# **UC Berkeley UC Berkeley Previously Published Works**

### **Title**

Self-Assembly of Two-Dimensional Perovskite Nanosheet Building Blocks into Ordered Ruddlesden–Popper Perovskite Phase

**Permalink** <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/743964fw>

**Journal** Journal of the American Chemical Society, 141(33)

**ISSN** 0002-7863

### **Authors**

Liu, Yong Siron, Martin Lu, Dylan [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/743964fw#author)

**Publication Date** 2019-08-21

### **DOI**

10.1021/jacs.9b06889

Peer reviewed



Communication <pubs.acs.org/JACS>

## <sup>1</sup> Self-Assembly of Two-Dimensional Perovskite Nanosheet Building <sup>2</sup> Blocks into Ordered Ruddlesden−Popper Perovskite Phase

3 Yong Liu,<sup>†</sup> Martin Siron,<sup>‡</sup> Dylan Lu,<sup>†</sup> Jingjing [Ya](#page-4-0)ng,<sup>†,§</sup> Roberto dos [Rei](#page-4-0)s,<sup>∥</sup> Fan Cui,<sup>†</sup> Mengyu Gao,<sup>‡</sup>  $\frac{1}{4}$  Minliang Lai,<sup>†</sup> Jia Lin,<sup>†</sup> [Qia](#page-4-0)o Kong,<sup>† T</sup>eng Lei,<sup>†</sup> Joohoon Kang,<sup>†,⊥,#,¶</sup> Jianbo Jin,<sup>†</sup> Jim Ciston,<sup>∥</sup> s and Peidong Yang[\\*](#page-4-0), †, ‡, §, ¶

 $6$  <sup>†</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720, United States

 $^{\rm 7}$   $^{\rm 4}$ Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720, United States

 $^{\circ}$ 8 Materials Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, United States

9 ∥ National Center for Electron Microscopy, Molecular Foundry, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, <sup>10</sup> United States

<sup>11</sup> <sup>⊥</sup>Center for NanoMedicine, Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Seoul 03722, Korea

<sup>#</sup>Y-IBS Institute, Yonsei University, Seoul 03722, Korea

<sup>13</sup> ¶ Kavli Energy NanoScience Institute, Berkeley, California 94720, United States

14 **S** [Supporting Information](#page-4-0)

 ABSTRACT: The self-assembly of nanoparticles, a process whereby nanocrystal building blocks organize 17 into even more ordered superstructures, is of great interest to nanoscience. Here we report the layer-by-layer assembly of 2D perovskite nanosheet building blocks. Structural analysis reveals that the assembled superlattice nanocrystals matche with the layered Ruddlesden−Popper 22 perovskite phase. This assembly proves reversible, as these superlattice nanocrystals can be reversibly exfoliated back into their building blocks via sonication. This study demonstrates the opportunity to further understand and exploit thermodynamics to increase order in a system of 27 nanoparticles and to study emergent optical properties of a superlattice from 2D, weakly attracted, perovskite 29 building blocks.

  $\sum$  ompared to bulk perovskites, quantum confined perov-<br> $31$  skites offer significant improvements, including stronger skites offer significant improvements, including stronger exciton binding energies, increased photoluminescence quan-33 tum yield, longer photon lifetime and increased stability.<sup>1−[8](#page-4-0)</sup> Over the years, various groups have tuned the dimensionality, phase, and composition of perovskite nanocrystals. Typically, 36 we can synthesize perovskites from 0D quantum dots,  $9\text{ 1D}$  $9\text{ 1D}$  nanowires,<sup>10,[11](#page-5-0)</sup> to 2D nanosheets.<sup>[6](#page-4-0),[12,13](#page-5-0)</sup> We can tune the 38 composition of ABX<sub>3</sub> perovskite by substituting various X- anions, A- and B-site cations.<sup>[14,15](#page-5-0)</sup> We can also tune the arrangement of atoms in a perovskite crystal by tuning the 41 phase,  $16,17$  $16,17$  due to the rich phase transitions available in the halide perovskite system. These are all ways to engineer and tune the optical properties at the atomic length scale. However, by systematically arranging the connection between the individual crystals themselves, we gain access to another level of tunability at a greater length scale. It is widely reported that superlattice nanocrystals offer emerging and interesting properties that are not found in their individual building

blocks.<sup>[7,](#page-4-0)[18,19](#page-5-0)</sup> Typical strategies for creating such layered 49 superlattices rely on complex methods, such as DNA grafting, 50 direct layer-by-layer mechanical stacking, or liquid−air and <sup>51</sup> liquid–liquid interface techniques.<sup>[20](#page-5-0)−[25](#page-5-0)</sup> A solvent-evaporation-  $52$ based self-assembly process can produce high uniformity <sup>53</sup> layered superlattices, but often yields an irreversible <sup>54</sup> assembly.<sup>[26,27](#page-5-0)</sup> In previous work, the intercalation of 2D sheets 55 of metal-halide octahedral  $[MX_6]^{4-}$  atomic building blocks 56 with alkylammonium cations offered an approach to produce 57 long-range ordered, well-crystalline 2D layered superlattices.<sup>[28](#page-5-0)</sup> 58 These layered structures typically formed Ruddlesden−Popper <sup>59</sup>  $(RP)$  phase, an ideal class of perovskite analogues. RP crystals  $60$ are of special interest due to their intrinsic confinement of the <sup>61</sup> perovskite units and their increased stability.<sup>[29](#page-5-0)−[31](#page-5-0)</sup>·  $62$ 

Currently, the most widely adopted method for the <sup>63</sup> preparation of 2D layered perovskites involves a solution- <sup>64</sup> processed crystallization.<sup>[32](#page-5-0)</sup> This conventional crystallization  $65$ technique often relies on either the slow cooling of <sup>66</sup> concentrated solutions or quick solvent evaporation, which <sup>67</sup> makes it difficult to engineer the shape, size, lattice structures <sup>68</sup> and chemical compositions at the nanoscale level. Here, we <sup>69</sup> address this challenge by synthesizing 2D perovskite nano- <sup>70</sup> sheets of precise thickness, which assemble, through a layer by <sup>71</sup> layer process, into 2D layered RP phase superlattice nano- <sup>72</sup> crystals with composition of  $(C_8H_{17}NH_3)_2Cs_{n-1}Pb_nBr_{3n+1}$  73 (where *n* represents the number of  $[PbBr_6]^{4-}$  octahedral 74 layers). [Figure 1](#page-2-0)A schematically illustrates the layer-by-layer 75 f1 self-assembly process, from individual perovskite nanosheets <sup>76</sup> with an alkylammonium ligand surface to many superlattices 77 matching the RP phase. The nanosheet building blocks were <sup>78</sup> synthesized by a modified, ligand-assisted, air-free, hot- <sup>79</sup> injection method<sup>[9](#page-4-0)</sup> [\(Figures S1 and S2\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf), which is similar to  $so$ the synthesis reported by Manna et al. $^{12}$  $^{12}$  $^{12}$  81

Received: June 28, 2019 Published: August 6, 2019

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 1. (A) Schematic illustration of the layer-by-layer, self-assembly of  $C_8H_{17}NH_3$ -capped  $CsPb_2Br_7$  nanosheets into layered  $(C_8H_{17}NH_3)$ , CsPb<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>7</sub> superlattices. The superlattice nanocrystals can be reversibly exfoliated back into monolayer building blocks by sonication in toluene. (B) Initial C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>-capped CsPb<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>7</sub> nanosheets. (C, D) Superlattice intermediates. (E) Final (C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CsPb<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>7</sub> superlattice nanocrystals. Scale bar, 500 nm.

 To analyze the self-assembly process, after synthesis, we took transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images at different stages of the process (Figure 1B−D and [Figures S3](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf)−6). 85 Initially, the  $C_8H_{17}NH_3$ -capped  $CsPb_2Br_7$  building blocks were well dispersed in hexane, with limited assembled superlattices (Figure 1B and [Figure S3](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf)). After 20 min, many of the crystals had increased contrast, indicating increased thickness of the superlattices (Figure 1C−D and [Figures S4](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf)−7). Tapping- mode atomic force microscopy (AFM) images ([Figure S8](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf)) taken after 30 min show that the thickness of these superlattices, taken as the assembly progressed, varied from three (about 6.3 nm), to eight (about 16.8 nm) and occasionally to tens of layers (25 layers, about 54.3 nm). As the self-assembly progressed, and the stacking of the building blocks continued, individual building blocks gradually decreased, forming many superlattices (Figure 1E). The overall thickness of the final nanocrystals superlattices were in the range of 100−400 nm after 4 h of self-assembly. Some TEM images taken during the assembly process reveal interlayer slipping between the periodic building blocks, which indicates the weakness of the force between the layers ([Figure S9\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf).

 The square nanosheet building block has a lateral size of f2 105 around ∼500 nm ([Figure 2A](#page-3-0)). Energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis of single nanosheets [\(Figure S10A,B\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf) shows an atomic ratio of Cs:Pb:Br of approximately 1:1.9:7.2. A high resolution TEM (HR-TEM) image of a single monolayer reveals a single- crystalline structure matching with an orthorhombic crystal phase [\(Figure 2B](#page-3-0) and [Figure S11\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf). The best matching from a series of simulated images is overlaid in [Figure 2](#page-3-0)B, showing agreement with the experimental HR-TEM images. The average in-plane lattice constants of the monolayer were 114 calculated to be  $a \approx 8.2$  Å and  $b \approx 8.4$  Å from selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) ([Figure 2C](#page-3-0)). The well-dispersed monolayers in hexane exhibit a strong exciton absorption peak at 427 nm (inset of [Figure 2](#page-3-0)D). Confocal photoluminescence (PL) of a single monolayer showed an emission peak centered at 431 nm ([Figure 2](#page-3-0)D). The corresponding height profile

obtained by tapping-mode AFM confirms the monolayers have <sup>120</sup> a thickness around 2.2 nm ([Figure 2](#page-3-0)E,F and [Figure S10C,D](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf)). <sup>121</sup>

After the self-assembly process is complete, uniform <sup>122</sup> superlattice nanocrystals with an average lateral size of around <sup>123</sup> 500 nm were formed [\(Figure 3](#page-3-0)A and [Figure S12\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf). After 124 f3 examining more than 10 individual assembled superlattices by <sup>125</sup> EDX analysis ([Figure S13](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf) and [Table S1](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf)), we found that the <sup>126</sup> superlattices have an atomic ratio of Cs:Pb:Br of approximately <sup>127</sup> 1:2:7. This ratio matches well with the 2D layered <sup>128</sup>  $(C_8H_{17}NH_3)_2CsPb_2Br_7$  RP phase crystal stoichiometry. The 129 SAED images taken on a single nanocrystals superlattice <sup>130</sup> ([Figure 3](#page-3-0)B) confirm a crystalline structure with in-plane lattice <sup>131</sup> constants of  $a \approx 8.1$  Å and  $b \approx 8.2$  Å, which are slightly smaller 132 than those of the nanosheets measured by SAED. Powder X- <sup>133</sup> ray diffraction (XRD) measurement of a drop-casted film of <sup>134</sup> assembled crystals show characteristic periodic diffraction <sup>135</sup> peaks of textured RP crystals: the (002), (004), (006) and <sup>136</sup> (008) diffractions ([Figure S14\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf). Because of the nature of the <sup>137</sup> textured sample, it is challenging to clarify the crystal structure <sup>138</sup> of the superlattice. To further confirm the detailed crystal <sup>139</sup> structure, we grounded the assembled crystals into a powder <sup>140</sup> and loaded them on a glass substrate for grazing incidence <sup>141</sup> wide angle X-ray scattering (GIWAXS) measurements [\(Figure](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf) <sup>142</sup> [S15\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf). For comparison, we also synthesized the micron-sized <sup>143</sup>  $(C_8H_{17}NH_3)_2CsPb_2Br_7$  RP phase single crystals by solution- 144 processed crystallization with the same organic ligands and <sup>145</sup> inorganic perovskite precursors [\(Figures S16 and S17,](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf) crystal <sup>146</sup> data summarized in [Tables S2](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf)−4). [Figure 3](#page-3-0)C shows that the <sup>147</sup> in-plane diffraction peaks of the assembled superlattice <sup>148</sup> nanocrystals match well with the crystal structure calculated <sup>149</sup> from the  $(C_8H_{17}NH_3)$ <sub>2</sub>  $CsPb_2Br_7$  RP phase micrometer-sized 150 single crystals. The diffraction peaks from the powder XRD <sup>151</sup> matches the d-spacing of the  $(C_8H_{17}NH_3)_2CsPb_2Br_7$  RP 152 nanocrystals captured with GIWAXS [\(Figure S15\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf). Compared <sup>153</sup> to the nanosheet's emission peak at 431 nm, the superlattice <sup>154</sup> nanocrystals show a slightly red-shifted emission peak at 440 <sup>155</sup> nm ([Figure 3D](#page-3-0)). Our previous work demonstrated that such <sup>156</sup>

#### Journal of the American Chemical Society Communication Communication

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Figure 2. (A) TEM image of  $C_8H_{17}NH_3$ -capped  $C_8Pb_2Br_7$  nanosheets. Scale bar, 100 nm. (B) HR-TEM image of a single  $C_8H_{17}NH_3$ capped  $CsPb<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>7</sub>$  nanosheet. Inset of panel B shows simulated TEM image. Scale bar, 1 nm. (C) SAED pattern taken from a single  $C_8H_{17}NH_3$ -capped  $CsPb_2Br_7$  nanosheet. Scale bar, 5 nm<sup>-1</sup>. (D) Confocal PL of a single  $C_8H_{17}NH_3$ -capped  $C_8Pb_2Br_7$  nanosheet. Inset of panel D shows optical absorption of  $C_8H_{17}NH_3$ -capped  $CsPb_2Br_7$ nanosheets dispersed in hexane solution. (E) AFM image. Scale bar, 500 nm. (F) Height profile of the  $C_8H_{17}NH_3$ -capped  $CsPb_2Br_7$ nanosheets.

<sup>157</sup> slight PL red-shift could be induced by the lattice contraction 158 upon assembly. $13$ 

 Next, we used in situ synchrotron-based small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS), in combination with ex situ small-angle XRD to monitor the self-assembly kinetics. Directly after synthesis, we loaded the fresh solution into a 2 mm capillary tube; we then took a SAXS scan periodically. At first, a weak scattering pattern was observed. As the reaction time 165 progressed past 40 min, the weak diffraction peak at  $q_{(002)}$  = 166 0.289 Å<sup>-1</sup> increased (Figure 4A). The  $q_{(002)}$  matches with the (002) peak from the GIWAXS and powder XRD. The increased intensity of the (002) peak indicates that as the self-assembly progresses, the building blocks became more ordered and stacked face-to-face, along the [00l] crystallo- graphic direction. After 90 min, higher order diffraction planes appeared (Figure 4A). From the (002) and (004) peaks, we can confirm the lamellar nature of the superlattices, with a lattice parameter of 2.2 nm, matching the thickness of the ligand covered building blocks. Figure 4B shows the peak intensity evolution of the (002) and (004) diffraction as a function of growth time. The strong periodicity along the [00l] 178 direction from in situ SAXS and ex situ XRD indicates a highly 179 ordered lamellar structure. Since we performed the in situ



Figure 3. (A) SEM image of the 2D  $(C_8H_{17}NH_3)_2CsPb_2Br_7$ superlattice nanocrystals, Scale bar, 2  $\mu$ m. (B) SAED pattern taken from a single  $(C_8H_{17}NH_3)_2CsPb_2Br_7$  nanocrystal. Scale bar, 2 nm<sup>-1</sup> . (C) Comparison of wide-angle XRD pattern of  $(C_8H_{17}NH_3)_2CsPb_2Br_7$  nanocrystals with  $(C_8H_{17}NH_3)_2CsPb_2Br_7$ single crystals. (D) Confocal PL comparison of a single  $(C_8H_{17}NH_3)$ <sub>2</sub>CsPb<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>7</sub> superlattice nanocrystal and a single nanosheet building block. Inset shows confocal mapping of a single  $(C_8H_{17}NH_3)_2CsPb_2Br_7$  superlattice nanocrystal.



Figure 4. (A) In situ circularly averaged SAXS patterns taken at different self-assembly stages in hexane. (B) In situ SAXS diffraction peak intensity of the (002) and (004) reflection as a function of selfassembly time. (C) In situ UV−vis spectrum taken at different selfassembly stages in hexane. Inset shows evolution of the absorption peak intensity as a function of self-assembly time. (D) Ex situ XRD intensity of (002) diffraction peak as a function of self-assembly time during self-assembly and disassembly cycles.

SAXS experiment directly after synthesis, there inevitably exists <sup>180</sup> other nanoparticles (NP) in the solution. We attribute the  $q$ - 181 vector at  $0.228$  Å<sup>-1</sup> to the assembly of these coexisting 182 nanoparticles [\(Figure S18](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf)). These nanoparticles can generally <sup>183</sup> be separated through centrifugation. The separated through centrifugation.

The *in situ* UV-vis spectrum complements the *in situ* SAXS 185 and ex situ XRD data, showing similar kinetics (Figure 4C,D). <sup>186</sup> Within the first 60 min, the absorption intensity at 427 nm did <sup>187</sup>

<span id="page-4-0"></span> not change much. The lack of change in attenuation of the light indicates that the nanosheets stay at relatively similar concentration, while suspended in hexane. After 60 min, as shown in the inset of [Figure 4C](#page-3-0), the absorption intensity begins to decrease and the absorption position slightly red- shifts (from 427 to 429 nm) as a function of self-assembly time. The decrease in attenuation of the light indicates that the nanosheet concentration begins to decrease in solution. We can attribute this decrease in concentration to assembled crystals precipitating out of the solution. The settling down of these crystals is likely due to the increasing mass and decreasing surface area with ligand coverage as the assembly progresses. At the final stage, the weak absorption intensity at 429 nm remained unchanged for a continuous 4 h (inset of [Figure 4](#page-3-0)C), indicating that the assembly has plateaued, and the process is in equilibrium.

 A unique aspect of this colloidal system is the reversibility of the assembly, which has yet to be achieved with alkylammo- nium hybrid RP phase, or solvent-evaporation and Langmuir− Blodgett assembly techniques. The weak van der Waals forces between the building blocks allows for separation using mechanical force. By sonication in a weak polar solvent, the assembled superlattice can be disassembled back into its building blocks. We have tried several different solvents and found that sonication in toluene works the best. Under sonication, for around 15 to 25 min, the building blocks begin to detach from the assembled superlattice [\(Figure S19\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf). We do note that at intense powers, sonication can break the monolayers due to the soft nature of the halide perovskite lattice. We can see signs of such structural damage in [Figure](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf) [S19E](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf). Within 25 min, most superlattice are exfoliated back into their individual building blocks. The disassembled nanosheets were isolated from toluene by centrifugation, and then redispersed in hexane. Intriguingly, as shown in [Figure 4](#page-3-0)D and [Figure S20,](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf) these disassembled building blocks will reassemble back into a similar superlattice structure within 120 min, and such self/dis-assembly process can be well recycled for three times in the experiment.

 The layer-by-layer self-assembly process exhibited in this system is a simple yet robust approach to generate large-scale 2D layered halide perovskite superlattices with atomic scale precision. Furthermore, the reversibility of this system gives us a way to systematically test properties that arise as a result of a more ordered system. This system may offer a general pathway for synthesizing other 2D layered superlattice nanomaterials for novel electronic and photonic applications.

#### 234 **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

#### $235$  **S** Supporting Information

<sup>236</sup> The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the <sup>237</sup> [ACS Publications website](http://pubs.acs.org) at DOI: [10.1021/jacs.9b06889](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/jacs.9b06889).

<sup>238</sup> Detailed experimental procedures, supporting results <sup>239</sup> and additional figures ([PDF](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.9b06889/suppl_file/ja9b06889_si_001.pdf))

#### 240 | AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### 241 Corresponding Author

<sup>242</sup> \*[p\\_yang@berkeley.edu](mailto:p_yang@berkeley.edu)

- 243 ORCID<sup>®</sup>
- <sup>244</sup> Yong Liu: [0000-0002-1469-0757](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1469-0757)
- <sup>245</sup> Jingjing Yang: [0000-0002-1192-7368](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1192-7368)
- <sup>246</sup> Roberto dos Reis: [0000-0002-6011-6078](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6011-6078)
- <sup>247</sup> Teng Lei: [0000-0003-0356-1076](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0356-1076)



#### The authors declare no competing financial interest. <sup>251</sup>

#### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS 252

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, <sup>253</sup> Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Materials <sup>254</sup> Sciences and Engineering Division, under Contract No. DE- <sup>255</sup> AC02-05-CH11231 within the Physical Chemistry of In- <sup>256</sup> organic Nanostructures Program (KC3103). GISAXS and <sup>257</sup> GIWAXS measurements were carried out at beamline 7.3.3 at <sup>258</sup> the Advanced Light Source, supported by the U.S. Department <sup>259</sup> of Energy. TEM characterization work at the Molecular <sup>260</sup> Foundry was supported by the Office of Science, Office of <sup>261</sup> Basic Energy Sciences, of the U.S. Department of Energy under <sup>262</sup> Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. R. dR. and J.C. acknowl- <sup>263</sup> edge support from the U.S. Department of Energy Early Career <sup>264</sup> Research Program. Y.L. acknowledges the fellowship support <sup>265</sup> from the Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemistry for <sup>266</sup> Energy Materials (iChEM) and the International Postdoctoral <sup>267</sup> Exchange Fellowship Program (No. 20160051). M.S. acknowl- <sup>268</sup> edges fellowship support from the National Science <sup>269</sup> Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program. J.K. also <sup>270</sup> acknowledges IBS Global Postdoctoral Fellowship Award <sup>271</sup> (IBS-R026-D1). We thank C. Zhu for the help with GIWAXS <sup>272</sup> and fruitful discussions. We thank Y. Zhang and S. Wang for <sup>273</sup> the AFM characterization. 274 ■ REFERENCES 275

(1) Wang, N.; Cheng, L.; Ge, R.; Zhang, S.; Miao, Y.; Zou, W.; Yi, 276 C.; Sun, Y.; Cao, Y.; Yang, R.; Wei, Y.; Guo, Q.; Ke, Y.; Yu, M.; Jin, Y.; 277 Liu, Y.; Ding, Q.; Di, D.; Yang, L.; Xing, G.; Tian, H.; Jin, C.; Gao, F.; 278 Friend, R. H.; Wang, J.; Huang, W. Perovskite light-emitting diodes 279 based on solution-processed self-organized multiple quantum wells. 280 Nat. Photonics 2016, 10, 699–704. 281

(2) Swarnkar, A.; Marshall, A. R.; Sanehira, E. M.; Chernomordik, B. 282 D.; Moore, D. T.; Christians, J. A.; Chakrabarti, T.; Luther, J. M. 283 Quantum dot-induced phase stabilization of alpha-CsPbI<sub>3</sub> perovskite 284 for high-efficiency photovoltaics. Science 2016, 354, 92−95. 285

(3) Grancini, G.; Nazeeruddin, M. K. Dimensional tailoring of 286 hybrid perovskites for photovoltaics. Nature Rev. Mater. 2019, 4, 4− 287 22. 288

(4) Yang, S.; Niu, W. X.; Wang, A. L.; Fan, Z. X.; Chen, B.; Tan, C. 289 L.; Lu, Q. P.; Zhang, H. Ultrathin two-dimensional organic-inorganic 290 hybrid perovskite nanosheets with bright, tunable photoluminescence 291 and high stability. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 4252−4255. 292

(5) Akkerman, Q. A.; Raino, G.; Kovalenko, M. V.; Manna, L. ̀ 293 Genesis, challenges and opportunities for colloidal lead halide 294 perovskite nanocrystals. Nat. Mater. 2018, 17, 394−405. 295

(6) Bekenstein, Y.; Koscher, B. A.; Eaton, S. W.; Yang, P.; Alivisatos, 296 A. P. Highly luminescent colloidal nanoplates of perovskite cesium 297 lead halide and their oriented assemblies. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 298 16008−16011. 299

(7) Raino, G.; Becker, M. A.; Bodnarchuk, M. I.; Mahrt, R. F.; ̀ 300 Kovalenko, M. V.; Stöferle, T. Superfluorescence from lead halide 301 perovskite quantum dot superlattices. Nature 2018, 563, 671−675. 302 (8) Imran, M.; Caligiuri, V.; Wang, M.; Goldoni, L.; Prato, M.; 303 Krahne, R.; De Trizio, L.; Manna, L. Benzoyl halides as alternative 304 precursors for the colloidal synthesis of lead-based halide perovskite 305 nanocrystals. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 2656−2664. 306

(9) Protesescu, L.; Yakunin, S.; Bodnarchuk, M. I.; Krieg, F.; 307 Caputo, R.; Hendon, C. H.; Yang, R. X.; Walsh, A.; Kovalenko, M. V. 308 Nanocrystals of cesium lead halide perovskites (CsPbX<sub>3</sub>, X= Cl, Br, 309

- <span id="page-5-0"></span> (10) Zhang, D.; Eaton, S. W.; Yu, Y.; Dou, L.; Yang, P. Solution- phase synthesis of cesium lead halide perovskite nanowires. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 9230−9233.
- (11) Zhang, D.; Yu, Y.; Bekenstein, Y.; Wong, A. B.; Alivisatos, A. P.; Yang, P. Ultrathin colloidal cesium lead halide perovskite nanowires. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 13155−13158.

 (12) Shamsi, J.; Dang, Z.; Bianchini, P.; Canale, C.; Di Stasio, F.; Brescia, R.; Prato, M.; Manna, L. Colloidal synthesis of quantum 320 confined single crystal CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> nanosheets with lateral size control up to the micrometer range. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 7240−7243.

 (13) Dou, L.; Wong, A. B.; Yu, Y.; Lai, M.; Kornienko, N.; Eaton, S. W.; Fu, A.; Bischak, C. G.; Ma, J.; Ding, T.; et al. Atomically thin two- dimensional organic-inorganic hybrid perovskites. Science 2015, 349, 1518−1521.

 (14) Wong, A. B.; Lai, M.; Eaton, S. W.; Yu, Y.; Lin, E.; Dou, L.; Fu, 327 A.; Yang, P. Growth and anion exchange conversion of  $CH_3NH_3PbX_3$ 328 nanorod arrays for light-emitting diodes. Nano Lett. 2015, 15, 5519-5524.

 (15) Dou, L.; Lai, M.; Kley, C. S.; Yang, Y.; Bischak, C. G.; Zhang, D.; Eaton, S. W.; Ginsberg, N. S.; Yang, P. Spatially resolved 332 multicolor CsPbX<sub>3</sub> nanowire heterojunctions via anion exchange. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2017, 114, 7216−7221.

 (16) Kong, Q.; Lee, W.; Lai, M.; Bischak, C. G.; Gao, G.; Wong, A. B.; Lei, T.; Yu, Y.; Wang, L.-W.; Ginsberg, N. S.; Yang, P. Phase- transition-induced p−n junction in single halide perovskite nanowire. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2018, 115, 8889−8894.

 (17) Lai, M.; Obliger, A.; Lu, D.; Kley, C. S.; Bischak, C. G.; Kong, Q.; Lei, T.; Dou, L.; Ginsberg, N. S.; Limmer, D. T.; Yang, P. Intrinsic anion diffusivity in lead halide perovskites is facilitated by a soft lattice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2018, 115, 11929−11934.

 (18) Nie, Z.; Petukhova, A.; Kumacheva, E. Properties and emerging applications of self-assembled structures made from inorganic nanoparticles. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2010, 5, 15−25.

 (19) Nagaoka, Y.; Tan, R.; Li, R.; Zhu, H.; Eggert, D.; Wu, Y. A.; Liu, Y.; Wang, Z.; Chen, O. Superstructures generated from truncated tetrahedral quantum dots. Nature 2018, 561, 378−382.

 (20) Dong, A.; Chen, J.; Vora, P. M.; Kikkawa, J. M.; Murray, C. B. Binary nanocrystal superlattice membranes self-assembled at the liquid−air interface. Nature 2010, 466, 474−477.

 (21) Wang, C.; He, Q.; Halim, U.; Liu, Y.; Zhu, E.; Lin, Z.; Xiao, H.; Duan, X.; Feng, Z.; Cheng, R. Monolayer atomic crystal molecular superlattices. Nature 2018, 555, 231−236.

 (22) Haigh, S.; Gholinia, A.; Jalil, R.; Romani, S.; Britnell, L.; Elias, D.; Novoselov, K.; Ponomarenko, L.; Geim, A.; Gorbachev, R. Cross- sectional imaging of individual layers and buried interfaces of graphene-based heterostructures and superlattices. Nat. Mater. 2012, 11, 764−767.

 (23) Yu, W. J.; Li, Z.; Zhou, H.; Chen, Y.; Wang, Y.; Huang, Y.; Duan, X. Vertically stacked multi-heterostructures of layered materials for logic transistors and complementary inverters. Nat. Mater. 2013, 12, 246−252.

 (24) Srivastava, S.; Nykypanchuk, D.; Fukuto, M.; Halverson, J. D.; Tkachenko, A. V.; Yager, K. G.; Gang, O. Two-Dimensional DNA-

 Programmable Assembly of Nanoparticles at Liquid Interfaces. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 8323−8332.

 (25) Lee, C.-H.; Lee, G.-H.; Van Der Zande, A. M.; Chen, W.; Li, Y.; Han, M.; Cui, X.; Arefe, G.; Nuckolls, C.; Heinz, T. F.; et al. Atomically thin p−n junctions with van der Waals heterointerfaces. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2014, 9, 676−681.

(26) Geuchies, J. J.; Van Overbeek, C.; Evers, W. H.; Goris, B.; De

Backer, A.; Gantapara, A. P.; Rabouw, F. T.; Hilhorst, J.; Peters, J. L.;

 Konovalov, O.; et al. In situ study of the formation mechanism of two- dimensional superlattices from PbSe nanocrystals. Nat. Mater. 2016, 15, 1248−1254.

 (27) Weidman, M. C.; Smilgies, D.-M.; Tisdale, W. A. Kinetics of the self-assembly of nanocrystal superlattices measured by real-time in situ X-ray scattering. Nat. Mater. 2016, 15, 775−781.

(28) Mitzi, D. B.; Feild, C.; Harrison, W.; Guloy, A. Conducting tin 379 halides with a layered organic-based perovskite structure. Nature 380<br>1994 369 467-469 1994, 369, 467–469.

(29) Tsai, H.; Nie, W.; Blancon, J.-C.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Asadpour, 382 R.; Harutyunyan, B.; Neukirch, A. J.; Verduzco, R.; Crochet, J. J.; 383 Tretiak, S.; et al. High-efficiency two-dimensional Ruddlesden− 384 Popper perovskite solar cells. Nature 2016, 536, 312−316. 385

(30) Yuan, M.; Quan, L. N.; Comin, R.; Walters, G.; Sabatini, R.; 386 Voznyy, O.; Hoogland, S.; Zhao, Y.; Beauregard, E. M.; Kanjanaboos, 387 P.; et al. Perovskite energy funnels for efficient light-emitting diodes. 388 Nat. Nanotechnol. 2016, 11, 872−877. 389

(31) Heinz, H.; Vaia, R.; Krishnamoorti, R.; Farmer, B. Self- 390 assembly of alkylammonium chains on montmorillonite: effect of 391 chain length, head group structure, and cation exchange capacity. 392 Chem. Mater. 2007, 19, 59−68. 393

(32) Stoumpos, C. C.; Cao, D. H.; Clark, D. J.; Young, J.; Rondinelli, 394 J. M.; Jang, J. I.; Hupp, J. T.; Kanatzidis, M. G. Ruddlesden-Popper 395 Hybrid Lead Iodide Perovskite 2D Homologous Semiconductors. 396 Chem. Mater. 2016, 28, 2852−2867. 397