc2_spd_sgRNA_For	gatccaactcgacgacccagctttcgttttagagctagaaatagcaagttaaaat	crc-2 sgRNA cloning into	This study
		pRGPspdCas9bad-edd1	
crc3_spd_sgRNA_For	gatccggcagtcatcaccggcctgg gttttagagctagaaatagcaagttaaaat	crc-3 sgRNA cloning into	This study
		pRGPspdCas9bad-edd1	

^aThe overhangs are indicated in bold.

ligation, PCR enrichment of adapter-ligated RNA, and size fractionation (80–400 bp) with Pippin Prep to specifically enrich for sRNAs. The library quantity was measured by KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR, while the quality was evaluated by TapeStation D1000 ScreenTape (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). Equimolar pooling of libraries was performed based on the QC values and sequenced on Illumina NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina, CA, USA) with a read length configuration of 150 paired-end for 10 million paired-end reads per sample.

Illumina adapter sequences and small RNA library adapter sequences were removed from raw sequencing reads using Fastp and Cutadapt, respectively. The coding mRNA sequences were removed by aligning the quality-filtered RNA-seq reads with the reference genome. We ran BLASTX against sequences similar to CrcY and CrcZ, the sRNAs found in KT2440 (27), and determined their abundances in both M2 and KT2440 under different carbon conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was as part of the Department of Energy (DOE), Joint BioEnergy Institute (http://www.jbei.org) supported by the U.S. DOE, Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research, through Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231 between Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and the U.S. DOE. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript or allow others to do so, for the U.S. Government purpose.

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

¹Joint BioEnergy Institute, Emeryville, California, USA

²Biological Systems and Engineering Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California, USA

³Molecular Biophysics and Integrated Bioimaging Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California, USA

AUTHOR ORCIDs

Shilva Shrestha b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5062-3634 Steven W. Singer b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4229-8314

FUNDING

Funder	Grant(s)	Author(s)
U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)		Shilva Shrestha
		Deepika Awasthi
		Yan Chen
		Jennifer Gin
		Christopher J. Petzold
		Paul D. Adams
		Blake A. Simmons
		Steven W. Singer

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Shilva Shrestha, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing | Deepika Awasthi, Methodology, Writing – review and editing | Jennifer Gin, Methodology, Writing – review and editing | Christopher J. Petzold, Data curation, Project

administration, Writing – review and editing | Paul D. Adams, Funding acquisition, Project administration | Blake A. Simmons, Funding acquisition, Project administration.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The generated mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE (58) partner repository with the data set identifier PXD041554 and 10.6019/PXD041554. The recombinant strains used in this study have been deposited in the public instance of the JBEI registry. The raw small RNA sequences are available in the NCBI short read archive under accession number PRJNA953382.

ADDITIONAL FILES

The following material is available online.

Supplemental Material

Supplemental material (AEM00852-23-S0001.docx). Figures and tables.

REFERENCES

- Usmani Z, Sharma M, Awasthi AK, Lukk T, Tuohy MG, Gong L, Nguyen-Tri P, Goddard AD, Bill RM, Nayak SC, Gupta VK. 2021. Lignocellulosic biorefineries: the current state of challenges and strategies for efficient commercialization. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 148:111258. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.rser.2021.111258
- Shrestha S, Fonoll X, Khanal SK, Raskin L. 2017. Biological strategies for enhanced hydrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass during anaerobic digestion: current status and future perspectives. Bioresour Technol 245:1245–1257. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.08.089
- Linger JG, Vardon DR, Guarnieri MT, Karp EM, Hunsinger GB, Franden MA, Johnson CW, Chupka G, Strathmann TJ, Pienkos PT, Beckham GT. 2014. Lignin valorization through integrated biological funneling and chemical catalysis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:12013–12018. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1410657111
- Liu H, Liu Z-H, Zhang R-K, Yuan JS, Li B-Z, Yuan Y-J. 2022. Bacterial conversion routes for lignin valorization. Biotechnol Adv 60:108000. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2022.108000
- Nikel PI, de Lorenzo V. 2018. Pseudomonas putida as a functional chassis for industrial biocatalysis: from native biochemistry to trans-metabolism. Metab Eng 50:142–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymben.2018.05.005
- Belda E, van Heck RGA, José Lopez-Sanchez M, Cruveiller S, Barbe V, Fraser C, Klenk H-P, Petersen J, Morgat A, Nikel PI, Vallenet D, Rouy Z, Sekowska A, Martins Dos Santos VAP, de Lorenzo V, Danchin A, Médigue C. 2016. The revisited genome of *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 enlightens its value as a robust metabolic chassis. Environ Microbiol 18:3403–3424. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13230
- Fenster JA, Werner AZ, Tay JW, Gillen M, Schirokauer L, Hill NC, Watson A, Ramirez KJ, Johnson CW, Beckham GT, Cameron JC, Eckert CA. 2022. Dynamic and single cell characterization of a CRISPR-interference Toolset in *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 for β-ketoadipate production from p-coumarate. Metab Eng Commun 15:e00204. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.mec.2022.e00204
- Park MR, Chen Y, Thompson M, Benites VT, Fong B, Petzold CJ, Baidoo EEK, Gladden JM, Adams PD, Keasling JD, Simmons BA, Singer SW. 2020. Response of *Pseudomonas putida* to complex, aromatic-rich fractions from biomass. ChemSusChem 13:4455–4467. https://doi.org/10.1002/ cssc.202000268
- Henkel M, Müller MM, Kügler JH, Lovaglio RB, Contiero J, Syldatk C, Hausmann R. 2012. Rhamnolipids as biosurfactants from renewable resources: concepts for next-generation rhamnolipid production. Process Biochem 47:1207–1219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2012. 04.018
- 10. Park M-R, Gauttam R, Fong B, Chen Y, Lim HG, Feist AM, Mukhopadhyay A, Petzold CJ, Simmons BA, Singer SW. 2023. Revealing oxidative

pentose metabolism in New *Pseudomonas putida* isolates. Environ Microbiol 25:493–504. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.16296

- Park M-R, Fong B, Tofaha T, Simmons BA, Singer S. 2022. Complete genome sequences of five isolated *Pseudomonas* strains that catabolize pentose sugars and aromatic compounds obtained from lignocellulosic biomass. Microbiol Resour Announc 11:e0098721. https://doi.org/10. 1128/mra.00987-21
- Rojo F. 2010. Carbon catabolite repression in *Pseudomonas*: optimizing metabolic versatility and interactions with the environment. FEMS Microbiol Rev 34:658–684. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2010. 00218.x
- Vinuselvi P, Kim MK, Lee SK, Ghim CM. 2012. Rewiring carbon catabolite repression for microbial cell factory. BMB Rep 45:59–70. https://doi.org/ 10.5483/BMBRep.2012.45.2.59
- Johnson CW, Abraham PE, Linger JG, Khanna P, Hettich RL, Beckham GT. 2017. Eliminating a global regulator of carbon catabolite repression enhances the conversion of aromatic lignin monomers to muconate in *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440. Metab Eng Commun 5:19–25. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.meteno.2017.05.002
- Basu A, Apte SK, Phale PS. 2006. Preferential utilization of aromatic compounds over glucose by *Pseudomonas putida* CSV86. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:2226–2230. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.72.3.2226-2230. 2006
- Kim J, Yeom J, Jeon CO, Park W. 2009. Intracellular 2-keto-3-deoxy-6phosphogluconate is the signal for carbon catabolite repression of phenylacetic acid metabolism in *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440. Microbiology (Reading) 155:2420–2428. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0. 027060-0
- Salvachúa D, Johnson CW, Singer CA, Rohrer H, Peterson DJ, Black BA, Knapp A, Beckham GT. 2018. Bioprocess development for muconic acid production from aromatic compounds and lignin. Green Chem 20:5007– 5019. https://doi.org/10.1039/C8GC02519C
- Niu W, Willett H, Mueller J, He X, Kramer L, Ma B, Guo J. 2020. Direct biosynthesis of adipic acid from lignin-derived aromatics using engineered *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440. Metab Eng 59:151–161. https:// /doi.org/10.1016/j.ymben.2020.02.006
- Bharwad K, Rajkumar S. 2019. Rewiring the functional complexity between Crc, Hfq and sRNAs to regulate carbon catabolite repression in *Pseudomonas*. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 35:140. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s11274-019-2717-7
- Moreno R, Hernández-Arranz S, La Rosa R, Yuste L, Madhushani A, Shingler V, Rojo F. 2015. The Crc and Hfq proteins of *Pseudomonas putida* cooperate in catabolite repression and formation of ribonucleic acid complexes with specific target motifs. Environ Microbiol 17:105– 118. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12499

- Sonnleitner E, Wulf A, Campagne S, Pei XY, Wolfinger MT, Forlani G, Prindl K, Abdou L, Resch A, Allain FHT, Luisi BF, Urlaub H, Bläsi U. 2018. Interplay between the catabolite repression control protein Crc, Hfq and RNA in Hfq-dependent translational regulation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Nucleic Acids Res 46:1470–1485. https://doi.org/10.1093/ nar/gkx1245
- Sonnleitner E, Haas D. 2011. Small RNAs as regulators of primary and secondary metabolism in *Pseudomonas* species. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 91:63–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-011-3332-1
- Vardon DR, Franden MA, Johnson CW, Karp EM, Guarnieri MT, Linger JG, Salm MJ, Strathmann TJ, Beckham GT. 2015. Adipic acid production from lignin. Energy Environ Sci 8:617–628. https://doi.org/10.1039/ C4EE03230F
- Gauttam R, Mukhopadhyay A, Simmons BA, Singer SW. 2021. Development of dual-inducible duet-expression vectors for tunable gene expression control and CRISPR interference-based gene repression in *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440. Microb Biotechnol 14:2659–2678. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.13832
- Gauttam Rahul, Eng T, Zhao Z, Ul Ain Rana Q, Simmons BA, Yoshikuni Y, Mukhopadhyay A, Singer SW. 2023. Development of genetic tools for heterologous protein expression in a pentose - utilizing environmental isolate of *Pseudomonas putida*. Microb Biotechnol 16:645–661. https:// doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.14205
- Desgranges E, Caldelari I, Marzi S, Lalaouna D. 2020. Navigation through the twists and turns of RNA sequencing technologies: application to bacterial regulatory RNAs. Biochim Biophys Acta Gene Regul Mech 1863:194506. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagrm.2020.194506
- Moreno R, Fonseca P, Rojo F. 2012. Two small RNAs, CrcY and CrcZ, act in concert to sequester the Crc global regulator in *Pseudomonas putida*, modulating catabolite repression. Mol Microbiol 83:24–40. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2011.07912.x
- Sonnleitner E, Abdou L, Haas D. 2009. Small RNA as global regulator of carbon catabolite repression in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:21866–21871. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0910308106
- La Rosa R, Nogales J, Rojo F. 2015. The Crc/CrcZ-CrcY global regulatory system helps the integration of gluconeogenic and glycolytic metabolism in *Pseudomonas putida*. Environ Microbiol 17:3362–3378. https:// doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12812
- Moreno R, Marzi S, Romby P, Rojo F. 2009. The Crc global regulator binds to an unpaired A-rich motif at the *Pseudomonas putida* alkS mRNA coding sequence and inhibits translation initiation. Nucleic Acids Res 37:7678–7690. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkp825
- Basu A, Shrivastava R, Basu B, Apte SK, Phale PS. 2007. Modulation of glucose transport causes preferential utilization of aromatic compounds in *Pseudomonas putida* CSV86. J Bacteriol 189:7556–7562. https://doi. org/10.1128/JB.01235-07
- 32. Shrivastava R, Basu B, Godbole A, Mathew MK, Apte SK, Phale PS. 2011. Repression of the glucose-inducible outermembrane protein OprBx during utilization of aromatic compounds and organic acids in *Pseudomonas putida* CSV86. Microbiology (Reading) 157:1531–1540. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.047191-0
- del Castillo T, Ramos JL. 2007. Simultaneous catabolite repression between glucose and toluene metabolism in *Pseudomonas putida* is channeled through different signaling pathways. J Bacteriol 189:6602– 6610. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00679-07
- Kukurugya MA, Mendonca CM, Solhtalab M, Wilkes RA, Thannhauser TW, Aristilde L. 2019. Multi-omics analysis unravels a segregated metabolic flux network that tunes co-utilization of sugar and aromatic carbons in *Pseudomonas putida* J Biol Chem 294:8464–8479. https://doi.org/10. 1074/jbc.RA119.007885
- van Duuren JBJH, Wijte D, Karge B, dos Santos VAPM, Yang Y, Mars AE, Eggink G. 2012. PH-Stat fed-batch process to enhance the production of cis, cis-muconate from benzoate by *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440-JD1. Biotechnol Prog 28:85–92. https://doi.org/10.1002/btpr.709
- Kuatsjah E, Johnson CW, Salvachúa D, Werner AZ, Zahn M, Szostkiewicz CJ, Singer CA, Dominick G, Okekeogbu I, Haugen SJ, Woodworth SP, Ramirez KJ, Giannone RJ, Hettich RL, McGeehan JE, Beckham GT. 2022. Debottlenecking 4-hydroxybenzoate hydroxylation in *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 improves muconate productivity from p-coumarate. Metab Eng 70:31–42.

- Hernández-Arranz S, Moreno R, Rojo F. 2013. The translational repressor Crc controls the *Pseudomonas putida* benzoate and alkane catabolic pathways using a multi-tier regulation strategy. Environ Microbiol 15:227–241.
- Moreno R, Martínez-Gomariz M, Yuste L, Gil C, Rojo F. 2009. The *Pseudomonas putida* Crc global regulator controls the hierarchical assimilation of amino acids in a complete medium: evidence from proteomic and genomic analyses. Proteomics 9:2910–2928.
- Moreno R, Fonseca P, Rojo F. 2010. The Crc global regulator inhibits the *Pseudomonas putida* pWW0 toluene/xylene assimilation pathway by repressing the translation of regulatory and structural genes. J Biol Chem 285:24412–24419.
- Elmore JR, Dexter GN, Salvachúa D, O'Brien M, Klingeman DM, Gorday K, Michener JK, Peterson DJ, Beckham GT, Guss AM. 2020. Engineered *Pseudomonas putida* simultaneously catabolizes five major components of corn stover lignocellulose: glucose, xylose, arabinose, p-coumaric acid, and acetic acid. Metab Eng 62:62–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ymben.2020.08.001
- 41. Lu C, Batianis C, Akwafo EO, Wijffels RH, Martins Dos Santos VAP, Weusthuis RA. 2021. When metabolic prowess is too much of a good thing: how carbon catabolite repression and metabolic versatility impede production of esterified α,ω-diols in Pseudomonas putida KT2440. Biotechnol Biofuels 14:218. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-021-02066-x
- Molina L, La Rosa R, Nogales J, Rojo F. 2019. Influence of the Crc global regulator on substrate uptake rates and the distribution of metabolic fluxes in *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 growing in a complete medium. Environ Microbiol 21:4446–4459. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920. 14812
- Eng T, Banerjee D, Lau AK, Bowden E, Herbert RA, Trinh J, Prahl JP, Deutschbauer A, Tanjore D, Mukhopadhyay A. 2021. Engineering *Pseudomonas putida* for efficient aromatic conversion to bioproduct using high throughput screening in a bioreactor. Metab Eng 66:229–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymben.2021.04.015
- 44. La Rosa R, Behrends V, Williams HD, Bundy JG, Rojo F. 2016. Influence of the Crc regulator on the hierarchical use of carbon sources from a complete medium in *Pseudomonas*. Environ Microbiol 18:807–818. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13126
- Dinamarca MA, Ruiz-Manzano A, Rojo F. 2002. Inactivation of cytochrome o ubiquinol oxidase relieves catabolic repression of the *Pseudomonas putida* GP01 alkane degradation pathway. J Bacteriol 184:3785–3793. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.184.14.3785-3793.2002
- Aranda-Olmedo I, Ramos JL, Marqués S. 2005. Integration of signals through Crc and PtsN in catabolite repression of *Pseudomonas putida* TOL Plasmid pWW0. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:4191–4198. https://doi. org/10.1128/AEM.71.8.4191-4198.2005
- Cases I, Pérez-Martín J, de Lorenzo V. 1999. The IIA(Ntr) (PtsN) protein of *Pseudomonas putida* mediates the C source inhibition of the σ54dependent Pu promoter of the TOL plasmid. J Biol Chem 274:15562– 15568. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.274.22.15562
- Morales G, Ugidos A, Rojo F. 2006. Inactivation of the *Pseudomonas* putida cytochrome o ubiquinol oxidase leads to a significant change in the transcriptome and to increased expression of the CIO and cbb3-1 terminal oxidases. Environ Microbiol 8:1764–1774. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1462-2920.2006.01061.x
- Weiland F, Kohlstedt M, Wittmann C. 2022. Guiding stars to the field of dreams: metabolically engineered pathways and microbial platforms for a sustainable lignin-based industry. Metab Eng 71:13–41. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ymben.2021.11.011
- Wada A, Prates ÉT, Hirano R, Werner AZ, Kamimura N, Jacobson DA, Beckham GT, Masai E. 2021. Characterization of aromatic acid/proton symporters in *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 toward efficient microbial conversion of lignin-related aromatics. Metab Eng 64:167–179. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ymben.2021.01.013
- Gómez-Álvarez H, Iturbe P, Rivero-Buceta V, Mines P, Bugg TDH, Nogales J, Díaz E. 2022. Bioconversion of lignin-derived aromatics into the building block pyridine 2,4-dicarboxylic acid by engineering recombinant *Pseudomonas putida* strains. Bioresour Technol 346:126638. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2021.126638
- Leonard S, Meyer S, Lacour S, Nasser W, Hommais F, Reverchon S. 2019. APERO: a genome-wide approach for identifying bacterial small RNAs

from RNA-Seq data. Nucleic Acids Res 47:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1093/ nar/gkz485

- Ozuna A, Liberto D, Joyce RM, Arnvig KB, Nobeli I. 2020. Baerhunter: an R package for the discovery and analysis of expressed non-coding regions in bacterial RNA-Seq data. Bioinformatics 36:966–969. https://doi.org/10. 1093/bioinformatics/btz643
- Sonnleitner E, Sorger-Domenigg T, Madej MJ, Findeiss S, Hackermüller J, Hüttenhofer A, Stadler PF, Bläsi U, Moll I. 2008. Detection of small RNAs in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by RNomics and structure-based bioinformatic tools. Microbiology (Reading) 154:3175–3187. https://doi.org/10. 1099/mic.0.2008/019703-0
- Gin J, Chen Y, J Petzold C. 2020. Chloroform-Methanol protein extraction for gram-negative bacteria (high throughput) v1. https://doi.org/10. 17504/protocols.io.bfx6jpre
- Chen Y, Gin J, J Petzold C. 2021. Discovery proteomic (DDA) LC-MS/MS data acquisition and analysis V.2. https://doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io. buthnwj6
- 57. Green MR, Sambrook J. 2012. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. 4th ed. Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Perez-Riverol Y, Csordas A, Bai J, Bernal-Llinares M, Hewapathirana S, Kundu DJ, Inuganti A, Griss J, Mayer G, Eisenacher M, Pérez E, Uszkoreit J, Pfeuffer J, Sachsenberg T, Yılmaz Ş, Tiwary S, Cox J, Audain E, Walzer M, Jarnuczak AF, Ternent T, Brazma A, Vizcaíno JA. 2019. The PRIDE database and related tools and resources in 2019: improving support for quantification data. Nucleic Acids Res 47:D442–D450. https://doi.org/10. 1093/nar/gky1106