UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Biosynthesis and antifungal activity of fungus-induced O-methylated flavonoids in maize

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6zx4x3jb

Journal Plant Physiology, 188(1)

ISSN 0032-0889

Authors

Förster, Christiane Handrick, Vinzenz Ding, Yezhang <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2022-01-20

DOI

10.1093/plphys/kiab496

Peer reviewed

Plant Physiology[®]

Biosynthesis and antifungal activity of fungus-induced O-methylated flavonoids in maize

Christiane Förster 💿 ,¹ Vinzenz Handrick,^{1,†} Yezhang Ding 💿 ,^{2,‡} Yoko Nakamura,³ Christian Paetz,³ Bernd Schneider (), 3 Gabriel Castro-Falcón (), 4 Chambers C. Hughes (), 4.8 Katrin Luck, 1 Sowmya Poosapati,² Grit Kunert,¹ Alisa Huffaker (),² Jonathan Gershenzon (),¹ Eric A. Schmelz (),² and Tobias G. Köllner 🕕 ^{1,*,9}

- Department of Biochemistry, Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology, Jena D-07745, Germany 1
- Section of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of California, San Diego, California 92093-0380, USA 2
- 3 Research Group Biosynthesis/NMR, Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology, Jena D-07745, Germany
- 4 Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego, California 92093, USA

*Author for communication: koellner@ice.mpg.de

[†]Present address: Leibniz Institute of Plant Biochemistry, Department Biochemistry of Plant Interactions, D-06120 Halle (Saale), Germany. [†]Present address: Environmental Genomics and Systems Biology, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California, USA. [§]Present address: Interfaculty Institute of Microbiology and Infection Medicine, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, D-72076, Germany. These authors contributed equally (E.A.S. and T.G.K.).

¶Senior author

Research Article

T.G.K., E.A.S., C.F., V.H., and J.G. designed the research. C.F., V.H., Y.D., Y.N., K.L., and S.P. performed the research. C.P., Y.N., B.S., C.C.H., and G.C.F. performed NMR analysis and structure elucidation. C.F., V.H., A.H., G.K., E.A.S., Y.D., and T.G.K. analyzed the data. C.F., T.G.K., E.A.S., and J.G. wrote the article with input from all other authors.

The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (https://academic.oup.com/plphys/pages/general-instructions) is Tobias G. Köllner (koellner@ice.mpg.de).

Abstract

Fungal infection of grasses, including rice (Oryza sativa), sorghum (Sorghum bicolor), and barley (Hordeum vulgare), induces the formation and accumulation of flavonoid phytoalexins. In maize (Zea mays), however, investigators have emphasized benzoxazinoid and terpenoid phytoalexins, and comparatively little is known about flavonoid induction in response to pathogens. Here, we examined fungus-elicited flavonoid metabolism in maize and identified key biosynthetic enzymes involved in the formation of O-methylflavonoids. The predominant end products were identified as two tautomers of a 2hydroxynaringenin-derived compound termed xilonenin, which significantly inhibited the growth of two maize pathogens, Fusarium graminearum and Fusarium verticillioides. Among the biosynthetic enzymes identified were two O-methyltransferases (OMTs), flavonoid OMT 2 (FOMT2), and FOMT4, which demonstrated distinct regiospecificity on a broad spectrum of flavonoid classes. In addition, a cytochrome P450 monooxygenase (CYP) in the CYP93G subfamily was found to serve as a flavanone 2-hydroxylase providing the substrate for FOMT2-catalyzed formation of xilonenin. In summary, maize produces a diverse blend of O-methylflavonoids with antifungal activity upon attack by a broad range of fungi.

Open Access

Received June 02, 2021. Accepted September 30, 2021. Advance access publication October 27, 2021

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of American Society of Plant Biologists. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution

and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Plants dynamically deploy a suite of low-molecular weight metabolites to protect against pathogen infection that is chemically diverse and often species-specific. When these compounds are produced in response to microbial challenge or other environmental stresses, they have been termed phytoalexins (VanEtten et al., 1994; Hammerschmidt, 1999). Rapid phytoalexin biosynthesis is often associated with enhanced pathogen resistance (Hain et al., 1993; He and Dixon, 2000). Phytoalexins have representatives from many known classes of specialized metabolites (Jeandet et al., 2014), including the stilbene resveratrol in grapes (Vitis vinifera; Langcake and Pryce, 1976) and an indole thiazole alkaloid, termed camalexin, in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana; Browne et al., 1991). In maize (Zea mays), complex networks of sesquiterpenoid and diterpenoid phytoalexins have been described, which include zealexins, kauralexins, and dolabralexins (Huffaker et al., 2011; Schmelz et al., 2011; Mafu et al., 2018; Ding et al., 2020).

Many phytoalexins are flavonoids, a large group of phenylpropanoid and polyketide-derived metabolites present in all plants (Tohge et al., 2017; de Souza et al., 2020; Ube et al., 2021). The accumulation of flavonoids after pathogen infection has been demonstrated to play a role in disease resistance in several plants, such as for the 3-deoxyanthocyanidins of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) (Nicholson et al., 1987; Snyder et al., 1991; Liu et al., 2010) and the flavan-3-ols of poplar (Ullah et al., 2017).

The core pathways of flavonoid biosynthesis are well conserved among plant species (Grotewold, 2006; Tohge et al., 2017). The first step is the condensation of a phenylpropanoid derivative, 4-coumaroyl-CoA, with three malonyl-CoA subunits catalyzed by a polyketide synthase, chalcone synthase. The naringenin chalcone produced is then cyclized by chalcone isomerase to form flavanones, which are converted successively to dihydroflavonols and flavonols by soluble $Fe^{2+}/2$ -oxoglutarate-dependent dioxygenases (2-ODDs). Flavanones can also be desaturated to form flavones via different mechanisms. While flavone synthases of type I (FNSI) belong to the 2-ODDs, FNSII are membrane-bound oxygenand nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate(NADPH)dependent cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (CYPs; Martens and Mithofer, 2005; Jiang et al., 2016).

Other common modifications of the flavonoid backbone include *C*- and *O*-glycosylation, acylation, and *O*-methylation (Grotewold, 2006). *O*-Methylation of flavonoids is catalyzed by *O*-methyltransferases (OMTs), which transfer the methyl group of the cosubstrate S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) to a specific hydroxyl group of the flavonoid. Two major classes of plant phenylpropanoid OMTs exist; the caffeoyl-CoA OMTs (CCoAOMTs) of low-molecular weight (26–30 kDa) that require bivalent ions for catalytic activity, and the higher molecular weight (40–43 kDa) and bivalent ion-independent caffeic acid OMTs (COMTs). Flavonoid OMTs (FOMTs) are members of the COMT class (Kim et al., 2010). *O*-Methylation modifies the chemical properties of

flavonoids and can alter biological activity, depending on the position of reaction (Kim et al., 2010). In general, the reactivity of hydroxyl groups is reduced coincident with increased lipophilicity and antimicrobial activity (Ibrahim et al., 1998).

Many FOMT genes have been cloned from dicot species and the corresponding enzymes biochemically characterized (Kim et al., 2010; Berim et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2020). In contrast, only a few FOMT genes from monocotyledons, all belonging to the grass family (Poaceae), have been functionally characterized so far. Four FOMTs from rice (Oryza sativa), wheat (Triticum aestivum), barley (Hordeum vulgare), and maize are flavonoid 3' - /5'-OMTs that prefer the flavone tricetin as substrate (Kim et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2006a, 2006b, 2008). The other two known Poaceae FOMTs are flavonoid 7-OMTs from barley and rice that mainly utilize apigenin and naringenin as substrates, respectively (Christensen et al., 1998; Shimizu et al., 2012). In both cases, the gene transcripts or FOMT reaction products, namely 7-methoxyapigenin (genkwanin) and 7-methoxynaringenin (sakuranetin) accumulated in leaves following challenge with pathogenic fungi or abiotic stress (Gregersen et al., 1994; Rakwal et al., 1996). Moreover, genkwanin and sakuranetin were shown to possess antibacterial and antifungal activity in vitro (Kodama et al., 1992; Martini et al., 2004; Park et al., 2014). Sakuranetin also inhibits the growth of the rice blast fungus (Magnaporthe oryzae) in vivo (Hasegawa et al., 2014). Despite our knowledge of the key pathogen protection roles of O-methylflavonoids in rice, their biosynthesis has not been previously described in maize.

To investigate fungal-induced defenses in maize, we used untargeted and targeted liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC–MS) to identify and quantify flavonoids in leaves of different inbred lines infected with a necrotrophic fungus, southern leaf blight (SLB; *Bipolaris maydis*). O-Methylflavonoids were especially plentiful with the most abundant compound being a tautomeric O-dimethyl-2hydroxynaringenin termed xilonenin, which exhibited small but significant in vitro antifungal activity against *Fusarium graminearum* and *Fusarium verticillioides*. Association mapping and RNA-Seq-based transcriptome analyses enabled the selection of candidate pathway genes encoding a CYP93G and three highly regiospecific OMTs.

Results

Fungal elicitation of maize results in the accumulation of a complex mixture of flavonoids, especially O-methylflavonoids

To identify flavonoids induced by fungal infection in maize, we used untargeted LC–MS to screen for metabolites present in the leaves of two inbred lines (B75 and W22) infected with the pathogenic fungus *B. maydis*, termed SLB (Figure 1A). Based on the accurate mass ($\Delta m/z \le 2$ ppm), we identified a collection of 38 known and putative flavonoids showing increased accumulation following fungal infection (Figure 1B; Supplemental Table S1). Flavonoid levels





Mechanically damaged leaf (B75-DAM)



B. maydis-infected leaf (B75-SLB)

В

					Fold			Fold
		Molecular	Average	Average	change	Average	Average	change
Compound	<i>m</i> /z quan. ion	formula	B75-DAM	B75-SLB	B75	W22-DAM	W22-SLB	W22
Apigenin*	271.06012 [M+H]+	C15H10O5	2337	160060	68	2420	133807	55
Naringenin chalcone*	273.07572 [M+H]+	C15H12O5	15871	104886	7	54604	282512	5
Naringenin*	273.07558 [M+H]+	C15H12O5	1554	29100	19	1715	32655	19
5-O-Methylapigenin**	285.07584 [M+H]+	C16H12O5	302	94239	312	1978	93152	47
Genkwanin*	285.07580 [M+H]+	C16H12O5	352	274975	781	nd	nd	nd
Luteolin*	287.05502 [M+H]+	C15H10O6	1777	17735	10	1064	10105	9
Flavonoid aglucone	287.09140 [M+H]+	C16H14O5	36	20647	582	1792	25724	14
5-O-Methylnaringenin*	287.09156 [M+H]+	C16H14O5	1014	115546	114	6625	138684	21
Flavonoid aglucone	287.09141 [M+H]+	C16H14O5	1	1654	1654	386	3341	9
Flavonoid aglucone	287.09153 [M+H]+	C16H14O5	1	14239	14239	1	11251	11251
Dihydrokaempferol*	287.05618 [M-H] -	C15H12O6	96	22069	230	1523	40041	26
2-OH-Naringenin**	289.07083 [M+H]+	C15H12O6	218	3601	16	85	8864	104
5,7-O-Dimethylapigenin**	299.09161 [M+H]+	C17H14O5	1	3311	3311	nd	nd	nd
5-O-Methylluteolin***	301.07103 [M+H]+	C16H12O6	1	3508	3508	45	2049	46
5-O-Methylscutellarein**	301.07112 [M+H]+	C16H12O6	159	6861	43	413	2726	7
7-O-Methylscutellarein**	301.07120 [M+H]+	C16H12O6	1059	79459	75	359	1174	3
Flavonoid aglucone	301.07108 [M+H]+	C16H12O6	1835	18185	10	1052	25254	24
Flavonoid aglucone	303.08649 [M+H]+	C16H14O6	3825	19510	5	7208	17002	2
5-O-Methyldihydrokaempferol***	303.08633 [M+H]+	C16H14O6	793	95509	120	4345	88469	20
O-Methyl-2-OH-naringenin***	303.08647 [M+H]+	C16H14O6	740	25112	34	819	30886	38
O-Methyl-2-OH-naringenin***	303.08649 [M+H]+	C16H14O6	133	5746	43	1	6612	6612
Taxifolin*	303.05109 [M-H]-	C15H12O7	54	5140	95	118	5100	43
Flavonoid aglucone	315.08639 [M+H]+	C17H14O6	1	8550	8550	nd	nd	nd
Flavonoid aglucone	315.08656 [M+H]+	C17H14O6	1	6813	6813	670	12738	19
5,7-O-Dimethylscutellarein**	315.08660 [M+H]+	C17H14O6	271	26478	98	nd	nd	nd
O-Dimethylluteolin***	315.08618 [M+H]+	C17H14O6	607	116362	192	nd	nd	nd
Xilonenin tautomer 1**	317.10205 [M+H]+	C17H16O6	339	52160	154	1789	58219	33
Xilonenin tautomer 2**	317.10197 [M+H]+	C17H16O6	3051	365366	120	11721	392191	33
5-O-Methyltaxifolin***	319.08117 [M+H]+	C16H14O7	1920	28840	15	2599	16684	6
Flavonoid aglucone	331.08100 [M+H]+	C17H14O7	354	3116	9	nd	nd	nd
Flavonoid aglucone	331.08124 [M+H]+	C17H14O7	nd	nd	nd	661	4087	6
Flavonoid aglucone	329.06674 [M-H]-	C17H14O7	nd	nd	nd	295	6061	21
5.7-O-Dimethylauercetin***	331.08139 [M+H]+	C17H14O7	3701	41287	11	nd	nd	nd
Flavonoid aglucone	333.09688 [M+H]+	C17H16O7	239	3633	15	nd	nd	nd
Flavonoid aglucone	331.08207 [M-H]-	C17H16O7	nd	nd	nd	1	4627	4627
Flavonoid aglucone	337.05555 [M+H]+	C15H12O9	1447	42199	29	4906	60513	12
Flavonoid aglucone	345.09700 [M+H]+	C18H16O7	1856	27077	15	366	2363	6
Flavonoid aglucone	345.09713 [M+H]+	C18H16O7	245	9159	37	nd	nd	nd
			Peak a	rea	00		old change	15 000

Figure 1 Flavonoids induced in maize leaves upon fungal infection. Damaged and water treated leaves (DAM) or damaged and *B. maydis*-infected leaves (SLB) of the maize lines B75 and W22 were harvested 4d after inoculation. Methanol extracts made from ground leaf material were screened for putative non-*O*-methyl- and *O*-methylflavonoids by untargeted LC–MS based on the expected exact masses. A, Representative photographs of water control (DAM) and *B. maydis*-infected (SLB) B75 leaves. B, Potential flavonoids were tentatively identified using their exact masses. Only compounds with $\Delta m/z \leq 2$ ppm, a peak area more than 3,000, and a fold change of ≥ 5 after fungal infection were included in the candidate list. Mean relative abundances and fold changes are shown (n = 6-8). The differences between treatments are statistically significant (P < 0.05) for all compounds in both lines (t test implemented in MetaboScape version 4.0 software; for *P*-values see Supplemental Table S1). The identities of compounds were confirmed by commercially available standards (*), purification followed by NMR analysis (**), or inferred from specific enzymatic activities investigated in this study (***, see below).

differed qualitatively and quantitatively between the two inbreds; however, MS/MS fragmentation patterns indicated that flavonoids with methoxy groups at positions 5 and 7 of the A-ring predominated in both lines (Figure 1B; Supplemental Figure S1). We were able to confirm the structures of 7 non-O-methylated flavonoids and 16 O-methyl- or O-dimethylflavonoids by authentic standards, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analysis, or by deducing their Omethylation patterns from specific enzymatic activities as detailed below.

Two maize OMT genes on chromosome 9 are genetically associated with O-methylflavonoid accumulation

To identify candidate OMTs involved in the formation of fungus-elicited O-methylflavonoids, we performed association analyses using the mapping traits 5-O-methylapigenin and genkwanin (7-O-methylapigenin), two of the compounds identified in our survey (Figure 1). Using the $B73 \times Ky21$ recombinant inbred line (RIL) population (McMullen et al., 2009) and 5-O-methylapigenin levels, we performed association mapping with the general linear model (GLM) and 80,440 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that identified highly significant SNPs on chromosome 9 (B73 RefGen v2) (Figure 2A; Supplemental Figure S2). The corresponding chromosomal region contained two putative OMT genes named FOMT2 (Zm00001d047192) and FOMT3 (Zm00001d047194). For clarity, unless otherwise noted, gene and protein abbreviations refer to line B73 (RefGen v4) reference sequences. In addition, a genomewide association study (GWAS) using the Goodman association panel (mixed linear model (MLM), 25,457,708 SNPs; Flint-Garcia et al., 2005) was performed using genkwanin or the apigenin/genkwanin ratio as traits (Figure 2B; Supplemental Figure S3), which revealed a second genomic region on chromosome 9 containing a third putative OMT gene named FOMT4 (Zm00001d048087).

Initial sequence analyses of the identified OMT genes in different maize inbred lines revealed that W22 has a second copy of FOMT2 (Zm00004b033403 and Zm00004b033399, W22 RefGen_v2) on chromosome 9, differing only in a single synonymous nucleotide (Supplemental Figures S4 and \$5). Furthermore, FOMT2 and FOMT3 are closely related and encode proteins with 79% amino acid sequence identity. RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) of W22 leaves, damaged and treated with either water (control) or B. maydis hyphae for 4 d, showed significantly increased accumulation of transcripts encoding both copies of FOMT2 and FOMT4 as predicted for their involvement in flavonoid O-methylation (Figure 2C; Supplemental Table S2; Supplemental Data Set S1). In contrast, FOMT3 displayed dramatically lower expression levels that did not show statistically significant differences between the treatments.

Phylogenetic analyses demonstrated that FOMT2/3 are closely related to maize BX10/11/12/14, which catalyze various O-methylations of benzoxazinoid (BX) defense compounds (Meihls et al., 2013), and to an uncharacterized maize OMT named FOMT5 (Zm00001d051934) (Figure 2D; Supplemental Figure S6; Supplemental Table S3). Notably, *BX10/11/14* and *FOMT5* transcripts also increased after fungal elicitation in our experiments (Figure 2C; Supplemental Figure S7; Supplemental Table S2). In contrast to FOMT2/3, FOMT4 showed the closest relation to OsNOMT, responsible for production of the phytoalexin sakuranetin in rice, and other Poaceae FOMTs, including maize OMT1 (FOMT1), which has been described to *O*-methylate the B-ring of various flavonoids (Figure 2D; Supplemental Figure S6).

FOMT2/3, FOMT4, and FOMT5 catalyze the regiospecific O-methylation of diverse flavonoids in vitro

To characterize the enzymatic activity of FOMT2, FOMT3, FOMT4, and FOMT5, we expressed the complete open reading frames in Escherichia coli and tested the purified recombinant proteins in enzyme assays with potential flavonoid substrates in the presence of the cofactor SAM. Using scutellarein as a substrate, LC-MS/MS analysis revealed that FOMT2, FOMT4, and FOMT5 each produced a different single product peak that was not present in the empty vector (EV) control (Figure 2E). Product purification followed by NMR structure elucidation (Supplemental Table S4; Supplemental Data Set S2) or comparison with commercially available standards confirmed regiospecific O-methylation on positions 5, 7, and 6 of the flavonoid A-ring catalyzed by FOMT2, FOMT4, and FOMT5, respectively. In an enzyme assay containing both FOMT2 and FOMT4, a 5,7-O-dimethylated product was detected (Figure 2E). Interestingly, the 5-O-methylated product (RT = 4.61 min), as well as the 5,7-O-dimethylated product (RT = 5.20 min), were retained less by the LC column than the non-O-methylated substrate (RT = 5.22 min; Supplemental Figure S8), suggesting that the carbonyl group on the C-ring can form a hydrogen bond to a solvent molecule, which likely makes the two products more polar compared to the substrate. The regiospecificity of FOMT2 and FOMT4 and the distinct elution patterns of their products were confirmed with enzyme assays using naringenin and apigenin as substrates (Supplemental Figure S8), followed by NMR structure verification (Supplemental Table S4; Supplemental Data Set S2), which was used as the basis for the identification of additional 5-/7-O-methylflavonoids given in Figure 1B. FOMT3 displayed the same enzymatic activity as FOMT2, producing the 5-O-methyl derivative of different flavonoid substrates, but exhibited much lower relative activity (Supplemental Table S5).

Despite their strict regiospecificity, FOMT2/3 and FOMT4 demonstrated an ability to functionalize a range of flavonoid skeletons (Figure 3). Preferred substrates for FOMT2 were flavanones (2-hydroxynaringenin, naringenin) and flavonols (quercetin, kaempferol), while FOMT4 showed highest activity with flavonols (kaempferol, quercetin) and flavones



Figure 2 Association mapping reveals novel O-methyltransferases involved in maize O-methylflavonoid production. A, Manhattan plot of the association analysis of fungus-elicited 5-O-methylapigenin using the B73 \times Ky21 RIL population with the GLM and 80,440 SNPs. The most statistically significant SNPs are located within the region of the maize FOMT2/3 genes on chromosome 9 (FOMT2, Chr.9:119,779,040-119,780,565 bp; FOMT3, Chr9: 119,838,646-119,840,122 bp; B73 RefGen_v2). The black dashed line denotes the false discovery rate (< 0.05 at -log10[P]) using a Bonferroni correction. B, Manhattan plot of the association analysis (MLM) of genkwanin in the stems of maize plants from the Goodman diversity panel following 3 d of fungal elicitation. The most statistically significant SNPs are located within the region of the maize FOMT4 gene on chromosome 9 (Chr9: 147,148,251-147,149,436 bp; B73 RefGen_v3). The black dashed line denotes the 5% Bonferroni corrected threshold for 25,457,708 SNP markers. C, Transcript abundance of identified OMT genes in damaged and water-treated (DAM) or damaged and B. maydis-infected (SLB) W22 leaves harvested after 4 d of inoculation. Gene expression is given as reads per kilobase per million reads mapped (RPKM; means \pm SE; n = 4). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (P < 0.05) between treatments using a Bonferroni correction (for statistical values, see Supplemental Table S2). D, Phylogenetic tree showing maize OMT genes similar to mapped FOMT2/3, previously characterized AAMT1, and CCoAOMT1. The tree was inferred using the maximum likelihood method based on the General Time Reversible model, including gamma distributed rate variation among sites (+ G, 4.3129). Bootstrap values (n = 1,000) are shown next to each node. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. All positions with <80% site coverage were eliminated. Maize OMTs investigated in this study are highlighted in red. Gene accession numbers and references are provided in Supplemental Table S3. E, Enzymatic activity of purified recombinant FOMT2, FOMT4, FOMT5, and an EV control using scutellarein as substrate in the presence of the cosubstrate SAM. Reaction products were analyzed by LC-MS/MS. The structure of the substrate scutellarein (depicting flavonoid ring structure and numbering) and partial structures of the different enzymatic products highlighting the added methyl groups on the flavonoid A-ring are shown on the right side. 1, 5-O-methylscutellarein; 2, 7-O-methylscutellarein; 3, 5,7-O-dimethylscutellarein; 4, hispidulin; cps, counts per second.

(scutellarein, chrysin, luteolin, apigenin). All three enzymes showed activity, albeit rather low, with *O*-methylflavonoids as substrates. The structurally similar stilbenoid resveratrol was also a substrate for FOMT2/3. Neither the tested glycosylated flavonoids nor the phenolic compounds caffeic acid and DIMBOA-Glc were accepted as substrates by any of the assayed FOMTs (Figure 3). Altogether, the in vitro characterization demonstrated that FOMT2 and FOMT4 in combination are capable of generating the majority of the *O*methylflavonoids observed in maize.

The phylogenetically related OMTs from BX biosynthesis BX10/11/14 are also induced upon fungal infection (Supplemental Figure S7). To investigate whether these enzymes might also play a role in *O*-methylflavonoid formation, we included BX10/11/12/14 in our OMT characterization. Besides the expected conversion of DIMBOA-Glc to HDMBOA-Glc (Supplemental Table S5), all four enzymes showed fairly low, but unspecific 5- and/or 7-O-methylation activity (<0.9% product formation of FOMT2 or 4) with flavonoid substrates such as naringenin, apigenin, and scutellarein (Supplemental Table S5). The only exception was the direct 5,7-O-dimethylation of apigenin by BX10, BX11, and BX12, which exhibited up to 60% of the activity of FOMT2 + 4 (Supplemental Table S5).

Two predominant fungal-induced O-dimethylated flavonoids are 2-hydroxynaringenin derivatives associated with FOMT2

Two of the most abundant O-methylflavonoids detected in our LC-MS profiles of fungal-infected maize leaves had identical accurate masses of m/z 317.102 [M + H]⁺ (Figure 1; Supplemental Figure S9), suggesting both were di-O-methylated derivatives of a hydroxynaringenin (proposed molecular formula: $C_{17}H_{16}O_6$, $\Delta m/z \leq 0.14$ ppm). In addition, the fragmentation pattern (main fragments: m/z 181.050 [M + H]⁺ and m/z 121.028 [M + H]⁺), indicated that the hydroxyl group must be connected to a position on the flavonoid Cring (Supplemental Figure S9). These two major unknowns were accompanied by two other unidentified flavonoids with m/z 303.086 [M + H]⁺ (proposed molecular formula: $C_{16}H_{14}O_{6}$, $\Delta m/z \leq 0.72$ ppm), whose accurate mass and fragmentation pattern were consistent with being mono-Omethylated derivatives of a hydroxynaringenin. Moreover, there was also a peak for a non-O-methylated flavonoid in SLB-infected W22 leaves that was a potential precursor of these unknowns, which had m/z 289.071 [M + H]⁺ (proposed molecular formula: $C_{15}H_{12}O_{6}$, $\Delta m/z = 0.43$ ppm; Supplemental Figure S9). The fragmentation pattern of this precursor candidate was consistent with that reported for 2hydroxynaringenin (Supplemental Figure S9), which interconverts between closed-ring and open-ring tautomers at room temperature (Zhang et al., 2007; Du et al., 2010a, 2010b). Importantly, in the GWAS as well as the association analysis using the B73 \times Ky21 RIL population, FOMT2 was associated with the occurrence of the two major unknown compounds of m/z 317.102 (Figure 4A; Supplemental Figures S2 and S10). We thus hypothesized that the open ring form of 2-hydroxynaringenin could serve as a substrate for two sequential O-methylation reactions catalyzed by FOMT2 since rotation of the A-ring creates two equivalent hydroxyl groups.

A fungal-induced flavanone 2-hydroxylase provides 2-hydroxynaringenin for the production of two open ring tautomeric di-O-methylated flavonoid derivatives termed xilonenin

To test whether 2-hydroxynaringenin can act as substrate for FOMT2, we first investigated the formation of this precursor. A flavanone 2-hydroxylase (F2H) converting naringenin to its 2-hydroxy derivative was previously characterized in maize (CYP93G5, F2H1; Morohashi et al., 2012); however, F2H1 transcript levels in W22 (Zm00004b033614) were low and not increased following fungal elicitation (Figures 4, B and C). We, therefore, performed a BLAST analysis of F2H1 in the W22 (NRGene V2) genome to identify related genes. This search revealed five additional putative flavanone hydroxylases belonging to the CYP93G subfamily that clustered with characterized monocot F2Hs or FNSIIs in a phylogenetic tree (Figure 4B; Supplemental Table S6; Supplemental Figure S11), but were only distantly related to dicot F2H/FNSII enzymes belonging to the CYP93B subfamily (Du et al., 2010a, 2010b; Morohashi et al., 2012; Lam et al., 2014). Two of these CYP93G genes, Zm00004b010826 (CYP93G15) and Zm00004b039148 (CYP93G7), the latter recently characterized as a FNSII (Righini et al., 2019), were found to be upregulated after fungal infection (Figure 4C; Supplemental Table S2).

To determine the enzymatic activity of Zm00004b010826 (CYP93G15), we expressed the yeast codon-optimized fulllength open reading frame in Saccharomyces cerevisiae and performed enzyme assays with the microsomal fraction, the cosubstrate NADPH, and the potential substrates naringenin or eriodictyol. The characterized F2H1 from B73 was included as positive control. LC-MS/MS analysis showed that both F2H1 and Zm00004b010826 (CYP93G15) converted naringenin and eriodictyol to 2-hydroxynaringenin and 2-hydroxyeriodictyol, respectively, while the EV control did not show any product peak (Figure 4D; Supplemental Figure S12). Among the other putative F2Hs, Zm00004b033614 (CYP93G5) exhibited F2H activity, converting naringenin and eriodictyol to their respective 2-hydroxy derivatives (Supplemental Figure S12), while Zm00004b008124 (CYP93G10) converted naringenin and eriodictyol to the corresponding flavones apigenin and luteolin, respectively, thus exhibiting FNSII activity (Supplemental Figure S12). Notably, we also detected low amounts of 2-hydroxynaringenin in the CYP93G10 reaction (insert in Supplemental Figure S12), indicating that this compound is likely an intermediate in flavone formation. No in vitro activity with naringenin or eriodicfound for Zm00004b039147 (CYP93G6) tyol was and Zm00004b033036 (CYP93F6; Supplemental Figure S12). Based on their in vitro activity, Zm00004b010826

	Category / Structure	Substrate	Composition	Relative activity (%)		
				FOMT2	FOMT3	FOMT4
Flavanone	Г	Naringenin	R ₁ =OH, R ₂ =OH, R ₃ =H, R ₄ =H	86.2 ± 2.8	91.8 ± 2.1	42.9 ± 0.3
	R ₃ ОН	2-Hydroxynaringenin	R ₁ =OH, R ₂ =OH, R ₃ =H, R ₄ =OH	100 ± 1.8	67.2 ± 3.0	2.7 ± 0.8
		Eriodictyol	R ₁ =OH, R ₂ =OH, R ₃ =OH, R ₄ =H	57.1 ± 2.1	8.9 ± 0.7	39.2 ± 1.8
	Ř, Ö	5-O-Methylnaringenin	R ₁ =OCH ₃ , R ₂ =OH, R ₃ =H, R ₄ =H	-	-	4.6 ± 1.0
		Sakuranetin	R ₁ =OH, R ₂ =OCH ₃ , R ₃ =H, R ₄ =H	3.5 ± 0.4	18.5 ± 2.1	-
		Chrysin	R ₁ =OH, R ₂ =H, R ₃ =OH, R ₄ =H, R ₅ =H	16.3 ± 0.9	traces	81.9 ± 1.5
		Apigenin	R ₁ =OH, R ₂ =H, R ₃ =OH, R ₄ =OH, R ₅ =H	47.3 ± 1.8	92.2 ± 2.8	71.3 ± 2.7
Flavone	$R_{3} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow R_{4}$ $R_{2} \rightarrow R_{1} \rightarrow 0$	Luteolin	R ₁ =OH, R ₂ =H, R ₃ =OH, R ₄ =OH, R ₅ =OH	65.0 ± 0.6	traces	72.2 ± 0.0
		5-O-Methylapigenin	R ₁ =OCH ₃ , R ₂ =H, R ₃ =OH, R ₄ =OH, R ₅ =H	-	-	49.0 ± 1.1
		Genkwanin	R ₁ =OH, R ₂ =H, R ₃ =OCH ₃ , R ₄ =OH, R ₅ =H	7.1 ± 1.3	100.0 ± 0.4	-
		Acacetin	R ₁ =OH, R ₂ =H, R ₃ =OH, R ₄ =OCH ₃ , R ₅ =H	traces	nd	31.2 ± 0.6
		Scutellarein	R ₁ =OH, R ₂ =OH, R ₃ =OH, R ₄ =OH, R ₅ =H	27.9 ± 1.6	4.9 ± 0.1	82.1 ± 2.0
flavonol		Hispidulin	R ₁ =OH, R ₂ =OCH ₃ , R ₃ =OH, R ₄ =OH, R ₅ =H	traces	nd	13.6 ± 0.9
		Dihydrokaempferol	R=H	47.3 ± 0.1	traces	6.2 ± 2.7
hydro		Taxifolin	R=OH	51.9 ± 0.4	traces	traces
Di		Kaempferol	R ₁ =H, R ₂ =H, R ₃ =OH, R ₄ =OH	81.5 ± 2.5	traces	100.0 ± 1.5
		Quercetin	R ₁ =OH, R ₂ =H, R ₃ =OH, R ₄ =OH	91.8 ± 1.1	traces	74.0 ± 1.7
onol		Myricetin	R ₁ =OH, R ₂ =OH, R ₃ =OH, R ₄ =OH	16.7 ± 2.3	27.6 ± 0.9	58.0 ± 1.7
Flav		lsokaempferide	R ₁ =H, R ₂ =H, R ₃ =OCH ₃ , R ₄ =OH	24.2 ± 0.3	3.1 ± 0.9	25.1 ± 1.6
		Kaempferol-7-O-ß-D- glucopyranoside	R₁=H, R₂=H, R₃=OH, R₄=glucopyranosyl	nd	nd	nd
he		Kaempferol-3-O-ß- rutinoside	$R_1=H, R_2=H, R_3=$ rutinosyl, $R_4=OH$	nd	nd	nd
oflavo		Genistein		traces	nd	traces
s		Caffeic acid		nd	nd	nd
penoia	HO	Resveratrol		14.1 ± 0.6	13.8 ± 0.6	nd
Stilbe	CH	DIMBOA-Glc		nd	nd	nd

Figure 3 Relative activities of the flavonoid *O*-methyltransferases FOMT2, FOMT3, and FOMT4 with various substrates in vitro. The purified recombinant enzymes as well as the EV control were incubated with the respective substrates in presence of the cosubstrate SAM. Substrate turnover was analyzed by LC–MS/MS and used to estimate the relative activity of each enzyme with different substrates. Product formation in the absence of altered substrate turnover was considered as trace activity. Data are shown as means \pm se (n = 2-3). nd, not detectable.





Figure 4 FOMT2 converts 2-hydroxynaringenin to the tautomeric O-dimethylated derivative xilonenin. A, Manhattan plots of the association analysis (MLM) of the two novel flavonoids with m/z 317 in the stems of maize plants from the Goodman diversity panel following 3 d of fungal elicitation. The most statistically significant SNPs are located within the region of the maize FOMT2/3 genes on chromosome 9 (FOMT2, Chr9: 120,033,582-120,035,107 bp; FOMT3, Chr9: 120,093,188-120,094,664 bp; B73 RefGen_v3). The black dashed line denotes the 5% Bonferroni corrected threshold for 25,457,708 SNP markers. B, Phylogenetic analysis of maize genes (W22 NRGene_V2) similar to F2H1 (B73 RefGen_V3) and additional F2H and FNSII genes from other monocots and dicots. The tree was inferred using the maximum likelihood method based on the General Time Reversible model, including gamma distributed rate variation among sites (+ G, 1.4700) and allowing invariable sites (+ I; 8.71% sites). Bootstrap values (n = 1,000) are shown next to each node. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. All positions with < 80% site coverage were eliminated. Maize CYP93Gs investigated in this study are highlighted in blue. Accession numbers and references are provided in Supplemental Table S6. C, Transcript accumulation of F2H candidates in damaged and water-treated leaves (DAM) or damaged and B. maydis-infected leaves (SLB) of W22 harvested after 4 d of inoculation. Gene expression is given as RPKM (Means \pm sc; n = 4). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (P < 0.05) between treatments using a Bonferroni correction (for statistical values, see Supplemental Table S2). D, Enzymatic activity of F2H2 (CYP93G15, Zm00004b010826) alone and in combination with purified recombinant FOMT2 using naringenin as substrate and NADPH and SAM, respectively, as cosubstrates. F2H2 was heterologously expressed in yeast and the microsomal fraction was used in the enzyme assays. Reaction products were analyzed by LC-MS/MS. 1, 2-hydroxynaringenin; 2.1 and 2.2, O-methyl-2-hydroxynaringenin; 3.1 and 3.2, xilonenin (keto and enol form, respectively). (E) Proposed reaction scheme for the biosynthesis of xilonenin. RPKM, reads per kilobase per million reads mapped; cps, counts per second.

(CYP93G15) and Zm00004b008124 (CYP93G10) were designated as F2H2 and FNSII2, respectively.

To test whether the 2-hydroxynaringenin formed by F2H2 is a precursor of the two unknown compounds of m/z 317.102 [M + H]⁺, F2H2 and FOMT2 were incubated together in the presence of naringenin, NADPH, and SAM. In addition to

2-hydroxynaringenin, two pairs of peaks were detected consistent with the keto and enol tautomers of mono-Omethylated 2-hydroxynaringenin (m/z 303.086) and di-Omethylated 2-hydroxynaringenin (m/z 317.102), respectively (Figure 4D). In order to verify the structure of the m/z317.102 $[M + H]^+$ compounds, we purified them from a FOMT2-overexpressing E. coli culture incubated with chemically synthesized 2-hydroxynaringenin as substrate. NMR analyses confirmed the dominant FOMT2 products as O-dimethylated 2-hydroxynaringenins, which occur in both the keto and enol forms in a 1:2 ratio, at room temperature (Supplemental Figure S13; Supplemental Data Set S2). UV measurements confirmed predictions that the two tautomer peaks have different UV absorption maxima at 283 nm for the first peak (3.1; RT = 5.51 min in Figure 4D) and 352 nm for the second peak (3.2; RT = 6.81 min in Figure 4D; Supplemental Figure S14). Given that the conjugated enol system usually absorbs at longer wavelengths than the diketone system, we propose that the first peak (3.1 in Figure 4D) corresponds to the keto tautomer, while the second peak (3.2 in Figure 4D) corresponds to the enol tautomer (Figure 4E). As O-dimethylated 2-hydroxynaringenin appears to be an undescribed compound, we have named it xilonenin in reference to the Aztec maize goddess Xilonen. Our data thus reveal the fungus-elicited production of two di-O-methylated 2-hydroxynaringenin tautomers that are derived from the sequential activity of a F2H (F2H2), to produce 2-hydroxynaringenin, and FOMT2. Importantly, the free rotation of the A-ring in the chalcone-like open-ring form of 2-hydroxynaringenin allows FOMT2 to catalyze two sequential O-methylation reactions on the hydroxyl groups in *ortho*-position of ring A (Figure 4E).

Flavonoids accumulate locally at the site of pathogen infection

A defining feature of phytoalexins is their rapid and local accumulation at pathogen infection sites (Nicholson and Hammerschmidt, 1992; Hammerschmidt, 1999). To investigate the spatial distribution of fungal-induced flavonoids in maize leaves, we wounded and inoculated leaves of the inbred line B75 and hybrid maize "Sweet Nugget" in a defined leaf area with *B. maydis* (SLB) hyphae and quantified non-*O*methylated and *O*-methylated flavonoids in three different leaf segments of which only the middle segment was SLBinfected (Supplemental Tables S7 and S8). The infected middle leaf segments of B75 accumulated much larger amounts of non-*O*-methyl and *O*-methylflavonoids than the noninfected upper and lower leaf segments (Figure 5A; Supplemental Table S9). Induced accumulation was already significant 2 d post-inoculation, but was further increased at day 4. Similar results were obtained for the hybrid maize "Sweet Nugget" (Supplemental Figure S15; Supplemental Table S9).

The induction of flavonoids is a general pathogen response

To test whether the production of maize flavonoids is elicited by diverse fungal pathogens and thus represents a common defense response, we analyzed leaves (Z. mays "Sweet Nugget" hybrid) treated with six different maize fungal pathogens, including necrotrophs and hemibiotrophs, and the elicitor chitosan (CHT; Supplemental Table S10). Despite remarkable quantitative differences in flavonoid content for the different fungal treatments, which are in line with the manifestation of disease symptoms (Supplemental Figure S16), all of the fungi as well as CHT significantly induced the production of both O-methylated and non-O-methylated flavonoids (Figure 5B; Supplemental Table S10). Overall non-O-methyl and O-methylflavonoid content and composition were consistent with our previous data obtained for this maize line (Supplemental Figure S15; Supplemental Tables S7 and S8). These results demonstrate that the production of flavonoids, especially O-methylflavonoids is part of a general maize response to fungal pathogens.

The fungus-induced formation of O-methylflavonoids is accompanied by large-scale transcriptomic and metabolomic changes in the flavonoid and BX pathways

A broader investigation of transcriptomic and metabolomic data sets from SLB-infected and noninfected W22 leaves revealed many differences between the treatments beyond the O-methylation of flavonoids and their accumulation (Supplemental Figure S17). Apart from FOMT2/3, FOMT4, and FOMT5, a majority of known or predicted gene transcripts associated with flavonoid pathways increased significantly in response to the fungal elicitation (Figure 6A; Supplemental Table S2). Transcript abundance was associated with increased production of flavonoids belonging to different subclasses, mainly flavanones, flavones, and dihydroflavonols (Figure 6B; Supplemental Tables S7 and S8). In the BX pathway, transcript changes were more diverse. While genes encoding the core pathway (BX1-BX8) were downregulated after fungal infection, the terminal steps catalyzed by the OMTs BX10/11/14 were upregulated at both the transcript and metabolite levels (Supplemental Figure S18; Supplemental Tables S2 and S11). A RT-qPCR analysis of selected flavonoid and BX pathway genes confirmed the broad transcriptomic data, which was obtained from the RNA-seq experiment (Supplemental Figure S19).

Xilonenin and other maize flavonoids have antifungal activity

Xilonenin was the most abundant FOMT product detected in our experiments (Figure 1; Supplemental Table S8). To



Figure 5 The accumulation of flavonoids is a general pathogen response in maize and occurs locally at the site of pathogen infection. A, Spatial distribution of non-O-methylated and O-methylated flavonoids in "upper," "middle," and "lower" (top down) segments of leaves of the inbred line B75. The middle leaf segment was mechanically damaged and either treated with water as control (DAM) or a mycelial suspension of *B. maydis* (SLB) for 2 or 4 d. Compounds were quantified in the three leaf parts using LC–MS/MS. Shown are the total amounts of all analyzed non-O-methylated and O-methylated flavonoids (left and right parts, respectively; Means \pm se; *n* = 6). Significant differences for the factors treatment or day are stated. Different letters indicate significant differences between treatments and days (for statistical values, see Supplemental Table S9). Results for individual analytes are given in Supplemental Tables S7 and S8. B, Concentrations of non-O-methylated flavonoids (left) and O-methylflavo-noids (right) in leaves of hybrid maize ("Sweet Nugget") 4 d after wounding and treatment with different fungal pathogens and CHT. Controls included undamaged (CON) as well as damaged and water-treated (DAM) leaves. Shown are the total amounts of all analyzed non-O-methylated and O-methylated flavonoids (means \pm se; *n* = 8). Different letters indicate significant differences (*P* < 0.05) between treatments (one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) *post hoc* test; non-O-methylated flavonoids (*F* = 198.700, *P* < 0.001); O-methylflavonoids (*F* = 113.500, *P* < 0.001)). Results for individual analytes are given in Supplemental Table S10). CHT, chitosan; Z.p., Z. pseudotritici; C.z., *C. zeae-maydis*; A.a., A. alternata; C.g., C. graminicola; K.z., K. zeae; F.g., F. graminearum.

examine its impact on the growth of specific maize fungal pathogens, we conducted in vitro bioassays using *F. graminearum*, *F. verticillioides*, *Rhizopus microsporus*, and *B. maydis*, responsible for disease in diverse tissues. After 48 h, xilonenin significantly reduced the growth of *F. graminearum* in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 7). A similar but less pronounced growth inhibition activity was observed against *F. verticillioides* at a concentration of 100 μ g/mL. In contrast, xilonenin showed no antifungal activity against *R. microsporus* or *B. maydis* but rather trended toward growth promotion; however, this effect was not statistically significant at 48 h (Figure 7).

Genkwanin, another *O*-methylflavonoid highly abundant in fungus-infected maize, negatively affected the growth of *F. verticillioides* but not *F. graminearum* (Figure 7). However, this compound showed strong dose-dependent activity against *R. microsporus*, while growth of *B. maydis* was slightly, but not significantly, reduced (Figure 7).

Interestingly, the non-O-methylated flavonoid naringenin also reduced the growth of all tested fungi, while its 5-Omethyl derivative showed no statistical effects at 48 h (Supplemental Figure S20). Apigenin slightly inhibited the growth of *R. microsporus*, and 5-O-methylapigenin reduced the growth of both *F. verticillioides* and *R. microsporus* (Supplemental Figure S21). In contrast, apigenin and 5-Omethylapigenin did not result in statistically significant differences in the growth *F. graminearum* and *B. maydis* (Supplemental Figure S21).

Discussion

Previous research has implicated O-methylflavonoids in grass species as anti-pathogen defenses (Kodama et al., 1992; Christensen et al., 1998; Zhou et al., 2006a; Hasegawa et al., 2014). In maize, infection studies with Colletotrichum graminicola first hinted that O-methylflavonoid pathways might play a role in maize-pathogen interactions (Balmer et al., 2013). However, the enzymes underlying the relevant biosynthetic pathways have remained unknown. In this effort, we undertook a comprehensive analysis of fungal-elicited maize O-methylflavonoids and pathway enzymes, resulting in the characterization of a CYP F2H and multiple OMTs with distinct product regiospecificity that produce the major inducible products. Moreover, we showed significant in vitro antifungal activity for the most abundant product, the O-dimethyl-2-hydroxynaringenin tautomer xilonenin, and for additional abundant O-methylated and non-O-methylated flavonoids.

Association studies and enzyme analyses demonstrate that FOMT2 and FOMT4 are responsible for the formation of maize *O*-methylflavonoids

FOMTs have been characterized from dicot and a few monocot species (Kim et al., 2010); however, only two FOMTs active on the flavonoid A-ring have been reported in grasses (Christensen et al., 1998; Shimizu et al., 2012).

Here, we identified and characterized four maize OMT genes, namely FOMT2, FOMT3, FOMT4, and FOMT5 that were able to convert different flavonoids regiospecifically to their respective 5-, 7-, and 6-O-methyl derivatives (Figures 2 and 3; Supplemental Table S5). Several lines of evidence suggest that two of these OMTs, FOMT2 and FOMT4, are responsible for the formation of the bulk of the O-methylflavonoids detected in planta. First, metabolite-based association mapping efforts identified FOMT2 and FOMT4 as key biosynthetic candidates (Figure 2, A and B; Supplemental Figures S2 and S3). Second, transcripts of FOMT2 and FOMT4 and their corresponding enzymatic products (5- and 7-O-methylflavonoids, respectively) accumulated significantly after fungal elicitation, while FOMT3 encoding another 5-OMT displayed low levels of expression (Figures 1, 2C, and 6; Supplemental Table S2). Third, biochemical characterization not only confirmed the regiospecific activity of the FOMTs, but further demonstrated that FOMT2 and FOMT4 prefer flavanones and flavones, respectively, as substrates, mirroring the qualitative and quantitative abundance of the corresponding 5- and 7-O-methylflavonoids in planta (Figures 2E and 3; Supplemental Figure S8; Supplemental Table S8).

To understand defense pathway specificity, we also examined the BX pathway OMTs BX10, BX11, BX12, and BX14 that are closely related to FOMT2/3 (Figure 2D). All four BX OMTs displayed only trace activities for specific subsets of the tested flavonoid substrates, with 5- and/or 7-Omethyl derivatives produced in unspecific amounts (Supplemental Table S5). However, BX10, BX11, and BX12 each catalyzed the 5,7-O-dimethylation of apigenin (Supplemental Table S5), at a rate up to 60% of that of the FOMT2 and FOMT4 combination, demonstrating that BX OMTs could contribute to the biosynthesis of specific Omethylflavonoids in a limited way.

F2H2 and FOMT2 are the key enzymes in the biosynthesis of xilonenin tautomers

Previously, F2H1 (CYP93G5) was demonstrated to catalyze the conversion of flavanones (naringenin and eriodictyol) to their corresponding 2-hydroxy derivatives, which are intermediates in the production of maize C-glycosyl flavone antiherbivore defenses such as maysin (Morohashi et al., 2012; Falcone-Ferreyra et al., 2013; Casas et al., 2016). Our results demonstrate that the homologous enzyme F2H2 (CYP93G15) together with FOMT2 is involved in funguselicited production of the tautomeric xilonenin (Figure 4). F2H2 catalyzes the same reaction as F2H1 in vitro, converting naringenin and eriodictyol to 2-hydroxynaringenin and 2-hydroxyeriodictyol, respectively (Figure 4D; Supplemental Figure S12); however, only F2H2 expression occurs upon fungal elicitation (Figure 4C; Supplemental Figure S19). Closely related to the F2Hs are the FNSIIs (Figure 4B), which are proposed to generate the flavone double bond via a reaction where initial hydrogen abstraction from C-2 is followed by hydroxylation at this position and finally dehydration between C-2 and C-3. F2H activity is similar but with the loss







0.7 9.0

Figure 6 Upregulation of the flavonoid biosynthetic pathway by fungal infection. A, Expression of genes putatively involved in the flavonoid biosynthetic pathway in damaged and water-treated control leaves (DAM) or in damaged and B. maydis-infected leaves (SLB) of W22 after 4d of treatment. Transcriptomes were sequenced and mapped to the Z. mays W22 NRGene V2 genome. RPKM values (means; n = 4) for each gene are shown as a heat map next to the gene abbreviation: DAM (left column) and SLB (right column). For statistics, corresponding gene abbreviations and gene IDs see Supplemental Table S2. B, Quantitative LC-MS/MS analysis of representative flavonoids in the same samples. Metabolite amounts are given in microgram per gram fresh weight for DAM (left column) and SLB (right column). RPKM, reads per kilobase per million reads mapped.



Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/plphys/advance-article/doi/10.1093/plphys/kiab496/6412572 by guest on 09 November 2021

Figure 7 Antifungal activity of xilonenin and genkwanin. Growth (optical density (OD) at 600 nm) of *F. graminearum, F. verticillioides, R. microsporus,* and *B. maydis* in the absence and presence of purified xilonenin (A) and genkwanin (B) measured over a 48-h time course in a defined minimal broth medium using a microtiter plate assay. Data are shown as means \pm se (n = 4-5). Different letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) between treatments at 48 h (one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey–Kramer's *post hoc* test). *Fusarium graminearum*: xilonenin (F = 20.359, P < 0.001); genkwanin (F = 1.669, P = 0.242); *F. verticillioides*: xilonenin (F = 4.710, P = 0.031); genkwanin (F = 19.373, P < 0.001); *R. microsporus*: xilonenin (F = 3.386, P = 0.068); genkwanin (F = 47.766, P < 0.001); *B. maydis*: xilonenin (F = 0.485, P = 0.627); genkwanin (F = 0.460, P = 0.645).

of the dehydratase activity (Akashi et al., 1998; Sawada et al., 2002). The close similarity between these two enzyme groups was seen in our work with FNSII2, a close relative to the recently characterized FNSII1 (CYP93G7; Righini et al., 2019). FNSII2 produced apigenin and low yet detectable levels of 2-hydroxynaringenin (Supplemental Figure S12), supporting 2-hydroxyflavanones as intermediates in the FNSII reaction mechanism. However, whether 2-hydroxyflavanones are accepted as substrates by FNSII1/2 remains to be elucidated.

Association mapping analyses using the B73 \times Ky21 RIL population and the Goodman diversity panel linked FOMT2 with the occurrence of 2-hydroxynaringenin-derived xilonenin tautomers (Figure 4A; Supplemental Figures S2 and S10). Heterologous enzyme expression assays confirmed that recombinant FOMT2 utilizes 2-hydroxynaringenin as a substrate to catalyze the production of xilonenin in vitro (Figure 4, D and E). Furthermore, the extensive list of substrates used for comprehensive biochemical characterization demonstrates that 2-hydroxynaringenin is the preferred substrate of FOMT2 (Figure 3). Our results parallel the identification of xilonenin as most abundant FOMT2 product in both W22 and B75 inbred lines (Supplemental Table S8). Besides xilonenin tautomers, we detected two other products of FOMT2 in the enzyme assays and in the plant that are likely keto-enol tautomers of O-methyl-2-hydroxynaringenin (Figure 4D; Supplemental Figure S9). However, the rather low abundance of the precursor 2-hydroxynaringenin and its mono-O-methyl derivatives compared to xilonenin tautomers indicate a rapid and efficient turnover by FOMT2 in planta (Figure 1; Supplemental Tables S7 and S8). The presence of the first methoxyl group in O-methyl-2-hydroxynaringenin does not seem to influence the occurrence of the second O-methylation reaction considerably. This is in contrast to other mono-O-methylflavonoids such as sakuranetin, genkwanin, acacetin, or hispidulin that are only marginally accepted by FOMT2 as substrates for a second Omethylation (Figure 3).

Although xilonenin has apparently not been previously described, chalcone-like O-methylflavonoids are known and proposed as intermediates in the biosynthesis of echinatin, a retrochalcone with antibacterial activity from licorice (*Glycyrrhiza spp.*; Ayabe et al., 1980; Haraguchi et al., 1998).

Maize O-methylflavonoids are induced by fungal infection and contribute to plant defense

Flavonoids, including O-methylflavonoids have been previously shown to increase pathogen resistance in several plant species (Kodama et al., 1992; Skadhauge et al., 1997; Hasegawa et al., 2014). The complex flavonoid blends we measured in maize upon fungal attack (Figure 1; Supplemental Tables S7 and S8) accumulated largely at the sites of pathogen infection (Figure 5A; Supplemental Figure S15), consistent with the response of other phytoalexins (Nicholson and Hammerschmidt, 1992; Hammerschmidt, 1999). Moreover, several of the O-methylflavonoids detected in fungus-elicited maize, such as genkwanin or 7-O-methylscutellarein (Figure 1; Supplemental Table S8), have previously been shown to possess antimicrobial activity (Martini et al., 2004; Balmer et al., 2013; Zhanzhaxina et al., 2020), suggesting that the maize flavonoid blend contributes to plant defense against pathogens. Interestingly, xilonenin, the most prominent FOMT product in the investigated maize lines (Figure 1; Supplemental Table S8), and other abundant O-methylated and non-O-methylated flavonoids exhibited contrasting effects on the growth of different maize pathogenic fungi in our experiments. While xilonenin had significant antifungal activity against two Fusarium species but did not inhibit the growth of B. maydis and R. microsporus, genkwanin affected the growth of R. microsporus and F. verticillioides but not F. graminearum and B. maydis (Figure 7). This suggests that the complex flavonoid blend comprising more than 35 different compounds may provide a defense barrier against a multitude of diverse maize pathogens. Moreover, additive and synergistic effects might mediate or even enhance the activity of single blend components. However, the mixed antifungal properties observed in our bioassays may also indicate that the maize pathogen defense response relies on several biochemical layers. For example, flavonoids may not be the predominant antifungal compounds, but may induce signaling pathways that trigger the formation of other antifungal defenses by, for example, acting as scavengers for reactive oxygen species (Zhang et al., 2015). On the other hand, some maize pathogens may have adapted to the toxic arsenal of their host plant by detoxifying their phytoalexins as is the case for other plant pathogens (Pedras and Ahiahonu, 2005), and this might explain the mixed antifungal effects seen in our bioassays. Recently, two rice pathogenic fungi have been reported to detoxify and tolerate 7-methoxynaringenin (sakuranetin) by hydroxylation, O-demethylation or glycosylation (Katsumata et al., 2017, 2018). Maize may still respond to fungal attack with the accumulation of flavonoid phytoalexins even if these are not effective since we demonstrated that flavonoid induction occurs in response to a broad range of necrotrophic and hemibiotrophic pathogens (Figure 5B).

Maize has been previously reported to biosynthesize complex mixtures of other pathogen-induced defense compounds including BXs, sesquiterpenoids, and diterpenoids (Oikawa et al., 2004; Rostas, 2007; Ahmad et al., 2011; Huffaker et al., 2011; Mafu et al., 2018; Ding et al., 2019, 2020). These substances have been demonstrated to reduce fungal diseases in experiments with defined biosynthetic mutants of the BX, kauralexin, and zealexin pathways (Ahmad et al., 2011; Ding et al., 2019, 2020). Here we highlight the role of another class of fungal-induced metabolites, the *O*-methylflavonoids, in innate immune responses that likely contribute to pathogen resistance in maize. Further investigation is required to understand if these different groups of phytoalexins have separate or joint roles in maize defense.

Materials and methods

Plants and growth conditions

Seeds of maize (Z. mays) inbred line W22 (NSL 30053), B73 (PI 550473), B75 (PI 608774), and Nested association mapping (NAM: McMullen et al., 2009) parental line seeds were provided by the US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS). Maize seeds for the Goodman diversity panel (Flint-Garcia et al., 2005) and the NAM RILs $B73 \times Ky21$ subpopulation (McMullen et al., 2009) were provided by G. Jander (Boyce Thompson Institute) and P. Balint-Kurti (USDA-ARS), respectively. Seeds of the maize hybrid "Sweet Nugget" were purchased from N.L. Chrestensen Samen- und Pflanzenzucht GmbH (Erfurt, Germany). Plants were potted in soil (mix of 70L Tonsubstrat with 200 L Kultursubstrat TS 1, Klasmann-Deilmann, Geeste, Germany) and grown in a climatecontrolled chamber (Snijders Labs, Tilburg, Netherlands) under a 16-h light/8-h dark photoperiod, 1 mmol $m^{-2}s^{-1}$ photosynthetically active radiation, a temperature cycle of 24°C/ 20° C (day/night), and 70% relative humidity.

Fungi and growth conditions

Fungal cultures of B. maydis (Belgian Co-ordinated Collections of Micro-Organisms, Institute of Hygiene, Epidemiology and Mycology, strain no. 5881), C. graminicola (Leibniz-Institut, Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen GmbH (DSMZ), strain (DSM) no. 63127), F. graminearum (DSM 4528), and Kabatiella zeae (DSM 62737) were grown on potato dextrose agar (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) for 7 d at 25° C or 28° C (B. maydis) in the dark prior to use and subcultured if necessary (see below) to induce sporulation. Alternaria alternata (DSM 62006) and Cercospora zeae-maydis (Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, strain no. 117755) were grown on modified V8 agar (V8 replaced by tomato juice, pH 6.5) for 7 and 14d, respectively, at 25°C in the dark. To obtain mycelial inoculum, sterile water was added to an agar plate; the mycelium gently scraped off, and homogenized using a tissue homogenizer (Potter-Elvehjem, Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany). Sporulation of C. graminicola was induced by subculturing on oatmeal agar (Sigma-Aldrich) at 25°C in the dark for 5-7 d. Kabatiella zeae sporulation was enhanced using liquid K. zeae medium (KZM; Reifschneider and Arny, 1979). Briefly, 50 mL KZM were inoculated with a colony plug and incubated at 25° C and 150 rpm for 4 d. Afterwards, $400 \,\mu$ L of the liquid culture were plated on corn meal agar (Sigma-Aldrich) and grown for another 4 d. To promote sporulation of C. zeae-maydis, the mycelium ($\sim 2 \text{ cm}^2$) was cut in little pieces, suspended in 10 mL sterile water, mixed vigorously and pipetted on V8 agar (2 mL/plate). After 15 min, remaining liquid was decanted and the plate was incubated at room temperature and 12-h d light for 5 d. Spores of C. zeae-maydis could not be separated from the mycelial fragments and hence a mixed spore and mycelial inoculum was used for experiments. All other spores were harvested in sterile water, filtered through a 40-µm cell strainer and quantified for use. Zymoseptoria pseudotritici (STIR04 2.2.1) was kindly provided by Eva Stukenbrock (Stukenbrock et al., 2011, 2012) and grown on yeast-malt agar (4 g/L yeast extract, 4 g/L malt extract, 4 g/L sucrose, 15 g/L agar) at 18° C in the dark for 7 d. Then, colonies were picked, used to inoculate liquid yeast-malt sucrose (4 g/L yeast extract, 4 g/L malt extract, 4 g/L sucrose), and incubated at 18° C and 150 rpm for 4–5 d. Spores were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in sterile water for quantification.

Plant inoculations with live fungi and CHT

All experiments were performed on the third fully developed leaf of 14-d-old maize plants. To analyze the content and spatial distribution of flavonoids in different maize lines after B. maydis infection, the middle segments of leaves were wounded on both sides of the midrib using modified pliers (punch-inoculation method; Matsuyama and Rich, 1974), producing a crushed spot, but without punching out a hole. Usually, 12 crushed spots per middle segment of about 10-cm length were made. Afterwards, a mycelial suspension of B. maydis containing 0.02% (v/v) Tween-20 was applied with a sterile cotton swab to each wounded spot (n = 6-8). Control plants were wounded and treated with water containing 0.02% (v/v) Tween-20 (n = 6-8). Whole plants were wrapped in plastic oven bags ("Bratschlauch," Toppits, Minden, Germany) left open at the top to allow moderate air circulation, but prevent direct contact between plants of different treatments, and incubated for two or 4 d. For the general pathogen response experiment, hybrid maize (var. "Sweet Nugget") plants were treated as described above, except that C. graminicola, K. zeae, and Z. pseudotri*tici* were used as spore suspensions $(1 \times 10^6/mL)$, while all other fungi were applied as a mycelial suspension. In addition, control treatments included undamaged plants. CHT was used as an artificial elicitor. Therefore low viscous CHT (50-190 kDa; Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved to 1% (w/v) in 1% (v/v) acetic acid in water and further diluted with sterile water to 0.1% (w/v). Control plants were treated with 0.1% (v/v) acetic acid in water, respectively. In all experiments, different leaf segments were collected separately by cutting the leaf on both sides of the wounded and inoculated area (1.5 cm distant from the outer spots), flash-freezing in liquid nitrogen (N₂), and storing at -80° C until further processing.

Maize stem treatments with heat-killed fungal elicitors

Treatment of NAM inbred line parents and plants of the Goodman association panel follow from previous efforts (Ding et al., 2017, 2019). Plants of the Goodman diversity panel (260 analyzed inbred lines) were grown in greenhouses while the NAM RIL B73 \times Ky21 subpopulation (156 analyzed lines) was grown in the field (2016, UCSD). Using a scalpel, 35-d-old plants were slit in the center, spanning both sides of the stem, to create an 8-cm long parallel longitudinal incision spanning the upper nodes, internodes, and basal portion of unexpanded leaves. To activate antifungal defenses, 500 µL of the heat-killed fungal hyphae

(commercial *Fusarium venenatum*, strain PTA-2684, Monde Nissin Corporation, Santa Rosa, Phillipines) was placed into each slit stem and sealed with clear plastic packing tape to minimize tissue desiccation. Three or 5 d after elicitation (for plants of the Goodman panel and B73 \times Ky21 RILs, respectively), reacted stem tissues were harvested in liquid N₂, ground to fine power, weighed out in 50 mg aliquots and stored at -80° C for analyses.

Methanol extraction of plant material

Maize leaf tissue was ground to a fine powder under liquid N_2 using a Geno/Grinder tissue homogenizer (SPEX SamplePrep). The frozen powder (50–70 mg) was weighed in a 2 mL microcentrifuge tube, and five volumes of 100% methanol (LC–MS grade, Merck) were added. The plant samples were immediately vortexed, and then further extracted using a ThermoMixer C (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) for 5 min at 2,000 rpm and 20°C. Cell debris was sedimented by centrifugation at 16,000 g and 20°C for 25 min and the supernatant was transferred to a new 1.5-mL microcentrifuge tube. The sediment was extracted a second time with five volumes of 100% methanol and finally the combined supernatant was centrifuged again to remove all remaining particles. All samples were stored at -20° C before analysis.

LC-MS analysis of flavonoids and BXs Untargeted LC-MS analysis with accurate mass determination

Chromatography was performed on a Dionex UltiMate 3000 RS pump system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) equipped with a ZORBAX RRHD Eclipse XDB-C18 column (2.1×100 mm, 1.8μ m; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Aqueous formic acid (0.1% (v/v)) and acetonitrile were used as mobile phases A and B, respectively, with a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min. The column temperature was maintained at 25°C. The following elution profile was used: 0-0.5 min, 5% B; 0.5-11 min, 5-60% B; 11.1-12 min, 100% B; 12.1-15 min, 5% B. The injection volume was 2 µL. The LC system was coupled to a timsTOF mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, MA, USA) equipped with an ESI ion source. Both positive and negative ionization were used for the analysis in full scan and auto MS/MS modes, scanning masses from m/z 50–1,500 (detailed parameters are provided in Supplemental Table S12). Sodium formate adducts were used for internal calibration. The software programs Bruker otof control version 5.1.107 and HyStar 4.1.31.1 (Bruker Daltonics) were used for data acquisition, and DataAnalysis version 5.1.201 (Bruker Daltonics) and MetaboScape version 4.0 (Bruker Daltonics) were used for data processing.

Targeted LC–MS/MS analysis for quantification of compounds in plant extracts and analysis of enzyme assays

Chromatographic separation was achieved on an Agilent 1260 Infinity II LC system (Agilent Technologies) equipped with a ZORBAX Eclipse XDB-C18 column (50 \times 4.6 mm, 1.8 µm; Agilent Technologies), using aqueous formic acid (0.05% (v/v)) and acetonitrile as mobile phases A and B, respectively. The flow rate was 1.1 mL/min and the column temperature was maintained at 20°C. The injection volume was $2\,\mu$ L for maize leave extracts and $1-4\,\mu$ L for enzyme assays. The following gradient was used for the separation of flavonoids and flavonoid glycosides: 0-0.5 min, 10% B; 0.5-8.0 min, 10-55% B; 8.5-9.0 min, 100% B; 9.02-11 min, 10% B. The LC system was coupled to a QTRAP 6500 + tandem mass spectrometer (Sciex, Framingham, MA, USA) equipped with a turbospray ESI ion source, operated in positive or negative ionization mode, for the analysis of flavonoids or flavonoid glycosides, respectively (detailed parameters are provided in Supplemental Table S13). For the analysis of BXs, the chromatography was performed as described above, except that the following elution profile was used: 0-0.5 min, 5% B; 0.5-6.0 min, 5-32.5% B; 6.02-7.0 min, 100% B; 7.10-9.5 min, 5% B. The mass spectrometer was operated in negative ionization mode (detailed settings are provided in Supplemental Table S13). Multiple reaction monitoring was used to monitor analyte precursor ion \rightarrow product ion transitions of flavonoids, flavonoid glycosides and BXs (Supplemental Tables S4, S15, and S16, respectively). Flavonoids were quantified using external calibration curves (0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, 25, 50, 100, 200, 400, 1,000, 2,000, and 4,000 ng/mL) composed of commercially available standards as well as self-purified and NMRquantified O-methylflavonoids (for all standards used, see Supplemental Table S17). Analyst version 1.6.3 software (Sciex) was used for data acquisition and processing. In addition, MultiQuant version 3.0.3 software (Sciex) was used for quantitative analysis.

Untargeted LC-UV-MS analysis for purification

The O-methylflavonoid content of E. coli culture extracts was analyzed using an Agilent 1100 Series LC system (Agilent Technologies) coupled to an ultraviolet diode array detector (UV-DAD, Agilent Technologies) and an Esquire 6000 ESI-Ion trap mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics). Chromatographic separation was performed on an EC 250/ 4.6 Nucleodur Sphinx column (RP 5 μm, Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany), with 0.2% (v/v) formic acid in water (A) and acetonitrile (B) as mobile phases. The flow rate was 1 mL/min and the column temperature was set to 25°C. The following elution profile was used: 0-15 min, 30-60% B; 15.1-16 min, 100% B; 16.1-20 min, 30% B. The mass spectrometer was run in alternating ion polarity (positive/negative) mode with a skimmer voltage of +40 V/-40 V, a capillary voltage of -3,500 V / + 3,000 V and a capillary exit voltage of 113.5 V/-113.5 V, to scan masses from m/z 50-3,000. N₂ was used as drying gas (11 L/min, 330 $^{\circ}$ C) and nebulizer gas (35 psi). The software programs esquireControl version 6.1 (Bruker Daltonics) and HyStar version 3.2 (Bruker Daltonics) were used for data acquisition, while DataAnalysis version 3.4 was used for data processing. The UV absorption

of individual O-methylflavonoids was analyzed using the post-processing software included in the HyStar version 3.2 package (Bruker Daltonics).

Semi-preparative high performance liquid chromatography with ultraviolet detector(HPLC-UV) for purification

For the purification of *O*-methylflavonoids, an Agilent 1100 series LC system (Agilent Technologies) coupled to an UV/ VIS-detector and connected to an SF-2120 Super Fraction Collector (Advantec MSF, Inc., Dublin, CA, USA), was used. Chromatography was performed as described above in the section "Untargeted LC–MS analysis coupled with UV for purification," except that 0.05% (v/v) formic acid in water was used as mobile phase A. Depending on the *O*-methylflavonoid to be purified, UV absorption was monitored at a single wavelength between 280 and 335 nm and used to determine the respective peak(s) for collection. HP ChemStation for LC (Rev. A.06.03, Hewlett Packard) was used for data acquisition.

Analyses of fungus-elicited flavonoids in stems

Analysis of fungus-elicited tissue from the NAM RIL $B73 \times Ky21$ subpopulation and Goodman diversity panel employed LC/MS parameters and settings previously described (Ding et al., 2017). Stem tissue samples were sequentially bead homogenized in a series of solvents resulting in final volume of 450 µL and mixture of 1-propanol:acetonitrile:ethyl acetate:water (11:39:28:22). Approximately 150 µL of the particulatefree supernatant was used for LC/MS analyses using 5-µL injections. The LC consisted of an Agilent 1260 Infinitely Series HP Degasser (G4225A), 1260 binary pump (G1312B), and 1260 autosampler (G1329B). The binary gradient mobile phase consisted of 0.1% (v/v) formic acid in water (solvent A) and 0.1% (v/v) formic acid in methanol (solvent B). Chromatographic separation was performed on a Zorbax Eclipse Plus C18 Rapid Resolution HD column (Agilent; 1.8 μ m, 50 \times 2.1 mm) using a 0.35 mL/min flow rate. The mobile phase gradient was: 0-2 min, 5% B constant ratio; 3 min, 24% B; 18 min, 98% B; 25 min, 98% B; and 26 min, 5% B for column re-equilibration before the next injection. Electrospray ionization was accomplished with an Agilent Jet Stream Source with the following parameters: nozzle voltage (500 V), N₂ nebulizing gas (flow, 12 L/min, 379 kPa, 225°C) and sheath gas (350°C, 12 L/min). The transfer inlet capillary was 3,500 V and both MS1 and MS2 heaters were at 100°C. Negative ionization [M-H]⁻ mode scans (0.1-atomic mass unit steps, 2.25 cycles/s) from m/z 100–1,000 were acquired. The compounds identified in order of relative retention times and [M-H]⁻ parent ions are: xilonenin keto tautomer (9.00 min, m/z 315), apigenin-5-methyl ether (10.37 min, m/z 283), xilonenin enol tautomer (10.71 min, m/z 315), apigenin (11.78 min, m/z 269), and genkwanin (13.77 min, m/z 283).

Genetic mapping of O-methylflavonoid biosynthetic genes

A list of Goodman diversity panel inbred lines and NAM $B73 \times Ky21$ subpopulation RILs used for mapping in this study is given in Supplemental Table S18. Flavonoid levels were used as traits for the association analyses. Genotypic data for the NAM B73 \times Ky21 RIL subpopulation (NAM imputed AllZea GBS Build July 2012 FINAL, AGPv2) and Goodman Diversity panel (Maize HapMapV3.2.1 genotypes with imputation, AGPv3) were downloaded (www.panzea. org). SNPs with < 20% missing genotype data and minor allele frequencies >5% were employed in the association analysis resulting in the final use of 80,440 SNPs and 25,457,708 SNPs for the RIL and diversity panel, respectively. Analyses were initially conducted in TASSEL version 5.0 using the GLM for the NAM RIL B73 \times Ky21 subpopulation and the unified mixed linear model (MLM) for the Goodman association panel (Yu et al., 2006; Bradbury et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2010). This was done to minimize false positives arising from differential population structures and familial relatedness (Yu et al., 2006). Differential population structure and familial relatedness are less common features in biparental RIL populations and enable GLM analyses for the B73 \times Ky21 RILs (Ding et al., 2017, 2020). To improve GWAS analysis, the kinship matrix (K) was used jointly with population structure (Q). Final analyses were conducted with the R package GAPIT (Lipka et al., 2012). Manhattan plots were constructed in the R package ggman (version 0.1.4) (http://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/qqman; Turner, 2014).

RNA and cDNA preparation

Total RNA was extracted from approximately 50-mg frozen plant powder using the InviTrap Spin Plant RNA Kit (Stratec) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The RNA concentration and purity was assessed with a spectro-photometer (NanoDrop 2000c; Thermo Fisher Scientific). RNA (1 μ g) was treated with DNasel (Thermo Fisher Scientific), followed by cDNA synthesis using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase and oligo (dT)₂₀ primers (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

RNA-seq

To investigate gene expressional changes after fungal infection in W22, total RNA was extracted from leaf tissue (n = 4) as described above and sent to Novogene (Cambridge, UK) for RNA-seq library construction (polyA enrichment) and sequencing (NovaSeq PE150, paired reads, 6 G of raw data per sample). Trimming of the obtained sequencing reads and mapping to the maize W22 NRGene_V2 genome were performed with the program CLC Genomics Workbench (Qiagen Bioinformatics, Hilden, Germany; mapping parameter: length fraction, 0.8; similarity fraction, 0.9; max number of hits, 25). Empirical analysis of digital gene expression implemented in the program CLC Genomics Workbench was used for gene expression analysis. Raw reads were deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under the BioProject accession PRJNA742147.

RT-qPCR analysis

To verify gene expression data of flavonoid and BX pathway genes from RNA-Seq. RT-gPCR was performed. For the amplification of gene fragments with a length of 100-250 bp, specific primers were designed having a Tm $\ge 60^{\circ}$ C, a GC content between 40% and 60%, and a primer length of 20-23 nucleotides (Supplemental Table S19). The primer specificity was confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis, melting curve analysis, and by sequence verification of cloned PCR amplicons. Primer pair efficiency (90%-112%) was determined using standard curve analysis with twofold serial dilutions of cDNA. UBCP and MEP (Manoli et al., 2012) were used as reference genes. The measurements were performed using 1 µL 1:10 diluted cDNA in 20-µL reaction mixture containing Brilliant III Ultra-Fast SYBR[®] Green QPCR Master Mix (Agilent Technologies). All samples were run on a CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) in an optical 96-well plate. Four biological replicates per treatment were analyzed as triplicates. The following PCR conditions were applied for all reactions: Initial incubation at 95°C for 3 min followed by 40 cycles of amplification (95°C for 10 s, 60°C for 10 s). For all measurements, reads were taken during the extension step of each cycle and melting curve data were recorded at the end of cycling at 55-95°C. Cq values for the calculation of relative quantities were determined using CFX Manager version 3.1 software (Bio-Rad Laboratories).

Cloning and heterologous expression of OMT genes in *E. coli*

The complete open reading frames of FOMT2 (W22) and FOMT4 (W22) were amplified from cDNA obtained from B. maydis-infected W22 leaves with the primer pairs listed in Supplemental Table S20. FOMT3 and FOMT5 were amplified from plasmids containing the synthesized codon-optimized open reading frames (see paragraph below). The PCR products were cloned into the expression vector pET100/D-TOPO (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA) or pASK-IBA37plus (IBA Lifesciences, Göttingen, Germany) and fully sequenced. BX10 (B73), BX11 (B73), BX12 (CML322), and BX14 (B73) were provided as pASK-IBA37plus constructs by Vinzenz Handrick (Meihls et al., 2013; Handrick et al., 2016). For heterologous expression, the expression constructs were transferred in E. coli strain BL21 (DE3; Invitrogen). Liquid cultures were grown in lysogeny broth at 37°C and 220 rpm until an optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of 0.8-1, induced with a final concentration of 1 mM IPTG or 200 µg/L anhydrotetracycline, and subsequently incubated at 18°C and 220 rpm for 15 h. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5,000 g and 4°C for 10 min, resuspended in refrigerated extraction buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 1% (v/v) Tween20, and 25 U/mL Benzonase Nuclease (Merck, Kenilworth, NJ, USA; freshly added)) and disrupted by sonication (4 \times 20 s, with cooling on ice in between; Bandelin UW 2070). Cell debris was removed by centrifugation (16,000 g at 4°C for 20 min) and the N-terminal His-tagged proteins were purified from the supernatant using HisPur Cobalt Spin Columns (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8, without Tween-20; see above) containing either 20 mM or 250 mM imidazole was used for equilibration/washing and elution steps, respectively. The buffer of the eluted protein samples was exchanged for assay buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7, 10% (v/v) glycerol) by gel filtration using illustra NAP Columns (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA). Protein concentrations were determined by the Bradford method using Quick Start Bradford $1 \times$ Dye Reagent (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and prediluted BSA protein standards (Thermo Scientific).

Gene synthesis

The complete open reading frames of *FOMT3* (B73_V3) and *FOMT5* (B73_V3) were synthesized after codon optimization for heterologous expression in *E. coli* by Eurofins MWG Operon (for sequences, see Supplemental Figure S22). CYP93G candidate genes (W22_V2) were codon optimized for *S. cerevisiae* and synthesized using the GeneArt gene synthesis service (Thermo Fisher Scientific) (for sequences, see Supplemental Figure S23). The synthetic genes were subcloned into the vector pUC57 (*FOMT3* and *FOMT5*) or pMA-T (*CYP93G* candidates), and the final constructs were verified by sequencing.

Cloning and heterologous expression of CYP93G genes in yeast

The complete open reading frames of F2H1 (W22), F2H2 (W22), FNSII2 (W22), Zm00004b039147 (CYP93G6-W22), and Zm00004b033036 (CYP93F6-W22) were synthesized as codon-optimized sequence (see above) and cloned as stickyend fragments into the pESC-Leu 2d vector (Ro et al., 2008). F2H1 (B73) was amplified from cDNA (for primers used, see Supplemental Table S19). For heterologous expression in yeast, the resulting constructs were transformed into the engineered S. cerevisiae strain WAT11 (Pompon et al., 1996) using the S.c. EasyComp Transformation Kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Subsequently, 30- mL Sc-Leu minimal medium (6.7 g/L yeast N₂ base without amino acids, but with ammonium sulfate; 100 mg/L of each l-adenine, l-arginine, l-cysteine, l-lysine, l-threonine, ltryptophan, and uracil; 50 mg/L of each l-aspartic acid, l-histidine, l-isoleucine, l-methionine, l-phenylalanine, l-proline, lserine, I-tyrosine, and I-valine; 20 g/L d-glucose) was inoculated with single yeast colonies and grown overnight at 28°C and 180 rpm. For main cultures, 100 mL YPGA (Glc) full medium (10 g/L yeast extract, 20 g/L bactopeptone, 74 mg/L adenine hemisulfate, 20 g/L d-glucose) was inoculated with one unit OD_{600} of the overnight cultures and incubated under the same conditions for 30-35 h. After centrifugation (5,000 g, 16°C, 5 min), the expression was induced by resuspension of the cells in 100 mL YPGA (Gal)

19

medium (see above, but including 20 g/L galactose instead of d-glucose) and grown for another 15-18h at 25°C and 160 rpm. The cells were harvested by centrifugation (7,500 g, 10 min, 4°C), resuspended in 30 mL TEK buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 100 mM KCl) and centrifuged again. Then, the cells were carefully resuspended in 2 mL TES buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 600 mM sorbitol; freshly added: 10 g/L bovine serum fraction V protein and 1.5 mM β -mercaptoethanol) and glass beads (0.45-0.50 mm diameter; Sigma-Aldrich) were added until they reached the upper level of the cell suspension. For cell disruption, the suspensions were shaken by hand 5 times for 1 min, with cooling on ice for 1 min in between. The crude extracts were recovered by washing the glass beads 4 times with 5 mL TES. The combined washes were centrifuged $(7,500 \text{ g}, 10 \text{ min}, 4^{\circ}\text{C})$, and the supernatant containing the microsomes was transferred into an ultracentrifuge tube. After ultracentrifugation (100,000 g, 90 min, 4°C), the supernatant was carefully removed and the microsomal pellet was gently washed with 2.5 mL TES buffer, then with 2.5 mL TEG buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 30% glycerol). The microsomal fractions were homogenized in 2 mL TEG buffer using a glass homogenizer (Potter-Elvehjem, Carl Roth). Aliquots were stored at -20° C until further use.

In vitro enzyme assays

To test OMT activities, assays were set up containing 500 μ M dithiothreitol (DTT) and 100 μ M of the cosubstrate SAM. Substrates (flavonoids, caffeic acid, resveratrol, and DIMBOA-Glc) were added at $20 \,\mu$ M from 400 μ M stock solutions made with 75% (v/v) dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) in water. The assay buffer contained 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7, 10% (v/v) glycerol, and $0.8 \mu g$ purified recombinant protein (equivalent to $\sim 200 \text{ nM}$) was added in a total volume of 100 μL . Incubations were carried out for 1 h at 25°C and 300 rpm on a ThermoMixer C (Eppendorf). All assays were conducted in technical triplicates. For combined OMT assays, the first OMT was incubated with the substrate in half of the assay volume for 1 h and then the second OMT was added and incubated for another hour (final reaction volume: 100 µL). To obtain comparable activity estimates of the individual OMTs with different substrates, the decrease in substrate content compared to the EV control (substrate turnover) was used to calculate relative percent activity values. The highest percent substrate turnover per enzyme was set to 100% and the values for all other substrates were calculated accordingly.

CYP assays were performed with 1 mM cosubstrate NADPH, 20 μ M substrate (naringenin or eriodictyol), assay buffer, and 60 μ L microsomal fractions in a total volume of 300 μ L and incubated for 2 h at 25°C and 300 rpm. All assays were repeated at least twice. For combined CYP and OMT activity assays, CYPs were pre-incubated with the substrate (30 μ M) and NADPH (1 mM) in a 200 μ L reaction volume for 1 h, before addition of recombinant OMT protein, DTT, and SAM (final reaction volume: 300 μ L). All reactions were stopped by adding one volume of 100% methanol and

centrifuged at 4,000 g for 5–10 min to remove denatured proteins. Product formation was monitored by the analytical methods described above.

Purification of O-methylflavonoids from E. coli cultures

E coli BL21 (DE3) harboring a FOMT2 or FOMT4 expression construct (described above in "Cloning and heterologous expression of OMT genes in E. coli") were grown in terrific broth at 37° C and 220 rpm, induced at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.5 with a final concentration of 1 mM IPTG or 200 µg/L anhydrotetracycline, and incubated for another 2-3 h at 37°C and 220 rpm. Subsequently, flavonoid substrate (naringenin, apigenin, and scutellarein; solved in 75% (v/v) DMSO in water) was added to yield a final concentration of $25 \,\mu g/mL$ and the culture was incubated at 25°C and 220 rpm for 15 h. The culture was centrifuged at 5,000 g and 4°C for 20 min and the supernatant and the cell pellet were stored separately until further processing at 4° C and -20° C, respectively. For the production of 5,7-O-dimethylflavonoids, a FOMT4 overexpressing culture was supplemented with the FOMT2 culture supernatant in a ratio of 1:5 (e.g. 25 mL FOMT2 culture supernatant/100 mL FOMT4 overexpressing culture) and treated as described above. The culture supernatant was pre-purified by solid phase extraction (SPE) using a Chromabond HR-X column (15 mL, 500 mg, 83 µm, Macherey-Nagel). The column was washed with 40% (v/v) methanol in water and, after drying for 30-60 min under vacuum, hydrophobic components were eluted thrice with 50:50 (v/v) methanol:acetonitrile followed by two elution steps with 100% acetonitrile. The E. coli pellet was extracted with 100% methanol (2.5-4 mL per pellet resulting from 100 mL culture) for 2 times 5 min in an ultrasonic bath, with vortexing in between. Cell fragments were removed by centrifugation at 5,000 g and 4°C for 20 min. The O-methylflavonoid content of SPE fractions and pellet extract was analyzed using LC-UV-MS as described in the section "Untargeted LC-UV-MS analysis for purification" and fractions containing the desired compound were combined and dried using a Rotavapor R-114 rotary evaporation system (Büchi, Flawil, Switzerland). After redissolving in 100% methanol, partially occurring precipitate was removed using a Minisart SRP syringe filter (Sartorius) and water was added to yield a solution of 50:50 (v/v) methanol:water. The resulting E. coli extract was separated by HPLC-UV as described above in chapter "Semi-preparative HPLC-UV for purification." Collected fractions were dried using a rotary evaporator and subsequently a desiccator, and subjected to NMR and LC-MS/MS analysis.

Synthesis of 2-hydroxynaringenin

For synthesis of 2-hydroxynaringenin, we used a previously published method (Hao et al., 2016) and subsequently verified the structure by NMR.

NMR spectroscopy for structure elucidation and quantitative analysis

¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, ¹H-¹H COSY, ¹H-¹H ROESY, ¹H-¹³C HSQC, and ¹H-¹³C HMBC spectra were measured at 300 K (except for 2-hydroxynaringenin, which was measured at 268 K) on either a Bruker Avance III HD 500 NMR spectrometer, equipped with a cryogenically cooled 5 mm TCI ¹H{¹³C} probe or an Avance III HD 700 NMR spectrometer, equipped with cryogenically cooled 1.7 mm TCI ${}^{1}H{}^{13}C{}$ probe. Samples were measured in acetone- d_6 and MeOH- d_3 , respectively. Chemical shifts were referenced using the residual solvent signals at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.05/ $\delta_{\rm C}$ 29.92 for acetone- d_6 and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.31/ $\delta_{\rm C}$ 49.15 for MeOH- d_3 . Spectrometer control, data acquisition, and processing were accomplished using Bruker TopSpin version 3.6.1. Standard pulse programs as implemented in Bruker TopSpin were used. For guantitative NMR measurements the Bruker ERETIC-2 protocol was used. (-)-Catechin was used as an external standard.

Sequence analysis and phylogenetic tree reconstruction

Maize OMT genes similar to FOMT2 (B73), anthranilic acid methyltransferase 1 (AAMT1; B73), and CCoAOMT1 (B73) or maize CYP93G candidates similar to F2H1 (B73) were identified by BLASTP analysis available on MaizeGDB (https:// www.maizegdb.org/), with B73 RefGen V4 and W22 NRGene_V2 as search datasets, respectively. Multiple sequence alignments of maize OMT genes and characterized FOMT genes from several other species were generated using the MUSCLE codon algorithm implemented in the software MEGA version 7 (Kumar et al., 2016). Based on these alignments, phylogenetic trees were reconstructed with MEGA7 using a maximum likelihood method. Codon positions included were first + second + third + noncoding. All positions with < 80% site coverage (maize OMT phylogeny; Figure 2D) or < 90% site coverage (FOMT phylogeny; Supplemental Figure S6) were eliminated. Ambiguous bases were allowed at any position. A bootstrap resampling analysis with 1,000 replicates was performed to evaluate the topology of the generated trees. A substitution model test was performed with MEGA7 to identify the best-fitting substitution model for each dataset (for substitution model used, see respective figure legends). Phylogenetic analysis of maize genes similar to F2H1 and characterized F2H and FNSII genes from other species was performed as described above, using all positions with \geq 80% site coverage. All corresponding accession numbers and references are provided in Supplemental Tables S3 and S6. Amino acid sequence alignments were visualized with the software BioEdit.

In vitro bioassays with O-methyl and non-Omethylflavonoids

Maize antifungal assays using self-purified or commercially available flavonoids (xilonenin, genkwanin, 5-O-methylapigenin, 5-O-methylnaringenin, apigenin, and naringenin; see Supplemental Table S17) were performed using the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute M38-A2 guidelines

(Schmelz et al., 2011). Fungal cultures of R. microsporus (Northern Regional Research Laboratory [NRRL] stock no. 54029), F. verticillioides (NRRL stock no. 7415), F. graminearum (NRRL stock no. 31084), and B. maydis were grown on V8 agar for 12 d before the quantification and final use as 2.5×10^4 conidia/mL (Huffaker et al., 2011). Using a 96-well microtiter plate, each well contained 200 µL of broth medium, fungal inoculum, and 0.5 µL of either pure ethanol or ethanol containing dilutions of flavonoids. All assays were conducted in four to five technical replicates. The flavonoid concentrations used in the bioassays (33 and $100 \,\mu g/mL$) were chosen based on their abundance in fungal-infected tissue with the knowledge that (1) phytoalexin accumulation is highly localized to necrotic tissues and (2) that leaves used for metabolite quantification contained only 10-20% necrotic tissue (Figure 1A; Supplemental Figure S16). The actual flavonoid concentrations at the site of fungal attack are likely to be significantly higher than those measured at the whole leaf level. A Synergy4 (BioTek Instruments) reader was used to monitor fungal growth at 30°C through periodic measurements of changes in OD₆₀₀.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SigmaPlot version 11.0 for Windows (Systat Software). The statistical test applied is indicated in the respective figure and table legends. Whenever necessary, the data were log-transformed to meet statistical assumptions such as normality and homogeneity of variances. Statistical significance of metabolomic data obtained by untargeted LC–MS was tested using the *t* test implemented in MetaboScape version 4.0 software (Bruker Daltonics).

To investigate whether the amount of flavonoids and O-methylflavonoids changed due to infection with B. maydis 2 or 4 d after infection, two-way analyses of variance (ANOVAs) were applied. In case of significant differences, Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) tests were performed. To account for the variance heterogeneity of the residuals, data were either log-transformed prior to the ANOVA or generalized least squares models (gls from the nlme library; Pinheiro et al., 2020) were applied. The varldent variance structure was used. Whether the different variance of fungal treatment, time, or the combination of both factors should be incorporated into the model, was determined by comparing models with different variance structures with a likelihood ratio test and choosing the model with the smallest akaike information criterion (AIC). The influence (P-values) of the explanatory variables was determined by sequential removal of explanatory variables starting from the full model, and comparison of the simpler with the more complex model with a likelihood ratio test (Zuur et al., 2009). Differences between factor levels were determined by factor level reduction (Crawley, 2013). Data were analyzed with R version 4.0.3 (R Core Team, 2020).

Accession numbers

Sequence data for FOMT2-W22 (MZ484743) and FOMT4-W22 (MZ484744) can be found in the NCBI GenBank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/) under the corresponding identifiers. Raw reads of the RNA-seq experiment were deposited in the NCBI SRA under the BioProject accession PRJNA742147.

Supplemental data

The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

Supplemental Figure S1. MS/MS spectra of putative 5and 7-O-methylflavonoids.

Supplemental Figure S2. Association mapping using $B73 \times Ky21$ RIL with the GLM and 80,440 SNPs.

Supplemental Figure S3. GWAS mapping reveals association between the occurrence of genkwanin and *FOMT4*.

Supplemental Figure S4. Schematic chromosomal array of *FOMT2* and *FOMT3* in B73 and W22.

Supplemental Figure S5. Amino acid sequence alignment of FOMT2/3.

Supplemental Figure S6. Phylogenetic tree of maize FOMT genes characterized in this study, closely related maize OMT genes, and characterized FOMT genes from other monocots and dicots.

Supplemental Figure S7. Expression of *BX OMT* genes in W22 upon fungal infection.

Supplemental Figure S8. Regiospecific O-methylation and elution patterns of FOMT2 and FOMT4 products.

Supplemental Figure S9. Fragmentation patterns of 2-hydroxynaringenin and its O-methyl derivatives.

Supplemental Figure S10. GWAS mapping reveals association between the occurrence of xilonenin tautomers and *FOMT2/3*.

Supplemental Figure S11. Amino acid sequence alignment of Poaceae F2Hs belonging to the CYP93G subfamily.

Supplemental Figure S12. Enzymatic activity of CYP93G family members similar to F2H1 (CYP93G5) with naringenin or eriodictyol.

Supplemental Figure S13. NMR chemical shift data of xilonenin tautomers (in MeOH- d_3).

Supplemental Figure S14. The two xilonenin tautomers exhibit different UV absorption.

Supplemental Figure S15. De novo production of flavonoids in different maize lines after fungal infection.

Supplemental Figure S16. Visible signs of infection on hybrid maize after inoculation with different pathogenic fungi.

Supplemental Figure S17. Large-scale transcriptomic and metabolomic changes upon SLB infection.

Supplemental Figure S18. Expression of the BX biosynthetic pathway during fungal infection.

Supplemental Figure S19. RT-qPCR validation of flavonoid and BX pathway gene expression results in noninfected and fungus-infected W22 leaves.

Supplemental Figure S20. Antifungal activity of naringenin and 5-O-methylnaringenin.

Supplemental Figure S21. Antifungal activity of apigenin and 5-O-methylapigenin.

Supplemental Figure S22. Codon-optimized gene sequences of *FOMT3-B73* and *FOMT5-B73* synthesized for expression in *E. coli*.

Supplemental Figure S23. Codon-optimized gene sequences of CYP93G candidates synthesized for expression in *S. cerevisiae*.

Supplemental Table S1. *P*-values of *t* test analysis to determine statistical significant differences of flavonoid content between treatments obtained by the LC–MS measurements shown in Supplemental Figure 1B.

Supplemental Table S2. Expression of maize genes putatively involved in the phenylpropanoid pathway, flavonoid pathway, BX pathway or terpenoid biosynthesis.

Supplemental Table S3. MaizeGDB/GenBank accessions and references corresponding to Figure 2D and Supplemental Figure S6.

Supplemental Table S4. NMR structure elucidation of 5-/ 7-O-methyl and 5,7-O-dimethylflavonoids.

Supplemental Table S5. Product formation of maize OMTs with different substrates.

Supplemental Table S6. GenBank accessions and references corresponding to Figure 4B.

Supplemental Table S7. Quantification of flavonoids in leaf tissue of different maize inbred lines after infection with *B. maydis.*

Supplemental Table S8. Quantification of O-methylflavonoids in leaf tissue of different maize inbred lines after infection with *B. maydis*.

Supplemental Table S9. Statistical values for the analysis of the amount of non-O-methylated- and O-methylated flavonoids in different maize lines according to treatment, duration of treatment (day), and the interaction between treatment and its duration corresponding to the experiments shown in Figure 5A and Supplemental Figure S15.

Supplemental Table S10. Quantification of flavonoids and *O*-methylflavonoids in leaf tissue of hybrid maize ("Sweet Nugget") after treatment with different pathogenic fungi and CHT.

Supplemental Table S11. Relative quantification of BXs in leaf tissue of different maize inbred lines after infection with *B. maydis*.

Supplemental Table S12. MS settings used for the analysis on the timsTOF mass spectrometer.

Supplemental Table S13. MS settings used for the analysis on the QTRAP 6500 + .

Supplemental Table S14. Mass analyzer settings used for the analysis of flavonoids and additional phenylpropanoids on the QTRAP 6500 + .

Supplemental Table S15. Mass analyzer settings used for the analysis of flavonoid glycosides on the QTRAP 6500 + .

Supplemental Table S16. Mass analyzer settings used for the analysis of BXs on the QTRAP 6500 + .

Supplemental Table S17. Authentic standards used for identification and quantification.

Supplemental Table S18. Maize mapping lines used for GWASs in the Goodman diversity panel and Quantitative Trait Loci mapping in NAM subpopulation $B73 \times Ky21$.

Supplemental Table S19. RT-qPCR primers.

Supplemental Table S20. PCR primers for the amplification of full-length open reading frames of investigated FOMTs and CYP93Gs.

Supplemental Data Set S1. Complete RNA-seq data set derived from damaged and water-treated control leaves (DAM) and damaged and *B. maydis*-infected leaves (SLB) of W22 after 4 d of treatment (n = 4).

Supplemental Data Set S2. NMR spectra.

Acknowledgments

We thank Elke Goschala and all gardeners of the Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology (MPICE) for their help in growing the maize plants. We thank Michael Reichelt (MPICE) for support concerning the analytical analyses, Bettina Raguschke (MPICE) for assistance in DNA sequencing, Paul Himmighofen and Laura Klement (MPICE) for assistance in plant experiments, and David R. Nelson (The University of Tennessee) for assigning the CYP names. For providing Z. *pseudotritici*, we thank Eva H. Stukenbrock (Christian-Albrechts University Kiel and Max Planck Institute of Evolutionary Biology).

Funding

The research was funded by the Max-Planck Society, the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant no. 160786, JG), the US Department of Agriculture, National Institute of Food and Agriculture (grant no. 2018-67013-28125, AH and EAS), and the National Science Foundation, Plant–Biotic Interactions Program (grant no. 1758976, EAS).

Conflict of interest statement. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Ahmad S, Veyrat N, Gordon-Weeks R, Zhang YH, Martin J, Smart L, Glauser G, Erb M, Flors V, Frey M, et al. (2011) Benzoxazinoid metabolites regulate innate immunity against aphids and fungi in maize. Plant Physiol 157: 317–327
- Akashi T, Aoki T, Ayabe S (1998) Identification of a cytochrome P450 cDNA encoding (2S)-flavanone 2-hydroxylase of licorice (*Glycyrrhiza echinata* L; Fabaceae) which represents licodione synthase and flavone synthase II. FEBS Lett **431**: 287–290
- Ayabe SI, Yoshikawa T, Kobayashi M, Furuya T (1980) Studies on plant cultures .34. biosynthesis of a retrochalcone, echinating - involvement of O-methyltransferase to licodione. Phytochemistry 19: 2331–2336
- Balmer D, de Papajewski DV, Planchamp C, Glauser G, Mauch-Mani B (2013) Induced resistance in maize is based on organ-specific defence responses. Plant J 74: 213–225
- Berim A, Hyatt DC, Gang DR (2012) A set of regioselective O-methyltransferases gives rise to the complex pattern of methoxylated flavones in sweet basil. Plant Physiol 160: 1052–1069
- Bradbury PJ, Zhang Z, Kroon DE, Casstevens TM, Ramdoss Y, Buckler ES (2007) TASSEL: software for association mapping of complex traits in diverse samples. Bioinformatics 23: 2633–2635

- Browne LM, Conn KL, Ayer WA, Tewari JP (1991) The camalexins new phytoalexins produced in the leaves of *Camelina Sativa* (Cruciferae). Tetrahedron **47**: 3909–3914
- Casas MI, Falcone-Ferreyra ML, Jiang N, Mejia-Guerra MK, Rodriguez E, Wilson T, Engelmeier J, Casati P, Grotewold E (2016) Identification and characterization of maize salmon silks genes involved in insecticidal maysin biosynthesis. Plant Cell 28: 1297–1309
- Christensen AB, Gregersen PL, Olsen CE, Collinge DB (1998) A flavonoid 7-O-methyltransferase is expressed in barley leaves in response to pathogen attack. Plant Mol Biol **36**: 219–227
- **Crawley MJ** (2013) The R Book, John Wiley and Sons Ltd, Hoboken, NJ
- de Souza LP, Garbowicz K, Brotman Y, Tohge T, Fernie AR (2020) The acetate pathway supports flavonoid and lipid biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol **182**: 857–869
- Ding Y, Murphy KM, Poretsky E, Mafu S, Yang B, Char SN, Christensen SA, Saldivar E, Wu MX, Wang Q, et al. (2019) Multiple genes recruited from hormone pathways partition maize diterpenoid defences. Nat Plants 5: 1043–1056
- Ding YZ, Huffaker A, Kollner TG, Weckwerth P, Robert CAM, Spencer JL, Lipka AE, Schmelz EA (2017) Selinene volatiles are essential precursors for maize defense promoting fungal pathogen resistance. Plant Physiol 175: 1455–1468
- Ding YZ, Weckwerth PR, Poretsky E, Murphy KM, Sims J, Saldivar E, Christensen SA, Char SN, Yang B, Tong AD, et al. (2020) Genetic elucidation of interconnected antibiotic pathways mediating maize innate immunity. Nat Plants 6: 1375–1388
- Du YG, Chu H, Chu IK, Lo C (2010a) CYP93G2 is a flavanone 2-hydroxylase required for C-glycosylflavone biosynthesis in rice. Plant Physiol **154**: 324–333
- Du YG, Chu H, Wang MF, Chu IK, Lo C (2010b) Identification of flavone phytoalexins and a pathogen-inducible flavone synthase II gene (SbFNSII) in sorghum. J Exp Bot **61**: 983–994
- Falcone-Ferreyra ML, Rodriguez E, Casas MI, Labadie G, Grotewold E, Casati P (2013) Identification of a bifunctional maize C- and O-glucosyltransferase. J Biol Chem 288: 31678–31688
- Flint-Garcia SA, Thuillet AC, Yu J, Pressoir G, Romero SM, Mitchell SE, Doebley J, Kresovich S, Goodman MM, Buckler ES (2005) Maize association population: a high-resolution platform for quantitative trait locus dissection. Plant J 44:1054–1064
- Gregersen PL, Christensen AB, Sommerknudsen J, Collinge DB (1994) A putative O-methyltransferase from barley is induced by fungal pathogens and Uv-light. Plant Mol Biol **26**: 1797–1806
- **Grotewold E** (2006) The Science of Flavonoids, Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany
- Hain R, Reif HJ, Krause E, Langebartels R, Kindl H, Vornam B, Wiese W, Schmelzer E, Schreier PH, Stocker RH, et al. (1993) Disease resistance results from foreign phytoalexin expression in a novel plant. Nature 361: 153–156
- Hammerschmidt R (1999) Phytoalexins: what have we learned after 60 years? Annu Rev Phytopathol 37: 285–306
- Handrick V, Robert CAM, Ahern KR, Zhou SQ, Machado RAR, Maag D, Glauser G, Fernandez-Penny FE, Chandran JN, Rodgers-Melnik E, et al. (2016) Biosynthesis of 8-O-methylated benzoxazinoid defense compounds in maize. Plant Cell 28: 1682–1700
- Hao B, Caulfield JC, Hamilton ML, Pickett JA, Midega CAO, Khan ZR, Wang J, Hooper AM (2016) Biosynthesis of natural and novel C-glycosylflavones utilising recombinant Oryza sativa C-glycosyltransferase (OsCGT) and Desmodium incanum root proteins. Phytochemistry 125: 73–87
- Haraguchi H, Tanimoto K, Tamura Y, Mizutani K, Kinoshita T (1998) Mode of antibacterial action of retrochalcones from *Glycyrrhiza inflata*. Phytochemistry **48**: 125–129
- Hasegawa M, Mitsuhara I, Seo S, Okada K, Yamane H, Iwai T, Ohashi Y (2014) Analysis on blast fungus-responsive characters of a flavonoid phytoalexin sakuranetin; accumulation in infected rice

leaves, antifungal activity and detoxification by fungus. Molecules **19**: 11404–11418

- He XZ, Dixon RA (2000) Genetic manipulation of isoflavone 7-O-methyltransferase enhances biosynthesis of 4 '-O-methylated isoflavonoid phytoalexins and disease resistance in alfalfa. Plant Cell 12: 1689–1702
- Huffaker A, Kaplan F, Vaughan MM, Dafoe NJ, Ni XZ, Rocca JR, Alborn HT, Teal PEA, Schmelz EA (2011) Novel acidic sesquiterpenoids constitute a dominant class of pathogen-induced phytoalexins in maize. Plant Physiol **156**: 2082–2097
- Ibrahim RK, Bruneau A, Bantignies B (1998) Plant O-methyltransferases: molecular analysis, common signature and classification. Plant Mol Biol **36**: 1–10
- Jeandet P, Hebrard C, Deville MA, Cordelier S, Dorey S, Aziz A, Crouzet J (2014) Deciphering the role of phytoalexins in plant-microorganism interactions and human health. Molecules 19: 18033–18056
- Jiang N, Doseff AI, Grotewold E (2016) Flavones: from biosynthesis to health benefits. Plants (Basel) 5: 27
- Katsumata S, Toshima H, Hasegawa M (2018) Xylosylated detoxification of the rice flavonoid phytoalexin sakuranetin by the rice sheath blight fungus *Rhizoctonia solani*. Molecules 23: 276
- Katsumata S, Hamana K, Horie K, Toshima H, Hasegawa M (2017) Identification of sternbin and naringenin as detoxified metabolites from the rice flavanone phytoalexin sakuranetin by *Pyricularia oryzae*. Chem Biodivers 14: e1600240
- Kim BG, Lee Y, Hur HG, Lim Y, Ahn JH (2006) Flavonoid 3 '-O-methyltransferase from rice: cDNA cloning, characterization and functional expression. Phytochemistry 67: 387–394
- Kim BG, Sung SH, Chong Y, Lim Y, Ahn JH (2010) Plant flavonoid O-methyltransferases: substrate specificity and application. J Plant Biol 53: 321–329
- Kodama O, Miyakawa J, Akatsuka T, Kiyosawa S (1992) Sakuranetin, a flavanone phytoalexin from ultraviolet-irradiated rice leaves. Phytochemistry **31**: 3807–3809
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K (2016) MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Mol Biol Evol 33: 1870–1874
- Lam PY, Zhu FY, Chan WL, Liu HJ, Lo C (2014) Cytochrome P450 93G1 is a flavone synthase II that channels flavanones to the biosynthesis of Tricin O-linked conjugates in rice. Plant Physiol **165**: 1315–1327
- Langcake P, Pryce RJ (1976) Production of resveratrol by Vitis Vinifera and other members of Vitaceae as a response to infection or injury. Physiol Plant Pathol 9: 77–86
- Lipka AE, Tian F, Wang Q, Peiffer J, Li M, Bradbury PJ, Gore MA, Buckler ES, Zhang Z (2012) GAPIT: genome association and prediction integrated tool. Bioinformatics 28: 2397–2399
- Liu HJ, Du YG, Chu H, Shih CH, Wong YW, Wang MF, Chu IK, Tao YZ, Lo C (2010) Molecular dissection of the pathogen-inducible 3-deoxyanthocyanidin biosynthesis pathway in sorghum. Plant Cell Physiol **51**: 1173–1185
- Liu X, Wang Y, Chen Y, Xu S, Gong Q, Zhao C, Cao J, Sun C (2020) Characterization of a flavonoid 3'/5'/7-O-methyltransferase from *Citrus reticulata* and evaluation of the in vitro cytotoxicity of its methylated products. Molecules **25**: 858
- Mafu S, Ding YZ, Murphy KM, Yaacoobi O, Addison JB, Wang Q, Shen ZX, Briggs SP, Bohlmann J, Castro-Falcon G, et al. (2018)
 Discovery, biosynthesis and stress-related accumulation of dolabradiene-derived defenses in maize. Plant Physiol 176: 2677–2690
- Manoli A, Sturaro A, Trevisan S, Quaggiotti S, Nonis A (2012) Evaluation of candidate reference genes for qPCR in maize. J Plant Physiol **169**: 807–815
- Martens S, Mithofer A (2005) Flavones and flavone synthases. Phytochemistry 66: 2399–2407
- Martini ND, Katerere DRP, Eloff JN (2004) Biological activity of five antibacterial flavonoids from *Combretum erythrophyllum* (Combretaceae). J Ethnopharmacol **93**: 207–212

- Matsuyama M, Rich S (1974) Punch inoculation for measuring resistance of corn leaf tissue to *Helminthosporium Maydis*. Phytopathology **64**: 429–430
- McMullen MD, Kresovich S, Villeda HS, Bradbury P, Li H, Sun Q, Flint-Garcia S, Thornsberry J, Acharya C, Bottoms C, et al. (2009) Genetic properties of the maize nested association mapping population. Science **325**: 737–740
- Meihls LN, Handrick V, Glauser G, Barbier H, Kaur H, Haribal MM, Lipka AE, Gershenzon J, Buckler ES, Erb M, et al. (2013) Natural variation in maize aphid resistance is associated with 2,4-dihydroxy-7-methoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one glucoside methyltransferase activity. Plant Cell 25: 2341–2355
- Morohashi K, Casas MI, Ferreyra LF, Mejia-Guerra MK, Pourcel L, Yilmaz A, Feller A, Carvalho B, Emiliani J, Rodriguez E, et al. (2012) A genome-wide regulatory framework identifies maize pericarp color1 controlled genes. Plant Cell **24**: 2745–2764
- Nicholson RL, Hammerschmidt R (1992) Phenolic-compounds and their role in disease resistance. Annu Rev Phytopathol **30**: 369–389
- Nicholson RL, Kollipara SS, Vincent JR, Lyons PC, Cadenagomez G (1987) Phytoalexin synthesis by the sorghum mesocotyl in response to infection by pathogenic and nonpathogenic fungi. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 84: 5520–5524
- Oikawa A, Ishihara A, Tanaka C, Mori N, Tsuda M, Iwamura H (2004) Accumulation of HDMBOA-Glc is induced by biotic stresses prior to the release of MBOA in maize leaves. Phytochemistry 65: 2995–3001
- Park HL, Yoo Y, Hahn TR, Bhoo SH, Lee SW, Cho MH (2014) Antimicrobial activity of UV-induced phenylamides from rice leaves. Molecules 19: 18139–18151
- Pedras MSC, Ahiahonu PWK (2005) Metabolism and detoxification of phytoalexins and analogs by phytopathogenic fungi. Phytochemistry 66: 391–411
- Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D; R Core Team (2020) nlme: linear and nonlinear mixed effects models. https://cran.r-project. org/web/packages/nlme/index.html
- **Pompon D, Louerat B, Bronine A, Urban P** (1996) Yeast expression of animal and plant P450s in optimized redox environments. Cytochrome **P450**: 51–64
- R Core Team (2020) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, R Core Team, Vienna, Austria
- Rakwal R, Tamogami S, Kodama O (1996) Role of jasmonic acid as a signaling molecule in copper chloride-elicited rice phytoalexin production. Biosci Biotech Bioch **60**: 1046–1048
- **Reifschneider FJ, Arny DC** (1979) A liquid medium for the production of *Kabatiella zeae* conidia. Can J Microbiol **25**: 1100–1102
- Righini S, Rodriguez EJ, Berosich C, Grotewold E, Casati P, Falcone Ferreyra ML (2019) Apigenin produced by maize flavone synthase I and II protects plants against UV-B-induced damage. Plant Cell Environ 42: 495–508
- Ro DK, Ouellet M, Paradise EM, Burd H, Eng D, Paddon CJ, Newman JD, Keasling JD (2008) Induction of multiple pleiotropic drug resistance genes in yeast engineered to produce an increased level of anti-malarial drug precursor, artemisinic acid. BMC Biotechnol 8: 83
- **Rostas M** (2007) The effects of 2,4-dihydroxy-7-methoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one on two species of *Spodoptera* and the growth of *Setosphaeria turcica* in vitro. J Pest Sci **80**: 35–41
- Sawada Y, Kinoshita K, Akashi T, Aoki T, Ayabe S (2002) Key amino acid residues required for aryl migration catalysed by the cytochrome P450 2-hydroxyisoflavanone synthase. Plant J 31: 555–564
- Schmelz EA, Kaplan F, Huffaker A, Dafoe NJ, Vaughan MM, Ni XZ, Rocca JR, Alborn HT, Teal PE (2011) Identity, regulation, and activity of inducible diterpenoid phytoalexins in maize. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108: 5455–5460
- Shimizu T, Lin FQ, Hasegawa M, Okada K, Nojiri H, Yamane H (2012) Purification and identification of naringenin

7-O-methyltransferase, a key enzyme in biosynthesis of flavonoid phytoalexin sakuranetin in rice. J Biol Chem **287**: 19315–19325

- Skadhauge B, Thomsen KK, von Wettstein D (1997) The role of the barley testa layer and its flavonoid content in resistance to *Fusarium* infections. Hereditas 126: 147–160
- Snyder BA, Leite B, Hipskind J, Butler LG, Nicholson RL (1991) Accumulation of sorghum phytoalexins induced by *Colletotrichum Graminicola* at the infection site. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol **39**: 463-470
- Stukenbrock EH, Quaedvlieg W, Javan-Nikhah M, Zala M, Crous PW, McDonald BA (2012) Zymoseptoria ardabiliae and Z. pseudotritici, two progenitor species of the septoria tritici leaf blotch fungus Z. tritici (synonym: Mycosphaerella graminicola). Mycologia 104: 1397–1407
- Stukenbrock EH, Bataillon T, Dutheil JY, Hansen TT, Li RQ, Zala M, McDonald BA, Wang J, Schierup MH (2011) The making of a new pathogen: insights from comparative population genomics of the domesticated wheat pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola* and its wild sister species. Genome Res **21**: 2157–2166
- Tohge T, de Souza LP, Fernie AR (2017) Current understanding of the pathways of flavonoid biosynthesis in model and crop plants. J Exp Bot **68**: 4013–4028
- **Turner SD** (2014) qqman: an R package for visualizing GWAS results using Q-Q and manhattan plots. Preprint at bioRxiv: https://doi. org/10.1101/005165.
- Ube N, Katsuyama Y, Kariya K, Tebayashi S, Sue M, Tohnooka T, Ueno K, Taketa S, Ishihara A (2021) Identification of methoxylchalcones produced in response to CuCl2 treatment and pathogen infection in barley. Phytochemistry **184**: 112650
- Ullah C, Unsicker SB, Fellenberg C, Constabel CP, Schmidt A, Gershenzon J, Hammerbacher A (2017) Flavan-3-ols are an effective chemical defense against rust infection. Plant Physiol **175**: 1560–1578

- VanEtten HD, Mansfield JW, Bailey JA, Farmer EE (1994) Two classes of plant antibiotics: phytoalexins versus "phytoanticipins". Plant Cell 6: 1191–1192
- Yu J, Pressoir G, Briggs WH, Vroh Bi I, Yamasaki M, Doebley JF, McMullen MD, Gaut BS, Nielsen DM, Holland JB, et al. (2006) A unified mixed-model method for association mapping that accounts for multiple levels of relatedness. Nat Genet **38**: 203–208
- Zhang JA, Subramanian S, Zhang YS, Yu O (2007) Flavone synthases from *Medicago truncatula* are flavanone-2-hydroxylases and are important for nodulation. Plant Physiol **144**: 741–751
- Zhang Y, De Stefano R, Robine M, Butelli E, Bulling K, Hill L, Rejzek M, Martin C, Schoonbeek HJ (2015) Different reactive oxygen species scavenging properties of flavonoids determine their abilities to extend the shelf life of tomato. Plant Physiol **169**: 1568–1583
- Zhang Z, Ersoz, E, Lai CQ, Todhunter RJ, Tiwari HK, Gore MA, Bradbury PJ, Yu J, Arnett DK, Ordovas JM, et al. (2010) Mixed linear model approach adapted for genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet 42: 355–360
- Zhanzhaxina AS, Seiilgazy M, Jalmakhanbetova RI, Ishmuratova MY, Seilkhanov TM, Oyama M, Sarmurzina ZS, Tekebayeva ZB, Suleimen YM (2020) Flavonoids from *Pulicaria vulgaris* and their antimicrobial activity. Chem Nat Compd 56: 915–917
- Zhou JM, Fukushi Y, Wang XF, Ibrahim RK (2006a) Characterization of a novel flavone *O*-methyltransferase gene in rice. Nat Prod Commun 1: 981–984
- Zhou JM, Fukushi Y, Wollenweber E, Ibrahim RK (2008) Characterization of two O-methyltransferase-like genes in barley and maize. Pharm Biol **46**: 26–34
- Zhou JM, Gold ND, Martin VJJ, Wollenweber E, Ibrahim RK (2006b) Sequential O-methylation of tricetin by a single gene product in wheat. Bba-Gen Subjects **1760**: 1115–1124
- Zuur A, Ieno EN, Walker N, Saveliev AA, Smith GM (2009) Mixed Effects Models and Extensions in Ecology with R, Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany



Supplemental Figure 1. MS/MS spectra of putative 5- and 7-O-methylflavonoids. The compounds were observed in *B. maydis*-infected W22 and/or B75 leaf tissue using untargeted LC-MS (full scan and auto MS/MS mode) as described in the methods section. The MS/MS spectrum of sakuranetin was obtained using a commercially available standard. 5- and 7-O-methylderivatives have similar MS/MS spectra, but differ greatly in retention time (RT).



Supplemental Figure 2. Association mapping using B73 × Ky21 RIL with the general linear model and 80,440 SNPs. Left panel (A,C,E,G): Manhattan plots of the association analysis of the indicated metabolites. The most statistically significant SNPs are located within the region of the maize FOMT2/3 on chromosome 9 (FOMT2, Chr.9:119,779,040-119,780,565 bp; FOMT3, Chr9: 119,838,646-119,840,122 bp; B73 RefGen_v2). The black dashed line denotes the false discovery rate (< 0.05 at -log10[P]) using a Bonferroni correction. **Right panel (B,D,F,H):** Regional Manhattan plots representing a 'zoomed-in' view of the signal between 112.0 Mb and 130.0 Mb on chromosome 9, and each dot representing a single SNP.



Supplemental Figure 3. GWAS mapping reveals association between the occurrence of genkwanin and FOMT4. Left panel: Manhattan plots of the association analysis (mixed linear model) of the indicated metabolites in the stems of maize plants from the Goodman diversity panel following 3 days of fungal elicitation. The most statistically significant SNPs associated with genkwanin and the ratio of apigenin to genkwanin are located within the region of the maize FOMT4 on chromosome 9 (Chr9: 147,148,251-147,149,436 bp; B73 RefGen_v3), while no significant SNPs are associated with apigenin. The black dashed line denotes the false discovery rate (< 0.05 at -log10[P]) using a Bonferroni correction. **Right panel:** Regional Manhattan plots representing a 'zoomed-in' view of the signal between 146.8 Mb and 148.0 Mb on chromosome 9, and each dot representing a single SNP.



Supplemental Figure 4. Schematic chromosomal array of FOMT2 and FOMT3 in B73 and W22. *FOMT2-B73,* Zm00001d047192; *FOMT3-B73,* Zm00001d047194; *FOMT2-W22-1,* Zm00004b033403; *FOMT2-W22-2,* Zm00004b033399; *FOMT3-W22,* Zm00004b033404.

	10	20	30	40	50	60
FOMT2-B73 FOMT2-W22-1 FOMT2-W22-2 FOMT3-B73	MALSTQDL MHTMALSTQDL MHTMALSTQDL MAFTEE <mark>SS</mark> QDL	LEAHVELWHQS LEAHVELWHQS LEAHVELWHQS LEAHVELWHQS	LCYAKSLALA LCYAKSLALA LCYAKSLALA VSYLKSLAL	VALDLRIPDA VALDLRIPDA VALDLRIPDA VALDLRIPDA	IHHHGGSATLP IHHHGGSATLP IHHHGG <mark>G</mark> ATL <mark>I</mark>	QILAETA QILAETA QILAETA QILAETA QIL <mark>DK</mark> TA
FOMT2-B73 FOMT2-W22-1 FOMT2-W22-2 FOMT3-B73	70 AHPSKLRALRR AHPSKLRALRR AHPSKLRALRR IH <mark>O</mark> SKLRALRR	80 LMRVLTVSGTF LMRVLTVSGTF LMRVLTVSGTF LMRVLTVSGTF	90 SVEQQPPAGG SVEQQPPAGG SVEQQPPAGG SVEQQPPCGD	100 DDSTVDAPDEZ DDSTVDAPDEZ DDSTVDAPDEZ DDSTVDAPDEZ DDST	110 AVYRLTAASRF AVYRLTAASRF AVYRLTAASRF VYRLTAASRF	120 CLVSDEVS CLVSDEVS CLVSDEVS CLVSDEVS CLVSEEVS
FOMT2-B73 FOMT2-W22-1 FOMT2-W22-2 FOMT3-B73	130 SSTLAPFVSLA SATLAPFVSLA SATLAPFVSLA SATLAPFVSLA SATLAPFVSLA	140 LHPIAVSPHTM LHPIAVSPHTM LHPIAVSPHTM LHPI <mark>SQ</mark> SSHAR	150 . GICAWFRQEQ GICAWFRQEQ GICAWFRQE	160 REPSPYGLAFQ REPSPYGLAFQ REPSPYGLAFQ HDPSAFGLAFQ	170 QQTFPTIWEHA QQTFPTIWEHA QQTFPTIWEHA QQA-PTIWEHA	180 . .DDVNALL .DDVNALL .DDVNALL .DDTNATL
FOMT2-B73 FOMT2-W22-1 FOMT2-W22-2 FOMT3-B73	190 NKGMVADSRFLI NKGMVADSRFLI NKGLAAQSRFLI	200 MPIMLRECGE- MPIMLRECGE- MPIMLRECGE- VPVMLRECGEA	210 VFRGIESLVD VFRGIESLVD VFRGIESLVD VFRGI <mark>D</mark> SLVD	220 VGGGHGGATAA VGGGHGGATAA VGGGHGGATAA VGGGHGGA <mark>AT</mark> A	230 AIAAAFPHLKC AIAAAFPHLKC AIAAAFPHLKC AIAAAFPHLKC	240 . SVLDLPH SVLDLPH SVLDLPH SVLDLPH
FOMT2-B73 FOMT2-W22-1 FOMT2-W22-2 FOMT3-B73	250 VVAGAPSDVNV VVAGAPSDVNV VVAGAPSDVNV VVAGAPSD <mark>S</mark> NV	260 QFVAGNMFQSI QFVAGNMFQSI QFVAGNMFQSI QFVAG	270 PPATAVFLKT PPATAVFLKT PPATAVFLKT PPATAVFLKT	280 ILHDWGDDECV ILHDWGDDECV ILHDWGDDECV ALHDWGDDECV	290 /KILKNCRQAI /KILKNCRQAI /KILKNCRQAI /KILKNCRQAI	300 SPRDAGG SPRDAGG SPRDAGG SPRDAGG SPCDEGG
FOMT2-B73 FOMT2-W22-1 FOMT2-W22-2 FOMT3-B73	310 KVIILDMVVGY KVIILDMVVGY KVIILDMVVGY KVII	320 GQPNITHLETQ GQPNITHLETQ GQPNITHLETQ DES <mark>NTKR</mark> LE <mark>V</mark> Q	330 VMFDLYIMTV VMFDLYIMTV VMFDLYIMTV VMFDLYIMTV	340 NGAERDEQEWH NGAERDEQEWH NGAERDEQEWH NGAERDEQEWH	350 KKIFIEAGFKD KKIFIEAGFKD KKIFIEAGFKD KKIFI <mark>Q</mark> AGFKD	360 YKILPIL YKILPIL YKILPIL YKILP <mark>VV</mark>
FOMT2-B73 FOMT2-W22-1 FOMT2-W22-2 FOMT3-B73	370 GALSVIEVYP* GALSVIEVYP* GALSVIEVYP* GSLSVIEVYP*					

Supplemental Figure 5. Amino acid sequence alignment of FOMT2/3. The amino acid sequences encoded by *Zm00001d047192* (FOMT2-B73), *Zm00004b033403* (FOMT2-W22-1), *Zm00004b033399* (FOMT2-W22-2), and *Zm00001d047194* (FOMT3-B73) were aligned using the MUSCLE codon algorithm implemented in the software MEGA7 and visualized with the program BioEdit. Identical amino acids are shaded in black and similar amino acids in grey. FOMT2-B73 shares 99% and 79% amino acid identity with FOMT2-W22-1/2 and FOMT3-B73, respectively. **Note:** Unlike the W22 database sequences displayed here, the cloned FOMT2 sequence contains a D instead of an E on alignment position 17.



Supplemental Figure 6. Phylogenetic tree of maize FOMT genes characterized in this study, closely related maize OMT genes and characterized FOMT genes from other monocots and dicots. The tree was inferred using the maximum likelihood method based on the General Time Reversible model, including gamma distributed rate variation among sites (+G, 2.2239). Bootstrap values (n = 1000) are shown next to each node. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. All positions with < 90% site coverage were eliminated. Maize FOMTs investigated in this study are highlighted in red. The gene accession numbers and references are provided in Supplemental Table 3.



Supplemental Figure 7. Expression of BX OMT genes in W22 upon fungal infection.

Transcript abundance of *BX10*, *BX11* and *BX14* derived from RNA-seq of damaged and either water treated (DAM) or *B. maydis*-infected (SLB) W22 leaves harvested after 4 days. Gene expression is given as reads per kilobase million (RPKM; Means \pm SE; *n* = 4). Stars indicate significant differences between treatments (Supplemental Table 2).



Supplemental Figure 8. Regiospecific O-methylation and elution patterns of FOMT2 and FOMT4 products. The purified recombinant enzymes as well as the empty vector control (EV) were incubated with the substrate naringenin (A), apigenin (B) or hispidulin (C) in presence of the cosubstrate S-adenosyl-L-methionine. Reaction products were analysed by LC-MS/MS. Peak numbers in panel A: 1, 5-O-methylnaringenin; 2, 7-O-methylnaringenin (sakuranetin); 3, 5,7-O-dimethylnaringenin. Peak numbers in panel B: 1, 5-O-methylapigenin; 2, 7-O-methylapigenin; 2, 7-O-methylapigenin (genkwanin); 3, 5,7-O-dimethylapigenin. Peak numbers in panel C: 1, 6,7-O-dimethylscutellarein (cirsimaritin). cps, counts per second. (D) Structure of cirsimaritin, the FOMT4 product in panel C.



Supplemental Figure 9. Fragmentation patterns of 2-hydroxynaringenin and its O-methyl derivatives. Extracted ion chromatograms (A) and MS/MS spectra of 2-hydroxynaringenin (F) and different O-methyl derivatives (B-E), which were consistent with the fragmentation patterns of a monoor di-O-methylated 2-hydroxynaringenin (G). The compounds were observed in *B. maydis*-infected W22 and/or B75 leaf tissue using untargeted LC-MS (full scan and auto MS/MS mode) as described in the methods section. 1, 2-hydroxynaringenin; 2.1 and 2.2, O-methyl-2-hydroxynaringenin; 3.1 and 3.2, O-dimethyl-2-hydroxynaringenin (xilonenin).



Supplemental Figure 10. GWAS mapping reveals association between the occurrence of xilonenin tautomers and *FOMT2/3*. Left panel: Manhattan plots of the association analysis (mixed linear model) of the indicated metabolites in the stems of maize plants from the Goodman diversity panel following 3 days of fungal elicitation. The black dashed line denotes the 5% Bonferroni corrected threshold for 25,457,708 SNP markers. The most statistically significant SNPs are located within the region of the maize FOMT2/3 on chromosome 9 (FOMT2, Chr9: 120,033,582-120,035,107 bp; FOMT3, Chr9: 120,093,188-120,094,664 bp; B73 RefGen_v3). Right panel: Regional Manhattan plots representing a 'zoomed-in' view of the signals between 119.8 Mb and 120.3 Mb on chromosome 9, and each dot representing a single SNP.

ZmCYP93G5 (B73) ZmCYP93G5 (W22) ZmCYP93G15 (W22) SbCYP93G3 OsCYP93G2	10 MEADAAAASG MEADAAAAAASG MEAAAAVI MEAAEAVTVGVG MEEGVVGGG	20 G G P GSIGAPSAPG	30 LALLPAV LALLPGV LALL GVLLLFLLAL AAVLVAL	40 LLLVALSTLVF LLLVALSTLVF LLLLVTRWRW STLIIIIRWRW LVTVVLAVMRS	50 S-TWSNRNSR S-TWSNRNSR SSSRNSK NNNRNSR AGSRSSKRGR	60 LPPSPMA LPPSPMA LPPSPMA LPPSPMA LPPSPMA
ZmCYP93G5 (B73) ZmCYP93G5 (W22) ZmCYP93G15 (W22) SbCYP93G3 OsCYP93G2	70 LPLIGHLHLIRE LPLIGHLHLIRE LPLIGHLHLIRE LPL <mark>W</mark> GHLHLIRE LP U IGHLHLIRE	80 PPHRAFDRII PPHRAFDRII PPHRSIDRII PPHRSIDRII PPHRSIDRII	90 	100 LGPSTHCVVAG LGPSTHCVVAG LGPSTHC I VAG LGPSTHCVVAG LGPSTHCVV <mark>I</mark> G	110 . TADAARDLLK TADAARDLLK TADAARDLLK TADAARDLLK SADVARDFLK	120 . HEASIPE HEASIPE HEASIPE EASIPE
ZmCYP93G5 (B73) ZmCYP93G5 (W22) ZmCYP93G15 (W22) SbCYP93G3 OsCYP93G2	130 RPLTAVTRHLAY RPLTAVTRHLAY RPLTVVARHLAY RPITVVAHHLAY RPITAVTROLAY	140 DDAGFAFAPY DDAGFAFAPY DDAGFAFAPY GDAGFAFAPY GKAGFAFAPY	150 GPHWRFMKRL GAHWRFMKRL GAHWRFMKRL GAHWRFMKRL GAHWRFMKRL GA	160 CMSELLGPRTV CMSELLGPRTV CMSELLGPRTV CMSELLGPRTV CMSELLGPRTV	170 DQLRPVREAE DQLRPVREAE DQLRPVREAE DQLRPVREAE DQLRPVREAE	180 IAAVLEA LAAVLEA LAAVLGA LAAVLGA LAAVLGA LA
ZmCYP93G5 (B73) ZmCYP93G5 (W22) ZmCYP93G15 (W22) SbCYP93G3 OsCYP93G2	190 ARQAAAAREF ARQAAAAREF AASASASGEGEF ARQASASGER AQSAAERGEG	200 PIDVSRHLISM PIDVSRHLISM PIDVSRHLISM IDVSRMLISM VDMSHELVRM	210 	220 SALPGHMTEAA SALPGHMTEAA SALPGHMTEAA SALPGHMTEAA SALPGEMAEAA	230 RDCAKHVAEL RDCAKHVAEL RDCAKHVAEL RDCAKHVAEL RDCAKQVAEL	240 VGAFNVE VGAFNVE VGAFNIE VGAFNIE VGAFNAE
ZmCYP93G5 (B73) ZmCYP93G5 (W22) ZmCYP93G15 (W22) SbCYP93G3 OsCYP93G2	250 DYVGLCRGWDLQ DYVGLCRGWDLQ DYVGLCRGWDLQ DYVGLCRGWDLQ DYVGLCRGWDLQ	260 GLTRRTREVF GLTRRTREVF GLTRRTROVF GITRRTREVF GIGRRTNEVF	270 RDKFDALLEIM RDKFDALLEIM RDKFDALLEM RDKFDALLEM RDKFDALLEIM IARFDALLEII	280 ITGKEESRRRR ITGKEESRRRR ITAKEEKRRRR ITAKEEKRRRS IEAKEEARRRS	290 . HATTDTG GGT HATTDTG GGT QQGQ G DH QGDDGAETPT LRLGRRESSS	300 KDLLDIL KDLLDIL HDLLDIL KDLLDIL KDLLD <mark>M</mark> L
ZmCYP93G5 (B73) ZmCYP93G5 (W22) ZmCYP93G15 (W22) SbCYP93G3 OsCYP93G2	310 MDAAEDANAEVR MDAAEDANAEVR MDAAADENAEVR MDAAADENAEVR MDAAADENAEVR	320 LTRENIKAFV LTRENIKAFV LTRENIKAFV LTRENIKAFV	330 YLDIFTAGSDT YLDIFTAGSDT YLDIFTAGSDT DIFTAGSDT YLDIFTAGSDT	340 TATSVEWMLAI TATSVEWMLAI TATSVEWMLAY TATSVEWMLAY TATT T VEWMLAF	350 LINHPACMDK LINHPACMDK LINHPACMDK LINHPACMDK LNHPACMDK	360 IRAELDA IRAELDA IRAELDA IRAELDA IRAELDA IRAELDA
ZmCYP93G5 (B73) ZmCYP93G5 (W22) ZmCYP93G15 (W22) SbCYP93G3 OsCYP93G2	370 VVGASRLVGEQD VVGASRLVGEQD VVGASRLVGEQD VVGISRLVGEND VVGRSRLVGEOD	380 VPRLPYLQAV VPRLPYLQAV VPRLPYLQAV VPRLPYLQAV VPRLPYLQAV	390 ··· · · · · · · /FKETLRLQPP/ /FKETLRLQPP/ /FKETLRLQPP/ /FKETLRLQPP/ /EKETLRLQPP/	400 AVFAQRETIEF AVFAQRETIEF AVFAQRETVDI AVFSQRETIEF AVFAORVTMEF	410 VHVRGYVIPP VHVRGYVIPS VRVRGYVIPP VHVRGYVIPR VOVRGYMIPT	420 KTSVFFN KTSVFFN KTSVIFN KTVFFN DTOVFFN


Supplemental Figure 11. Amino acid sequence alignment of Poaceae F2Hs belonging to the CYP93G subfamily. The amino acid sequences encoded by *GRMZM2G167336 (ZmCYP93G5; F2H1-B73), Zm00004b033614 (ZmCYP93G5; F2H1-W22), Zm00004b010826 (ZmCYP93G15; F2H2-W22), SbCYP93G3 (Sorghum bicolor;* XM_002461241), and *OsCYP93G2 (Oryza sativa;* AK099468) were aligned using the MUSCLE codon algorithm implemented in the software MEGA7 and visualized with the program BioEdit. Identical amino acids are shaded in black and similar amino acids in grey. F2H2-W22 characterized in this study shares 85%, 84%, 80%, and 69% amino acid identity with F2H1-B73, F2H1-W22, SbCYP93G3, and OsCYP93G2, respectively.



Eriodictyol

Supplemental Figure 12. Enzymatic activity of CYP93G family members similar to F2H1 (CYP93G5) with naringenin or eriodictyol. The CYP93Gs were heterologously expressed in yeast and the microsomal fraction was incubated with the substrate naringenin (A) or eriodictyol (B) in presence of the cosubstrate NADPH. Enzyme products were analysed by LC-MS/MS. Numbers in panel A: 1, 2-hydroxynaringenin; 2, apigenin. Numbers in panel B: 1, 2-hydroxyeriodictyol; 2, luteolin. cps, counts per second; EV, empty vector control.



(2Z)-3-hydroxy-1-(4-hydroxy-2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-one

pos.	δ _н	<i>mult. ,</i> J _{нн} [Hz]	δ _c
1	-	-	189.5
2	6.33	S	100.5
3	-	-	183.2
1'	-	-	109.6
2'	-	-	160.3
3'	6.13	S	92.8
4'	-	-	162.2
5'	6.13	S	92.8
6'	-	-	160.3
1''	-	-	126.9
2''	7.79	d, 7.8	130.0
3''	6.84	т	116.3
4''	-	-	162.9
5''	6.84	т	116.3
6''	7.79	d,7.8	130.0
-OCH₃	3.75	S	56.0



1-(4-hydroxy-2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propane-1,3-dione

pos.	δ _н	mult. , J _{HH} [Hz]	δ _c
1	-	-	198.4
2	4.34	5	56.0
3	-	-	194.8
1'	-	-	111.5
2'	-	-	160.3
3'	6.07	S	92.8
4'	-	-	162.9
5'	6.07	S	92.8
6'	-	-	160.3
1''	-	-	130.0
2''	7.84	d,7.8	132.2
3''	6.84	т	116.3
4''	-	-	163.9
5''	6.84	т	116.3
6''	7.84	d,7.8	132.2
-OCH₃	3.68	S	56.0

Supplemental Figure 13. NMR chemical shift data of xilonenin tautomers (in MeOH-d₃). The enol form (A) and keto form (B) occured in a ratio of 2:1 at room temperature. In both tautomers the aromatic rings did not change into a guinoid conformation as is reflected in the chemical shifts; the tautomerism occured only within the positions 2 and 3 of the propanoid moiety connecting the two aromatic rings. Another tautomeric structure could not be identified from the spectral data. The structures have been solved as follows: The methylene group in position 2 of tautomer B showed two long-range C-H correlations with keto functions at position 1 (d_C 198.4) and position 2 (d_C 194.8). The latter showed a long-range C-H correlation with positions 2"/ 6" (d, d_H 7.84/ d_C 132.2) of a 4-hydroxylated aromatic ring. The chemical shifts of positions 3"/ 5" (d_H 6.84/ d_C 116.3) were determined by COSY/HSQC correlations. Tautomer A showed a similar longrange C-H correlation 2"/ 6" (d, d_H 7.79/ d_C 130.0) \rightarrow 3 (d_C 183.2). Position 2 in tautomer A was an unsaturated methine (s, d_H 6.33/ d_C 100.5) showing long-range C-H correlations with a keto function at position 1 (d_c 189.5) and the unsaturated hydroxyl function at position 3. For both tautomers A and B the connection of the second aromatic ring with the tautomeric 1,3-diketo propane moiety could be proven by a four-bond long-range C-H correlation (3'/5' \rightarrow 1). This second aromatic ring was symmetrically Osubstituted as the signal for position 3'/5' appeared as singlet (B: d_H 6.07/ A: d_H 6.13). The position 2'/6' was shown to be methoxylated in both tautomers; a four-bond long-range C-H correlation (-OCH3 \rightarrow 3'/5') determined the structure of the ring.

В



Supplemental Figure 14. The two xilonenin tautomers exhibit different UV absorption. UV spectra of the first (A) and second (B) peak of xilonenin (compare to Supplemental Figure 9A) were recorded using the purified compound and a LC-MS instrument additonally equipped with an UV detector as described in the methods section. The shift of the UV absorption maximum from 283 nm to 352 nm is indicative for the enol tautomer having a longer conjugated system than the keto tautomer.



Supplemental Figure 15. *De novo* production of flavonoids in different maize lines after fungal infection. (A) Spatial distribution of non-O-methylated and O-methylated flavonoids in 'upper', 'middle' and 'lower' (top down) leaf segments of hybrid maize ('Sweet Nugget'). The middle leaf segment was damaged and either treated with water as control (DAM) or a mycelial suspension of *B. maydis* (SLB) for 2 or 4 days, and several different non-O-methylated and O-methylated flavonoids were quantified in the three leaf parts using LC-MS/MS. (B) and (C) The experiment was repeated with W22 and B73, respectively, with only the middle leaf segment harvested and analyzed. Shown are the total amounts of all analyzed non-O-methylated and O-methylated flavonoids (left and right panel, respectively; Means \pm SE; n = 8). Significant differences for the factors treatment or day are stated. Different letters indicate significant differences between treatments and days (Supplemental Table 9). Results for individual analytes can be taken from Supplemental Tables 7 and 8.



F.g.

Supplemental Figure 16. Visible signs of infection on hybrid maize after inoculation with different pathogenic fungi. Shown are representative pictures of the following treatments: CON, undamaged; DAM, damaged and treated with water; *Z.p., Zymoseptoria pseudotritici;* CHT, chitosan; *C.z., Cercospora zeae-maydis; A.a., Alternaria alternata; C.g., Colletotrichum graminicola; K.z., Kabatiella zeae; F.g., Fusarium graminearum.*



Supplemental Figure 17. Large-scale transcriptomic and metabolomic changes upon SLBinfection. The middle leaf segment of W22 or B75 maize plants was mechanically damaged and either treated with water as control (DAM) or a mycelial suspension of *B. maydis* (SLB) for 4 days. (A) Valcano plot based on RNA-seq data (Novogene) using n = 4 biological replicates of W22. Upregulated genes (red), downregulated genes (green) and genes that are not differentially expressed (blue) upon SLB-infection are shown. (B) and (C) Volcano plots based on metabolomic data derived from untargeted LC-MS measurements of n = 8 (W22) or n = 6 (B75) biological replicates and following analysis using the software MetaboScape 4.0.



Supplemental Figure 18. Expression of the BX biosynthetic pathway during fungal infection. Expression of genes involved in the BX biosynthetic pathway in damaged and either water treated control (DAM) or *B. maydis*-infected (SLB) W22 leaves after 4 days of treatment. Transcriptomes were sequenced and mapped to the *Zea mays* W22 NRGene V2 genome. RPKM values for each gene are shown as a heat map next to the gene abbreviation (Means; n = 4): DAM (left column) and SLB (right column). For statistics see Supplemental Table 2. The inserted table gives fold changes of corresponding BX metabolites analysed by LC-MS/MS. Relative values can be taken from Supplemental Tables 11). HBOA, 2-Hydroxy-3,4-dihydro-2*H*-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one; HBOA-Glc, 2-Hydroxy-3,4-dihydro-2*H*-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one glucoside;



Α

Supplemental Figure 19. gRT-PCR validation of flavonoid and BX pathway gene expression results in non-infected and fungus-infected W22 leaves. Expression of the indicated genes in damaged and either water treated (DAM) or B. maydis-infected (SLB) W22 leaves was measured by qRT-PCR relative to UBCP und MEP (Means \pm SE; n = 4). The relative expression is normalized in a way that values < 1 reflect cq values > 30 and hence rather low expression. Stars indicate statistically significant differences (P < 0.05) between treatments (Student's t-test or Mann-Whitney Rank Sum Test). All gene abbreviations and corresponding IDs are provided in Supplemental Table 2. (A) Relative expression of FOMTs investigated in this study and flavonoid core pathway genes. FOMT2, day 2 (P = 0.029, T = 10); FOMT2, day 4 (P = 0.029, T = 10); FOMT3, day 2 (P = 0.003, t = -4.937); FOMT3, day 4 (P = 0.002, t = -5.267); FOMT4, day 2 (P = < 0.001, t = -10.804); FOMT4, day 4 (P = < 0.001, t = -6.086); CHS2, day 2 (P = 0.029, T = 10); CHS2, day 4 (P = < 0.001, t = -8.247); CHI-like, day 2 (P = 0.029, T = 10); CHI-like, day 4 (P = 0.029, T = 10); F3H, day 2 (P = 0.029, T = 10); F3H, day 4 (P = <0.001, t = -7.997); FNS/1, day 2 (P = <0.001, t = -9.460); FNS/1, day 4 (P = < 0.001, t = -9.520); FNSI2, day 2 (P = 0.044, t = -2.536); FNSI2, day 4 (P = 0.029, T = 10); FLS1/2, day 2 (P = 0.407, t = -0,892); FLS1/2, day 4 (P = 0.617, t = -0,528); F3'H, day 2 (P = 0.008, t = -3.951); F3'H, day 4 (P = 0.018, t = -3.246).



Supplemental Figure 19 continued. (B) Relative expression of CYP93s investigated in this study. *F2H1*, day 2 (P = 0.029, T = 10); *F2H1*, day 4 (P = 0.029, T = 10); *F2H2*, day 2 (P = 0.051, t = 2.430); *F2H2* (P = 0.007, t = 4.000); *FNSII1*, day 2 (P = 0.735, t = -0.354); *FNSII1*, day 4 (P = 0.486, T = 15); *FNSII2*, day 2 (P = 0.486, T = 15); *FNSII2*, day 4 (P = 0.686, T = 20); *CYP93G6*, day 2 (P = 0.343, T = 14); *CYP93G6*, day 4 (P = 0.343, T = 14); *CYP93F6*, day 2 (P = 0.517, t = 0.688); *CYP93F6*, day 4 (P = 0.400, T = 9). **(C)** Relative expression of BX OMTs. *BX10*, day 2 (P = 0.029, T = 10); *BX10*, day 4 (P = <0.001, t = -11.636); *BX11*, day 2 (P = 0.014, t = -3.435); *BX11*, day 4 (P = <0.001, t = -6.572); *BX14*, day 2 (P = 0.010, t = -3.718); *BX14*, day 4 (P = <0.001, t = -12.104).

С



Supplemental Figure 20. Antifungal activity of naringenin and 5-O-methylnaringenin. Growth (optical density at 600 nm) of *F. graminearum*, *F. verticillioides*, *R. microsporus* and *B. maydis* in the absence and presence of naringenin (**A**) and purified 5-O-methylnaringenin (**B**) measured over a 48-h time course in a defined minimal broth medium using a microtiter plate assay. Data are shown as means \pm SE (*n* = 4). Different letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) between treatments at 48 h (one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey-Kramer's post-hoc test or Student's t-test). *F. graminearum*: naringenin (F = 12.948, P = 0.002); 5-O-methylnaringenin (F = 1.552, P = 0.264); *F. verticillioides*: naringenin (F = 20.144, P < 0.001); 5-O-methylnaringenin (F = 1.923, P = 0.202); *R. microsporus*: naringenin (F = 14.022, P = 0.002); 5-O-methylnaringenin (F = 1.901, P = 0.205); *B. maydis*: naringenin (F = 4.350, P = 0.048); 5-O-methylnaringenin (F = 1.531, P = 0.268).



Supplemental Figure 21. Antifungal activity of apigenin and 5-O-methylapigenin. Growth (optical density at 600 nm) of *F. graminearum*, *F. verticillioides*, *R. microsporus* and *B. maydis* in the absence and presence of apigenin (A) and purified 5-O-methylapigenin (B) measured over a 48-h time course in a defined minimal broth medium using a microtiter plate assay. Data are shown as means \pm SE (*n* = 4). Different letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) between treatments at 48 h (one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey-Kramer's post-hoc test). *F. graminearum*: apigenin (F = 0.775, P = 0.489); 5-O-methylapigenin (F = 1.634, P = 0.248); *F. verticillioides*: apigenin (F = 2.707, P = 0.120); 5-O-methylapigenin (F = 6.781, P = 0.016); *R. microsporus*: apigenin (F = 5.397, P = 0.029); 5-O-methylapigenin (F = 7.061, P = 0.014); *B. maydis*: apigenin (F = 0.660, P = 0.540); 5-O-methylapigenin (F = 2.914, P = 0.106).

FOMT3-B73 codon optimized

FOMT5-B73 codon optimized

Supplemental Figure 22. Codon-optimized gene sequences of FOMT3-B73 and FOMT5-B73 synthesized for expression in *E. coli*. Synthetic genes were inserted in the pUC57 vector.

F2H1-W22 codon optimized

AGAGATTTGTTGAAACATGAAGCCTCTATTCCAGAAAGACCATTGACTGCTGTTACTAGACATTTGGCTTATGATGATGCTGGTTTTGCTTTTGC TCCATATGGTGCTCATTGGAGGTTTATGAAGAGATTGTGCATGTCCGAATTATTGGGTCCTAGAACTGTTGATCAATTGAGGCCAGTTAGAGAAG CTGAATTGGCTGCTGTTTTGGAAGCTGCTAGACAAGCTGCTGCCGCTAGAGAACCTATTGATGTTTCAAGACATCTGATCTCCATGTCCAACAAC GCTATTATGAGAATGGTTGCTTCTGCTTTGCCAGGTCACATGACTGAAGCTGCAAGAGATTGCGCTAAACATGTTGCTGAATTAGTTGGTGCCTT TAACGTTGAAGATTACGTTGGTTTGTGTAGAGGTTTGGGACTTGCAAGGTTTGACTAGAAGAACTAGAGAAGTCAGAGATAAGTTCGATGCCTTGT TGGAAATTATGATCACCGGTAAAGAAGAGTCTAGAAGAAGAAGGCACGCTACTACTGATACAGGTGGTGGTACAAAAGACTTGTTGGATATATTG ATGGATGCTGCCGAAGATGCTAATGCTGAAGTTAGATTGACACGTGAAAACATCAAGGCTTTCGTTTTGGATATTTTCACTGCTGGTTCTGATAC AACTGCTACTTCTGTTGAATGGATGTTGGCCTTGTTGATTAACCATCCAGCTTGTATGGATAAGTTGAGAGCAGAATTAGATGCAGTTGTTGGTG CATCTAGATTGGTTGGTGAACAAGATGTTCCAAGATTGCCATACTTGCAAGCCGTTTTCAAAGAAACTTTGAGATTACAACCACCAGCTGTTTTC GCTCAAAGAGAAACAATTGAACCAGTTCACGTTAGAGGTTACGTTATTCCATCTAAGACCTCCGTGTTCTTCAACATTTTCTCCATTGGTAGAGA ${\tt TCCAGGTTGGTGGGAAGATCCATTGCAATTCAGACCAGAAAGATTCATGCCAGGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGATCCAAAAGGTCAACATATGC}$ AATTGATGCCATTTGGTTCTGGTAGAAGGGCTTGTCCAGGTATGGGTTTAGCTATGCAAGCTGTTCCAGCTTTTTTGGCTGCTTTAGTTCAATGT CTTGTTGTTGATTCCAACACCAAGATTGAATCCATTGCCAGCTAGAGCTGCTACTTAA

F2H2-W22 codon optimized

ATGGAAGCTGCTGCTGCAGTTACTCCATTGGCTTTGTTGTTGTTGCTTTGTTGGTTACTAGATGGCGTTGGTCCTCTTCTAGAAATTCTAAATT GCCACCATCACCAATGGCCTTGCCATTGATTGGTCACTTGCATTTGATTAGAAGATTGCCACACAGATCCTTGGATAGAATTTTGGCTAGATATG GTCCATTGGTCTACTTGAGATTGGGTCCATCTACTCATTGCATAGTTGCTGGTACTGCTGGTGCTGCTAGAGATTTGTTGAAACATGAAGCCTCT ATTCCACAAAGACCATTGACAGTTGTTGCTAGACATTTGGCTTATGATGATGCTGGTTTTGCTTTTGCTCCATATGGTGCTCATTGGAGATTCAT GAAGAGATTGTGCATGTCCGAATTATTGGGTCCTAGAACTGTTGATCAATTGAGGCCAGTTAGAGAAGCTGAATTGGCTGCTGTTTTGGGTGCTG CTGCTTCTGCTTCAGCATCTGGTGAAGGTGAACCTATTGATGTTTCCAGACATCTGATCTCCATGTCCAACAATGCTATTATGAGAATGGTTGCT TCAGCTTTGCCAGGTCACATGACTGAAGCAGCTAGAGACTGTGCTAAACATGTTGCTGAATTAGTTGGTGCCTTCAACATCGAAGATTACGTTGG TTTGTGTAGAGGTTGGGACTTGCAAGGTTTGACTAGAAGAACTAGACAAGTCAGAGATAAGTTCGATGCCTTGTTGGAAATGATGATTACCGCCA GTTAGATTGACTAGGGAAAACATCAAGGCTTTCGTTTTGGATATCTTCACTGCTGGTTCTGATACAACTGCTACTTCTGTTGAATGGATGTTGGC CACATTTGCCATACTTGCAAGCCGTTTTCAAAGAAACTTTGAGATTGCAACCACCAGCTGTTTTTGCTCAAAGAGAAACAGTTGATACCGTTAGA ${\tt GTTAGAGGTTACGTTATTCCACCAAAAAACCTCCGTCATTTTCAACGTTTTCTCCATTGGTAGAGATCCAGGTTGGTGGGAAGATCCATTGCAATT$ CAGACCAGAAAGATTCATGCCAGGTGGTGCTGGTGCAGGTATTGATCCAAAAGGTCAACATATGCAATTGATCCCATTTGGTTCTGGTAGAAGGG CTTGTCCAGGTATGGGTTTAGCTATGCAAGCTGTTCCAGCTTTTTTGGCTGCTTTGGTTCAATGTTTTCATTGGGCTGTTCCAATTCAACAAGGC CAATCTAAAGCTCCACCATTGGATATGGAAGAAGCTCCAGGTTTGGTTACTGCTAGAAAACATCCTTTGCTGTTGATTCCAACTCCAAGATTGAA TCCATTGCCATTGCAAGCTACAGCTACTTGA

FNSII2-W22 codon optimized

TGTTGTTACTGTTGTTGCCTTGAGACACTTGATTTCATCTTGGAGACAACAAGCTCCATTGCCACCATCTCCAACATCTTTGCCAGTTATTGGTC ACTTGCATTTGTTAAGACCACCAGTTCATAGAACCTTCCAAGAATTGGCTTCTAGAATTGGTCCATTGATGCATATCAGATTGGGTTCTACTCAT TGCGTTGTTGCATCTTCTCCAGAAGTTGCTTCTGAATTGATTAGAGGTCATGAGGGTTCCATTTCTGAAAGACCATTGACTGCTGCTAGACA ATTTGCTTATGATTCTGCTGGTTTTGCTTTCGCTCCATACAATACTCATTGGAGATTCATGAAGAGGTTGTGCATGTCTGAATTATTGGGTCCAA GAACCGTTGAACAACTAAGACCAATTAGACGTGCTGGTACTGTTTCTTTGTTGGGTGATTTGTTGGCTTCTTCTGCTAGAGGTGAAACTGTTGAT TTGACCAGACATTTGATCAGGTTGTCCAACACCCCCATTATTAGAATGGTTGCTTCTACTGTTCCAGGTTCTGTTACTGATGAAGCTCAAAAGGT TGTTAAGGATGTTGCTGAATTGGTTGGTGCCTTTAACGTTGATGATGATTACATTGCAGTTGTTAGAGGTTGGGACTTGCAAGGTTTAAGACGTAGAG CTGCTGATGTCCATAGAAGATTTGATGCTTTGTTGGAGGACATCTTGAGGCACAAAGAAGAAGCTAGAGCAGCTAGAAGATTGGATCAAGATGAT GGTCAAGGTATCTCTTCCAAGCAAGATAAGAAACAAGCTACCCACTCTAAGGACTTGTTGGATATTTTGATGGATAAGGCTGAAGATCAAGCTGC CGAAGTTAAGTTGACTAGAGAAAACATTAAGGCCTTCATCATCGATGTTGTTACAGCTGGTTCTGATACTTCTGCTGCTATGGTTGAATGGATGT TGGCAGAATTGATGAACCATCAAGAAACCTTGAGAAAGGTCGTTGAAGAAATTGATGCCGTTGTTGGTGGTGATAGAATTGCTAGTGAAGCTGAT TTGCCAAGATTGCCATATTTGATGGCTGCTTACAAAGAGACTTTGAGATTGCATCCAGCTGCTCCAATTGCTCATAGACAATCTTCAGAAGAAAT GGTCGTCAGAGGTTTTACAGTTCCACCACAAACTGCTGTTTTCATTAACGTTTGGGCTATTGGTAGAGATCCAGCTTATTGGGAAGAACCATTGG CTTTTAGACCAGAAAGATTCATGCCAGGTGGTGCTGCTGAATCTTTGGAACCTAGAGGTCAACATTTTCAGTACATGCCATTTGGTTCTGGTAGA AGAGGATGTCCAGGTATGGGTTTAGCTTTACAATCTGTTCCAGCTGTTTTAGCCGCTTTGGTTCAATGTTTCCATTGGGCTACTGTTGATGGTGA TGGTGGTGTAAACAAGATCGATATGTCAGAATCTGATGGTTTGGGTTTGCGCTAGAAAAAAGCCTTTGCTATTAAGACCAACTCCAAGGTTGACTC CATTTCCAGCAGTTGTTTAA

Zm00004b039147 codon optimized

ATGGAAGAACAACAATTGAGAGCCAGACCAAATATGATGGTCTTATCTTCTTTGGCCAAGAACAATCCAGAAGCTGTTTTGGCTTTGATTGCTTT CGTTACTGTTGTTGCCTTGAGACACTTGATTTCATCTTGGAGACAACATGGTAGATTGCCACCAGGTCCAACATCTTTGCCAGTTATTGGTCACT TGCATTTGTTAAGACCACCAGTTCATAGAACCTTGCAAGAATTGGCTTCTAGAATTGGTCCATTGATGCATATCAGATTGGGTTCTACCAATTGC GTTGTTGCATCTTCTCCAGAAGTTGCCTCTGAATTGATTAGAGGTCATGAAGGTTCAATTTCCGCTAGACCTTTTACTGCTGTTGCTAGAAAGTT CTCTTATGATTCTGCTGGTTTCGTGTTCGAACCATACAATACTCATTGGAGATTCATGAAGAGGTTGTGCATGTCTGAATTATTGGGTCCAAGAA CCGTTGAACAACTAAGACCAGTTAGAAGGGCTGTTACTGTTTCTTTGGTTTCTGATTTGTTGGCTTCTGCTAGAGGTGAAACTGTTGATATTACCAGACATTTGATCAGGTTGACCAACACCTCCATTATTAGAATGGTTGCTTCTACCGTTTCTGGTTCTGTTACTGATGAAGCTCATGAATTGGC TAAGGCCGTTATTGAAGTTGTTGGTGCTTTTAACGTTGACGATTACATTGCTGTTGTTAGAGGTTGGGATTTTCAAGGTTTGGGTAGAAAAGCTG CTGATGTCCATAGAAGATTTGATGCCTTGTTGGAGGATATCTTGAGGCACAAAGAAGAAGCTAGAGCTAGAAGATTGGACGATGGTCATGGT AAACAAGCTACTCATTCTAAGGACTTGTTGGACATCTTGATGGATAAGGCTGAAGATCCAGCTGCTGAAGTTAAGTTGACTAGAGAAAACATTAA GGCCTTCGTTATCGATGTTGTTACCTCTGGTTCAGATACTTCTGCTGCTATGGCTGAATGGATGTTGGCAGAATTGATGAATCATCCAGAAACCT TGAGAAAGGTCGTTGAAGAAATTGATGCTGTTGTAGGTGGTGGTAGAATTGCTTCTGAAGCTGATTTGCCACAATTGCCATATTTGATGGCCGTT TACAAAGAGACTTTGAGATTGCATCCAGCTGGTCCAATTGCTCATAGACAATCTACTGAAGAAATGGTTGTTCATGGTTTCACTGTTCCACCACA ATCCACTGTTTTGATTCATGTTTGGGCTATTGGTAGAGATCCAGCATATTGGGAAGAACCTTTGTTGTTTAGACCAGAAAGATTCATGCCAGGTG GTGCTGCTGAATCTTTGGAGCCAAGAGGTAAACATTTTCAGTACATTCCATTCGGTTCTGGTAGAAGAGGATGTCCAGGTATGGGTTTAGCTATG CAATCTGTTCCAGCAGTTGTTGCTGCATTGGTTCAATGTTTTCATTGGTCTACTGTTGATGGTGGTATGGATAAGATCGACATGTCAGAATCTGA TGGTTTGGTTTGCGCTAGAAAAAAGCCTTTGCTATTAAGACCAACCTCCAGATTGACTCCATTTCCACCTGTTGTTTGA

Zm00004b033036 codon optimized

AAGATCATCTGGTGGTTTGAGATTGCCACCATCTCCATTTGCTTTGCCAGTTTTGGGTCACTTGCATTTGTTGGCTCCATTGCCACATCAAGCCT TGCATAGATTGGCTGCTAGACATGGTCCTTTGTTGTATTTGAGATTAGGTTCCATGCCAGCTATTGCTGCTTGTTCTCCAGATGCTGCTAGAGAA GTTTTGAAAACTCATGAAGCTGCTTTCTTGGATAGACCAAAACCTACTGCTGTTCATAGACTTAAGGTGGTGGTCAAGACTTCTCTTTTTTCTCC ATATGGTCCTTATTGGAGGTTTATGAAGAGGGCTTGTGTTCATGAATTATTGGCTGGTAGAACCTTGGAAAGATTGAGAACATGTTAGAAGGGAAG AAGTCTCTAGATTGGTTGGTTCTTTGTCTAGATCTGCTGGTGATGGTGCTGCTGTTGATGCAGTTTTGATGGGTGTTACCGGTGATATT ATCTCCAGAATGGTTATGTCTAGAAGATGGACTGGTGATGATTCTACTACCGAAGAAATGAGATCTTTGGTTGCAGAAACTGCTGAATTGACTGG TACTTTCAACTTGCAAGATTACATCGGTATGTTCAAGCACTGGGATGTTCAAGGTTTGGGTAAAAGAATTGATGCCGTTCACAGAAAGTTCGATG TGCTGGTACTGATACCACTACCATTACTTTGGAATGGGCTTTGTCTGAGTTGATTAACAATCCAGCTGTTTTGAGAAGGGCTCAAGCTGAATTGG ATGCAGCTGTTGGTGCTTCTAGATTAGCTGATGAATCTGATATTCCACGTTTGCCATACTTGCCAAGCTATTGCCAAAGAAACTTTAAGATTGCAT CCAACCGGTCCATTGGTTGTTAGAAGATCTATGGCTCCATGTAACGTTTCTGGTTATGATGTTCCAGCTGGTGCTACTGTTTTTGTTAATGTTTG GGCTATTGGTAGAGATCCAGCTTGTTGGGCTCCAGATCCATTGGCTTTTAGACCTGAAAGATTCTTGGAAGAGGAAGGTGGCGGAGAATCAGCTG GCTGCATTAGCTGCTATGTTGCAATGTTTTGAATGGACTCCAGTTGGTGGTGCTCCAGTTGATATGGAAGAAGGTCCAGGTTTGACTTTGCCAAG AAAAAGACCATTGGTCTGTACTGTTAAGGCTAGATTGCACCCATTGCCAGTTCCAGCCGCTGCTGCTGATAATGGTGTTGAAGAAACCGCTGGTG TTTGA

Supplemental Figure 23. Codon-optimized gene sequences of CYP93G candidates synthesized for expression in *S. cerevisiae*. Synthetic genes were inserted in the pMA-T vector.

Supplemental Table 1. P-values of t-test analysis to determine statistical significant differences of flavonoid content between treatments obtained by the LC-MS measurements shown in Supplemental Figure 1B. T-test was performed as implemented in the MetaboScape 4.0 software (Bruker Daltonics).

	RT		P-value (Rank)	P-value (Rank)
Compound	(min)	<i>m</i> /z quan. ion	B75	W22
Apigenin*	8.25	271.06012 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.000778
Naringenin chalcone*	8.12	273.07572 [M+H]+	0.010406	0.000778
Naringenin*	8.31	273.07558 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.000778
5-O-Methylapigenin**	6.24	285.07584 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.000778
Genkwanin*	10.61	285.07580 [M+H]+	0.003948	na
Luteolin*	7.35	287.05502 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.000778
Flavonoid aglucone	4.83	287.09140 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.000778
5-O-Methylnaringenin*	6.35	287.09156 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.000778
Flavonoid aglucone	7.24	287.09141 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.000778
Flavonoid aglucone	9.25	287.09153 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.000778
Dihydrokaempferol*	6.53	287.05618 [M-H]-	0.003948	0.000778
2-OH-Naringenin**	7.00	289.07083 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.000778
5,7-O-Dimethylapigenin**	7.88	299.09161 [M+H]+	0.003948	na
5-O-Methylluteolin***	5.65	301.07103 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.000778
5-O-Methylscutellarein**	5.92	301.07112 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.000778
7-O-Methylscutellarein**	7.51	301.07120 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.001131
Flavonoid aglucone	8.42	301.07108 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.000778
Flavonoid aglucone	4.18	303.08649 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.002322
5-O-Methyldihydrokaempferol***	5.67	303.08633 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.000778
O-Methyl-2-OH-naringenin***	8.03	303.08647 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.000778
O-Methyl-2-OH-naringenin***	10.24	303.08649 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.000778
Taxifolin*	5.69	303.05109 [M-H]-	0.003948	0.000778
Flavonoid aglucone	6.15	315.08639 [M+H]+	0.003948	na
Flavonoid aglucone	6.39	315.08656 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.000778
5,7-O-Dimethylscutellarein**	6.64	315.08660 [M+H]+	0.003948	na
O-Dimethylluteolin***	10.84	315.08618 [M+H]+	0.003948	na
Xilonenin tautomer 1**	6.95	317.10205 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.000778
Xilonenin tautomer 2**	8.46	317.10197 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.000778
5-O-Methyltaxifolin***	4.96	319.08117 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.001131
Flavonoid aglucone	6.12	331.08100 [M+H]+	0.003948	na
Flavonoid aglucone	6.20	331.08124 [M+H]+	na	0.001131
Flavonoid aglucone	7.58	329.06674 [M-H]-	na	0.000778
5,7-O-Dimethylquercetin***	7.70	331.08139 [M+H]+	0.003948	na
Flavonoid aglucone	7.46	333.09688 [M+H]+	0.003948	na
Flavonoid aglucone	7.59	331.08207 [M-H]	na	0.000778
Flavonoid aglucone	4.39	337.05555 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.000778
Flavonoid aglucone	6.80	345.09700 [M+H]+	0.003948	0.000778
Flavonoid aglucone	10.67	345.09713 [M+H]+	0.003948	na

Supplemental Table 2: see Supplemental Tables (Excel file)

Name	Plant species	MaizeGDB/GenBank accession	Reference
ZmBX10	Zea mays	Zm00001d029359	
ZmBX11	Zea mays	Zm00001d029356	
ZmBX12	Zea mays	Zm00025ab019610	Meihls et al., 2013
ZmBX14	Zea mays	Zm00001d004921	
ZmBX7	Zea mays	Zm00001d049179	Jonczyk et al., 2008
ZmOMT1	Zea mays	Zm00001d049541;	Zhou et al., 2008; Collazo et al., 1992
(ZmFOMT1, ZmCOMT1)		EF586877 / M73235	
ZmFOMT2	Zea mays	Zm00001d047192	this work
ZmFOMT3	Zea mays	Zm00001d047194	this work
ZmFOMT4	Zea mays	Zm00001d048087	this work
ZmFOMT5	Zea mays	Zm00001d051934	this work
ZmAAMT1	Zea mays	HM242244	Köllner et al., 2010
ZmAAMT2	Zea mays	HM242246	Köllner et al., 2010
ZmAAMT3	Zea mays	HM242247	Köllner et al., 2010
ZmOMT8	Zea mays	HM242248	Köllner et al., 2010
ZmZRP4	Zea mays	Zm00001d049020	1
ZmCCoAOMT1	Zea mays	Zm00001d036293	Li et al., 2013
ZmCCoAOMT2	Zea mays	Zm00001d045206	Wang et al., 2016
HvF1-OMT	Hordeum vulgare	X77467	Christensen et al., 1998
HvOMT1	Hordeum vulgare	EF586876	Zhou et al., 2008
TaOMT2	Triticum aestivum	DQ223971	Zhou et al., 2006 (2)
OsNOMT	Oryza sativa	AB692949	Shimizu et al., 2012
OsROMT9	Oryza sativa	DQ288259	Kim et al., 2006
Ms7IOMT	Medicago sativa	AF000976	He et al., 1998
MsI2'OMT	Medicago sativa	L10211	Maxwell et al., 1993
MtIOMT2	Medicago truncatula	DQ419910	Deavours et al., 2006
MtIOMT7	Medicago truncatula	DQ419914	Deavours et al., 2006
GeD7OMT	Glycyrrhiza echinata	AB091685	Akashi et al., 2003
GeHI4'OMT	Glycyrrhiza echinata	AB091684	Akashi et al., 2003
LjHI4'OMT	Lotus japonicus	AB091686	Akashi et al., 2003

Supplemental Table 3. MaizeGDB/GenBank accessions and references corresponding to Figure 2D and Supplemental Figure 6.

Supplemental Table 3 continued.

Name	Plant species	MaizeGDB/GenBank accession	Reference
MxpOMT1A	Mentha x piperita	AY337457	Willits et al., 2004
MxpOMT2	Mentha x piperita	AY337459	Willits et al., 2004
МхрОМТ3	Mentha x piperita	AY337460	Willits et al., 2004
MxpOMT4	Mentha x piperita	AY337461	Willits et al., 2004
ObOMT1	Ocimum basilicum	JQ653275	Berim et al., 2012
ObOMT5	Ocimum basilicum	JQ653279	Berim et al., 2012
ObOMT6	Ocimum basilicum	JQ653280	Berim et al., 2012
Pa4'OMT	Plagiochasma appendiculatum	KY977687	Liu et al., 2017
CroOMT6	Catharanthus roseus	AY343490	Schröder et al., 2004
CroOMT2	Catharanthus roseus	AY127568	Cacace et al., 2003
CaFOMT1	Chrysosplenium americanum	U16794	Gauthier et al., 1996
CaOMT2	Chrysosplenium americanum	U16793	Gauthier et al., 1998
AtOMT1	Arabidopsis thaliana	U70424	Zhang et al., 1997
CdFOMT5	Citrus depressa	LC126059	Itoh et al., 2016
CreOMT2	Citrus reticulata	/	Liu et al., 2020
VpOMT3	Vanilla planifolia	DQ400400	Li et al., 2006
ShMOMT1	Solanum habrochaites	JF499656	Schmidt et al., 2011
ShMOMT2	Solanum habrochaites	JF499657	Schmidt et al., 2011
SIMOMT4	Solanum lycopersicum	KF740343	Kim et al., 2014

- Akashi, T., Sawada, Y., Shimada, N., Sakurai, N., Aoki, T., and Ayabe, S. (2003). cDNA cloning and biochemical characterization of *S*-adenosyl-L-methionine: 2,7,4 '-trihydroxyisoflavanone 4 '-*O*-methyltransferase, a critical enzyme of the legume isoflavonoid phytoalexin pathway. Plant Cell Physiol **44**, 103-112.
- Berim, A., Hyatt, D.C., and Gang, D.R. (2012). A Set of Regioselective O-Methyltransferases Gives Rise to the Complex Pattern of Methoxylated Flavones in Sweet Basil. Plant Physiol 160, 1052-1069.
- Cacace, S., Schroder, G., Wehinger, E., Strack, D., Schmidt, J., and Schroder, J. (2003). A flavonol O-methyltransferase from *Catharanthus roseus* performing two sequential methylations. Phytochemistry **62**, 127-137.
- Christensen, A.B., Gregersen, P.L., Olsen, C.E., and Collinge, D.B. (1998). A flavonoid 7-Omethyltransferase is expressed in barley leaves in response to pathogen attack. Plant Mol Biol 36, 219-227.
- Collazo, P., Montoliu, L., Puigdomenech, P., and Rigau, J. (1992). Structure and Expression of the Lignin O-Methyltransferase Gene from *Zea Mays* L. Plant Mol Biol **20**, 857-867.
- Deavours, B.E., Liu, C.J., Naoumkina, M.A., Tang, Y.H., Farag, M.A., Sumner, L.W., Noel, J.P., and Dixon, R.A. (2006). Functional analysis of members of the isoflavone and isoflavanone *O*-methyltransferase enzyme families from the model legume *Medicago truncatula*. Plant Mol Biol **62**, 715-733.
- Gauthier, A., Gulick, P.J., and Ibrahim, R.K. (1996). CDNA cloning and characterization of a 3'/5'-Omethyltransferase for partially methylated flavonols from *Chrysosplenium americanum*. Plant Mol Biol **32**, 1163-1169.
- **Gauthier, A., Gulick, P.J., and Ibrahim, R.K.** (1998). Characterization of two cDNA clones which encode *O*-methyltransferases for the methylation of both flavonoid and phenylpropanoid compounds. Arch Biochem Biophys **351**, 243-249.
- He, X.Z., Reddy, J.T., and Dixon, R.A. (1998). Stress responses in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L). XXII. cDNA cloning and characterization of an elicitor-inducible isoflavone 7-O-methyltransferase. Plant Mol Biol 36, 43-54.
- Itoh, N., Iwata, C., and Toda, H. (2016). Molecular cloning and characterization of a flavonoid-Omethyltransferase with broad substrate specificity and regioselectivity from *Citrus depressa*. Bmc Plant Biol 16.
- Jonczyk, R., Schmidt, H., Osterrieder, A., Fiesselmann, A., Schullehner, K., Haslbeck, M., Sicker, D., Hofmann, D., Yalpani, N., Simmons, C., Frey, M., and Gierl, A. (2008). Elucidation of the final reactions of DIMBOA-glucoside biosynthesis in maize: Characterization of Bx6 and Bx7. Plant Physiol **146**, 1053-1063.
- Kim, B.G., Lee, Y., Hur, H.G., Lim, Y., and Ahn, J.H. (2006). Flavonoid 3 '-O-methyltransferase from rice: cDNA cloning, characterization and functional expression. Phytochemistry **67**, 387-394.
- Kim, J., Matsuba, Y., Ning, J., Schilmiller, A.L., Hammar, D., Jones, A.D., Pichersky, E., and Last, R.L. (2014). Analysis of natural and induced variation in tomato glandular trichome flavonoids identifies a gene not present in the reference genome. Plant Cell 26, 3272-3285.
- Kollner, T.G., Lenk, C., Zhao, N., Seidl-Adams, I., Gershenzon, J., Chen, F., and Degenhardt, J. (2010). Herbivore-Induced SABATH Methyltransferases of Maize That Methylate Anthranilic Acid Using S-Adenosyl-L-Methionine. Plant Physiol **153**, 1795-1807.
- Li, H.M., Rotter, D., Hartman, T.G., Pak, F.E., Havkin-Frenkel, D., and Belanger, F.C. (2006). Evolution of novel O-methyltransferases from the *Vanilla planifolia* caffeic acid Omethyltransferase. Plant Mol Biol **61**, 537-552.
- Li, X.Y., Chen, W.J., Zhao, Y., Xiang, Y., Jiang, H.Y., Zhu, S.W., and Cheng, B.J. (2013). Downregulation of caffeoyl-CoA *O*-methyltransferase (CCoAOMT) by RNA interference leads to reduced lignin production in maize straw. Genet Mol Biol **36**, 540-U230.
- Liu, H., Xu, R.X., Gao, S., and Cheng, A.X. (2017). The Functional Characterization of a Site-Specific Apigenin 4'-O-methyltransferase Synthesized by the Liverwort Species *Plagiochasma appendiculatum*. Molecules **22**.
- Liu, X., Wang, Y., Chen, Y., Xu, S., Gong, Q., Zhao, C., Cao, J., and Sun, C. (2020). Characterization of a Flavonoid 3'/5'/7-O-Methyltransferase from *Citrus reticulata* and Evaluation of the In Vitro Cytotoxicity of Its Methylated Products. Molecules **25**.

- Maxwell, C.A., Harrison, M.J., and Dixon, R.A. (1993). Molecular Characterization and Expression of Alfalfa Isoliquiritigenin 2'-O-Methyltransferase, an Enzyme Specifically Involved in the Biosynthesis of an Inducer of *Rhizobium Meliloti* Nodulation Genes. Plant J **4**, 971-981.
- Meihls, L.N., Handrick, V., Glauser, G., Barbier, H., Kaur, H., Haribal, M.M., Lipka, A.E., Gershenzon, J., Buckler, E.S., Erb, M., Kollner, T.G., and Jander, G. (2013). Natural Variation in Maize Aphid Resistance Is Associated with 2,4-Dihydroxy-7-Methoxy-1,4-Benzoxazin-3-One Glucoside Methyltransferase Activity. Plant Cell **25**, 2341-2355.
- Schmidt, A., Li, C., Shi, F., Jones, A.D., and Pichersky, E. (2011). Polymethylated Myricetin in Trichomes of the Wild Tomato Species Solanum habrochaites and Characterization of Trichome-Specific 3 '/5 '- and 7/4 '-Myricetin O-Methyltransferases. Plant Physiol 155, 1999-2009.
- Schroder, G., Wehinger, E., Lukacin, R., Wellmann, F., Seefelder, W., Schwab, W., and Schroder, J. (2004). Flavonoid methylation: a novel 4 '-O-methyltransferase from *Catharanthus roseus*, and evidence that partially methylated flavanones are substrates of four different flavonoid dioxygenases. Phytochemistry 65, 1085-1094.
- Shimizu, T., Lin, F.Q., Hasegawa, M., Okada, K., Nojiri, H., and Yamane, H. (2012). Purification and Identification of Naringenin 7-O-Methyltransferase, a Key Enzyme in Biosynthesis of Flavonoid Phytoalexin Sakuranetin in Rice. J Biol Chem **287**, 19315-19325.
- Wang, G.F., and Balint-Kurti, P.J. (2016). Maize Homologs of CCoAOMT and HCT, Two Key Enzymes in Lignin Biosynthesis, Form Complexes with the NLR Rp1 Protein to Modulate the Defense Response. Plant Physiol **171**, 2166-2177.
- Willits, M.G., Giovanni, M., Prata, R.T.N., Kramer, C.M., De Luca, V., Steffens, J.C., and Graser, G. (2004). Bio-fermentation of modified flavonoids: an example of in vivo diversification of secondary metabolites. Phytochemistry 65, 31-41.
- Zhang, H., Wang, J., and Goodman, H.M. (1997). An Arabidopsis gene encoding a putative 14-3-3interacting protein, caffeic acid/5-hydroxyferulic acid O-methyltransferase. Bba-Gene Struct Expr 1353, 199-202.
- Zhou, J.M., Fukushi, Y., Wollenweber, E., and Ibrahim, R.K. (2008). Characterization of two *O*methyltransferase-like genes in barley and maize. Pharm Biol **46**, 26-34.
- Zhou, J.M., Gold, N.D., Martin, V.J.J., Wollenweber, E., and Ibrahim, R.K. (2006). Sequential *O*methylation of tricetin by a single gene product in wheat. Bba-Gen Subjects **1760**, 1115-1124.

pos.	δ _H	<i>mult. ,</i> J _{нн} [Hz]	δ _c
1	-	-	-
2	5.35	dd, 2.7/12.9	79.5
3a	2.95	dd, 12.9/16.3	46.1
3b	2.57	dd, 2.7/16.3	46.1
4	-	-	188.0
5	-	-	163.5
6	6.13	d , 2.0	93.8
7	-	-	165.7
8	6.05	d , 2.0	96.4
8a	-	-	164.4
4a	-	-	105.9
1'	-	-	131.0
2'	7.36	d , 8.5	128.6
3'	6.88	d , 8.5	115.9
4'	-	-	158.5
5'	6.88	d , 8.5	115.9
6'	7.36	d , 8.5	128.6
5-OCH ₃	3.79	S	55.8



5-O-methyl naringenin in acetone- d_6

pos.	δ _H	<i>mult.</i> , J _{нн} [Hz]	δ _c
1	-	-	-
2	5.48	dd , 3.0/12.9	79.8
3a	3.21	dd , 12.9/17.2	43.3
3b	2.75	dd, 3.0/17.2	43.3
4	-	-	197.3
5	-	-	164.1
6	6.04	d , 2.2	94.3
7	-	-	168.5
8	6.03	d , 2.2	95.1
8a	-	-	n.d.
4a	-	-	103.8
1'	-	-	130.4
2'	7.39	d , 8.5	128.8
3'	6.89	d , 8.5	115.9
4'	-	-	158.5
5'	6.89	d , 8.5	115.9
6'	7.39	d , 8.5	128.8
7-OCH ₃	3.84	5	56.0
5-OH	12.13	S	-
4'-OH	8.55	S	-



7-O-methyl naringenin in acetone- d_6

pos.	δ _н	<i>mult. ,</i> J _{нн} [Hz]	δ _c
1	-	-	-
2	5.37	dd , 2.8/12.9	79.6
3a	3.21	dd , 12.9/16.3	45.8
3b	2.75	dd , 2.8/16.3	45.8
4	-	-	187.8
5	-	-	162.9
6	6.17	d , 2.3	94.1
7	-	-	166.2
8	6.14	d , 2.3	94.1
8a	-	-	n.d.
4a	-	-	106.2
1'	-	-	130.9
2'	7.37	d , 8.5	128.8
3'	6.88	d , 8.5	115.9
4'	-	-	158.3
5'	6.88	d , 8.5	115.9
6'	7.37	d , 8.5	128.8
5-OCH ₃	3.81	S	55.8
7-OCH₃	3.84	5	55.8
4'-OH	8.50	S	_



5,7-O-dimethyl naringenin in acetone- d_6

pos.	δ _H	<i>mult. ,</i> J _{нн} [Hz]	δ _c
1	-	-	-
2	-	-	161.2
3	6.46	S	106.5
4	-	-	177.5
5	-	-	161.9
6	6.42	d , 2.2	94.1
7	-	-	163.5
8	6.58	d , 2.2	95.9
8a	-	-	160.2
4a	-	-	107.8
1'	-	-	123.0
2'	7.83	d , 8.8	128.6
3'	6.97	d , 8.8	116.6
4'	-	-	161.9
5'	6.97	d , 8.8	116.6
6'	7.83	d , 8.8	128.6
5-OCH ₃	3.84	S	56.0



5-O-methyl apigenin in acetone- d_6

pos.	δ _н	<i>mult.</i> , J _{нн} [Hz]
1	-	-
2	-	-
3	6.67	S
4	-	-
5	-	-
6	6.32	d, 2.2
7	-	-
8	6.69	d, 2.2
8a	-	-
4a	-	-
1'	-	-
2'	7.96	d, 8.9
3'	7.03	d , 8.9
4'	-	-
5'	7.03	d, 8.9
6'	7.96	d, 8.9
7-0CH ₃	3.92	S



7-O-methyl apigenin in acetone- d_6

The identification was accomplished by comparison of the ¹H NMR data with that of an authentic reference standard.

pos.	δ _H	<i>mult. ,</i> J _{нн} [Hz]	δ _c
1	-	-	-
2	-	-	161.2
3	6.45	S	107.3
4	-	-	176.5
5	-	-	161.7
6	6.47	d , 2.2	96.6
7	-	-	164.8
8	6.75	d , 2.2	93.8
8a	-	-	160.3
4a	-	-	110.0
1'	-	-	123.3
2'	7.87	d , 8.9	128.3
3'	7.00	d , 8.9	116.5
4'	-	-	161.3
5'	7.00	d , 8.9	116.5
6'	7.87	d , 8.9	128.3
5-OCH ₃	3.86	S	56.2
7-OCH₃	3.94	S	55.1



^{5,7-}O-dimethyl apigenin in acetone- d_6

pos.	δ _н	<i>mult. ,</i> J _{нн} [Hz]	δ _c
1	-	-	-
2	-	-	163.6
3	6.56	S	105.5
4	-	-	179.6
5	-	-	145.6
6	-	-	138.3
7	-	-	154.1
8	6.84	S	100.2
8a	-		
4a	-	-	111.5
1'	-	-	123.2
2'	7.82	d , 8.8	128.7
3'	6.92	d , 8.8	116.6
4'	-	-	162.1
5'	6.92	d , 8.8	116.6
6'	7.82	d , 8.8	128.7
5-OCH ₃	3.85	5	62.6



5-O-methyl scutellarein in methanol- d_3

pos.	δ _H	<i>mult. ,</i> J _{нн} [Hz]	δ _c
1	-	-	-
2	-	-	163.8
3	6.66	S	102.8
4	-	-	181.6
5	-	-	154.6
6	-	-	130.8
7	-	-	154.0
8	6.87	5	90.8
8a	-	-	150.6
4a	-	-	105.4
1'	-	-	122.7
2'	7.96	d , 8.8	128.3
3'	7.05	d , 8.8	116.2
4'	-	-	162.1
5'	7.05	d , 8.8	116.2
6'	7.96	d , 8.8	128.3
7-0CH ₃	3.99	5	55.9



7-O-methyl scutellarein in acetone- d_6

pos.	δ _н	<i>mult. ,</i> J _{нн} [Hz]	δ _c
1	-	-	-
2	-	-	161.2
3	6.50	S	105.9
4	-	-	176.1
5	-	-	144.5
6	-	-	137.5
7	-	-	153.0
8	7.09	S	96.6
8a	-	-	151.7
4a	-	-	112.5
1'	-	-	123.0
2'	7.90	d , 8.8	127.8
3'	7.01	d , 8.8	115.8
4'	-	-	160.0
5'	7.01	d , 8.8	115.8
6'	7.90	d , 8.8	127.8
5-OCH ₃	3.87	S	61.3
7-0CH ₃	4.00	S	55.9



5,7-O-dimethyl scutellarein in acetone- d_6

pos.	δ _н	<i>mult. ,</i> J _{нн} [Hz]	δ _c
1	-	-	-
2	-	-	155.6
3	-	-	138.3
4	-	-	n.d.
5	-	-	163.1
6	6.24	d,2.1	98.9
7	-	-	165.3
8	6.47	d,2.1	93.8
8a	-	-	157.3
4a	-	-	104.5
1'	-	-	121.7
2'	8.01	d , 8.9	127.8
3'	7.01	d , 8.9	115.9
4'	-	-	160.2
5'	7.01	d , 8.9	115.9
6'	8.01	d , 8.9	127.8
3-OCH ₃	3.86	S	59.5



3-O-methyl apigenin (isokaempferide) in acetone- d_6

pos.	δ _H	<i>mult. ,</i> J _{нн} [Hz]	δ _c
1	-	-	-
2	-	-	102.9
3a	2.83	d, 16.8	49.7
3b	3.20	d, 16.8	49.7
4	-	-	196.4
5	-	-	164.7
6	5.96	d , 2.0	96.6
7	-	-	167.2
8	5.99	5.99 <i>d</i> , 2.0	
8a	-	-	161.8
4a	-	-	102.9
1'	-	-	134.6
2'	7.54	d , 8.7	127.7
3'	6.88	d , 8.7	115.6
4'	-	-	158.6
5'	6.88	d , 8.7	115.6
6'	7.54	d , 8.7	127.7
5-OH	12.10	S	-



2-hydroxy naringenin in methanol- d_3

Substrate	Analyte	Enzyme	Mean area	SE	Rate of product formation (%) relative to most active enzyme
		EV	nd	-	-
	_	FOMT2	45401370.0	1520553.3	100.000
	eni	FOMT3	4308670.7	366608.5	9.490
	ing	FOMT4	nd	-	-
	har	FOMT5	nd	-	-
	Ξ.	BX10	35527.7	2533.6	0.078
	ģ	BX11	19946.3	751.0	0.044
	2	BX12	38427.3	4261.4	0.085
		BX14	nd	-	-
		EV	nd	-	-
5.0-Me-naringenin sakuranetin 5-0-Me-naringenin	sakuranetin	FOMT2	nd	-	-
		FOMT3	nd	-	-
		FOMT4	20238949.0	120674.6	100.000
		FOMT5	59594.0	2828.9	0.294
		BX10	68111.7	9895.7	0.337
		BX11	33719.7	5051.9	0.167
		BX12	73842.3	3920.3	0.365
	BX14	nd	-	-	
		EV	nd	-	-
		FOMT2	nd	-	-
	nin	FOMT3	nd	-	-
	ge	FOMT4	nd	-	-
	ariı	FOMT5	nd	-	-
	le-n	FOMT2+4	1268022.0	37258.6	100.000
	DiN	FOMT4+2	631538.3	8818.4	49.805
	ģ	BX10	nd	-	-
	5,7	BX11	nd	-	-
		BX12	nd	-	-
		BX14	nd	-	-

Supplemental Table 5. Product formation of maize OMTs with different substrates. Data belong to the experiment shown in Figure 3. Enzyme assays were performed with substrate (A) naringenin.

Substrate	Analyte	Enzyme	Mean area	SE	Rate of product formation (%) relative to most active enzyme
		EV	nd	-	-
	nin	FOMT2	33210895.0	1280700.4	100.000
		FOMT3	1225440.0	68099.8	3.690
	oige	FOMT4	nd	-	-
	e-ap	FOMT5	nd	-	-
	Ĕ,	BX10	95205.0	2070.0	0.287
	2-0	BX11	96935.3	5771.2	0.292
		BX12	116276.0	11595.1	0.350
		BX14	70209.3	3049.2	0.211
apigenin 5,7-O-DiMe-apigenin 5,7-O-DiMe-apigenin 8X 8X 8X 8X 8X 8X 8X 8X 8X 8X 8X 8X 8X		EV	nd	-	-
	genkwanin	FOMT2	nd	-	-
		FOMT3	nd	-	-
		FOMT4	31123877.7	652404.0	100.000
		FOMT5	nd	-	-
		BX10	nd	-	-
		BX11	nd	-	-
		BX12	nd	-	-
	BX14	nd	-	-	
		EV	nd	-	-
	. <u>e</u>	FOMT2	nd	-	-
		FOMT3	15968.3	1665.7	22.983
	gen	FOMT4	nd	-	-
	api	FOMT5	nd	-	-
	Åe –	FOMT2+4	69479.3	3485.8	100.000
	Ā	FOMT4+2	34356.0	1741.6	49.448
	0-2	BX10	33042.3	3200.0	47.557
	°,	BX11	11115.7	1115.4	15.999
		BX12	41505.7	762.4	59.738
		BX14	nd	-	-

Supplemental Table 5 continued. (B) apigenin.

Substrate	Analyte	Enzyme	Mean area	SE	Rate of product formation (%) relative to most active enzyme
		EV	nd	-	-
	<u>_</u>	FOMT2	16750983.3	304101.2	100.000
	arei	FOMT3	108764.7	8348.8	0.649
	tell	FOMT4	nd	-	-
	scu	FOMT5	nd	-	-
	Å.	BX10	15653.0	2434.7	0.093
		BX11	-	-	-
	ů.	BX12	-	-	-
		BX14	nd	-	-
	tellarein	EV	nd	-	-
scutellarein		FOMT2	216538.7	12953.1	0.934
		FOMT3	176534.3	13467.1	0.761
		FOMT4	23189739.3	461690.8	100.000
	scu	FOMT5	nd	-	-
	Å.	BX10	191362.3	5027.8	0.825
	1-0-1	BX11	-	-	-
		BX12	-	-	-
		BX14	6156.3	1172.1	0.027
		EV	nd	-	-
		FOMT2	26008.3	1882.4	0.113
		FOMT3	nd	-	-
	llin	FOMT4	nd	-	-
	pid	FOMT5	23059117.0	650611.1	100.000
	his	BX10	8545.0	970.9	0.037
		BX11	-	-	-
		BX12	-	-	-
		BX14	nd	-	-

Supplemental Table 5 continued. (C) scutellarein

Supplemental Table 5 continued. (D) DIMBOA-Glc

Substrate	Analyte	Enzyme	Mean area	SE	Rate of product formation (%) relative to most active enzyme
		EV	nd	-	-
		FOMT2	nd	-	-
<u>ں</u> ہ	<u> </u>	FOMT3	nd	-	-
	U P V	FOMT4	nd	-	-
l õ	B0	FOMT5	nd	-	-
N N	WO	BX10	37476.3	4382.2	66.949
	_	BX11	24555.7	2428.6	43.867
		BX12	55977.3	3493.1	100.000
		BX14	5553.3	810.8	9.921

Name	Plant species	MaizeGDB/GenBank accession	Reference
CYP93G5 (B73)	Zea mays	GRMZM2G167336	Morohashi et al., 2012
CYP93G5 (W22)	Zea mays	Zm00004b033614	this work
CYP93G15 (W22)	Zea mays	Zm00004b010826	this work
CYP93G7	Zea mays	GRMZM2G148441	Righini et al., 2019
CYP93G10 (W22)	Zea mays	Zm00004b008124	this work
CYP93G3	Sorghum bicolor	XM_002461241	Du et al., 2010b
CYP93G1	Oryza sativa	AK100972	Lam et al., 2014
CYP93G2	Oryza sativa	AK099468	Du et al., 2010a
CYP93B16	Glycine max	FJ767774	Fliegmann et al., 2010
CYP93B10	Medicago truncatula	AC146789	Zhang et al., 2007
CYP93B11	Medicago truncatula	DQ354373	Zhang et al., 2007
CYP93B1	Glycyrrhiza echinata	AB001380	Akashi et al., 1998
CYP93B2	Gerbera hybrida	AF156976	Martens and Forkmann, 1999
CYP93B13	Gentiana triflora	AB193314	Nakatsuka et al., 2005
CYP93B6	Perilla frutescens	AB045592	Kitada et al., 2001
CYP93B4	Torenia hybrida	AB028152	Akashi et al., 1999
CYP93B3	Antirrhinum majus	AB028151	Akashi et al., 1999
CYP93B23	Ocimum basilicum	JX162213	Berim et al., 2013
CYP93B80	Scutellaria baicalensis	KT963453	Zhao et al., 2016
CYP93B81	Scutellaria baicalensis	KT963454	Zhao et al., 2016
CYP93B82	Lonicera japonica	KU127576	Wu et al., 2016
CYP93B83	Lonicera japonica	KU127578	Wu et al., 2016
CYP93B84	Lonicera macranthoides	KU127580	Wu et al., 2016

Supplemental Table 6. GenBank accessions and references corresponding to Figure 4B.

- Akashi, T., Aoki, T., and Ayabe, S. (1998). Identification of a cytochrome P450 cDNA encoding (2*S*)flavanone 2-hydroxylase of licorice (*Glycyrrhiza echinata* L.; Fabaceae) which represents licodione synthase and flavone synthase II. FEBS letters **431**, 287-290.
- Akashi, T., Fukuchi-Mizutani, M., Aoki, T., Ueyama, Y., Yonekura-Sakakibara, K., Tanaka, Y., Kusumi, T., and Ayabe, S. (1999). Molecular cloning and biochemical characterization of a novel cytochrome P450, flavone synthase II, that catalyzes direct conversion of flavanones to flavones. Plant Cell Physiol 40, 1182-1186.
- Berim, A., and Gang, D.R. (2013). The Roles of a Flavone-6-Hydroxylase and 7-O-Demethylation in the Flavone Biosynthetic Network of Sweet Basil. J Biol Chem 288, 1795-1805.
- Du, Y.G., Chu, H., Chu, I.K., and Lo, C. (2010). CYP93G2 Is a Flavanone 2-Hydroxylase Required for *C*-Glycosylflavone Biosynthesis in Rice. Plant Physiol **154**, 324-333.
- Du, Y.G., Chu, H., Wang, M.F., Chu, I.K., and Lo, C. (2010). Identification of flavone phytoalexins and a pathogen-inducible flavone synthase II gene (SbFNSII) in sorghum. J Exp Bot 61, 983-994.
- Fliegmann, J., Furtwangler, K., Malterer, G., Cantarello, C., Schuler, G., Ebel, J., and Mithofer, A. (2010). Flavone synthase II (CYP93B16) from soybean (*Glycine max* L.). Phytochemistry **71**, 508-514.
- Kitada, C., Gong, Z.Z., Tanaka, Y., Yamazaki, M., and Saito, K. (2001). Differential expression of two cytochrome P450s involved in the biosynthesis of flavones and anthocyanins in chemovarietal forms of *Perilla frutescens*. Plant Cell Physiol 42, 1338-1344.
- Lam, P.Y., Zhu, F.Y., Chan, W.L., Liu, H.J., and Lo, C. (2014). Cytochrome P450 93G1 Is a Flavone Synthase II That Channels Flavanones to the Biosynthesis of Tricin O-Linked Conjugates in Rice. Plant Physiol 165, 1315-1327.
- Martens, S., and Forkmann, G. (1999). Cloning and expression of flavone synthase II from Gerbera hybrids. Plant J 20, 611-618.
- Morohashi, K., Casas, M.I., Ferreyra, M.L.F., Mejia-Guerra, M.K., Pourcel, L., Yilmaz, A., Feller, A., Carvalho, B., Emiliani, J., Rodriguez, E., Pellegrinet, S., McMullen, M., Casati, P., and Grotewold, E. (2016). A genome-wide regulatory framework identifies maize Pericarp color1 controlled genes (vol 24, pg 2745, 2012). Plant Cell 28, 3058-3060.
- Nakatsuka, T., Nishihara, M., Mishiba, K., and Yamamura, S. (2005). Temporal expression of flavonoid biosynthesis-related genes regulates flower pigmentation in gentian plants. Plant Sci 168, 1309-1318.
- Righini, S., Rodriguez, E.J., Berosich, C., Grotewold, E., Casati, P., and Falcone Ferreyra, M.L. (2019). Apigenin produced by maize flavone synthase I and II protects plants against UV-Binduced damage. Plant Cell Environ **42**, 495-508.
- Wu, J., Wang, X.C., Liu, Y., Du, H., Shu, Q.Y., Su, S., Wang, L.J., Li, S.S., and Wang, L.S. (2016). Flavone synthases from *Lonicera japonica* and *L. macranthoides* reveal differential flavone accumulation. Sci Rep-Uk 6.
- Zhang, J.A., Subramanian, S., Zhang, Y.S., and Yu, O. (2007). Flavone synthases from *Medicago truncatula* are flavanone-2-hydroxylases and are important for nodulation. Plant Physiol 144, 741-751.
- Zhao, Q., Zhang, Y., Wang, G., Hill, L., Weng, J.K., Chen, X.Y., Xue, H.W., and Martin, C. (2016). A specialized flavone biosynthetic pathway has evolved in the medicinal plant, *Scutellaria baicalensis*. Sci Adv 2.

Supplemental Table 7: see Supplemental Tables (Excel file)

Supplemental Table 8: see Supplemental Tables (Excel file)

Supplemental Table 9. Statistical values for the analysis of the amount of non-O-methylated- and O-methylated flavonoids in different maize lines according to treatment, duration of treatment (day), and the interaction between treatment and its duration corresponding to the experiments shown in Figure 5A and Supplemental Figure 15. Values in bold indicate significant differences (P < 0.05). Depending which statistical test was used F-values or Likelihood ratios are given. F-values are given in italics.

Maize	Leaf	Flavonoid	Statistical	Variance	Trans-		Likelihood ratio/	
line	segment	type	test used	structure	formation	Factor	F-value	P-value
		202				treatment	1.119	0.303
		O-methylated	ANOVA		none	day	0.889	0.357
D75	uppor	o monylated				treatment:day	1.036	0.321
575	upper					treatment	0.183	0.669
		O-methylated	gls*	day	log	day	5.537	0.019
						treatment:day	6.380	0.012
		202				treatment	213.755	< 0.001
		O-methylated	ANOVA		log	day	9.782	0.005
B 75	middlo	e menyiatea				treatment:day	0.273	0.607
015	muule					treatment	299.102	< 0.001
		O-methylated	ANOVA		log	day	103.501	< 0.001
						treatment:day	0.013	0.911
		202				treatment	2.581	0.108
		O-methylated	gls*	day	log	day	5.014	0.025
B 75	lowor	O-methylated				treatment:day	0.066	0.797
B/3	lower					treatment	0.234	0.629
		O-methylated	gls*	day-treatment	log	day	12.835	< 0.001
			-	-	-	treatment:day	13.147	< 0.001
						treatment	18.120	< 0.001
		non- O mothylatod	ANOVA		log	day	0.261	0.613
Hybrid ,Sweet upper Nugget'	upper	O-methylated				treatment:day	0.635	0.432
						treatment	8.361	0.007
		O-methylated	ANOVA		log	day	0.461	0.502
						treatment:day	0.604	0.443
		non- O-methylated	gls*	day-treatment	none	treatment	14.052	< 0.001
						day	4.855	0.028
Hybrid	middle					treatment:day	15.459	< 0.001
,Sweet	midule					treatment	56.102	< 0.001
Nuggei		O-methylated	gls*	day-treatment	log	day	0.011	0.916
						treatment:day	9.091	0.003
						treatment	6.836	0.009
		non- O-methylated	gls*	treatment	log	day	0.310	0.578
Hybrid	lower	O-methylated				treatment:day	1.277	0.259
,Sweet	lower					treatment	10.955	< 0.001
Nuggei		O-methylated	gls*	day-treatment	log	day	3.805	0.051
						treatment:day	6.398	0.011
						treatment:	309.744	< 0.001
		non- O-methylated	ANOVA		log	day	118.761	< 0.001
14/00	middle	O-methylated				treatment:day	4.206	0.050
VVZZ	midule					treatment	251.700	< 0.001
		O-methylated	ANOVA		log	day	231.600	< 0.001
						treatment:day	2.237	0.146
						treatment	299.930	< 0.001
		non-	ANOVA		log	day	24.126	< 0.001
D72	middle				-	treatment:day	8.544	0.007
013	madle					treatment	50.882	< 0.001
		O-methylated	gls*	day-treatment	log	day	12.482	< 0.001
		-				treatment:day	3.204	0.074

*gls, generalized least squares; The varIdent error structure for each day-treatment combination (day-treatment), or just for each treatment (treatment) was used.

Supplemental Table 10: see Supplemental Tables (Excel file)
Supplemental Table 11: see Supplemental Tables (Excel file)

Supplemental Table 12. MS settings used for the analysis on the timsTOF mass spectrometer.

ESI ion source settings				
Ionization mode:	positive	positive	negative	negative
Capillary voltage:	4500 V	4500 V	3500 V	3500 V
Drying gas (nitrogen):	8 L/min, 280°C	8 L/min, 280°C	8 L/min, 280°C	10 L/min, 230°C
Nebulizer gas (nitrogen):	2.8 bar	2.8 bar	2.8 bar	1.8 bar
Aquisition parameters				
Scan mode:	full	auto MS/MS	full	auto MS/MS
Collison energy:	-	alternating 20 eV/50 eV	-	alternating 20 eV/50 eV

Turbospray ESI ion source settings					
Analytes	flavonoids	flavonoid glycosides	benzoxazinoids		
Ionization mode:	positive	negative	negative		
lon spray voltage:	+5500 V	-4500 V	-4500 V		
Turbo gas temperature:	650°C	650°C	650°C		
Curtain gas:	40 psi	40 psi	40 psi		
Collision gas:	medium level	medium level	medium level		
Nebulizer gas:	60 psi	60 psi	70 psi		
Heating gas:	60 psi	60 psi	70 psi		

Supplemental Table 13. MS settings used for the analysis on the QTRAP 6500+.

Supplemental Table 14. Mass analyzer settings used for the analysis of flavonoids and additional phenylpropanoids on the QTRAP 6500+. Retention time (RT) was used to distinguish between compounds with the same MRM transition. Compounds marked with (*) were verified by an authentic standard. Abbreviations: Me, methyl; DP, declustering potential; EP, entrance potential; CE, collision energy; CXP, collision cell exit potential; V, volts.

$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Caffeic acid} & 3.01 & 181 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 163 & 6 & 5 & 13 & 24 \\ O-Me-Caffeic acid-2 & - & 185 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 163 & 6 & 5 & 10 & 6 \\ O-Me-Caffeic acid-2 & - & 185 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 167 & 50 & 5 & 20 & 16 \\ Resveratrol & 7.23 & 228 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 167 & 50 & 5 & 27 & 14 \\ O-Me-Caffeic acid-2 & - & 255 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 167 & 50 & 5 & 27 & 14 \\ O-Me-Caffeic acid-2 & - & 255 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 167 & 50 & 5 & 51 & 12 \\ O-Me-Resveratrol & 7.23 & 228 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 163 & 50 & 3.5 & 41 & 4 \\ Gardstein & 6.64 & 228 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 153 & 50 & 3.5 & 41 & 4 \\ Gardstein & 6.66 & 271 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 153 & 50 & 3.5 & 41 & 4 \\ Gardstein & 6.64 & 273 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 127 & 50 & 6 & 31 & 8 \\ 7-OMe-Apigenin (chacons* & 6.51 & 228 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 242 & 50 & 6 & 31 & 8 \\ 7-OMe-Apigenin (chacons* & 5.2 & 285 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 242 & 50 & 6 & 31 & 8 \\ 7-OMe-Apigenin (chacotin)* & 8.44 & 285 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 242 & 50 & 6 & 31 & 8 \\ 7-OMe-Apigenin (cacutin)* & 8.44 & 285 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 242 & 50 & 6 & 31 & 8 \\ 4-OMe-Apigenin (cacutin)* & 8.43 & 287 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 167 & 36 & 5 & 31 & 6 \\ 7-OMe-Apigenin (Sakuranetin)* & 5.12 & 281 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 163 & 50 & 3.5 & 47 & 4 \\ Luteolin* & 5.89 & 287 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 153 & 50 & 3.5 & 47 & 4 \\ Luteolin* & 5.89 & 287 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 163 & 50 & 2 & 39 & 4 \\ Dilydrokaemglerol & 5.12 & 281 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 243 & 50 & 4 & 15 & 6 \\ 5-OMe-Cangenin* & 5.12 & 281 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 163 & 50 & 3 & 35 & 6 \\ 5-OMe-Cangenin* & 5.12 & 281 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 163 & 50 & 3 & 35 & 6 \\ 5-OMe-Cangenin* & 5.12 & 281 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 163 & 50 & 2 & 39 & 4 \\ Dilydrokaemglerol* & 5.12 & 281 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 163 & 50 & 2 & 35 & 4 \\ 4-OMe-Scutellarein* & 6.61 & 301 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 266 & 50 & 3 & 35 & 6 \\ 5-OMe-Scutellarein* & 6.75 & 301 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 167 & 36 & 5 & 31 & 28 \\ 5-OMe-Kaemgferol* & 6.80 & 301 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 124 & 50 & 7 & 55 & 4 \\ 5-OMe-Kaemgferol* & 6.61 & 301 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 124 & 50 & 7 & 55 & 4 \\ 5-OMe-Kaemgferol* & 6.61 & 301 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 124 & 50 & 7 & 55 & 4 \\ 7-OMe-Scutellarein* & 7.70 & 303 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 174 & 266 & 50 & 3 & 33 & 6 \\ 5-OMe-Kaemgferol & 4.44 & 303 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 174 & 50 & 7 & 55 & 4 \\ O-Me-2-Aydrokaemgferol & 4.44 & 303 \ [M+H] \rightarrow 174 & 50 & 7 & 55 & 4$	Flavonoid / phenylpropanoid	RT (min)	MRM transition	DP (V)	EP (V)	CE (V)	CXP (V)
$ \begin{array}{c} Canter add \\ O-Me-Caffec add \\ O-Me-Caffec add \\ O-Me-Caffec add \\ O-Me-Caffec add \\ Z \\ O-Me-Caffec add \\ Z \\ Z \\ D-Me-Caffec add \\ Z \\$	Cofficie acid*	(min)				10	
$\begin{array}{c} Cruce-calible zalue1, \\ Ohe-Calible zalue2, \\ State zalue2, \\ Check Easter 2, \\ State zalue2, \\ Check Easter 2, \\ State 2, \\ $	O Ma Caffaia agid 1	3.01	$101 [IVI+\Pi]^{+} \rightarrow 100$	50	5 5	10	24
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Convertuality} & 54.6 \\ \text{Dewerator} & 54.6 \\ \text{Chysin} & 54.6 \\ \text{Chysin} & 7.23 \\ \text{Chysin} & 7.2$	O Ma Caffaia agid 2	-	$195 [N+1]^{+} \rightarrow 160$	50	5	20	0
reservation 3-43 228 M+T 107 51 5 20 F OMar, Resveration 7.23 248 M+T 107 50 5 35 6 Chrysin 6.40 256 M+T 153 50 3.5 41 4 Apigenin* 6.63 271 M+T 153 50 3.5 41 4 Naringenin Chalcone* 6.61 273 M+T 153 46 3 29 4 Naringenin Chalcone* 6.64 271 M+T 153 41 4.5 31 4 Sourcellarin 5.04 285 M+T 242 50 6 43 4 Sourcellarein* 5.04 287 M+T 7 73 4 5 -0Me-Naringenin (Sacuranetin)* 8.43 287 M+T 7 33 4 5 4 45 64 7 33 4 5 4 50 </td <td>O-INE-Callel aciu-2</td> <td>- E / E</td> <td>$190 [W + \Pi]^{-7} 102$</td> <td>50</td> <td>5</td> <td>20</td> <td>14</td>	O-INE-Callel aciu-2	- E / E	$190 [W + \Pi]^{-7} 102$	50	5	20	14
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Q Ma Baayaratral	0.40 7.00	$229 [101+11]^{-7} - 107$	50	5	21	14
$\begin{array}{c} \text{CHYsin} & 6.30 & 250 \ $	O-INE-RESVERATION	1.23	243 $[N_1+\Pi]^{+} \rightarrow 107$	50	5	50	0
C-Met-Uniyain 6.40 2.09 [M+Ti] 2.24 50 3 33 35 60 Apigenin* 6.66 277 [M+Hi] > 153 50 3.5 41 4 Genistein* 6.66 277 [M+Hi] > 153 50 3.5 41 4 Naringenin chalcone* 6.61 273 [M+Hi] > 153 41 4.5 31 4 S-CMe-Apigenin (Cancetin)* 5.03 285 [M+Hi] > 270 6 43 4 4:O-Me-Apigenin (Caccetin)* 8.44 285 [M+Hi] > 167 36 5 31 6 5:O-Me-Agingenin 5.04 287 [M+Hi] > 167 36 5 31 6 Scutellarein* 5.32 287 [M+Hi] > 153 50 3 35 4 Luteolin* 5.53 289 [M+Hi] > 153 50 3 35 6 5-O-Me-Luteolin 5.53 289 [M+Hi] > 218 5 33 36 6 <td></td> <td>0.30</td> <td></td> <td>20</td> <td>5</td> <td>21</td> <td>12</td>		0.30		20	5	21	12
Apgenin6.63 6.812/1 (M+T)513 7.5550 503.5 3.54.1 4Naringenin6.61 277 (M+T)7.153 7.5566 6.313.294Naringenin6.64 6.5127.3 (M+T)7.153 	5-O-Me-Chrysin	0.40	$269 [IVI+H]^{+} \rightarrow 254$	50	5	35	6
Genissien0.602/1[WHT] \rightarrow 153503.5414Naringenin chalcone*6.64273[WHT] \rightarrow 153414.5314Naringenin chalcone*6.64273[WHT] \rightarrow 213414.5314S-OMe-Apigenin (Genkwanin)*8.53285[WHT] \rightarrow 242506434S-OMe-Genistein5.22285[WHT] \rightarrow 2425063184'-O-Me-Apigenin (Caccetin)*8.44285[WHT] \rightarrow 2425074345-OMe-Naringenin5.42287[WHT] \rightarrow 242503.5474Luteolin*5.32287[WHT] \rightarrow 153503434Luteolin*5.32287[WHT] \rightarrow 153503434Luteolin*5.32287[WHT] \rightarrow 243504156Collagenori5.12289[WHT] \rightarrow 21350341562-Hydroxynaringenin*5.53289[WHT] \rightarrow 2245043765-OMe-Luteolin4.53301[WHT] \rightarrow 2245043766-OMe-Sautellarein4.53301[WHT] \rightarrow 2245043766-OMe-Sautellarein4.53301[WHT] \rightarrow 2245043766-OMe-Sautellarein4.53301[WHT] \rightarrow 2245033567-O-Me-Apigenin*6.01	Apigenin"	0.03	$2/1 [WI+H]^{+} \rightarrow 153$	50	3.5	41	4
Namingenin0.512/34/3534/332/34/3Namingenin6.64273 $(M+H)^2 > 123$ 5063185-O-Me-Apigenin (Genkwanin)*5.04225 $(M+H)^2 > 270$ 5063185-O-Me-Genistein5.22225 $(M+H)^2 > 270$ 5063184-OMe-Apigenin (Acacetin)*8.44285 $(M+H)^2 > 270$ 5063185-O-Me-Naringenin (Sakuranetin)*8.43287 $(M+H)^2 > 167$ 365316Scutellarein*5.32287 $(M+H)^2 > 153$ 503.5474Luteolin*5.89287 $(M+H)^2 > 153$ 502394Dihydrokaempferol*6.80287 $(M+H)^2 > 153$ 502394Dihydrokaempferol*5.12289 $(M+H)^2 > 153$ 6023345-O-Me-Luteolin7.57301 $(M+H)^2 > 243$ 5041567-O-Me-Apigenin*6.81299 $(M+H)^2 > 243$ 5041567-O-Me-Luteolin7.57301 $(M+H)^2 > 226$ 5033567-O-Me-Apigenin*6.67301 $(M+H)^2 > 226$ 5033567-O-Me-Scutellarein4.75301 $(M+H)^2 > 226$ 5033366-O-Me-Scutellarein6.07301 $(M+H)^2 > 226$ 504278 <td>Genistein"</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>$2/1 [WI+H]^{+} \rightarrow 153$</td> <td>50</td> <td>3.5</td> <td>41</td> <td>4</td>	Genistein"	0.00	$2/1 [WI+H]^{+} \rightarrow 153$	50	3.5	41	4
Namgerin0.642/3 [W+H] \rightarrow 213414.33145-OMe-Apigenin (Genkwanin)*5.04285 [W+H] \rightarrow 2425064345-OMe-Apigenin (Acacetin)*8.44285 [W+H] \rightarrow 2425064344-OMe-Apigenin (Acacetin)*8.44285 [W+H] \rightarrow 2425074345-OMe-Naringenin*5.04287 [W+H] \rightarrow 1673653167-OMe-Naringenin*5.32287 [W+H] \rightarrow 1673653165-OMe-Naringenin*5.32287 [W+H] \rightarrow 153503434Kaempford*5.82287 [W+H] \rightarrow 1535041561biydrokaempferol*5.12289 [W+H] \rightarrow 1235041562-Hydroxynaringenin*5.53289 [W+H] \rightarrow 12121521145-O-Me-Luteolin4.53301 [W+H] \rightarrow 2845043767-O-Me-Cutelarein*6.38289 [W+H] \rightarrow 1245043766-O-Me-Luteolin7.57301 [W+H] \rightarrow 2865033366-O-Me-Luteolin7.57301 [W+H] \rightarrow 2865033366-O-Me-Luteolin7.57301 [W+H] \rightarrow 2865023567-O-Me-Scutellarein*6.07301 [W+H] \rightarrow 2865023566-O-Me-Luteolin7.554011115167-O-Me-Scutellarein6.03301 [W+H] \rightarrow 286	Naringenin chaicone"	0.51	$2/3 [IVI+H]^{+} \rightarrow 153$	40	3	29	4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Naringenin"	0.04	$2/3 [NI+H]^{+} \rightarrow 153$	41	4.5	31	4
<i>P</i> -D-Me-Apigerin (bacactin)* 6.35 285 [M+H]* → 272 50 6 31 8 4'-O-Me-Apigerin (kacactin)* 8.44 225 [M+H]* → 272 50 7 43 4 6'-O-Me-Naringenin (Sakuranetin)* 8.43 287 [M+H]* → 167 36 5 31 6 7-O-Me-Naringenin (Sakuranetin)* 8.43 287 [M+H]* → 167 36 5 31 6 Soutellarein* 5.89 287 [M+H]* → 153 50 3 43 4 Lutcolin* 5.89 287 [M+H]* → 153 50 2 39 4 Lutcolin* 5.81 289 [M+H]* → 153 50 2 31 6 2-Hydroxynaringenin* 5.53 289 [M+H]* → 121 21 5 21 14 Kaempforol* 6.38 299 [M+H]* → 124 50 4 37 6 5-O-Me-Luteolin 7.57 301 [M+H]* → 286 50 3 35 6 6-O-Me-Scutellarein* 6.67 301 [M+H]* → 286 50 3 32 6 5-O-Me-Kaempforol 5.64 301 [M+H]* → 2	5-O-Me-Apigenin"	5.04	$285 [IVI+H]^{+} \rightarrow 270$	50	0	31	8
3-2-Wei-Seinstein 3.22 263 [WH+I] ~ 270 50 6 51 60 4-O-Me-Apingenin (Acacetin)* 5.44 285 [WH+I] ~ 167 36 5 31 6 5-O-Me-Naringenin (Sakuranetin)* 5.44 285 [WH+I] ~ 167 36 5 31 6 Scutellarein* 5.32 287 [WH+I] ~ 167 36 5 31 4 Luteolin* 5.89 287 [WH+I] ~ 153 50 3 43 4 Kaempferol* 6.80 287 [WH+I] ~ 243 50 4 15 6 2-Hydroxynaringenin* 5.53 289 [WH+I] ~ 243 50 4 37 6 5-O-Me-Apigenin* 6.83 299 [WH+I] ~ 244 50 4 37 6 5-O-Me-Apigenin* 6.83 299 [WH+I] ~ 246 50 3 35 6 5-O-Me-Apigenin* 6.01 301 [WH+I] ~ 286 50 3 35 6 6-O-Me-Scutellarein * 6.01 301 [WH+I] ~ 286 50 2 35 6 6-O-Me-Scutellarein * 6.01 301 [WH+I] ~ 286 <td< td=""><td>7-O-Me-Apigenin (Genkwanin)"</td><td>8.53 5.00</td><td>$285 [IVI+H]^{+} \rightarrow 242$</td><td>50</td><td>6</td><td>43</td><td>4</td></td<>	7-O-Me-Apigenin (Genkwanin)"	8.53 5.00	$285 [IVI+H]^{+} \rightarrow 242$	50	6	43	4
4-U-Me-Apigenin (Acadetin)* 8.44 2bs (M+H)* > 167 36 5 31 6 7-O-Me-Naringenin (Sakuranetin)* 8.43 287 (M+H)* > 167 36 5 31 6 Soutellarein* 5.32 287 (M+H)* > 153 50 3.5 47 4 Luteolin* 5.89 287 (M+H)* > 153 50 2 30 4 45 Luteolin* 5.89 287 (M+H)* > 153 50 2 39 4 Kaempferol* 5.12 289 (M+H)* > 153 50 4 15 6 2-Hydroxynaringenin* 5.53 289 (M+H)* > 121 5 21 14 Kaempferol* 6.38 299 (M+H)* > 123 50 4 15 6 5-O-Me-Apigenin* 6.38 299 (M+H)* > 286 50 3 35 6 7-O-Me-Cutellarein* 4.75 301 (M+H)* > 286 50 3 35 6 7-O-Me-Scutellarein* 6.67 301 (M+H)* > 286 50 2 35 6 3-O-Me-Kaempferol 5.43 301 (M+H)* > 286 50	5-O-Me-Genistein	5.22	$285 [IVI+H]^{+} \rightarrow 270$	50	0	31	8
5-0-Me-Naringenin5.04267MH-I \rightarrow 1673653160Soutellarein*5.32287[M+II] \rightarrow 167365316Soutellarein*5.32287[M+II] \rightarrow 153503.5474Kaempferol*6.80287[M+II] \rightarrow 153502394Dihydrokaempferol*6.80287[M+II] \rightarrow 153502394Liteolin*5.53289[M+II] \rightarrow 2435041562-Hydroxynaringenin*5.53289[M+II] \rightarrow 2435043765-O-Me-Apigenin*6.88299[M+II] \rightarrow 2865033565-O-Me-Luteolin4.53301[M+II] \rightarrow 2865033365-O-Me-Scutellarein*4.75301[M+I] \rightarrow 2865033366-O-Me-Scutellarein*6.01301[M+I] \rightarrow 2865033366-O-Me-Scutellarein7.54301[M+I] \rightarrow 2865023565-O-Me-Kaempferol8.66301[M+I] \rightarrow 2865023565-O-Me-Kaempferol8.66301[M+I] \rightarrow 2865023565-O-Me-Enodictyol7.50303[M+I] \rightarrow 18146111165-O-Me-Enodictyol7.50303[M+I] \rightarrow 1875075547-O-Me-Luteolin7.6030	4 -O-Me-Apigenin (Acacetin)"	8.44	$285 [IVI+H]^{+} \rightarrow 242$	50		43	4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5-O-Me-Naringenin [*]	5.04	287 [M+H] ⁺ → 167	30	5	31	6
Scutellare in '' 5.32 267 [M+H] → 123 500 3.5.3 47 4 Luteolin '' 6.80 287 [M+H] → 153 500 3 43 4 Mampferol'' 6.80 287 [M+H] → 153 500 2 39 4 Dihydrokaempferol' 5.12 289 [M+H] → 121 21 5 21 14 Eriodicyol' 5.83 289 [M+H] → 121 21 5 21 14 For DiMe-Apigenin' 5.83 289 [M+H] → 123 286 50 3 35 66 5.7-O-DiMe-Apigenin' 6.38 289 [M+H] → 286 50 3 33 66 5-O-Me-Scutellarein (* 4.75 301 [M+H] → 286 50 3 33 68 6-OMe-Scutellarein (* 6.67 301 [M+H] → 286 50 2 35 68 3-O-Me-Kaempferol 5.54 301 [M+H] → 286 50 2 35 68 3-O-Me-Kaempferol 8.66 301 [M+H] → 286 50 2 35 6 7-O-Me-Kaempferol 4.44 303 [M+H] → 181 <t< td=""><td></td><td>8.43</td><td>287 [M+H]⁺ → 167</td><td>30</td><td>5</td><td>31</td><td>6</td></t<>		8.43	287 [M+H] ⁺ → 167	30	5	31	6
Luteonin 5.89 267 [W+H] \rightarrow 153 50 3 443 4 Kaempferol* 5.80 287 [W+H] \rightarrow 153 50 2 39 4 Dihydrokaempferol* 5.12 289 [M+H] \rightarrow 243 50 4 15 6 2.Hydroxynaringenin* 5.53 289 [M+H] \rightarrow 121 21 5 21 14 Eriodictyol* 5.83 289 [M+H] \rightarrow 123 46 7 33 44 5.O-Me-Luteolin 6.38 299 [M+H] \rightarrow 286 50 3 35 6 5.O-Me-Luteolin 7.57 301 [M+H] \rightarrow 286 50 3 35 6 7.O-Me-Luteolin 7.57 301 [M+H] \rightarrow 286 50 3 33 6 6.O-Me-Scutellarein* 6.01 301 [M+H] \rightarrow 286 50 3 33 6 6.O-Me-Scutellarein* 6.01 301 [M+H] \rightarrow 286 50 3 33 6 6.O-Me-Scutellarein* 6.01 301 [M+H] \rightarrow 286 50 3 33 6 6.O-Me-Scutellarein* 6.01 301 [M+H] \rightarrow 286 50 4 27 8 7.O-Me-Kaempferol 5.54 301 [M+H] \rightarrow 286 50 4 27 8 7.O-Me-Kaempferol 5.54 301 [M+H] \rightarrow 286 50 4 27 8 7.O-Me-Kaempferol 5.54 301 [M+H] \rightarrow 286 50 4 27 8 7.O-Me-Kaempferol 8.66 301 [M+H] \rightarrow 286 50 4 27 8 7.O-Me-Kaempferol 8.66 301 [M+H] \rightarrow 286 50 4 27 8 7.O-Me-Kaempferol 8.66 301 [M+H] \rightarrow 286 50 4 27 8 7.O-Me-Kaempferol 8.66 301 [M+H] \rightarrow 286 50 4 27 8 7.O-Me-Kaempferol 8.66 301 [M+H] \rightarrow 286 50 2 35 6 5.7-O-DiMe-Kaempferol 8.66 301 [M+H] \rightarrow 181 46 11 31 6 5.O-Me-Kaempferol 8.69 303 [M+H] \rightarrow 17 50 4 55 22 Quercetin* 5.94 303 [M+H] \rightarrow 17 50 4 55 22 Quercetin* 5.96 303 [M+H] \rightarrow 17 50 4 55 22 Quercetin* 5.96 303 [M+H] \rightarrow 167 21 5 21 200 7.O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 1) 6.44 303 [M+H] \rightarrow 167 21 5 21 200 7.O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2) 8.32 303 [M+H] \rightarrow 167 21 5 21 200 7.O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2) 8.32 303 [M+H] \rightarrow 167 21 5 21 200 7.O-Me-Scutellarein* 5.30 31 [M+H] \rightarrow 167 21 5 21 200 7.O-Me-Scutellarein* 5.30 31 [M+H] \rightarrow 167 21 5 21 200 7.O-Me-Scutellarein* 5.30 31 [M+H] \rightarrow 167 21 5 21 200 7.O-Me-Scutellarein* 5.50 315 [M+H] \rightarrow 300 50 3 35 6 7.O-Me-Scutellarein* 5.50 315 [M+H] \rightarrow 300 50 3 35 6 7.O-Me-Scutellarein* 5.50 315 [M+H] \rightarrow 300 50 3 35 6 7.O-Me-Scutellarein* 5.50 315 [M+H] \rightarrow 302 50 7 35 6 7.O-Me-Scutellarein* 5.50 315 [M+H] \rightarrow 302 50 7 55 56 6.O-DiMe-Scutellarein* 5.50 315 [M+H] \rightarrow 302 50 7.55 56 6.O-DiMe-Scutellarein* 5.50 315 [M+H] \rightarrow 302 50		5.32	287 [M+H] ⁺ → 123	50	3.5	47	4
Raemperol0.80267 [M+H] \rightarrow 1535023394Dihydrokaempferol*5.12289 [M+H] \rightarrow 2435041562-Hydroxynaringenin*5.83289 [M+H] \rightarrow 153467334Eriodictyol*5.83289 [M+H] \rightarrow 2845043765-O-Me-Luteolin4.53301 [M+H] \rightarrow 2865033567-O-Me-Luteolin7.57301 [M+H] \rightarrow 2865033366-O-Me-Scutellarein*6.67301 [M+H] \rightarrow 2865033366-O-Me-Scutellarein*6.67301 [M+H] \rightarrow 2865023567-O-Me-Luteolin5.54301 [M+H] \rightarrow 2865023567-O-Me-Kaempferol5.64301 [M+H] \rightarrow 2865023565-O-Me-Fiodictyol7.03301 [M+H] \rightarrow 2865023565-O-Me-Fiodictyol7.03301 [M+H] \rightarrow 2865023565-O-Me-Eriodictyol7.554475075547-O-Me-Dihydrokaempferol6.99303 [M+H] \rightarrow 10750455227-O-Me-Dihydrokaempferol6.99303 [M+H] \rightarrow 10750455220-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 1)6.44303 [M+H] \rightarrow 10750455220-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32303 [M+H] \rightarrow 1672152120<		5.89	287 [M+H] ⁺ → 153	50	3	43	4
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Kaempterol"	6.80	287 [M+H] ⁺ → 153	50	2	39	4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Dinydrokaempterol [*]	5.12	289 [M+H] ⁺ → 243	50	4	15	6
Endottyol5.83229[M+H] \rightarrow 15346733445.7-O-DiMe-Apigenin*6.38229[M+H] \rightarrow 2245043765-O-Me-Luteolin7.57301[M+H] \rightarrow 2265033565-O-Me-Scutellarein*4.75301[M+H] \rightarrow 2265033367-O-Me-Scutellarein*6.01301[M+H] \rightarrow 2265033366-O-Me-Scutellarein*6.01301[M+H] \rightarrow 2265023565-O-Me-Kaempferol5.54301[M+H] \rightarrow 2265023563-O-Me-Kaempferol8.66301[M+H] \rightarrow 2265023565.7-O-DiMe-Naringenin*6.60301[M+H] \rightarrow 2265023565.7-O-DiMe-Naringenin*6.60301[M+H] \rightarrow 2265023565.7-O-DiMe-Naringenin*6.60301[M+H] \rightarrow 1245075545-O-Me-Eriodictyol7.50333[M+H] \rightarrow 10750455227-O-Me-Eriodictyol6.99303[M+H] \rightarrow 117507454O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin7.07303[M+H] \rightarrow 1672152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin7.07303[M+H] \rightarrow 1672152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin7.07303[M+H] \rightarrow 1672152120 <td></td> <td>5.53</td> <td>289 [M+H]⁺ → 121</td> <td>21</td> <td>5</td> <td>21</td> <td>14</td>		5.53	289 [M+H] ⁺ → 121	21	5	21	14
5, /-O-LDIMe-Apigenn"6.38299M+HI \rightarrow 28650437665-O-Me-Luteolin7.57301[M+HI] \rightarrow 28650335667-O-Me-Scutellarein*4.75301[M+HI] \rightarrow 28650333667-O-Me-Scutellarein*6.01301[M+HI] \rightarrow 28650333666-O-Me-Scutellarein6.07301[M+HI] \rightarrow 28621533285-O-Me-Kaempferol5.54301[M+HI] \rightarrow 2865042787-O-Me-Scutellarein (Hispidulin)*6.67301[M+HI] \rightarrow 2865042787-O-Me-Kaempferol8.66301[M+HI] \rightarrow 2865042787-O-Me-Eriodictyol4.42303[M+HI] \rightarrow 18446113165-O-Me-Eriodictyol7.50303[M+HI] \rightarrow 1075045527-O-Me-Dihydrokaempferol4.44303[M+H] \rightarrow 107504552Quercetin*5.96303[M+H] \rightarrow 10750455220O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 1)6.44303[M+H] \rightarrow 1672152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin7.07303[M+H] \rightarrow 1672152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin7.07303[M+H] \rightarrow 167215 <td>Eriodictyol</td> <td>5.83</td> <td>289 [M+H]⁺ → 153</td> <td>46</td> <td></td> <td>33</td> <td>4</td>	Eriodictyol	5.83	289 [M+H] ⁺ → 153	46		33	4
b-O-Me-Luteolin4.53301 [M+H] + 2885033567-O-Me-Luteolin7.57301 [M+H] + 2885033365-O-Me-Scutellarein*6.01301 [M+H] + 2885033366-OMe-Scutellarein (Hispidulin)*6.67301 [M+H] + 2885023565-O-Me-Kaempferol5.54301 [M+H] + 2885023563-O-Me-Kaempferol8.66301 [M+H] + 2885023565-O-Me-Kaempferol8.66301 [M+H] + 2885023565-O-Me-Kaempferol8.66301 [M+H] + 2885023565-O-Me-Eriodictyol4.42303 [M+H] + 2145075545-O-Me-Dihydrokaempferol6.99303 [M+H] + 2145075545-O-Me-Dihydrokaempferol6.99303 [M+H] + 214507552Quercetin*5.96303 [M+H] + 21672152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 1)6.44303 [M+H] + 21672152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32303 [M+H] + 31672153 <t< td=""><td>5,7-O-DiMe-Apigenin*</td><td>6.38</td><td>299 [M+H]⁺ → 284</td><td>50</td><td>4</td><td>37</td><td>6</td></t<>	5,7-O-DiMe-Apigenin*	6.38	299 [M+H] ⁺ → 284	50	4	37	6
I-0-Me-LubeolinI.S.IJOI [M+H] + 286SUJJSJS6S-OMe-Scutellarein*4.75301 [M+H] + 286503336 $I-0-Me-Scutellarein*6.01301 [M+H] + 286503336S-OMe-Kaempferol5.54301 [M+H] + 286502356S-OMe-Kaempferol5.54301 [M+H] + 286504278S-O-Me-Kaempferol8.66301 [M+H] + 286504278S-O-Me-Kaempferol8.66301 [M+H] + 286502356S-O-Me-Kaempferol8.66301 [M+H] + 286502356S-O-Me-Kaempferol4.42303 [M+H] + 286507554S-O-Me-Dindrokaempferol4.42303 [M+H] + 214507554S-O-Me-Dindrokaempferol6.99303 [M+H] + 214507552Querceitn*5.96303 [M+H] + 216751522120Q-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 1)6.44303 [M+H] + 21672152120Q-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32303 [M+H] + 2153507.52562-Hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32303 [M+H] + 21672152120Q-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.48305 [M+H] + 21372152120Q-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32303 [M+H] + 2157507.5<$	5-O-Me-Luteolin	4.53	301 [M+H] ⁺ → 286	50	3	35	6
b-O-Me-Soutellarein*4.75301[M+H]* \rightarrow 2865033367-O-Me-Soutellarein*6.01301[M+H]* \rightarrow 28650233285-O-Me-Kaempferol5.54301[M+H]* \rightarrow 2865023563-O-Me-Kaempferol8.66301[M+H]* \rightarrow 2865042787-O-Me-Kaempferol8.66301[M+H]* \rightarrow 2865023565.7-O-DiMe-Naringenin*6.60301[M+H]* \rightarrow 18146113165-O-Me-Eriodictyol7.50303[M+H]* \rightarrow 18146113165-O-Me-Dihydrokaempferol4.42303[M+H]* \rightarrow 1075045527-O-Me-Dihydrokaempferol6.99303[M+H]* \rightarrow 107504552Quercetin*5.96303[M+H]* \rightarrow 16721521200-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 1)6.44303[M+H]* \rightarrow 1672152120Dihydroquercetin (Taxifolin)*4.41305[M+H]* \rightarrow 1372152120Dihydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32303[M+H]* \rightarrow 300503356O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin5.15315[M+H]* \rightarrow 300503356O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin5.15315[M+H]* \rightarrow 300503356O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin5.15315[M+H]* \rightarrow 300 <td></td> <td>1.57</td> <td>301 [M+H]⁺ → 286</td> <td>50</td> <td>3</td> <td>35</td> <td>6</td>		1.57	301 [M+H] ⁺ → 286	50	3	35	6
$7-0-Me-Soutellarein^*$ 6.01301 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 286$ 50333686-O-Me-Soutellarein (Hispidulin)*6.67301 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 286$ 21533285-O-Me-Kaempferol5.54301 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 286$ 5023563-O-Me-Kaempferol8.66301 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 286$ 5023565-O-Me-Kaempferol8.66301 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 286$ 5023565-O-Me-Eriodictyol4.42303 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 124$ 5075547-O-Me-Eriodictyol7.50303 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 124$ 5075547-O-Me-Dihydrokaempferol4.44303 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 107$ 5045527-O-Me-Dihydrokaempferol6.99303 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 167$ 2152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 1)6.44303 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 167$ 2152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32303 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 167$ 2152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32303 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 300$ 503356O-Dihve-Luteolin5.15315 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 300$ 503356O-Dihve-Luteolin5.15315 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 284$ 11539307-O-Me-Scutellarein & 5.34315 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 284$ 11539305-O-Me-Isokaempferide8.96315<	5-O-Me-Scutellarein*	4.75	301 [M+H] ⁺ → 286	50	3	33	6
b-O-Me-Scutellarein (Hispiduin) ⁺ 5-O-Me-Kaempferol 5-O-Me-Kaempferol 5-O-Me-Kaempferol 5-O-Me-Kaempferol 5-O-Me-Kaempferol 5,7-O-DiMe-Naringenin* 6.60 301 [M+H]* → 286 50 4 2 35 6 30-Me-Kaempferol 8.66 301 [M+H]* → 286 50 4 2 35 6 301 [M+H]* → 286 50 4 2 35 6 301 [M+H]* → 286 50 4 2 35 6 5 7 55 4 7 55 6 7 7 55 6 7 55 5 6 7 55 5 6 7 55 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	7-O-Me-Scutellarein*	6.01	301 [M+H] ⁺ → 286	50	3	33	6
b-O-Me-Kaempferol5.54301 [M+H]+ → 2865023563-O-Me-Kaempferol (Isokaempferide)*7.03301 [M+H]+ → 2865023565.7-O-DiMe-Kaempferol8.66301 [M+H]+ → 18146113165-O-Me-Eriodictyol4.42303 [M+H]+ → 1245075547-O-Me-Eriodictyol7.50303 [M+H]+ → 1075045527-O-Me-Dihydrokaempferol4.44303 [M+H]+ → 107504552Quercetin*5.96303 [M+H]+ → 107504552Quercetin*5.96303 [M+H]+ → 1672152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin7.07303 [M+H]+ → 1672152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin5.15315 [M+H]+ → 1372152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin5.15315 [M+H]+ → 1372152120O-Diho-Cuteloin (O-Methyl group likely on A + B ring)8.70315 [M+H]+ → 300503356O-DiMe-Scutellarein5.03315 [M+H]+ → 26411	6-O-Me-Scutellarein (Hispidulin)^	6.67	301 [M+H] ⁺ → 286	21	5	33	28
3-0-Me-Kaempterol (Isokaempterol)* 7.03 301 [M+H] → 286 50 4 2/3 8 7-O-Me-Kaempterol 8.66 301 [M+H] → 286 50 2 35 6 5,7-O-DiMe-Naringenin* 6.60 301 [M+H] → 181 46 11 31 6 5-O-Me-Eriodictyol 7.50 303 [M+H] → 124 50 7 55 4 7-O-Me-Eriodictyol 7.50 303 [M+H] → 124 50 7 55 4 7-O-Me-Dihydrokaempterol 4.44 303 [M+H] → 107 50 4 55 22 Quercetin* 5.96 303 [M+H] → 107 50 4 55 22 Quercetin* 5.96 303 [M+H] → 167 21 5 21 20 7-O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 1) 6.44 303 [M+H] → 167 21 5 21 20 0-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2) 8.32 303 [M+H] → 167 21 5 21 20 Dihydroquercetin (Taxifolin)* 4.41 305 [M+H] → 167 21 5 21 20 Dihydroquercetin (Taxifolin)* 4.41 305 [M+H] → 137 21 5 21 14 5,7-O-DiMe-Luteolin (O-Methyl group likely on A + B ring) 8.70 315 [M+H] → 300 50 3 35 6 O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)* 7.68 315 [M+H] → 254 11 5 39 30 7-O-Me-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)* 7.68 315 [M+H] → 254 11 5 33 344 5,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)* 7.68 315 [M+H] → 254 11 5 33 344 5,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)* 7.68 315 [M+H] → 254 11 5 33 344 5,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)* 7.68 315 [M+H] → 254 11 5 33 344 5,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)* 7.68 315 [M+H] → 254 11 5 33 344 5,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)* 7.68 315 [M+H] → 254 11 5 33 344 5,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)* 7.68 317 [M+H] → 254 11 5 33 344 5,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)* 7.68 317 [M+H] → 254 11 5 33 344 5,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)* 7.68 317 [M+H] → 254 11 5 33 344 5,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)* 7.68 317 [M+H] → 254 11 5 33 344 5,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)* 7.68 317 [M+H] → 254 11 5 33 344 5,7-O-Me-Quercetin 7.63 317 [M+H] → 255 5 6 7 35 6 7,0-Me-Quercetin 7.63 317 [M+H] → 302 50 7,35 6 7,0-Me-Quercetin 7.63 317 [M+H] → 318 1 5 21 20 0-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 1)* 6,51 317 [M+H] → 318 1 5 21 20 0-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 1)* 5,51 317 [M+H] → 318 1 5 21 20 0-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 1)* 6,67 319 [M+H] → 318 50 7,5 55 6		5.54	301 [M+H] ⁺ → 286	50	2	35	6
7-O-Me-Kaempterol8.66301[M+H] \rightarrow 2865023565,7-O-DiMe-Naringenin*6.60301[M+H] \rightarrow 18146113165-O-Me-Eriodictyol4.42303[M+H] \rightarrow 1245075545-O-Me-Dihydrokaempferol4.44303[M+H] \rightarrow 1245075545-O-Me-Dihydrokaempferol6.99303[M+H] \rightarrow 107504552Quercetin*5.96303[M+H] \rightarrow 16721521207-O-Me-Dihydrokaempferol6.89303[M+H] \rightarrow 16721521200-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 1)6.44303[M+H] \rightarrow 1672152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32303[M+H] \rightarrow 1672152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32305[M+H] \rightarrow 1672152120Dihydroquercetin (Taxifolin)*4.41305[M+H] \rightarrow 1672152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32305[M+H] \rightarrow 3005033560-DiMe-Luteolin (C-Methyl group likely on A + B ring)8.70315[M+H] \rightarrow 3005033560-DiMe-Scutellarein5.03315[M+H] \rightarrow 22411533345.0-O-Me-Suckaempferide8.96315[M+H] \rightarrow 28211533346.7-O-DiMe-Scutellar	3-O-Me-Kaempferol (Isokaempferide)^	7.03	301 [M+H] ⁺ → 286	50	4	27	8
5, /-O-DIMe-Nanngenin*6.60301 [M+H]* \rightarrow 18146113165-O-Me-Eriodictyol4.42303 [M+H]* \rightarrow 1245075547-O-Me-Eriodictyol7.50303 [M+H]* \rightarrow 1075045527-O-Me-Dihydrokaempferol6.99303 [M+H]* \rightarrow 107504552Quercetin*5.96303 [M+H]* \rightarrow 107504552Quercetin*5.96303 [M+H]* \rightarrow 1672152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 1)6.44303 [M+H]* \rightarrow 1672152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32303 [M+H]* \rightarrow 1672152120Dihydroquercetin (Taxifolin)*4.41305 [M+H]* \rightarrow 1672152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32303 [M+H]* \rightarrow 1672152120Dihydroquercetin (Taxifolin)*4.41305 [M+H]* \rightarrow 1672152120C-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32303 [M+H]* \rightarrow 1372152120Dihydroquercetin (Taxifolin)*4.41305 [M+H]* \rightarrow 300503356O-DiMe-Luteolin (O-Methyl group likely on A + B ring)8.70315 [M+H]* \rightarrow 300503356S-7-O-Me-Isokaempferide5.25315 [M+H]* \rightarrow 25411539307-O-Me-Scutellarein5.50315 [M+H]* \rightarrow 28211533346-O		8.66	301 [M+H] ⁺ → 286	50	2	35	6
5-O-Me-Enddictyol 4.42 303 [M+H]* → 124 50 7 55 4 7-O-Me-Eriodictyol 7.50 303 [M+H]* → 124 50 7 55 4 7-O-Me-Dihydrokaempferol 4.44 303 [M+H]* → 107 50 4 55 2 7-O-Me-Dihydrokaempferol 6.99 303 [M+H]* → 107 50 4 55 2 Quercetin* 5.96 303 [M+H]* → 167 21 5 21 20 O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 1) 6.44 303 [M+H]* → 167 21 5 21 20 O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2) 8.32 303 [M+H]* → 167 21 5 21 20 Dihydroquercetin (Taxifolin)* 4.41 305 [M+H]* → 167 21 5 21 20 Dihydroquercetin (Taxifolin)* 4.41 305 [M+H]* → 167 21 5 21 20 Dihydroquercetin (Taxifolin)* 4.41 305 [M+H]* → 167 21 5 21 20 O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2) 8.32 303 [M+H]* → 167 21 5 21 20 O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2) 8.32 303 [M+H]* → 167 21 5 21 20 Dihydroquercetin (Taxifolin)* 4.41 305 [M+H]* → 137 21 5 21 14 S,7-O-DIMe-Luteolin (0-Methyl group likely on A + B ring) 8.70 315 [M+H]* → 300 50 3 35 6 O-DiMe-Luteolin (0-Methyl group likely on A + B ring) 8.70 315 [M+H]* → 254 11 5 39 30 7-O-Me-Isokaempferide 5.25 315 [M+H]* → 254 11 5 39 30 7-O-Me-Isokaempferide 5.34 315 [M+H]* → 254 11 5 33 34 5,6-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)* 7.68 315 [M+H]* → 282 11 5 33 34 6,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)* 7.68 315 [M+H]* → 302 50 7 35 6 O-DiMe-Quercetin 7.63 317 [M+H]* → 302 50 7 35 6 O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 1)* 5.51 317 [M+H]* → 181 1 5 21 20 O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)* 6.81 317 [M+H]* → 181 1 5 21 20 O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)* 6.81 317 [M+H]* → 181 1 5 21 20 O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)* 6.81 317 [M+H]* → 181 1 5 21 20 O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)* 6.81 317 [M+H]* → 181 1 5 21 20 O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)* 6.81 317 [M+H]* → 181 1 5 21 20 O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)* 6.81 317 [M+H]* → 181 1 5 21 20 S-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin 6.18 319 [M+H]* → 153 50 7.5 55 6 Myricetin* 5.07 319 [M+H]* → 153 50 7.5 55 6 Myricetin* 5.07 319 [M+H]* → 183 50 7.35 55 6 Myricetin* 5.07 319 [M+H]* → 318 50 7.35 55 6	5,7-O-DiMe-Naringenin [^]	6.60	301 [M+H] ⁺ → 181	46	11	31	6
7-O-Me-Entodictyol7.50303[M+H]* \rightarrow 1245075545-O-Me-Dihydrokaempferol4.44303[M+H]* \rightarrow 107504552Quercetin*5.96303[M+H]* \rightarrow 107504552Quercetin*5.96303[M+H]* \rightarrow 16721521207-O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 1)6.44303[M+H]* \rightarrow 1672152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32303[M+H]* \rightarrow 1672152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32303[M+H]* \rightarrow 1672152120Dihydroquercetin (Taxifolin)*4.41305[M+H]* \rightarrow 1372152120Chydroxyeriodictyol4.88305[M+H]* \rightarrow 13721521145,-O-DiMe-Luteolin5.15315[M+H]* \rightarrow 300503356O-DiMe-Luteolin (O-Methyl group likely on A + B ring)8.70315[M+H]* \rightarrow 25411539307,-O-DiMe-Scutellarein5.50315[M+H]* \rightarrow 25411533346,-O-DiMe-Scutellarein7.63317[M+H]* \rightarrow 28211533346,-O-DiMe-Scutellarein7.63317[M+H]* \rightarrow 3025073567-O-Me-Quercetin7.63317[M+H]* \rightarrow 181152120O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xiloneni	5-O-Me-Eriodictyol	4.42	$303 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 124$	50	(55	4
5O-Me-Dihydrokaempferol4.44303 [M+H]* \rightarrow 1075045527-O-Me-Dihydrokaempferol6.99303 [M+H]* \rightarrow 107504552Quercetin*5.96303 [M+H]* \rightarrow 16721521207-O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 1)6.44303 [M+H]* \rightarrow 16721521207-O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32303 [M+H]* \rightarrow 1672152120Dihydroquercetin (Taxifolin)*4.41305 [M+H]* \rightarrow 1672152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32303 [M+H]* \rightarrow 1672152120Dihydroquercetin (Taxifolin)*4.41305 [M+H]* \rightarrow 16721521202-Hydroxyeriodictyol4.88305 [M+H]* \rightarrow 16721521205,7-O-DiMe-Luteolin5.15315 [M+H]* \rightarrow 3005033560-DiMe-Luteolin (O-Methyl group likely on A + B ring)8.70315 [M+H]* \rightarrow 3005033565-O-Me-Isokaempferide5.25315 [M+H]* \rightarrow 25411539305,6-O-DiMe-Scutellarein*5.50315 [M+H]* \rightarrow 26211533345,6-O-DiMe-Scutellarein7.68315 [M+H]* \rightarrow 3025073567-O-Me-Quercetin7.68317 [M+H]* \rightarrow 3025073567-O-Me-Quercetin7.63317 [M+H]* \rightarrow 181152120O-DiMe-2	7-O-Me-Eriodictyol	7.50	303 [M+H] ⁺ → 124	50	1	55	4
7-O-Me-Dinydrokaempferol6.99303 [M+H]+ \rightarrow 107504552Quercetin*5.96303 [M+H]+ \rightarrow 153507454O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 1)6.44303 [M+H]+ \rightarrow 16721521207-O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32303 [M+H]+ \rightarrow 1672152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32303 [M+H]+ \rightarrow 1672152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32303 [M+H]+ \rightarrow 1672152120O-Me-2hydroxyeriodictyol4.88305 [M+H]+ \rightarrow 13721521202-Hydroxyeriodictyol4.88305 [M+H]+ \rightarrow 1372152144307 [O-Me-Luteolin (O-Methyl group likely on A + B ring)8.70315 [M+H]+ \rightarrow 3005033565-O-Me-Isokaempferide5.25315 [M+H]+ \rightarrow 25411539307-O-Me-Isokaempferide5.34315 [M+H]+ \rightarrow 25411539305,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein5.50315 [M+H]+ \rightarrow 28211533345,6-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)*7.68315 [M+H]+ \rightarrow 3025073567-O-Me-Quercetin7.63317 [M+H]+ \rightarrow 3025073567-O-Me-Quercetin7.63317 [M+H]+ \rightarrow 181152120O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 1)*5.51317 [M+H]+ \rightarrow 18115	5-O-Me-Dihydrokaempferol	4.44	303 [M+H] ⁺ → 107	50	4	55	2
Quercetin5.96303 [M+H]* \rightarrow 153507454O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin6.44303 [M+H]* \rightarrow 1672152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin7.07303 [M+H]* \rightarrow 1672152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32303 [M+H]* \rightarrow 1672152120Dihydroquercetin (Taxifolin)*4.41305 [M+H]* \rightarrow 1672152120Dihydroquercetin (Taxifolin)*4.41305 [M+H]* \rightarrow 13721521145,7-O-DiMe-Luteolin5.15315 [M+H]* \rightarrow 13721521145,7-O-DiMe-Luteolin (O-Methyl group likely on A + B ring)8.70315 [M+H]* \rightarrow 300503356O-DiMe-Luteolin (O-Methyl group likely on A + B ring)8.70315 [M+H]* \rightarrow 25411539305,7-O-DiMe-Suckempferide5.25315 [M+H]* \rightarrow 25411539305,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein*5.34315 [M+H]* \rightarrow 25411533345,6-O-DiMe-Scutellarein5.50315 [M+H]* \rightarrow 28211533346,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein7.68315 [M+H]* \rightarrow 3025073567-O-Me-Quercetin7.63317 [M+H]* \rightarrow 302507356O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 1)*5.51317 [M+H]* \rightarrow 1811521205-O-Me-Quercetin6.18319 [M+H]* \rightarrow 18115	7-O-Me-Dihydrokaempferol	6.99	$303 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 107$	50	4	55	2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Quercetin	5.96	303 [M+H] ⁺ → 153	50	/	45	4
7-O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin7.07303 [M+H]* \rightarrow 1672152120O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32303 [M+H]* \rightarrow 1672152120Dihydroquercetin (Taxifolin)*4.41305 [M+H]* \rightarrow 153507.52562-Hydroxyeriodictyol4.88305 [M+H]* \rightarrow 13721521145,7-O-DiMe-Luteolin5.15315 [M+H]* \rightarrow 300503356O-DiMe-Luteolin (O-Methyl group likely on A + B ring)8.70315 [M+H]* \rightarrow 25411539305-O-Me-Isokaempferide5.25315 [M+H]* \rightarrow 25411539305,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein*5.34315 [M+H]* \rightarrow 25411539305,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein5.50315 [M+H]* \rightarrow 28211533346,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)*7.68315 [M+H]* \rightarrow 28211533346,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)*7.63317 [M+H]* \rightarrow 302507356O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 1)*5.51317 [M+H]* \rightarrow 181152120O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)*6.81317 [M+H]* \rightarrow 181152120O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)*6.81317 [M+H]* \rightarrow 181152120O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)*6.81317 [M+H]* \rightarrow 183507.55567-O	O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 1)	6.44	303 [M+H] ⁺ → 167	21	5	21	20
D-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)8.32 $303 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 167$ 21 5 21 20 Dihydroquercetin (Taxifolin)* 4.41 $305 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 153$ 50 7.5 25 6 2-Hydroxyeriodictyol 4.88 $305 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 137$ 21 5 21 14 $5,7-O-DiMe-Luteolin$ 5.15 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 300$ 50 3 35 6 $O-DiMe-Luteolin$ ($O-Methyl group likely on A + B ring)$ 8.70 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 254$ 11 5 39 30 $5-O-Me-Isokaempferide$ 8.96 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 254$ 11 5 39 30 $5,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein*5.34315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 25411533345,6-O-DiMe-Scutellarein5.50315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 28211533346,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)*7.68315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 28211533346,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)*7.68317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 3025073567-O-Me-Quercetin7.63317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 302507356O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 1)*5.51317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181152120O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)*6.81317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123507.55567-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin6.18319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123507.55567-O-Me-Dihydr$	7-O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin	7.07	$303 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 167$	21	5	21	20
Dinydroquercetin (Taxtolin)*4.41 $305 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 153$ 50 7.5 25 6 2-Hydroxyeriodictyol4.88 $305 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 137$ 21 5 21 14 $5,7-O-DiMe-Luteolin5.15315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 300503356O-DiMe-Luteolin (O-Methyl group likely on A + B ring)8.70315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 3005033565-O-Me-Isokaempferide5.25315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 25411539307-O-Me-Isokaempferide8.96315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 25411539307-O-Me-Isokaempferide8.96315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 25411539305,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein^*5.34315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 2545034185,6-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)*7.68315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 28211533346,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)*7.68315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 3025073567-O-Me-Quercetin7.63317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 302507356O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 1)*5.51317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181152120O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)*6.81317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123507.55567-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin6.18319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123507.55567-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin6.18$	O-Me-2-hydroxynaringenin (peak 2)	8.32	303 [M+H] ⁺ → 167	21	5	21	20
2-Hydroxyenodictyol4.88 $305 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 137$ 21521145,7-O-DiMe-Luteolin5.15 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 300$ 503356O-DiMe-Luteolin (O-Methyl group likely on A + B ring)8.70 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 300$ 5033565-O-Me-Isokaempferide5.25 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 254$ 11539307-O-Me-Isokaempferide8.96 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 254$ 11539305,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein*5.34 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 254$ 11533346,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)*7.68 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 282$ 11533346,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)*7.68 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 282$ 11533345-O-Me-Quercetin4.85 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 302$ 507356O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 1)*5.51 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 152120O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)*6.81 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 507.55567-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin6.18 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 507.55567-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin6.18 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 138$ 5074045-O-Me-Myricetin4.12 $333 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 138$ 507356	Dihydroquercetin (Taxifolin)^	4.41	305 [M+H] ⁺ → 153	50	7.5	25	6
5,7-O-DIMe-Luteolin5.15 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 300$ 50 3 35 6 O-DiMe-Luteolin (O-Methyl group likely on A + B ring)8.70 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 300$ 50 3 35 6 5-O-Me-Isokaempferide 5.25 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 254$ 11 5 39 30 7-O-Me-Isokaempferide 8.96 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 254$ 11 5 39 30 $5,7$ -O-DiMe-Scutellarein* 5.34 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 254$ 50 3 41 8 $5,6$ -O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)* 7.68 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 282$ 11 5 33 34 $5,-O$ -Me-Quercetin 4.85 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 282$ 11 5 33 34 5 -O-Me-Quercetin 7.63 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 302$ 50 7 35 6 O -DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 1)* 5.51 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 1 5 21 20 O -DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)* 6.81 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 1 5 21 20 O -DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)* 6.81 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 1 5 21 20 5 -O-Me-Dihydroquercetin 6.18 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 50 7.5 55 6 7 -O-Me-Dihydroquercetin 6.18 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 153$ 50 7 40 4 5 -O-Me-Myricetin 4.12 $333 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 318$ 50 7 35 6	2-Hydroxyeriodictyol	4.88	305 [M+H] ⁺ → 137	21	5	21	14
O-DiMe-Luteolin (O-Methyl group likely on A + B ring)8.70 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 300$ 50 3 35 6 5-O-Me-Isokaempferide 5.25 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 254$ 11 5 39 30 7-O-Me-Isokaempferide 8.96 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 254$ 11 5 39 30 $5,7$ -O-DiMe-Scutellarein* 5.34 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 254$ 50 3 41 8 $5,6$ -O-DiMe-Scutellarein 5.50 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 282$ 11 5 33 34 $6,7$ -O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)* 7.68 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 282$ 11 5 33 34 5 -O-Me-Quercetin 4.85 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 282$ 11 5 33 34 5 -O-Me-Quercetin 7.63 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 302$ 50 7 35 6 O -DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 1)* 5.51 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 1 5 21 20 O -DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)* 6.81 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 1 5 21 20 O -DiMydroquercetin 3.81 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 50 7.5 55 6 7 -O-Me-Dihydroquercetin 6.18 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 50 7.5 55 6 7 -O-Me-Dihydroquercetin 4.12 $333 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 153$ 50 7 40 4 5 -O-Me-Myricetin 4.12 $333 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 318$ 50 7 35 6	5,7-O-DIME-Luteolin	5.15	315 [M+H] ⁺ → 300	50	3	35	6
5-O-Me-Isokaempteride5.25 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 254$ 11539307-O-Me-Isokaempferide8.96 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 254$ 11539305,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein*5.34 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 254$ 11539305,6-O-DiMe-Scutellarein5.50 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 254$ 5034185,6-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)*7.68 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 282$ 11533345,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)*7.68 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 282$ 11533345-O-Me-Quercetin7.63 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 302$ 5073567-O-Me-Quercetin7.63 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 152120O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 1)*5.51 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 152120O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)*6.81 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 1521205-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin3.81 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 507.55567-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin6.18 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 133$ 5074045-O-Me-Myricetin*5.07 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 318$ 507356Myricetin*6.12 $323 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 318$ 507356	O-DiMe-Luteolin (O-Methyl group likely on A + B ring)	8.70	$315 [\text{M+H}]^{+} \rightarrow 300$	50	3	35	6
7-O-Me-Isokaemptende8.96 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 254$ 11539305,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein*5.34 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 254$ 5034185,6-O-DiMe-Scutellarein5.50 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 282$ 11533346,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)*7.68 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 282$ 11533345-O-Me-Quercetin4.85 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 302$ 5073567-O-Me-Quercetin7.63 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 302$ 507356O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 1)*5.51 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 152120O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)*6.81 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 1521205-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin3.81 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 507.55567-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin6.18 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 507.5556Myricetin*5.07 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 318$ 5074045-O-Me-Myricetin4.12 $333 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 318$ 507356	5-O-Me-Isokaempteride	5.25	315 [M+H] ⁺ → 254	11	5	39	30
5,7-O-DIMe-Scutellarein5.34 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 254$ 50 3 41 8 5,6-O-DiMe-Scutellarein5.50 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 282$ 11 5 33 34 6,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)*7.68 $315 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 282$ 11 5 33 34 5-O-Me-Quercetin4.85 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 302$ 50 7 35 6 7-O-Me-Quercetin7.63 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 302$ 50 7 35 6 O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 1)* 5.51 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 1 5 21 20 O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)* 6.81 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 1 5 21 20 O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)* 6.81 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 1 5 21 20 O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)* 6.81 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 1 5 21 20 O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)* 6.81 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 1 5 21 20 O-Di-Dihydroquercetin 3.81 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 50 7.5 55 6 Myricetin* 5.07 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 318$ 50 7 40 4 5-O-Me-Myricetin 4.12 $333 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 318$ 50 7 35 6	7-O-Me-Isokaempteride	8.96	$315 [M+H]^{+} \rightarrow 254$	11	5	39	30
5,6-O-DiMe-Scutellarein5,50315 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 282$ 11533346,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)*7.68315 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 282$ 11533345-O-Me-Quercetin4.85317 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 302$ 5073567-O-Me-Quercetin7.63317 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 302$ 507356O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 1)*5.51317 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 152120O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)*6.81317 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 1521205-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin3.81319 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 507.55567-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin6.18319 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 507.5556Myricetin*5.07319 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 318$ 5074045-O-Me-Myricetin4.12333 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 318$ 507356	5,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein*	5.34	315 [M+H] ⁺ → 254	50	3	41	8
6,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)*7,68315 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 282$ 11533345-O-Me-Quercetin4.85317 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 302$ 5073567-O-Me-Quercetin7.63317 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 302$ 507356O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 1)*5.51317 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 152120O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)*6.81317 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 1521205-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin3.81319 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 507.55567-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin6.18319 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 507.5556Myricetin*5.07319 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 153$ 5074045-O-Me-Myricetin4.12333 $[M+H]^+ \rightarrow 318$ 507356	5,6-O-DiMe-Scutellarein	5.50	315 [M+H] ⁺ → 282	11	5	33	34
5-O-Me-Quercetin4.85 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 302$ 50 7 35 67-O-Me-Quercetin7.63 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 302$ 50 7 35 6O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 1)* 5.51 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 152120O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)* 6.81 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 1521205-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin 3.81 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 50 7.5 55 67-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin 6.18 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 50 7.5 55 6Myricetin* 5.07 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 153$ 50 7 40 4 5-O-Me-Myricetin 4.12 $333 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 318$ 50 7 35 6	6,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)*	7.68	315 [M+H] ⁺ → 282	11	5	33	34
7-O-Me-Quercetin7.63 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 302$ 50 7 35 6O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 1)* 5.51 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 152120O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)* 6.81 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 1521205-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin 3.81 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 50 7.5 55 67-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin 6.18 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 50 7.5 55 6Myricetin* 5.07 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 153$ 50 7 40 4 5-O-Me-Myricetin 4.12 $333 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 318$ 50 7 35 6	5-O-Me-Quercetin	4.85	317 [M+H] ⁺ → 302	50	/	35	6
O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 1)*5.51 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 152120O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)*6.81 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 1521205-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin3.81 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 507.55567-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin6.18 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 507.5556Myricetin*5.07 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 153$ 5074045-O-Me-Myricetin4.12 $333 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 318$ 507356	7-O-Me-Quercetin	7.63	317 [M+H] ⁺ → 302	50	/	35	6
O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)* 6.81 $317 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 181$ 1 5 21 20 5-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin 3.81 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 50 7.5 55 6 7-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin 6.18 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 50 7.5 55 6 Myricetin* 5.07 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 153$ 50 7 40 4 5-O-Me-Myricetin 4.12 333 [M+H]^+ $\rightarrow 318$ 50 7 35 6	O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 1)	5.51	317 [M+H] ⁺ → 181	1	5	21	20
5-O-Me-Dinydroquercetin 3.81 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 50 7.5 55 6 7-O-Me-Dihydroquercetin 6.18 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$ 50 7.5 55 6 Myricetin* 5.07 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 153$ 50 7 40 4 5-O-Me-Myricetin 4.12 $333 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 318$ 50 7 35 6 7 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04	O-Dilvie-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin; peak 2)*	6.81	317 [M+H] ⁺ → 181	1	5	21	20
$I - O - NIC = DINY aroquer cetin6.18319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 12350I.5556Myricetin*5.07319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 1535074045-O-Me-Myricetin4.12333 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 31850735670.040.040.040.040.040.04$	5-O-Ivie-Dihydroquercetin	3.81	319 [M+H] ⁺ → 123	50	1.5	55	6
wynceun 5.07 $319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 153$ 50 7 40 4 5-O-Me-Myricetin 4.12 $333 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 318$ 50 7 35 6 7 O Me Myricetin 6.57 $329 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 318$ 50 7 35 6	/-O-IVIe-Dihydroquercetin	6.18	$319 [M+H]^+ \rightarrow 123$	50	1.5	55	6
D-U-IME-INIVITICEUIN 4.12 333 [M+H] ⁺ → 318 50 / 35 6 T O Mo Minipatin 6.57 232 [M+L] ⁺ → 349 50 7 35 6		5.07	319 [M+H] ⁺ → 153	50	1	40	4
		4.12	333 [M+H] ⁺ → 318	50	1	35	6

Supplemental Table 15. Mass analyzer settings used for the analysis of flavonoid glycosides on the QTRAP 6500+. Compounds marked with (*) were verified by an authentic standard. Abbreviations: Me, methyl; DP, declustering potential; EP, entrance potential; CE, collision energy; CXP, collision cell exit potential; V, volts.

flavonoid glycoside	MRM transition <i>m</i> /z	DP (V)	EP (V)	CE (V)	CXP (V)
Kaempferol-7-O-beta-D-glucopyranoside*	447 [M-H] ⁻ → 285	-50	-11	-38	-8
O-Me-Kaempferol-7-O-beta-D-glucopyranoside-1	461 [M-H] [_] → 299	-50	-6	-40	-4
O-Me-Kaempferol-7-O-beta-D-glucopyranoside-2	461 [M-H] [_] → 284	-50	-6	-50	-4
Kaempferol-3-O-beta-rutinoside*	593 [M-H] [_] → 285	-50	-6	-46	-8
O-Me-Kaempferol-3-O-beta-rutinoside-1	607 [M-H] ⁻ → 299	-50	-6	-40	-4
O-Me-Kaempferol-3-O-beta-rutinoside-2	607 [M-H] → 284	-50	-6	-50	-4

Supplemental Table 16. Mass analyzer settings used for the analysis of BXs on the QTRAP 6500+. Compounds marked with (*) were verified by an authentic standard. Abbreviations: DP, declustering potential; EP, entrance potential; CE, collision energy; CXP, collision cell exit potential; V, volts.

benzoxazinoid	MRM transition <i>m/z</i>	DP (V)	EP (V)	CE (V)	CXP (V)
MBOA	164 [M-H] [_] → 149	-40	-4	-20	-2
HBOA-Glc	326 [M-H] [_] → 164	-40	-4	-20	-5
DIBOA-Glc	342 [M-H] [_] → 134	-40	-4	-24	-4
HMBOA-Glc	356 [M-H] [_] → 194	-40	-4	-15	-4
DIMBOA-Glc*	372 [M-H] [_] → 210	-40	-4	-15	-4
HM ₂ BOA-Glc	386 [M-H] [_] → 224	-40	-4	-15	-4
HDMBOA-Glc*	432 [M+FA-H]⁻ → 356	-40	-4	-20	-3
DIM ₂ BOA-Glc	402 [M-H] [_] → 194	-40	-4	-18	-5
HDM ₂ BOA-Glc	462 [M+FA-H] [_] → 194	-40	-4	-25	-3

Compound	Supplier	self purified
Phenylpropanoids		
Caffeic acid	Merck; S23175, > 98 % (HPLC)	-
Resveratrol	Sigma, R-5010, approx. 99 %	-
Flavonoids		
Chrysin	Sigma, 95082, analyt. Standard	-
Apigenin	Sigma, 42251, analyt. standard	-
Genistein	Carl Roth, 0716.2, >= 98 %, für Biochemie	-
Naringenin	Sigma, W530098, 98 %	-
Naringeninchalcone	PhytoLab, 83877, phyproof	-
Scutellarein	Sigma, S0327, >= 98 % (HPLC)	-
Luteolin	Enzo Life Sciences, ALX-385-007	-
Kaempferol	EMD Chemicals San Diego, 420345	-
Dihydrokaempferol	Sigma, 91216, >= 95 % (HPLC)	-
2-Hydroxynaringenin		\checkmark
Eriodictyol	Sigma, 94258, >= 95 % (HPLC)	-
Quercetin hydrate	Acros organics, 95 %	-
Dihydroquercetin (Taxifolin) hydrate	Sigma, T4512, >= 90 % (HPLC)	-
Myricetin	Carl Roth, 6461.1, >= 95 %	-
Flavonoid glycosides		
Kaempferol-7-O-ß-D-glucopyranoside	Sigma, 18854, >= 90 % (HPLC)	-
Kaempferol-3-O- ß-rutinoside	Sigma, 90242, >= 98 % (HPLC)	-
O-methylflavonoids		
5-O-Me-Apigenin	-	\checkmark
7-O-Me-Apigenin (Genkwanin)	TRC, G360000	\checkmark
4'-O-Me-Apigenin (Acacetin)	Carl Roth, 5010.1	-
5-O-Me-Naringenin	Sigma, SMB00201, >= 95 %	\checkmark
7-O-Me-Naringenin (Sakuranetin)	Sigma, 73422, analyt. Standard	\checkmark
5,7-O-DiMe-Apigenin	-	\checkmark
5-O-Me-Scutellarein	-	\checkmark
7-O-Me-Scutellarein	-	\checkmark
6-O-Me-Scutellarein (Hispidulin)	Sigma, SML0582, >= 98 % (HPLC)	-
3-O-Me-Kaempferol (Isokaempferide)	-	\checkmark
5,7-O-DiMe-Naringenin	-	\checkmark
5,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein	-	\checkmark
6,7-O-DiMe-Scutellarein (Cirsimaritin)	Sigma, SMB00174, ≥90% (LC/MS-ELSD)	-
O-DiMe-2-Hydroxynaringenin (Xilonenin)	-	\checkmark

Supplemental Table 17. Authentic standards used for identification and quantification. Abbreviations: Me, methyl.

Supplemental Table 18: see Supplemental Tables (Excel file)

Supplemental Table 19. qRT-PCR primers.

Primer name	Target gene	Primer Sequence (5' \rightarrow 3')			
ZmFOMT2_qPCR_Fwd	FOMT2	GTCCCTGTGCTACGCCAAAT			
ZmFOMT2_qPCR_Rev	FOMT2	GTCGACGGTCGAATCATCAC			
ZmFOMT3_qPCR_Fwd_neu1	FOMT2	TGATGAGTCAAATACAAAACGTC			
ZmFOMT3_qPCR_Rev_neu1	FOM13	GGGAGCCAACAACGGGTAGA			
GRMZM2G423331_Fwd3	FOMT4	CCCAGTACAAGCACCTGAGAG			
GRMZM2G423331_Rev3	FOM14	ACCACCTACATGTTCCACACC			
GRMZM2G422750-Fwd2		CGTCCGCAAATAATGTGCTCTC			
GRMZM2G422750-Rev2	CHS2 (UTR)	TAGCTCTACCCTGGTCTTGC			
GRMZM2G175076-Fwd1		TTCGTGAATGTCCGTCCTGT			
GRMZM2G175076-Rev1	CHIL(UTR)	CCACAACGACAATCTGCACAA			
GRMZM2G062396-Fwd1		TATAGCTACGTGCGACCGTG			
GRMZM2G062396-Rev1	F3H (UTR)	CTGGAACCGCACGTTGAAAA			
ZmFNSI_Fwd	51014	AGGAGAAGGCCAAGCTCTACT			
ZmFNSI Rev	FNSI1	CCCATGGTCTCCTTGAAATC			
FNSI2 gPCR Fwd2	-	CCGGACAACCCACCATCCTT			
FNSI2 gPCR Rev2	FNS12	CCCAGCGCCTCCTTGATGTA			
ZmFLS1/2 gPCR Fwd2		TACGAGGCCAAGTACGTGCC			
ZmFLS1/2 gPCR Rev2	FLS1/FLS2	TCCACGAACATCGGCCATGA			
ZmF3-H gPCR Fwd1		ATCCGGACGTGCTCAGGAAG			
ZmF3-H gPCR Rev1	F3,H	TCTCCTTGATCACCGCCGTG			
Zm33614 gPCR Fwd1		CAATCCACTGCGCCGCCCT			
Zm33614 gPCR Rev1	F2H1	TACGTAGCCGCTCTTGCCG			
Zm10826 gPCR Fwd3		GGCAGCAGGGACAGGGAG			
Zm10826 gPCR Fwd3	F2H2	GGCAGCAGGGACAGGGAG			
FNSII gPCR Fwd1		GCGTACAAGGAGACGTTGCG			
FNSII gPCR Rev1	FNSII1	CGATGGCCCACACGTTGATG			
Zm08124 gPCR Fwd3		AAGACGATGGACAAGGAATAAG			
Zm08124 gPCR Rev3	FNSII2	CCGCCGCCTGGTCCTCC			
Zm39147 gPCR Fwd2		AGTCGACGGTGCTTATCCAC			
Zm39147 gPCR Rev2	ZmCYP93G6	ATGTATTGGAAGTGCTTCCCG			
Zm33036 gPCR Fwd3		ACCTGCAGGACTACATCGGC			
Zm33036 gPCR Rev3	ZmCYP93F6	GCCGTCAGTATCCGCTCCAT			
ZmBx10/11 UTR gFwd2		GAAGGTGTTGATAGTATATTATG			
ZmBx10 UTR gRev2	<i>BX10</i> (UTR)	ACTGGTACGCTTGTAACTTGA			
ZmBx11_UTR_gFwd3		TGTGTGTTGGTCAAGTAGTCGA			
ZmBx11 UTR gRev3	<i>BX11</i> (UTR)	TCCTTGTTGCCATGACACAAC			
BxD-2.1		GAAAGCCGCTTCTTGATGCC			
BxD-2.2	BX14	GGAACATATTGCCCGCAACG			
ZmUBCP gPCR Fwd1		TTGTCCCTGAGATTGCTCACA			
ZmUBCP gPCR Rev1	UBCP (GRMZM2G102471)	CACCAGTTTGGCCAGCTTTTA			
ZmMEP gPCR Fwd		TGTACTCGGCAATGCTCTTG			
ZmMEP_qPCR_Rev	MEP (GRMZM2G018103)	TTTGATGCTCCAGGCTTACC			

Supplemental Table 20. PCR primers for the amplification of full-length open reading frames of investigated FOMTs and CYP93Gs.

Primer name	Target gene	Primer Sequence (5' $ ightarrow$ 3')	Restriction recognition site	Application
FOMT2-W22-fwd	EOMT2	CACCATGGCACTCAGCACTCAGGA		TOPO
FOMT2-W22-rev	TOMT2	TCATGGATAGACCTCGATGAC		cloning
FOMT4-W22-IBA-F	FOMTA	ATGGTACGTCTCAGCGCATGGCCTGCACGACGGCAGC	BemBl	
FOMT4-W22-IBA-R	101014	ATGGTACGTCTCATATCACTTGGTGAACTCGAGCGCCCA	DSITIDI	
Bx10g-synt_pASK-37.fwd	EOMT2	ATGGTAGGTCTCAGCGCATGGCGTTTACGGAAGAGAGTTC	Paal	pASK-IBA37plus
Bx10g-synt_pASK-37.rev	FOINTS	ATGGTAGGTCTCATATCAGGGATAGACCTCAATCACAGACA	DSai	cloning
Bx10e-synt_pASK-37.fwd	EOMTE	ATGGTAGGTCTCAGCGCATGGCCTTACTCGGGGAATACTC	Paal	
Bx10e-synt_pASK-37.rev	FOINTS	ATGGTAGGTCTCATATCAATTCGGGTACAGTTCGATGATAGA	DSai	
Spel-ZmG167336_Fwd	E2U1 (B73)	TCGTACTAGTATGGAAGCTGATGCTGCT	Spel	
ZmG167336-Pacl_Rev	F2111 (B13)	ACACTTAATTAACTACGTAGCCGCTCTTGC	Pacl	
Zm33614_Notl_Fwd	E211 (M22)	CGTGCGGCCGCAATGGAAGCTGATGCTGC	Notl	
Zm33614_Sacl_Rev	F2111 (VVZZ)	AGGGAGCTCTTAAGTAGCAGCTCTAGCTG	Sacl	
Zm10826_Notl_Fwd	E2U2	CGTGCGGCCGCAATGGAAGCTGCTGCTG	Notl	
Zm10826_Sacl_Rev	FZHZ	AGGGAGCTCTCAAGTAGCTGTAGCTTGC	Sacl	
Zm08124_Notl_Fwd		CGTGCGGCCGCAATGAAGGAACAACAACCTAGA	Notl	subcloning into
Zm08124_Sacl_Rev	FINGUZ	AGGGAGCTCTTAAACAACTGCTGGAAATGGA	Sacl	pESC-Leu2d
Zm39147_Notl_Fwd	ZmCVD02C6	CGTGCGGCCGCAATGGAAGAACAACAATTGAGAG	Notl	
Zm39147_Sacl_Rev	21110 1 F 93 G 0	AGGGAGCTCTCAAACAACAGGTGGAAATG	Sacl	
Zm33036_Notl_Fwd	ZmCVD02F6	CGTGCGGCCGCAATGGAAGTTGTTACCGCTA	Notl	
Zm33036_Sacl_Rev	21110119310	AGGGAGCTCTCAAACACCAGCGGTTT	Sacl	

5-O-methyl naringenin



Molecules **2004**, *9*(7), 602-608; <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/90700602</u> *J. Nat. Prod.* **1998**, *61*(1), 142-144; <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/np970293i</u>



¹H NMR spectrum of 5-O-methyl naringenin in acetone- d_6 (grey bars indicate impurities)



¹H-¹H COSY spectrum of 5-*O*-methyl naringenin in acetone- d_6



¹H-¹³C HSQC spectrum of 5-*O*-methyl naringenin in acetone- d_6



¹H-¹³C HMBC spectrum of 5-*O*-methyl naringenin in acetone- d_6



Detail of the ¹H NMR spectrum of 5-*O*-methyl naringenin (above) and a selective NOESY spectrum (below) with the transmitter set on the -OMe frequency (both in acetone- d_6)

7-O-methyl naringenin (sakuranetin)



Phytochemistry **2005**, *66*(14), 1698-1706; <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2005.04.031</u>



¹H NMR spectrum of 7-O-methyl naringenin in acetone- d_6 (grey bar indicates impurities)



¹H-¹³C HSQC spectrum of 7-*O*-methyl naringenin in acetone- d_6



¹H-¹³C HMBC spectrum of 7-*O*-methyl naringenin in acetone- d_6



Detail of the ¹H-¹H ROESY spectrum of 7-O-methyl naringenin in acetone- d_6

5,7-O-dimethyl naringenin



Bulletin of the Korean Chemical Society **2007**, *28*(12), 2527-2530; http://dx.doi.org/10.5012/bkcs.2007.28.12.2527



¹H NMR spectrum of 5,7-O-dimethyl naringenin in acetone- d_6 (grey bar indicates impurities)



¹H-¹³C HSQC spectrum of 5,7-*O*-dimethyl naringenin in acetone- d_6

¹H-¹³C HMBC spectrum of 5,7-*O*-dimethyl naringenin in acetone- d_6

Detail of the ¹H-¹H ROESY spectrum of 5,7-*O*-dimethyl naringenin in acetone- d_6

5-O-methyl apigenin

Bulletin of the Korean Chemical Society **2007**, *28*(12), 2527-2530; http://dx.doi.org/10.5012/bkcs.2007.28.12.2527

¹H NMR spectrum of 5-O-methyl apigenin in acetone- d_6 (grey bars indicate impurities)

¹H-¹³C HSQC spectrum of 5-*O*-methyl apigenin in acetone- d_6

¹H-¹³C HMBC spectrum of 5-*O*-methyl apigenin in acetone- d_6

Detail of the ¹H-¹H ROESY spectrum of 5-*O*-methyl apigenin in acetone- d_6

7-O-methyl apigenin (genkwanin)

Heterocycles 2008, 76(2), 1607-1615;

Chinese Pharmaceutical Journal (Taipei, Taiwan) **2006**, 58(1), 35-40; *Alexandria Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* **2004**, 18(2), 165-170; *Bulletin of the Korean Chemical Society* **2007**, 28(12), 2527-2530; *Chemistry of Natural Compounds* **2005**, 41(2), 178-181.

¹H NMR spectrum of 7-O-methyl apigenin in acetone- d_6 (grey bar indicates impurities)

5,7-O-dimethyl apigenin

Journal of the Chinese Chemical Society (Taipei, Taiwan) **2004**, 51(1), 199-204; Bulletin of the Korean Chemical Society **2007**, 28(12), 2527-2530; J. Med. Chem. **2007**, 50(16), 3921-3927; J. Agr. Food Chem. **2004**, 52(19), 5863-5868.

¹H NMR spectrum of 5,7-O-dimethyl apigenin in acetone- d_6 (grey bars indicate impurities)

¹H-¹H COSY spectrum of 5,7-O-dimethyl apigenin in acetone- d_6


¹H-¹³C HSQC spectrum of 5,7-*O*-dimethyl apigenin in acetone-*d*₆



¹H-¹³C HMBC spectrum of 5,7-O-dimethyl apigenin in acetone- d_6



¹H-¹H ROESY spectrum of 5,7-*O*-dimethyl apigenin in acetone- d_6

5-O-methyl scutellarein





¹H NMR spectrum of 5-O-methyl scutellarein in methanol- d_3 (grey bars indicate impurities)



¹H-¹³C HSQC spectrum of 5-*O*-methyl scutellarein in methanol- d_3



¹H-¹³C HMBC spectrum of 5-*O*-methyl scutellarein in methanol- d_3



Detail of the ¹H-¹³C HMBC spectrum of 5-O-methyl scutellarein in methanol- d_3

7-O-methyl scutellarein





¹H NMR spectrum of 7-O-methyl scutellarein in acetone- d_6 (grey bar indicates impurities)



¹H-¹³C HSQC spectrum of 7-*O*-methyl scutellarein in acetone- d_6



¹H-¹³C HMBC spectrum of 7-*O*-methyl scutellarein in acetone- d_6



¹H-¹H ROESY spectrum of 7-*O*-methyl scutellarein in acetone- d_6

5,7-O-dimethyl scutellarein





¹H NMR spectrum of 5,7-O-dimethyl scutellarein in acetone- d_6 (grey bar indicates impurities)



¹H-¹³C HSQC spectrum of 5,7-*O*-dimethyl scutellarein in acetone- d_6



¹H-¹³C HMBC spectrum of 5,7-*O*-dimethyl scutellarein in acetone- d_6



¹H-¹H ROESY spectrum of 5,7-*O*-dimethyl scutellarein in acetone- d_6

3-O-methyl kaempferol (isokaempferide)



Archives of Pharmacal Research **2003**, 26(12), 1018-1023; Natural Medicines (Tokyo, Japan) **2003**, 57(6), 250-252; Archives of Pharmacal Research **2011**, 34(8), 1289-1296.



¹H NMR spectrum of kaempferol-3-methyl ether (isokampferide) in acetone- d_6 (grey bar indicates impurities)



¹H-¹³C HSQC spectrum of kaempferol-3-methyl ether (isokampferide) in acetone- d_6



¹H-¹³C HMBC spectrum of kaempferol-3-methyl ether (isokampferide) in acetone- d_6



¹H-¹H ROESY spectrum of kaempferol-3-methyl ether (isokampferide) in acetone- d_6

2-hydroxynaringenin (500 MHz, 269 K)



Phytochemistry **2016**, 125, 73-87. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2016.02.013</u>



¹H NMR spectrum of 2-hydroxy naringenin in acetone- d_6 at 269 K (grey bars indicate impurities)



¹³C NMR spectrum of 2-hydroxy naringenin in acetone- d_6 at 269 K



¹H-¹H COSY spectrum of 2-hydroxy naringenin in acetone- d_6 at 269 K



¹H-¹³C HSQC spectrum of 2-hydroxy naringenin in acetone- d_6 at 269 K



¹H-¹³C HMBC spectrum of 2-hydroxy naringenin in acetone- d_6 at 269 K

Xilonenin (700 MHz)





¹H NMR spectrum of xilonenin in MeOH- d_3 (grey bars indicate impurities)



Detail of the ¹H NMR spectrum of xilonenin in MeOH- d_3 (grey bars indicate impurities)



¹H NMR spectrum of purified xilonenin in MeOH- d_3 (grey bars indicate impurities)



Detail of the ¹H-¹H COSY spectrum of xilonenin in MeOH- d_3



¹H-¹³C HSQC spectrum of xilonenin in MeOH-*d*₃



¹H-¹³C HMBC spectrum of xilonenin in MeOH- d_3


¹³C-chemical shifts of xilonenin calculated from F1-projections of the ¹H-¹³C HSQC and HMBC spectra

Α



pos.	δ _H	<i>mult.,</i> J _{нн} [Hz]	δ _c
1	-	-	-
2	-	-	-
3	-	-	-
1'	-	-	-
2′	-	-	158.2
3'	6.04	S	91.9
4'	-	-	160.6
5′	6.04	S	91.9
6'	-	-	158.2
1"	-	-	124.2
2"	7.61	d, 8.6	128.8
3"	6.55	d, 8.4	116.2
4"	-	-	-
5″	6.55	d, 8.4	116.2
6"	7.61	d, 8.6	128.8
-OCH ₃	3.63	S	55.2

pos.	δ _H	<i>mult.,</i> J _{нн} [Hz]	δ _c
1	-	-	196.0
2	4.11	S	54.7
3	-	-	191.0
1'	-	-	109.5
2'	-	-	-
3'	6.04	S	91.9
4'	-	-	-
5'	5.98	S	91.9
6'	-	-	-
1"	-	-	-
2"	7.62	-	130.9
3"	6.55	d, 8.4	116.2
4"	-	-	169.0
5"	6.53	-	116.2
6"	7.62	-	130.9
-OCH ₃	3.60	S	55.2

В



Annotated ¹H NMR spectrum of xilonenin tautomers in DMSO-*d*₆. The enol form (A) and keto form (B).

Annotated ¹H NMR spectrum (3.5 – 8.0 ppm) of xilonenin tautomers in DMSO-*d*₆. The enol form (A) and keto form (B).





Annotated COSY spectrum of xilonenin tautomers in DMSO-d₆. The enol form (A).

Annotated HSQC spectrum of xilonenin tautomers in DMSO-*d*₆. The enol form (A) and keto form (B).





