

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Title

COORDINATION CHEMISTRY OF METAL SURFACES AND METAL COMPLEXES

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6xw4w484>

Author

Muetterties, E.L.

Publication Date

1979-12-01



Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Materials & Molecular Research Division

To be presented at the 7th International Congress on Catalysis,
Tokyo, Japan, June 30 - July 4, 1980

COORDINATION CHEMISTRY OF METAL SURFACES AND METAL COMPLEXES

Earl L. Muetterties

December 1979


RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

FEB 25 1980

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 6782.*



Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract W-7405-ENG-48 ,
and for the National Science Foundation CHE-7903933

LBL-10308 c.2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

COORDINATION CHEMISTRY OF METAL SURFACES AND METAL COMPLEXES

Earl L. Muetterties

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley,
California 97420, USA

Abstract - The coordination chemistry of two isomeric molecules, acetonitrile and methyl isocyanide, has been characterized for (A) single crystal nickel surfaces as a function of crystallography and surface composition, (B) nickel catalyst surfaces and (C) molecular mononuclear and polynuclear metal complexes. Conditions have been established for the catalytic isomerization of methyl isocyanide to acetonitrile within these nickel surface and molecular complex regimes. A comparison is made of the acetonitrile and methyl isocyanide chemistry between the surface and molecular complex areas.

INTRODUCTION

Our research addresses the intimate structural and stereochemical features of the interactions and reactions of molecules with metal atoms constrained at the surfaces of metals and within molecular mononuclear and polynuclear metal complexes (1, 2). I believe that there is a fundamental set of coordination chemistry principles applicable to all metal complex and all metal chemistry; there should be no discontinuities in the applicability of the principles. Certainly, differences in features of the chemistry must be present as the regimes of surface chemistry, molecular metal cluster chemistry and molecular mononuclear metal chemistry are traversed but the

differences should be explicable in terms of state differences such as differences in nuclearity, metal atom coordination number and degree of coordination saturation (1). We have sought an experimental characterization of the similarities and differences of the chemistry within these regimes-- and an understanding of these similarities and of the differences.

I describe here the results from a study of the metal atom chemistry of two isomeric molecules, acetonitrile and methyl isocyanide, with respect to (A) metal surfaces of well defined crystallography and composition, (B) metal catalysts and (C) metal clusters and mononuclear metal complexes. First described is the acetonitrile and methyl isocyanide coordination chemistry of metal single crystals under ultrahigh vacuum conditions whereby the crystallographic and surface compositional effects on chemistry can be precisely established. Secondly, the chemistry of these isomeric molecules on "real" surfaces of less well defined crystallography and composition is described. Finally, the structural, stereochemical and chemical features of the two isomeric molecules on surfaces are compared with established features of molecular metal acetonitrile and methyl isocyanide complexes. To this point, the characterized metal chemistry is that of nickel and platinum. The catalytic isomerization of methyl isocyanide to acetonitrile on nickel surfaces is defined in terms of requisite crystallographic and compositional features of the surface.

EXPERIMENTAL

All studies with metal single crystals were performed in a Varian bakeable, ultrahigh vacuum chamber, with a base pressure of 10^{-10} to 10^{-11} torr. The chamber was equipped with low-energy electron diffraction, retarding-field Auger

electron spectroscopy and a quadrupole mass spectrometer (with the capability to scan and store data from different mass ion currents in rapid succession) accessories. Platinum and nickel single crystals were spark-cut from single crystal rods, oriented by Laue back X-ray reflections, polished and then cleaned by oxygen and by ion sputtering. Platinum crystals after high temperature O₂ treatments were cleaned with acid to remove calcium impurities. The nickel and platinum crystals after cleaning were free of the common impurities of C, S, Ca, O and P as judged by Auger electron spectroscopy. Controlled introduction of carbon atoms on the nickel surface was by treatment of the crystal with either benzene or methyl isocyanide at elevated temperatures. Nickel and platinum crystal blanks with the front face (only) covered with a gold microcrystalline layer (~0.0002 inches thick) were prepared by procedures described elsewhere (3, 4). Experiments with "real" surfaces at low or ambient pressures included Raney nickel, nickel from hydrogen reduction of nickel oxide, nickel foil and a nickel(111) surface (with the sides and back covered with gold--only the (111) surface was exposed). Isomerization of methyl cyanide to acetonitrile was quantitatively assessed by gas chromatographic analysis. Blank experiments for the catalytic isomerization employed quartz fragments; no significant isomerization was observed up to temperatures of ~300°C in the blank experiments.

Mononuclear and polynuclear nickel and platinum complexes were prepared by standard procedures (5, 6).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Ultrahigh vacuum studies of nickel and platinum single crystals (4)

Acetonitrile chemisorption on nickel(111) is primarily a reversible process; the majority of acetonitrile molecules chemisorbed on this initially clean nickel surface desorbed with a maximum at ~85-90°C. Some irreversible decomposition (<5%) occurred as evidenced by Auger spectroscopic analysis of the surface following the thermal desorption experiment whereby the presence of nitrogen and carbon were established. At 20°C, a significant fraction of the acetonitrile molecules chemisorbed on the Ni(111) surface was ordered. Low-energy electron diffraction experiments showed a well defined 2x2 chemisorbed layer, a unit cell twice the size of the (111) metal surface unit cell and rotationally in correspondence of the surface metal atom unit cell (7). The simplest interpretation of the diffraction data is that there is coordination of the acetonitrile nitrogen atom to every other nickel atom with the CN vector normal or nearly normal to the metal surface. This orientation of the acetonitrile molecule with the CH₃ group maximally distal to the surface nickel atoms is consistent with the nearly complete thermal reversibility of the chemisorption process (7).

The presence of carbon on the nickel(111) surface did not significantly alter the acetonitrile chemistry; the only detectable difference was an apparent decrease in the sticking coefficient and a decrease in the saturation coverage with increasing surface carbon contamination. With increase in carbon surface concentration, there was no change in the temperature maximum for the acetonitrile thermal desorption process. Also, this chemistry was nondetectably altered in going from the (111) close packed surface to the stepped 9(111)x(111) surface. Only a minor difference was detected in the

acetonitrile chemistry between the (111) surface and a stepped and kinked $7(111)\times(310)$ surface; the extent of reversibility on the more irregular surface seemed to be slightly lower than on the close packed surface. In any case, the thermal desorption temperature maximum did not shift from $85-90^{\circ}\text{C}$ in going from the close packed surface to the stepped and kinked surface.

Chemisorption of acetonitrile was defined as a molecular or nondissociative process by labeling experiments. Chemisorption of a mixture of CD_3CN and $\text{CH}_3\text{C}^{15}\text{N}$ yielded in the thermal desorption experiment only these two labeled molecules. No cross labeled molecules were detected by mass spectrometric analysis of the desorbed molecules. Thus, no reversible C-H, C-N or C-C bond breaking (assuming mobility for molecular fragments) occurred for chemisorbed acetonitrile on nickel within the time scale (minutes) and the temperature range of $20-90^{\circ}\text{C}$ of these experiments. Chemisorption of acetonitrile on the Pt(111) surface was quite analogous to the nickel surface chemistry (8). In this case, the thermal desorption temperature was similar $\sim 80-90^{\circ}\text{C}$; however, the sticking coefficient and coverage at saturation was much lower than for nickel. Polycrystalline gold was virtually identical to the Pt(111) surface in behavior toward acetonitrile except that the sticking coefficient was lower still.

Methyl isocyanide was much more strongly bound on the nickel surfaces than was acetonitrile as evidenced by a very high sticking coefficient and by an essentially complete thermal irreversibility of the chemisorption process from the close packed (111) surface and also the stepped $9(111)\times(111)$ and stepped-kinked $7(111)\times(310)$ surfaces. Attempted thermal desorption of methyl isocyanide from the close packed surface led to a trace

desorption of a molecule of mass 41 (CH_3NC or CH_3CN) at 90°C , major hydrogen (H_2) desorption beginning at $\sim 100^\circ\text{C}$, and nitrogen (N_2) desorption above 500°C . However, this methyl isocyanide chemistry was very sensitive to surface composition. Sulfur contamination did not perceptibly affect the CH_3NC surface chemistry but the presence of surface carbon atoms dramatically affected the chemistry. Carbon surface atoms derived from either benzene or CH_3NC totally altered the surface behavior of methyl isocyanide. In the presence of surface carbon atoms (0.05 to 0.30 of a monolayer), the thermal behavior of CH_3NC changed to a reversible process with desorption of molecules of mass 41 (CH_3NC or CH_3CN) for both the (111) and 9(111)x(111) surfaces. Mass spectrometry cannot distinguish between CH_3NC or CH_3CN but for reasons discussed below the molecules released in the thermal desorption process are believed to be acetonitrile. Firstly, the desorption temperature for the close-packed or stepped Ni-C- CH_3NC surface is $85\text{--}90^\circ\text{C}$ which is the desorption temperature for the CH NC molecule from either the clean or carbon contaminated nickel surfaces.* For desorption of the CH_3NC molecule to occur at this same temperature as for CH_3CN would be more than an unusual coincidence since it would be a very low desorption temperature in a relative (to CH_3CN) sense. We expect CH_3NC to be much more strongly bound than CH_3CN on these metal surfaces; and, in fact, the thermal desorption maxima for CH_3CN and CH_3NC on Pt(111) differ significantly and, respectively, are $\sim 80\text{--}90$ and $\sim 170^\circ\text{C}$ (8). Secondly, the catalytic isomerization of CH_3NC to CH_3CN on a nickel surface has been established (see below).


The isomerization reaction on the nickel surfaces is intramolecular as shown by the absence of cross labeled molecules in the thermal desorption

*Ni(111), Ni9(111)x(111), and Ni7(111)x(310).

experiment with Ni(111)-C-CD₃NC-CH₃N¹³C. Surface carbon atoms were not exchanged with CH₃NC in the isomerization and thermal desorption processes: Acetonitrile evolved in the thermal desorption experiment from Ni(111)-¹³C-CH₃NC had not detectably incorporated ¹³C from surface ¹³C carbon atoms.

Thermal desorption experiments with methyl isocyanide from the carbon contaminated stepped-kinked Ni7(111)x(310)-C surface was substantially different from the close-packed and stepped Ni-C surfaces. A large desorption maximum for mass 41 at 85-90°C was again present but there was a smaller though substantial second maximum at ~150°C. Clearly, the presence of kink sites (although low in concentration) did alter the behavior on the carbon containing nickel surface. The first and obvious question is "Which molecule desorbs in the 150°C range?". This question could not be answered in a rigorous fashion but for reasons discussed in the following section the desorbing molecules in the 150°C range are believed to be the isomerized species, acetonitrile. Both the 85-90°C and 150°C processes were fully molecular and intramolecular in character. The molecules released thermally from a Ni7(111)x(310)-C-CD₃NC-CH₃N¹³C surface were only CD₃CN and CH₃¹³CN; no significant degree of reversible C-H, C-C or C-N bond breaking process occurred between 25°C and the 90 and 150°C desorption temperatures (again assuming mobility for any molecular fragment).

Finally, we note that trimethylphosphine, (CH₃)₃P, a molecule that is very strongly bound to the nickel surface readily displaced acetonitrile from the nickel surfaces (equation 1) but did not displace CH₃NC from either the



clean or carbon containing nickel surfaces. Thus, CH_3NC does not isomerize on chemisorption on the Ni-C surfaces at 25°C but at some higher temperature, somewhere between 25 and 90°C .

Catalytic Isomerization of Methyl Isocyanide

When liquid methyl isocyanide was heated in a sealed vessel and in contact with nickel metal, no isomerization was observed up to 180°C and isocyanide was nearly quantitatively recovered. In all these instances, there was darkening of the nickel surface suggestive of a polymerization of the isocyanide on the surface. In sharp contrast, with passage of the isocyanide at short contact times through a bed of high surface area nickel metal, e.g., Raney nickel, isomerization proceeded smoothly at $150\text{--}200^\circ\text{C}$ without discoloration of the nickel surface. Thirty percent conversions per pass at ~ 1 mm pressure were observed at $\sim 180^\circ\text{C}$. Substitution of the metal by finely divided quartz particles gave an inert system--inert to $\sim 280^\circ\text{C}$. Hence, nickel metal does catalyze the isomerization of methyl isocyanide to acetonitrile. However, we were unsuccessful in effecting catalytic isomerization with nickel at temperatures of $90\text{--}100^\circ\text{C}$ --the temperature that methyl isocyanide desorbs from a nickel surface to give acetonitrile. Apparent temperature differences between the ultrahigh vacuum experiments and the catalyst experiments may only reflect differences that arise from the very short contact times necessarily used in the catalytic experiment in order to minimize surface polymerization reactions. Surface oxygen contamination appears to have a deleterious effect on the isomerization process (ultrahigh vacuum studies are in progress to establish precisely the role of oxygen in this nickel surface chemistry). Nickel foil (acid etched before use) and nickel metal obtained by hydrogen reduction of the oxide both contained substantial surface oxygen and both were inactive as



catalysts for the isocyanide isomerization reaction up to 200°C.

Molecular Cluster Acetonitrile and Alkyl Isocyanide Chemistry


Acetonitrile is typically a weak field ligand in transition metal chemistry. This invariably is the case for mononuclear metal complexes and these complexes, like $W(CO)_3(NCCH_3)$, are excellent synthesis intermediates because of the ready dissociation of the acetonitrile ligand. Such chemistry also prevails for molecular metal clusters with but one exception which is discussed below. Generally, acetonitrile is a weaker ligand than carbon monoxide in molecular zerovalent or low-valent metal complex chemistry. In this thermochemical context, there is a precise correspondence between the nickel and platinum surface chemistry and molecular metal coordination chemistry. Acetonitrile is quantitatively displaced from the nickel and platinum surfaces by carbon monoxide. The mode of acetonitrile (or any alkyl cyanide) bonding to transition metals in mononuclear or polynuclear complexes, with one exception, simply comprises a σ bond between the nitrile nitrogen atom and a single metal atom (9). Although side, π bonding of nitriles has been claimed, none is structurally established for acetonitrile or a simple alkyl isocyanide (9). Unlike carbon monoxide, acetonitrile is not known to form two electron bridge bonds between two or three metal atoms. Thus, it is tempting to characterize, by analogy, the acetonitrile chemisorption state on Ni(111) and Pt(111) as nitrogen direct bonding to single metal atoms. However, these surface states represent coordinately unsaturated states whereas all known molecular cluster-acetonitrile complexes are coordinately saturated; hence, there is a reasonable probability that for Ni(111)-NCCH₃ and Pt(111)-NCCH₃ the nitrogen atom lies in a three-fold site and interacts with three metal atoms. Nonetheless, none of the available

data is consistent with a bonding of both the nitrile nitrogen and carbon atoms to surface metal atoms; the acetonitrile CN vector must be nearly normal to the nickel and platinum surfaces.

The one exceptional case of a nitrile in which the nitrogen and carbon atoms are bonded to several metal atoms is the molecular metal cluster $\text{Fe}_3(\text{CO})_9(\text{NC}-n\text{-C}_3\text{H}_7)$ (10). Interestingly, this cluster has not been prepared directly from the nitrile but by an indirect two-step synthesis outlined in equations 2 and 3 below:




This structurally defined cluster (10) has the nitrogen and carbon atoms bound to the iron triangle as shown in 1. It will be interesting to compare



the chemistry of acetonitrile on the Ni(111) surface with the Fe(111) surface--a study now in progress in our laboratories.

Alkyl isocyanides are relatively strong field ligands in transition metal chemistry; the molecules are both good σ donor and π acceptor ligands and typically the isocyanides readily displace carbon monoxide from mononuclear or polynuclear metal carbonyl complexes. Since methyl isocyanide is strongly bound on both the Ni(111) and Pt(111) surfaces, the thermochemical features

of CH_3CN and CH_3NC chemisorption on these surfaces are fully analogous to that of molecular coordination compounds. Available surface data do not define the stereochemical character of methyl isocyanide bonding to the nickel and platinum surfaces. In molecular cluster chemistry, alkyl isocyanide binding is established for two electron-two center carbon-metal interactions, 2, and for two electron-three center metal-carbon-metal bridging interactions, 3, as in $\text{Pt}_3(\text{CNR})_6$ (11). In addition, there is one example of



an alkyl isocyanide ligand bound through both the carbon and nitrogen atoms to three metal atoms. In $\text{Ni}_4[\text{CNC}(\text{CH}_3)_3]_7$, three of the isocyanide ligands are bridging ligands about the enlarged face of the compressed nickel tetrahedron as shown in Figure 1 (12). This type of multicenter and multielectron binding of the isocyanide ligand, or one very similar to it, is probably the mode of binding for methyl isocyanide on the Ni(111) surface. The irreversible character of the binding of methyl isocyanide on the nickel surface in contrast to the weak and thermally reversible chemisorption of acetonitrile on nickel is partially ascribed to the stronger binding of the isocyanide but the key factor is probably the stereochemical feature that places the NC vector more or less parallel to the surface to bring the methyl hydrogen atoms in close proximity to the surface nickel atoms thereby facilitating irreversible C-H bond breaking processes. Contrasts in the CH_3 group position for CH_3CN and CH_3NC chemisorption states on nickel are depicted in Figure 2.

The role of carbon atoms in transforming the nickel surface chemistry of methyl isocyanide from a tightly bonded and thermally irreversible state

to one in which isomerization and thermal liberation of the isomer acetonitrile prevails cannot be delineated on a molecular basis with the available data. Nevertheless, the profound affect of the carbon atoms at low coverages, 0.05 to 0.25 of a monolayer, suggests that it is not short range or steric but long range and electronic in character. No such specific alteration in molecular coordination chemistry of CH_3NC has been reported.

Vibrational (high resolution electron energy loss spectroscopy) studies of the CH_3CN and CH_3NC chemisorption states on nickel surfaces, now planned for investigations as a function of temperature, crystallography, and surface composition, may resolve some of the stereochemical features of this surface chemistry.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The ultrahigh vacuum studies were supported by the Division of Chemical Sciences, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy under contract No. W-7405-Eng-48 and the other investigations were supported through a generous grant from the National Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

1. E.L. Muetterties, T.N. Rhodin, E. Band, C.F. Brucker and W.R. Pretzer, Chem. Rev. 79, 91-137 (1979).
2. E.L. Muetterties, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 17, 545-558 (1978).
3. C.M. Friend, R.M. Gavin, M-C. Tsai and E.L. Muetterties, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102, 0000 (1980).
4. C.M. Friend, J. Stein and E.L. Muetterties, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102, 0000 (1980).
5. M.G. Thomas, W.R. Pretzer, B.F. Beier, F.J. Hirsekorn and E.L. Muetterties, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 743-748 (1977).
6. M. Green, J.A. Howard, J.L. Spencer and F.G.A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 3-4 (1975).
7. J.C. Hemminger, E.L. Muetterties and G.A. Somorjai, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 101, 62-67 (1979).
8. M-C. Tsai and E.L. Muetterties, to be published.
9. B.N. Storhoff, Coord. Chem. Rev. 23, 1-29 (1977).
10. M.A. Andrews, C.B. Knobler and H.D. Kaesz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 101, 7260-7264 (1979).
11. M. Green, J.A.K. Howard, M. Murray, J.L. Spencer and F.G.A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1509-1514 (1977).
12. V.W. Day, R.O. Day, J.S. Kristoff, F.J. Hirsekorn and E.L. Muetterties, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 2571-2573 (1975).




Figure 1. A representation of the structure of $\text{Ni}_4[\text{CNC}(\text{CH}_3)_3]_7$ is viewed here along the threefold axis of the compressed Ni_4 tetrahedron. The unique apical nickel atom is labeled 4, and the basal nickel atoms are numbered 1 through 3. The three isocyanide ligands that bridge the basal edges of the tetrahedron are effectively four-electron donors whereas the four terminally bound isocyanide ligands are two-electron donors. Because there was a disorder in the crystal used in the crystallographic study, a precise characterization of the unique bridging isocyanide ligand atom positions was not feasible. The apical to basal nickel-nickel distances are very short, $\sim 2.34\text{\AA}$. Hydrogen atoms of the tert-butyl groups are not depicted.




Figure 2. To the left is a representation of the probable orientation of acetonitrile molecules bound to a nickel or platinum (111) surface--note the relatively large distance between the methyl group hydrogen atoms and the nickel surface atoms. To the right is a formal representation of the μ_2 -binding of methyl isocyanide on the nickel(111) surface) whereby the methyl group hydrogen atoms closely approach the surface nickel atoms.

