

**Integrated Experimental and Modeling Study of Geochemical Reactions of Simple**

reactions in shale unconventional reservoirs remain poorly understood. 19

To investigate the geochemical reactions between shale and hydraulic fracturing fluids, a series of batch reactor experiments were undertaken. Three rock samples with different mineralogical compositions and three fluid samples of different compositions (deionized water, deionized water  $+ 2\%$  potassium chloride (KCl), and deionized water  $+ 0.5\%$  choline chloride (C5H14ClNO) were used. Experiments were undertaken at reservoir temperature and atmospheric pressure. Elemental compositions of effluents after 1, 3, 7, 14, 28 days were analyzed using Inductively Couple Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS). Medical Computed Tomography (CT) scan and X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) were conducted on the entire core run to help upscale results obtained from rock-fluid interaction experiments. Geochemical modeling using a reactive simulator, TOUGHREACT, was undertaken to corroborate experimental results. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29

Results show that lower pH triggered high dissolution rates in the rock samples, especially the carbonate components. As pH increased, the rate of dissolution declined significantly, though for most cases dissolution still continued. Observed dissolved silica 30 31 32

concentrations were much higher than the quartz solubility, suggesting that much of the silica originates from more soluble silica polymorphs and possibly desorption from clay mineral exchange sites. Concentration of most elemental species in solution increased but aluminium and magnesium concentrations declined rapidly following initial entry into solution. Geochemical modeling corroborated the conclusions regarding mineral dissolution and precipitation observed from experiments, notably; the dissolution of calcite and oxidation of pyrite in reacted shale samples, the likely presence of silica polymorphs such as opal, chalcedony or amorphous silica in these samples, and the reduction of Al and Mg concentrations in solution by precipitation of secondary aluminosilicate phases. 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41

The de-flocculation of clay minerals during reaction implies fines migration after hydraulic fracturing. This is detrimental to reservoir productivity as clay fines are displaces and lodged within the micro and nano-fractures created during fracturing. The immediate consumption of aluminium and magnesium also has implications on blockage of hydrocarbon pathways due to precipitation of new minerals in these locations. 42 43 44 45 46

47

**Key words:** Caney Shale, Hydraulic fracturing, Rock-fluid interaction, Geochemical reactions, Geochemical modeling 48 49

50

#### **1. Introduction** 51

The Caney Shale is a largely unexploited but potentially economically viable unconventional petroleum formation found within the South-Central Oklahoma Oil Province (SCOOP) 1–4. This formation has largely been regarded as a source and seal formation, accounting for its present relatively unexploited status <sup>2,3,5,6</sup>. The target for most drilling in the area has been the Woodford Shale which is directly overlain by the Caney Shale. Though the Caney Shale is replete with recoverable hydrocarbon resources, little research and exploration activity has been undertaken in this formation. Mechanisms of interactions between formation and hydraulic fracturing fluids for this formation are therefore less known than for extensively exploited shales such as the Barnett and the Marcellus  $7-10$ . 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60

From points of deposition through diagenesis and other lithification processes, formation rocks and fluids undergo a series of reactions until they attain thermodynamic equilibrium or a chemical (near-) steady state at their present locations within the subsurface. Any changes in the conditions of this equilibrium triggers instability which leads to reactions. During hydraulic fracturing, the introduction of fracturing fluids of different chemical compositions into the formation triggers reactions between the formation and injected fluids 11,12. These reactions lead to dissolution of minerals and precipitation of new minerals, which have implications on the petrophysical properties of the reservoir <sup>13</sup>. Dissolution of minerals may lead to increased porosity and permeability but can also lead to a weakened matrix that may collapse under confining pressures, thus reversing the gained porosity and permeability. This is common in carbonate-rich formations where carbonate dissolves under low pH conditions. Precipitation of new minerals may however lead to reduced porosity and permeability as these normally grow within flow pathways. 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73

The most common and problematic reactions within the subsurface are those between injected fracturing fluids and clay minerals within the formation. Most clay minerals tend to react with fracturing fluids because the major component of most fracturing fluids is water  $14$ . Clay swelling is primarily the result of adsorption of cations and fluids on the surface or absorption into the inter-layer structure of clay minerals. The main driver of this process is the electrochemical interactions between clay minerals and surrounding fluids. The nature, quantity, and charges of cations within the clay interlayer determine the level and type of swelling that occurs. Formation damage through clay swelling in the context of enhanced oil and gas recovery has been dealt with extensively <sup>15,16</sup>. 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82

Crystalline and osmotic swelling of clay minerals have been identified as the two main types of swelling mechanisms in clay minerals  $14,17$ . Crystalline swelling, also referred to as surface hydration, occurs when water molecules are adsorbed onto the negatively charged surfaces of clay platelets and held in place by hydrogen bonding. Subsequent layers of water molecules are then aligned on top of the first layer, forming a quasi-crystalline structure between unit clays. Osmotic swelling, on the other hand, occurs when water is osmotically drawn into the interlayer space of clay units due to disequilibrium in cationic concentrations between surrounding fluid and clay inter-layer. This increases the c-spacing (inter-layer width) of the clay 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90

unit. Osmotic swelling causes greater swelling than crystalline swelling and has been identified as the most problematic swelling responsible for loss of porosity and permeability during drilling and hydraulic fracturing operations <sup>18</sup>. 91 92 93

Another issue is fines migration, which is prevalent in illite/smectite mixed layer clays, creates more problems in producing formations than clay swelling. Illite/Smectite mixed layer clays are predominant in most unconventional shale reservoirs in North America. Dislodged fine particles are transported and deposited along pore throats, which cause significant losses in permeability and inhibit fluid flow (Dawuda and Srinivasan, 2022). Fines migration is caused by both chemical and mechanical processes. In the chemical process, the adsorption and absorption of cations to clay surfaces and interlayers reduces and eventually eliminates the electrostatic forces that hold the clay platelets and clay layers together, thus causing deflocculation of the clay platelets or disintegration of clay layers and subsequent migration as fines <sup>19–21</sup>. Mechanical destabilization and transport of fines occur when the mechanical forces due to moving fluids overcome the adhesive forces holding fines to formation walls, thus dislodging and transporting these fines. 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105

In hydraulic fracturing fluid preparation, clay stabilizers are crucial components added to counter swelling of clays and migration of fines during hydraulic fracturing. Concerted efforts have thus been made to investigating different types of clay stabilizers as well as mechanisms under which they function best. Consequently, there are several clay stabilizing additives currently used in industry. Two of the most common types of temporary clay stabilizers used in industry are potassium chloride (KCl) and choline chloride ( $C_5H_{14}CINO$ ). KCl inhibits clay swelling by exchanging potassium cations with sodium, magnesium, and other cations on clay surface or interlayer. These adsorb on the clay surface or form a 'double layer', thus preventing further interaction with water to cause fines migration. Also, the potassium cations are exchanged for cations in the clay interlayer, which locks the interlayer and prevents further interactions with water that may cause swelling.  $C_5H_{14}CINO$ , on the other hand, works by attaching itself to clay surfaces and preventing interactions with water by repelling water molecules with its hydrophobic tail. Due to the mechanisms described above, these clay stabilizers are able to prevent clay swelling and fines migration. 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119

This work is aimed at assessing the reactions between formation rocks and fracturing fluids containing KCl or  $C_5H_{14}CINO$  clay stabilizers. Deionised (DI) water is used as a baseline fluid in these experiments. The key factors governing these reactions are assessed to provide insights on expected reaction products for various rock and fluid compositions. This research also provides data on the efficiency of temporary clay stabilizers and on element concentrations in flow back waters for various rock compositions. 120 121 122 123 124 125

The main objective of this study is to assess the effects of KCl and  $C_5H_{14}CINO$  in inhibiting adverse clay-fluid interactions. To achieve this, rocks of different mineralogical compositions were selected at different depths in the Caney Shale, crushed, ground, and reacted with simple fracturing fluids as well as deionized water under temperature conditions mimicking the reservoir environment and at atmospheric pressure. X-ray Diffraction (XRD) analysis and Inductively Couple Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) were used to measure mineralogical compositions and elemental concentrations in fluids, respectively. Medical Computed Tomography  $(CT)$  scan and  $X$ -ray Fluorescence  $(XRF)$  were also conducted on the entire core run to help upscale results obtained from rock-fluid interaction experiments. 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134

This study was carried out in two phases: laboratory experiments phase and a simulation/ modeling phase. In the experimental phase, batch reactor experiments were run for 28 days under laboratory conditions designed to mimic down-hole shut-in periods when most of the rock-fluid interactions occur. The simulation phase of this work involved numerical modeling of the rockfluid interactions occurring during the batch reactor experiments conducted in the laboratory experimental phase. The objective of the simulation phase was to predict and help interpret laboratory experimental results. 135 136 137 138 139 140 141

# **2.0 Materials and Methods**  142

# **2.1 Experimental Design** 143

Batch reactor experiments are structured to mimic conditions in subsurface of the Caney Shale during shut-in periods. In standard hydraulic fracturing treatment of wells, there are a few days to several weeks of shut-in following completion of hydraulic fracturing treatments. During this period, the hydraulic fracturing fluids in the formation react with rock minerals causing dissolution and precipitation of new minerals. Following shut in, not all the fluids injected into the formation are recovered. Therefore, reaction between formation and injected fluids continue. 144 145 146 147 148 149

For this study, the reaction time is 28 days  $^{22}$ , representing a typical shut-in period as identified by Vidic et al.,  $(2013)$  <sup>22</sup>. During this period, the reaction vessels are covered and placed in an oven with pre-set specific conditions: temperature of 95  $\degree$ C and atmospheric pressure. Shale samples are crushed and ground to particle size range of 10  $\mu$ m to 50  $\mu$ m. This exposes more surface area of the rock to react with the surrounding fluid. The initial liquid to solid ratio for the experiment is 200 ml/g; 0.7 g of crushed rock reacted with 140 ml of various simplified fracturing fluids. 150 151 152 153 154 155 156

Sampling of effluent occurs at predetermined intervals: 1, 3, 7, 14, 21, and 28 days following the start of the experiment. During sampling, the reaction vessel is taken out of the oven and a syringe is used to collect about 10 ml of effluent from the sample vessel. Sampled effluents are sieved through a 0.22  $\mu$ m filter and subsequently stored in a refrigerator pending analyses. Each sampling event lasts about 10 minutes. 157 158 159 160 161

# **2.2 Materials** 162

Samples used for experimental investigations include Caney Shales selected from different depths from Caney well in South Central Oklahoma (Figs. 1 and 2). Analyses on the rocks with XRD show variance in mineralogical compositions (Table 1). Though quartz is the predominant mineral in all the samples, the differences in relative amounts of quartz, carbonate, and clays are significant (Table 1). The reaction dynamics are therefore expected to be different. Samples have been designated High Quartz (HQ), Moderate Quartz, Carbonate, and Clay (MQ), and High Clay (HC), based on their relative mineralogical compositions. 163 164 165 166 167 168 169

Fluid samples used for experimental investigations are simplified hydraulic fracturing fluids (Table 2). These include 2% KCl and  $0.5\%$  C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>14</sub>ClNO both prepared in the laboratory using deionized water as base fluid and set to pH of 4 by adding hydrochloric acid. DI water is also used as a fluid in the experiments to serve as a control (Table 2). The relatively high clay mineralogical composition of the Caney Shale served the main motivation for choosing temporal clay stabilizers as the main components of simplified fracturing fluids. Properties of fluids used in the experiment are presented in Table 2. 170 171 172 173 174 175 176

## **2.3 Analytical Methods** 177

The main analytical methods adopted in the experimental phase of this study include XRD for estimating the mineralogical composition of rock samples, ICP-MS for measuring the elemental concentrations in effluents, and medical CT scan and XRF employed to study the entire length of drilled core in order to help upscale results obtained from the main analyses. 178 179 180 181

182

The following details how each analysis was undertaken:

XRD analyses were performed on rock powder samples before the beginning of the experiments to assess their mineralogical compositions. This was achieved with a Bruker D8 advance X-Ray Diffractometer with Lynxeye detector. Scanning was run from 5 to 80 degrees 2 theta angle with a 0.01 degree step and dwell time of 0.5 seconds. Semi-quantitative analyses were also accomplished with the BRUKER's Diffrac.suite eva. 183 184 185 186 187

To measure the elemental concentrations in effluents, the extracted fluids were first sampled through a 0.22 µm filter after extraction from the reaction vessel. An Oakton pH 150 meter was used to measure pH of the fluid immediately after sampling. Sampled fluids were subsequently stored at temperatures of about  $4 \degree C$ . Samples were acidified to ensure all the elements are in solution before analyses using ICP-MS for elemental concentrations of major elements. The elements tested included, Na, Ca, Mg, K, S, B, Si, Al, Fe, and Mn. At the end of experiment period, excess effluents were poured into plastic bottles and stored in a refrigerator. 188 189 190 191 192 193 194

The entire core run was sent to the National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) in Morgantown, WV for XRF and medical CT scans <sup>23</sup>. The Medical Toshiba® Aquilion TSX-101A/R medical scanner was used for the acquisition of medical CT scans at voxel resolutions of 0.43 x 0.43 mm in the XY plane and 0.5 mm in the core axis. For the purpose of this research, 3D volumes obtained from scans were re-sliced along the longitudinal axis and used as an image log. Images are observed to transition from dark to brighter scales. Totally dark regions in the scan represent areas of low density such as air, whereas brighter areas are associated with regions of high-density minerals such as pyrite. 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202

The portable handheld Innov-X® X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometer was used for XRF analysis, aimed at measuring relative elemental abundances throughout the well. Also, the Mining-Plus suite was run at 6 cm resolution for 60 seconds of exposure time per beam through the entire 650 feet of core. The Mining-Plus suite utilizes a two-beam analysis to report the fractional elemental abundances relative to the total elemental composition (i.e. out of 100%), 203 204 205 206 207

and resolve major (Mg, Al, Si, P, S, Cl, Fe, K, Ca, Ti), minor (V, Cu, Ni, Cr, Mn, Pb), trace elements, and an aggregated "light element" (H to Na). The data is filtered for errors exceeding  $20\%$  of the measured data  $24$ . 208 209 210

### **2.4 Numerical Model Development** 211

Based on the description of the experiment and the data provided above, simple geochemical models of the batch reactor experiments were constructed for the HC material in KCl fluids and modeled with the reactive transport simulator TOUGHREACT  $25,26$ . A simple "batch" problem was modeled in which there is no fluid flow or chemical transport, and temperature is held constant at 95  $^{\circ}$ C as in the experiments. Cation exchange and aqueous complexation (including redox) were modeled at thermodynamic equilibrium while mineral dissolution and precipitation were modeled to proceed under kinetic constraints. 212 213 214 215 216 217 218

Initial simulations (referred to as Model 1) ignored the presence of headspace (primarily air, with some water vapor) above the fluid in the beaker, and did not consider the introduction of atmospheric  $O_2$  into the beaker during sampling. Also, the presence of amorphous Si (modeled as opal-CT) and organic matter (modeled as graphite) in the sediment were not considered. More refined simulations (referred to as Model 2) including these phases as well as headspace and air contamination during sampling were later conducted with better results. 219 220 221 222 223 224

The data available for modeling were limited, so a number of assumptions were made. Therefore, results shown here should be viewed as more qualitative than quantitative, and illustrative of the geochemical processes modeled and modeling capabilities. A more complete geochemical and physical characterization of the samples would be necessary to better constrain the model results, including but not limited to parameters such as grain size distribution, cation exchange capacity and surface area, clay mineralogy and composition, as well as redox conditions. 225 226 227 228 229 230 231

In both Model 1 and Model 2, a single grid block represents the reaction beaker, which is held at constant pressure and temperature. In both cases, the withdrawal of liquid samples from the beaker at prescribed time intervals (1, 3, 7, 14, 21, 28 days), and the accompanying decrease in liquid to solid ratio, was modeled explicitly by withdrawing a finite amount of solution (10 ml) from the reaction grid block over a 1-minute time interval at each sampling event. In doing 232 233 234 235 236

so, the effect of increasing solid/liquid ratio due to the withdrawal of fluid samples was modeled as it affects the reactive surface areas of minerals considered in the simulations. 237 238

In Model 1, the reaction beaker is simulated as a fully liquid-saturated grid block with elasticity to allow for sample withdrawal without affecting pressure. In Model 2, the reaction beaker is modeled as a fixed-volume, partially liquid-saturated grid block to allow for a headspace. In both models, the beaker grid block was given the volume of the experimental beaker and proportions of solution, shale (and head space in Model 2) consistent with the experimental setup. 239 240 241 242 243 244

A second grid block connected to the grid block representing the beaker was added to Model 2, to simulate the presence of atmosphere above the beaker. The atmospheric grid-block was given an infinite volume and set with zero liquid saturation, thus with only gas present. The withdrawal of liquid samples from the beaker causes atmosphere to be drawn into the beaker grid block, as happens in the experiments. The atmosphere drawn into the beaker was given an atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration and  $O<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations calibrated to best match results of experiments. Therefore, the modeled oxygen influx was controlled by the sample withdrawal rate and calibrated  $O_2$  concentration in the air influx, thus effectively approximating oxidation under some kinetic constraints. 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253

The primary aqueous species considered in both models were  $H^+$ ,  $H_2O$ ,  $Na^+$ ,  $K^+$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Fe^{2+}$ ,  $Al^{3+}$ , Cl, SiO<sub>2</sub>(aq), HCO<sub>3</sub>, HS, and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup>. The minerals included in the simulations are shown in Table 3. Gases representing contact with air include  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$ . N<sub>2</sub> was omitted because it is non-reactive. A critical parameter in these simulations is the specific surface area of the minerals, which controls chemical reaction rates and is derived from particle size and assumptions about close packing of particles. For the present study, particle size is not well known and was adjusted to yield the most reasonable model match to experimental data. For Model 1, a uniform grain size for all minerals was assumed  $(3 \mu m)$ , whereas the grain size was further adjusted for different groups of minerals in Model 2 (20 µm for carbonates and quartz, 1 µm for other minerals). 254 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263

#### **3.0 Results**  264

Elemental concentrations in effluents are assessed to understand mineral dissolution/precipitation and impacts of clay stabilizers during reactions. This first part of this work compares the influence of fluid versus rock composition on the reaction path. Two sets of plots are employed; the first set shows effluent chemistry due to impact of fluid composition whiles the second set shows impact of rock composition. This comparison helps to understand the crucial variables controlling subsurface rock-fluid interactions within Caney Shale. 265 266 267 268 269 270

In a second part of this work, the rate of effluent chemistry changes with time is evaluated. This helps explain key mechanisms of dissolution, precipitation and de-flocculation in reservoir. Effluent evolution is modeled and compared to experimental results to understand the long term implications of rock-fluid interactions in the subsurface. Finally, results of experiments and models are up-scaled based on CT scan and XRF elemental composition of 650 ft recovered core. 271 272 273 274 275 276

# **3.1 Effluent Analysis: pH Trend as a Function of Rock and Fluid Compositions** 277

Results show a rapid increase in pH values for KCl and  $C_5H_{14}CINO$  fluids on the first day, considering these fluids were set to a pH of 4.0 at the beginning of the experiment (Figs. 3a and 3b). pH values from both sets of graphs show that samples with deionized water (DI) and  $C_5H_{14}CINO$  exhibit stable pH values for the first two weeks followed by steep rise in pH for one week and then another stabilization during the third week. With the KCl fluid however, the pH increases steadily and only within the first week. 278 279 280 281 282 283

The initially low pH values for KCl and  $C_5H_{14}C$  lNO fluids caused rapid dissolution of carbonate minerals in both fluids, which subsequently serve as a strong pH buffer. Initial rapid pH increases in KCl relative to  $C_5H_{14}CINO$  may be due to early stabilization of clay in the former, thus allowing a faster dissolution reaction with carbonates to increase the pH. The action of clay minerals in suppressing the dissolution of carbonates is countered once clay minerals are stabilized. Fig. 3a and 3b show plots of pH as a function of fluid composition (Fig. 3a) and pH as a function of rock composition (Fig. 3b). 284 285 286 287 288 289 290

# **3.2 Effluent Analysis: Calcium (Ca) Element Concentration as a Function of Rock and Fluid Compositions** 291 292

The dissolved Ca concentration is consistently the highest in effluents of KCl, followed by  $C_5H_{14}CINO$  and DI water (Figs. 4a and 4b). The Ca concentration increases throughout the 293 294

experiment for all the rock and fluids samples. Though slight initially, Ca concentration in the MQ sample is the highest, followed by HQ with HC showing least concentrations. There is however a lag in Ca concentration in MQ sample to HQ sample for KCl fluid on days 1 and 3. Disparity in elemental fluid concentrations increases with time for all rock samples. Trends show Ca concentration in solution is mainly influenced by the fluid composition. 295 296 297 298 299

High dissolved Ca concentrations in the effluents of KCl and  $C_5H_{14}CINO$  are the result of higher dissolution of carbonate minerals due to the initial low pH set for these fluids. The higher dissolved Ca concentrations in the HQ sample, relative to HC samples, despite the latter having a higher carbonate mineral composition, may be explained by the suppression of carbonate dissolution due to presence and interference of clay minerals. Adsorption of Ca cations released into solution at clay surfaces is one way Ca concentration is reduced in effluent. This is further confirmed by the initial higher concentrations of Ca in HQ relative to MQ samples (which have the highest carbonate composition) due to the latter's high clay content. The subsequent rise in Ca ion concentration in the latter is thought to occur after stabilization of clay mineral constituents of the sample. 300 301 302 303 304 305 306 307 308 309

Fig. 4 shows plots of Ca concentration as a function of fluid composition (Fig. 4a) and Ca concentration as a function of rock composition (Fig. 2b). 310 311

312

# **3.3 Effluent Analysis: Silicon (Si) Element Concentration as a Function of Rock and Fluid Compositions** 313 314

Dissolved Si concentrations remain highest in KCl solutions for all samples throughout the experiments (Fig. 5a). With different rock types, the dissolved Si concentration in samples with KCl levels off after day 10 (Fig. 5b). In contrast, concentrations in DI water and  $C_5H_{14}CINO$  solutions show continuous increment for the duration of the experiment, though with lower concentrations than KCl. The concentration of Si in  $C_5H_{14}C\text{NO}$  does not level-off but continues to increase at the latter stages of the experiment, and may be projected to pass the concentrations observed in the KCl. 315 316 317 318 319 320 321

Dissolved Si concentration largely exceed quartz solubility, thus may result from more soluble (possibly biogenic) silica  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$  polymorphs and Si imbedded in clay minerals. The lag in Si concentration increase in  $C_5H_{14}CINO$  relative to KCl and the former's upward surge during 322 323 324

the final part of the experiment is suggestive of the speed with which each fluid is able to stabilize clay minerals thus allowing for dissolution of silica. Fig. 5 shows plots of Si concentration as a function of fluid composition (Fig. 5a) and Si concentration as a function of rock composition (Fig. 5b). 325 326 327 328

# **3.4 Effluent Analysis: Aluminium (Al) Element Concentration as a Function of Rock and Fluid Compositions** 329 330

- The dissolved Al concentrations show a continuous downward trajectory for all samples, though there is a small increase in Al concentration in DI water after day twenty-one (21) (Fig. 6a). The Al concentration is higher in DI water and  $C_5H_{14}CINO$  solutions, whereas the samples with KCl show almost no Al after day three (3) (Fig. 6b). The disparities between the graphs reveal that Al concentration is highly dependent on the fluid composition. 331 332 333 334 335
- Though KCl and  $C_5H_{14}CINO$  are meant to stabilize clays, the initially lower pH of these fluids leads to rapid reactions with clay minerals releasing Al into solution. Albite and muscovite dissolution at lower pH values may also contribute to Al concentration in the fluid. The rapid downward trajectory of Al concentration suggests rapid precipitation of secondary Al phases. Also, Al can rapidly exchange on clay surfaces by monovalent and divalent cations, but once these are released into solution, they tend to react with other ions in solution to form precipitates or colloids. 336 337 338 339 340 341 342
- Fig. 6 shows plots of Al concentration as a function of fluid composition (Fig. 6a) Al concentration as a function of rock composition (Fig. 6). 343 344

# **3.5 Effluent Analysis: Sulfate Concentration as a Function of Rock and Fluid Compositions** 345 346

The concentration of  $SO_4^2$  is high for KCl in samples HQ and MQ whilst concentration in sample HC is about the same for all the solutions (Fig. 7a). The  $SO_4^2$  concentration trend begins to flatten after 14 days. The concentration of  $SO_4^2$  in HQ and MQ samples are consistently higher than in sample HC (Fig. 7b). From the foregoing, concentrations of  $SO_4^2$  can be said to be dependent to equal extent on both the fluid type and rock type. 347 348 349 350 351

High concentrations of  $SO_4^2$  in HQ and MQ samples suggests the dissolution of pyrite. The relatively low  $SO_4^2$  concentration in sample HC may imply pyrite dissolution in this sample is hampered by the high clay contents. The steady rise in  $SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup>$  concentration suggests that little 352 353 354

or no precipitation of secondary  $SO_4^2$  minerals occurs during the period of the experiment. Fe generated from pyrite dissolution is not detected, presumably because of oxidation upon sampling (resulting in the precipitation of Fe (III) oxy-hydroxides such as goethite, as suggested by modeling results). Iron oxide precipitation has been observed in other studies (Pearce et al., 2018) 355 356 357 358 359

Fig. 7 shows plots of  $SO_4^2$  concentration as a function of fluid composition (Fig. 7a)  $SO_4^2$ concentration as a function of rock composition (Fig. 7b). 360 361

# **3.6 Effluent Analysis: Boron (B) Element Concentration as a Function of Rock and Fluid Compositions** 362 363

The dissolved B concentrations are consistently and progressively higher in KCl solutions, then begin to flatten after 21 days (Fig. 8a and 8b). In contrast, B concentrations are lower and of similar magnitude in DI and  $C_5H_{14}CINO$  solutions, and display increasing trends that do not appear to flatten over the length of the experiment. Fluid type therefore has a greater influence in the amount of B that enters into solution. 364 365 366 367 368

Initial rock analysis by XRD did not identify B bearing minerals in the rock samples. B in aqueous fluid may thus have come from desorption in clay sites. B has been reported to be capable of being adsorbed on clays with disequilibrium capable of causing its release  $27$ . Higher concentrations of B in KCl fluids may be due to the stabilization process of the clay minerals which prevents re-adsorption of released B. 369 370 371 372 373

Fig. 8 shows plots of B concentration as a function of fluid composition (Fig. 8a) and B concentration as a function of rock composition (Fig. 8b). 374 375

# **3.7 Time Dependent Concentration Changes – Justification of Clay Stabilization through exchange**  376 377

The trends in dissolved species concentration changes with time observed in the batch reactor experiments provide clues on the stabilization of clay, but do not explain the high concentration of other elements generally not associated with clay. In the time-dependent analyses of various elemental concentrations presented in this section, we identify trends that reveal that the most critical activity helping to stabilize clay minerals is cation exchange. 378 379 380 381 382

For all the reactions (Fig. 9), KCl solutions show a higher rate of dissolved species concentration increase with time as well as larger changes in the rate, compared to DI water and 383 384

 $C_5H_{14}CINO$ . Cationic concentrations and trends in  $C_5H_{14}CINO$  are marginally higher than in DI water. The shift in trends over the experimental period is attributed to cation exchanges between the clay components of rock and the fluids. The presence or absence of exchangeable cations in the fluid is the main condition that may cause released ions in solution to remain or be consumed in cation exchange processes. In KCl fluid, the presence of potassium cations, which easily exchange for the exchangeable cations in the clay surfaces and interlayers, leaves more released ions from the dissolution of calcite, dolomite, and silica polymorphs in solution. 385 386 387 388 389 390 391

The most favorable cation in the interlayer of illite clays is the potassium cation. In the case of sodium and calcium cations released into KCl fluid, the potassium cations from KCl are more favored to act at the cation exchange sites of clays, leaving sodium and calcium in solution. In the case of DI water and  $C_5H_{14}CINO$ , excess released sodium and calcium ions in solution are adsorbed or exchanged at exchange sites of clay minerals. 392 393 394 395 396

It is also observed that, for anionic components like  $SO_4^2$ , concentration trends with time in all fluids are similar. This is because the concentration of  $SO_4^2$  in solution is not dependent on cationic exchange but likely due to dissolution of sulfide -bearing minerals such as pyrite followed by oxidation. The consumption of ions by precipitation is observed to be the main process by which anion concentrations in the sample are reduced. Therefore,  $SO_4^2$  concentration follows this trend. 397 398 399 400 401 402

#### **3.8 CT Scan and XRF analyses** 403

CT scan and XRF analyses were undertaken for the entire core run (650ft). Results from these analyses are presented in Fig. 10. The CT images highlight gray-scale variations between lithologic facies and XRF shows elemental distribution of various elements at different depths. These are used to aid in predicting lithofacies and mineralogical composition of the formation rock at the specific depth. Magnesium and potassium are missing from the chart because they fell below the detection limit of the handheld XRF (2%). Average concentrations of Si, Al, Ca, S, and Fe are 25.7%, 4.4%, 4.3%, 7989 ppm, and 19738 ppm, respectively. 404 405 406 407 408 409 410

Lithofacies based on the XRF was generated to denote zones in the well and to determine their relationship with total organic carbon (TOC). This was done using classifications and cutoffs <sup>28</sup> derived from Carmichaels, 1988. In this method, it is assumed that clay/shale portions of the rock are represented by  $Al + Fe$ , carbonate facies are represented by  $Ca + Mg$ , and Si 411 412 413 414

represents Si rich rocks. For this well, cutoffs were adjusted to represent clay "rich", clay "lean", and carbonates, based on the following discrete cutoffs: a clay cutoff of 22% was used to separate clay "rich" facies from clay "lean" (Si-rich) and carbonate facies, and a quartz/carbonate ratio (QC ratio) cutoff of 0.3 was used to separate carbonate from clay "lean" facies (Fig. 11). Fig. 11 shows a cross-plot of Si versus Al, with these facies identified, and Fig. 12 shows the resulting XRF lithofacies for the cored section. 415 416 417 418 419 420

To explore the presence of non-detrital Si, Si versus Al, a cross-plot and Si/Al trends were plotted to show a deviation from the normal detrital mode of sedimentation (Fig. 13). In the case of the cross plot the red line indicates areas in the well where sedimentation is more clay "rich" and data deviating from the line are likely deposited via biogenic Si or via eolian processes. TOC data was added to the z-axis in Fig. 11, further denoting the possible presence of biogenic Si. 421 422 423 424 425 426

Based on the elemental compositional plot from XRF (Fig. 10) and the XRF lithofacies (Fig. 12), three key zones are identified within the investigated length of the core. These are designated Upper, Middle, and Lower Caney (Fig. 10). The Upper Caney is characterized by relatively high bioturbation with Si concentrations low within this zone. Si concentration is about 20% in clay rich (increased concentration of Al and Fe) zones and as low as 2% in carbonate rich zones. Sulfur concentrations remain relatively constant throughout the Upper Caney. This zone is thus predicted to be clay and carbonate-rich. The Middle Caney is characterized by almost constant concentrations of Si and Al, approximately 28% and 8%, respectively. Ca concentration in this section is low while there are slight increments in concentrations of Fe and S. This zone is thus designated a Si-rich (i.e., clay lean) shale zone. Upper portions of the Lower Caney are relatively carbonate rich considering the spike in Ca concentration with accompanying decline in Si and Al concentrations. The remaining part of the Lower Caney however reverts to elemental concentrations similar to the Upper Caney with intermittent carbonate-rich intervals. Fe in this zone remains relatively constant. This zone is thus classified an interlayered carbonate- and Sirich zone. Results from XRF and classification of various zones coupled with results from batch experiments are helpful in characterizing reactions and products when the formation interacts with fracturing fluids. 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443

### **3.9 Numerical Model Results** 444

Results of Model 1 and Model 2 for aqueous species for the HC case in KCI solution are shown in Figs. 14 and 15. The modeled pH at the experimental temperature was corrected by numerically cooling the 95 °C samples to 25 °C while equilibrating them with atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ (and not allowing mineral precipitation). The geochemical simulations also yield amounts of minerals dissolved and of secondary phases formed (not shown). In Model 1, gibbsite is modeled to eventually form as clays dissolve, which tends to depress the dissolved Al concentration. In Model 2, gibbsite also forms but to a larger extent, depressing further the Al concentrations; in addition, goethite forms as a result of pyrite oxidation, which is not considered in Model 1 but is more representative of experimental conditions. Other potential secondary phases included in the simulations (boehmite, siderite, and goethite) are not predicted to form in either model. 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455

### **4.0 Discussion** 456

# **4.1 Geochemical Reactions and their Impacts on wellbore production** 457

Clay minerals and non-clay minerals (carbonates and quartz) within a formation are susceptible to geochemical interactions in the presence of fracturing fluids. Most shale formations were deposited in sea water-rich environments and have established equilibrium of their minerals and fluids over geological time. Once these formations are exposed to engineering fluids, especially water-based fluids, the geochemical equilibrium established over geological time is disturbed and this leads to chemical reactions  $29$ . High temperature and pressure conditions in the subsurface, coupled with disturbed pH conditions enhance the chemical reactions between hydraulic fracturing fluids and formation. The trends in elemental concentrations in effluents from experiments show destabilization, dissolution and precipitation patterns that are all meant to re-equilibrate the formation rock and fluids. Bratcher et al (2021) showed that, reactions of carbonates are dependent on acidity of fracturing fluid whilst reaction with aluminosilicates depended on the ionic strength of the fluid  $30$ . Dissolution of both species are observed in our experiment, however, the scope of this work does not involve delineating the impact of acidity and ionic strength. Future consideration of this will be important to clarify the levels of acidity and ionic strength best suited for Caney shale fracturing. 458 459 460 461 462 463 464 465 466 467 468 469 470 471 472

The trends observed have implications on porosity and permeability of a reservoir. The breakdown of clay minerals may cause migration of clay fines which will be deposited in flow 473 474

paths, whilst precipitation of new minerals can also form scales as well as grow to occlude flow paths thus reducing the permeability of formation. 475 476

477

# **4.2 Dissolution and Precipitation of Minerals**

Dissolution and precipitation of minerals result from interactions between the formation rock minerals and engineered fluids, when the latter comes into contact with formation and causes disequilibrium for hitherto stable minerals. For hydraulic fracturing and stimulation operations, dissolution of rock forming minerals have been reported primarily for low pH engineered fluids. As pH increases, ions from dissolved minerals contribute to formation of new minerals and/or amorphous precipitates that may have an adverse effect on formation permeability. In addition, such compositional alterations can impact mechanical properties of the rock, contributing to increased proppant embedment and consequently reduction in fracture network permeability. Geochemical reactivity of scale-forming minerals under these conditions often result in reduced porosity and fracture permeability due to mineral dissolution and precipitation 31,32. At very high pH, clay minerals within a formation become unstable and may become mobile. This situation leads to migration of illite samples which may occlude the hydrocarbon flow paths within the formation. In our experiments, we observe almost all the above reactions and more importantly continuous increase in pH, thus making the Caney Shale a candidate for fines migration and scale formation. These are mostly responsible for deteriorating productivities of formations in the long-term. 478 479 480 481 482 483 484 485 486 487 488 489 490 491 492 493

### **4.3 Clays Interactions with Hydraulic Fracturing Fluids** 494

Water based fluids are highly reactive with clay minerals in the formation due to the polar nature of water. Clay minerals also show high reactivity with fluids due to their charged surfaces. The combination of these two characteristics provides a window of an extensive clays-fluids interaction with significant implications on reservoir properties. These interactions lead to dissolution, fines transport and/or clay swelling, which all alter the microstructure of the formation rock 33,34. Dissolution and ion exchange during fluid interactions with clay minerals often leads to elevated elemental concentrations in subsurface fluids. With elemental concentrations high, precipitation of new minerals occurs and these may occlude fractures created by hydraulic fracturing, thus render the fracturing job unsuccessful. In this study, it was observed that 2% KCl stabilized clays and increased the dissolution rates of carbonates. 495 496 497 498 499 500 501 502 503 504

#### **4.4 Carbonates Interactions with Hydraulic Fracturing Fluids** 505

Carbonate minerals react with hydraulic fracturing fluid under very low pH conditions leading to dissolution and precipitation of new minerals. The starting pH of 4 for KCl and  $C_5H_{14}CINO$  for experiments in this study caused initially high dissolution of carbonates. Dissolution of carbonates releases mainly Ca and Mg cations into solution. These cations may precipitate in the presence of high  $SO_4^2$  concentrations in solution to form scales within the fracture walls thus reducing permeability of the formation. This observation has significant implications in the field conditions, especially in carbonate rich layers present in Caney Shale. 506 507 508 509 510 511 512

# **4.5 Pyrite Interactions with Hydraulic Fracturing Fluids** 513

Pyrite is one of the main sources of  $SO_4^2$  ions in fluids by oxidation of sulfide following interaction with hydraulic fracturing fluids. Dissolved oxygen in fracturing fluids has been identified as the main trigger responsible for pyrite dissolution. Dissolution of pyrite causes a pH decrease in fluids which may lead to increased dissolution of clays and mobilization of heavy metals  $35$ . The oxidation of Fe<sup>2+</sup> to Fe<sup>3+</sup> and sulfur to sulfate are the main ionic species produced from pyrite oxidative dissolution. These species mostly react with other ions in solution to form precipitates which may be responsible for occlusion of fractures. Though many researchers believe that salinity of fracturing fluids has an impact on pyrite dissolution, they consider this impact insignificant <sup>36</sup>. 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522

#### **4.6 Quartz and Silica Interaction with Hydraulic Fracturing Fluids** 523

Quartz dissolution due to interaction with fracturing fluid has been reported in most studies as being insignificant. This is due to the high stability of quartz. In this study, quartz is observed to be relatively stable. The dissolved silica reported in our experiments is presumed to result primarily from the dissolution of other more soluble and reactive forms of silica (such as opal-CT and amorphous silica, possibly of biogenic origin), possibly augmented by feldspar dissolution, and from desorption from clay mineral sites. 524 525 526 527 528 529

# **4.7 Preliminary Geochemical Modeling of Experiments** 530

Agreement between both models and experiment for pH is reasonable (Figs. 14a,d), with pH mostly buffered by the carbonate content of the samples. The slightly lower simulated pH in Model 2, compared to Model 1, results from the oxidation of pyrite and carbon by  $O_2$  introduced during sampling events (see further below). 531 532 533 534

The simulated Si concentration in Model 1 is much lower than observed values (Figs. 14b,e), thus cannot be explained by the dissolution of quartz and aluminosilicate minerals. Observed dissolved Si concentrations could only be reached by including a more soluble  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ polymorph in Model 2. Best results were obtained including about 5 vol.% opal CT as part of the shale mineral assemblage (amorphous or small amounts of poorly crystalized  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  polymorphs such as opal would not be detected by XRD). This suggests that the shale contains other silica polymorphs more soluble than quartz. 535 536 537 538 539 540 541

The simulated Ca concentration in Model 2 matches more closely the observed trend than in Model 1 (Figs. 14c,f). The observed Ca concentrations could only be reproduced with Model 2 (Fig. 14f) by allowing the oxidation of small amounts of carbon (proxy for kerogen) and pyrite during sampling events, causing the pH to be lower and subsequent more calcite dissolution than with Model 1. To achieve these results, the  $O_2$  concentration in air drawn into the beaker during sampling was calibrated  $\sim$  400 ppm) to match the calcium trend. It is noted that high (e.g., atmospheric)  $O_2$  concentrations resulted in too much oxidation and pH decrease (and calcite dissolution), suggesting that only minor oxidation occurred in the experiments. The dissolution of plagioclase (Ca-feldspar) was also tested but could not produce enough calcium to match the experimental data. 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551

The observed Mg concentrations are also better matched with Model 2 (Figs. 15a,d), which resulted in more chlorite precipitation (and subsequent Mg drop) than with Model 1 because of decreased grain size (thus faster reaction rate). Modeling the precipitation of other clay minerals could not reproduce experimental results as well as chlorite precipitation. The low levels of Al observed in the experiments (Figs. 15b,e) likely occur because Al drops out of solution when the samples are cooled and filtered, and by the formation of secondary Al hydroxide minerals such as gibbsite suggested by the simulations. 552 553 554 555 556 557 558

The observed rise in sodium concentrations (Figs. 15c,f) could not be reproduced, and model results were found to be relatively insensitive to cation exchange capacity and exchange constants. Observed Fe concentrations are essentially undetected and are computed (not shown) to remains small with both Model 1 (in which essentially no pyrite dissolves) and Model 2 (in which pyrite is oxidized and Fe drops out of solution a goethite). The oxidation of pyrite in 559 560 561 562 563

Model 2 causes an increase in modeled S concentration consistent with experimental results, although reaching values only about half those observed (not shown). 564 565

It should be noted that model results are subject to large model input parameter uncertainties, and similarly the experimental data is likely affected by experimental and analytical error, together with uncertainties in redox conditions and possibly natural variations in clay sample mineralogy and composition. 566 567 568 569

#### **5.0 Conclusions** 570

Predicted mineralogical compositions at various depths based on data obtained from chemical compositional analyses by XRF also provide an understanding of the implications of rock-fluid interactions on a larger scale (reservoir-scale) observed in batch experiments. The following conclusions are therefore made from our experiments, models and analyses: 571 572 573 574

- pH buffering is significant for all rock types. The pH witnessed a significant recovery following commencement of reactions, especially for KCl and  $C_3H_{14}CINO$  fluids which were set to pH of 4 initially. This is believed to be the result of quick and significant dissolution of carbonates. Lower pH triggered high dissolution rates for the rocks and as pH increased, the rate of dissolution declined significantly, though for most cases dissolution still continued. 575 576 577 578 579 580
- Observed dissolved Si concentrations were much higher than the quartz solubility, suggesting that much of the Si originates from silica polymorphs more soluble than quartz and desorption from clay mineral exchange sites. 581 582 583
- KCl fluid was effective in stabilizing clay minerals. This was mainly achieved through cation exchanges between the fluid cations and cations located at exchange sites of clay minerals. The potassium cation acts to significantly stabilize the clay (illite).  $C_5H_{14}CINO$ and DI water fluids were less effective in stabilizing the clay minerals. 584 585 586 587
- The amount of clay mineral composition has an inverse relationship with dissolution of other minerals such as quartz, carbonates and pyrites. This may be due to the fact that clay minerals typically form a matrix in which grains of carbonate, pyrite, and quartz are imbedded thus clay reaction with fluids is predominant over these grains. The surface area of clay may also explain its predominance in interacting with fluid first. 588 589 590 591 592

• Rapid decline of Al and Mg elemental concentrations are yet to be fully characterized and will be addressed in subsequent experiments. Two schools of thought exist for this behavior: Precipitation of Al- and Mg-bearing minerals, or rapid exchange and adsorption onto clay surfaces and interlayers. 593 594 595 596

- In general, preliminary geochemical modeling investigations (Model 2) support the conclusions regarding mineral dissolution and precipitation reached from experimental observations, notably the dissolution of calcite and oxidation of pyrite in reacted shale samples, the likely presence of silica polymorphs such as opal, chalcedony or amorphous silica in these samples, and the depression of Al and Mg concentrations in solution by precipitation of secondary aluminosilicate phases. 597 598 599 600 601 602
- Based on an integration of implications of all results obtained from experiments and modelling, post-fracturing geochemical reactions portends two major adverse outcomes; clay fines migration and precipitation of new minerals in flow paths. These both have negative impacts on long term permeability of the reservoir. It is however certain from our study that KCl clay stabilizer will effectively prevent clay platelet de-flocculation and clay fines migration. 603 604 605 606 607 608

### **Acknowledgements** 609

The material is based on the work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Fossil Energy (Grant number: DE-FE0031776). Many thanks also go to Continental Resources, Inc. for the support of this work. We also acknowledge Chris Grider, Guofan Luo and Allan Katende for their support with during this study. Special thanks to the Barrier Materials and Geomimicry Lab for enabling us to conduct this research. 610 611 612 613 614

#### **References** 615

(1) Radonjic, M.; Luo, G.; Wang, Y.; Achang, M.; Cains, J.; Katende, A.; Puckette, J.; Grammer, M.; King, G. E. Integrated Microstructural Characterisation of Caney Shale, OK. In *Unconventional Resources Technology Conference*; Austin, Texas, 2020; pp 1–18. https://doi.org/10.15530/urtec-2020-2947. 616 617 618 619

- (2) Katende, A.; Rutqvist, J.; Benge, M.; Seyedolali, A.; Bunger, A.; Puckette, J. O.; Rhin, A.; Radonjic, M. Convergence of Micro-Geochemistry and Micro-Geomechanics towards Understanding Proppant Shale Rock Interaction: A Caney Shale Case Study in Southern Oklahoma, USA. *J. Nat. Gas Sci. Eng.* **2021**, *96*, 104296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jngse.2021.104296. 620 621 622 623 624
- (3) Wang, Y.; Luo, G.; Achang, M.; Cains, J.; Wethington, C.; Katende, A.; Grammer, G. M.; Puckette, J.; Pashin, J.; Castagna, M.; Chan, H.; King, G. E.; Radonjic, M. Multiscale Characterization of the Caney Shale — An Emerging Play in Oklahoma. *Midcont. Geosci.* **2021**, *2*, 33–53. https://doi.org/10.17161/mg.v2i.15911. 625 626 627 628
- (4) Benge, M.; Lu, Y.; Katende, A.; Rutqvist, J.; Crandall, D.; Haecker, A.; King, G.; Renk, J. B.; Radonjic, M.; Bunger, A. Connecting Geomechanical Properties with Potential for Proppant Embedment and Production Decline for the Emerging Caney Shale, Oklahoma; OnePetro, 2021. https://doi.org/10.15530/urtec-2021-5084. 629 630 631 632
- (5) Awejori, G. A.; Radonjic, M. Review of Geochemical and Geo-Mechanical Impact of Clay-Fluid Interactions Relevant to Hydraulic Fracturing. In *Hydraulic Fracturing*; Kenneth Imo-Imo Israel Eshiet, Ed.; IntechOpen, 2021. https://doi.org/10.5772/INTECHOPEN.98881. 633 634 635 636
- (6) Cardott, B. J. Oklahoma Shale Resource Plays. *Okahoma Geol. Notes* **2017**, No. April-June, 21–30. 637 638
- (7) Haluszczak, L. O.; Rose, A. W.; Kump, L. R. Geochemical Evaluation of Flowback Brine from Marcellus Gas Wells in Pennsylvania, USA. *Appl. Geochem.* **2013**, *28*, 55–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APGEOCHEM.2012.10.002. 639 640 641
- (8) Chen, H.; Carter, K. E. Characterization of the Chemicals Used in Hydraulic Fracturing Fluids for Wells Located in the Marcellus Shale Play. *J. Environ. Manage.* **2017**, *200*, 312–324. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JENVMAN.2017.05.069. 642 643 644
- (9) Harrison, A. L.; Jew, A. D.; Dustin, M. K.; Thomas, D. L.; Joe-Wong, C. M.; Bargar, J. R.; Johnson, N.; Brown, G. E.; Maher, K. Element Release and Reaction-Induced Porosity Alteration during Shale-Hydraulic Fracturing Fluid Interactions. *Appl. Geochem.* **2017**, *82*, 47–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APGEOCHEM.2017.05.001. 645 646 647 648
- (10) Zeng, L.; Lu, Y.; Al Maskari, N. S.; Chen, Y.; Hossain, M. M.; Saeedi, A.; Dautriat, J.; 649
- Xie, Q. Interpreting Micromechanics of Fluid-Shale Interactions with Geochemical Modelling and Disjoining Pressure: Implications for Calcite-Rich and Quartz-Rich Shales. *J. Mol. Liq.* **2020**, *319*, 114117. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLLIQ.2020.114117. 650 651 652
- (11) Olabode, A.; Bentley, L.; Radonjic, M. Shale Caprock Integrity under Carbon Sequestration Conditions. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **2012**, *1453* (1), 347. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4711198. 653 654 655
- (12) Olabode, A.; Radonjic, M. Geochemical Markers in Shale-CO2 Experiment at Core Scale. *Energy Procedia* **2017**, *114*, 3840–3854. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EGYPRO.2017.03.1516. 656 657 658
- (13) Wang, W.; Wei, W. Water Chemistry. In *Fluid Chemistry, Drilling and Completion*; Elsevier, 2022; pp 95–114. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-822721-3.00005-8. 659 660
- (14) Salles, F.; Beurroies, I.; Bildstein, O.; Jullien, M.; Raynal, J.; Denoyel, R.; Damme, H. Van. A Calorimetric Study of Mesoscopic Swelling and Hydration Sequence in Solid Na-Montmorillonite. *Appl. Clay Sci.* **2008**, *39* (3–4), 186–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2007.06.001. 661 662 663 664
- (15) Doostmohammadi, R.; Moosavi, M. Swelling of Weak Rocks, Effective Parameters and Controlling Methods. In *ISRM International Symposium - 5th Asian Rock Mechanics Symposium 2008, ARMS 2008*; 2008; pp 247–253. 665 666 667
- (16) Botan, A.; Rotenberg, B.; Marry, V.; Turq, P.; Noetinger, B. Carbon Dioxide in Montmorillonite Clay Hydrates: Thermodynamics, Structure, and Transport from Molecular Simulation. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2010**, *114* (35), 14962–14969. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp1043305. 668 669 670 671
- (17) Warr, L.; Berger, J. Hydration of Bentonite in Natural Waters: Application of "Confined Volume" Wet-Cell X-Ray Diffractometry. *Phys. Chem. Earth* **2007**, *32* (1–7), 247–258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2006.02.048. 672 673 674
- (18) Patel, A.; Stamatakis, E.; Young, S.; Friedheim, J. Advances in Inhibitive Water-Based Drilling Fluids - Can They Replace Oil-Based Muds? In *Proceedings - SPE International Symposium on Oilfield Chemistry*; OnePetro, 2007; pp 614–621. https://doi.org/10.2118/106476-ms. 675 676 677 678
- (19) Bedrikovetsky, P.; Zeinijahromi, A.; Siqueira, F. D.; Furtado, C. A.; de Souza, A. L. S. 679

- Particle Detachment Under Velocity Alternation During Suspension Transport in Porous Media. *Transp. Porous Media* **2012**, *91* (1), 173–197. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11242-011- 9839-1. 680 681 682
- (20) Knappett, J. A.; Craig, R. F. *Craig's Soil Mechanics, Eighth Edition*; Spon Press,: Abingdon, Oxon ;;New York :, 2012. https://doi.org/10.1201/b12841. 683 684
- (21) El-Monier, I. A.; Nasr-El-Din, H. A. Mitigation of Fines Migration Using a New Clay Stabilizer: A Mechanistic Study. In *Society of Petroleum Engineers - 9th European Formation Damage Conference 2011*; 2011; Vol. 2, pp 1162–1173. https://doi.org/10.2118/144180-ms. 685 686 687 688
- (22) Vidic, R. D.; Brantley, S. L.; Vandenbossche, J. M.; Yoxtheimer, D.; Abad, J. D. Impact of Shale Gas Development on Regional Water Quality. *Science* **2013**, *340* (6134), 1235009. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1235009. 689 690 691
- (23) Paronish, T.; Schmitt, R.; Moore, J.; Crandall, D.; Rihn, A.; Renk, J.; Doughty, C.; Bunger, A.; Wang, Y.; Katende, A.; Seyedolali, A.; Puckette, J.; Radonjic, M. *Computed Tomography Scanning and Geophysical Measurements of the Caney Shale Formation from the Tomaney #1-35-34-27 Well*; 2021. https://doi.org/10.2172/1812176. 692 693 694 695
- (24) Paronish, T.; Mackey, P.; Crandall, D.; Moore, J.; Brown, S.; T., C.; Martin, K. Computed Tomography Scanning and Geophysical Measurements of Core from the Marcellus Shale Energy and Environment Laboratory. *Natl. Energy Technol. Lab.* **2018**, No. (Netl-Trs-22- 2018), 58. https://doi.org/10.18141/1488537. 696 697 698 699
- (25) Xu, T.; Sonnenthal, E.; Spycher, N.; Zheng, L. *TOUGHREACT V3.0-OMP Reference Manual: A Parallel Simulation Program for Non-Isothermal Multiphase Geochemical Reactive Transport: V3-OMP Reference Manual Authors: Tianfu Xu, Eric Sonnenthal, Nicolas Spycher, and Liange Zheng*; California, 2014. 700 701 702 703
- (26) Xu, T.; Sonnenthal, E.; Spycher, N.; Zheng, L. *TOUGHREACT V3.0-OMP Sample Problems: V3-OMP Sample Problem Authors: Tianfu Xu, Eric Sonnenthal, Nicolas Spycher, and Liange Zheng Toughreact V3-OMP Code Authors*; California, 2014. 704 705 706
- (27) Hingston, F. J. Reactions between Boron and Clays. *Soil Res.* **1964**, *2* (1), 83–95. https://doi.org/10.1071/SR9640083. 707 708
- (28) Carmichael, R. S. *Practical Handbook of Physical Properties of Rocks and Minerals*, 1st 709

ed.; Carmichael, R. S., Ed.; CRC Press Revivals, 1988. https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203710968. 710 711

- (29) Edgin, M. G.; Medina-Rodriguez, B.; Kaszuba, J. P.; Dewey, J. C.; Alvarado, V. Geochemical Reactions and Alteration of Pore Architecture in Saturated Shale after Injection of Stimulation Fluid. *Fuel* **2021**, *303*, 120815. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2021.120815. 712 713 714 715
- (30) Bratcher, J. C.; Kaszuba, J. P.; Herz-Thyhsen, R. J.; Dewey, J. C. Ionic Strength and PH Effects on Water-Rock Interaction in an Unconventional Siliceous Reservoir: On the Use of Formation Water in Hydraulic Fracturing. *Energy Fuels* **2021**, *35* (22), 18414– 18429.https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.ENERGYFUELS.1C02322/ASSET/IMAGES/ 716 717 718 719
- MEDIUM/EF1C02322\_M001.GIF. 720
- (31) Ellis, B. R.; Fitts, J. P.; Bromhal, G. S.; McIntyre, D. L.; Tappero, R.; Peters, C. A. Dissolution-Driven Permeability Reduction of a Fractured Carbonate Caprock. *Environ. Eng. Sci.* **2013**, *30* (4), 187–193. https://doi.org/10.1089/ees.2012.0337. 721 722 723
- (32) Nogues, J. P.; Fitts, J. P.; Celia, M. A.; Peters, C. A. Permeability Evolution Due to Dissolution and Precipitation of Carbonates Using Reactive Transport Modeling in Pore Networks. *Water Resour. Res.* **2013**, *49* (9), 6006–6021. https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20486. 724 725 726 727
- (33) Liang, F.; Zhang, J.; Liu, H. H.; Bartko, K. M. Multiscale Experimental Studies on Interactions between Aqueous-Based Fracturing Fluids and Tight Organic-Rich Carbonate Source Rocks. In *SPE Reservoir Evaluation and Engineering*; 2019; Vol. 22, pp 402–417. https://doi.org/10.2118/192411-PA. 728 729 730 731
- (34) Marcon, V.; Joseph, C.; Carter, K. E.; Hedges, S. W.; Lopano, C. L.; Guthrie, G. D.; Hakala, J. A. Experimental Insights into Geochemical Changes in Hydraulically Fractured Marcellus Shale. *Appl. Geochem.* **2017**, *76*, 36–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2016.11.005. 732 733 734 735
- (35) Pearce, J. K.; Turner, L.; Pandey, D. Experimental and Predicted Geochemical Shale-Water Reactions: Roseneath and Murteree Shales of the Cooper Basin. *Int. J. Coal Geol.* **2018**, *187*, 30–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COAL.2017.12.008. 736 737 738
- (36) Fazeli, H.; Vandeginste, V.; Rabbani, A.; Babaei, M.; Muljadi, B. Pore-Scale Modeling of 739

740





# **Table 3. Minerals considered in the TOUGHREACT simulations for the High Clay (HC) sample.** 761 762





764



Fig. 1: Geological map of Oklahoma, showing SCOOP area (Red ellipsoid) and locations where Caney Wells were drilled (Red Dot within ellipsoid) (Modified from Cardott, 2013)



**ria n**

**ambria n**

770 771 772

Fig. 2: Stratigraphic Column showing Caney Shale position relative to other Formations in the Arkoma Basin.

Lower

Upper

Lower

Lower

Upper

**Formation** 

Senora Formation Staurt Formation Thurman Formation Boggy formation Bluejacket Formation Savanna Formation **McAlester** Formation **Hartshorne** Formation

Formation

Springer Group/Union Valley Formation

Woodford Shale

Hunton Group

Simpson Group

Arbuckle Group

Upper | Sylvan Shale

Middle Viola Group

769

410Ma

444Ma

485Ma

541Ma



Fig. 3. (a-c) Variance of pH with time for different fluids: **left:** High Quartz (HQ). **middle:** Moderate Quartz Carbonate and Clay (MQ). **right:** High Clay (HC). (d-f) Variance of pH with time for different rocks in different water types: **left:** DI water (DI). **middle:** potassium chloride (KCl). **right:** choline chloride (CCl). 



- Fig. 4. (a-c) Variance of Ca concentration with time for different fluids: **left:** High Quartz (HQ). **middle:** Moderate
- Quartz Carbonate and Clay (MQ). **right:** High Clay (HC). (d-f) Variance of Ca concentration with time for different
- rocks in different water types: **left:** DI water (DI). **middle:** potassium chloride (KCl). **right:** choline chloride (CCl).



Fig. 5. (a-c) Variance of Si concentration with time for different fluids: **left:** High Quartz (HQ). **middle:** Moderate Quartz Carbonate and Clay (MQ). **right:** High Clay (HC). (d-f) Variance of Si concentration with time for different rocks in different water types: **left:** DI water (DI). **middle:** potassium chloride (KCl)**. right:** choline chloride (CCl). 



- Fig. 6. (a-c) Variance of Al concentration with time for different fluids: **left:** High Quartz (HQ). **middle:** Moderate
- Quartz Carbonate and Clay (MQ). **right:** High Clay (HC). (d-f) Variance of Al concentration with time for different
- rocks in different water types: **left:** DI water (DI). **middle:** potassium chloride (KCl)**. right:** choline chloride (CCl).
- 



Fig. 7. (a-c) Variance of S concentration with time for different fluids: **left:** High Quartz (HQ). **middle:** Moderate Quartz Carbonate and Clay (MQ). **right:** High Clay (HC). (d-f) Variance of S concentration with time for different rocks in different water types: **left:** DI water (DI). **middle:** potassium chloride (KCl). **right:** choline chloride (CCl). 





Fig. 8. (a-c) Variance of B concentration with time for different fluids: **left:** High Quartz (HQ). **middle:** Moderate Quartz Carbonate and Clay (MQ)**. right:** High Clay (HC). (d-f) Variance of B concentration with time for different rocks in different water types: **left:** DI water (DI). **middle:** potassium chloride (KCl). **right:** choline chloride (CCl). 



802

Fig. 9. (a-c) Rate of Ca concentration change with time in fluids (DI, KCl, CCl) for different rock compositions: **left:** High Quartz (HQ). **middle:** Moderate Quartz Carbonate and Clay (MQ). **right:** High Clay (HC). (d-f) Rate of Si concentration change with time in fluids (DI, KCl, CCl) for different rock compositions in different water types: **left:** High Quartz (HQ). **middle:** Moderate Quartz Carbonate and Clay (MQ). **right:** High Clay (HC). (g-i) Rate of B concentration change with time in fluids (DI, KCl, CCl) for different rock compositions: **left:** High Quartz (HQ). **middle:** Moderate Quartz Carbonate and Clay (MQ). **right:** High Clay (HC). (j-l) Rate of S concentration change with time in the three fluids (DI, KCl, CCl) for different rock compositions: **left:** High Quartz (HQ). **middle:** Moderate Quartz Carbonate and Clay (MQ). **right:** High Clay (HC). 803 804 805 806 807 808 809 810



Fig. 10. XRF elemental concentration graph for entire 650ft core retrieved from Caney Shale 



Fig. 11. Cross-plot of silica (quartz composition) versus aluminum (clay composition) based on results from XRF measurements. Blue points are carbonates, gold points as clay lean, and gray points as clay rich. 

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 





# 

Fig. 12. Corroboration of CT scan and XRF lithofacies generated using cutoffs on right side of figure as well as 

TOC, XRF components, Si/Al (with detrital cutoff of greater than 4 for biogenic and/or eolian Si). 



<b>Reservoir 1</b>
<b>Ductile 1</b>
<b>Reservoir 2</b>
Ductile 2
Reservoir 3

Fig. 13. Cross-plot of silicon (quartz composition) versus Al (clay composition) with the red line representing the 835

sedimentation line and the colored dots represent TOC (wt%), the blue line indicates the "clay" cutoff line. 836

837



Fig. 14. Comparison of model (lines) and experimental (symbols) results for the High Clay (HC) case in KCl solutions with Model 1 (a,b,c) and Model 2 (d,e,f) for pH, Si and Ca. 



Fig. 15. Comparison of model (lines) and experimental (symbols) results for the High Clay (HC) case in KCl solutions with Model 1 (a,b,c) and Model 2 (d,e,f) for Mg, Al and Na. 

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
-