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Satiety-related hormonal dysregulation in behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia

ABSTRACT

Objective: To investigate whether patients with behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia (bvFTD) have dysregulation in satiety-related hormonal signaling using a laboratory-based case-control study.

Methods: Fifty-four participants (19 patients with bvFTD, 17 patients with Alzheimer disease dementia, and 18 healthy normal controls [NCs]) were recruited from a tertiary-care dementia clinic. During a standardized breakfast, blood was drawn before, during, and after the breakfast protocol to quantify levels of peripheral satiety-related hormones (ghrelin, cortisol, insulin, leptin, and peptide YY) and glucose. To further explore the role of patients’ feeding abnormalities on hormone levels, patients were classified into overeating and nonovereating subgroups based on feeding behavior during separate laboratory-based standardized lunch feeding sessions.

Results: Irrespective of their feeding behavior in the laboratory, patients with bvFTD, but not patients with Alzheimer disease dementia, have significantly lower levels of ghrelin and cortisol and higher levels of insulin compared with NCs. Furthermore, while laboratory feeding behavior did not predict alterations in levels of ghrelin, cortisol, and insulin, only patients with bvFTD who significantly overate in the laboratory demonstrated significantly higher levels of leptin compared with NCs, suggesting that leptin may be sensitive to particularly severe feeding abnormalities in bvFTD.

Conclusions: Despite a tendency to overeat, patients with bvFTD have a hormonal profile that should decrease food intake. Aberrant hormone levels may represent a compensatory response to the behavioral or neuroanatomical abnormalities of bvFTD. Neurology®, 2014;82:512–520

GLOSSARY

ACC = anterior cingulate cortex; AD = Alzheimer disease; BED = binge eating disorder; BMI = body mass index; bvFTD = behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia; CDR = Clinical Dementia Rating; dIPFC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; EDTA = ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; NC = normal control; NPI = Neuropsychiatric Inventory; PFC = prefrontal cortex; PYY = peptide YY; RIA = radioimmunoassay; UCSF = University of California, San Francisco; VTA = ventral tegmental area.

Behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia (bvFTD) is characterized by progressive behavioral changes, including pronounced feeding abnormalities.1 Despite the fact that more than 80% of patients with bvFTD develop feeding abnormalities during the course of their illness,2 the neurohormonal mechanisms and consequences of these abnormalities remain unknown.

Several hormones are implicated in the regulation of feeding behavior. Ghrelin and cortisol promote food intake whereas insulin, leptin, and peptide YY (PYY) are anorectic hormones that typically reduce food intake.3 Additionally, glucose, while not a hormone, is also a key regulator of food consumption, independent from insulin.4 Laboratory studies show that these hormones directly influence reward-related and cognitive control brain circuits.5–7 However, there have been no human neuroanatomical lesion studies investigating the effects of focal neurodegeneration on peripheral satiety hormone levels. The bvFTD pathology is characterized by dysfunction of reward and regulatory circuits8,9 and presents a unique opportunity to elucidate this important neurohormonal system.
We examined whether bvFTD is associated with dysregulation in ghrelin, cortisol, insulin, leptin, PY, and glucose levels using a laboratory-based controlled study. Control groups included patients with Alzheimer disease (AD) dementia, a disorder not typically associated with overeating, and healthy age-matched participants. To further characterize feeding disturbances, we also administered 2 calorically quantified lunches. Given that behavioral disinhibition, including compulsive overeating, in bvFTD is likely caused by atrophy in frontal and reward-related brain areas, we hypothesized that patients with bvFTD would have levels of satiety-related hormones consistent with a satiated state that should tend to counteract these behavioral and neuroanatomical abnormalities (figure 1).

METHODS

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and consents. All study participants signed an institutional review board–approved consent form.

Participants. Thirty-six patients with a neurodegenerative disease (19 with a bvFTD syndrome [meeting international research criteria10] and 17 with AD dementia [meeting National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke–Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association research criteria11]) were recruited from the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) Memory and Aging Center, a dementia specialty clinic. Eighteen healthy demographically matched caregivers were recruited as control participants (NC). Patient diagnoses were established by the consensus of a multidisciplinary team comprising neurologists, nurses, neuropsychologists, neuroradiologists, and psychiatrists. Semistructured caregiver interviews were conducted to ensure accurate diagnostic histories. Participants with diabetes were excluded. All participants were evaluated during a 4- to 5-day stay at the UCSF Clinical Care Research Center. During their inpatient stay, each participant participated in a 3-day feeding paradigm, consisting of a standardized breakfast with blood draws on day 2 and 2 successive lunches on days 3 and 4 (figure 2). Study size was determined by practical availability of subjects. To maximize power, we included all potential participants who met the above criteria and could be assessed within the study’s timeframe for data collection.

Breakfast. Each participant fasted after midnight the night before the breakfast. At 7:20 AM, an IV was placed and saline locked and was not removed until after all blood draws. At 8 AM, each participant was given a standardized 550-kcal breakfast consisting of oatmeal, peaches, a hardboiled egg, 3.25% milk, orange juice, butter, cottage cheese, and decaffeinated tea. Participants were instructed to complete their meal by 8:30 AM at which time the food tray was removed. Participants were encouraged to eat the entire meal (tea optional) within 30 minutes and the quantity of each food item that remained after the 30 minutes was noted. Participants underwent blood draws before, during, and after the breakfast (time point 0 = delivery of breakfast, approximately 8:20 AM).

Figure 1  Dysregulated reward circuits in bvFTD

This model diagram depicts how alterations to brain circuits may lead to disinhibition in patients with bvFTD, which in turn may lead to hormonal changes. In a healthy brain, stimulatory reward circuits such as the VTA, medial OFC, and striatum are balanced by inhibitory circuits such as the medial PFC, dlPFC, and ACC. The pathogenesis of bvFTD may result in an imbalance of these circuits as the inhibitory regions are early targets of brain atrophy. Moreover, there is evidence supporting a pathologic enlargement of the VTA in bvFTD. This imbalance may lead to compensatory alterations in levels of ghrelin, cortisol, and insulin, as these hormones directly modulate the activity of both the reward-related brain circuits as well as top-down cognitive control circuits. ACC = anterior cingulate cortex; bvFTD = behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia; dlPFC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; OFC = orbitofrontal cortex; PFC = prefrontal cortex; VTA = ventral tegmental area.
Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI). The MMSE measures cognitive decline, the CDR measures dementia severity, and the NPI is a caregiver interview that measures neuropsychiatric and behavioral disturbances.

Statistical analyses conducted. To examine differences between groups across time points, a random coefficients model using SAS Proc Mixed (SAS 9.2; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) was used to generate estimates of hormone levels for each diagnostic group at each time point, which were compared between the 2 patient groups and the control group. To correct for multiple comparisons, Fisher least significant difference was used to test the global hypothesis of each statistical model at \( p < 0.05 \). A deviation statistic was calculated between the condition means model, consisting of the covariates age, sex, and BMI, and the overall model, consisting of time point, diagnostic group, time point \( \times \) diagnostic group, age, sex, and BMI. Pairwise comparisons were performed at the same level of significance only when the deviation statistic was statistically significant, using a Dunnett-Hsu test to compare patients with NCs.

RESULTS

General linear models with Dunnett post hoc tests did not show differences at \( p < 0.05 \) in age, BMI, waist-to-hip ratio, education, or percentage of breakfast calories consumed between patient groups and NCs (table). Using general linear models with post hoc Tukey tests comparing across all groups, there were no differences in CDR scores between diagnostic groups, although patients with bvFTD had higher NPI total \((F_{32,1} = 27.92, p < 0.001)\), NPI eating subscale \((F_{32,1} = 35.29, p < 0.001)\), and MMSE \((F_{34,1} = 5.60, p < 0.008)\) scores than did patients with AD dementia.

Prophagic hormone levels. Before breakfast, patients with bvFTD had lower levels of the prophagic hormone ghrelin compared with NCs (figure 3A). Patients with bvFTD also had lower levels of ghrelin at \(+15\) and \(+180\) minutes after the delivery of breakfast and there were nonsignificant trends toward lower levels of ghrelin at these time points.

**RESULTS**
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**Figure 2** Three-day standardized paradigm consisting of one breakfast and 2 lunches

Day 2

(Blood draw) 8 a.m. Standardized breakfast

1 Hour Nonvariety or variety lunch

550 KCAL

(Blood draw) (Overeating assessed)

Day 3

Day 4

The breakfast was administered on day 2 of the inpatient admission and was used to assess hormonal responses to food intake, with each patient having 2 fasting blood draws, 2 blood draws during the breakfast, and 4 postprandial blood draws. Lunches were used to assess overeating behavior and consisted of an unlimited number of standardized sandwiches administered on days 3 and 4 of the admission.
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No patients were taking stimulants.
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Significantly different compared to patients with AD.

Demographics, disease severity, and medications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal controls</th>
<th>bvFTD</th>
<th>AD dementia</th>
<th>bvFTD overeaters</th>
<th>bvFTD nonovereaters</th>
<th>Non-bvFTD nonovereaters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>56.7 ± 8.7</td>
<td>58.4 ± 11.8</td>
<td>58.6 ± 8.0</td>
<td>58.4 ± 8.0</td>
<td>58.4 ± 13.2</td>
<td>57.0 ± 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, M/F</td>
<td>7/11</td>
<td>16/3</td>
<td>9/8</td>
<td>4/1</td>
<td>12/2</td>
<td>7/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>26.9 ± 4.1</td>
<td>27.3 ± 3.9</td>
<td>26.3 ± 6.1</td>
<td>27.1 ± 1.3</td>
<td>27.3 ± 4.4</td>
<td>26.7 ± 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist-to-hip ratio</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, y</td>
<td>16.1 ± 2.6</td>
<td>15.9 ± 3.2</td>
<td>14.7 ± 2.8</td>
<td>15.6 ± 4.8</td>
<td>16.0 ± 2.7</td>
<td>14.1 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR total</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPI total</td>
<td>50.5 ± 20.5 b</td>
<td>19.6 ± 11.9</td>
<td>55.6 ± 19.6 c</td>
<td>48.5 ± 21.3 d</td>
<td>19.6 ± 12.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPI eating subscale, %</td>
<td>11/33/56 a b</td>
<td>87/19/0</td>
<td>0/40/60 b</td>
<td>15/31/54 b d</td>
<td>87/14/0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Breakfast consumed</td>
<td>82.9 ± 6.0</td>
<td>83.9 ± 5.9</td>
<td>77.3 ± 5.0</td>
<td>93.2 ± 11.0</td>
<td>82.0 ± 6.7</td>
<td>75.7 ± 5.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AD = Alzheimer disease; BMI = body mass index; bvFTD = behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia; CDR = Clinical Dementia Rating; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; NPI = Neuropsychiatric Inventory.

Plus-minus values represent mean ± SD.

*No patients were taking stimulants.

**Significantly different compared to patients with AD.

*Significantly different compared with non-bvFTD nonovereaters (p < 0.05). NPI eating subscale totals are rank data, thus scores are represented as percentage of sample in each score tertile: minimal = 0 or 2/moderate = 4, 6, or 8/severe = 12.

ghrelin in patients with bvFTD compared with NCs at all other time points during and after the meal (p < 0.10) (figure 3A). In contrast, patients with AD dementia were not different than NCs in levels of ghrelin at any time point. Patients with bvFTD also had lower levels of the prophyagic hormone cortisol before breakfast. After breakfast, cortisol levels in patients with bvFTD and those with AD dementia were not different from NCs except at +180 minutes when both groups showed higher cortisol values compared with levels in NCs at 30 minutes after the meal (figure 3C). This finding is likely because patients began cognitive testing at +150 minutes after breakfast delivery. Cortisol levels are sensitive to cognitive demands. Overall, the hormonal pattern of low ghrelin and cortisol levels is consistent with a satiated state and should tend to decrease food intake.

Anorectic hormone levels. Meal intake was associated with an increase in levels of the anorectic hormone insulin and glucose in all groups, reaching a peak 30 minutes after the meal (figure 3, E–H). Patients with bvFTD had higher peak insulin levels (+30 and +60 minutes after breakfast) and lower peak glucose levels (+30 and +60 minutes after breakfast) compared with NCs. The increased insulin levels in bvFTD should tend to decrease food intake and may contribute to the observed decrease in glucose levels in patients with bvFTD. Patients with AD dementia had lower glucose levels at +60 and +90 minutes after breakfast. However, levels of PYY and leptin were not different between patients with bvFTD or AD dementia and NCs at either of the prebreakfast time points (figure 4, A and C).

Overeaters vs nonovereaters. Five patients with bvFTD and 2 patients with AD dementia consumed calories more than 2 SDs above the group mean and were thus classified as overeaters. The bvFTD overeaters, bvFTD nonovereaters, and non-bvFTD nonovereaters were not different from each other in age, BMI, waist-to-hip ratio, education, CDR scores, or percentage of breakfast calories consumed (table). However, bvFTD overeaters had worse behavioral and eating symptoms as indexed by higher NPI (F29,2 = 12.55, p < 0.001) and NPI eating subscale (F29,2 = 13.32, p < 0.001) scores than non-bvFTD nonovereaters. The bvFTD nonovereaters also showed higher NPI (F29,2 = 12.55, p < 0.001) and NPI eating subscale (F29,2 = 13.32, p < 0.001) scores, as well as lower MMSE.
scores ($F_{30,2} = 3.71, p < 0.04$) than non-bvFTD nonovereaters. NPI eating subscale scores did not differ between overeating and nonovereating patients with bvFTD.

Overtreating and nonovereating patients with bvFTD also had similar levels of ghrelin, cortisol, insulin, and glucose (figure 3, B, D, F, and H). Specifically, both overeating and nonovereating patients with bvFTD had lower levels of the prophagic hormone ghrelin at baseline and nonsignificantly ($p < 0.1$) lower ghrelin levels during and after breakfast compared with NCs. Furthermore, both overeating and nonovereating patients with bvFTD had lower baseline cortisol levels, higher peak insulin, and lower peak glucose levels compared with NCs although the differences for overeating patients with bvFTD were only at trend levels. This pattern of hormonal changes is consistent with a satiated state and should decrease food intake. In contrast, levels of the anorectic hormone leptin were higher in overeating patients with bvFTD compared with NCs at both baseline
time points, while leptin levels were not different between nonovereating patients with bvFTD and NCs (figure 4B). Non-bvFTD nonovereaters had ghrelin values similar to NCs across the entire session but lower glucose values at 130 minutes and 1120 minutes. PYY levels in both overeating and nonovereating patients were similar to levels in NCs (figure 4D).

**DISCUSSION** In the present study, patients with bvFTD had lower levels of ghrelin and cortisol and higher peak levels of insulin compared with NCs. Ghrelin and cortisol are well-documented prophagic hormones, while insulin is a satiety-promoting hormone that regulates glucose levels. Therefore, the hormonal pattern found in patients with bvFTD is consistent with a satiated state, which should decrease food intake, but nonetheless, patients with bvFTD commonly overeat. Our data, therefore, do not support the hypothesis that hormonal abnormalities promote overeating in bvFTD. Instead, it appears that the overeating that results from loss of brain-based inhibitory signals in bvFTD cannot be overridden by appropriate compensatory hormonal responses. Additionally, only patients with bvFTD who overate in the laboratory and therefore likely had particularly severe eating abnormalities had elevated levels of leptin, a hormone that functions to decrease feeding behavior and maintain energy homeostasis. Therefore, elevations in this hormone may be specifically related to severe overeating.

Ghrelin is a potent prophagic hormone synthesized in the gastric oxyntic mucosa that increases before meal initiation, decreases during meal consumption in association with decreasing hunger, and increases with food restriction in healthy individuals. In response to weight loss such as in anorexia; cancer cachexia; liver, heart, or kidney failure–related cachexia; and diet-induced weight loss, ghrelin levels increase, while in response to weight gain in obesity and forced feeding, ghrelin levels decrease and remain low during and between meals. Additionally, when weight normalizes in these disorders, ghrelin levels and dynamics also normalize. In our bvFTD patient group, ghrelin levels were low despite normal weight and frequent overeating. This pattern is similar to that seen in binge eating disorder (BED), a psychiatric disorder characterized by episodic
out-of-control binge eating. Patients with BED have low levels of ghrelin before and during meals even compared with BMI-matched, non–binge eating controls. Patients with BED also have lower levels of cortisol and higher peak postprandial levels of the anorectic hormone insulin, similar to the pattern in bvFTD. It has been hypothesized that these hormonal abnormalities represent a compensatory response to compulsive overeating in BED, which may also be the case in bvFTD. However, both overeating and nonovereating patients with bvFTD showed identical changes in levels of ghrelin, cortisol, and insulin, which suggests that eating abnormalities may not be the sole drivers of these hormonal changes in bvFTD. However, our laboratory feeding paradigm likely underestimated real-world rates of overeating because more than 80% of patients with bvFTD develop feeding abnormalities during the course of their illness, and we found no differences in NPI eating subscores between overeating and nonovereating patients with bvFTD. Thus, the “overeating” patients with bvFTD in our study likely represent patients with particularly severe overeating abnormalities.

Only patients with bvFTD who overate in the laboratory had higher levels of leptin compared with NCs. Leptin is secreted from adipose tissue, decreases feeding behavior, and is a long-term marker for nutritional status. The only other study to quantify leptin levels in patients with bvFTD found that only female patients with an FTD-spectrum phenotype had elevated levels of leptin compared with sex-matched patients with AD dementia, and this effect was particularly pronounced for the FTD-spectrum females who also had eating disturbances. Although we did not find sex differences in our study, this may be attributable to the small number of women in our sample. Additionally, most studies report higher levels of leptin in patients with BED even controlling for BMI. Taken together with this previous research, our findings indicate that elevated leptin levels may be specifically associated with severe overeating in bvFTD.

Eating abnormalities are likely an instance of a more general pattern of behavioral disinhibition in bvFTD, which manifests as inappropriate social behavior, environmental dependency, and substance abuse. Previous work indicates that overeating patients with bvFTD have damage to a right-sided orbitofrontal-insular-striatal circuit and that patients with bvFTD develop pathologically enlarged ventral tegmental areas (a key dopaminergic center) compared with healthy controls, which is associated with greater severity of disinhibited eating and worse overall behavioral impairment. Previous work also indicates that patients with bvFTD have impaired top-down cognitive control circuitry that contributes to their behavioral disinhibition. Accumulating evidence demonstrates that peripheral hormones such as ghrelin, insulin, and cortisol normally function to regulate these same dopaminergic and top-down circuits. For example, ghrelin stimulation stimulates food reward–related brain areas including the VTA, striatum, amygdala, insula, and medial orbitofrontal cortex that are implicated in the hedonic aspects of feeding, and these activations correlate with ghrelin-induced subjective feelings of hunger. Similarly, higher cortisol levels have been associated with riskier decision making in a gambling paradigm, potentiate the desire to smoke, and inhibit the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and medial prefrontal cortex (PFC), important top-down cognitive control circuits. In contrast, intranasal administration of insulin inhibits the reward-related medial orbitofrontal cortex and stimulates the ACC and dorsolateral (d)PFC, brain regions implicated in top-down cognitive control of eating. These neural regulatory effects of ghrelin, cortisol, and insulin are also supported by extensive nonhuman research. Thus, the hormonal shifts in bvFTD may occur to compensate for the reward circuit dysfunction (e.g., increased VTA size), decreased top-down cognitive control (e.g., prominent ACC and dPFC atrophy in bvFTD), or associated disinhibited behaviors caused by bvFTD (figure 1). Whether or not these compensatory hormonal changes are in response to the more global disinhibition found in bvFTD, or specifically to feeding disinhibition similar to patients with BED, remains to be determined.

There are several limitations to this study. The study design, our relatively small sample sizes, and the interrelatedness of the hormones measured do not allow conclusive determination of causality or brain-behavior relationships. Also, extrapolations of these results to neuropathologic correlations are limited, because our patients met consensus clinical criteria for their diagnostic syndromes, but no further information was available about their underlying neuropathology. Patients who legitimately meet FTD consensus criteria for the bvFTD syndrome may have one of many underlying neuropathologies, including a variety of tauopathies, different variants of TDP-43 (transactive response DNA binding protein 43), FUS (fused in sarcoma), and AD. Additionally, while patients’ eating behaviors were monitored in our controlled laboratory paradigm, patients’ feeding habits may differ in their home environments. We used patients’ caregivers as controls because they were demographically matched to our patients, but they may have hormonal abnormalities related to the chronic stress of caregiving. Moreover, our bvFTD group was predominantly male (16:3), while our control group was largely female. Both ghrelin and cortisol secretion are sexually dimorphic with higher levels in females. However, we controlled for sex in our analyses. Additionally, many of our patients were taking medications that affect hunger and weight (table), and these effects could not be adequately
controlled for. Finally, contrary to previous studies, we found no differences in levels of ghrelin, cortisol, insulin, or leptin in patients with AD dementia compared with NCs. This may be because our patients with AD dementia were younger as they were age-matched to the patients with bvFTD.

In conclusion, we identified that patients with bvFTD, relative to healthy age-matched controls, have abnormally low levels of ghrelin and cortisol, and high levels of insulin, regardless of overeating behaviors, as measured through a laboratory-based feeding paradigm. In addition, only patients with bvFTD who overate had elevated leptin. These findings suggest that patients with bvFTD overate despite their hormonal milieu. Further research is needed to explore the underlying mechanisms of this hormonal dysfunction.
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