UC Davis

UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Longitudinal pathways between mental health difficulties and academic performance during middle childhood and early adolescence.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6zw160x6>

Journal

The British journal of developmental psychology, 36(1)

ISSN

0261-510X

Authors

Deighton, Jessica
Humphrey, Neil
Belsky, Jay
et al.

Publication Date

2018-03-01

DOI

10.1111/bjdp.12218

Peer reviewed

Longitudinal pathways between mental health difficulties and academic performance during middle childhood and early adolescence

Jessica Deighton^{1*}, Neil Humphrey², Jay Belsky³, Jan Boehnke⁴, Panos Vostanis⁵ and Praveetha Patalay⁶

¹Evidence Based Practice Unit (EBPU), University College London and the Anna Freud Centre, UK

²Manchester Institute of Education, School of Environment, Education and Development, University of Manchester, UK

³Department of Human Ecology, University of California, Davis, California, USA

⁴Dundee Centre for Health and Related Research, School of Nursing and Health Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK

⁵The Greenwood Institute of Child Health, University of Leicester, UK

⁶UCL Institute of Education, University College London, UK

There is a growing appreciation that child functioning in different domains, levels, or systems are interrelated over time. Here, we investigate links between internalizing symptoms, externalizing problems, and academic attainment during middle childhood and early adolescence, drawing on two large data sets (child: mean age 8.7 at enrolment, $n = 5,878$; adolescent: mean age 11.7, $n = 6,388$). Using a 2-year cross-lag design, we test three hypotheses – adjustment erosion, academic incompetence, and shared risk – while also examining the moderating influence of gender. Multilevel structural equation models provided consistent evidence of the deleterious effect of externalizing problems on later academic achievement in both cohorts, supporting the adjustment-erosion hypothesis. Evidence supporting the academic-incompetence hypothesis was restricted to the middle childhood cohort, revealing links between early academic failure and later internalizing symptoms. In both cohorts, inclusion of shared-risk variables improved model fit and rendered some previously established cross-lag pathways non-significant. Implications of these findings are discussed, and study strengths and limitations noted.

Statement of contribution

What is already known on this subject?

- Longitudinal research and in particular developmental cascades literature make the case for weaker associations between internalizing symptoms and academic performance than between externalizing problems and academic performance.
- Findings vary in terms of the magnitude and inferred direction of effects. Inconsistencies may be explained by different age ranges, prevalence of small-to-modest sample sizes, and large time lags between measurement points.
- Gender differences remain underexamined.

*Correspondence should be addressed to Dr Jessica Deighton, Evidence Based Practice Unit (EBPU), University College London and the Anna Freud Centre, 21 Maresfield Gardens, London NW3 5SU, UK (email: ebpu@annafreud.org).

What does this study add?

- The present study used cross-lagged models to examine longitudinal associations in age groups (middle child and adolescence) in a large-scale British sample.
- The large sample size not only allows for improvements on previous measurement models (e.g., allowing the analysis to account for nesting, and estimation of latent variables) but also allows for examination of gender differences.
- The findings clarify the role of shared-risk factors in accounting for associations between internalizing, externalizing, and academic performance, by demonstrating that shared-risk factors do not fully account for relationships between internalizing, externalizing, and academic achievement. Specifically, some pathways between mental health and academic attainment consistently remain, even after shared-risk variables have been accounted for.
- Findings also present consistent support for the potential impact of behavioural problems on children's academic attainment.
- The negative relationship between low academic attainment and subsequent internalizing symptoms for younger children is also noteworthy.

A long-standing and primary focus of human development research concerns whether and how functioning in one domain at one point in time predicts and presumably affects functioning in other domains at a later point in time. Investigation of such cross-time/cross-domain links is often referred to in terms of 'developmental cascades' (Masten & Cicchetti, 2010).

In this report, we draw on the developmental cascade literature to develop a 2-year cross-lag model that examines, longitudinally, inter-relationships between internalizing symptoms, externalizing problems, and academic performance. Using two large child and adolescent data sets, we test three alternative hypotheses pertaining to how these constructs relate to one another over a 2-year period – adjustment erosion, academic incompetence, and shared risk (Masten *et al.*, 2005; Moilanen, Shaw, & Maxwell, 2010), each of which is defined below.

Exploring longitudinal pathways between mental health and academic performance in children and adolescents is an important focus in research on developmental cascades (e.g., Ansary & Luthar, 2009; Bennett, Brown, Boyle, Racine, & Offord, 2003; McCarty *et al.*, 2008; Moilanen *et al.*, 2010). Given the apparent influence of functioning in these domains when predicting diverse aspects of adult development (e.g., Healey, Knapp, & Farrington, 2004), this is perhaps unsurprising. Extensive evidence indicates that mental health problems identified in childhood and adolescence constitute a major 'disease burden' in terms of their implications for physical health and mortality, as well as future economic and social functioning (Patel, Flisher, Hetrick, & McGorry, 2007). Academic achievement also has long-term implications, not just for future academic success and employment, but also for depression, alcoholism, and violent behaviour (Ek, Sovio, Remes, & Järvelin, 2005; Kosterman, Graham, Hawkins, Catalano, & Herrenkohl, 2001; Pelkonen, Marttunen, & Aro, 2003; Windle, Mun, & Windle, 2005). Developing knowledge and understanding of the nature, magnitude, and stability of such relationships over time can contribute to developmental theory, which can inform prevention and intervention efforts.

Three models have been proffered to explain how externalizing problems, internalizing symptoms, and academic competence are linked across time. The *adjustment-erosion* model stipulates that internalizing and/or externalizing difficulties lead to later academic difficulties (Moilanen *et al.*, 2010). For example, aggressive behaviours can present a barrier to learning because of their adverse effects on peer acceptance and

relationships with teachers. Similarly, emotional distress may impede academic progress by altering cognitive functions related to learning, thereby reducing participation in the classroom (Moilanen *et al.*, 2010).

The relationship between internalizing symptoms and externalizing difficulties is also part of the adjustment-erosion model, in that early externalizing difficulties are presumed to foster later internalizing symptoms. This is because behavioural problems are hypothesized to disrupt interpersonal relationships, which subsequently affects emotional distress. By contrast, initial internalizing symptoms are assumed to be *inversely* related to later externalizing problems because the self-isolation and withdrawal associated with the former are assumed to reduce the risk of future disruptive behaviour (Masten *et al.*, 2005).

Some evidence provides support for the adjustment-erosion model. In the United States (US), Moilanen *et al.* (2010) found that externalizing difficulties predicted low academic competence and high internalizing symptoms over a 6-year period from middle childhood to early adolescence. Masten *et al.* (2005) discerned similar results from middle childhood to adulthood, while also observing that early internalizing symptoms proved 'protective' with respect to future externalizing problems. Also consistent with the adjustment-erosion model are Obradovic, Burt, and Masten's (2010) data indicating that internalizing symptoms in childhood forecast reduced academic competence in adolescence. However, Duncan *et al.* (2007) detected no significant links between internalizing or externalizing problems and later academic achievement. Similarly, the anticipated relations between internalizing symptoms and externalizing problems have not consistently emerged; Moilanen *et al.* (2010) failed to find evidence of the former predicting the latter.

The *academic-incompetence* model reverses the cascading processes central to the adjustment-erosion model, stipulating that problems relating to academic competence initiate or exacerbate existing internalizing and/or externalizing difficulties (Moilanen *et al.*, 2010). From this perspective, low academic achievement is presumed to engender frustration and disaffection and/or lead to educational placements that increase exposure to deviant peers, increasing the likelihood of disruptive behaviour. In terms of internalizing, experience of academic failure may lead to feelings of worthlessness or low self-esteem (Maughan, Rowe, Loeber, & Stouthamer-Loeber, 2003).

Empirical support for the academic-incompetence model can be found in a number of studies. In a Canadian sample, Bennett *et al.* (2003) observed that limited reading achievement at school entry forecasts more conduct problems 30 months later. Similarly, Vaillancourt, Brittain, McDougall, and Duku (2013) found that a low grade point average in grades 5–7 predicted increasing externalizing symptoms a year later. In relation to internalizing symptoms, McCarty *et al.* (2008) reported that adolescent school failure predisposed American females to depressive symptoms in early adulthood. Finally, results of Moilanen *et al.*'s (2010) study chronicled adverse effects of low academic competence on later internalizing *and* externalizing problems, even if not across all hypothesized paths. For example, lower academic competence predicted higher internalizing symptoms in middle childhood but not early adolescence.

The third and final model, referred to as the *shared-risk* hypothesis (also known as 'common cause'; Bornstein, Hahn, & Suwalsky, 2013b), stipulates that the effects central to the first two models are actually explained by 'third variables' affecting multiple, interrelated domains of development (Moilanen *et al.*, 2010). Typically, these are operationalized as risk markers, the most common being intellectual ability, parenting quality, and deprivation or socio-economic status (Masten *et al.*, 2005). Taking the latter

as an example, socio-economic disadvantage is known to predict both social-emotional difficulties and poor academic attainment (Bradley & Corwyn, 2002). The increased risk associated with poverty is attributed to a lack of access to resources that more wealthy families can afford, in addition to exposure to stressors, such as poor housing quality and a lack of structure (Bradley & Corwyn, 2002; Hetzner, Johnson, & Brooks-Gunn, 2010).

The standard approach to assessing the influence of shared-risk variables in developmental cascade research has been to include them as covariates and subsequently contrast models. Evidence to date indicates that although model fit typically benefits from the inclusion of shared-risk variables, most previously established cascade pathways are generally unaffected (Bornstein *et al.*, 2013b; Masten *et al.*, 2005; Moilanen *et al.*, 2010).

The current study

The aim of the current study was to extend the research on longitudinal associations between internalizing symptoms, externalizing difficulties, and academic achievement using large, representative samples of children and adolescents in England. The data set used in this analysis was procured during a major longitudinal research project on school-based mental health (Deighton *et al.*, 2013; Vostanis, Humphrey, Fitzgerald, Deighton, & Wolpert, 2013; Wolpert, Humphrey, Belsky, & Deighton, 2013; Wolpert *et al.*, 2011).

The current study draws on this large database to address several limitations and inconsistencies in the extant evidence base. First, sample sizes in many studies have been relatively modest. This reduces the sensitivity of statistical tests to account for nesting in the data, or estimate correlated errors, to detect nonzero paths (Masten *et al.*, 2005) and limits opportunities for including additional parameters (Bornstein, Hahn, & Suwalsky, 2013a). Additionally, there are issues with the representativeness and comparability of samples used in existing work. It is difficult to compare the findings of, for example, Ansary and Luthar's (2009) study of affluent adolescents with those of Moilanen *et al.* (2010), who studied an economically disadvantaged sample. The same applies for Masten *et al.*'s (2005) models evaluating predictive relations across 20 years, with up to 10-year periods between measurements, with those addressing time lags of just 1 or 2 years (e.g., Moilanen *et al.*, 2010). Herein, we examine time lags of 2 years, based upon the design of the larger study from which the data for this report were drawn.

Second, the majority of the research investigating cascading associations has been carried out in the United States. Cultural transferability of findings cannot be assumed because of the primacy of cultural context in the developmental ecosystem (Bronfenbrenner, 2005). For example, there are differences between the United States and United Kingdom in terms of the age at which out-of-home childcare begins, typical class size, and the average number of educational transitions. To date, there has only been one UK-based study of the developmental cascades between internalizing symptoms, externalizing problems, and academic attainment. Panayiotou and Humphrey (2017) found support for both the adjustment-erosion and academic-incompetence hypotheses, but the pathways observed were gender specific (the former only evident for boys, the latter only for girls). They also found that the influence of shared risk was meagre, improving model fit but substantively affecting only one previously established pathway. However, these authors' analyses were restricted to middle childhood, limiting their ability to assess the extent to which identified pathways intensify or attenuate through the course of development. Furthermore, the use of teacher informant-report mental health data raises concerns about the accuracy with which internalizing symptoms are recorded (in particular, teachers may underreport difficulties in this domain; Kolko & Kazdin, 1993). The current

study addresses these acknowledged limitations, using data covering two distinct developmental periods (middle childhood and early adolescence) and self-report survey methods (which are optimal for the assessment of internalizing symptoms; Whitcomb & Merrell, 2013).

Third, the current study also provides an opportunity to clarify the role of internalizing difficulties in the developmental process (Masten & Cicchetti, 2010; Moilanen *et al.*, 2010). In particular, the exact nature of the association between internalizing and externalizing difficulties over time remains elusive, whereas some work chronicles positive associations, other research documents negative ones (Masten *et al.*, 2005).

Fourth, we evaluate whether the longitudinal pathways vary by sex. Mental health problems vary as a function of gender, with higher levels of internalizing symptoms among girls and greater externalizing problems among boys, though these gender differences become more and less pronounced, respectively, as children develop (Green, McGinnity, Meltzer, Ford, & Goodman, 2005). Sex differences are also evident in academic attainment, in both primary and secondary school contexts, with girls outperforming boys (Department for Education, 2011b). There is some evidence, however, of change in this gender difference with age (Riglin, Frederickson, Shelton, & Rice, 2013). For example, McCarty *et al.* (2008) found evidence that academic performance affected later depressive symptoms among adolescent girls, but not boys. Similarly, Klostermann, Connell, and Stormshak's (2016) work indicated that externalizing problems appeared to precede internalizing symptoms for boys in early adolescence, whereas the reverse was true for girls.

Fifth, in relation to the shared-risk model, data available in the current study enabled us to consider an alternative risk marker that has been relatively neglected in the extant literature. Specifically, being identified as having special educational needs (SEN) has been found to covary with both academic achievement (DfE, 2011a) and mental health difficulties (Green *et al.*, 2005). Children and adolescents with SEN are likely to be victims of bullying (Fink, Deighton, Humphrey, & Wolpert, 2015), experience lower peer acceptance, and have fewer friends (Pijl, Frostad, & Flem, 2008) than their peers. We also included socio-economic deprivation as a well-known correlate of both mental health problems (Caspi, Taylor, Moffitt, & Plomin, 2000) and academic attainment (Sirin, 2005).

In summary, the primary aim of the research reported was to illuminate longitudinal pathways linking internalizing symptoms, externalizing problems, and academic attainment consistent with the models of adjustment erosion and academic incompetence, as displayed in Figure 1. We also aimed to examine the role of two potential 'shared-risk' factors that could influence both mental health and academic attainment, socio-economic deprivation and SEN. A secondary goal was to consider whether these processes were moderated by gender. Although the work presented herein is informed by the developmental cascade literature, which typically incorporates at least three time points, only data from two time points were available on academic achievement.

Our analyses explore the relationships between mental health and academic attainment across two distinct developmental phases (middle childhood, age 8–11; and early adolescence, age 11–14) and school contexts (primary school and secondary school). There are several reasons we might expect to see variation in cascade pathways between mental health and academic attainment across these developmental epochs. The primary and secondary school contexts in England are very distinct. Relative to primary schools, secondary schools are much larger and place greater emphasis on relative ability and competition and rules of behaviour. Children also experience less personal relations with teachers than in primary schools (Humphrey & Ainscow, 2006). Finally, early

Figure 1. Cascade models examining the longitudinal relationships between internalizing symptoms, externalizing problems, and academic attainment.

adolescence is a period during which major biological, cognitive, and social changes occur that may have implications for mental health (Arnett, 1999) and, indeed, research documents increased prevalence of both internalizing and externalizing problems during this period (Green *et al.*, 2005).

Based on the preceding theory and evidence, we made several predictions. First, consistent with the adjustment-erosion model, we predicted that greater initial externalizing difficulties and internalizing symptoms would forecast poorer later academic attainment. Additionally, we hypothesized that more early externalizing problems would forecast more internalizing symptoms but that more initial internalizing symptoms would forecast fewer externalizing difficulties. Second, in line with the academic-incompetence hypothesis, we predicted that early academic attainment would be inversely related to later internalizing and externalizing problems. Third, we expected that the just-delineated cross-time associations would be evident even after accounting for shared risk. Finally, we hypothesized that these associations would vary as a function of both gender and developmental period, but did not specify in advance exactly how, given the nascent status of the evidence base. It should be noted that the modelling employed always involved early functioning in one domain of functioning predicting change from earlier-to-later functioning in another domain, in that the later outcome was adjusted for its earlier measurement.

Method

Participants

The overall sample consisted of 12,266 children (5,878 primary school and 6,388 secondary school) who were participants in one of two cohorts of a longitudinal research project on school-based mental health. The primary school cohort included children aged eight to nine (mean age 8.7, *SD* = 0.30) at the outset of the study. The secondary school cohort included adolescents aged 11–12 (mean age 11.7, *SD* = 0.30). The sample included any child from 157 primary schools and 41 secondary schools who completed a survey at least one time point and for whom shared-risk data were available.

Of the primary school sample, 51.2% were male and 73% were classified as 'White'. These proportions closely mirror the composition of the English primary school population, albeit with a higher proportion of children from ethnic minorities (national proportions: 78.5% White, 51.07% male; DfE, 2010). Children eligible for free school meals (FSM) constituted 21.9% of the sample, somewhat higher than the national average of 17.3% for primary school children (DfE, 2010). Average academic attainment was derived from the most recent national assessment scores for English, Maths, and Science. In the current sample, it was 14.68, which is lower than the national average of 15.30 (DfE, 2010).

Of the secondary school sample, 46.4% were male and 78% were classified as 'White'. These proportions are similar to the composition of the secondary school population of England (national proportions: 81.2% White, 50.41% male; DfE, 2010). Children eligible for FSM constituted 18.6% of the sample, higher than the national average of 14.2% for secondary school children (DfE, 2010). Average academic attainment was 27.3 (for further details, see Academic attainment section in 'measures'), which is similar to the national average (DfE, 2010).

Procedure

Children completed a self-report questionnaire in autumn 2008 (T1) and autumn 2010 (T2) using a secure online website. Class teachers facilitated online, whole-class sessions for completing the questionnaire, having been provided with a standardized instruction sheet to read aloud. The sheet outlined what the questionnaire was about, the confidentiality of answers, and each child's right to decline participation. The online survey system was designed to be easy to read and child-friendly.

Measures

Internalizing symptoms and externalizing problems

Internalizing symptoms and externalizing problems were measured using the *Me and My Feelings* (M&MF, formerly Me and My School, M&MS) scale (Deighton *et al.*, 2013; Patalay, Deighton, Fonagy, Vostanis, & Wolpert, 2014). The M&MF scale contains 16 items. Each item consists of a statement (e.g., 'I worry a lot' [internalizing], 'I lose my temper' [externalizing] – see Appendix for full list), combined with a three-point response scale (never, sometimes, always). The Flesch–Kincaid Grade Level score for the measure is c. 0.6, indicating that the items are simple enough to be read and understood by an average 6-year-old child.

Psychometric analyses indicate that the M&MF has good content validity and strong internal consistency (based on confirmatory factor analysis and Cronbach's alpha coefficients of $>.7$, Deighton *et al.*, 2013) (based on correlations with the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire – Goodman, 1997; Deighton *et al.*, 2013).

Academic attainment

Academic attainment was measured using end of Key Stage (KS) assessment data derived from the National Pupil Database (NPD) held by the English government's DfE. Compulsory education in England is divided into Key Stages: Years 1 and 2 (ages 5–7; KS1), Years 3, 4, 5, and 6 (ages 7–11; KS2), Years 7, 8, and 9 (ages 11–14; KS3), and Years 10 and 11 (ages 14–16; KS4). Assessments occur at the end of these key stages. Each

provides data corresponding to attainment in core curriculum subjects (e.g., English, Maths, and Science). For the primary school cohort, academic attainment was measured using teacher assessment data at the end of KS1 (Time 1) and standardized test data at the end of KS2 (Time 2), both of which produce point scores for English, Maths, and Science. For the secondary school cohort, academic attainment was captured using standardized test data at the end of KS2 (Time 1) and teacher assessment data at the end of KS3 (Time 2). These data are scored such that higher scores reflect higher academic attainment.

Special educational needs

Special educational needs status was based on data collected centrally by the DfE via the NPD. These data provide information about the nature of educational provision for a given child on an ordinal scale as shown in Table 1.

Socio-economic deprivation

Socio-economic deprivation was assessed using children’s Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index (IDACI) scores, which are also stored in the NPD. IDACI is derived from the deprivation ranking of the neighbourhood (or ‘super output area’) within which a child lives (McLennan *et al.*, 2011). The score represents the proportion of children under 16 in that area who live in a low-income household. It is a metric between 0 and 1, with higher scores reflecting higher levels of deprivation.

Analysis

Cross-lag models were tested by multilevel structural equation models using MPlus version 7 (Muthén & Muthén, 2015; all models with WLSM estimator);¹ schools served as a clustering variable, with children ‘nested’ within schools. Analyses were carried out separately for primary and secondary school cohorts. Factor loadings and thresholds for internalizing and externalizing scores were constrained to be the same across time points, assuming strong factorial invariance. To additionally examine whether gender differences existed, we ran multigroup (gender) structural equa-

Table 1. Special educational needs (SEN) status categories and associated scoring

Category	Description	Score
No SEN	No additional needs recorded	0
School action	Identified SEN are met via adjustments to normal teaching practice	1
School action plus	Additional support from an external professional (e.g., educational psychologist, speech, and language therapist) is required	2
Statement of SEN	A legal document which follows multiprofessional assessment and outlines the nature of a child’s needs and the resources required to meet them effectively	3

¹ Following Vaillancourt et al. (2013), we report the range of (T1) intraclass correlations (ICCs) for items making up the study variables. The primary school sample ICC ranges were .12–.18 for indicators of academic attainment, .00–.03 for indicators of internalizing symptoms, and .02–.07 for indicators of externalizing problems. In the secondary school sample, the ranges were .05–.21, .01–.03, and .01–.04 respectively.

tion models controlling for clustering by schools using cluster-robust standard errors, which revealed no significant difference between boys and girls in either primary or secondary school samples (see Data S1). Hence, the final models within the two school cohorts were not disaggregated by gender.

Model fit was considered to be acceptable if comparative fit index (CFI) and Tucker–Lewis Index (TLI) were above .95 and root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) was below .05 (Bollen & Curran, 2006). Two versions of the models were tested. The first version accounted for (1) temporal stability in internalizing symptoms, externalizing problems, and academic attainment; (2) concurrent correlations between these variables; and (3) cascade pathways across domains over time (see Figure 1; Bornstein *et al.*, 2013a). In this model, shared-risk variables (i.e., deprivation, SEN) were included, but all pathways from these variables were fixed to zero (see Data S2 for further details). In the second version of the models, pathways involving shared-risk variables were freed, allowing deprivation and SEN to predict internalizing symptoms, externalizing problems, and academic attainment at each time point. Improvement in model fit between basic and shared-risk models was tested using the Satorra–Bentler scaled chi-square difference test (Satorra, 2000). Only the models with shared-risk variable paths freed are presented in the figures. Given the large sample size, pathways in these models are only reported as significant at $p < .01$.

Results

Figures 2 and 3 depict the results for the primary and secondary school samples. All models demonstrated fair to good fit to the data (CFI and $TLI \geq .95$, and $RMSEA \leq .05$). In all cases, within-construct temporal stability was strong, particularly for academic attainment ($\beta > .86, p < .001$).

Figure 2. Cascade model for the primary children with shared-risk variables freed; * $p < .01$, ** $p < .001$. CFI, comparative fit index; TLI, Tucker–Lewis index; RMSEA, root mean square error of approximation; ^{NS}Not Significant; * $p < .01$; ** $p < .001$. N.B. All latent variables are shown as ellipses; shared-risk indicators were manifest variables.

Figure 3. Cascade model for the secondary school children with shared-risk factors freed; $*p < .05$, $**p < .01$, $***p < .001$. CFI, comparative fit index; TLI, Tucker–Lewis index; RMSEA, root mean square error of approximation; NS Not Significant; $*p < .01$; $**p < .001$. N.B. All latent variables are shown as ellipses; shared-risk indicators were manifest variables.

Primary school results

The model for primary school children with shared-risk variables fixed to zero (referred to as the ‘basic model’) revealed three significant longitudinal pathways. The first two involved low academic attainment (T1) predicting both increased internalizing symptoms (T2) ($\beta = -.08$, $p < .001$) and increased externalizing problems ($\beta = -.08$, $p < .001$). There was also a significant pathway from T1 externalizing problems and later lower academic attainment (T2) ($\beta = -.07$, $p < .001$).

In the model that included shared-risk variables, the pathways between T1 externalizing and later achievement and the pathway between T1 academic attainment later internalizing symptoms remained ($\beta = -.07$, $p < .001$; and $\beta = -.06$, $p < .001$, respectively). However, the pathway from T1 academic attainment to later externalizing problems was no longer significant (Figure 2). Including shared-risk factors also resulted in a significant improvement in the overall model fit ($TRd = 2394.76$, $p < .001$).

Secondary school results

The initial basic model for the secondary school sample, with shared-risk variables fixed to zero, revealed two significant negative cascade pathways, one from internalizing symptoms to later academic attainment ($\beta = -.04$, $p < .01$) and another from externalizing problems to later academic attainment ($\beta = -.11$, $p < .001$). These indicated that higher levels of internalizing symptoms and externalizing problems both led to subsequent reductions in academic attainment.

Freeing the shared-risk pathways improved the model fit significantly (Figure 3; $TRd = 694.52$, $p < .01$). However, pathways remained similar to those observed in the basic model, meaning that pathways from externalizing problems (T1) and internalizing symptoms (T1) to academic attainment (T2) remained ($\beta = -.10$, $p < .001$; and $\beta = -.04$, $p < .01$, respectively).

Summary

In summary, multigroup analysis did not provide support for difference in models between boys and girls; therefore, analysis was carried out for combined samples of boys and girls. While multiple models were examined, once shared-risk variables were included, support emerged predominantly for the adjustment-erosion hypothesis. Longitudinal pathways proved significant for primary and secondary school age groups when linking initial externalizing problems with later academic attainment. In addition, in the secondary school sample, a significant pathway from initial internalizing symptoms to later academic attainment was also detected. There was also some limited support for the academic-incompetence model; in the initial primary school model, pathways were observed from initial academic attainment to later internalizing symptoms and externalizing problems. However, after accounting for shared-risk variables, only the pathway from academic attainment to later internalizing symptoms remained.

Discussion

The current study evaluated longitudinal pathways linking mental health difficulties and academic attainment highlighted by alternative theoretical models. We drew on a large, nationally representative data set that afforded important analytical advancements relative to much prior developmental cascade research (e.g., the estimation of latent variables, while also accounting for nesting in the data), thereby enhancing analytical rigour, while focusing separately on middle childhood and adolescence and examining potential differences between boys and girls. In contrast with Panayiotou and Humphrey (2017), multigroup analysis did not find evidence for gender differences for either age group.

Support emerged principally for the adjustment-erosion model. Recall that *adjustment-erosion* stipulates that internalizing and/or externalizing difficulties lead to later academic difficulties, whereas the *academic-incompetence* model posits that problems relating to academic competence feed forward to promote or exacerbate internalizing and/or externalizing difficulties. The *shared-risk* model stipulates that the kinds of cascading effects central to the other models are explained by ‘third variables’.

The adjustment-erosion model received the most consistent empirical support. Externalizing problems appeared to undermine later academic achievement, even after accounting for shared-risk factors reflecting deprivation and SEN. These findings resonate strongly with those reported by others studying these same developmental periods (e.g., Chen, Huang, Chang, Wang, & Li, 2010; Moilanen *et al.*, 2010), as well as results of research covering much lengthier periods of time (e.g., Masten *et al.*, 2005). Although the coefficient values for pathways from externalizing problems to later attainment were somewhat weaker in magnitude than those found previously, this may be explained by the fact that our structural equation models were multilevel, enabling us to account for nesting in the study variables. Some evidence also emerged consistent with the adjustment-erosion expectation that early internalizing symptoms would undermine later academic attainment, albeit only for the secondary school sample.

Also noteworthy is that the findings presented contrast markedly with those reported by Duncan *et al.* (2007). Such discrepancies may be partly explained by differences in age ranges, countries in which the studies were carried out and research designs, but also by methodological shortcomings, such as a lack of consideration of missing data in the Duncan *et al.* (2007) study. Subsequent studies have noted that addressing missing data

through imputation does reveal associations between internalizing and externalizing problems and later academic competence (Romano, Babchishin, Pagani, & Kohen, 2010).

In terms of prevention, our results speak to the salience of externalizing problems as a barrier to concurrent and future opportunities for learning and participation in school. Our findings suggest that effects exist for children in primary and secondary schools. Hence, this research buttresses calls for earlier intervention (e.g., Allen, 2011) that provides an integrated approach as opposed to a 'programme for every problem' (Domitrovich *et al.*, 2010). In other words, interventions that address problem behaviour could be regarded as academic enhancement as well as behaviour enhancement programmes.

Our findings provided some indication that internalizing symptoms do predict later academic attainment, but only for the adolescent sample. Previous research has been equivocal about this relationship. For example, Masten *et al.* (2005) found no such pathway – something the authors speculated may have been due to internalizing symptoms having a shorter 'window of influence' on attainment than they could detect with the 7- to 10-year lags in their own measures. The present study's short lags between measures, therefore, allowed for detection of these shorter term influences. However, the magnitude of the pathway detected was small and the finding was not replicated with the primary school sample. Therefore, like Masten *et al.* (2005), we are left to wonder whether there may be differential academic cascade effects for children and young people whose symptoms place them in the clinical range for internalizing symptoms, which is something we did not examine.

Evidence presented herein also provides some limited evidence for the academic-incompetence model, but only in relation to internalizing symptoms. Specifically, for primary school children, academic attainment was inversely related to later internalizing symptoms, even after accounting for shared-risk variables; however, the same pathway was not present for adolescents. This finding is consistent with previous evidence of the effect of low academic competence on later internalizing symptoms specifically in middle childhood (Moilanen *et al.*, 2010; Panayiotou & Humphrey, 2017), which has highlighted the potential deleterious effects of academic failure on mental health (McCarty *et al.*, 2008). Explanations for the effect being observed for this specific age group include the potential impact of parent and teacher feedback around poor attainment on mood and self-concept, especially leading up to transition from primary to secondary school settings (Moilanen *et al.*, 2010). However, this relationship and the processes underpinning it warrant further exploration. The only pathway identified was between early academic attainment and later externalizing problems for primary school children, although this pathway was reduced to insignificance once shared risks were taken into account.

The current study provided limited support for the shared-risk hypothesis. Despite consistently improving overall model fit, the inclusion of SEN and deprivation indices rendered only one of the initial pathways non-significant, as indicated above. Thus, consistent with other researchers (e.g., Masten *et al.*, 2005; Moilanen *et al.*, 2010), our conclusions regarding shared risk must remain guarded and tentative. While factors such as deprivation and SEN are salient risk markers in relation to mental health and academic attainment in childhood and adolescence, their influence on cascade effects in development may be less powerful than initially thought; they also appear to vary by child gender. Moreover, there is limited availability in the current study of other shared-risk factors that previous studies have acknowledged to be important, such as parenting quality.

Beyond the need to expand the list of shared-risk variables considered, there are several limitations inherent in our research design. The time lags between measurements were relatively small. In one sense, this is an advantage; it helped to clarify a question raised regarding cascade effects with shorter time windows of influence (Masten *et al.*, 2005) and enabled us to model pathways within – as opposed to across – developmental periods. However, it undoubtedly led to extremely high temporal stability, particularly in the case of academic attainment. This had (attenuating) implications for our ability to identify meaningful cross-lag cascade effects. However, such stability is perhaps to be expected, and indeed has been a feature of longitudinal research involving much longer measurement intervals than our own (e.g., Vaillancourt *et al.*, 2013). It was also not possible to compare primary and secondary schools samples in the same model because of the different academic attainment variables for the two age groups. The final limitation is our inability to examine predictive relations across more than two times of measurement, given design of the larger project from which this report derives.

Conclusion

The current study contributes to a growing literature on longitudinal associations between internalizing symptoms, externalizing problems, and academic attainment in childhood and adolescence. Our analyses illuminate how functioning in these different domains are developmentally related within two different developmental periods after taking into consideration important third variable risk factors. Our conclusions are strengthened by the use of very large samples and the use of analytical techniques that offer significantly greater rigour than has been the case in many prior studies.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Department for Children, Schools and Families (now Department for Education), England, for funding the research. They are grateful to the members and advisors of the research group involved in the wider project, and the staff and students of participating schools for their contributions to this research. Jessica Deighton was supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care (CLAHRC) North Thames at Bart's Health NHS Trust. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, or the Department of Health.'

Ethical principles

This study was approved by the UCL ethics board.

References

- Allen, G. (2011). *Early intervention: The next steps: An independent report to Her Majesty's Government by Graham Allen MP*. London, UK: Stationery Office.
- Ansary, N. S., & Luthar, S. S. (2009). Distress and academic achievement among adolescents of affluence: A study of externalizing and internalizing problem behaviors and school performance. *Development and Psychopathology*, 21(1), 319–341. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579409000182>
- Arnett, J. (1999). Adolescent storm and stress, reconsidered. *American Psychologist*, 54, 317–326. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.54.5.317>

- Bennett, K. J., Brown, K. S., Boyle, M., Racine, Y., & Offord, D. (2003). Does low reading achievement at school entry cause conduct problems? *Social Science and Medicine*, 56(12), 2443–2448. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536\(02\)00247-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536(02)00247-2)
- Bollen, K. A., & Curran, P. J. (2006). *Latent curve models: A structural equation approach*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley and Sons.
- Bornstein, M. H., Hahn, C.-S., & Suwalsky, J. T. D. (2013a). Developmental pathways among adaptive functioning and externalizing and internalizing behavioral problems: Cascades from childhood into adolescence. *Applied Developmental Science*, 17(2), 76–87. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2013.774875>
- Bornstein, M. H., Hahn, C.-S., & Suwalsky, J. T. D. (2013b). Language and internalizing and externalizing behavioral adjustment: Developmental pathways from childhood to adolescence. *Development and Psychopathology*, 25, 857–878. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579413000217>
- Bradley, R. H., & Corwyn, R. F. (2002). Socio-economic status and child development. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 53, 371–399. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.53.100901.135233>
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (2005). *Making human beings human: Bioecological perspectives on human development*. London, UK: Sage.
- Caspi, A., Taylor, A., Moffitt, T. E., & Plomin, R. (2000). Neighborhood deprivation affects children's mental health: Environmental risks identified in a genetic design. *Psychological Science*, 11, 338–342. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9280.00267>
- Chen, X., Huang, X., Chang, L., Wang, L., & Li, D. (2010). Aggression, social competence, and academic achievement in Chinese children: A 5-year longitudinal study. *Development and Psychopathology*, 22, 583–592. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579410000295>
- Deighton, J., Tymms, P., Vostanis, P., Belsky, J., Fonagy, P., Brown, A., . . . Wolpert, M. (2013). The development of a school-based measure of child mental health. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment*, 31, 247–257. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734282912465570>
- Department for Education. (2010). *Schools, pupils and their characteristics: January 2010*. London, UK: Author. Retrieved from <http://www.education.gov.uk/rsgateway/DB/SFR/s000925/sfr09-2010.pdf>
- Department for Education. (2011a). *Interim results for Key Stage 2 and 3 national curriculum assessments in England, 2010/11*. London, UK: Author.
- Department for Education. (2011b). *Children with special educational needs: An analysis*. London, UK: Author.
- Domitrovich, C. E., Bradshaw, C. P., Greenberg, M. T., Embry, D., Poduska, J. M., & Jalongo, N. S. (2010). Integrated models of school-based prevention: Logic and theory. *Psychology in the Schools*, 47, 71–88. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.20452>
- Duncan, G. J., Dowsett, C. J., Claessens, A., Magnuson, K., Huston, A. C., Klebanov, P., . . . Japel, C. (2007). School readiness and later achievement. *Developmental Psychology*, 43, 1428–1446. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.43.6.1428>
- Ek, E., Sovio, U., Remes, J., & Järvelin, M.-R. (2005). Social predictors of unsuccessful entrance into the labour market—A socialization process perspective. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 66, 471–486. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2004.02.002>
- Fink, E., Deighton, J., Humphrey, N., & Wolpert, M. (2015). Assessing the bullying and victimisation experiences of children with special educational needs in mainstream schools: Development and validation of the Bullying Behaviour and Experience Scale. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 36, 611–619. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2014.10.048>
- Goodman, R. (1997). The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: A research note. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 38, 581–586. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.1997.tb01545.x>
- Green, H., McGinnity, A., Meltzer, H., Ford, T., & Goodman, R. (2005). *Mental health of children and young people in Great Britain, 2004*. Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1037/e557702010-001>

- Healey, A., Knapp, M., & Farrington, D. P. (2004). Adult labour market implications of antisocial behaviour in childhood and adolescence: Findings from a UK longitudinal study. *Applied Economics*, 36(2), 93–105. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0003684042000174001>
- Hetzner, N., Johnson, A., & Brooks-Gunn, J. (2010). Poverty: Effects on social and emotional development. In S. Jarvela (Ed.), *Social and emotional aspects of learning* (pp. 76–86). Oxford, UK: Elsevier.
- Humphrey, N., & Ainscow, M. (2006). Transition club: Facilitating learning, participation and psychological adjustment during the transition to secondary school. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 21, 319–331. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf03173419>
- Klostermann, S., Connell, A., & Stormshak, E. A. (2016). Gender differences in the developmental links between conduct problems and depression across early adolescence. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 26(1), 76–89. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jora.12170>
- Kolko, D. J., & Kazdin, A. E. (1993). Emotional/behavioural problems in clinic and nonclinic children: Correspondence among child, parent and teacher reports. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 34, 991–1006. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.1993.34.issue-6>
- Kosterman, R., Graham, J. W., Hawkins, J. D., Catalano, R. F., & Herrenkohl, T. I. (2001). Childhood risk factors for persistence of violence in the transition to adulthood: A social development perspective. *Violence and Victims*, 16(4), 355–369.
- Masten, A. S., & Cicchetti, D. (2010). Developmental cascades. *Development and Psychopathology*, 22(Special Issue 03), 491–495. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0954579410000222>
- Masten, A. S., Roisman, G. I., Long, J. D., Burt, K. B., Obradovic, J., Riley, J. R., . . . Tellegen, A. (2005). Developmental cascades: Linking academic achievement and externalizing and internalizing symptoms over 20 years. *Developmental Psychology*, 41, 733–746. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.41.5.733>
- Maughan, B., Rowe, R., Loeber, R., & Stouthamer-Loeber, M. (2003). Reading problems and depressed mood. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 31, 219–229. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022534527021>
- McCarty, C. A., Mason, W. A., Kosterman, R., Hawkins, J. D., Lengua, L. J., & McCauley, E. (2008). Adolescent school failure predicts later depression among girls. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 43, 180–187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2008.01.023>
- McLennan, D., Barnes, H., Noble, M., Davies, J., Garatt, E., & Dibben, C. (2011). *English indices of deprivation 2010: Technical report*. London, UK: HM Government.
- Moilanen, K. L., Shaw, D. S., & Maxwell, K. L. (2010). Developmental cascades: Externalizing, internalizing, and academic competence from middle childhood to early adolescence. *Development and Psychopathology*, 22(Special Issue 03), 635–653. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0954579410000337>
- Muthén, L. K., & Muthén, B. O. (2015). *Mplus user's guide (1998–2012)* (7th ed.). Los Angeles, CA: Author.
- Obradovic, J., Burt, K. B., & Masten, A. S. (2010). Testing a dual cascade model linking competence and symptoms over 20 years from childhood to adulthood. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, 39, 90–102. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15374410903401120>
- Panayiotou, M., & Humphrey, N. (2017). Mental health difficulties and academic attainment: Evidence for gender-specific developmental cascades in middle childhood. *Development and Psychopathology*, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S095457941700102X>
- Patalay, P., Deighton, J., Fonagy, P., Vostanis, P., & Wolpert, M. (2014). Clinical validity of the Me and My School questionnaire: A self-report mental health measure for children and adolescents. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health*, 8, 17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1753-2000-8-17>
- Patel, V., Flisher, A. J., Hetrick, S., & McGorry, P. (2007). Mental health of young people: A global public-health challenge. *Lancet*, 369, 1302–1313. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(07\)60368-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60368-7)

- Pelkonen, M., Marttunen, M., & Aro, H. (2003). Risk for depression: A 6-year follow-up of Finnish adolescents. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 77(1), 41–51. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0165-0327\(02\)00098-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0165-0327(02)00098-8)
- Pijl, S., Frostad, P., & Flem, A. (2008). The social position of pupils with special needs in regular schools. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, 52, 387–405. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00313830802184558>
- Riglin, L., Frederickson, N., Shelton, K., & Rice, F. (2013). A longitudinal study of psychological functioning and academic attainment at the transition to secondary school. *Journal of Adolescence*, 36, 507–517.
- Romano, E., Babchishin, L., Pagani, L. S., & Kohen, D. (2010). School readiness and later achievement: Replication and extension using a nationwide Canadian survey. *Developmental Psychology*, 46, 995–1007. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018880>
- Satorra, A. (2000). Scaled and adjusted restricted tests in multi-sample analysis of moment structures. In R. Heijmans, D. Pollock & A. Satorra (Eds.), *Innovations in multivariate statistical analysis* (pp. 233–247). London, UK: Kluwer Academic. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-4603-0>
- Sirin, S. R. (2005). Socioeconomic status and academic achievement: A meta-analytic review of research. *Review of Educational Research*, 75, 417–453. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543075003417>
- Vaillancourt, T., Brittain, H. L., McDougall, P., & Duku, E. (2013). Longitudinal links between childhood peer victimization, internalizing and externalizing problems, and academic functioning: Developmental cascades. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 41, 1203–1215. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-013-9781-5>
- Vostanis, P., Humphrey, N., Fitzgerald, N., Deighton, J., & Wolpert, M. (2013). How do schools promote emotional well-being among their pupils? Findings from a national scoping survey of mental health provision in English schools. *Child and Adolescent Mental Health*, 18(3), 151–157. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-3588.2012.00677.x>
- Whitcomb, S. A., & Merrell, K. W. (2013). *Behavioral, social, and emotional assessment of children and adolescents* (4th ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Windle, M., Mun, E. Y., & Windle, R. C. (2005). Adolescent-to-young adulthood heavy drinking trajectories and their prospective predictors. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*, 66, 313–322. <https://doi.org/10.15288/jsa.2005.66.313>
- Wolpert, M., Deighton, J., Patalay, P., Martin, A., Fitzgerald, N. F., Demir, E., . . . Meadows, P. (2011). *Me and My School: Findings from the national evaluation of targeted mental health in schools 2008–2011*. (Research Report DFE-RR177). London, UK: Department for Education. Retrieved from https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/184060/DFE-RR177.pdf
- Wolpert, M., Humphrey, N., Belsky, J., & Deighton, J. (2013). Embedding mental health support in schools: Learning from the Targeted Mental Health in Schools (TaMHS) national evaluation. *Emotional and Behavioural Difficulties*, 18, 270–283. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13632752.2013.819253>

Received 28 June 2016; revised version received 30 September 2017

Supporting Information

The following supporting information may be found in the online edition of the article:

Data S1. Supplementary analysis for gender effects.

Data S2. Cascade models for primary and secondary school children with shared risk variable fixed to zero.

Appendix: Me and My Feelings questionnaire

Emotional difficulties subscale (internalizing symptoms)

- I feel lonely
- I cry a lot
- I am unhappy
- Nobody likes me
- I worry a lot
- I have problems sleeping
- I wake up in the night
- I am shy
- I feel scared
- I worry when I am at school.

Behavioural difficulties subscale (externalizing problems)

- I get very angry
- I lose my temper
- I hit out when I am angry
- I do things to hurt people
- I am calm (reversed scored)
- I break things on purpose.

Response options: never (0), sometimes (1), always (2)