# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
**Recent Work**

**Title**
VARIATION WITH ENERGY OF NUCLEAR COLLISION CROSS SECTIONS FOR HIGH ENERGY NEUTRONS

**Permalink**
https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6zh9w9dd

**Authors**
DeJuren, J.
Moyer, B.J.

**Publication Date**
1950-08-30

# UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

*Radiation Laboratory*

## BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

## DISCLAIMER

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
Radiation Laboratory

UCRL 887

INDEX NO. _____

This document contains 20 pages

This is copy 9 of 9 Series A

DECLASSIFIED

Issued to ~~Info Div~~

~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

_____
Classification

Each person who receives this document must sign the cover sheet in the space below

| Route to | Noted by | Date | Route to | Noted by | Date |
|----------|----------|------|----------|----------|------|
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA DECLASSIFIED

Radiation Laboratory

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

Variation with Energy of Nuclear Collision

Cross Sections for High Energy Neutrons

J. DeJuren and B. J. Moyer

August 30, 1950

Berkeley, California

| Standard Distribution | Copy Nos. |
|---|---|
| Argonne National Laboratory | 1-12 |
| Armed Forces Special Weapons Project | 13 |
| Atomic Energy Commission, Washington | 14-19 |
| Battelle Memorial Institute | 20 |
| Brookhaven National Laboratory | 21-24 |
| Bureau of Ships | 25 |
| Carbide and Carbon Chemicals Division (K-25 Plant) | 26-27 |
| Carbide and Carbon Chemicals Division (Y-12 Plant) | 28-31 |
| Columbia University (Dunning) | 32 |
| General Electric Company, Richland | 33-36 |
| Hanford Operations Office | 37 |
| Idaho Operations Office | 38-39 |
| Iowa State College | 40 |
| Kellex Corporation | 41 |
| Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory | 42-45 |
| Los Alamos | 46-48 |
| Mallinckrodt Chemical Works | 49 |
| Mound Laboratory | 50-52 |
| National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics | 53 |
| National Bureau of Standards | 54 |
| Naval Medical Research Institute | 55 |
| Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory | 56 |
| NEPA Project | 57-59 |
| New Brunswick Laboratory | 60 |
| New York Operations Office | 61-62 |
| North American Aviation, Inc. | 63 |
| Oak Ridge National Laboratory | 64-71 |
| Patent Branch, Washington | 72 |
| Sandia Corporation | 73 |
| Technical Information Division, ORE | 74-88 |
| USAF - Office of Assistant for Atomic Energy | 89 |
| UCLA Medical Research Laboratory (Warren) | 90 |
| University of California Radiation Laboratory | 91-95 |
| University of Rochester | 96-97 |
| Westinghouse Electric Corporation | 98-101 |
| Total | 101 |

Information Division
Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California

Variation with Energy of Nuclear Collision

Cross Sections for High Energy Neutrons

J. DeJuren and B. J. Moyer

Radiation Laboratory, Department of Physics
University of California, Berkeley, California

August 30, 1950

## Abstract

Nuclear total cross sections for high energy neutrons have been measured

for approximately known neutron energies in the range 90-270 Mev. It is

observed that cross sections in every case drop rather rapidly between 100

Mev and 180 Mev to a level which continues with little further variation up

to the highest neutron energies available in the experiment. Comparisons

are made with nuclear attenuation data for cosmic rays.

Variation with Energy of Nuclear Collision

Cross Sections for High Energy Neutrons

J. DeJuren and B. J. Moyer

Radiation Laboratory, Department of Physics
University of California, Berkeley, California

August 30, 1950

## I  Introduction

Nuclear collision cross sections for high energy neutrons have been

measured at three different energy regions for neutrons produced by the

University of California 184-inch cyclotron.  Stripping of 90 and 190 Mev

deuterons by beryllium targets produced neutrons of mean energies 40 and 90

Mev[1,2] respectively.  Measurements utilizing the $C^{12}(n,2n)C^{11}$ reaction for

detection provided total nuclear cross sections at estimated mean neutron

detection energies of 42 and 84 Mev[3,4] respectively.  Bismuth fission, which

has a threshold at about 50 Mev, was used for detection of the 90 Mev neu-

trons and both inelastic and total cross sections of nuclei were measured[5]

at an estimated mean detection energy of 95 Mev.

The bombardment of a 2-inch Be target with 345 Mev protons produces

high energy neutrons with a broad energy distribution possessing a maximum

at about 270 Mev.  Total cross sections were measured for various nuclei using

both bismuth fission[6] detection and a scintillation counter recoil proton

[1] A. C. Helmholz, E. McMillan, and D. Sewell, Phys. Rev. 72, 1003 (1947)

[2] R. Serber, Phys. Rev. 72, 1008 (1947)

[3] R. Hildebrand and C. Leith, in preparation for publication.

[4] L. Cook, E. McMillan, J. Peterson, and D. Sewell, Phys. Rev. 75, 7 (1949)

[5] J. DeJuren and N. Knable, Phys. Rev. 77, 606 (1950)

[6] J. DeJuren, Phys. Rev., October 1, 1950.

telescope[7] possessing an equivalent neutron threshold of 250 Mev. The two methods gave values that agreed well with each other.

However, the model of the transparent nucleus developed by Fernbach, Serber and Taylor,[8] which adequately described the inelastic and total cross section measurements in the region of 90 Mev, could not fit the 270 Mev data if expected values independent of the atomic number were employed for the two parameters of their theory. The two parameters are $k_1$, the change in propagation vector of the neutron upon entering nuclear matter; and K, the absorption coefficient for the neutron wave in nuclear matter.

Indeed the 270 Mev data would require that an impinging neutron experience no change in potential upon entering a nucleus, if this theory were to be retained.

As the energy interval between the 95 and 270 Mev measurements was wide, it was felt necessary to investigate the variation of neutron total cross sections of a representative number of nuclei within this energy region.

## II Particle Energies and Effective Detection Energies

In connection with various experimental programs here at the 184-inch cyclotron the energy distribution of the neutrons knocked out of a 2-inch Be target by the 345 Mev protons has been measured by Cladis and Hadley, by Fox, et al.,[7] and by Kelly, Wiegand and Segrè. All three measurements show a broad distribution peaked around 270 Mev, a composite result of which is shown in Fig. 1 as N(E).

In order to obtain information concerning the variation with neutron energy of the bismuth fission counter, the ratio of Bi fission to the

---

[7] R. Fox, C. Leith, L. Wouters, and K. MacKenzie, Phys. Rev., October 1, 1950

[8] S. Fernbach, R. Serber, and T. B. Taylor, Phys. Rev. 75, 1352 (1949)

$C^{12}(n,2n)C^{11}$ reaction was studied for neutrons with mean energies at 90 Mev, at 270 Mev, and for several intermediate values. This ratio increased uniformly by a factor of $3.56 \pm 0.11$ as the mean neutron energy changed from 90 Mev to 270 Mev. According to theoretical calculations by Baumhoff at this laboratory the (n,2n) reaction yield in carbon should decrease slowly over this region to a value of about 0.8 of the 90 Mev yield. Similar theoretical calculations for the (p,pn) reaction[9] in carbon have proved to be quite valid. It may be presumed therefore that the efficiency of the Bi fission reaction increases uniformly by a factor of about three in the neutron energy interval in question.

The selection of neutrons with different mean energies was accomplished by the use of a 1/2-inch Be target at various radii in the cyclotron, with appropriate adjustments of the counters for each target position. It is necessary then to estimate the neutron spectra produced by the various proton energies employed. The following data are pertinent:

1.  The neutron spectra from 1/2-inch and 2-inch Be targets are not appreciably different at these energies, because of multiple passage of the circulating beam through targets.

2.  The neutron spectrum from a Be target bombarded by 180 Mev protons has been observed by Fox and Wouters[10] to have its maximum in the vicinity of 110 Mev.

3.  The neutron spectrum from a Be target bombarded by 345 Mev protons has its maximum at about 270 Mev.

4.  The ratio of Bi fission cross section to the $C^{12}(n,2n)C^{11}$ cross section for neutrons from 165 Mev protons was equal to that for the

[9] W. Heckrotte and P. Wolff, Phys. Rev. 73, 264-5 (1948)

[10] R. Fox and L. Wouters, private communication.

neutrons of 90 Mev mean energy from the stripping of 190 Mev deuterons.

In the light of these observations it will be assumed that the mean energy of the neutron spectrum falls 70 Mev lower than the energy of the bombarding protons.

The comparison of Bi fission with the $C^{12}(n, 2n)C^{11}$ reaction was accomplished by exposing a fission counter and a polystyrene foil simultaneously in the proper location relative to the proton target. Measurements were made at 40 Mev intervals of proton energy ranging from 150 Mev to 345 Mev. Sufficient absorber was placed before the detectors to stop protons scattered out through the tank wall from the target.

The effective neutron detection energy is estimated by plotting the product of the neutron energy distribution and the Bi fission cross section vs. energy. In Fig. 1 this is illustrated for the case of neutrons from the 345 Mev protons. The effective detection energy is not significantly different from the mean energy of the neutrons.

## III  Experimental Arrangement

The neutrons produced by the bombardment of the 2-inch Be target by 345 Mev protons have a wide angular distribution with a half-width at half maximum of $25^{\circ}$ (as measured with bismuth fission). Since only two collimators are present in the concrete shielding, corresponding to proton energies of 180 and 345 Mev, the detector must be placed inside the shielding for intermediate energies (Fig. 2). Most of the neutron flux passes through an inch of steel tank wall as well as other tank fixtures; so the elastically scattered flux from these could constitute a background of perhaps 10 to 20 percent of the undeviated flux in the absence of any collimation. To reduce the detector background the chamber was placed behind a 7-foot thick concrete block with an adjustable collimating arrangement through its central region

admitting a neutron beam slightly less than 2 inches in diameter. As the target position was varied from 81 inches to 54 inches to obtain the desired proton energy settings, the collimating apparatus was swiveled into alignment for the various target positions. The detector was placed six feet to the rear of the concrete block and the attenuating materials were aligned immediately in front of the block on a wooden holder as shown in Fig. 2. The distance from absorbers to detector was about 13 feet, so the geometry was "good" with only small angular scattering corrections necessary.

To monitor the neutron beam a bismuth fission chamber was placed to one side of the concrete block at a position where the contribution of neutrons scattered from the absorbers to its counting rate was negligible. Background was determined by placing approximately seven mean free paths of absorbers on the holder. For most measurements the background was of the order of 5 percent of the detector counting rate with no absorbers present. The geometry was tested by comparing the attenuation of the 270 Mev neutrons using the above geometry with the previous[6] setup in which the detector was placed outside the 10-foot concrete shielding in the collimated neutron beam. With the detector outside the shielding the background was completely negligible and the angular scattering correction less by a factor of two than in the present case.

To test the new geometry the length of copper absorber was increased by 3-inch steps to 12 inches, and the resulting attenuation when corrected for background was found to be linear as a function of absorber length when plotted on semi-logarithmic paper.

If $N_0$ is the number of neutrons per second reaching the detector with no absorber present and $N$ is the number when absorber of length $L$ is present

$$\frac{N - b}{N_0 - b} = e^{-n\sigma_t L} \tag{1}$$

where b is the background counting rate when an absorber that absorbs essentially all the neutrons is placed in position, n is the number of nuclei per cubic centimeter, and $\sigma_t$ is the total nuclear cross section. A value of $1.14 \pm 0.02 \times 10^{-24}$ cm$^2$ was obtained with the present arrangement as compared with the result outside the shielding of $1.15 \pm 0.02 \times 10^{-24}$ cm$^2$ for the copper total cross section.[6] Linear semi-log plots were obtained at other probe positions to check the geometry. Most of the measurements were made with between one and two mean free paths of absorber present in order to obtain optimum statistics for a minimum time of cyclotron operation.

IV  Attenuator Materials

The metals used in the experiments were usually machined cylinders, three or four inches in diameter with negligible chemical impurities. Densities were obtained from measurements of the physical volumes with micrometer and vernier calipers and accurate weights of the cylinders.

The total cross section of hydrogen was measured using pentane-carbon differences. A brass cylindrical holder 48 inches long with 1/32-inch thick walls and bases held the pentane. An array of seven machined, 3-inch diameter graphite cylinders, with total mass per unit area equal to that of the carbon in the 48-inch length of pentane, plus a 1/16-inch thick brass disc, were alternately used with the pentane to attenuate the neutron beam. The decrease in the detector counting rate when the graphite was replaced by pentane is from the attenuation of the neutrons due to the hydrogen alone. Since only 0.3 to 0.4 mean free path of hydrogen is present (depending on the neutron energy) repeated cycles were made at a given probe setting to obtain good statistics. The percent statistical error of the cross section measurement is equal to the percent statistical error in counting divided by the number of mean free paths of hydrogen present. Short blank cycles were taken with

an empty holder to obtain the carbon cross section.

V  Results

The data obtained are given in Table I. Pertinent results from the previous study[6] at 270 Mev are given in Table II. Copper, tin, lead, and uranium have small diffraction scattering corrections ( <one percent) incorporated into their cross section values. The variations of the cross sections with energy are shown in graphical form for carbon, aluminum, copper, and lead in Fig. 3. Variation of the hydrogen cross section is illustrated in Fig. 4. Data from previous studies[3,4,5,6] are included in these curves, and in Fig. 3, published results at 14 Mev[11] and 25 Mev[12] have been also plotted. The errors given are the statistical fluctuations from counting alone expressed in standard deviations.

---

[11] Amaldi, Bocciarelli, Cacciapuoti, and Trabachi, Nuovo Cimento 3, 203 (1946)

[12] R. Sherr, Phys. Rev. 68, 240 (1945)

## Table I

## Total Cross Sections of Nuclei for High Energy Neutrons

## Measured with Bismuth Fission Chambers

| Proton Energy | Target | Estimated Neutron Energy | Element | $\sigma_t \times 10^{24}$ cm$^2$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 310 Mev | 0.5" Be | 240 Mev | Al<br>Cu<br>Pb | 0.576 ± 0.012<br>1.15 ± 0.02<br>2.88 ± 0.05 |
| 290 Mev | 0.5" Be | 220 Mev | H<br><br><br>C<br><br><br>Al<br>Cu<br>Pb | 1) 0.0410 ± 0.0041<br>2) 0.0410 ± 0.0029<br>0.041 ± 0.0024 (ave.)<br>1) 0.288 ± 0.10<br>2) 0.283 ± 0.08<br>0.285 ± 0.06 (ave.)<br>0.576 ± 0.021<br>1.15 ± 0.035<br>2.99 ± 0.14 |
| 270 Mev | 2" Be | 190 Mev | C<br>Al<br>Cu<br>Sn<br>Pb<br>U | 0.291 ± 0.009<br>0.540 ± 0.028<br>1.15 ± 0.04<br>1.90 ± 0.07<br>2.85 ± 0.10<br>3.28 ± 0.13 |
| 250 Mev | 0.5" Be | 180 Mev | Al<br>Cu<br>Pb | 0.575 ± 0.013<br>1.25 ± 0.03<br>3.06 ± 0.06 |
| 230 Mev | 0.5" Be | 160 Mev | H<br><br><br>C | 1) 0.0548 ± 0.0060<br>2) 0.0504 ± 0.0029<br>0.0512 ± 0.0026 (ave.)<br>1) 0.298 ± 0.012<br>2) 0.295 ± 0.0075<br>0.296 ± 0.006 (ave.) |
| 225 Mev | 2" Be<br>0.5" Be | 145 Mev<br>155 Mev | Cu<br>Cu | 1.31 ± 0.04<br>1.30 ± 0.04 |
| 185 Mev | 0.5" Be | 115 Mev | Cu | 1.52 ± 0.06 |
| 180-190* | 0.5" Be | 110-120 Mev | Al<br>Cu<br>Pb | 0.733 ± 0.012<br>1.49 ± 0.02<br>3.71 ± 0.06 |

* Probe position not accurately known

## Table II

## Total Cross Sections for 95 and 270 Mev Neutrons Measured

## Previously[6] with Bismuth Fission Chambers

| Element | $\sigma_t$ (95 Mev) x $10^{24}$ cm$^2$ | $\sigma_t$ (270 Mev) x $10^{24}$ cm$^2$ |
|---------|---------|---------|
| Hydrogen | $0.073 \pm 0.0015$ | $0.038 \pm 0.0015$ |
| Carbon | $0.498 \pm 0.003$ | $0.288 \pm 0.003$ |
| Aluminum | $0.993 \pm 0.011$ | $0.555 \pm 0.008$ |
| Copper | $2.00 \pm 0.02$ | $1.15 \pm 0.02$ |
| Lead | $4.48 \pm 0.03$ | $2.84 \pm 0.03$ |

## VI  Discussion of Results

Carbon, aluminum, copper and lead all exhibit little variation in their total cross section values from about 180 Mev to 270 Mev mean neutron energy, as Fig. 3 indicates. The neutron energy distribution detected by the fission chambers is rather broad for the measurements undertaken and the resulting cross sections may not correspond exactly to the true cross section at the mean detected energy of the unattenuated distribution. If the detected energy distribution is given by $f(E)$ where $f(E)$ is normalized so that

$\int_{E_{min.}}^{E_{max.}} f(E)dE = 1$ the measured value of the cross section is from (1):

$$nL\sigma_m = \ell n \frac{N_o - b}{N - b} = - \ell n \int_{E_{min.}}^{E_{max.}} f(E)e^{-n\sigma_t(E)L} \, dE$$

or

$$\sigma_m = \frac{-1}{nL} \ell n \int_{E_{min.}}^{E_{max.}} f(E)e^{-n\sigma_t(E)L} \, dE \qquad (2)$$

If $\sigma_m$ is examined for the energy intervals where measurements were made the following statements may be made for the four nuclei above:

1. For mean energies of 240 Mev or above, the variation of $\sigma_t(E)$ over the distribution is slight and $\sigma_m$ agrees with $\sigma(\bar{E})$ at the mean energy

$$\bar{E} = \int_{E_{min.}}^{E_{max.}} E f(E)\, dE$$

2. As the mean energy of the distribution is lowered to 160 Mev, $\sigma_t(E)$ begins to increase on the low energy side of the distribution from its level value, and the measured value $\sigma_m$ will be higher than $\sigma(\bar{E})$.

In general the broad neutron distribution tends to round off the shape of the curves of Fig. 3. The plateaus are possibly slightly flatter and longer in extent than shown.

No attempt has been made to correct the present data for the spread in the neutron energies since the detected neutron distribution is hardly known with sufficient accuracy. A measurement of cross sections for a small neutron energy interval centered about 140 Mev would define the cross section variations in this region more precisely. Faster electronic circuits under development in connection with scintillation counters may make this measurement feasible.

The theoretical predictions of Christian and Hart[13] for a Yukawa potential with tensor interaction are also shown in Fig. 4. Experimentally the cross section deviates more markedly from an 1/E variation than it does theoretically.

VII  Comparison with Cross Sections Observed in Cosmic Ray Attenuation

Estimates of the attenuation in the atmosphere of the components of cosmic radiation giving rise to penetrating showers, bursts in thin-walled

[13] R. Christian and E. Hart, Phys. Rev. 77, 441 (1950)

chambers, nuclear stars, and slow neutrons have been given by Rossi.[14,15]
It is shown that these events are almost certainly due to the high energy
nucleon components of the cosmic radiation, consisting of both primary
nucleons and energetic secondary nucleons formed in the atmosphere.

A summary of the data in references 14 and 15 is given in Table III.
The attenuation lengths listed apply to integrated intensities, i.e., inten-
sities measured without close angular or energy definition.

Table III

Attenuation Lengths for Reduction of Integrated Intensity

by Factor of 1/e

| Type of Event | Attenuation Length in Atmosphere | Attenuation Length in Pb |
|---|---|---|
| Penetrating Showers | 110-125 gm/cm$^2$ | |
| Bursts | 120-140 gm/cm$^2$ | 250-450 gm/cm$^2$ |
| Stars | 135-150 gm/cm$^2$ | $\sim$250 gm/cm$^2$ |
| Slow Neutrons | 160-190 gm/cm$^2$ | |

In some previous measurements by DeJuren[6] of poor geometry attenuation
of the 270 Mev neutrons it was found that the cross sections for inelastic
collision were at least one-half the total collision cross sections. For
90 Mev neutrons the inelastic cross section is slightly less than 1/2 $\sigma_t$.[5,16]

If, for comparison with the cosmic-ray data, absorption cross sections
of one-half the total cross sections measured for 270 Mev neutrons[6] are
used, the calculated attenuation lengths are 138 gm/cm$^2$ in air, and 250 gm/cm$^2$

[14] B. Rossi, Rev. Mod. Phys. 20, 537-583 (1948)

[15] B. Rossi, ONR Technical Report No. 26 (Massachusetts Institute of Tech-
nology) April 4, 1949; AEC Index No. NP-891

[16] Bratenahl, Fernbach, Hildebrand, Leith, and Moyer, Phys. Rev. 77, 597-605
(1950)

in Pb. Rossi[15] quotes an attenuation length for "neutral N-rays" (supposedly

high energy neutrons) in air of 130 gm/cm$^2$.

It appears that cross sections for high energy neutron absorption fall

rather abruptly to a broad, slowly-varying or flat region at approximately

the minimum level demonstrated in cosmic rays, and that this flat region is

entered at energies as low as approximately 200 Mev.

This work was performed under the auspices of the Atomic Energy Commission.

## Figure Captions

Fig. 1.  Estimation of detection efficiency vs. neutron energy in the case

of 345 Mev protons.

N(E) is the neutron energy spectrum from a two-inch Be target

bombarded by 345 Mev protons.  $\sigma_f(E)$ is the approximate ex-

citation function for Bi fission by neutrons.  The dashed

curve is then the detection efficiency vs. energy.

Fig. 2.  Plan view of experimental arrangement.

Fig. 3.  Total cross sections vs. neutron energy.

The region between dashed lines contains the data from the

present experiment.  On either side of this region are data

from earlier experiments by the same authors and by others

mentioned in text.

Fig. 4.  Total n-p cross section vs. neutron energy.

Triangles represent theoretical predictions of Christian and

Hart.[13]

Fig. 1

MAGNET
YOKE

CONCRETE
COLLIMATOR

DETECTOR

1/2 Be TARGET

ATTENUATOR

MONITOR

10 FT

CONCRETE

PROTON ORBIT

CYCLOTRON TANK

MU 720

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4