

# UCLA

## UCLA Previously Published Works

### Title

Reference genome of the long-jawed orb-weaver, *Tetragnatha versicolor* (Araneae: Tetragnathidae)

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6z64d9qp>

### Journal

Journal of Heredity, 114(4)

### ISSN

0022-1503

### Authors

Adams, Seira A  
Graham, Natalie R  
Holmquist, Anna J  
et al.

### Publication Date

2023-06-22

### DOI

10.1093/jhered/esad013

Peer reviewed



## Genome Resources

# Reference genome of the long-jawed orb-weaver, *Tetragnatha versicolor* (Araneae: Tetragnathidae)

Seira A. Adams<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Natalie R. Graham<sup>1</sup>, Anna J. Holmquist<sup>1</sup>, Monica M. Sheffer<sup>4,5</sup>, Emma C. Steigerwald<sup>1,11</sup>, Ruta Sahasrabudhe<sup>6</sup>, Oanh Nguyen<sup>6</sup>, Eric Beraut<sup>7</sup>, Colin Fairbairn<sup>7</sup>, Samuel Sacco<sup>7</sup>, William Seligmann<sup>7</sup>, Merly Escalona<sup>8</sup>, H. Bradley Shaffer<sup>9,10</sup>, Erin Toffelmier<sup>9,10</sup>, Rosemary G. Gillespie<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Environmental Science, Policy and Management, University of California, Berkeley, CA, United States,

<sup>2</sup>Center for Population Biology, University of California, Davis, CA, United States,

<sup>3</sup>Department of Evolution and Ecology, University of California, Davis, CA, United States,

<sup>4</sup>Department of Biology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States,

<sup>5</sup>eScience Institute, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States,

<sup>6</sup>DNA Technologies and Expression Analysis Core Laboratory, Genome Center, University of California, Davis, CA, United States,

<sup>7</sup>Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA, United States,

<sup>8</sup>Department of Biomolecular Engineering, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA, United States,

<sup>9</sup>Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, United States,

<sup>10</sup>La Kretz Center for California Conservation Science, Institute for Environment and Sustainability, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, United States,

<sup>11</sup>Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, University of California, Berkeley, CA, United States

Address correspondence to S.A. Adams at the address above, or e-mail: [seira.adams@berkeley.edu](mailto:seira.adams@berkeley.edu).

Corresponding Editor: Rachel Meyer

## Abstract

Climate-driven changes in hydrological regimes are of global importance and are particularly significant in riparian ecosystems. Riparian ecosystems in California provide refuge to many native and vulnerable species within a xeric landscape. California *Tetragnatha* spiders play a key role in riparian ecosystems, serving as a link between terrestrial and aquatic elements. Their tight reliance on water paired with the widespread distributions of many species make them ideal candidates to better understand the relative role of waterways versus geographic distance in shaping the population structure of riparian species. To assist in better understanding population structure, we constructed a reference genome assembly for *Tetragnatha versicolor* using long-read sequencing, scaffolded with proximity ligation Omni-C data. The near-chromosome-level assembly is comprised of 174 scaffolds spanning 1.06 Gb pairs, with a scaffold N50 of 64.1 Mb pairs and BUSCO completeness of 97.6%. This reference genome will facilitate future study of *T. versicolor* population structure associated with the rapidly changing environment of California.

**Key words:** California Conservation Genomics Project, CCGP, arachnid, spider genome

## Introduction

Climate change has had, and will continue to have, a significant effect on the world's natural ecosystems and consequently on the services that these systems provide to humanity (IPCC 2022). However, it is clear that successful local and global mitigation efforts can help vulnerable ecosystems persist through forthcoming changes (Greenwood *et al.* 2016; Owen 2020). Riparian ecosystems have been shown to be one of the most vulnerable to climate change and anthropogenic disturbances due to the acute dependency of these systems on water availability, flow rate and direction, as well as temperature and habitat modifications (Capon *et al.* 2013). Riparian ecosystems in California are in a particularly precarious position because they exist in a heavily populated and fundamentally xeric landscape

where the effects of anthropogenic disturbance and climate change are widespread (Seavy *et al.* 2009; Rohde *et al.* 2021). These riparian ecosystems provide refuge to many native and imperiled species, both aquatic and terrestrial, and therefore will play a key role in mediating the adaptability of California biodiversity in response to climate change (Capon *et al.* 2013; Bogan *et al.* 2019). Without effective conservation and management strategies, these ecosystems are at risk. Critical to any management approach is an understanding of the connectivity within and between the various rivers and subwatersheds.

Members of the spider family Tetragnathidae (Araneae), the long-jawed spiders, and in particular the genus *Tetragnatha*, are prevalent in riparian habitats, characterized by their orb-webs constructed over bodies of

Received January 16, 2023; Accepted February 27, 2023

© The American Genetic Association. 2023.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

water. They play an integral part in the riparian ecosystem as both predator and prey, providing a trophic connection between terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems (Akamatsu *et al.* 2004). Species are often widely distributed but depend on bodies of water as they are sensitive to desiccation (Gillespie 1987; Adams 2022). In California, there are 6 species of *Tetragnatha* spiders: *T. versicolor*, *T. laboriosa*, *T. pallescens*, *T. nitens*, *T. elongata*, and *T. guatemalensis*. *T. versicolor* Walckenaer, 1841 is the most abundant and widespread species, and is found across a wide range of ecoregions (Levi 1981), though always in strict association with water. This narrow niche requirement in addition to its widespread nature makes *T. versicolor* an ideal taxon to study how populations are structured, whether by the waterways themselves or by geographic distance. Insights into how the species is being affected by recent shifts in hydrological regimes connected to anthropogenic change require more detailed genomic assessment. To this end, we constructed a reference genome assembly of *T. versicolor* as part of the California Conservation Genomics Project (CCGP, Shaffer *et al.* 2022) to facilitate an investigation of the population structure of California *Tetragnatha* species and its response to climate change in California.

## Materials and methods

### Biological materials


Adult female *T. versicolor* (Fig. 1) were hand collected from plants on the banks of the Eel River in Angelo Coast Range Reserve in Branscomb, California (39.72114°N, 123.648963°W) on 2

October 2020. Specimens were kept alive until DNA extraction. One individual (CCGP\_27\_UCD\_003, SAMN29044170) was used for HiFi library preparation and sequencing while another individual (CCGP\_27\_UCSC\_010; SAMN29044171) was used for Omni-C library preparation and sequencing. Female specimens were chosen since females have the  $X_1X_1X_2X_2$  sex chromosome system and they are generally larger than the male spiders that have the  $X_1X_20$  sex chromosome system.

### Nucleic acid library preparations and DNA sequencing

#### DNA extraction.

One entire female spider (CCGP\_27\_UCD\_003) was flash frozen in liquid nitrogen ( $LN_2$ ) and homogenized by grinding in a mortar and pestle in the presence of  $LN_2$ . Homogenized tissue was lysed with 2 ml of lysis buffer containing 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCL-pH 8.0, 25 mM EDTA, 0.5% SDS, and 100  $\mu$ g/ml proteinase K overnight at room temperature. The lysate was treated with 20  $\mu$ g/ml RNase at 37 °C for 30 min and cleaned with equal volumes of phenol/chloroform using phase-lock gels (Quantabio, Beverly, Massachusetts; Cat # 2302830). The DNA was precipitated by adding 0.4 $\times$  volume of 5 M ammonium acetate and 3 $\times$  volume of ice-cold ethanol. The DNA pellet was washed twice with 70% ethanol and resuspended in an elution buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8.0). genetic DNA (gDNA) purity was assessed using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer, which returned a 260/280 ratio of 1.9 and 260/230 of 2.0. DNA yield (12  $\mu$ g total) was quantified using Qbit 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher



**Fig. 1.** A) Ventral view of a *Tetragnatha versicolor* spider on a web over water. B) A dorsal view of a *T. versicolor* female. C) A representative riparian habitat of *T. versicolor* in Tehama County, California.

Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts). Integrity of the high molecular weight (HMW) gDNA was verified on a Femto pulse system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California) where 60% of the DNA was found in fragments above 50 kb and 50% of the DNA was found in fragments above 120 kb.

#### HiFi library preparation and sequencing.

A HiFi SMRTbell library was constructed using the SMRTbell Express Template Prep Kit v2.0 (Pacific Biosciences—PacBio, Menlo Park, California, Cat. #100-938-900) according to the manufacturer's instructions. HMW gDNA was sheared to a target DNA size distribution between 15 and 20 kb. The sheared gDNA was concentrated using 0.45× of AMPure PB beads (PacBio, Cat. #100-265-900) for the removal of single-strand overhangs at 37 °C for 15 min, followed by further enzymatic steps of DNA damage repair at 37 °C for 30 min, end repair and A-tailing at 20 °C for 10 min and 65 °C for 30 min, ligation of overhang adapter v3 at 20 °C for 60 min and 65 °C for 10 min to inactivate the ligase, and nuclease treatment at 37 °C for 1 h. The SMRTbell library was purified and concentrated with 0.45× Ampure PB beads (PacBio, Cat. #100-265-900) for size selection using the BluePippin/PippinHT system (Sage Science, Beverly, Massachusetts; Cat. #BLF7510/HPE7510) to collect fragments greater than 7 to 9 kb. The 15 to 20 kb average HiFi SMRTbell library was sequenced at University of California Davis DNA Technologies Core (Davis, California) using 2 8M SMRT cells, Sequel II sequencing chemistry 2.0, and 30-h movies each on a PacBio Sequel II sequencer.

#### Omni-C library preparation and sequencing.

The Omni-C library was prepared using the Dovetail Omni-C Kit (Dovetail Genomics, Scotts Valley, California) according to the manufacturer's protocol with slight modifications. First, specimen tissue (using whole individual spider CCGP\_27\_UCSC\_010) was thoroughly ground with a mortar and pestle while cooled with LN<sub>2</sub>. Subsequently, chromatin was fixed in place in the nucleus. The suspended chromatin solution was then passed through 100 and 40 μm cell strainers to remove large debris. Fixed chromatin was digested under various conditions of DNase I until a suitable fragment length distribution of DNA molecules was obtained. Chromatin ends were repaired and ligated to a biotinylated bridge adapter followed by proximity ligation of adapter containing ends. After proximity ligation, crosslinks were reversed and the DNA purified from proteins. Purified DNA was treated to remove biotin that was not internal to ligated fragments, and an NGS library was generated using an NEB Ultra II DNA Library Prep kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, Massachusetts) with an Illumina compatible y-adaptor. Biotin-containing fragments were then captured using streptavidin beads, and the post-capture product was split into 2 replicates prior to PCR enrichment to preserve library complexity with each replicate receiving unique dual indices. The libraries were sequenced at the Vincent J. Coates Genomics Sequencing Lab (Berkeley, California) on an Illumina NovaSeq platform (Illumina, San Diego, California) to generate approximately 100 million 2 × 150 bp read pairs per GB of genome size.

#### Nuclear genome assembly

We assembled the genome of the long-jawed spider following the CCGP assembly pipeline Version 4.0, as outlined in Table 1 which lists the tools and non-default parameters used in the

assembly. The pipeline uses PacBio HiFi reads and Omni-C data to produce high quality and highly contiguous genome assemblies while minimizing manual curation. We removed remnant adapter sequences from the PacBio HiFi dataset using HiFiAdapterFilt (Sim *et al.* 2022) and obtained the initial dual or partially phased diploid assembly (<http://lh3.github.io/2021/10/10/introducing-dual-assembly>) using HiFiasm (Cheng *et al.* 2022) with the filtered PacBio HiFi reads and the Omni-C dataset. We tagged output haplotype 1 as the primary assembly, and output haplotype 2 as the alternate assembly. We identified sequences corresponding to haplotypic duplications, contig overlaps and repeats on the primary assembly with purge\_dups (Guan *et al.* 2020) and transferred them to the alternate assembly. We aligned the Omni-C data to both assemblies following the Arima Genomics Mapping Pipeline ([https://github.com/ArimaGenomics/mapping\\_pipeline](https://github.com/ArimaGenomics/mapping_pipeline)) and then scaffolded both assemblies with SALSA (Ghurye *et al.* 2017, 2019).

We generated Omni-C contact maps for both assemblies by aligning the Omni-C data with BWA-MEM (Li 2013), identified ligation junctions, generated Omni-C pairs using pairtools (Goloborodko *et al.* 2018), generated a multi-resolution Omni-C matrix with cooler (Abdennur and Mirny 2020), and balanced it with hicExplorer (Ramírez *et al.* 2018). We used HiGlass (Kerpedjiev *et al.* 2018) and the PretextSuite (<https://github.com/wtsi-hpag/PretextView>; <https://github.com/wtsi-hpag/PretextMap>; <https://github.com/wtsi-hpag/PretextSnapshot>) to visualize the contact maps and checked the contact maps for major misassemblies. If we identified a strong off-diagonal signal and a lack of signal in the consecutive genomic region in the proximity of a join that was made by the scaffolder, we marked the join. Afterwards, all marked joins were dissolved by cutting the scaffolds at the coordinates of the joins. After this process, no further manual joins were made. Some of the remaining gaps (joins) were closed using the PacBio HiFi reads and YAGCloser (<https://github.com/merlyescalona/yagcloser>). We then checked for contamination using the BlobToolKit Framework (Challis *et al.* 2020). Finally, we trimmed remnants of sequence adaptors and mitochondrial contamination identified during NCBI contamination screening.

#### Mitochondrial genome assembly

We assembled the mitochondrial genome of the long-jawed spider from the PacBio HiFi reads using the reference-guided pipeline MitoHiFi (<https://github.com/marcelauliano/MitoHiFi>; Allio *et al.* 2020). The mitochondrial sequence of *T. nitens* (NCBI:NC\_028068.1; Wang *et al.* 2016) was used as the starting reference sequence. After completion of the nuclear genome, we searched for matches of the resulting mitochondrial assembly sequence in the nuclear genome assembly using BLAST+ (Camacho *et al.* 2009) and filtered out contigs and scaffolds from the nuclear genome with a percentage of sequence identity >99% and size smaller than the mitochondrial assembly sequence.

#### Genome size estimation and quality assessment

We generated k-mer counts from the PacBio HiFi reads using meryl (<https://github.com/marbl/meryl>). The k-mer database was then used in GenomeScope2.0 (Ranallo-Benavidez *et al.* 2020) to estimate genome features including genome size, heterozygosity, and repeat content. To obtain general contiguity

**Table 1.** Assembly pipeline and software used.

Assembly	Software and options <sup>a</sup>	Version
Filtering PacBio HiFi adapters	HiFiAdapterFilt	Commit 64d1c7b
K-mer counting	Meryl (k = 21)	1
Estimation of genome size and heterozygosity	GenomeScope	2
<i>De novo assembly (contigging)</i>	HiFiasm (Hi-C Mode, -primary, output p_ctg.hap1, p_ctg.hap2)	0.16.1-r375
Remove low-coverage, duplicated contigs	purge_dups	1.2.5
<b>Scaffolding</b>		
Omni-C Scaffolding	SALSA (-DNASE, -i 20, -p yes)	2
Gap closing	YAGCloser (-mins 2 -f 20 -mcc 2 -prt 0.25 -eft 0.2 -pld 0.2)	Commit0e34c3b
<b>Omni-C Contact map generation</b>		
Short-read alignment	BWA-MEM (-5SP)	0.7.17-r1188
SAM/BAM processing	Samtools	1.11
SAM/BAM filtering	Pairtools	0.3.0
Pairs indexing	Pairix	0.3.7
Matrix generation	Cooler	0.8.10
Matrix balancing	hicExplorer (hicCorrectmatrix correct --filterThreshold -2 4)	3.6
Contact map visualization	HiGlass	2.1.11
	PretextView	0.1.4
	PretextView	0.1.5
	PretextViewSnapshot	0.0.3
<b>Organelle assembly</b>		
Mitogenome assembly	MitoHiFi (-r, -p 50, -o 1)	2 Commit06ed3e
<b>Genome quality assessment</b>		
Basic assembly metrics	QUAST (--est-ref-size)	5.0.2
Assembly completeness	BUSCO (-m geno, -l arthropoda)	5.0.0
	Merqury	2020-01-29
<b>Contamination screening</b>		
Local alignment tool	BLAST+	2.1
General contamination screening	BlobToolKit	2.3.3

Software citations are listed in the text.


<sup>a</sup>Options detailed for non-default parameters.

metrics, we ran QUAST (Gurevich *et al.* 2013). To evaluate genome quality and completeness we used BUSCO (Manni *et al.* 2021) with the arthropoda ortholog database (arthropoda\_odb10) which contains 1,013 genes. Assessment of base level accuracy (QV) and k-mer completeness was performed using the previously generated meryl database and merqury (Rhie *et al.* 2020). We further estimated genome assembly accuracy via BUSCO gene set frameshift analysis using the pipeline described in Korlach *et al.* (2017). Measurements of the size of the phased blocks is based on the size of the contigs generated by HiFiasm on HiC mode. We follow the quality metric nomenclature established by Rhie *et al.* (2021), with the genome quality code x.y.P.Q.C, where, x = log<sub>10</sub>[contig NG50]; y = log<sub>10</sub>[scaffold NG50]; P = log<sub>10</sub>[phased block NG50]; Q = Phred base accuracy QV (quality value); C = % genome represented by the first “n” scaffolds, following a known karyotype of 2n = 24 inferred from the congeneric

*T. maxillosa* (The Animal Chromosome Count database—V1.0.0; <https://cromanpa94.github.io/ACC/>). Quality metrics for the notation were calculated on the primary assembly.

## Results

The Omni-C and PacBio HiFi sequencing libraries generated 91.4 million read pairs and 3.29 million reads, respectively. The latter yielded 55.64-fold coverage (N50 read length 17,735 bp; minimum read length 49 bp; mean read length 17,343 bp; maximum read length of 61,245 bp) based on the Genomescope 2.0 genome size estimation of 1.09 Gb. Based on PacBio HiFi reads, we estimated 0.148% sequencing error rate and 1.9% nucleotide heterozygosity rate. The k-mer spectrum based on PacBio HiFi reads show a bimodal distribution with 2 major peaks at 27- and 55-fold coverage, where peaks correspond to homozygous and heterozygous states of



**Fig. 2.** Visual overview of *Tetragnatha versicolor* genome assembly metrics. A) K-mer spectrum output generated from PacBio HiFi data without adapters using GenomeScope2.0. The bimodal pattern observed corresponds to a diploid genome and the k-mer profile matches that of high heterozygosity. K-mers at lower coverage and high frequency correspond to differences between haplotypes, whereas the higher coverage and low frequency k-mers correspond to the similarities between haplotypes. B) BlobToolKit Snail plot showing a graphical representation of the quality metrics presented in Table 2 for the *T. versicolor* primary assembly (qqTetVers1.0.p). The plot circle represents the full size of the assembly. From the inside-out, the central plot covers length-related metrics. The red line represents the size of the longest scaffold; all other scaffolds are arranged in size-order moving clockwise around the plot and drawn in gray starting from the outside of the central plot. Dark and light orange arcs show the scaffold N50 and scaffold N90 values. The central light gray spiral shows the cumulative scaffold count with a white line at each order of magnitude. White regions in this area reflect the proportion of Ns in the assembly; the dark vs. light blue area around it shows mean, maximum and minimum GC vs AT content at 0.1% intervals (Challis *et al.* 2020). C and D) Hi-C Contact maps for the primary (2C) and alternate (2D) genome assembly generated with PretextSnapshot. Hi-C contact maps translate proximity of genomic regions in 3-D space to contiguous linear organization. Each cell in the contact map corresponds to sequencing data supporting the linkage (or join) between 2 of such regions. Scaffolds are separated by black lines and higher density of the lines may correspond to higher levels of fragmentation.

a diploid species (Fig. 2A). The distribution presented in this k-mer spectrum supports that of a high heterozygosity profile.

The final assembly (qqTetVers1) consists of 2 pseudo haplotypes, primary and alternate, with both genome sizes similar to the estimated value from Genomescope2.0 (Fig.

2A). The primary assembly consists of 174 scaffolds spanning 1.06 Gb with contig N50 of 9 Mb, scaffold N50 of 64.1 Mb, longest contig of 38.5 Mb, and largest scaffold of 88.6 Mb. GC content composition for the primary assembly is 33.5% and AT content 66.5%. The alternate assembly is similar,

**Table 2.** Sequencing and assembly statistics, and accession numbers.

Bio Projects & Vouchers	CCGP NCBI BioProject		PRJNA720569			
		Genera NCBI BioProject		PRJNA765871		
	Species NCBI BioProject		PRJNA851111			
	NCBI BioSample		SAMN29044170, SAMN29044171			
	Specimen identification		CCGP_RG_IND1, TVERS_CCGP_IND2			
	NCBI Genome accessions		<b>Primary</b> <span style="float:right"><b>Alternate</b></span>			
	Assembly accession		JANDEH000000000 <span style="float:right">JANDEI000000000</span>			
	Genome sequences		GCA_024610705.1 <span style="float:right">GCA_024610695.1</span>			
Genome Sequence	PacBio HiFi reads	Run	1 PACBIO_SMRT (Sequel II) run: 3.3M spots, 57.1G bases, 38.9Gb			
		Accession	SRX16742514			
	Omni-C Illumina reads	Run	2 ILLUMINA (Illumina NovaSeq 6000) runs: 91.4M spots, 27.6G bases, 9.4Gb			
		Accession	SRX16742515, SRX16742516			
Genome Assembly Quality Metrics	Assembly identifier (Quality code <sup>a</sup> )		qqTetVers1(6.7.P6.Q65.C91)			
	HiFi Read coverage <sup>b</sup>		55.64X			
			<b>Primary</b> <span style="float:right"><b>Alternate</b></span>			
	Number of contigs		301 <span style="float:right">661</span>			
	Contig N50 (bp)		9,004,227 <span style="float:right">7,638,610</span>			
	Contig NG50 <sup>b</sup>		9,481,185 <span style="float:right">8,296,675</span>			
	Longest Contigs		38,560,849 <span style="float:right">24,030,258</span>			
	Number of scaffolds		174 <span style="float:right">539</span>			
	Scaffold N50		64,139,176 <span style="float:right">55,124,716</span>			
	Scaffold NG50 <sup>b</sup>		66,476,450 <span style="float:right">55,124,716</span>			
	Largest scaffold		88,624,587 <span style="float:right">82,515,128</span>			
	Size of final assembly		1,068,089,209 <span style="float:right">1,039,239,443</span>			
	Phased block NG50 <sup>b</sup>		9,561,114 <span style="float:right">8,343,110</span>			
	Gaps per Gbp (# Gaps)		120 (128) <span style="float:right">117 (122)</span>			
	Indel QV (Frame shift)		52.60453018 <span style="float:right">55.48708725</span>			
	Base pair QV		66.3573 <span style="float:right">65.0859</span>			
			Full assembly = 65.6839			
	k-mer completeness		76.055 <span style="float:right">74.0291</span>			
			Full assembly = 98.8437			
	BUSCO completeness (arthropoda) n=1013					
		<b>C</b> <span style="float:right"><b>S</b></span> <span style="float:right"><b>D</b></span> <span style="float:right"><b>F</b></span> <span style="float:right"><b>M</b></span>				
	P <sup>c</sup>	97.70%	93.10%	4.60%	1.20%	1.10%
	A <sup>c</sup>	96.10%	92.10%	4.00%	1.80%	2.10%
	Organelles	1 complete mitochondrial sequence		CM045180.1		

<sup>a</sup>Assembly quality code x.y.P.Q.C derived notation, from (Rhie *et al.* 2021). x = log10[contig NG50]; y = log10[scaffold NG50]; P = log10 [phased block NG50]; Q = Phred base accuracy QV (Quality value); C = % genome represented by the first “n” scaffolds, following a known karyotype of 2n = 24 from another species from the same genus *Tetragnatha maxillosa*. Quality code for all the assembly denoted by primary assembly (qqTetVers1.0.p).

<sup>b</sup>Read coverage and NGx statistics have been calculated based on the estimated genome size of 1.025 Gb.

<sup>c</sup>(P)primary and (A)lternate assembly values.

although it consists of 539 scaffolds spanning 1.03 Gb with contig N50 of 7.6 Mb, scaffold N50 of 55.1 Mb, largest contig 24 Mb, and largest scaffold of 82.5 Mb. GC and AT content composition for the alternate assembly are similar to the primary, with 33.6% for GC content and 66.4% for AT content. Detailed assembly statistics are reported in Table 2, and graphically for the primary assembly in Fig. 2B (see Supplementary Figure 1 for the alternate assembly). The primary assembly has a BUSCO completeness score of 97.7% using the Arthropoda gene set, a per-base quality (QV) of

66.35, a k-mer completeness of 76.05, and a frameshift indel QV of 52.6; while the alternate assembly has a BUSCO completeness score of 96.1% using the same gene set, a per-base quality (QV) of 65.08, a k-mer completeness of 74.02, and a frameshift indel QV of 55.48.

We identified 21 misassemblies, 9 on the primary and 12 on the alternate, and broke the corresponding joins made by SALSA. We were able to close a total of 14 gaps, 9 on the primary assembly and 5 on the alternate. Finally, we filtered out 3 contigs, 1 from the primary and 2 from the alternate

assembly, corresponding to mitochondrial contamination. No further contigs were removed. The Omni-C contact maps shows that both assemblies are highly contiguous (Fig. 2C and D). We have deposited both assemblies on NCBI (see Table 2 and Data Availability for details).

The assembled mitochondrial genome is 14,426 bp in length, with base composition of A = 39.95%, C = 17.11%, G = 10.38%, T = 32.56%, and includes 20 unique transfer RNAs and 12 protein coding genes.

## Discussion

Of the 16 spider genome assemblies that have been published at time of writing (Supplementary Table 1), *T. versicolor* has the smallest assembled genome size, at 1.060 Gb. Its congeneric and close relative, *T. kauaensis*, has a very similar genome assembly size of 1.085 Gb (Cerca *et al.* 2021). The remaining spider genome assemblies range in size from 1.222 Gb (*Latrodectus hesperus*, Thomas *et al.* 2020) to 6.255 Gb (*Acanthoscurria geniculata*, Sanggaard *et al.* 2014; Supplementary Table 1). Our sequence assembly generated a reference genome with contig N50 of 9 Mb. The data clustered into 13 chromosome-candidate scaffolds (Fig. 2), which is consistent with a karyotype of  $2n = 26$  chromosomes (Pajpach 2018). Therefore, our assembly is comparable to the 3 chromosome-level spider genome assemblies available at the time of writing: *Argiope bruennichi* (Sheffer *et al.* 2021), *Trichonephila antipodiana* (Fan *et al.* 2021), and *Dysdera silvatica* (Escuer *et al.* 2022).

Using this *T. versicolor* reference genome, we are conducting more detailed genomic analyses of *Tetragnatha* spiders using genome resequencing as part of the CCGP. These data will allow us to investigate the importance of water proximity, drought stress, and habitat connectivity in structuring populations across ecoregions, as well as enabling the study of adaptive responses to climate and other anthropogenic changes. Additionally, we will be able to assess demographic patterns and ask how habit conversion, aridification, and alteration in waterways through time has structured *Tetragnatha* populations. These data, in combination with other freshwater aquatic taxa included in the CCGP, will help build our overall understanding of the genetic structure and patterns of gene flow among riverine taxa that is central to management and conservation efforts (Fiedler *et al.* 2022; Shaffer *et al.* 2022), as well as filling in a key position in our understanding of the phylogenetic diversity of California taxa (Toffelmier *et al.* 2022). We will also use the *T. versicolor* genome to understand venom evolution, and the possible role of venoms in mate recognition (Zobel-Thropp *et al.* 2018). Moreover, comparison with the closely related Hawaiian *T. kauaiensis* will provide insights into the loss of web-building behaviors and the loss of an obligate association with water that characterize many of the Hawaiian *Tetragnatha* including *T. kauaiensis* (Berger *et al.* 2021).

Studying genomic structure and response to drought in *Tetragnatha* spiders will also provide valuable information on the health of riparian biological communities. *Tetragnatha* play crucial roles in riparian ecosystems as the primary predators of insects emerging from aquatic larval stages (Okuma 1968; Barrion and Litsinger 1984) and as a source of prey for other predatory taxa, notably birds (Gunnarsson and Wiklander 2015). Both their integral nature in riparian

communities and their sensitivity to changes in water availability make them important bioindicators (Reyes-Maldonado *et al.* 2017). Understanding the genetic diversity, demographic structure, and population connectivity of *Tetragnatha* across habitats experiencing different levels of environmental change will therefore provide valuable insight into the response of the ecosystem to climate change.

## Acknowledgments

We thank José Cerca for his valuable input on the project, and Peter Steel at Angelo Coast Range Reserve for access to the collecting site. PacBio Sequel II library prep and sequencing was carried out at the DNA Technologies and Expression Analysis Cores at the UC Davis Genome Center, supported by NIH Shared Instrumentation Grant 1S10OD010786-01. Deep sequencing of Omni-C libraries used the Novaseq S4 sequencing platforms at the Vincent J. Coates Genomics Sequencing Laboratory at UC Berkeley, supported by NIH S10 OD018174 Instrumentation Grant. We thank the staff at the UC Davis DNA Technologies and Expression Analysis Cores and the UC Santa Cruz Paleogenomics Laboratory for their diligence and dedication to generating high quality sequence data. ECS was supported by an Alden H. Miller Fellowship from the Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, University of California, Berkeley.

## Funding

This work was supported by the California Conservation Genomics Project, with funding provided to the University of California by the State of California, State Budget Act of 2019 [UC Award ID RSI-19-690224].

## Data availability

Data generated for this study are available under NCBI BioProject PRJNA851111. Raw sequencing data for sample CCGP\_RG\_IND1 and TVERS\_CCGP\_IND2 (NCBI BioSamples SAMN29044170 and SAMN29044171) are deposited in the NCBI Short Read Archive (SRA) under SRR20722016 for PacBio HiFi sequencing data, and SRR20722014-5 for the Omni-C Illumina sequencing data. GenBank accessions for both primary and alternate assemblies are JANDEH000000000 and JANDEI000000000; and for genome sequences GCA\_024610705.1 and GCA\_024610695.1. The GenBank organelle genome assembly for the mitochondrial genome is CM045180.1. Assembly scripts and other data for the analyses presented can be found at the following GitHub repository: [www.github.com/ccgproject/ccgp\\_assembly](http://www.github.com/ccgproject/ccgp_assembly)

## Author contributions

SAA, NRG, MMS, ECS, and RGG conceived of and designed the study. SAA collected specimens and sent them out for genomic work. EB, NC, CF, MPAM, ON, SS, RS, and WS performed lab work. ME assembled the genome and ran statistical analysis. SAA, NRG, AJH, MMS, ECS, and ME wrote the initial draft of the manuscript, and all authors read, edited, and approved the final manuscript. HBS and ET provided infrastructure and financial support, as well as overall project support for the CCGP.



## References

- Abdennur N, Mirny LA. Cooler: scalable storage for Hi-C data and other genomically labeled arrays. *Bioinformatics*. 2020;36(1):311–316. doi: [10.1093/bioinformatics/btz540](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz540)
- Adams SA. *Chemical cues in species recognition and reproductive isolation of Tetragnatha spiders (Araneae: Tetragnathidae)* [Doctoral dissertation]. UC Berkeley; 2022.
- Akamatsu F, Toda H, Okino T. Food source of riparian spiders analyzed by using stable isotope ratios. *Ecol Res*. 2004;19(6):655–662.
- Allio R, Schomaker-Bastos A, Romiguier J, Prosdocimi F, Nabholz B, Delsuc F. MitoFinder: efficient automated large-scale extraction of mitogenomic data in target enrichment phylogenomics. *Mol Ecol Resour*. 2020;20(4):892–905. doi: [10.1111/1755-0998.13160](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13160)
- Barrion AT, Litsinger JA. The spider fauna of Philippine rice agroecosystems. II. Wetland. *Philipp Entomol*. 1984;6(1):11–37.
- Berger CA, Brewer MS, Kono N, Nakamura H, Arakawa K, Kennedy SR, Wood HM, Adams SA, Gillespie RG. Shifts in morphology, gene expression, and selection underlie web loss in Hawaiian *Tetragnatha* spiders. *BMC Ecol Evol*. 2021;21(1):1–17.
- Bogan MT, Leidy RA, Neuhaus L, Hernandez CJ, Carlson SM. Biodiversity value of remnant pools in an intermittent stream during the great California drought. *Aquat Conserv Mar Freshwater Ecosyst*. 2019;29(6):976–989. doi: [10.1002/aqc.3109](https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.3109)
- Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL. BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinf*. 2009;10(1):1–9.
- Capon SJ, Chambers LE, Mac Nally R, Naiman RJ, Davies P, Marshall N, Pittock J, Reid M, Capon T, Douglas M, et al. Riparian ecosystems in the 21st century: hotspots for climate change adaptation? *Ecosystems*. 2013;16(3):359–381. doi: [10.1007/s10021-013-9656-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-013-9656-1)
- Cerca J, Armstrong EE, Vizueta J, Fernández R, Dimitrov D, Petersen B, Prost S, Rozas J, Petrov D, Gillespie RG. The *Tetragnatha kauaiensis* genome sheds light on the origins of genomic novelty in spiders. *Genome Biol Evol*. 2021;13(12):evab262. doi: [10.1093/gbe/evab262](https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evab262)
- Challis R, Richards E, Rajan J, Cochrane G, Blaxter M. BlobToolKit—interactive quality assessment of genome assemblies. *G3 Genes Genomes Genet*. 2020;10(4):1361–1374.
- Cheng H, Jarvis ED, Fedrigo O, Koepfli K-P, Urban L, Gemmell NJ, Li H. Robust haplotype-resolved assembly of diploid individuals without parental data. *ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:2109.04785*. 2022. doi: [10.1038/s41587-022-01261-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-022-01261-x).
- Escuer P, Pisarenco VA, Fernández-Ruiz AA, Vizueta J, Sánchez-Herrero JF, Arnedo MA, Sánchez-Gracia A, Rozas J. The chromosome-scale assembly of the Canary Islands endemic spider *Dysdera silvatica* (Arachnida, Araneae) sheds light on the origin and genome structure of chemoreceptor gene families in chelicerates. *Mol Ecol Resour*. 2022;22(1):375–390.
- Fan Z, Yuan T, Liu P, Wang LY, Jin JF, Zang F, Zhang ZS. A chromosome-level genome of the spider *Trichonephila antipodiana* reveals the genetic basis of its polyphagy and evidence of an ancient whole-genome duplication event. *Gigascience*. 2021;10(3):giab016. doi: [10.1093/gigascience/giab016](https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giab016)
- Fiedler PL, Erickson B, Esagro M, Gold M, Hull JM, Norris J, Shapiro B, Westphal M, Toffelmier E, Shaffer HB. Seizing the moment: the opportunity and relevance of the California Conservation Genomics Project to state and federal conservation policy. *J Hered*. 2022;113(6):589–596. doi: [10.1093/jhered/esac046](https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esac046)
- Ghurye J, Pop M, Koren S, Bickhart D, Chin C-S. Scaffolding of long read assemblies using long range contact information. *BMC Genomics*. 2017;18(1):527. doi: [10.1186/s12864-017-3879-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-017-3879-z)
- Ghurye J, Rhie A, Walenz BP, Schmitt A, Selvaraj S, Pop M, Phillippy AM, Koren S. Integrating Hi-C links with assembly graphs for chromosome-scale assembly. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2019;15(8):e1007273. doi: [10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007273](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007273)
- Gillespie RG. The mechanism of habitat selection in the long-jawed orb-weaving spider *Tetragnatha elongata* (Araneae, Tetragnathidae). *J Arachn*. 1987;15(1):81–90.
- Goloborodko A, Abdennur N, Venev S, Brandao HB, Fudenberg G. *mirnylab/pairtools: V0.2.0*. Zenodo; 2018. doi: [10.5281/zenodo.1490831](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1490831)
- Greenwood O, Mossman HL, Suggitt AJ, Curtis RJ, Maclean IMD. Using *in situ* management to conserve biodiversity under climate change. *J Appl Ecol*. 2016;53(3):885–894. doi: [10.1111/1365-2664.12602](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12602)
- Guan D, McCarthy SA, Wood J, Howe K, Wang Y, Durbin R. Identifying and removing haplotypic duplication in primary genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics*. 2020;36(9):2896–2898. doi: [10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa025](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa025)
- Gunnarsson B, Wiklander K. Foraging mode of spiders affects risk of predation by birds. *Biol J Linn Soc*. 2015;115(1):58–68. doi: [10.1111/bjij.12489](https://doi.org/10.1111/bjij.12489)
- Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. QUILT: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics*. 2013;29(8):1072–1075. doi: [10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086)
- IPCC. *Climate change 2022: impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. Contribution of working group II to the sixth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change*. Cambridge University Press; 2022.
- Kerpedjiev P, Abdennur N, Lekschas F, McCallum C, Dinkla K, Strobel H, Lubert JM, Ouellette SB, Azhir A, Kumar N, et al. HiGlass: web-based visual exploration and analysis of genome interaction maps. *Genome Biol*. 2018;19(1):125. doi: [10.1186/s13059-018-1486-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-018-1486-1)
- Korlach J, Gedman G, Kingan SB, Chin C-S, Howard JT, Audet J-N, Cantin L, Jarvis ED. De novo PacBio long-read and phased avian genome assemblies correct and add to reference genes generated with intermediate and short reads. *GigaScience*. 2017;6(10):gix085. doi: [10.1093/gigascience/gix085](https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/gix085)
- Levi H. W. *American orb-weaver genera Dolichognatha and Tetragnatha north of Mexico (Araneae: Araneidae, Tetragnathinae)*. *Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology*; 1981;149:271–318.
- Li H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. *ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:1303.3997*. 2013. doi: [10.48550/arXiv.1303.3997](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1303.3997).
- Manni M, Berkeley MR, Seppely M, Simão FA, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO update: novel and streamlined workflows along with broader and deeper phylogenetic coverage for scoring of eukaryotic, prokaryotic, and viral genomes. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2021;38(10):4647–4654. doi: [10.1093/molbev/msab199](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab199)
- Okuma C. Preliminary survey on the spider-fauna of the paddy fields in Thailand. *Mushi* 1968;42(Pars 8):89–118.
- Owen G. What makes climate change adaptation effective? A systematic review of the literature. *Global Environ Change*. 2020;62:102071.
- Pajpach, F. (2018). *Karyotype evolution of the family Araneidae*. Charles University.
- Ramírez F, Bhardwaj V, Arrigoni L, Lam KC, Grüning BA, Villaveces J, Habermann B, Akhtar A, Manke T. High-resolution TADs reveal DNA sequences underlying genome organization in flies. *Nat Commun*. 2018;9(1):1–15.
- Ranallo-Benavidez TR, Jaron KS, Schatz MC. GenomeScope 2.0 and Smudgeplot for reference-free profiling of polyploid genomes. *Nat Commun*. 2020;11(1):1–10.
- Reyes-Maldonado R, Sánchez-Ruiz JA, Kelly SP. Riparian spider communities as indicators of stream ecosystem condition in the Río Piedras watershed of Puerto Rico. *Actual Biol*. 2017;39(107):58–65.
- Rhie A, McCarthy SA, Fedrigo O, Damas J, Formenti G, Koren S, Uliano-Silva M, Chow W, Functamman A, Kim J, et al. Towards complete and error-free genome assemblies of all vertebrate species. *Nature*. 2021;592(7856):737–746.
- Rhie A, Walenz BP, Koren S, Phillippy AM. Merqury: reference-free quality, completeness, and phasing assessment for genome assemblies. *Genome Biol*. 2020;21(1):1–27.
- Rohde MM, Stella JC, Roberts DA, Singer MB. Groundwater dependence of riparian woodlands and the disrupting effect of anthropogenically altered streamflow. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2021;118(25):e2026453118.

- Sanggaard KW, Bechsgaard JS, Fang X, Duan J, Dyrland TF, Gupta V, Jiang X, Cheng L, Fan D, Feng Y, et al. Spider genomes provide insight into composition and evolution of venom and silk. *Nat Commun.* 2014;5(1):Article 1. doi:10.1038/ncomms4765
- Seavy NE, Gardali T, Golet GH, Griggs FT, Howell CA, Kelsey R, Small SL, Viers JH, Weigand JF. Why climate change makes riparian restoration more important than ever: recommendations for practice and research. *Ecol Restor.* 2009;27(3):330–338.
- Shaffer HB, Toffelmier E, Corbett-Detig RB, Escalona M, Erickson B, Fiedler P, Gold M, Harrigan RJ, Hodges S, Luckau TK, et al. Landscape genomics to enable conservation actions: the California Conservation Genomics Project. *J Hered.* 2022;113:577–588.
- Sheffer MM, Hoppe A, Krehenwinkel H, Uhl G, Kuss AW, Jensen L, Jensen C, Gillespie RG, Hoff KJ, Prost S. Chromosome-level reference genome of the European wasp spider *Argiope bruennichi*: a resource for studies on range expansion and evolutionary adaptation. *GigaScience.* 2021;10(1):giaa148.
- Sim SB, Corpuz RL, Simmonds TJ, Geib SM. HiFiAdapterFilt, a memory efficient read processing pipeline, prevents occurrence of adapter sequence in PacBio HiFi reads and their negative impacts on genome assembly. *BMC Genomics.* 2022;23(1):1–7.
- Thomas GW, Dohmen E, Hughes DS, Murali SC, Poelchau M, Glastad K, Anstead CA, Ayoub NA, Batterham P, Bellair M. Gene content evolution in the arthropods. *Genome Biol.* 2020;21(1):1–14.
- Toffelmier E, Beninde J, Shaffer HB. The phylogeny of California, and how it informs setting multi-species conservation priorities. *J Hered.* 2022;113(6):597–603. doi: 10.1093/jhered/esac045
- Wang Z-L, Li C, Fang W-Y, Yu X-P. The complete mitochondrial genome of two *Tetragnatha* spiders (Araneae: Tetragnathidae): severe truncation of tRNAs and novel gene rearrangements in Araneae. *Int J Biol Sci.* 2016;12(1):109–119.
- Zobel-Thropp PA, Bulger EA, Cordes MH, Binford GJ, Gillespie RG, Brewer MS. Sexually dimorphic venom proteins in long-jawed orb-weaving spiders (*Tetragnatha*) comprise novel gene families. *PeerJ.* 2018;6:e4691.