# UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

# Title

The Importance of Tumor Stem Cells in Glioblastoma Resistance to Therapy

# Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7383s4cb

# Journal

International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 22(8)

**ISSN** 1661-6596

# **Authors**

Mattei, Vincenzo Santilli, Francesca Martellucci, Stefano <u>et al.</u>

# **Publication Date**

2021

# DOI

10.3390/ijms22083863

# **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a>

Peer reviewed





# **Review The Importance of Tumor Stem Cells in Glioblastoma Resistance to Therapy**

Vincenzo Mattei <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Francesca Santilli <sup>1,2</sup>, Stefano Martellucci <sup>1,3</sup>, Simona Delle Monache <sup>3</sup>, Jessica Fabrizi <sup>1</sup>, Alessandro Colapietro <sup>3</sup>, Adriano Angelucci <sup>3</sup> and Claudio Festuccia <sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Biomedicine and Advanced Technologies Rieti Center, Sabina Universitas, 02100 Rieti, Italy; f.santilli@sabinauniversitas.it (F.S.); s.martellucci@sabinauniversitas.it (S.M.); j.fabrizi@sabinauniversitas.it (J.F.)
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Experimental Medicine, Sapienza University, 00161 Rome, Italy
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Biotechnological and Applied Clinical Sciences, University of L'Aquila, 67100 L'Aquila, Italy; simona.dellemonache@univaq.it (S.D.M.); alecolapietro@gmail.com (A.C.); adriano.angelucci@univaq.it (A.A.); claudio.festuccia@univaq.it (C.F.)
- \* Correspondence: v.mattei@sabinauniversitas.it; Tel.: +39-07461739607

**Abstract:** Glioblastoma (GBM) is known to be the most common and lethal primary malignant brain tumor. Therapies against this neoplasia have a high percentage of failure, associated with the survival of self-renewing glioblastoma stem cells (GSCs), which repopulate treated tumors. In addition, despite new radical surgery protocols and the introduction of new anticancer drugs, protocols for treatment, and technical advances in radiotherapy, no significant improvement in the survival rate for GBMs has been realized. Thus, novel antitarget therapies could be used in conjunction with standard radiochemotherapy approaches. Targeted therapy, indeed, may address specific targets that play an essential role in the proliferation, survival, and invasiveness of GBM cells, including numerous molecules involved in signal transduction pathways. Significant cellular heterogeneity and the hierarchy with GSCs showing a therapy-resistant phenotype could explain tumor recurrence and local invasiveness and, therefore, may be a target for new therapies. This article provides an updated review of the current standard and experimental therapies for GBM, as well as an overview of the molecular characteristics of GSCs, the mechanisms that activate resistance to current treatments, and a new antitumor strategy for treating GSCs for use as therapy.

Keywords: glioblastoma; glioblastoma stem cells; cancer stem cells; glioblastoma therapy resistance; glioblastoma stem cells and therapy resistance; new drugs in the treatment of glioblastoma stem cells

# 1. Glioblastoma: An Overview

Among primary central nervous system (CNS) tumors, glioblastomas (GBMs) are the most common and aggressive brain tumors in adults. This neoplasia represents high-grade (WHO grade IV) gliomas. The tumor belongs to the astrocytic lineage. The exact origin is unknown: they may derive from neural stem cells (NSCs) or glial precursor cells, less likely from mature astrocytes [1,2]. GBM cells easily infiltrate into surrounding brain tissue, determining a histopathological inflammatory pattern [3,4] characterized by endothelial necrosis. Local invasion represents a common characteristic of all diffuse gliomas. The diffuse (grade II) shows low proliferation rates while anaplastic (grade III) astrocytomas are characterized by angiogenesis. The high-grade (IV) gliomas, named GBMs, present high proliferation, angiogenesis, and a typical necrotic lesion called "pseudopalissading necrosis", microvascular proliferations sometimes associated with thrombosis.

Citation: Mattei, V.; Santilli, F.; Martellucci, S.; Delle Monache, S.; Fabrizi, J.; Colapietro, A.; Angelucci, A.; Festuccia, C. The Importance of Tumor Stem Cells in Glioblastoma Resistance to Therapy. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22*, 3863. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22083863

Academic Editor: Seok-Geun Lee

Received: 24 March 2021 Accepted: 6 April 2021 Published: 8 April 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses /by/4.0/). The majority of GBMs (~90%) develop rapidly in elderly patients without clinical or histological evidence of previous lesions, and, in this case, we speak of primary GBMs. Secondary GBMs that display isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) gene mutation progress from low-grade diffuse astrocytomas in younger patients, with a low degree of necrosis, or anaplastic astrocytomas, preferably localizing in the frontal lobe with a low degree of necrosis and a significantly better prognosis [5,6].

In Italy, every year, GBMs affect approximately 1500 people, 54% of whom are male [7,8], while in the United States in 2016, 12,120 cases of GBM were diagnosed [9]. GBMs can occur at any age, but 70% of cases occur between 45 and 70 years of age [1]. Most GBM patients die within two years despite receiving standard-of-care treatments [10], with a median survival of 15 months from diagnosis in patients undergoing safe maximum resection plus adjuvant chemoradiation [1,11,12] and five-year survival rate of 5% [9]. If left untreated, patients die within months of diagnosis. The prognostic factors involved in performance survival include age, status, grade, specific markers (methylated/unmethylated status of O6-methylguanine-DNA methyl-transferase methylation (MGMT) gene promoter, mutations of IDH 1 and IDH 2 or mutations of the TERT promoter, and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) overexpression), and, possibly, the extent of resection [1,13]. The prognosis is better if IDH1/2 gene mutation is present.

Signs and symptoms, such as headache, nausea, vomiting, and/or drowsiness, may develop when the tumor begins to put excess pressure on the brain [14]. Affected people may also experience other features depending on the size and location of the tumor [15].

The causes of GBMs are currently not well known; possible genetic and environmental risk factors are being investigated, although it is currently known that most are sporadic causes [16]. GBM's aggressiveness seems to derive from a small fraction of a subpopulation of cancer cells, called glioblastoma stem cells (GSCs), which show functional properties such as multipotency and self-renewal, similar to those of NSCs [17]. GSCs reside in specialized vascular niches in close contact with the cerebral endothelium cells, which modulate self-renewal, determine cell fate, and protect these cells from chemo and radiation therapies (RTs) [18]. Furthermore, it has been proposed that small amounts of GSCs remain in brain tissue after surgical resection, causing rapid tumor regrowth [19] and resistance to radiotherapy and/or temozolomide (TMZ) treatment, contributing to tumor recurrence, aggressiveness, and disease progression [20].

# 2. Heterogeneity of Human Glioblastomas and Glioblastoma Stem Cells

It is known that the heterogeneity of the tumor represents one of the main characteristics in tumorigenesis, being able to determine tumor progression and treatment resistance such as resistance to chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Indeed, tumor clones resistant to the treatments are one of the predominant causes of therapy failure and tumor recurrence [21].

Despite the genetic evolution of cancer cell models of human tumors, they show specific hierarchies related to epigenetic programs and developmental pathways in which cancer stem cells (CSCs) can lead tumor growth and give rise to differentiated progeny [22].

According to the CSC theory, only a cell subset keeps both self-renewal and differentiation capacity.

Regarding brain cancers and the understanding of GBM biology, the basic idea is that tumor initiation, maintenance, and regrowth following treatment are enabled by GSCs [23], as demonstrated by the xenotransplantation of specific GBM subgroups characterized by surface marker expression. Singh et al. described a xenograft assay able to identify initiating cells in human brain tumors that start tumors in vivo. The authors demonstrated that only the CD133<sup>+</sup> brain cancer fraction contains cells with the ability to start cancer in mouse brains. Indeed, the injection of a few CD133<sup>+</sup> cells produced a tumor

that could be transplanted in succession and was a phenotype copy of the original tumor in the patient, while CD133<sup>-</sup> cell injection did not cause a tumor [24].

Moreover, thanks to a genetically engineered mouse model of glioma, Chen et al. described a subpopulation of endogenous tumor cells. The authors reported that, after the drug TMZ's administration to transitorily arrest tumor growth, this kind of cell gives rise to a new tumor cell. According to the CSC hypothesis, Chen et al. affirmed that, in their model, only CSCs were able to sustain tumor growth, and they were the cause for recurrence after treatment failures in their model [25].

More recently, single-cell RNA-sequencing analysis has been performed in order to profile primary G cells.

Patel et al. performed single-cell transcriptomics in order to characterize gene expression programs in cells from five primary GBMs. They found that the cells changed their expression of diverse transcriptional programs related to proliferation, oncogenic signaling, hypoxia, and immune responses. The authors also reported that in vivo tumor cells showed several stemness and differentiation states in addition to variable proliferative capacity and the variable expression of quiescence markers—all of which complicate the therapeutic strategies [26].

Using RNA sequencing (RNA-seq), Tirosh et al. characterized individual cells from different mutant oligodendrogliomas in humans. They observed that several cancer cells were differentiated in two specialized glial plans, while a limited subset of cells was undifferentiated and associated with an NSCs expression program. Also, the authors profiled the developmental programs from genome-wide expression signatures, suggesting that the structure of oligodendroglioma was primarily dictated by developmental programs. According to a model in which CSCs were mainly responsible for sustaining the growth of oligodendroglioma, Tirosh et al. concluded that the most primitive and undifferentiated population of cancer cells could be the major source of proliferating cells in oligodendroglioma [27]. The existence of stem cells in human brain tumors was first demonstrated after isolating clonogenic precursors that gave rise to neurospheres, from postsurgical specimens of human medulloblastoma and GBM [28]. In vitro, GSCs have a high regenerative ability and the capacity to differentiate into glial or neuronal cells [29], expressing specific neural markers, such as CD133, A2B5, L1CAM, SOX2, CXCR4, CD15, CD44, Integrin a6, CD36 [30-34], and generate heterogeneous populations that participate in tumor propagation, drug resistance, and relapse [35]. Different independent works reported the in vivo presence of these self-renewing, tumorigenic GSCs [36] may dedifferentiate and convert into cells with malignant characteristics, playing a critical role in tumor propagation [35]. GSCs are located in hypoxic or anoxic vascular niches in close contact with brain endothelial cells [37], which regulate the phenotypic and molecular profile of GSCs affecting the response to the therapy [19,38]. Reciprocal interactions among GSCs require the activation of multiple pathways that promote tumor propagation including metabolic reprogramming for the hypoxia niche and autophagy pathway, Notch signaling, basic fibroblast growth factor (b-FGF), secretion of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), stromal cell-derived factor 1 (CXCL12) with activation of the membrane-associated receptor CXCR4 [39,40], and activation of hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF2 $\alpha$ ) [41,42].

## 2.1. Markers Shared between Glioblastoma Stem Cells and Glioblastoma Cells

The identification of novel markers and the targeting of GSCs are fundamental issues in the development of innovative strategies for GBM eradication. The identification of specific molecular targets expressed by GSCs could improve our capacity to diagnose and target more aggressive forms of GBM. Integrated cancer genomics transformed the understanding of signaling pathways downstream of surface receptors. This has encouraged current therapy approaches to capitalize on targeting key oncogenic signaling nodes downstream of a limited number of surface markers.

## 2.1.1. Erythropoietin-Producing Hepatocellular Carcinoma Receptors

As for other cancers [43], Eph (erythropoietin-producing hepatocellular carcinoma) receptor family members are reported as key molecules in GBM development. These receptors are primarily expressed in early development and are crucial for embryonic development and regulating processes such as cell adhesion and migration [44]. The expression of Eph receptors is very low in adult and differentiated tissues. Conversely, Eph receptor expression becomes upregulated in glioma, driving tumorigenicity and stemness, and has been proposed as a therapeutic target and innovative molecular marker since it is strongly overexpressed in GBM cells but not in normal brain [45].

### 2.1.2. Src Family

GSCs and GBM cells utilize and express different Src family members of protein tyrosine kinases (SFKs), including Src, Fyn, Yes, and Lyn, and their effects do not always seem to be functionally superfluous. Inhibition of Src family activity in GSCs, responsible for GBM lethality and primary GBM cells, reduces tumorigenicity and boosts survival in preclinical models [46–48].

# 2.1.3. Cannabinoid Receptors

Among different cannabinoid receptors (CBRs), the levels of CB1R and CB2R are only upregulated in some cancer cells without automatically being expressed in the origin tissue type. Significant modifications in the cannabinoid balance system between the levels of endogenous ligands and their receptors develop during malignant transformation in various types of cancer [49], including gliomas [50].

### 2.1.4. Other Markers of Interest

CD133 is a well-studied marker in order to describe intrinsic differences in stemness determinants. Several studies show that the effect of inhibiting the GSCs proliferation was associated with the reduction of the ratio of CD133 and the suppression of the GSCs invasiveness [51,52].

Recently, Balça-Silva et al. suggested that nucleolin, a multifunctional protein associated with the internalization of different ligands and activities such as chromatin remodeling, the stabilization of mRNA, and the modulation of its translation, is expressed in both GSC and GBM cells. The authors suggested that nucleolin could be a common potential marker in NANOG-positive GSC, OCT4-, and in the corresponding non-stem GBM cells, as well as in SOX2-positive GSCs [53].

All the data suggest the presence of a relatively quiescent subpopulation of endogenous glioma cells that are responsible for sustaining long-term tumor growth through the production of transient subsets of highly proliferative cells. Thus, the identification of brain tumor initiating cells provides insights into human brain tumor pathogenesis, giving strong support for the CSC theory as the basis for many solid tumors, and establishes a previously unidentified cellular target for more effective cancer treatments. Thus, the comprehension of the mechanisms underlying tumor heterogeneity is a fundamental step in developing better precision treatments, with significant potential improvements, particularly in the notoriously therapy-refractory cancer cases.

# 3. Current Therapeutic Strategies in Glioblastoma

Surgical resection of the tumor represents the primary therapeutic approach. The amelioration of the prognosis clearly follows the grade of efficiency in the extent of resection and compatibly with the preservation of neurological function [54,55]. Unfortunately, the high probability of recurrence of tumor cells within centimeters of the primary mass renders surgery, but also the subsequent radiotherapy, less effective than expected [56]. Thus, surgical resection should be as extensive as possible in order to have a better chance of survival and improved surgical procedures are welcomed. These

include the marking of tumor cells with fluorescent dyes (the most widely used is 5aminolevulinic acid, 5-ALA) [55] and the utilization of intraoperative imaging or functional monitoring. In order to reduce the risk of residual disease, the implantation of biodegradable carmustine-impregnated wafers into the tumor bed was also proposed after near or complete tumor resection. Although this procedure was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for first-line treatment of GBM, the use of carmustine (BCNU) wafers has been shown to marginally improve median survival compared to radiotherapy alone, and thus it remains controversial also in consideration of the potential adverse events [57].

After surgical resection, the standard treatment is represented by fractionated localized radiotherapy at the maximum cumulative dose of 60 Gy delivered over six weeks, with lower doses that are recommended in patients with a low performance status [58]. TMZ has become the standard treatment in concomitance and adjuvant setting with radiotherapy for patients with newly diagnosed GBM [59,60]. The absence of effective better alternatives renders this therapeutic protocol the gold standard in the majority of GBM patients. The use of TMZ without radiotherapy has been demonstrated to be effective only in elderly patients with methylated MGMT gene promoter [61,62]. In fact, the genotoxic activity of TMZ is apparent by the formation of O6-methylguanine DNA adducts, which are repaired by the enzyme MGMT. Indeed, methylation in the promoter of the MGMT gene represents one of the three predictive markers that have been proposed in high-grade gliomas. The other two are mutated IDH and loss of heterozygosity of chromosomes 1 p and 19q. However, the utility of the proposed predictive markers is reduced by the fact that tumors with chromosomes 1 p and 19 q almost always have IDH mutations and MGMT promoter methylation [63]. In addition, although the resistance to TMZ in patients harboring unmethylated MGMT promoter is a consolidated notion, the clinical utility of this genetic analysis remains poor because of a lack of alternative therapeutic options [64].

Although the combination of TMZ and radiotherapy has significantly improved outcomes for GBM, few patients survive beyond five years. In addition, attempts aimed to augment the effects of TMZ and radiotherapy have failed, suggesting the necessity of a radical innovation in the therapeutic approach [65].

In 2011, the FDA approved a new therapeutic modality in recurrent GBM patients that represents an absolutely innovative approach—the tumor-treating fields (TTFields). The significant results demonstrated in clinical trials have prompted the inclusion of TTFields in the updated version of clinical guidelines [66]. TTFields delivers low-intensity, intermediate-frequency (200 kHz), alternating electric fields through transducer electrodes applied to the scalp of the patient [67]. Preclinical studies have suggested that TTFields determines the block of cells in the mitotic phase of the cell cycle interfering with chromosomal segregation. In recurrent tumors, TTFields was demonstrated to be noninferior to chemotherapy but with a better toxicity profile [68]. A subsequent phase III study evaluated TTFields in combination with TMZ during the standard protocol in patients with newly diagnosed GBM and permitted the extension of the approval also for this condition due to the significant improvement in progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) [69].

For recurrent tumors after prior chemotherapy, there is no established therapeutic regimen available, and oncologists may apply investigational protocols. However, a percentage of patients are candidates for second surgery, in accordance with the morphological characteristic of the recurrent tumor and the time interval between surgeries. Alternative options include nitrosourea-based regimens, alternative dosing regimens of TMZ, and bevacizumab [70]. Nitrosoureas are DNA-alkylating agents that have revealed to be candidate drugs for brain tumors due to their ability to cross the blood–brain barrier (BBB), and they represented first-line treatment of GBM prior to the demonstration of the superior activity of TMZ. Different nitrosourea drugs are available as therapeutic options for GBM, including BCNU, lomustine (CCNU), nimustine (ACNU),

and fotemustine (FOT). Some of these have been evaluated also as monotherapy in clinical trials, demonstrating adequate safety and tolerability profile but with limited PFS and modest improvement in OS [71,72]. A combination of CCNU with procarbazine and vincristine may represent another option in recurrent tumors, even if its activity was not significantly different from TMZ treatment [73].

In 2016, bevacizumab was approved by the FDA (to date, not in the European Union) for use as second-line GBM therapy. Bevacizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody directed against circulating VEGF. Bevacizumab can be considered a salvation option after radiotherapy and chemotherapy failure. Clinical trials have demonstrated that bevacizumab determined a significant improvement in patients with recurrent disease, ameliorating response rates and six-month progression-free rates [74,75]. An improved PFS was also noted when bevacizumab was evaluated as part of standard first-line therapy; however, the data from OS did not justify an inclusion in the routine therapeutic protocol [76]. A significant difference in PFS, but not in OS, was also observed in a European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) clinical trial when bevacizumab was added to CCNU [77].

# 4. Novel Anticancer Strategies Targeting Glioblastoma Stem Cells

An important aspect of GBM is the ground and abnormal tumor vessel blood supply in which multiple subclonal driver mutations create a highly adaptable entity that is resistant to all therapeutic approaches. Several studies suggest that GSGs can be responsible for the high recurrence rates in GBM and for the failure of treatment [78]. Moreover, since GSCs represent a considerable target for treating GBM, their elimination is crucial. The main strategy for treating GSCs is based on their direct ablation by targeting cell surface markers. Therefore, specific pathways required for maintaining GSC stemness have also been investigated. However, it has been increasingly acknowledged that another way to specifically target GSCs is to alter the ability of GSCs to interact with their microenvironment through the modulation of the immune system, stroma, and vasculature. We address specific novel signaling pathways that may be targeted to obtain this aim.

### 4.1. Targeting Notch and Sonic Hedgehog Pathways

Notch signaling is an evolutionarily well-conserved pathway involved in many aspects of differentiation, proliferation, and apoptotic events, even though its specific role is highly context-dependent [79]. Notch signal inhibitors might be good candidates for the treatment targeting GSCs [80]. Besides, Notch and some of its downregulation ligands (i.e., Delta-like-1 and Jagged-1) lead to a decrease in the oncogenic potential of GSCs, demonstrating its key role in GSC survival and proliferation (Table 1) [81]. For example, Fan et al. experienced decreased proliferation, increased neuronal differentiation, lowered CD133<sup>+</sup> cell fraction in vitro, and diminished tumorigenicity in vivo while Notch activation was prevented by  $\gamma$ -secretase inhibitors (GSIs) [82]. On the other hand, pharmacological Notch inhibition may stimulate protective autophagy in glioma neurospheres, resulting in chemoresistance, but combination treatment with the autophagy inhibitor chloroquine could cancel this effect [83]. Functional interaction between Notch signaling and leptin in GBM has also been reported, and leptin seems to enhance the invasive potential of GSCs [84]. Subsequently, they reported that increased expression of leptin receptor (Ob-R) seems to cause TMZ resistance by the increase of stem/progenitor cell features [85]. Also, Panza et al. showed that leptin seems able to directly sustain GSCs features in GBM. They observed an increase of leptin receptors expression in tumor spheres from GBM. Moreover, leptin led to increased efficiency of neurosphere formation and capacity of selfrenewal. Thus, leptin can lead to the upregulation of the Notch 1 receptor and to the activation of its downstream effectors and target molecules, suggesting leptin/Notch crosstalk as an alternative therapeutic target for GBM treatment [86]. Moreover, Notch signaling is involved in maintaining GSCs within the hypoxic niche [87,88]. Several studies suggest that GSCs reside in particular zones known as perivascular niches or perihypoxic niches [89]; here, GSCs are protected against radiotherapy and chemotherapy. Others hypothesize the existence of peri-immune niches and/or niches of GSCs in the extracellular matrix (ECM) [90], but all of them can be considered as a portion of the overall GSC niche model in which the activation of Notch has been delineated. For example, Man et al. suggested that the molecule vasorin can act to trigger Notch signaling under hypoxic conditions and identify another potential therapeutic target against glioma [87]. Together with Notch, a high expression of the Sonic hedgehog (Shh) pathway has also been noticed in GBM cell lines and in GBM-derived neurospheres suggesting the Shh inhibitor as a new therapeutic strategy for GBM patients. The authors demonstrated that Shh pathway inhibition by cyclopamine determined a decrease in GBM-derived neurospheres with depletion of stemlike cancer cells in GBM. Moreover, the GBM cells injected intracranially were no longer able to form tumors once treated with an inhibitor of Shh. Cyclopamine has been found to affect GBM cell lines but also sensitize them to TMZ treatment. In a first-line study, treatment with Shh inhibitor followed by TMZ on GBM-exposed cell lines resulted in a reduction in GBM viability. Moreover, cyclopamine potentiated TMZ treatment, inducing apoptosis through the activation of caspase-3 cleaved [91].

Cancer Stem Cell Pathway	Potential Therapeutic Target	Agents	Effects	Target Model	References
Notch pathway	Notch signaling	Notch-1 siRNA, Delta- like-1 siRNA, Jagged-1 siRNA, Delta-like-1 Fu- sion protein	Downregulation of Notch and its ligands leads to a reduction of oncogenic poten- tial of GSCs	GBM cell lines	[80,81]
	Notch signaling	γ-secretase inhibitor GSI-18 MRK003	Inhibition of Notch by GSIs increases neuronal differentiation and decreases tumorigenicity	DAOY, PFSK, D283Med, and D425Med cell lines. HSR-GBM1 and JHH520 GBM neurosphere lines	[82,83]
	Notch + autophagy tar- geting	MRK003 + chloroquine	Protective autophagy abrogated by com- bination with chloroquine	HSR-GBM1 and JHH520 GBM neurosphere lines	[83]
	Notch + leptin	GSIs + LFDI peptide (Leu-Asp-Phe-Ile)	High expression of leptin receptors in tu- morspheres from GBM	human fetal glial cells SVG p12, human GBM cell lines U-87 MG, T98G	[86]
	Hypoxic tumor cells	vasorin	Vasorin acts triggering Notch under hy- poxic conditions	GSCs and non-GSCs from GBM	[87]
Shh pathway	Shh	cyclopamine	Inhibition of hedgehog pathway by cy- clopamine inhibited formation of GBM- derived neurospheres	40–60% reduction in the growth of adherent glioma lines	[91]
Wnt pathway	GBM differen- tiation path- way	Dickkopf-1 (DKK1) Wnt inhibitor suppress PLAGL2	PLAGL2 acts as an oncogene in human GBM regulating Wnt signaling	primary GBM and established glioma cell lines	[92]
	ASCL1	-	ASCL1 and Wnt signaling are connected and collaborate with developmental tran- scription factors (TFs). They support GSCs' growth	GSC lines derived from differ- ent human tumors	[93]
	RYK pathway	-	RYK promotes stem cell-like and tumor- igenic features to glioma cells and are es- sential to support GSCs	GBM cell line U87MG, AM38, and U251MG cells	[94]
	Wnt/β catenin	-	Tumor chemoresistance acquisition depends on mesenchymal transformation that is triggered by $Wnt/\beta$ catenin signaling	GSCs	[93]
Tumor microen- vironment	Microvascula- ture and TAMs	Erlotinib and Bevaci- zumab	Bevacizumab treatment reduces the number of CD133 <sup>+</sup> /Nestin <sup>+</sup> tumor initia- tion cells and decreases microvasculature density and tumor growth	CSCs obtained from tumors	[95]

Table 1. Potential therapeutic targets against cancer stem cells.

 M2-TAMs and microvascula- ture	BLZ945-Inhibitor of the CSF-1 receptor (CSF-1R)	TAMs support GBM tumor growth by promoting neovascularization. They play a tumor-supportive role in GBM progres- sion	Proneural GBM	[96]
TAMs	shPOSTN	Silencing POSTN that recruits TAMS re- duces TAM density, inhibits tumor growth, and increases survival of mice bearing GSC-derived xenografts	Human GBM specimens and glioma-derived cells	[97]

# 4.2. Targeting Wnt Pathway

The Wnt signaling pathway includes several Wnt ligands, Frizzled (FZD) receptors, and numerous coreceptors. The Wnt binding to the FZD-LRP5/6 receptor complex on the cell surface of receiving cells leads to activation of the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin pathway, also called canonical Wnt pathway [98]. The activation of this pathway results in  $\beta$ -catenin proteins accumulation and nuclear translocation where they regulate context-dependent expression of Wnt target genes. Wnt and their downstream effectors act as key molecules in regulating proliferation, migration, and cell fate decision. Thus, it is easy to understand that Wnt signaling deregulation can be involved in the onset of various cancers including GBM. For example, a study demonstrated a contribution of pleomorphic adenoma genelike 2 (PLAGL2) into GBM stemness triggering of the canonical pathway, such as Wnt6, FZD9, and FZD2, confirming a PLAGL2 overexpression in GBM [92]. Also, Rheinbay found widespread activation of genes normally repressed in GSCs that was dependent on the chromatin state [93]. Besides, Adamo et al. demonstrated a significant overexpression of receptor-like tyrosine kinase (RYK) in GSCs, reporting a role of this kinase in influencing stemness frequency, cell migration, and invasion in GBM through  $\beta$ -catenin stabilization [94]. On the other hand, activated Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling has been observed only in a small proportion of GBM cells that showed a gene expression profile correspondent to the GBM subgroup in which there was also an elevated expression of Achaete-scute homolog 1 (ASCL1). Double inhibition of the Notch and Wnt pathways in this GSCs subgroup seemed to decrease timing and increase the extent of neuronal differentiation, indicating new therapeutic opportunities for development of combined treatments. The targeting efficacy of Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin pathways has been also noticed by Rheinbay et al. [93]. These authors emphasized the importance of GBM associated-endothelial cells (ECs) in tumor chemoresistance acquisition and suggested the existence of a resistance mechanism based on ECs transformation and stemness activation. They also reported that mesenchymal transformation was triggered by Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling activation, confirming the importance of this targeting to overcome pharmaco-resistance in GBM. Lastly, a new study pointed to the role of epigenetic modifications in the regulation of the Wnt pathway assuming that the different patterns of microRNAs (miRNA/miR), such as miR-138-2-3p and miR-770-5p, may carry out a key role in the development and progression of GBM [99].

#### 4.3. Therapeutic Targeting of the Tumor Microenvironment

The tumor microenvironment (TME) comprises a large set of cancer and noncancerous cells inside the tumor such as GSCs, fibroblasts, immune cells, microglia/macrophages, ECs, and vascular pericytes. It also includes the ECM, growth promoting and inhibiting factors, hormones, nutrients, chemokines, produced by all cell types within the TME. [100]. The main role of the TME is to produce and control a dense network of autocrine and paracrine signals. This complex network of signaling involving exosomes, tumor–stroma interactions, and ECM factors that vary over time and space in relation to tumor progression modulates gene expression to control signaling pathways. Consequently, changes in gene expression and nongenetic factors both induce individual cells, such as CSCs, to acquire plasticity by showing a variety of phenotypic states, which are pivotal to sustain tumor growth and metastasis, and also to induce therapeutic resistance [101]. These phenotypic states can be transiently acquired by some individual cells within the population, implicating the dynamic regulation of phenotypic heterogeneity depending on local signals influencing a space-specific generation of these cell states. For example, TGFβ, IL-6, exosomes, and many other CAF-secreted cytokines and growth factors can drive mesenchymal transitions [101]. Moreover, the signaling milieu of the hypoxic niche can induce drug-tolerant epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition states [102]. Finally, CSCs that often enrich perivascular niches seem to favor a slow-growing phenotype and chemo-resistance [103]. Therefore, accumulating evidence highlighted the importance of TME for maintaining GSC stemness, indicating the targeting of the microenvironment as a promising approach for GBM treatment [104]. The microenvironment of GBM consists in part of microvasculature and tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs). The use of bevacizumab or agents capable of regulating angiogenesis in tumors has also been investigated in GBM. In particular, Calabrese et al., treating mice carrying xenografts of U87 glioma cells with bevacizumab, reported a decrease in the number of CD133+/Nestin<sup>+</sup> tumor initiation cells, a fall in microvasculature density, and a decrease in tumor growth [95]. Numerous studies have indicated the importance of TAMs as components of the microenvironment, demonstrating the enrichment of TAMs in GBM. For example, Pyonteck et al. reported that macrophages 2 TAMs may promote GBM tumor growth inducing neovascularization and support tumor facilitating GBM progression [96]. Zhou et al. noticed that GSCs secrete periostin (POSTN), which enables GBM progression by TAM recruitment [97]. On the other hand, silencing POSTN in GSCs resulted in relevant reduction of TAM density, inhibition of tumor growth, and improved survival of mice bearing GSC-derived xenografts. As shown in these studies, the relationship between GSCs and their microenvironment may be considered as an alternate approach to treat GBM.

#### 4.4. New Drugs in the Treatment of Glioblastoma Stem Cells

The standard treatment for GBM [105] is largely ineffective, and the average survival of a person diagnosed with GBM is only 15 months. Current therapies against GBM show a high percentage of failure associated with the survival of GSCs that repopulate treated tumors [47]. Some evidence suggests that new therapeutic approaches are required to contrast tumor heterogeneity, drug resistance, and a complex tumor-supporting microenvironment, and improve survival and quality of life. Furthermore, it has been observed that GSCs resist conventional treatment (radiotherapy, TMZ) and do not lead to complete tumor remission. The prognosis for GBM is unfavorable, due at least in part to the lack of an effective drug delivery system across the BBB that prevents efficient passage of cancer therapeutics including small molecules and antibodies [106]. Moreover, we need to develop a new drug delivery system to overcome the BBB. Therefore, nanotechnology (liposome, polymeric nanoparticles, gold nanoparticles, and nano-carbon particles) has become the most promising approach to GSC-targeting therapy. The nanoparticles can be improved to facilitate delivery efficiency of drugs, to enhance the accumulation within the tumors, and to promote the capacity for targeting GSCs [107]. In addition to the study of new drug delivery systems, there is an urgent need to identify alternative therapies that are more effective for GBM; for this reason, several studies on new molecules and drugs are currently underway.

Dumitru et al. showed that cannabinoids are able to inhibit the invasiveness and the stem cell-like properties of GBM tumors, and a phase II clinical trial showed positive results regarding the survival of GBM patients upon cannabinoid treatment (Table 2) [108].

	New Dru	gs and Treatment aga	inst Glioblastoma Stem Cells	
	Compound	Source	Effects	References
- Natural Drugs - -	Cannabinoids	Cannabis sativa	Inhibits invasiveness and stem cell-like properties of GBM tu- mor	[108,109]
	Curcumin Curcuma long		Targets multiple signaling path- ways involved in developing aggressive and drug-resistant features of GBM	[110]
	Resveratrol	Grape skin, blueberries, raspberries, mulberries, and pea nuts	Inhibited GBM and GSC growth and infiltration, acting partially via AKT deactivation and p53 - induction, and suppressed GBM growth in vivo	[111]
	Crocetin	Saffron	Cotreatment with RTs, similar effect to TMZ	[112,113]
	PBI-05204	Nerium oleander	Induction of tumor cells apopto- sis and antitumor effects	[114]
Monoclonal Antibodies	onoclonal Cetuximab Erbitux Combination with PTs for l cally advanced disease, or combination with platinur based chemotherapy in relag and/or metastatic disease		Cotreatment with RTs for lo- cally advanced disease, or in combination with platinum- based chemotherapy in relapsed and/or metastatic disease	[115,116]

Table 2. Potential new drugs and treatment against glioblastoma stem cells.

The use of nabiximols for the treatment of multiple sclerosis and of purified botanical cannabidiol has brought the therapeutic use of cannabinoids and endocannabinoids into neurological diseases including GBM [109].

Recently, a new approach based on the use of natural products or plant derivatives as chemoprevention drugs and chemotherapy has been performed. Among the natural molecules, the potential therapeutic effects of curcumin against GBM have been investigated. Curcumin can target multiple signaling pathways involved in the development of aggressive and drug-resistant features of GBM, including pathways associated with GSCs activity [110]. A recent paper studied the effect of resveratrol to evaluate antitumorigenic effects on cell proliferation, sphere-forming ability, and invasion in different GBMs and GSCs. Resveratrol is able to reduce proliferation in U87 gliomas and multiple patient-derived GSC lines. Moreover, since resveratrol inhibited the sphere-forming ability, the authors suggest anti-stem cell effects. Additionally, resveratrol blocked U87 gliomas and GSC invasion [111].

Colapietro et al. elucidated for the first time the antitumor properties of crocetin (saffron carotenoid) in in vivo and in vitro glioma models. The treatment with crocetin of all the tumor cell lines observed (i.e., U251, U87, U138, and U373) exhibited antiproliferative and prodifferentiative effects. Moreover, it was possible to observe deep changes in cell morphology and change in mesenchymal and neuronal markers. In addition, crocetin not only had more major effects than radiotherapy alone, but also similar effects to TMZ [112,113]. Another new natural molecule is the PBI-05204 (a supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> extract of Nerium oleander). The authors used this new compound to evaluate the antitumor efficacy in in vitro and in vivo GBM cancer models and the efficacy against GSCs. The results suggest the drug induction of tumor cell apoptosis and inhibition of PI3 k/mTOR pathways as well as reduced cancer stemness [114]. An innovative therapeutic approach already found in other tumors, such as melanoma and hematological tumors, seems to be the "immunotherapy of tumors". Preclinical studies on the use of monoclonal antibodies have shown that treatment with cetuximab alone and in combination with radiotherapy increases in vivo survival [115] and can also completely eliminate tumors in EGFR-amplified Patient-Derived Xenografts (PDX)models [116]. Promising prospects could be personalized therapeutic approaches with the combination of different targeted therapies that can cross the BBB, while maintaining therapeutic concentrations, for the treatment of GBM with benefits for GBM patients [117]. Moreover, various treatments are currently in phases II/III of clinical trials (Table 3).

safety cohorts of nivolumab or nivolumab in

Clinical Trials	Treatment	Phase	References
An evaluation of the tolera-	5-ALA		
bility and feasibility of com-	Gliadel® wafers		ClinicalTrials gov
bining 5-ALA with BCNU	Radiothorapy		Identifier:
wafers (Gliadel <sup>®</sup> ) in the sur-	Concomitant chamathorany	II	NCT01310868
gical management of pri-	Concomitant chemotherapy		[59.61]
mary GBM	Adjuvant chemotherapy		[/-]
Phase II study of bevaci-	Bevacizumab		ClinicalTrials.gov
zumab and ACNU in pa-		TT	Identifier:
tients with recurrent high-	ACNU	11	NCT02698280
grade glioma			[73]
Randomized noncompara-	Bevacizumab		Clinical Trials gov
tive phase II trial with			Linical Trials.gov
bevacizumab and FOT in	FOT	II	Identifier:
the treatment of recurrent	FOI		NC1014/4239
GBM			[/4]
	Ipilimumab		
A randomized phase II/III	Nivolumab		
open-label study of ipili-	NovoTTF-100 A device		ClinicalTrials.gov
mumab and nivolumab ver-	Questionnaire administra-	II/III	Identifier:
sus IMZ in patients with	tion		NCT04396860
newly diagnosed MGM1	Radiation therapy		
unmethylated GBM	TMZ		
A prospective, multicenter	NovoTTF-100A device		Clinical Trials corr
trial of NovoTTF-100 A to-			Linical Hais.gov
gether with TMZ compared	TN 47	III	NCT00016400
to TMZ alone in patients	I IVIZ		INC100916409
with newly diagnosed GBM			[66-/1]
Phase III trial exploring the	Bevacizumab		
combination of byacizumab	CCNU		ClinicalTrials.gov
and CCNU in patients with	DNA methylation analysis	III	Identifier:
first recurrence of a GBM	Laboratory biomarker analy-		NCT01290939
	sis		
Phase III double-blind pla-	Radiation therapy		
cebo-controlled trial of con-	Bevacizumab		
ventional concurrent	Laboratory biomarker analy-		
chemoradiation and adju-	sis		ClinicalTrials.gov
vant IMZ plus bevaci-	Placebo	III	Identifier:
zumab versus conventional			NCT00884741
concurrent chemoradiation			
and adjuvant TMZ in pa-	TMZ		
tients with newly diag-			
nosed GBM	NT:1. 1		
A randomized phase III	Nivolumab		
open-label study of	Bevacizumab		ClinicalTrials.gov
nivolumab versus bevaci-		III	Identifier:
zumab and multiple phase I	T '1' 1		NICTO2017717

Ipilimumab

NCT02017717

Table 3. Some clinical trials in the II/III phase designed for GBM treatment.

combination with ipili-			
mumab across different			
lines of GBM			
Phase III study of standard			
radiotherapy plus concomi-			
tant and adjuvant OSAG			
101 (Theraloc®) plus TMZ			Clinical Trials gov
versus standard radiother-	Nimotuzumah	тт	Linitan Hais.gov
apy plus concomitant and	Minotuzuniad	111	NCT00752246
adjuvant TMZ patient with			NC100755240
newly diagnosed, histologi-			
cally confirmed GBM multi-			
forme grade IV			

# 4.5. Potential New Immunological Drugs against Glioblastoma Stem Cells

Another promising strategy is the utilization of immunological drugs, with the finality of selectively eradicating GSCs without affecting normal tissue. Adoptive cell therapy also represents an innovative therapeutic tool for use against solid cancers and has been shown to elicit an effective antiglioma response [118]. In fact, GSCs have been demonstrated to be highly susceptible to T cell-mediated immunity [119], and an abundant infiltration of brain tumors with T cells has been described in early clinical trials of immunotherapy [120]. The tropism of T cells for brain tumors could be enhanced by modifying their surface receptors in vitro. Early results for this method demonstrate that it can be successfully used to target GSCs in GBM patients [121].

# 5. Conclusions

In this review, we have summarized recent studies that have shown the presence in GBM of GSCs showing therapy-resistant phenotype that could explain tumor recurrence and local invasiveness and, therefore, may be targets for new therapies.

The use of new drugs or alternative drug delivery systems could represent an innovative and important strategy in ongoing therapies targeting these GSCs. In addition, we have provided an overview of the molecular characteristics of GSCs, the mechanisms that activate resistance to current treatments, and a new antitumor strategy for treating the GSCs for use as therapies.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, V.M., C.F.; writing, review, and editing, V.M., F.S., S.M., S.D.M., A.C., A.A., C.F.; bibliographic research, J.F., F.S.; critical discussion, V.M., S.D.M., A.A., C.F.; supervision, V.M., C.F.; project administration, V.M., C.F. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by ALCLI "Giorgio e Silvia" a nonprofit association, grant dated January 1th 2019.

Acknowledgments: The authors wish to thank ALCLI "Giorgio e Silvia" a nonprofit association for his support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Abbreviations

GBM	Glioblastoma
GSCs	Glioblastoma Stem Cells
CNS	Central Nervous System
NSCs	Neural Stem Cells
WHO	World Health Organization

MGMT	Methylation O6-methylguanine-DNA Methyl-transferase
IDH1	IDH2 Isocitrate Dehydrogenase Isozymes 1 and 2
EGFR	Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor
RTs	Radiation therapies
TMZ	Temozolomide
CSCs	Cancer Stem Cells
VEGF	Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor
Eph	Erythropoietin-Producing Hepatocellular Carcinoma Receptors
SFKs	Src Family Members of Protein Tyrosine Kinases
CBRs	Cannabinoid Receptors
5-ALA	5-aminolevulinic Acid
FDA	US Food and Drug Administration
BCNU	Carmustine
TTFields	Tumor-Treating Fields
PFS	Progression-Free Survival
OS	Overall Survival
BBB	Blood–Brain Barrier
CCNU	Lomustine
ACNU	Nimustine
FOT	Fotemustine
GSIs	$\gamma$ -secretase inhibitors
ECM	Extracellular Matrix
PLAGL2	Pleomorphic adenoma gene-like 2
RYK	Receptor-like tyrosine kinase
ASCL1	Achaete-scute homolog 1
ECs	Endothelial cells
TME	Tumor Microenvironment
TAMs	Tumor-Associated Macrophages
POSTN	Periostin

### References

- Thakkar, J.P.; Dolecek, T.A.; Horbinski, C.; Ostrom, Q.T.; Lightner, D.D.; Barnholtz-Sloan, J.S.; Villano, J.L. Epidemiologic and molecular prognostic review of glioblastoma. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* 2014, 23, 1985–1996, doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-14-0275.
- Associazione Italiana Registro Tumori. Cancer Data. Available online: http://www.registri-tumori.it/PDF/AIOM2019/I\_numeri\_del \_cancro\_2019.pdf (accessed on 12 November 2020).
- 3. Stoyanov, G.S.; Dzhenkov, D.; Ghenev, P.; Iliev, B.; Enchev, Y.; Tonchev, A.B. Cell biology of glioblastoma multiforme: From basic science to diagnosis and treatment. *Med. Oncol.* **2018**, *35*, 27, doi:10.1007/s12032-018-1083-x.
- 4. Louis, D.N.; Perry, A.; Reifenberger, G.; von Deimling, A.; Figarella-Branger, D.; Cavenee, W.K.; Ohgaki, H.; Wiestler, O.D.; Kleihues, P.; Ellison, D.W. The 2016 World Health Organization Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: A summary. *Acta Neuropathol.* 2016, 131, 803–820, doi:10.1007/s00401-016-1545-1.
- 5. Ohgaki, H.; Kleihues, P. The definition of primary and secondary glioblastoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2013, 19, 764–772, doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-3002.
- Philips, A.; Henshaw, D.L.; Lamburn, G.; O'Carroll, M.J. Brain Tumours: Rise in Glioblastoma Multiforme Incidence in England 1995-2015 Suggests an Adverse Environmental or Lifestyle Factor. J. Environ. Public Health 2018, 2018, 7910754, doi:10.1155/2018/7910754.
- 7. Fondazione Aiom. Available online: https://www.fondazioneaiom.it/il-glioblastoma-incidenza/ (accessed on 12 November 2020).
- Sun, T.; Warrington, N.M.; Luo, J.; Brooks, M.D.; Dahiya, S.; Snyder, S.C.; Sengupta, R.; Rubin, J.B. Sexually dimorphic RB inactivation underlies mesenchymal glioblastoma prevalence in males. *J. Clin. Investig.* 2014, 124, 4123–4133, doi:10.1172/JCI71048.

- Ostrom, Q.T.; Gittleman, H.; Fulop, J.; Liu, M.; Blanda, R.; Kromer, C.; Wolinsky, Y.; Kruchko, C.; Barnholtz-Sloan, J.S. CBTRUS Statistical Report: Primary Brain and Central Nervous System Tumors Diagnosed in the United States in 2008-2012. *Neuro-Oncology* 2015, 17, iv1–iv62, doi:10.1093/neuonc/nov189.
- Witthayanuwat, S.; Pesee, M.; Supaadirek, C.; Supakalin, N.; Thamronganantasakul, K.; Krusun, S. Survival Analysis of Glioblastoma Multiforme. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* 2018, 19, 2613–2617, doi:10.22034/APJCP.2018.19.9.2613.
- 11. Gallego, O. Nonsurgical treatment of recurrent glioblastoma. Curr. Oncol. Rep. 2015, 22, e273-e281, doi:10.3747/co.22.2436.
- 12. Hanif, F.; Muzaffar, K.; Perveen, K.; Malhi, SM.; Simjee, Sh.U. Glioblastoma Multiforme: A Review of its Epidemiology and Pathogenesis through Clinical Presentation and Treatment. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* **2017**, *18*, 3–9, doi:10.22034/APJCP.2017.18.1.3.
- 13. Delgado-López, P.D.; Corrales-García, E.M. Survival in glioblastoma: A review on the impact of treatment modalities. *Clin. Transl. Oncol.* **2016**, *18*, 1062–1071, doi:10.1007/s12094-016-1497-x.
- 14. Omuro, A.; de Angelis, L.M. Glioblastoma and other malignant gliomas: A clinical review. JAMA 2013, 310, 1842–1850, doi:10.1001/jama.2013.280319.
- 15. Duffau, H. Glioblastoma in 2017. Rev. Infirm. 2017, 66, 16–18, doi:10.1016/j.revinf.2016.12.002.
- 16. Wrensch, M.; Minn, Y.; Chew, T.; Bondy, M.; Berger, M.S. Epidemiology of primary brain tumors: Current concepts and review of the literature. *Neuro-Oncology* **2002**, *4*, 278–299, doi:10.1093/neuonc/4.4.278.
- 17. Piccirillo, S.G.; Vescovi, A.L. Brain tumour stem cells: Possibilities of new therapeutic strategies. *Expert Opin. Biol. Ther.* 2007, 7, 1129–1135, doi:10.1517/14712598.7.8.1129.
- Galan-Moya, E.M.; le Guelte, A.; Lima-Fernandes, E.; Thirant, C.; Dwyer, J.; Bidere, N.; Couraud, P.O.; Scott, M.G.; Junier, M.P.; Chneiweiss, H.; et al. Secreted factors from brain endothelial cells maintain glioblastoma stem-like cell expansion through the mTOR pathway. *EMBO Rep.* 2011, *12*, 470–476, doi:10.1038/embor.2011.39.
- Bischof, J.; Westhoff, M.A.; Wagner, J.E.; Halatsch, M.E.; Trentmann, S.; Knippschild, U.; Wirtz, C.R.; Burster, T. Cancer stem cells: The potential role of autophagy, proteolysis, and cathepsins in glioblastoma stem cells. *Tumour Biol.* 2017, 39, 1010428317692227, doi:10.1177/1010428317692227.
- Nduom, E.K.; Hadjipanayis, C.G.; van Meir, E.G. Glioblastoma cancer stem-like cells: Implications for pathogenesis and treatment. *Cancer J.* 2012, 18, 100–106, doi:10.1097/PPO.0b013e3182452e0d.
- Vieira de Castro, J.; Gonçalves, C.S.; Hormigo, A.; Costa, B.M. Exploiting the Complexities of Glioblastoma Stem Cells: Insights for Cancer Initiation and Therapeutic Targeting. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, *21*, 5278, doi:10.3390/ijms21155278].
- 22. Kreso, A.; Dick, J.E. Evolution of the cancer stem cell model. Cell Stem Cell 2014, 14, 275–291, doi:10.1016/j.stem.2014.02.006.
- Lan, X.; Jörg, D.J.; Cavalli, F.M.G.; Richards, L.M.; Nguyen, L.V.; Vanner, R.J.; Guilhamon, P.; Lee, L.; Kushida, M.M.; Pellacani, D.; et al. Fate mapping of human glioblastoma reveals an invariant stem cell hierarchy. *Nature* 2017, 549, 227–232, doi:10.1038/nature23666.
- Singh, S.K.; Hawkins, C.; Clarke, I.D.; Squire, J.A.; Bayani, J.; Hide, T.; Henkelman, R.M.; Cusimano, M.D.; Dirks, P.B. Identification of human brain tumour initiating cells. *Nature* 2004, 432, 396–401, doi:10.1038/nature03128.
- Chen, J.; Li, Y.; Yu, T.S.; McKay, R.M.; Burns, D.K.; Kernie, S.G.; Parada, L.F. A restricted cell population propagates glioblastoma growth after chemotherapy. *Nature* 2012, 488, 522–526, doi:10.1038/nature11287.
- Patel, A.P.; Tirosh, I.; Trombetta, J.J.; Shalek, A.K.; Gillespie, S.M.; Wakimoto, H.; Cahill, D.P.; Nahed, B.V.; Curry, W.T.; Martuza, R.L.; et al. Single-cell RNA-seq highlights intratumoral heterogeneity in primary glioblastoma. *Science* 2014, 344, 1396– 1401, doi:10.1126/science.1254257.
- Tirosh, I.; Venteicher, A.S.; Hebert, C.; Escalante, L.E.; Patel, A.P.; Yizhak, K.; Fisher, J.M.; Rodman, C.; Mount, C.; Filbin, M.G.; et al. Single-cell RNA-seq supports a developmental hierarchy in human oligodendroglioma. *Nature* 2016, 539, 309–313, doi:10.1038/nature20123.
- Ignatova, T.N.; Kukekov, V.G.; Laywell, E.D.; Suslov, O.N.; Vrionis, F.D.; Steindler, D.A. Human cortical glial tumors contain neural stem-like cells expressing astroglial and neuronal markers in vitro. *Glia* 2002, *39*, 193–206, doi:10.1002/glia.10094.
- Fidoamore, A.; Cristiano, L.; Antonosante, A.; d'Angelo, M.; di Giacomo, E.; Astarita, C.; Giordano, A.; Ippoliti, R.; Benedetti, E.; Cimini, A. Glioblastoma Stem Cells Microenvironment: The Paracrine Roles of the Niche in Drug and Radioresistance. *Stem Cells Int.* 2016, 2016, 6809105, doi:10.1155/2016/6809105.
- Ogden, A.T.; Waziri, A.E.; Lochhead, R.A.; Fusco, D.; Lopez, K.; Ellis, J.A.; Kang, J.; Assanah, M.; McKhann, G.M.; Sisti, M.B.; et al. Identification of A2B5+CD133- tumor-initiating cells in adult human gliomas. *Neurosurgery* 2008, 62, 505–514, doi:10.1227/01.neu.0000316019.28421.95.
- Gangemi, R.M.; Griffero, F.; Marubbi, D.; Perera, M.; Capra, M.C.; Malatesta, P.; Ravetti, G.L.; Zona, G.L.; Daga, A.; Corte, G. SOX2 silencing in glioblastoma tumor-initiating cells causes stop of proliferation and loss of tumorigenicity. *Stem Cells* 2009, 27, 40–48, doi:10.1634/stemcells.2008-0493.
- Anido, J.; Sáez-Borderías, A.; Gonzàlez-Juncà, A.; Rodón, L.; Folch, G.; Carmona, M.A.; Prieto-Sánchez, R.M.; Barba, I.; Martínez-Sáez, E.; Prudkin, L.; et al. TGF-β Receptor Inhibitors Target the CD44(high)/Id1(high) Glioma-Initiating Cell Population in Human Glioblastoma. *Cancer Cell* 2010, *18*, 655–668, doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2010.10.023.
- Lathia, J.D.; Gallagher, J.; Heddleston, J.M.; Wang, J.; Eyler, C.E.; Macswords, J.; Wu, Q.; Vasanji, A.; McLendon, R.E.; Hjelmeland, A.B.; et al. Integrin alpha 6 regulates glioblastoma stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 2010, 6, 421–432, doi:10.1016/j.stem.2010.02.018.

- Hale, J.S.; Otvos, B.; Sinyuk, M.; Alvarado, A.G.; Hitomi, M.; Stoltz, K.; Wu, Q.; Flavahan, W.; Levison, B.; Johansen, M.L.; et al. Cancer stem cell-specific scavenger receptor CD36 drives glioblastoma progression. *Stem Cells* 2014, 32, 1746–1758, doi:10.1002/stem.1716.
- Kawamura, Y.; Takouda, J.; Yoshimoto, K.; Nakashima, K. New aspects of glioblastoma multiforme revealed by similarities between neural and glioblastoma stem cells. *Cell Biol. Toxicol.* 2018, 34, 425–440, doi:10.1007/s10565-017-9420-y.
- Pan, H.; Cai, N.; Li, M.; Liu, G.H.; Izpisua Belmonte, J.C. Autophagic control of cell 'stemness'. EMBO Mol. Med. 2013, 5, 327– 331, doi:10.1002/emmm.201201999.
- Tavazoie, M.; van der Veken, L.; Silva-Vargas, V.; Louissaint, M.; Colonna, L.; Zaidi, B.; Garcia-Verdugo, J.M.; Doetsch, F. A specialized vascular niche for adult neural stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 2008, *3*, 279–288, doi:10.1016/j.stem.2008.07.025.
- Sundar, S.J.; Hsieh, J.K.; Manjila, S.; Lathia, J.D.; Sloan, A. The role of cancer stem cells in glioblastoma. *Neurosurg. Focus* 2014, 37, E6, doi:10.3171/2014.9. FOCUS14494.
- Gravina, G.L.; Mancini, A.; Colapietro, A.; Vitale, F.; Vetuschi, A.; Pompili, S.; Rossi, G.; Marampon, F.; Richardson, P.J.; Patient, L.; et al. The novel CXCR4 antagonist, PRX177561, reduces tumor cell proliferation and accelerates cancer stem cell differentiation in glioblastoma preclinical models. *Tumour Biol.* 2017, *39*, 1010428317695528, doi:10.1177/1010428317695528.
- 40. Gravina, G.L.; Mancini, A.; Marampon, F.; Colapietro, A.; Delle Monache, S.; Sferra, R.; Vitale, F.; Richardson, P.J.; Patient, L.; Burbidge, S.; et al. The brain-penetrating CXCR4 antagonist, PRX177561, increases the antitumor effects of bevacizumab and sunitinib in preclinical models of human glioblastoma. *J. Hematol. Oncol.* **2017**, *10*, 5, doi:10.1186/s13045-016-0377-8.
- Codrici, E.; Enciu, A.M.; Popescu, I.D.; Mihai, S.; Tanase, C. Glioma Stem Cells and Their Microenvironments: Providers of Challenging Therapeutic Targets. *Stem Cells Int.* 2016, *5728438*, doi:10.1155/2016/5728438.
- Lathia, J.D.; Mack, S.C.; Mulkearns-Hubert, E.E.; Valentim, C.L.; Rich, J.N. Cancer stem cells in glioblastoma. *Genes Dev.* 2015, 29, 1203–1217, doi:10.1101/gad.261982.115.
- Colapietro, A.; Gravina, G.L.; Petragnano, F.; Fasciani, I.; Scicchitano, B.M.; Beirinckx, F.; Pujuguet, P.; Saniere, L.; van der Aar, E.; Musio, D.; et al. Antitumorigenic Effects of Inhibiting Ephrin Receptor Kinase Signaling by GLPG1790 against Colorectal Cancer Cell Lines In Vitro and In Vivo. J. Oncol. 2020, 2020, 9342732, doi:10.1155/2020/9342732.
- 44. Affinito, A.; Quintavalle, C.; Esposito, C.L.; Roscigno, G.; Giordano, C.; Nuzzo, S.; Ricci-Vitiani, L.; Scognamiglio, I.; Minic, Z.; Pallini, R.; et al. Targeting Ephrin Receptor Tyrosine Kinase A2 with a Selective Aptamer for Glioblastoma Stem Cells. *Mol. Ther. Nucleic Acids* 2020, 20, 176–185, doi:10.1016/j.omtn.2020.02.005.
- 45. Gravina, G.L.; Mancini, A.; Colapietro, A.; Delle-Monache, S.; Sferra, R.; Vitale, F.; Cristiano, L.; Martellucci, S.; Marampon, F.; Mattei, V.; et al. The Small Molecule Ephrin Receptor Inhibitor, GLPG1790, Reduces Renewal Capabilities of Cancer Stem Cells, Showing Anti-Tumour Efficacy on Preclinical Glioblastoma Models. *Cancers* 2019, *11*, 359, doi:10.3390/cancers11030359.
- 46. Martellucci, S.; Clementi, L.; Sabetta, S.; Mattei, V.; Botta, L.; Angelucci, A. Src Family Kinases as Therapeutic Targets in Advanced Solid Tumors: What We Have Learned so Far. *Cancers* 2020, *12*, 1448, doi:10.3390/cancers12061448.
- Pelaz, S.G.; Jaraíz-Rodríguez, M.; Álvarez-Vázquez, A.; Talaverón, R.; García-Vicente, L.; Flores-Hernández, R.; Gómez de Cedrón, M.; Tabernero, M.; Ramírez de Molina, A.; Lillo, C.; et al. Targeting metabolic plasticity in glioma stem cells in vitro and in vivo through specific inhibition of c-Src by TAT-Cx43266-283. *EBioMedicine* 2020, 62, 103134, doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2020.103134.
- Guo, S.; Ran, H.; Xiao, D.; Huang, H.; Mi, L.; Wang, X.; Chen, L.; Li, D.; Zhang, S.; Han, Q.; et al. NT5DC2 promotes tumorigenicity of glioma stem-like cells by upregulating fyn. *Cancer Lett.* 2019, 454, 98–107, doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2019.04.003.
- Capozzi, A.; Mattei, V.; Martellucci, S.; Manganelli, V.; Saccomanni, G.; Garofalo, T.; Sorice, M.; Manera, C.; Misasi, R. Anti-Proliferative Properties and Proapoptotic Function of New CB2 Selective Cannabinoid Receptor Agonist in Jurkat Leukemia Cells. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2018, *19*, 1958, doi:10.3390/ijms19071958.
- 50. Ellert-Miklaszewska, A.; Ciechomska, I.A.; Kaminska, B. Cannabinoid Signaling in Glioma Cells. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* **2020**, 1202, 223–241, doi:10.1007/978-3-030-30651-9\_11.
- Du, J.; Yan, X.; Mi, S.; Li, Y.; Ji, H.; Hou, K.; Ma, S.; Ba, Y.; Zhou, P.; Chen, L.; et al. Identification of Prognostic Model and Biomarkers for Cancer Stem Cell Characteristics in Glioblastoma by Network Analysis of Multi-Omics Data and Stemness Indices. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 2020, *8*, 558961, doi:10.3389/fcell.2020.558961.
- Manoranjan, B.; Chokshi, C.; Venugopal, C.; Subapanditha, M.; Savage, N.; Tatari, N.; Provias, J.P.; Murty, N.K.; Moffat, J.; Doble, B.W.; et al. A CD133-AKT-Wnt signaling axis drives glioblastoma brain tumor-initiating cells. *Oncogene* 2020, 39, 1590– 1599, doi:10.1038/s41388-019-1086-x.
- Balça-Silva, J.; do Carmo, A.; Tão, H.; Rebelo, O.; Barbosa, M.; Moura-Neto, V.; Sarmento-Ribeiro, A.B.; Lopes, M.C.; Moreira, J.N. Nucleolin is expressed in patient-derived samples and glioblastoma cells, enabling improved intracellular drug delivery and cytotoxicity. *Exp. Cell Res.* 2018, *370*, 68–77, doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2018.06.005.
- Lacroix, M.; Abi-Said, D.; Fourney, D.R.; Gokaslan, Z.L.; Shi, W.; DeMonte, F.; Lang, F.F.; McCutcheon, I.E.; Hassenbusch, S.J.; Holland, E.; et al. A multivariate analysis of 416 patients with glioblastoma multiforme: Prognosis, extent of resection, and survival. J. Neurosurg. 2001, 95, 190–198, doi:10.3171/jns.2001.95.2.0190.
- Stummer, W.; Pichlmeier, U.; Meinel, T.; Wiestler, O.D.; Zanella, F.; Reulen, H.J.; ALA-Glioma Study Group. Fluorescenceguided surgery with 5-aminolevulinic acid for resection of malignant glioma: A randomised controlled multicentre phase III trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2006, *7*, 392–401, doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(06)70665-9.

- Chan, J.L.; Lee, S.W.; Fraass, B.A.; Normolle, D.P.; Greenberg, H.S.; Junck, L.R.; Gebarski, S.S.; Sandler, H.M. Survival and failure patterns of high-grade gliomas after three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy. J. Clin. Oncol. 2002, 20, 1635–1642, doi:10.1200/JCO.2002.20.6.1635.
- 57. Bregy, A.; Shah, A.H.; Diaz, M.V.; Pierce, H.E.; Ames, P.L.; Diaz, D.; Komotar, R.J. The role of Gliadel wafers in the treatment of high-grade gliomas. *Expert Rev. Anticancer Ther.* **2013**, *13*, 1453–1461, doi:10.1586/14737140.2013.840090.
- Walker, M.D.; Green, S.B.; Byar, D.P.; Alexander, E., Jr.; Batzdorf, U.; Brooks, W.H.; Hunt, W.E.; MacCarty, C.S.; Mahaley, M.S., Jr.; Mealey, J., Jr.; et al. Randomized comparisons of radiotherapy and nitrosoureas for the treatment of malignant glioma after surgery. N. Engl. J. Med. 1980, 303, 1323–1329, doi:10.1056/NEJM198012043032303.
- 59. Stupp, R.; Hegi, M.E.; Mason, W.P.; van den Bent, M.J.; Taphoorn, M.J.; Janzer, R.C.; Ludwin, S.K.; Allgeier, A.; Fisher, B.; Belanger, K.; et al. Effects of radiotherapy with concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide versus radiotherapy alone on survival in glioblastoma in a randomised phase III study: 5-year analysis of the EORTC-NCIC trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2009, 10, 459–466, doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(09)70025-7.
- Stupp, R.; Brada, M.; van den Bent, M.J.; Tonn, J.C.; Pentheroudakis, G.; ESMO Guidelines Working Group. High-grade glioma: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann. Oncol.* 2014, 25, iii93–iii101, doi:10.1093/annonc/mdu050.
- 61. Wick, W.; Platten, M.; Meisner, C.; Felsberg, J.; Tabatabai, G.; Simon, M.; Nikkhah, G.; Papsdorf, K.; Steinbach, J.P.; Sabel, M.; et al. Temozolomide chemotherapy alone versus radiotherapy alone for malignant astrocytoma in the elderly: The NOA-08 randomised, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* **2012**, *13*, 707–715, doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(12)70164-X.
- Malmström, A.; Grønberg, B.H.; Marosi, C.; Stupp, R.; Frappaz, D.; Schultz, H.; Abacioglu, U.; Tavelin, B.; Lhermitte, B.; Hegi, M.E.; et al. Temozolomide versus standard 6-week radiotherapy versus hypofractionated radiotherapy in patients older than 60 years with glioblastoma: The Nordic randomised, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2012, *13*, 916–926, doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(12)70265-6.
- 63. Arita, H.; Narita, Y.; Fukushima, S.; Tateishi, K.; Matsushita, Y.; Yoshida, A.; Miyakita, Y.; Ohno, M.; Collins, V.P.; Kawahara, N.; et al. Upregulating mutations in the TERT promoter commonly occur in adult malignant gliomas and are strongly associated with total 1p19q loss. *Acta Neuropathol.* **2013**, *126*, 267–276, doi:10.1007/s00401-013-1141-6.
- Hegi, M.E.; Diserens, A.C.; Gorlia, T.; Hamou, M.F.; de Tribolet, N.; Weller, M.; Kros, J.M.; Hainfellner, J.A.; Mason, W.; Mariani, L.; et al. MGMT gene silencing and benefit from temozolomide in glioblastoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2005, 352, 997–1003, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa043331.
- Gilbert, M.R.; Wang, M.; Aldape, K.D.; Stupp, R.; Hegi, M.E.; Jaeckle, K.A.; Armstrong, T.S.; Wefel, J.S.; Won, M.; Blumenthal, D.T.; et al. Dose-dense temozolomide for newly diagnosed glioblastoma: A randomized phase III clinical trial. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2013, *31*, 4085–4091, doi:10.1200/JCO.2013.49.6968.
- 66. Nabors, L.B. Central Nervous System: Notable Developments in the Managements of Primary and recurrent Gliomas. J. Natl. Compr. Canc. Netw. 2016, 14, 681–684, doi:10.6004/jnccn.2016.0192.
- 67. Hottinger, A.F.; Pacheco, P.; Stupp, R. Tumor treating fields: A novel treatment modality and its use in brain tumors. *Neuo-Oncology* **2016**, *18*, 1338–1349, doi:10.1093/neuonc/now182.
- Keime-Guibert, F.; Chinot, O.; Taillandier, L.; Cartalat-Carel, S.; Frenay, M.; Kantor, G.; Guillamo, J.S.; Jadaud, E.; Colin, P.; Bondiau, P.Y.; et al. Radiotherapy for glioblastoma in the elderly. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2007, 356, 1527–1535, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa065901.
- 69. Stupp, R.; Taillibert, S.; Kanner, A.A.; Kesari, S.; Steinberg, D.M.; Toms, S.A.; Taylor, L.P.; Lieberman, F.; Silvani, A.; Fink, K.L.; et al. Maintenance Therapy with Tumor-Treating Fields Plus Temozolomide vs Temozolomide Alone for Glioblastoma: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* 2015, *314*, 2535–2543, doi:10.1001/jama.2015.16669.
- Weller, M.; van den Bent, M.; Hopkins, K.; Tonn, J.C.; Stupp, R.; Falini, A.; Cohen-Jonathan-Moyal, E.; Frappaz, D.; Henriksson, R.; Balana, C.; et al. EANO guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of anaplastic gliomas and glioblastoma. *Lancet Oncol.* 2014, 15, 395–403, doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70011-7.
- Brandes, A.A.; Tosoni, A.; Amistà, P.; Nicolardi, L.; Grosso, D.; Berti, F.; Ermani, M. How effective is BCNU in recurrent glioblastoma in the modern era? A phase II trial. *Neurology* 2004, *63*, 1281–1284, doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000140495.33615.ca.
- 72. Scoccianti, S.; Detti, B.; Sardaro, A.; Iannalfi, A.; Meattini, I.; Leonulli, B.G.; Borghesi, S.; Martinelli, F.; Bordi, L.; Ammannati, F.; et al. Second-line chemotherapy with fotemustine in temozolomide-pretreated patients with relapsing glioblastoma: A single institution experience. *Anticancer Drugs* 2008, 19, 613–620, doi:10.1097/CAD.0b013e3283005075.
- 73. Brada, M.; Stenning, S.; Gabe, R.; Thompson, L.C.; Levy, D.; Rampling, R.; Erridge, S.; Saran, F.; Gattamaneni, R.; Hopkins, K.; et al. Temozolomide versus procarbazine, lomustine, and vincristine in recurrent high-grade glioma. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2010**, *28*, 4601–4608, doi:10.1200/JCO.2009.27.1932.
- 74. Vredenburgh, J.J.; Desjardins, A.; Herndon, J.E., 2nd.; Marcello, J.; Reardon, D.A.; Quinn, J.A.; Rich, J.N.; Sathornsumetee, S.; Gururangan, S.; Sampson, J.; et al. Bevacizumab plus irinotecan in recurrent glioblastoma multiforme. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2007, 25, 4722–4729, doi:10.1200/JCO.2007.12.2440.
- Friedman, H.S.; Prados, M.D.; Wen, P.Y.; Mikkelsen, T.; Schiff, D.; Abrey, L.E.; Yung, W.K.; Paleologos, N.; Nicholas, M.K.; Jensen, R.; et al. Bevacizumab alone and in combination with irinotecan in recurrent glioblastoma. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2009, 27, 4733– 4740, doi:10.1200/JCO.2008.19.8721.

- 76. Lai, A.; Tran, A.; Nghiemphu, P.L.; Pope, W.B.; Solis, O.E.; Selch, M.; Filka, E.; Yong, W.H.; Mischel, P.S.; Liau, L.M.; et al. Phase II study of bevacizumab plus temozolomide during and after radiation therapy for patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme. J. Clin. Oncol. 2011, 29, 142–148, doi:10.1200/JCO.2010.30.2729.
- 77. Wick, W.; Brandes, A.A.; Gorlia, T.; Bendszus, M.; Sahm, B.; Taal, W.; Taphoorn, M.J.B.; Domont, J.; Idbaih, A.; Campone, M.; et al. EORTC 26101 phase III trial exploring the combination of bevacizumab and lomustine in patients with first progression of a glioblastoma. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2016, 34, 2001–2001, doi:10.1200/JCO.2016.34.15\_suppl.2001.
- Liebelt, B.D.; Shingu, T.; Zhou, X.; Ren, J.; Shin, S.A.; Hu, J. Glioma Stem Cells: Signaling, Microenvironment, and Therapy. *Stem Cells Int.* 2016, 2016, 7849890, doi:10.1155/2016/7849890.
- 79. Kopan, R.; Ilagan, M.X. The canonical Notch signaling pathway: Unfolding the activation mechanism. *Cell* **2009**, *137*, 216–233, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.03.045.
- Gaiano, N.; Fishell, G. The role of notch in promoting glial and neural stem cell fates. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 2002, 25, 471–490, doi:10.1146/annurev.neuro.25.030702.130823.
- Purow, B.W.; Haque, R.M.; Noel, M.V.; Su, Q.; Burdick, M.J.; Lee, J.; Sundaresan, T.; Pastorino, S.; Park, J.K.; Mikolaenko, I.; et al. Expression of Notch-1 and its Ligands, Delta-Like-1 and Jagged-1, is Critical for Glioma Cell Survival and Proliferation. *Cancer Res.* 2005, *65*, 2353–2363, doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-1890.
- 82. Fan, X.; Matsui, W.; Khaki, L.; Stearns, D.; Chun, J.; Li, Y.M.; Eberhart, C.G. Notch pathway inhibition depletes stem-like cells and blocks engraftment in embryonal brain tumors. *Cancer Res.* **2006**, *66*, 7445–7452, doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-0858.
- Natsumeda, M.; Maitani, K.; Liu, Y.; Miyahara, H.; Kaur, H.; Chu, Q.; Zhang, H.; Kahlert, U.D.; Eberhart, C.G. Targeting Notch Signaling and Autophagy Increases Cytotoxicity in Glioblastoma Neurospheres. *Brain Pathol.* 2016, 26, 713–723, doi:10.1111/bpa.12343.
- 84. Han, G.; Zhao, W.; Wang, L.; Yue, Z.; Zhao, R.; Li, Y.; Zhou, X.; Hu, X.; Liu, J. Leptin enhances the invasive ability of glioma stem-like cells depending on leptin receptor expression. *Brain Res.* **2014**, *1543*, 1–8, doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2013.10.027.
- Han, G.; Wang, L.; Zhao, W.; Yue, Z.; Zhao, R.; Li, Y.; Zhou, X.; Hu, X.; Liu, J. High expression of leptin receptor leads to temozolomide resistance with exhibiting stem/progenitor cell features in gliobalastoma. *Cell Cycle* 2013, *12*, 3833–3840, doi:10.4161/cc.26809.
- Panza, S.; Russo, U.; Giordano, F.; Leggio, A.; Barone, I.; Bonofiglio, D.; Gelsomino, L.; Malivindi, R.; Conforti, F.L.; Naimo, G.D.; et al. Leptin and Notch Signaling Cooperate in Sustaining Glioblastoma Multiforme Progression. *Biomolecules* 2020, 10, 886, doi:10.3390/biom10060886.
- Man, J.; Yu, X.; Huang, H.; Zhou, W.; Xiang, C.; Huang, H.; Miele, L.; Liu, Z.; Bebek, G.; Bao, S.; et al. Hypoxic Induction of Vasorin Regulates Notch1 Turnover to Maintain Glioma Stem-like Cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 2018, 22, 104–118, doi:10.1016/j.stem.2017.10.005.
- 88. Parajuli, P.; Mittal, S. Picture of glioma stem cells has become a Notch brighter. *Stem Cell Investig.* 2018, *5*, 42, doi:10.21037/sci.2018.11.02.
- Aderetti, D.A.; Hira, V.V.V.; Molenaar, R.J.; van Noorden, C.J.F. The hypoxic peri-arteriolar glioma stem cell niche, an integrated concept of five types of niches in human glioblastoma. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Rev. Cancer.* 2018, 1869, 346–354, doi:10.1016/j.bbcan.2018.04.008.
- Filatova, A.; Acker, T.; Garvalov, B.K. The cancer stem cell niche(s): The crosstalk between glioma stem cells and their microenvironment. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2013, 1830, 2496–2508, doi:10.1016/j.bbagen.2012.10.008.
- Bar, E.E.; Chaudhry, A.; Lin, A.; Fan, X.; Schreck, K.; Matsui, W.; Piccirillo, S.; Vescovi, A.L.; di Meco, F.; Olivi, A.; et al. Cyclopamine-mediated hedgehog pathway inhibition depletes stem-like cancer cells in glioblastoma. *Stem Cells* 2007, 25, 2524–2533, doi:10.1634/stemcells.2007-0166.
- Zheng, H.; Ying, H.; Wiedemeyer, R.; Yan, H.; Quayle, S.N.; Ivanova, E.V.; Paik, J.H.; Zhang, H.; Xiao, Y.; Perry, S.R.; et al. PLAGL2 regulates Wnt signaling to impede differentiation in neural stem cells and gliomas. *Can. Cell* 2010, 17, 497–509, doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2010.03.020.
- Rheinbay, E.; Suvà, M.L.; Gillespie, S.M.; Wakimoto, H.; Patel, A.P.; Shahid, M.; Oksuz, O.; Rabkin, S.D.; Martuza, R.L.; Rivera, M.N et al. An aberrant transcription factor network essential for Wnt signaling and stem cell maintenance in glioblastoma. *Cell Rep.* 2013, *3*, 1567–1579, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2013.04.021.
- Adamo, A.; Fiore, D.; de Martino, F.; Roscigno, G.; Affinito, A.; Donnarumma, E.; Puoti, I.; Ricci Vitiani, L.; Pallini, R.; Quintavalle, C.; et al. RYK promotes the stemness of glioblastoma cells via the WNT/beta-catenin pathway. *Oncotarget* 2017, *8*, 13476–13487, doi:10.18632/oncotarget.14564.
- 95. Calabrese, C.; Poppleton, H.; Kocak, M.; Hogg, T.L.; Fuller, C.; Hamner, B.; Oh, E.Y.; Gaber, M.W.; Finklestein, D.; Allen, M.; et al. A perivascular niche for brain tumor stem cells. *Cancer Cell* **2007**, *11*, 69–82, doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2006.11.020.
- Pyonteck, S.M.; Akkari, L.; Schuhmacher, A.J.; Bowman, R.L.; Sevenich, L.; Quail, D.F.; Olson, O.C.; Quick, M.L.; Huse, J.T.; Teijeiro, V.; et al. CSF-1R inhibition alters macrophage polarization and blocks glioma progression. *Nat. Med.* 2013, 19, 1264– 1272, doi:10.1038/nm.3337.
- Zhou, W.; Ke, S.Q.; Huang, Z.; Flavahan, W.; Fang, X.; Paul, J.; Wu, L.; Sloan, A.E.; McLendon, R.E.; Li, X.; et al. Periostin secreted by glioblastoma stem cells recruits M2 tumour-associated macrophages and promotes malignant growth. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 2015, 17, 170–182, doi:10.1038/ncb3090.
- 98. Steinhart, Z.; Angers, S. Wnt signaling in development and tissue homeostasis. *Development* 2018, 145, dev146589, doi:10.1242/dev.146589.

- Shaji, S.K.; Sunilkumar, D.; Mahalakshmi, N.V.; Kumar, G.B.; Nair, B.G. Analysis of microarray data for identification of key microRNA signatures in glioblastoma multiforme. *Oncol. Lett.* 2019, *18*, 1938–1948, doi:10.3892/ol.2019.10521.
- Schiffer, D.; Annovazzi. L.; Casalone, C.; Corona, C.; Mellai, M. Glioblastoma: Microenvironment and Niche Concept. *Cancers* 2018, 11, 5, doi:10.3390/cancers11010005.
- 101. Davies, A.E.; Albeck, J.G. Microenvironmental Signals and Biochemical Information Processing: Cooperative Determinants of Intratumoral Plasticity and Heterogeneity. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2018**, *6*, 44, doi:10.3389/fcell.2018.00044.
- 102. Shibue, T.; Weinberg, R.A. EMT, CSCs, and drug resistance: The mechanistic link and clinical implications. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* **2017**, *14*, 611–629, doi:10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.44.
- 103. Abdullah, L.N.; Chow, E.K. Mechanisms of chemoresistance in cancer stem cells. *Clin. Transl. Med.* **2013**, *2*, 3, doi:10.1186/2001-1326-2-3.
- 104. Jin, M.Z.; Jin, W.L. The updated landscape of tumor microenvironment and drug repurposing. *Signal. Transduct. Target Ther.* **2020**, *5*, 166, doi:10.1038/s41392-020-00280-x.
- 105. Stupp, R.; Mason, W.P.; van den Bent, M.J.; Weller, M.; Fisher, B.; Taphoorn, M.J.; Belanger, K.; Brandes, A.A.; Marosi, C.; Bogdahn, U.; et al. Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2005, 352, 987– 996, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa043330.
- 106. Van Tellingen, O.; Yetkin-Arik, B.; de Gooijer, M.C.; Wesseling, P.; Wurdinger, T.; de Vries, H.E. Overcoming the blood-brain tumor barrier for effective glioblastoma treatment. *Drug Resist. Updates* **2015**, *19*, 1–12, doi:10.1016/j.drup.2015.02.002.
- 107. Yi, Y.; Hsieh, I.Y.; Huang, X.; Li, J.; Zhao, W. Glioblastoma Stem-Like Cells: Characteristics, Microenvironment, and Therapy. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2016**, *7*, 477, doi:10.3389/fphar.2016.00477.
- Dumitru, C.A.; Sandalcioglu, I.E.; Karsak, M. Cannabinoids in Glioblastoma Therapy: New Applications for Old Drugs. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 2018, 11, 159, doi:10.3389/fnmol.2018.00159.
- 109. Cristino, L.; Bisogno, T.; di Marzo, V.; Cannabinoids and the expanded endocannabinoid system in neurological disorders. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* **2020**, *16*, 9–29, doi:10.1038/s41582-019-0284-z.
- 110. Shahcheraghi, S.H.; Zangui, M.; Lotfi, M.; Ghayour-Mobarhan, M.; Ghorbani, A.; Jaliani, H.Z.; Sadeghnia, H.R.; Sahebkar, A. Therapeutic Potential of Curcumin in the Treatment of Glioblastoma Multiforme. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 2019, 25, 333–342, doi:10.2174/1381612825666190313123704.
- 111. Clark, P.A.; Bhattacharya, S.; Elmayan, A.; Darjatmoko, S.R.; Thuro, B.A.; Yan, M.B.; van Ginkel, P.R.; Polans, A.S.; Kuo, J.S. Resveratrol targeting of AKT and p53 in glioblastoma and glioblastoma stem-like cells to suppress growth and infiltration. *J. Neurosurg.* 2017, 126, 1448–1460, doi:10.3171/2016.1.JNS152077.
- 112. Colapietro, A.; Mancini, A.; D'Alessandro, A.M.; Festuccia, C. Crocetin and Crocin from Saffron in Cancer Chemotherapy and Chemoprevention. *Anticancer Agents Med. Chem.* **2019**, *19*, 38–47, doi:10.2174/1871520619666181231112453.
- Colapietro, A.; Mancini, A.; Vitale, F.; Martellucci, S.; Angelucci, A.; Llorens, S.; Mattei, V.; Gravina, G.L.; Alonso, G.L.; Festuccia, C. Crocetin Extracted from Saffron Shows Antitumor Effects in Models of Human Glioblastoma. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 423, doi:10.3390/ijms21020423.
- 114. Colapietro, A.; Yang, P.; Rossetti, A.; Mancini, A.; Vitale, F.; Martellucci, S.; Conway, T.L.; Chakraborty, S.; Marampon, F.; Mattei, V.; et al. The Botanical Drug PBI-05204, a Supercritical CO2 Extract of Nerium Oleander, Inhibits Growth of Human Glioblastoma, Reduces Akt/mTOR Activities, and Modulates GSC Cell-Renewal Properties. *Front. Pharmacol.* 2020, 11, 552428, doi:10.3389/fphar.2020.552428.
- Eller, J.L.; Longo, S.L.; Kyle, M.M.; Bassano, D.; Hicklin, D.J.; Canute, G.W. Anti-epidermal growth factor receptor monoclonal antibody cetuximab augments radiation effects in glioblastoma multiforme in vitro and in vivo. *Neurosurgery* 2005, *56*, 155–162, doi:10.1227/01.neu.0000145865.25689.55.
- 116. Eller, J.L.; Longo, S.L.; Hicklin, D.J.; Canute, G.W. Activity of anti-epidermal growth factor receptor monoclonal antibody C225 against glioblastoma multiforme. *Neurosurgery* **2002**, *51*, 1005–1013, doi:10.1097/00006123-200210000-00028.
- 117. Taylor, O.G.; Brzozowski, J.S.; Skelding, K.A. Glioblastoma Multiforme: An Overview of Emerging Therapeutic Targets. *Front. Oncol.* **2019**, *9*, 963, doi:10.3389/fonc.2019.00963.
- Sinha, D.; Smith, C.; Khanna, R. Joining Forces: Improving Clinical Response to Cellular Immunotherapies with Small-Molecule Inhibitors. *Trends Mol. Med.* 2021, 27, 75–90, doi:10.1016/j.molmed.2020.09.005.
- 119. Avril, T.; Vauleon, E.; Hamlat, A.; Saikali, S.; Etcheverry, A.; Delmas, C.; Diabira, S.; Mosser, J.; Quillien, V. Human glioblastoma stem-like cells are more sensitive to allogeneic NK and T cell-mediated killing compared with serum-cultured glioblastoma cells. *Brain Pathol.* 2012, 22, 159–174, doi:10.1111/j.1750-3639.2011.00515.x.
- 120. Liau, L.M.; Prins, R.M.; Kiertscher, S.M.; Odesa, S.K.; Kremen, T.J.; Giovannone, A.J.; Lin, J.W.; Chute, D.J.; Mischel, P.S.; Cloughesy, T.F.; et al. Dendritic cell vaccination in glioblastoma patients induces systemic and intracranial T-cell responses modulated by the local central nervous system tumor microenvironment. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2005, *11*, 5515–5525 doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-05-0464.
- 121. Ahmed, N.; Salsman, V.S.; Kew, Y.; Shaffer, D.; Powell, S.; Zhang, Y.J.; Grossman, R.G.; Heslop, H.E.; Gottschalk, S. HER2-specific T cells target primary glioblastoma stem cells and induce regression of autologous experimental tumors. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2010, *16*, 474–485, doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-1322.