UC Davis

UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Accuracy of parental report and electronic health record documentation as measures of diet and physical activity counseling.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6z09g7j0>

Journal

Academic pediatrics, 12(2)

ISSN

1876-2859

Authors

Shaikh, Ulfat
Nettiksimmons, Jasmine
Bell, Robert A
et al.

Publication Date

2012-03-01

DOI

10.1016/j.acap.2011.10.004

Peer reviewed

Accuracy of Parental Report and Electronic Health Record Documentation as Measures of Diet and Physical Activity Counseling

Ulfat Shaikh, MD, MPH, MS; Jasmine Nettiksimmons, MA; Robert A. Bell, PhD; Daniel Tancredi, PhD; Patrick S. Romano, MD, MPH

From the Department of Pediatrics (Drs. Shaikh, Tancredi, Romano), Department of Internal Medicine (Dr. Romano), University of California, Davis School of Medicine, Sacramento, Calif; Graduate Group in Epidemiology (Ms. Nettiksimmons), Departments of Communication and Public Health Sciences (Dr. Bell), University of California, Davis, Davis, Calif; Center for Healthcare Policy and Research, Sacramento, Calif (Dr. Tancredi)

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Address correspondence to Ulfat Shaikh, MD, MPH, MS, Department of Pediatrics, University of California, Davis School of Medicine, 2516 Stockton Blvd., Room 335 Sacramento, Calif 95817 (e-mail: ushaikh@ucdavis.edu).

Received for publication August 27, 2011; accepted October 25, 2011.

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To determine whether parental reports and electronic health record documentation of physician counseling on nutrition and physical activity reflect actual counseling provided.

METHODS: Participants were parents of 198 children 2 to 12 years of age seen in a primary care pediatric clinic at an academic medical center for well child care and their 38 physicians. Parents completed a post-visit questionnaire to report discussions on weight, nutrition, and physical activity that occurred during the visit. Electronic health records were reviewed to measure documentation of these topics during the visit. Parental reports and records were compared with actual discussions on the basis of coded audiotapes. Counseling was coded as having occurred if specific topics were mentioned during the encounter, however brief this mention was.

RESULTS: A total of 48% of the children were female, they were a mean age of 5.4 years, and 28% were overweight or obese. Sensitivity of parental report was high (63%–96%), but specificity was low (43%–77%) because of parents' tendency

to overreport counseling. Sensitivity of electronic health record documentation was generally low (40%–53%) except for discussion of screen time (92%) and physical activity (88%); the specificity of these data was also poor (42% and 21%, respectively, for screen time and physical activity).

CONCLUSIONS: Electronic health record documentation may not be the most valid method of measuring physician counseling on weight, nutrition, and physical activity in pediatric primary care. Parental report via the use of a questionnaire administered immediately after the visit is a better alternative in quality improvement or research studies when resources do not allow for direct observation, with the caveat that parents may overreport whether counseling was provided.

KEYWORDS: child; counseling; electronic health records; obesity; outcome assessment (health care); quality improvement

ACADEMIC PEDIATRICS 2012;12:81–87

WHAT'S NEW

Parental report via the use of a questionnaire administered immediately after the visit is a more valid method of assessing physician counseling on weight, nutrition, and physical activity in pediatric primary care compared to medical record documentation.

APPROXIMATELY 17% OF children and adolescents between the ages of 2 and 19 years are obese, and an additional 15% are overweight.¹ Pediatric obesity is a risk factor for the development of multiple physical and physiological problems in children, adolescents, and adults.² Because the primary modifiable determinants of obesity include food intake and physical activity, the cornerstone

of obesity prevention and management in children is behavior and lifestyle modification.^{3–5}

One measure of health care quality is adherence to evidence-based practice guidelines.⁶ The 2007 Expert Committee Recommendations on the Assessment, Prevention, and Treatment of Child and Adolescent Overweight and Obesity emphasize assessment of body mass index (BMI) and provision of diet and physical activity counseling during all well-child visits.⁷ Counseling for nutrition and physical activity for children and adolescents is a quality measure in the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set and a criterion for “meaningful use” of electronic health records (EHRs) under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act.^{8,9} There is great variation in how frequently clinicians follow these recommended practices.^{10–15}

Rigorous assessment of interventions to increase clinician counseling on diet and physical activity requires validated counseling measures and instruments.¹⁶ Analysis of audiotapes is the most valid method of assessing counseling behaviors but is time-consuming, expensive, and requires rigorous training of staff.¹⁷ Therefore, parental report and medical record documentation are often used as proxies for direct observation of clinician behavior. The accuracy of parental report varies by the acuteness and significance of the medical issue, length of time that has elapsed between the visit and reporting, and the child's age.^{18,19} Reliance on medical record documentation is also problematic because documentation shows low concordance with directly observed physician counseling, especially with respect to health behavior.^{20–22}

The goal of our study was to compare the sensitivity and specificity of parental report and EHR documentation with the “gold standard” of audiotape analyses of visits. To our knowledge, this study is the first to compare the accuracy of parental report and EHR documentation of diet and physical activity counseling with independent coders' evaluation of audiotaped visits in pediatric primary care settings.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

DEVELOPMENT OF PARENT QUESTIONNAIRE

Questions in the parent questionnaire were derived from the Promoting Healthy Development Survey designed by The Child and Adolescent Health Measurement Initiative.²³ The parent questionnaire included demographic items and the following broad question: “Did your child's doctor or other health provider talk with you about the following today,” followed by a list of topics. To the topics related to nutrition and television viewing already present, we added topics from the 2007 Expert Committee Recommendations, including sweetened drink intake, fruit and vegetable consumption, physical activity, and weight.⁷ The parent questionnaire was developed in English, and we determined from pilot testing that it would take approximately 15 minutes to complete. Five pediatricians in ambulatory practice reviewed the questionnaire for face validity; one pediatrician was a member of the committee that developed the Expert Committee Recommendations.

RECRUITMENT OF PARENTS AND PHYSICIANS

Approval to conduct this study was obtained from the UC Davis Institutional Review Board. The study was conducted from April 2008 to August 2009 at the University of California Davis Health System's general pediatric clinic. Participants were physicians and parents of children between 2 and 12 years of age seen for well-child care. A maximum of 24 patients were recruited from each physician's panel and were eligible to participate regardless of their medical history. We identified eligible children the day before their visit, and before the visit, while in the waiting room, parents were invited to participate in the study by a research assistant. Parents were informed that the study would assess their perspective about the well-child visit. Information on children's age, sex, race/ethnicity, and

parental education was collected from parental report. Physicians were informed that parent education would be assessed but were not told the specific topics that would be evaluated, namely, weight, nutrition, and physical activity. All faculty physicians and residents in the practice were invited to participate.

AUDIOTAPING AND CODING OF VISITS

An audiorecorder was placed in the examination room in an inconspicuous location immediately after the parent and child entered the room. The complete visit was audiotaped with parents' and physicians' full knowledge. A coding form and codebook were developed with operational definitions for each discussion item and specific criteria for coding topics as having been discussed. The first 50 audiotapes were independently evaluated by 2 trained coders who were bachelor-level research staff members with training and experience in scoring audiotapes for similar research projects, as well as by the first author (U.S.), all of whom were blinded to parent questionnaire data.

We used a consensus procedure that used repetitive cycles of independent audiotape assessment, comparison of assessments, reaching consensus through discussion, revised operational definitions, and rescoring until 95% agreement was reached. For most audiotapes, this process was completed in 2 cycles. During this process, the codebook and coding form were revised to clarify issues in which coders differed among themselves or with U.S. in their assessments. The remaining audiotapes were divided equally between the 2 coders for assessment. Coders reviewed all questions that arose with U.S. until coding was completed. We adapted these methods from those used by Pbert et al²⁴ for validation of a smoking cessation counseling exit interview. Specific weight, nutrition, and physical activity topics were noted as having been discussed if these topics were mentioned during the encounter, however brief this mention was.

ADMINISTRATION OF PARENT QUESTIONNAIRE

A research assistant returned to the examination room immediately after the visit and provided parents with the questionnaire to complete in the clinic.

EHR REVIEW PROCESS

Our Epic EHR system had been in place for approximately 2 years before the commencement of this study. Our clinic uses standardized well-child visit templates that contain prompts to enhance data collection and documentation. There are separate templates for each age for annual well-child visits. There is, however, overlap between well-child visit templates in the 2 main content areas relevant to our study. The patient history section contains prompts for diet, child care, sleep, development, and immunizations. The prompt for diet has a drop-down menu from which the user can select one or more of the following options: appropriate diet, picky eater, and an option to enter free-text. The anticipatory guidance section contains the text “Education Topics Reviewed”

Table 1. Demographic Information on Children and Parents/Guardians, N = 198

	Mean	SE
Child demographics		
Age, years	5.4	0.20
BMI percentile	58.9	2.4
Female	0.48	0.03
Public health insurance	0.63	0.08
Hispanic ethnicity, all races	0.34	0.04
Race		
African American/black	0.25	0.05
Caucasian/white	0.39	0.04
American Indian/Alaska Native	0.02	0.01
Asian	0.14	0.04
Pacific Islander	0.03	0.01
Other race	0.16	0.02
Parent/guardian demographics		
Education		
Less than 8th grade	0.01	0.01
Some high school	0.09	0.02
High school graduate	0.20	0.03
Some college/2-year degree	0.38	0.04
College degree (4-year)	0.16	0.04
Graduate school	0.17	0.04
Relationship with child		
Mother	0.76	0.03
Father	0.19	0.02
Aunt/uncle	0.01	0.001
Grandparent	0.03	0.01
Guardian	0.02	0.01

and a drop-down menu from which the user can select one or more of the following options: fluoride, TV/reading, diet, car seat, discipline/limit setting, exercise, safety, and an option to enter free-text. Our medical record review indicated that standardized templates were utilized for all patients included in this study.

Reviews of EHR were performed by a trained research assistant who is a doctoral student in epidemiology. Periodic audits were conducted by U.S. to maintain consistency. Data on height and weight were abstracted. Any characterization of patient weight or BMI in the visit note or problem list was considered a discussion of weight, irrespective of its extent or accuracy. Specific nutrition and physical activity topics were noted as having been discussed if these topics were documented in the EHR, however brief this mention was. We used definitions published by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to categorize weight status and corresponding BMI percentiles. Overweight was defined as BMI percentile between 85th to less than the 95th percentile. Obesity was defined as BMI percentile equal to or greater than the 95th percentile.²⁵

STATISTICAL ANALYSES

Data analyses were conducted by the use of survey data analysis procedures in SAS 9.2,²⁶ specifying visits from the same physician as a cluster to account for within-physician correlation when calculating standard errors and test statistics. We calculated the sensitivity and specificity of parental report and EHR documentation by considering audiotape to be the gold standard. The sensitivity of parental report (or EHR documentation) for a particular

topic was defined as the number of visits in which the parent (or EHR documentation) correctly reported discussion of a particular topic divided by the total number of visits in which that topic was discussed according to the audiotape. Specificity was defined as the number of visits in which the parent (or EHR documentation) correctly reported that the topic was not discussed divided by the total number of visits in which the topic was not discussed.

Paired comparisons between parental report and EHR documentation for sensitivity and specificity used Kish's adaptation of McNemar test procedure for clustered survey data when the number of discordant observations was 6 or greater, and otherwise used an exact binomial McNemar test.²⁷ Statistical significance required a 2-sided *P*-value < .05.

SAMPLE SIZE CALCULATION

Before we collected data, we performed a sample size calculation with an anticipated enrollment of 30 physicians. We cautiously assumed that design effect might be as high as 1.5, inflating the target sample size for a sensitivity or specificity estimate. We targeted an enrollment of 204 visits and achieved an enrollment of 198 visits with usable audio recordings. However, better-than-expected physician recruitment resulted in smaller cluster-related design effects in our realized sample and narrower confidence intervals for many of our estimates.

RESULTS

CHILD, PARENT, AND PHYSICIAN DESCRIPTIVE VARIABLES

A total of 38 physicians and parents of 198 children (94% of eligible parents) were enrolled. Demographic information for participating children and their parents is presented in Table 1. Children were, on average, 5.4 years of age, and 48% were female. Most children (63%) had public health insurance. On the basis of parental reports, 39% of children were white, 25% black, 14% Asian, 2% Native American, and 3% Pacific Islander; 34% of children were Hispanic. The parent who completed the questionnaire was most often the mother (76%). Approximately 90% of parents had completed high school. The majority of children were first-borns (51%). Approximately 12% of children in our sample were overweight, and 16% were obese. Fifty-six percent of children in the study were seen by faculty physicians alone, 23% by third-year residents, 12% by second-year residents, and 9% by first-year residents.

DISCUSSIONS OF DIET AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY BY AUDIOTAPE ASSESSMENT

Table 2 compares the frequency of report of discussion topics by parent, EHR, and audiotape coding. Audiotape assessment, our standard of accuracy, indicated that weight was discussed in 87% of visits, fruits and vegetables were discussed in 77% of visits, and sugary beverages were discussed in 54% of visits. All other discussion topics appeared in fewer than one-half of visits, ranging from 7% for family meals to 45% for screen time.

Table 2. Frequency of Report of Discussion of Specific Topics; % (95% Confidence Intervals), N = 198

Topic	Parental Report, % (95% CI)	Medical Record Documentation, % (95% CI)	Audiotape Assessment, % (95% CI)
Weight	90 (86–94)	39 (25–53)	87 (82–92)
Fruits/vegetables	80 (71–89)	44 (29–59)	77 (66–87)
Outside food	46 (35–57)	15 (7–23)	21 (13–30)
Family meals	27 (18–36)	7 (3–11)	7 (2–13)
Breakfast	37 (27–47)	14 (2–26)	18 (11–24)
Sugary beverages	54 (40–67)	35 (21–50)	54 (43–65)
Screen time	56 (40–73)	73 (60–87)	45 (27–63)
Physical activity	73 (65–81)	83 (73–92)	42 (32–52)

ACCURACY OF PARENTAL REPORT

Discussions on all items except sugary beverages were reported more frequently by the child's parent/guardian than on audiotape assessment, indicating a high level of false-positive reports. "False positive" is used here to refer to topics noted in the parent questionnaire that were not noted during audiotape assessment. The rate of false-positive reports was high, ranging from 23% for discussions on family meals to 57% for discussions on physical activity. As described in Table 3, parental report was highly sensitive for discussions related to weight, fruits and vegetables, screen time, and physical activity (92%–96%), but less sensitive for discussions of outside food, breakfast, and sugary beverages (63%–88%). Parental report had fairly low specificity in general, ranging from 43% for physical activity to 77% for family meals.

ACCURACY OF EHR DOCUMENTATION

For EHR documentation, the rate of false-positive results (ie, topics recorded in the EHR as discussed but not noted on audiotape assessment) ranged from 4% for discussion of family meals to 79% for discussion of physical activity. Sensitivity of EHR documentation ranged from 42% to 92% depending on the topic, with documentation of consumption of sugary beverages and screen time having high sensitivities of 92% and 88% respectively (Table 4). The specificity of EHR documentation was particularly high for documentation of outside meals, family meals, breakfast, and sugary beverages (86%–96%). Of note, the specificity of EHR documentation was markedly low for physical activity and screen time, 21% and 42%, respectively.

SENSITIVITY OF EHR DOCUMENTATION AND PARENTAL REPORT

Parental report was significantly more sensitive than EHR documentation for weight, fruits/vegetables, outside food, and sugary beverages. Parental report was more sensitive for all other topics as well but not significantly so. In general, sensitivity of parental report was greatest for topics that were discussed most frequently, resulting in greater negative predictive value estimates on these topics for parental report compared to EHR documentation (Tables 2 to 4).

SPECIFICITY OF EHR DOCUMENTATION AND PARENTAL REPORT

The specificity of EHR documentation was significantly greater for fruits/vegetables, outside food, family meals, and breakfast. Parental report had significantly better specificity for screen time and physical activity, topics that were discussed in approximately one-half of visits, leading to greater positive predictive value estimates for parent reports on these topics compared with EHR documentation.

DISCUSSION

Our study demonstrates that a parent questionnaire administered immediately after the clinical encounter has acceptable sensitivity in estimating whether discussions on weight, nutrition, and physical activity occurred compared with a criterion measure of independent audiotape assessment of visits. However, parents tend to overreport counseling. EHR documentation may not be the most valid method of measuring such counseling because it

Table 3. Sensitivity, Specificity, and Positive and Negative Predictive Value of Parental Report of Physician Counseling on Nutrition and Physical Activity, N = 198 visits

Topic	Sensitivity, %		Specificity, %		Positive Predictive Value	Negative Predictive Value
	Est.	95% CI	Est.	95% CI	Probability	Probability
Weight	96	94–99	52	31–73	0.93	0.68
Fruits/vegetables	92	87–97	59	41–78	0.88	0.69
Outside food	88	78–98	66	56–76	0.41	0.95
Family meals	77	56–98	77	69–85	0.20	0.98
Breakfast	63	47–79	69	58–79	0.30	0.90
Sugary beverages	77	66–88	74	58–87	0.78	0.73
Screen time	93	86–100	73	64–81	0.74	0.93
Physical activity	94	89–99	43	30–55	0.54	0.91

Table 4. Sensitivity, Specificity, and Positive and Negative Predictive Value of Electronic Health Record Documentation on Nutrition And Physical Activity, N = 198 Visits

Topic	Sensitivity (%)		Specificity (%)		Positive Predictive Value	Negative Predictive Value
	Est.	95% CI	Est.	95% CI	Probability	Probability
Weight	42	26–57	76	60–92	0.92	0.16
Fruits/vegetables	51	36–67	80	64–97	0.90	0.33
Outside food	43	24–62	93	85–100	0.62	0.86
Family meals	43	16–69	96	92–100	0.43	0.96
Breakfast	40	18–62	91	81–100	0.50	0.88
Sugary beverages	53	39–67	86	71–100	0.81	0.61
Screen time	92	85–99	42	19–65	0.57	0.87
Physical activity	88	80–96	21	8–34	0.45	0.71

resulted in underestimation of some discussions on weight and nutrition but markedly significant overestimation of discussions on screen time and physical activity, which may be related to nuances in EHR templates.

Rigorous assessment of the effectiveness of interventions to increase counseling on weight, nutrition, and physical activity requires quantifying baseline levels of counseling and validating counseling measures and instruments. Our findings are applicable to clinical performance measurement and improvement since counseling for nutrition and physical activity are now nationally used quality measures.^{8,9} It is therefore important that these measures accurately reflect what occurs during clinical care. Because EHR documentation underestimates the discussion of many topics related to weight and nutrition and also has the potential to significantly overestimate counseling on certain topics specific to the design of local EHR templates, analyses determined by such data may suffer from information bias. Our study indicates that, overall, parental report is a more sensitive source of information on counseling for nutrition and physical activity in pediatric primary care, when compared with EHR documentation.

Our findings are consistent with other studies in which authors evaluated patient report as a measure of counseling on health behaviors. Pbert et al²⁴ found that that patient report correlated well with audiotape assessment of the clinical encounter to evaluate smoking cessation counseling and noted that discrepancies between patient reports and audiotapes were primarily attributable to overreporting of counseling by patients. Wilson and McDonald²⁸ found patient report to be an acceptable approach for measuring counseling on smoking and alcohol compared with audiotape assessment. Specific to counseling topics addressed in our study, Sciamanna et al²⁹ demonstrated that adult patients' report of physical activity counseling immediately after clinical encounters correlated well with audiotape evaluation. Similarly, Pill et al³⁰ found that adult patients' recall of lifestyle counseling delivered during primary care was reasonably high. Our study found that parents generally overreport discussion of topics related to weight, nutrition, and physical activity. It is possible that some parents may have recalled discussions that occurred at previous visits or provided desirable responses to please the interviewer or the interviewer's perceived employer.

Regarding the accuracy of medical record documentation in measuring counseling, Wilson and McDonald²⁸ reported that medical record documentation to assess smoking and alcohol counseling delivered to adult patients significantly underestimated counseling. Pill et al³⁰ and DiMatteo et al³¹ also showed that medical record documentation significantly underestimated lifestyle counseling delivered to adult patients. However, Wilson and McDonald²⁸ noted that medical record documentation was an extremely specific measure of counseling, with a 0% false-positive rate.

We specifically assessed EHR documentation and found that it underestimated some discussions on weight and nutrition similar to the studies mentioned previously but markedly overestimated discussions on screen time and physical activity. An important issue related to the use of EHR documentation as a measure of counseling is that nuances of specific systems, such as prompts, shortcuts, or documentation templates, may contribute to overdocumentation of certain topics. In our study, the low specificity of EHR documentation for screen time and physical activity could be partially explained by the common use of the phrase "television/reading" in age-specific EHR templates used in our clinic that are incorporated into the patient instruction section. In many cases, it was clear from other parts of the visit documentation that reading had been discussed at length in a developmental or educational context and was the specific reason for use of this phrase; however, we could not exclude the possibility that discussions related to television had occurred. In abstracting those medical records, we therefore coded physical activity and screen time (a subcategory of physical activity) as having been discussed. We recommend that future studies in which medical record review is used ensure that EHR templates are designed to minimize overlap or ambiguity related to documentation of specific quality measures.

One limitation of our study that affects generalizability was that it was conducted at one site, namely an academic medical center's outpatient clinic. Patients in our sample were seen by pediatric residents and faculty, and approximately 40% of clinicians were pediatric residents. It is possible that parents at nonacademic practices may have inherently different relationships and levels of continuity with their physicians, which may affect their recall of counseling.

Our results may be relatively generalizable to other parent populations because there was substantial economic and educational diversity in our sample. However, our study was not powered to find significant variation in the accuracy of parental report and EHR documentation across patients with different characteristics, such as those with family history of obesity-related disease. All physicians in our study worked within one health system that used the EHR system, Epic. Documentation practices at clinical locations with non-EHR systems, other EHR systems, or variations in EHR tools and templates may yield different results. For example, it is possible that prompts in our EHR templates for counseling on screen time and exercise made it more likely that physicians in our study documented discussions on these topics.

Despite these limitations, strengths of our study include direct observation of physician–parent interactions, large sample size, and specific focus on weight, nutrition, and activity discussions during pediatric well-child visits. Our study adds to existing knowledge regarding discrepancies between actual discussions occurring during clinical encounters, parental recall of discussions and EHR documentation. Nuances in EHR systems raise the potential for significant variation in documentation across sites. Our findings shed light on increasingly important issues related to how EHRs may contribute to accurate or inaccurate documentation.

Because EHR documentation is increasingly being used for quality measurement, tools built into EHR systems must be designed to support accurate documentation and counseling consistent with recommended care, and to meet performance requirements for both care delivery and documentation. It is important to acknowledge that while our study primarily focused on comparing strategies to measure counseling, further research to determine the effectiveness of such counseling in improving patient outcomes is required.

In conclusion, EHR documentation may not be the most valid measure for performance assessment of counseling. Parental report via the use of a questionnaire administered immediately after the clinical encounter is a better approach for measuring counseling for weight, nutrition and physical activity in research or quality improvement studies when resources do not allow for direct observation. Errors in parental report may be related to the specific questionnaire used as well as the context of administration, but these possibilities will need to be addressed in future research.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was funded by a grant from the UC Davis Center for Health Policy and Research–Center for Clinical and Translational Research. (UL1 RR024146, National Center for Research Resources) Dr. Shaikh is supported by a career development award from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (K08HS18567). Dr. Shaikh had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Preliminary data from this study were presented at the 2011 Pediatric Academic Societies Meeting in Denver, CO. We thank Jeneva Gularte and Hassan Baxi for their assistance with research and database management.

REFERENCES

- Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Curtin LR, et al. Prevalence of high body mass index in US children and adolescents, 2007–2008. *JAMA*. 2010;303:242–249.
- Must A, Strauss RS. Risks and consequences of childhood and adolescent obesity. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 1999;23(Suppl 2):S2–S11.
- U.S. Institute of Medicine. *Preventing Childhood Obesity - Health in the Balance*. Washington, DC: U.S. Institute of Medicine; 2005.
- Robertson SM, Cullen KW, Baranowski J, et al. Factors related to adiposity among children aged 3 to 7 years. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 1999;99:938–943.
- Arluk SL, Branch JD, Swain DP, et al. Childhood obesity's relationship to time spent in sedentary behavior. *Mil Med*. 2003;168:583–586.
- Mack JW, Co JP, Goldmann DA, et al. Quality of health care for children: role of health and chronic illness in inpatient care experiences. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2007;161:828–834.
- Barlow SE. Expert Committee Recommendations Regarding the Prevention, Assessment, and Treatment of Child and Adolescent Overweight and Obesity: summary report. *Pediatrics*. 2007;120:S164–S192.
- National Committee for Quality Assurance. HEDIS 2011 Summary Table of Measures: Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents. Available at: <http://www.ncqa.org/Portals/0/HEDISQM/HEDIS%202011/HEDIS%202011%20Measures.pdf>; 2011. Accessed May 31, 2011.
- Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. EHR Incentive Program Electronic. Available at: Specifications. 2011; https://www.cms.gov/QualityMeasures/03_ElectronicSpecifications.asp. Accessed June 1, 2011.
- Klein JD, Sesselberg TS, Johnson MS, et al. Adoption of body mass index guidelines for screening and counseling in pediatric practice. *Pediatrics*. 2010;125:265–272.
- Patel AI, Madsen KA, Maselli JH, et al. Underdiagnosis of pediatric obesity during outpatient preventive care visits. *Acad Pediatr*. 2010;10:405–409.
- Ma J, Xiao L. Assessment of body mass index and association with adolescent preventive care in U.S. outpatient settings. *J Adolesc Health*. 2009;44:502–504.
- Benson L, Baer HJ, Kaelber DC, et al. Trends in the diagnosis of overweight and obesity in children and adolescents: 1999–2007. *Pediatrics*. 2009;123:e153–e158.
- Huang TT, Borowski LA, Liu B, et al. Pediatricians' and family physicians' weight-related care of children in the U.S. *Am J Prev Med*. 2011;41:24–32.
- Rausch JC, Perito ER, Hametz P, et al. Obesity prevention, screening, and treatment: practices of pediatric providers since the 2007 expert committee recommendations. *Clin Pediatr*. 2011;50:434–441.
- Mangione-Smith R. Bridging the quality chasm for children: need for valid, comprehensive measurement tools. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2007;161:909–910.
- Pringle M, Robins S, Brown G, et al. TIMER: A new objective measure of consultation content and its application to computer assisted consultations. *BMJ*. 1986;293:20–22.
- D'Souza-Vazirani D, Minkovitz CS, Strobino DM, et al. Validity of maternal report of acute health care use for children younger than 3 years. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2005;159:167–172.
- Daly KA, Lindgren B, Giebink GS, et al. Validity of parental report of a child's medical history in otitis media research. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1994;139:1116–1121.
- McDermott MF, Lenhardt RO, Catrambone CD, et al. Adequacy of medical chart review to characterize emergency care for asthma: findings from the Illinois Emergency Department Asthma Collaborative. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2006;13:345–348.
- Crain EF, Mortimer KM, Bauman LJ, et al. Pediatric asthma care in the emergency department: measuring the quality of history-taking and discharge planning. *J Asthma*. 1999;36:129–138.
- Stange KC, Zyzanski SJ, Smith TF, et al. How valid are medical records and patient questionnaires for physician profiling and health services research? A comparison with direct observation of patients visits. *Med Care*. 1998;36:851–867.

23. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Child Health Care Quality Toolbox: Established Child Health Care Quality Measures-Child and Adolescent Health Measurement Initiative: Promoting Healthy Development Survey. Available at: <http://www.ahrq.gov/chtoolbox/measure6.htm>; 2006. Accessed November 18, 2011.
24. Pbert L, Adams A, Quirk M, et al. The patient exit interview as an assessment of physician-delivered smoking intervention: a validation study. *Health Psychol.* 1999;18:183–188.
25. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. About BMI for Children and Teens. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/healthyweight/assessing/bmi/childrens_BMI/about_childrens_BMI.html; 2011. Accessed Oct 21, 2011.
26. SAS 9.2 [computer program]. Cary, NC: SAS Institute; 2008.
27. Kish L. Confidence intervals for clustered samples. *American Sociological Review.* 1957;22(2):154–165.
28. Wilson A, McDonald P. Comparison of patient questionnaire, medical record, and audio tape in assessment of health promotion in general practice consultations. *BMJ.* 1994;309:1483.
29. Sciamanna CN, Goldstein MG, Marcus BH, et al. Accuracy of recall of exercise counseling among primary care patients. *Prev Med.* 2004;39:1063–1067.
30. Pill RM, Jones-Elwyn G, Stott NCH, et al. Opportunistic health promotion quantity or quality? *J R Coll Gen Pract.* 1989;39:196–200.
31. DiMatteo MR, Robinson JD, Heritage J, et al. Correspondence among patients' self-report, chart records, and audio/videotapes of medical visits. *Health Communication.* 2003;15:393–413.