UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Mutation-specific pathophysiological mechanisms define different neurodevelopmental disorders associated with SATB1 dysfunction.

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/70f7n18h

Journal American Journal of Human Genetics, 108(2)

Authors

den Hoed, Joery de Boer, Elke Voisin, Norine <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2021-02-04

DOI

10.1016/j.ajhg.2021.01.007

Peer reviewed

Mutation-specific pathophysiological mechanisms define different neurodevelopmental disorders associated with SATB1 dysfunction

Joery den Hoed,^{1,2,74} Elke de Boer,^{3,4,74} Norine Voisin,^{5,74} Alexander J.M. Dingemans,^{3,4} Nicolas Guex,^{5,6} Laurens Wiel,^{3,7,8} Christoffer Nellaker,^{9,10,11} Shivarajan M. Amudhavalli,^{12,13} Siddharth Banka,^{14,15} Frederique S. Bena,¹⁶ Bruria Ben-Zeev,¹⁷ Vincent R. Bonagura,^{18,19} Ange-Line Bruel,^{20,21} Theresa Brunet,²² Han G. Brunner,^{3,4,72} Hui B. Chew,²⁴ Jacqueline Chrast,⁵ Loreta Cimbalistiene,²⁵ Hilary Coon,²⁶ The DDD Study,²⁷ Emmanuèlle C. Délot,²⁸ Florence Démurger,²⁹ Anne-Sophie Denommé-Pichon,^{20,21} Christel Depienne,³⁰ Dian Donnai,^{14,15} David A. Dyment,³¹ Orly Elpeleg,³² Laurence Faivre,^{20,33,34} Christian Gilissen,^{3,7} Leslie Granger,³⁵ Benjamin Haber,³⁶ Yasuo Hachiya,³⁷ Yasmin Hamzavi Abedi,^{38,39} Jennifer Hanebeck,³⁶ Jayne Y. Hehir-Kwa,⁴⁰ Brooke Horist,⁴¹ Toshiyuki Itai,⁴² Adam Jackson,¹⁴ Rosalyn Jewell,⁴³ Kelly L. Jones,^{44,45} Shelagh Joss,⁴⁶ Hirofumi Kashii,³⁷ Mitsuhiro Kato,⁴⁷ Anja A. Kattentidt-Mouravieva,⁴⁸ Fernando Kok,^{49,50}

(Author list continued on next page)

Summary

Whereas large-scale statistical analyses can robustly identify disease-gene relationships, they do not accurately capture genotype-phenotype correlations or disease mechanisms. We use multiple lines of independent evidence to show that different variant types in a single gene, *SATB1*, cause clinically overlapping but distinct neurodevelopmental disorders. Clinical evaluation of 42 individuals carrying *SATB1* variants identified overt genotype-phenotype relationships, associated with different pathophysiological mechanisms, established by functional assays. Missense variants in the CUT1 and CUT2 DNA-binding domains result in stronger chromatin binding, increased transcriptional repression, and a severe phenotype. In contrast, variants predicted to result in haploinsufficiency are associated with a milder clinical presentation. A similarly mild phenotype is observed for individuals with premature protein truncating variants that escape nonsense-mediated decay, which are transcriptionally active but mislocalized in the cell. Our results suggest that in-depth mutation-specific genotype-phenotype studies are essential to capture full disease complexity and to explain phenotypic variability.

SATB1 (MIM: 602075) encodes a dimeric/tetrameric transcription factor¹ with crucial roles in development and maturation of T cells.^{2–4} Recently, a potential contribution of *SATB1* to brain development was suggested by statistically significant enrichment of *de novo* variants in two large neurodevelopmental disorder (NDD) cohorts, ^{5,6} although

¹Language and Genetics Department, Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics, 6500 AH Nijmegen, the Netherlands; ²International Max Planck Research School for Language Sciences, Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics, 6500 AH Nijmegen, the Netherlands; ³Department of Human Genetics, Radboudumc, 6500 HB Nijmegen, the Netherlands; ⁴Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud University, 6500 GL Nijmegen, the Netherlands; ⁵Center for Integrative Genomics, University of Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland; ⁶Bioinformatics Competence Center, University of Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland; ⁷Radboud Institute for Molecular Life Sciences, Radboud University Medical Center, 6500 HB Nijmegen, the Netherlands; ⁸Center for Molecular and Biomolecular Informatics of the Radboudumc, 6500 HB Nijmegen, the Netherlands; ⁹Nuffield Department of Women's and Reproductive Health, University of Oxford, Women's Centre, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford OX3 9DU, UK; ¹⁰Institute of Biomedical Engineering, Department of Engineering Science, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7DQ, UK; ¹¹Big Data Institute, Li Ka Shing Centre for Health Information and Discovery, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7LF, UK, ¹²University of Missouri-Kansas City School of Medicine, Kansas City, MO 64108, USA; ¹³Department of Pediatrics, Division of Clinical Genetics, Children's Mercy Hospital, Kansas City, MO 64108, USA; ¹⁴Manchester Centre for Genomic Medicine, Division of Evolution and Genomic Sciences, School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, UK; 15 Manchester Centre for Genomic Medicine, St Mary's Hospital, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Health Innovation Manchester, Manchester M13 9WL, UK; ¹⁶Service of Genetic Medicine, University Hospitals of Geneva, 1205 Geneva, Switzerland; ¹⁷Edmomd and Lilly Safra Pediatric Hospital, Sheba Medical Center and Sackler School of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv 69978, Israel; ¹⁸Institute of Molecular Medicine, Feinstein Institutes for Medical Research, Manhasset, NY 11030, USA; ¹⁹Pediatrics and Molecular Medicine, Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, Hempstead, NY 11549, USA; ²⁰UMR1231-Inserm, Génétique des Anomalies du développement, Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté, 21070 Dijon, France; ²¹Laboratoire de Génétique chromosomique et moléculaire, UF6254 Innovation en diagnostic génomique des maladies rares, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Dijon, 21070 Dijon, France; ²²Institute of Human Genetics, Technical University of Munich, 81675 Munich, Germany; ²³Department of Clinical Genetics, Maastricht University Medical Center+, azM, 6202 AZ Maastricht, the Netherlands; ²⁴Department of Genetics, Kuala Lumpur Hospital, Jalan Pahang, 50586 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; 25 Department of Human and Medical Genetics, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Vilnius University, 08661 Vilnius, Lithuania; ²⁶Department of Psychiatry, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA; ²⁷Wellcome Sanger Institute, Wellcome Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridge CB10 1SA, UK; ²⁸Center for Genetic Medicine Research, Children's National Hospital, Children's Research Institute and Department of Genomics and Precision Medicine, George Washington University, Washington, DC 20010, USA; ²⁹Department of clinical genetics, Vannes hospital, 56017 Vannes, France; ³⁰Institute of Human Genetics, University Hospital Essen,

© 2021 American Society of Human Genetics.

(Affiliations continued on next page)

Vaidutis Kučinskas,²⁵ Alma Kuechler,³⁰ Alinoë Lavillaureix,⁵¹ Pengfei Liu,^{52,53} Linda Manwaring,⁵⁴ Naomichi Matsumoto,⁴² Benoît Mazel,³³ Kirsty McWalter,⁵⁵ Vardiella Meiner,³² Mohamad A. Mikati,⁵⁶ Satoko Miyatake,⁴² Takeshi Mizuguchi,⁴² Lip H. Moey,⁵⁷ Shehla Mohammed,⁵⁸ Hagar Mor-Shaked,³² Hayley Mountford,⁵⁹ Ruth Newbury-Ecob,⁶⁰ Sylvie Odent,⁵¹ Laura Orec,³⁶ Matthew Osmond,³¹ Timothy B. Palculict,⁵⁵ Michael Parker,⁶¹ Andrea K. Petersen,³⁵ Rolph Pfundt,³ Eglė Preikšaitienė,²⁵ Kelly Radtke,⁶² Emmanuelle Ranza,^{16,63} Jill A. Rosenfeld,⁵² Teresa Santiago-Sim,⁵⁵ Caitlin Schwager,^{12,13} Margje Sinnema,^{23,64} Lot Snijders Blok,^{1,3,4} Rebecca C. Spillmann,⁶⁵ Alexander P.A. Stegmann,^{3,23} Isabelle Thiffault,^{12,66,67} Linh Tran,⁵⁶ Adi Vaknin-Dembinsky,⁷³ Juliana H. Vedovato-dos-Santos,⁴⁹ Samantha A. Schrier Vergano,⁴⁴ Eric Vilain,²⁸ Antonio Vitobello,^{20,21} Matias Wagner,^{22,68} Androu Waheeb,^{31,69} Marcia Willing,⁵⁴ Britton Zuccarelli,⁷⁰ Usha Kini,⁷¹ Dianne F. Newbury,⁵⁹ Tjitske Kleefstra,^{3,4} Alexandre Reymond,^{5,75} Simon E. Fisher,^{1,4,75,*} and Lisenka E.L.M. Vissers^{3,4,75}

its functions in the central nervous system are poorly characterized.

Through international collaborations^{7–9} conforming to local ethical guidelines and the declaration of Helsinki, we identified 42 individuals with a rare (likely) pathogenic variant in *SATB1* (GenBank: NM_001131010.4), a gene under constraint against loss-of-function and missense variation (pLoF: o/e = 0.15 [0.08–0.29]; missense: o/e = 0.46 [0.41–0.52]; gnomAD v2.1.1).¹⁰ Twenty-eight of the *SATB1* variants occurred *de novo*, three were inherited from an affected parent, and five resulted from (suspected) parental mosaicism (Figure S1). Reduced penetrance is suggested by two variants inherited from unaffected parents (identified in individuals 2 and 12; Table S1A), consistent with recent predictions of incomplete penetrance being more prevalent

in novel NDD syndromes.⁶ Inheritance status of the final four could not be established (Table S1A). Of note, two individuals also carried a (likely) pathogenic variant affecting other known disease genes, including *NF1* (MIM: 162200; individual 27) and *FOXP2* (MIM: 602081; individual 42) which contributed to (individual 27) or explained (individual 42) the observed phenotype (Table S1A).

Thirty individuals carried 15 unique *SATB1* missense variants, including three recurrent variants (Figure 1A), significantly clustering in the highly homologous DNA-binding domains CUT1 and CUT2 (p = 1.00e-7; Figures 2A and S2).^{11,12} Ten individuals harbored premature protein truncating variants (PTVs; two nonsense, seven frameshift, one splice site; Tables S1A and S2), and two individuals had a (partial) gene deletion (Figure S3). For 38 affected

University of Duisburg-Essen, 45147 Essen, Germany; ³¹Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Research Institute, Ottawa, ON K1H 5B2, Canada; ³²Department of Genetics, Hadassah Medical Center, Hebrew University Medical Center, 91120 Jerusalem, Israel; ³³Centre de Génétique et Centre de Référence Anomalies du Développement et Syndromes Malformatifs de l'Interrégion Est, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Dijon, 21079 Dijon, France; ³⁴Fédération Hospitalo-Universitaire Médecine Translationnelle et Anomalies du Développement (TRANSLAD), Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Dijon, 21079 Dijon, France; ³⁵Department of Rehabilitation and Development, Randall Children's Hospital at Legacy Emanuel Medical Center, Portland, OR 97227, USA; ³⁶Division of Child Neurology and Inherited Metabolic Diseases, Centre for Paediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, University Hospital Heidelberg, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany; ³⁷Department of Neuropediatrics, Tokyo Metropolitan Neurological Hospital, Fuchu, Tokyo 183-0042, Japan; ³⁸Division of Allergy and Immunology, Northwell Health, Great Neck, NY 11021, USA; ³⁹Departments of Medicine and Pediatrics, Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, Hempstead, NY 11549, USA; ⁴⁰Princess Máxima Center for Pediatric Oncology, 3584 CS Utrecht, the Netherlands; ⁴¹Pediatrics & Genetics, Alpharetta, GA 30005, USA; ⁴²Department of Human Genetics, Yokohama City University Graduate School of Medicine, Yokohama, Kanagawa 236-0004, Japan; ⁴³Yorkshire Regional Genetics Service, Chapel Allerton Hospital, Leeds LS7 4SA, UK; ⁴⁴Division of Medical Genetics & Metabolism, Children's Hospital of The King's Daughters, Norfolk, VA 23507, USA; ⁴⁵Department of Pediatrics, Eastern Virginia Medical School, Norfolk, VA 23507, USA; ⁴⁶West of Scotland Centre for Genomic Medicine, Queen Elizabeth University Hospital, Glasgow G51 4TF, UK; ⁴⁷Department of Pediatrics, Showa University School of Medicine, Shinagawa-ku, Tokyo 142-8666, Japan; ⁴⁸Zuidwester, 3240AA Middelharnis, the Netherlands; ⁴⁹Mendelics Genomic Analysis, Sao Paulo, SP 04013-000, Brazil; ⁵⁰University of Sao Paulo, School of Medicine, Sao Paulo, SP 01246-903, Brazil; ⁵¹CHU Rennes, Univ Rennes, CNRS, IGDR, Service de Génétique Clinique, Centre de Référence Maladies Rares CLAD-Ouest, ERN ITHACA, Hôpital Sud, 35033 Rennes, France; ⁵²Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030, USA; 53Baylor Genetics, Houston, TX 77021, USA; 54Department of Pediatrics, Division of Genetics and Genomic Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110-1093, USA; 55 GeneDx, 207 Perry Parkway, Gaithersburg, MD 20877, USA; ⁵⁶Division of Pediatric Neurology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27710, USA; ⁵⁷Department of Genetics, Penang General Hospital, Jalan Residensi, 10990 Georgetown, Penang, Malaysia; ⁵⁸Clinical Genetics, Guy's Hospital, Great Maze Pond, London SE1 9RT, UK; ⁵⁹Department of Biological and Medical Sciences, Headington Campus, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford OX3 0BP, UK; ⁶⁰Clinical Genetics, St Michael's Hospital Bristol, University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, Bristol BS2 8EG, UK; 61Sheffield Clinical Genetics Service, Sheffield Children's Hospital, Sheffield S5 7AU, UK; ⁶²Clinical Genomics Department, Ambry Genetics, Aliso Viejo, CA 92656, USA; ⁶³Medigenome, Swiss Institute of Genomic Medicine, 1207 Geneva, Switzerland; 64 Department of Genetics and Cell Biology, Faculty of Health Medicine Life Sciences, Maastricht University Medical Center+, Maastricht University, 6229 ER Maastricht, the Netherlands; ⁶⁵Department of Pediatrics, Division of Medical Genetics, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27713, USA; ⁶⁶Center for Pediatric Genomic Medicine, Children's Mercy Hospital, Kansas City, MO 64108, USA; ⁶⁷Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Children's Mercy Hospital, Kansas City, MO 64108, USA; 68 Institute of Neurogenomics, Helmholtz Zentrum München, 85764 Munich, Germany; 69 Department of Genetics, Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario, Ottawa, ON K1H 8L1, Canada; 70 The University of Kansas School of Medicine Salina Campus, Salina, KS 67401, USA; ⁷¹Oxford Centre for Genomic Medicine, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford OX3 7LE, UK; ⁷²Maastricht University Medical Center, Department of Clinical Genetics, GROW School for Oncology and Developmental Biology, and MHeNS School for Mental health and Neuroscience, PO Box 5800, 6202AZ Maastricht, the Netherlands; 73Department of Neurology and Laboratory of Neuroimmunology, The Agnes Ginges Center for Neurogenetics, Hadassah Medical Center, Faculty of Medicine, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, 91120 Jerusalem, Israel

⁷⁴These authors contributed equally

⁷⁵These authors contributed equally

*Correspondence: simon.fisher@mpi.nl

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2021.01.007.



Figure 1. Clinical evaluation of SATB1 variants in neurodevelopmental disorders

(A) Schematic representation of SATB1 (GenBank: NM_001131010.4/NP_001124482.1), including functional domains, with truncating variants labeled in cyan, truncating variants predicted to escape NMD in orange, splice site variants in purple, missense variants in magenta, and UK10K rare control missense variants in green. Deletions are shown in dark blue below the protein schematic, above a diagram showing the exon boundaries. We obtained clinical data for all individuals depicted by a circle.



Figure 2. 3D protein modeling of SATB1 missense variants in DNA-binding domains

(A) Schematic representation of the aligned CUT1 and CUT2 DNA-binding domains. CUT1 and CUT2 domains have a high sequence identity (40%) and similarity (78%). Note that the recurrent p.Gln402Arg, p.Glu407Gly/p.Glu407Gln, and p.Gln525Arg, p.Glu530Gly/p.Glu530Lys/p.Glu530Gln variants affect equivalent positions within the respective CUT1 and CUT2 domains, while p.Gln420Arg in CUT1 and p.Glu547Lys in CUT2 affect cognate regions.

(B) 3D model of the SATB1 CUT1 domain (left; PDB: 2O4A) and CUT2 domain (right; based on PDB: 2CSF) in interaction with DNA (yellow). Mutated residues are highlighted in red for CUT1 and cyan for CUT2, along the ribbon visualization of the corresponding domains in burgundy and dark blue, respectively.

(C) 3D-homology model of the SATB1 homeobox domain (based on PDB: 1WI3 and 2D5V) in interaction with DNA (yellow). The mutated residue is shown in light gray along the ribbon visualization of the corresponding domain in dark gray. (B and C) For more detailed descriptions of the different missense variants in our cohort, see Supplemental data.

individuals and 1 mosaic parent, clinical information was available. Overall, we observed a broad phenotypic spectrum, characterized by neurodevelopmental delay (35/36, 97%), intellectual disability (ID) (28/31, 90%), muscle tone abnormalities (abnormal tone 28/37, 76%; hypotonia 28/37, 76%; spasticity 10/36, 28%), epilepsy (22/36, 61%), behavioral problems (24/34, 71%), facial dysmorphisms (24/36, 67%; Figures 1B, 1C, and S4A), and dental abnormalities (24/34, 71%) (Figures 1D and S4B; Tables 1 and S1). Individuals with missense variants were globally more severely affected than those with PTVs: 57% of individuals with a missense variant had severe/profound ID whereas this level of ID was not observed for any individuals with PTVs. Furthermore, hypotonia, spasticity, and (severe) epilepsy

were more common in individuals with missense variants than in those with PTVs (92% versus 42%, 42% versus 0%, 80% versus 18%, respectively) (Figure 1F, Tables 1 and S1A). To objectively quantify these observations, we divided our cohort into two variant-specific clusters (missense versus PTVs) and assessed the two groups using a Partitioning Around Medoids clustering algorithm¹³ on 100 features derived from standardized clinical data (Human Phenotype Ontology [HPO]; Figure S5A and Data S1).¹⁴ A total of 38 individuals were subjected to this analysis, of which 27 were classified correctly as either belonging to the PTV or missense variant group (p=0.022), confirming the existence of at least two separate clinical entities (Figures 1G and S5B). Moreover, computational averaging of facial photographs¹⁵ revealed

(F) Mosaic plot presenting a selection of clinical features.

(G) The Partitioning Around Medoids analysis of clustered HPO-standardized clinical data from 38 individuals with truncating (triangle) and missense (circle) variants shows a significant distinction between the clusters of individuals with missense variants (blue) and individuals with PTVs (red). Applying Bonferroni correction, a p value smaller than 0.025 was considered significant.

⁽B and C) Facial photographs of individuals with (partial) gene deletions and truncations (B) and of individuals with missense variants (C). All depicted individuals show facial dysmorphisms and although overlapping features are seen, no consistent facial phenotype can be observed for the group as a whole. Overlapping facial dysmorphisms include facial asymmetry, high forehead, prominent ears, straight and/or full eyebrows, puffy eyelids, downslant of palpebral fissures, low nasal bridge, full nasal tip and full nasal alae, full lips with absent cupid's bow, prominent cupid's bow, or thin upper lip vermilion (Table S1B). Individuals with missense variants are more alike than individuals in the truncating cohorts, and we observed recognizable overlap between several individuals in the missense cohort (individuals 17, 27, 31, 37, the siblings 19, 20, and 21, and to a lesser extent individuals 24 and 35). A recognizable facial overlap between individuals with (partial) gene deletions and truncations could not be observed. Related individuals are marked with a blue box. (D) Photographs of teeth abnormalities observed in individuals with *SATB1* variants. Dental abnormalities are seen for all variant types and include widely spaced teeth, dental fragility, missing teeth, disorganized teeth positioning, and enamel discoloration (Table S1B). (E) Computational average of facial photographs of 16 individuals with a missense variant (left) and 8 individuals with PTVs or (partial) gene deletions (right).

For analyses displayed in (F) and (G), individuals with absence of any clinical data and/or low-level mosaicism for the *SATB1* variant were omitted (for details, see supplemental materials and methods).

	All individuals		Individua gene dele	als with PTVs and (partial) etions	Individuals with missense variants	
	%	Present/total assessed	%	Present/total assessed	%	Present/total assessed
Neurologic						
Intellectual disability	90	28/31	80	8/10	95	20/21
Normal	10	3/31	20	2/10	5	1/21
Borderline	0	0/31	0	0/10	0	0/21
Mild	26	8/31	60	6/10	10	2/21
Moderate	10	3/31	10	1/10	10	2/21
Severe	19	6/31	0	0/10	29	6/21
Profound	19	6/31	0	0/10	29	6/21
Unspecified	16	5/31	10	1/10	19	4/21
Developmental delay	97	35/36	100	12/12	96	23/24
Motor delay	92	34/37	92	11/12	92	23/25
Speech delay	89	32/36	83	10/12	92	22/24
Dysarthria	30	6/20	9	1/11	56	5/9
Epilepsy	61	22/36	18	2/11	80	20/25
EEG abnormalities	79	19/24	29	2/7	100	17/17
Iypotonia	76	28/37	42	5/12	92	23/25
pasticity	28	10/36	0	0/12	42	10/24
Ataxia	22	6/27	17	2/12	27	4/15
Behavioral disturbances	71	24/34	58	7/12	77	17/22
Sleep disturbances	41	12/29	27	3/11	50	9/18
Abnormal brain imaging	55	17/31	43	3/7	58	14/24
Regression	17	6/35	8	1/12	22	5/23
Growth						
Abnormalities during pregnancy	24	8/33	27	3/11	23	5/22
Abnormalities during delivery	32	10/31	55	6/11	20	4/20
Abnormal term of delivery	6	2/31	10	1/10	5	1/21
Preterm (<37 weeks)	6	2/31	10	1/10	5	1/21
Postterm (>42 weeks)	0	0/31	0	0/10	0	0/21
Abnormal weight at birth	16	5/32	22	2/9	13	3/23
Small for gestational age (<p10)< td=""><td>9</td><td>3/32</td><td>11</td><td>1/9</td><td>9</td><td>2/23</td></p10)<>	9	3/32	11	1/9	9	2/23
Large for gestational age (>p90)	6	2/32	11	1/9	4	1/23
Abnormal head circumference at birth	7	1/14	17	1/6	0	0/8
Microcephaly (<p3)< td=""><td>0</td><td>0/14</td><td>0</td><td>0/6</td><td>0</td><td>0/8</td></p3)<>	0	0/14	0	0/6	0	0/8
Macrocephaly (>p97)	7	1/14	17	1/6	0	0/8
Abnormal height	21	6/29	9	1/11	28	5/18
Short stature (<p3)< td=""><td>14</td><td>4/29</td><td>0</td><td>0/11</td><td>22</td><td>4/18</td></p3)<>	14	4/29	0	0/11	22	4/18
Tall stature (>p97)	7	2/29	9	1/11	6	1/18
Abnormal head circumference	23	7/31	11	1/9	27	6/22
Microcephaly (<p3)< td=""><td>23</td><td>7/31</td><td>11</td><td>1/9</td><td>27</td><td>6/22</td></p3)<>	23	7/31	11	1/9	27	6/22

(Continued on next page)

Table I. Continued						
	All individuals		Individuals with PTVs and (partial) gene deletions		Individuals with missense variants	
	%	Present/total assessed	%	Present/total assessed	%	Present/total assessed
Macrocephaly (>p97)	0	0/31	0	0/9	0	0/22
Abnormal weight	48	13/27	11	1/9	67	12/18
Underweight (<p3)< td=""><td>22</td><td>6/27</td><td>11</td><td>1/9</td><td>28</td><td>5/18</td></p3)<>	22	6/27	11	1/9	28	5/18
Overweight (>p97)	26	7/27	0	0/9	39	7/18
Other phenotypic features						
Facial dysmorphisms	67	24/36	64	7/11	68	17/25
Dental/oral abnormalities	71	24/34	55	6/11	78	18/23
Drooling/dysphagia	38	12/32	25	3/12	45	9/20
Hearing abnormalities	7	2/30	18	2/11	0	0/19
Vision abnormalities	55	17/31	73	8/11	45	9/20
Cardiac abnormalities	19	6/32	27	3/11	14	3/21
Skeleton/limb abnormalities	38	13/34	18	2/11	48	11/23
Hypermobility of joints	30	8/27	30	3/10	29	5/17
Gastrointestinal abnormalities	53	17/32	27	3/11	67	14/21
Urogenital abnormalities	17	5/30	0	0/11	26	5/19
Endocrine/metabolic abnormalities	30	9/30	0	0/11	47	9/19
Immunological abnormalities	32	8/25	25	2/8	35	6/17
Skin/hair/nail abnormalities	24	8/34	9	1/11	30	7/23
Neoplasms in medical history	0	0/34	0	0/11	0	0/23

differences between the average facial gestalt for individuals with missense variants when compared to individuals with PTVs or deletions (Figures 1B–1E and S4, Table S1B).

We performed functional analyses assessing consequences of different types of SATB1 variants for cellular localization, transcriptional activity, overall chromatin binding, and dimerization capacity. Based on protein modeling (Figure 2, descriptions of 3D protein modeling in supplemental information), we selected five missense variants (observed in 14 individuals) in CUT1 and CUT2 affecting residues that interact with, or are close to, the DNA backbone (mosaic variant c.1220A>G [p.Glu407Gly] and *de novo* variants c.1259A>G [p.Gln420Arg], c.1588G>A [p.Glu530Lys], c.1588G>C [p.Glu530Gln], c.1639G>A [p.Glu547Lys]), as well as the only homeobox domain variant (c.2044C>G [p.Leu682Val], de novo). As controls, we selected three rare missense variants from the UK10K consortium, identified in healthy individuals with a normal IQ: c.1097C>T (p.Ser366Leu) (gnomAD allele frequency 6.61e-4), c.1555G>C (p.Val519Leu) (8.67e-6), and c.1717G>A (p.Ala573Thr) (1.17e-4) (Figure 1A, Table S3).¹⁶ When overexpressed as YFP-fusion proteins in HEK293T/17 cells, wild-type SATB1 localized to the nucleus in a granular pattern, with an intensity profile inverse to the DNA-binding dye Hoechst 33342 (Figures 3A

and 3B). In contrast to wildtype and UK10K control missense variants, the p.Glu407Gly, p.Gln420Arg, p.Glu530Lys/p.Glu530Gln, and p.Glu547Lys variants displayed a cage-like clustered nuclear pattern, strongly colocalizing with the DNA (Figures 3A, 3B, and S6).

To assess the effects of SATB1 missense variants on transrepressive activity, we used a luciferase reporter system with two previously established downstream targets of SATB1, the IL2-promoter and IgH-MAR (matrix associated region).¹⁷⁻¹⁹ All five functionally assessed CUT1 and CUT2 missense variants demonstrated increased transcriptional repression of the IL2-promoter, while the UK10K control variants did not differ from wild type (Figure 3C). In assays using IgH-MAR, increased repression was seen for both CUT1 variants and for one of the CUT2 variants (Figure 3C). The latter can be explained by previous reports that the CUT1 domain is essential for binding to MARs, whereas the CUT2 domain is dispensable.^{20,21} Taken together, these data suggest that etiological SATB1 missense variants in CUT1 and CUT2 lead to stronger binding of the transcription factor to its targets.

To study whether SATB1 missense variants affect the dynamics of chromatin binding more globally, we employed fluorescent recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) assays. Consistent with the luciferase reporter assays, all CUT1





(A) Direct fluorescence super-resolution imaging of nuclei of HEK293T/17 cells expressing YFP-SATB1 fusion proteins. Scale bar = 5 μ m. (B) Intensity profiles of YFP-tagged SATB1 and variants, and the DNA binding dye Hoechst 33342. The graphs represent the fluorescence intensity values of the position of the red lines drawn in the micrographs on the top (SATB1 proteins in green, Hoechst 33342 in white, scale bar = 5 μ m). For each condition a representative image and corresponding intensity profile plot is shown.

(C) Luciferase reporter assays using reporter constructs containing the *IL2*-promoter region and the IgH matrix associated region (MAR) binding site. UK10K control variants are shaded in green, CUT1 domain variants in red, CUT2 domain variants in blue, and the homeobox variant in gray. Values are expressed relative to the control (pYFP; black) and represent the mean \pm SEM (n = 4, p values compared to wildtype SATB1 [WT; white], one-way ANOVA and post hoc Bonferroni test).

(D) FRAP experiments to assess the dynamics of SATB1 chromatin binding in live cells. Left, mean recovery curves \pm 95% C.I. recorded in HEK293T/17 cells expressing YFP-SATB1 fusion proteins. Right, violin plots with median of the halftime (central panel) and maximum recovery values (right panel) based on single-term exponential curve fitting of individual recordings (n = 60 nuclei from three independent experiments, p values compared to WT SATB1, one-way ANOVA and post hoc Bonferroni test). Color code as in (C). (E) BRET assays for SATB1 dimerization in live cells. Left, mean BRET saturation curves \pm 95% C.I. fitted using a non-linear regression

(E) BRE1 assays for SATB1 dimerization in live cells. Left, mean BRE1 saturation curves \pm 95% C.1. fitted using a non-linear regression equation assuming a single binding site (y = BRETmax * x / (BRET50 / x); GraphPad). The corrected BRET ratio is plotted against the ratio

and CUT2 missense variants, but not the UK10K control variants, affected protein mobility in the nucleus. The CUT2 variant p.Gln420Arg demonstrated an increased halftime, but showed a maximum recovery similar to wild type, while the other CUT1 and CUT2 variants demonstrated both increased halftimes and reduced maximum recovery. These results suggest stabilization of SATB1 chromatin binding for all tested CUT1 and CUT2 variants (Figure 3D).

In contrast to the CUT1 and CUT2 missense variants, the homeobox variant p.Leu682Val did not show functional differences from wild type (Figures 3A–3D and S6), suggesting that, although it is absent from gnomAD, and the position is highly intolerant to variation and evolutionarily conserved (Figures S2, S7A, and S7B), this variant is unlikely to be pathogenic. This conclusion is further supported by the presence of a valine residue at the equivalent position in multiple homologous homeobox domains (Figure S7C). Additionally, the mild phenotypic features in this individual (individual 42) can be explained by the fact that the individual carries an out-of-frame *de novo* intragenic duplication of *FOXP2*, a gene known to cause NDD through haploinsufficiency.²²

We went on to assess the impact of the CUT1 and CUT2 missense variants (p.Glu407Gly, p.Gln420Arg, p.Glu530Lys, p.Glu547Lys) on protein interaction capacities using bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET). All tested variants retained the ability to interact with wildtype SATB1 (Figure 3E), with the potential to yield dominant-negative dimers/tetramers *in vivo* and to disturb normal activity of the wild-type protein.

The identification of *SATB1* deletions suggests that haploinsufficiency is a second underlying disease mechanism. This is supported by the constraint of *SATB1* against lossof-function variation and the identification of PTV carriers that are clinically distinct from individuals with missense variants. PTVs are found throughout the locus and several are predicted to undergo NMD by *in silico* models of NMD efficacy (Table S4).²³ In contrast to these predictions, we found that one of the PTVs, c.1228C>T (p.Arg410*), escapes NMD (Figures S8A and S8B). However, the p.Arg410* variant would lack critical functional domains (CUT1, CUT2, homeobox) and indeed showed reduced transcriptional activity in luciferase reporter assays when compared to wild-type protein (Figure S8), consistent with the haploinsufficiency model.

Four unique PTVs that we identified were located within the final exon of *SATB1* (Figure 1A) and predicted to escape NMD (Table S4). Following experimental validation of NMD escape (Figures 4A and 4B), three such variants

(c.1877delC [p.Pro626Hisfs*81], c.2080C>T [p.Gln694*], and c.2207delA [p.Asn736Ilefs*8]) were assessed with the same functional assays that we used for missense variants. When overexpressed as YFP-fusion proteins, the tested variants showed altered subcellular localization, forming nuclear puncta or (nuclear) aggregates, different from patterns observed for missense variants (Figures 4C, S9A, and S9B). In luciferase reporter assays, the p.Pro626Hisfs*81 variant showed increased repression of both the IL2-promoter and IgH-MAR, whereas p.Gln694* only showed reduced repression of IgH-MAR (Figure 4D). The p.Asn736Ilefs*8 variant showed repression comparable to that of wild-type protein for both targets (Figure 4D). In further pursuit of pathophysiological mechanisms, we tested protein stability and SUMOvlation, as the previously described Lys744 SUMOylation site is missing in all assessed NMD-escaping truncated proteins (Figure 4A).²⁴ Our observations suggest the existence of multiple SATB1 SUMOvlation sites (Figure S10) and no effect of NMD-escaping variants on SUMOylation of the encoded proteins (Figure S10) nor any changes in protein stability (Figure S9C). Although functional assays with NMD-escaping PTVs hint toward additional disease mechanisms, HPO-based phenotypic analysis or qualitative evaluation could not confirm a third distinct clinical entity (p = 0.932; Figures S5 and S11, Table S5).

Our study demonstrates that while statistical analyses^{5,6} can provide the first step toward identification of NDDs, a mutation-specific functional follow-up is required to gain insight into the underlying mechanisms and to understand phenotypic differences within cohorts (Table S6). Multiple mechanisms and/or more complex genotypephenotype correlations are increasingly appreciated in newly described NDDs, such as those associated with RAC1, POL2RA, KMT2E, and PPP2CA.^{25–28} Interestingly, although less often explored, such mechanistic complexity might also underlie well-known (clinically recognizable) NDDs. For instance, a CUT1 missense variant in SATB2, a paralog of SATB1 that causes Glass syndrome through haploinsufficiency (MIM: 612313),²⁹ affects protein localization and nuclear mobility in a similar manner to the corresponding SATB1 missense variants (Figures S12 and \$13).³⁰ Taken together, these observations suggest that mutation-specific mechanisms await discovery both for newly described and well-established clinical syndromes.

In summary, we demonstrate that at least two different previously uncharacterized NDDs are caused by distinct classes of rare (*de novo*) variation at a single locus. We combined clinical investigation, *in silico* models, and cellular assays to characterize the phenotypic consequences and functional impacts of a large number of variants,

of fluorescence/luminescence (AU) to correct for expression level differences between conditions. Right, corrected BRET ratio values at mean BRET50 level of WT SATB1, based on curve fitting of individual experiments (n = 4, one-way ANOVA and post hoc Bonferroni test, no significant differences). Color code as in (C).

When compared to WT YFP-SATB1 or UK10K variants, most variants identified in affected individuals show a nuclear cage-like localization (A), stronger co-localization with the DNA-binding dye Hoechst 33342 (B), increased transcriptional repression (C), reduced protein mobility (D), and unchanged capacity of interaction with WT SATB1 (E).



Figure 4. SATB1 protein-truncating variants in the last exon escape NMD

(A) Schematic overview of the SATB1 protein, with truncating variants predicted to escape NMD that are included in functional assays labeled in orange. A potential SUMOylation site at position Lys744 is highlighted.

(B) Sanger sequencing traces of proband-derived EBV-immortalized lymphoblastoid cell lines treated with or without cycloheximide (CHX) to test for NMD. The mutated nucleotides are shaded in red. Transcripts from both alleles are present in both conditions showing that these variants escape NMD.

(C) Direct fluorescence super-resolution imaging of nuclei of HEK293T/17 cells expressing SATB1 truncating variants fused with a YFP tag. Scale bar = 5 μ m. Compared to WT YFP-SATB1 (Figure 3), NMD-escaping variants show altered localization forming nuclear puncta or aggregates.

(D) Luciferase reporter assays using reporter constructs containing the *IL2*-promoter and the IgH matrix associated region (MAR) binding site. Values are expressed relative to the control (pYFP; black) and represent the mean \pm SEM (n = 4, p values compared to WT SATB1 [white], one-way ANOVA and post hoc Bonferroni test). All NMD-escaping variants are transcriptionally active and show repression of the *IL2*-promoter and IgH-MAR binding site.

uncovering distinct pathophysiological mechanisms of the *SATB1*-associated NDDs. This level of combined analyses is recommended for known and yet undiscovered NDDs to fully understand disease etiology.

Supplemental information

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ajhg.2021.01.007.

Acknowledgments

We are extremely grateful to all families participating in this study. In addition, we wish to thank the members of the Genome Technology Center and Cell culture facility, Department of Human Genetics, Radboud university medical center, Nijmegen, for data processing and cell culture of proband-derived cell lines. This work was financially supported by Aspasia grants of the Dutch Research

Council (015.014.036 to T.K. and 015.014.066 to L.E.L.M.V.), Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (91718310 to T.K.), the Max Planck Society (J.d.H., S.E.F.), Oxford Brookes University, the Leverhulme Trust, and the British Academy (D.F.N.), and grants from the Swiss National Science Foundation (31003A_182632 to A.R.), Lithuanian-Swiss cooperation program to reduce economic and social disparities within the enlarged European Union (A.R., V. Kučinskas) and the Jérôme Lejeune Foundation (A.R.). We wish to acknowledge ALSPAC, the UK10K consortium, the 100,000 Genomes Project, "TRANSLATE NAMSE," and Genomic Answers for Kids program (see supplemental acknowledgments). In addition, the collaborations in this study were facilitated by ERN ITHACA, one of the 24 European Reference Networks (ERNs) approved by the ERN Board of Member States, co-funded by European Commission. The aims of this study contribute to the Solve-RD project (E.d.B., H.G.B., S.B., A.-S.D.-P., L.F., C.G., A.J., T.K., A.V., L.E.L.M.V.), which has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No 779257.

Declaration of Interests

K.M., T.B.P., and T.S.-S. are employees of GeneDx, Inc. K.R. is employee of Ambrygen Genetics.

Received: October 19, 2020 Accepted: January 10, 2021 Published: January 28, 2021

Web Resources

GenBank, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/ OMIM, https://www.omim.org/

RCSB Protein Data Bank, http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/home/home. do

References

- 1. Wang, Z., Yang, X., Chu, X., Zhang, J., Zhou, H., Shen, Y., and Long, J. (2012). The structural basis for the oligomerization of the N-terminal domain of SATB1. Nucleic Acids Res. *40*, 4193–4202.
- Alvarez, J.D., Yasui, D.H., Niida, H., Joh, T., Loh, D.Y., and Kohwi-Shigematsu, T. (2000). The MAR-binding protein SATB1 orchestrates temporal and spatial expression of multiple genes during T-cell development. Genes Dev. 14, 521–535.
- **3.** Cai, S., Lee, C.C., and Kohwi-Shigematsu, T. (2006). SATB1 packages densely looped, transcriptionally active chromatin for coordinated expression of cytokine genes. Nat. Genet. *38*, 1278–1288.
- 4. Kitagawa, Y., Ohkura, N., Kidani, Y., Vandenbon, A., Hirota, K., Kawakami, R., Yasuda, K., Motooka, D., Nakamura, S., Kondo, M., et al. (2017). Guidance of regulatory T cell development by Satb1-dependent super-enhancer establishment. Nat. Immunol. 18, 173–183.
- 5. Satterstrom, F.K., Kosmicki, J.A., Wang, J., Breen, M.S., De Rubeis, S., An, J.Y., Peng, M., Collins, R., Grove, J., Klei, L., et al.; Autism Sequencing Consortium; and iPSYCH-Broad Consortium (2020). Large-Scale Exome Sequencing Study Implicates Both Developmental and Functional Changes in the Neurobiology of Autism. Cell *180*, 568–584.e23.
- 6. Kaplanis, J., Samocha, K.E., Wiel, L., Zhang, Z., Arvai, K.J., Eberhardt, R.Y., Gallone, G., Lelieveld, S.H., Martin, H.C., McRae, J.F., et al.; Deciphering Developmental Disorders Study (2020). Evidence for 28 genetic disorders discovered by combining healthcare and research data. Nature *586*, 757– 762.
- 7. Sobreira, N., Schiettecatte, F., Valle, D., and Hamosh, A. (2015). GeneMatcher: a matching tool for connecting investigators with an interest in the same gene. Hum. Mutat. *36*, 928–930.
- 8. Thompson, R., Johnston, L., Taruscio, D., Monaco, L., Béroud, C., Gut, I.G., Hansson, M.G., 't Hoen, P.B., Patrinos, G.P., Dawkins, H., et al. (2014). RD-Connect: an integrated platform connecting databases, registries, biobanks and clinical bioinformatics for rare disease research. J. Gen. Intern. Med. *29* (*Suppl 3*), S780–S787.
- **9.** Firth, H.V., Richards, S.M., Bevan, A.P., Clayton, S., Corpas, M., Rajan, D., Van Vooren, S., Moreau, Y., Pettett, R.M., and Carter, N.P. (2009). DECIPHER: Database of Chromosomal Imbalance and Phenotype in Humans Using Ensembl Resources. Am. J. Hum. Genet. *84*, 524–533.

- Karczewski, K.J., Francioli, L.C., Tiao, G., Cummings, B.B., Alföldi, J., Wang, Q., Collins, R.L., Laricchia, K.M., Ganna, A., Birnbaum, D.P., et al.; Genome Aggregation Database Consortium (2020). The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. Nature 581, 434–443.
- Lelieveld, S.H., Reijnders, M.R., Pfundt, R., Yntema, H.G., Kamsteeg, E.J., de Vries, P., de Vries, B.B., Willemsen, M.H., Kleefstra, T., Löhner, K., et al. (2016). Meta-analysis of 2,104 trios provides support for 10 new genes for intellectual disability. Nat. Neurosci. 19, 1194–1196.
- Lelieveld, S.H., Wiel, L., Venselaar, H., Pfundt, R., Vriend, G., Veltman, J.A., Brunner, H.G., Vissers, L.E.L.M., and Gilissen, C. (2017). Spatial Clustering of de Novo Missense Mutations Identifies Candidate Neurodevelopmental Disorder-Associated Genes. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 101, 478–484.
- Kaufman, L. R.P.J. (1987). Clustering by means of medoids https://wis.kuleuven.be/stat/robust/papers/publications-1987/ kaufmanrousseeuw-clusteringbymedoids-11norm-1987.pdf.
- Köhler, S., Carmody, L., Vasilevsky, N., Jacobsen, J.O.B., Danis, D., Gourdine, J.P., Gargano, M., Harris, N.L., Matentzoglu, N., McMurry, J.A., et al. (2019). Expansion of the Human Phenotype Ontology (HPO) knowledge base and resources. Nucleic Acids Res. 47 (D1), D1018–D1027.
- 15. Reijnders, M.R.F., Miller, K.A., Alvi, M., Goos, J.A.C., Lees, M.M., de Burca, A., Henderson, A., Kraus, A., Mikat, B., de Vries, B.B.A., et al.; Deciphering Developmental Disorders Study (2018). De Novo and Inherited Loss-of-Function Variants in TLK2: Clinical and Genotype-Phenotype Evaluation of a Distinct Neurodevelopmental Disorder. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 102, 1195–1203.
- 16. Walter, K., Min, J.L., Huang, J., Crooks, L., Memari, Y., McCarthy, S., Perry, J.R., Xu, C., Futema, M., Lawson, D., et al.; UK10K Consortium (2015). The UK10K project identifies rare variants in health and disease. Nature 526, 82–90.
- Pavan Kumar, P., Purbey, P.K., Sinha, C.K., Notani, D., Limaye, A., Jayani, R.S., and Galande, S. (2006). Phosphorylation of SATB1, a global gene regulator, acts as a molecular switch regulating its transcriptional activity in vivo. Mol. Cell *22*, 231– 243.
- 18. Kumar, P.P., Purbey, P.K., Ravi, D.S., Mitra, D., and Galande, S. (2005). Displacement of SATB1-bound histone deacetylase 1 corepressor by the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 transactivator induces expression of interleukin-2 and its receptor in T cells. Mol. Cell. Biol. 25, 1620–1633.
- Siebenlist, U., Durand, D.B., Bressler, P., Holbrook, N.J., Norris, C.A., Kamoun, M., Kant, J.A., and Crabtree, G.R. (1986). Promoter region of interleukin-2 gene undergoes chromatin structure changes and confers inducibility on chloramphenicol acetyltransferase gene during activation of T cells. Mol. Cell. Biol. *6*, 3042–3049.
- 20. Ghosh, R.P., Shi, Q., Yang, L., Reddick, M.P., Nikitina, T., Zhurkin, V.B., Fordyce, P., Stasevich, T.J., Chang, H.Y., Greenleaf, W.J., and Liphardt, J.T. (2019). Satb1 integrates DNA binding site geometry and torsional stress to differentially target nucleosome-dense regions. Nat. Commun. *10*, 3221.
- **21.** Dickinson, L.A., Dickinson, C.D., and Kohwi-Shigematsu, T. (1997). An atypical homeodomain in SATB1 promotes specific recognition of the key structural element in a matrix attachment region. J. Biol. Chem. *272*, 11463–11470.
- 22. MacDermot, K.D., Bonora, E., Sykes, N., Coupe, A.M., Lai, C.S., Vernes, S.C., Vargha-Khadem, F., McKenzie, F., Smith, R.L., Monaco, A.P., and Fisher, S.E. (2005). Identification of

FOXP2 truncation as a novel cause of developmental speech and language deficits. Am. J. Hum. Genet. *76*, 1074–1080.

- **23.** Lindeboom, R.G.H., Vermeulen, M., Lehner, B., and Supek, F. (2019). The impact of nonsense-mediated mRNA decay on genetic disease, gene editing and cancer immunotherapy. Nat. Genet. *51*, 1645–1651.
- 24. Tan, J.A., Sun, Y., Song, J., Chen, Y., Krontiris, T.G., and Durrin, L.K. (2008). SUMO conjugation to the matrix attachment region-binding protein, special AT-rich sequence-binding protein-1 (SATB1), targets SATB1 to promyelocytic nuclear bodies where it undergoes caspase cleavage. J. Biol. Chem. *283*, 18124–18134.
- 25. Haijes, H.A., Koster, M.J.E., Rehmann, H., Li, D., Hakonarson, H., Cappuccio, G., Hancarova, M., Lehalle, D., Reardon, W., Schaefer, G.B., et al. (2019). De Novo Heterozygous POLR2A Variants Cause a Neurodevelopmental Syndrome with Profound Infantile-Onset Hypotonia. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 105, 283–301.
- 26. O'Donnell-Luria, A.H., Pais, L.S., Faundes, V., Wood, J.C., Sveden, A., Luria, V., Abou Jamra, R., Accogli, A., Amburgey, K., Anderlid, B.M., et al.; Deciphering Developmental Disorders (DDD) Study (2019). Heterozygous Variants in KMT2E Cause

a Spectrum of Neurodevelopmental Disorders and Epilepsy. Am. J. Hum. Genet. *104*, 1210–1222.

- 27. Reynhout, S., Jansen, S., Haesen, D., van Belle, S., de Munnik, S.A., Bongers, E.M.H.F., Schieving, J.H., Marcelis, C., Amiel, J., Rio, M., et al. (2019). De Novo Mutations Affecting the Catalytic C α Subunit of PP2A, PPP2CA, Cause Syndromic Intellectual Disability Resembling Other PP2A-Related Neurodevelopmental Disorders. Am. J. Hum. Genet. *104*, 139–156.
- Reijnders, M.R.F., Ansor, N.M., Kousi, M., Yue, W.W., Tan, P.L., Clarkson, K., Clayton-Smith, J., Corning, K., Jones, J.R., Lam, W.W.K., et al.; Deciphering Developmental Disorders Study (2017). RAC1 Missense Mutations in Developmental Disorders with Diverse Phenotypes. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 101, 466–477.
- 29. Zarate, Y.A., Bosanko, K.A., Caffrey, A.R., Bernstein, J.A., Martin, D.M., Williams, M.S., Berry-Kravis, E.M., Mark, P.R., Manning, M.A., Bhambhani, V., et al. (2019). Mutation update for the SATB2 gene. Hum. Mutat. *40*, 1013–1029.
- **30.** Lee, J.S., Yoo, Y., Lim, B.C., Kim, K.J., Choi, M., and Chae, J.H. (2016). SATB2-associated syndrome presenting with Rett-like phenotypes. Clin. Genet. *89*, 728–732.