## UC Berkeley

UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Demonstration of Confinement and Chiral Symmetry Breaking in SO(Nc) Gauge Theories

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/746834n2

Journal

Physical Review Letters, 127(25)

ISSN

0031-9007

Authors

Csáki, Csaba Gomes, Andrew Murayama, Hitoshi <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2021-12-17

DOI 10.1103/physrevlett.127.251602

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Peer reviewed

## **Demonstration of Confinement and Chiral Symmetry Breaking** in $SO(N_c)$ Gauge Theories

Csaba Csáki,<sup>1,\*</sup> Andrew Gomes<sup>(0)</sup>,<sup>1,†</sup> Hitoshi Murayama<sup>(0)</sup>,<sup>2,3,4,‡</sup> and Ofri Telem<sup>2,4,§</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, LEPP, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

<sup>3</sup>Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI), University of Tokyo, Kashiwa 277-8583, Japan <sup>4</sup>Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

(Received 1 September 2021; accepted 2 November 2021; published 15 December 2021)

We demonstrate that  $SO(N_c)$  gauge theories with matter fields in the vector representation confine due to monopole condensation and break the  $SU(N_F)$  chiral symmetry to  $SO(N_F)$  via the quark bilinear. Our results are obtained by perturbing the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetric theory with anomaly-mediated supersymmetry breaking.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.251602

Introduction .- Ever since quarks were proposed as fundamental constituents of the proton, neutron, and numerous hadrons by Gell-Mann and Ne'eman [1,2], it has been a mystery why they cannot be observed directly in experiments. At the same time, protons and neutrons bind in atomic nuclei due to the exchange of light pions predicted by Yukawa [3]. The binding of nuclei, and correspondingly the entire world of chemistry, hinges on pions being much lighter than protons, despite the fact that they are made of the same quarks. The first mystery was explained by postulating confinement of quarks by condensation of magnetic monopoles via the dual Meißner effect proposed by Mandelstam [4] and 't Hooft [5]. The second mystery was explained by postulating chiral symmetry breaking whose Nambu-Goldstone bosons are the light pions proposed by Nambu and Jona-Lasinio [6,7]. In either case, however, it has been a challenge to derive these properties from the fundamental theory of strong interactions, quantum chromodynamics (OCD).

It has been proposed recently [8] that one can study the dynamics of gauge theories using the supersymmetric version of the theory perturbed in a specific way called anomaly-mediated supersymmetry breaking (AMSB) [9,10] (see also [11,12] for earlier work containing some important aspects of AMSB). For other analyses of nonsupersymmetric gauge theories via controlled supersymmetry breaking, see, for example, [13–26], as well as the more recent [27]. When AMSB was applied to  $SU(N_c)$ QCD, it was possible to derive chiral symmetry breaking for  $1 < N_F \leq \frac{3}{2}N_c$ , while the theory flows to a conformal fixed point for  $\frac{3}{2}N_c < N_F \leq 3N_c$ . Yet the SU(N<sub>c</sub>) theory does not confine in the presence of quarks in the fundamental representation because any color charges can be screened.

The  $SO(N_c)$  theory with fermions in the vector representation is interesting because it does truly confine, since the spinor representation transforming under the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  center cannot be screened. Therefore, we can hope to see the interplay between the condensation of monopoles on one hand, and fermion bilinears on the other hand. It turns out that we should focus on  $N_F \leq N_c - 2$  where we can demonstrate monopole condensation.

Nonsupersymmetric confinement has also been shown in QCD(adj) on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^1$  via magnetic bion condensation, where only the discrete chiral symmetry is broken [28,29].

In this Letter, we sketch the essence of the analysis, while details are presented in a companion paper [30], that also contains a discussion of the cases where  $N_F > N_c - 2$ .

Anomaly mediation.—Anomaly mediation of supersymmetry breaking (AMSB) is parametrized by a single number m that explicitly breaks supersymmetry in two different ways. One is the tree-level contribution based on the superpotential

$$V_{\text{tree}} = m \left( \varphi_i \frac{\partial W}{\partial \varphi_i} - 3W \right) + \text{c.c.}$$
(1)

Note that Eq. (1) also breaks the  $U(1)_R$  symmetry explicitly. When the superpotential does not include dimensionful parameters, this expression identically vanishes. In this case, there are the loop-level supersymmetry breaking effects from the superconformal anomaly [31]. In this Letter, we do not need the loop-level effects that can be neglected in the presence of the tree-level effects (1).

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP<sup>3</sup>.

The loop-level effects will be discussed in the companion paper [30] for special cases when they are needed.

 $N_F = N_c - 2$ .—We consider an SO( $N_c$ ) gauge theory with  $N_F = N_c - 2$  flavors  $Q^i$ . In the supersymmetric limit, the theory is in an Abelian Coulomb phase [32]. The *D*-flat directions are parametrized by the diagonal entries of the mesons  $M^{ij} \equiv Q^i Q^j$ . As  $M^{ij}$  are neutral under U(1)<sub>R</sub>, no superpotential can be generated, and there is a quantum moduli space. At a generic point  $M^{ij}$  on the meson moduli space, the gauge symmetry is Higgsed to a U(1), and so the theory only has a Coulomb branch. The effective gauge coupling  $\tau = (\theta/2\pi) + (i8\pi/g^2)$  of the theory is given on the Coulomb branch as a function of the SU( $N_F$ ) invariant  $U \equiv \det M$  only. There are singularities at the two points  $U = U_1 \equiv 16\Lambda^{2N_F}$  and U = 0.

Around the singular point  $U = U_1$ , the relevant light degrees of freedom are the monopoles  $E^{\pm}$  with magnetic charges  $\pm 1$ , which transform under the UV global symmetry  $SU(N_F) \times U(1)_R$  as  $E^{\pm}(1)_1$ . Since det  $M \equiv U \neq 0$ , the global symmetry at this point is broken to  $SO(N_F) \times U(1)_R$ . The theory has a dynamically generated superpotential about  $U = U_1$  of

$$W_{\rm mon} = \tilde{f}(U - U_1)E^+E^-, \qquad (2)$$

where  $\tilde{f}(t) = t + \cdots$  is a holomorphic function in the neighborhood of t = 0. In practice, only the leading order in  $\tilde{f}$  matters for the stabilization of the minimum. Using canonically normalized fields we have

$$W_{\rm mon} = \Lambda \left( \frac{\tilde{U}}{\Lambda^{N_F}} - 16 \right) \tilde{E}^+ \tilde{E}^-, \tag{3}$$

where  $\tilde{U} = \det \tilde{M}$  and  $\tilde{M} = M/\Lambda$ ,  $\tilde{E}^{\pm} = E^{\pm}/\sqrt{\Lambda}$  are the canonically normalized meson and monopoles, respectively. Exactly at  $\tilde{U} = \tilde{U}_1 \equiv 16\Lambda^{N_F}$ , 't Hooft anomaly matching is saturated by  $\tilde{E}^{\pm}$ ,  $\tilde{M}^{ij}$ , and the photinos  $W_a \sim W_a Q^{N_c-2}$ , whose charges are given in Table I. It is easy to verify that the U(1)<sub>R</sub>gravity<sup>2</sup>, U(1)<sup>3</sup><sub>R</sub>, and U(1)<sub>R</sub>SO(N<sub>F</sub>)<sup>2</sup> anomalies all match. Therefore, we know the degrees of freedom in the IR and their Kähler potentials are regular at this singularity.

TABLE I. Degrees of freedom in the SO( $N_c$ ) theory with  $N_F = N_c - 2$  near  $U = U_1$ . The unbroken global symmetry with  $M^{ij} \propto \delta^{ij}$  is SO( $N_F$ ) × U(1)<sub>R</sub>.

	$SO(N_c)$	$SU(N_F)$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_R$	$U(1)_{mag}$	$SO(N_F)$
$Q^i$			0		
λ		1	1		1
$M^{ij}$	1		0		$1 + \square$
$E^{\pm}$		1	1	$\pm 1$	1
$\lambda_{\rm mag}$		1	1	0	1

AMSB generates a tree-level contribution to the scalar potential from (1), producing the global minimum at  $\tilde{U} = \tilde{U}_1$ . In particular, the scalar potential along  $\tilde{M}^{ij} = \tilde{M}\delta^{ij}$  is given locally as

$$V_{\tilde{U}\sim\tilde{U}_{1}} = \Lambda^{2} \left| \left( \frac{\tilde{M}}{\Lambda} \right)^{N_{F}} - 16 \right|^{2} (|\tilde{E}^{+}|^{2} + |\tilde{E}^{-}|^{2}) + \frac{1}{kN_{F}} \left| N_{F} \left( \frac{\tilde{M}}{\Lambda} \right)^{N_{F}-1} \right|^{2} |\tilde{E}^{+}\tilde{E}^{-}|^{2} + V_{\text{AMSB}}.$$
(4)

Note the  $(kN_F)^{-1}$  factor in the second line, which comes from the Kähler term  $kN_F\tilde{M}^{\dagger}\tilde{M}$  for  $\tilde{M}$ , where k is an unknown  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  normalization factor. The tree-level AMSB contribution is given by (1), i.e.,

$$V_{\text{AMSB}} = m\Lambda \left[ 16 + (N_F - 1) \left( \frac{\tilde{M}}{\Lambda} \right)^{N_F} \right] \tilde{E}^+ \tilde{E}^- + \text{c.c.}$$
(5)

This potential has a minimum at

$$\tilde{M} = 16^{1/N_F} \Lambda, \qquad |\tilde{E}^+||\tilde{E}^-| = 16^{(2/N_F)-1} km\Lambda,$$
$$V_{\min} = -16^{2/N_F} N_F km^2 \Lambda^2.$$
(6)

Since  $\tilde{M}^{ij} = \tilde{M}\delta^{ij}$  in this minimum, the global symmetry is broken spontaneously to SO( $N_f$ ), while U(1)<sub>R</sub> is explicitly broken by AMSB, and there are no 't Hooft anomalies to match.

The most remarkable feature of the minimum (6) is the condensation of monopoles  $\tilde{E}^{\pm}$ , which gives an area law to nontrivial Wilson loop operators, indicating confinement [5,33,34]. This phenomenon is well known in the context of the breaking of  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  Seiberg-Witten theory to  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  by introducing a tree-level superpotential for the matter field [35]. In [19,20], monopole condensation was shown in a nonsupersymmetric theory by introducing soft supersymmetry (SUSY) breaking on top of the superpotential term for the Seiberg-Witten model. Here, monopole condensation and SUSY breaking emerge together as a result of AMSB. Furthermore, since the global SU( $N_F$ ) symmetry is broken to SO( $N_F$ ), this is an example of confinement with chiral symmetry breaking in a nonsupersymmetric theory.

In the large m limit where all scalar superpartners decouple, we can connect the chiral symmetry breaking observed here to the familiar one due to fermion bilinears. To see this, note that in the large m limit the fermion bilinears are identified with the F component of the meson chiral superfield:

$$\langle \psi_i^* \psi_j^* \rangle = F_{M_{ij}}^* = 16\Lambda^2 M_{ij}^{-1} E^+ E^- \propto \delta_{ij} km \Lambda^2 \neq 0.$$
(7)

In other words, our analysis demonstrates the condensation of fermion bilinears in a nonsupersymmetric theory, in addition to the monopole condensate. Around the singular point U = 0 the relevant light degrees of freedom are the dyons  $q_i^{\pm}$  with magnetic charge  $\pm 1$ , which transform under the UV global symmetry  $SU(N_F) \times U(1)_R$  as  $q_i^{\pm}(\overline{\Box})_1$ . These have a dynamically generated superpotential about U = 0 of

$$W_{\rm dyon} = \frac{1}{\mu} f(t) M^{ij} q_i^+ q_j^-,$$
 (8)

where  $\mu$  is an effective mass scale,  $t = U\Lambda^{4-2N_c}$ , and f(t) is a holomorphic function in the neighborhood of t = 0, normalized so that f(0) = 1. However, the scale  $\mu$  can be absorbed into the normalization of the meson field  $\hat{M} = M/\mu$  and the theory at this point has no dimensionful parameters. Therefore the AMSB is loop suppressed, and hence so is the vacuum energy. Consequently, the local AMSB minimum near this singularity is *not* the global minimum.

Monopole condensation for  $N_F < N_c - 2$  via mass deformations.—In the above discussion of the theory with  $N_F = N_c - 2$ , we explicitly saw monopole condensation in the nonsupersymmetric vacuum of the theory. Here, we wish to study the cases with fewer flavors, by treating the latter as the  $N_F = N_c - 2$  deformed by mass terms  $\mu$ , with  $\mu \gg \Lambda$ . In this way, we will be able to interpret the theories with fewer flavors as also corresponding to monopole condensation all the way down to the pure SO( $N_c$ ) Yang-Mills case. On the other hand, we can also study the same theory with the Affleck-Dine-Seiberg (ADS) superpotential perturbed by AMSB. They must agree if we believe in the holomorphy argument that  $\mu$ , m, and  $\Lambda$  can be varied without a phase transition.

We begin by considering the  $N_F = N_c - 2$  theory in the supersymmetric limit, with just one mass term for the last flavor,

$$W = \Lambda \left(\frac{\det \tilde{M}}{\Lambda^{N_F}} - 16\right) \tilde{E}^+ \tilde{E}^- + \frac{1}{2} \mu \Lambda \tilde{M}^{N_F N_F}.$$
 (9)

The equation of motion for  $\tilde{M}^{N_F N_F}$  gives

$$\tilde{E}^{+}\tilde{E}^{-} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mu\Lambda^{N_{F}}}{\det\tilde{M}'},\tag{10}$$

where  $\tilde{M}'$  is the matrix of the remaining mesons.

On the other hand, the extra flavor can be integrated out first to give the ADS superpotential

$$W_{\rm ADS} = \frac{N_c - N'_F - 2}{2} \omega^k \left(\frac{16\Lambda'^{3N_c - N'_F - 6}}{\det \tilde{M}'}\right)^{1/(N_c - N'_F - 2)}, \quad (11)$$

where  $N'_F = N_F - 1 = N_c - 3$ , and  $\Lambda'^{3N_c - N_F - 5} = \mu \Lambda^{3N_c - N_F - 6}$  is the strong scale of the theory and  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/(N_c - N'_F - 2)}$  with  $k = 0, 1, ..., N_c - N'_F - 3$ . Since  $N'_F = N_c - 3$ , there is another branch on which the

superpotential vanishes; we have checked that this branch does not produce the global minimum when turning on AMSB. The SUSY theory runs away and does not have a ground state. Turning on AMSB stabilizes the runaway behavior of the superpotential at a large amplitude where the Kähler potential is canonical for  $\varphi \gg \Lambda$  with  $M^{ij} = \varphi^2 \delta^{ij}$ . The tree-level AMSB is

$$V_{\text{AMSB}} = -m\Lambda'^3 \frac{3N_c - N'_F - 6}{2} \left(\frac{16\Lambda^{2N'_F}}{\varphi^{2N'_F}}\right)^{1/(N_c - N'_F - 2)} + \text{c.c.},$$
(12)

which together with the scalar potential derived from the superpotential (11) gives a minimum

$$\varphi = 2^{2/(N_c-2)} \left( f_{N'_F} \frac{\Lambda'}{m} \right)^{(N_c - N'_F - 2)/[2(N_c - 2)]} \Lambda',$$

$$V_{\min} = -2^{4/(N_c - 2)} \frac{N_c - 2}{f_{N'_F}^2} \left( f_{N'_F} \frac{\Lambda'}{m} \right)^{(N_c - N'_F - 2)/(N_c - 2)} m^2 \Lambda'^2,$$
(13)

with  $f_{N'_F} = [(N_c + N'_F - 2)/(3N_c - N'_F - 6)].$ 

In Fig. 1 we show the minimum of the mass-deformed theory (9) in the presence of AMSB. As can be seen in the plot, the vacuum expectation value (VEV) of the first  $N_c - 3$  flavors interpolates between the minimum (6) for  $\mu = 0$ ,



FIG. 1. Location of the minimum in the theory with AMSB and  $N_F = N_c - 2$ , deformed by a mass term  $\frac{1}{2}\mu M^{N_FN_F}$  for the last flavor. *E* is the VEV of the condensed monopoles, while  $M_i$  is the common VEV of the first  $N_c - 3$  flavors. The different curves are labeled by the value of  $m/\Lambda$ . The curves start at the  $N_c - 2$  minimum (6) for  $\mu = 0$ . The VEV of  $M_i$  initially decreases by a very small amount but then increases as  $\mu$  crosses *m*. As  $\mu/\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , the minimum goes over to the one given by Eq. (13) with  $N'_F = N_c - 3$ , while the VEV for the monopoles *E* persists. The dashed line for  $\mu = 50 \Lambda$  is the relation (10). Note that the end points are a tiny bit off the dashed line as a result of the interpolating Kähler potential (14). We have chosen  $N_c = 13$  for this plot.

Range	SUSY	+AMSB	
$N_F = 1$	Runaway	Confinement	
$1 < N_F < N_c - 4$	Runaway	Confinement $+\chi$ SB	
$N_F = N_c - 4$	2 branches	Confinement + $\chi$ SB	
$N_{F} = N_{c} - 3$	2 branches	Confinement $+\chi$ SB	
$N_{F} = N_{c} - 2$	Abelian Coulomb	Confinement + $\chi$ SB	
$N_{F} = N_{c} - 1$	Free magnetic, 2 branches	Confinement + $\chi$ SB	
$N_F = N_c$	Free magnetic, 2 branches	Confinement $+\chi$ SB	
$N_c + 1 \le N_F \le \frac{3}{2}(N_c - 2)$	Free magnetic	Confinement $+\chi$ SB	
$\frac{3}{2}(N_c - 2) < N_F \leq 3(N_c - 2)$	CFT	CFT	
$\tilde{3}(N_c - 2) < N_F$	IR free	Runaway	

TABLE II. Summary of the IR behavior of SO( $N_c$ ) theories with  $N_F$  fundamentals with AMSB.  $\chi$ SB stands for chiral symmetry breaking. For  $N_F = N_c - 1$  and  $N_c$ , two branches appear along the flat direction of the maximum rank of the meson  $M^{ij}$ , yet the AMSB chooses one over the other, resulting in the  $\chi$ SB.

and the ADS + AMSB minimum (13) with  $N'_F = N_c - 3$ and  $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'$  in the large  $\mu$  limit. We can see that the monopole condensate persists in the large  $\mu$  limit.

To correctly reproduce the ADS + AMSB minimum, we had to interpolate the Kähler potential between the neighborhood of det  $\tilde{M} \sim \tilde{U}_1$ , where it is canonical in  $\tilde{M}$ , to large det  $\tilde{M}$ , where the Kähler potential is canonical in  $\varphi \sim \sqrt{\tilde{M}\Lambda}$ . More specifically, we used the following interpolating Kähler potential in the numerical study:

$$K_{\text{interp.}} = \Lambda^2 \sqrt{1 + \frac{\tilde{M}\tilde{M}^{\dagger}}{\Lambda^2}}.$$
 (14)

Interestingly, for  $\mu < m$ , the UV theory itself is unstable, and has a runaway at  $\tilde{E}^+\tilde{E}^- = 0$  and  $\tilde{M}_i \to \infty$ . This is a feature of the mass term in (9) in the presence of AMSB, and is unrelated to the dynamics of the gauge theory. Since this does not affect our analysis, we follow the local minimum which is continuously connected to the global minimum for  $\mu > m$ . This accounts for the small "U turn" of the curves in Fig. 1 between the red points ( $\mu = 0$ ) and the blue points ( $\mu = m$ ). Note that our argument regarding monopole condensation in the large  $\mu$  limit is completely free of this subtlety.

We explicitly checked that the same conclusions hold when integrating out more than one flavor, such that  $0 \le N'_F \le N_c - 2$ . Similarly to the  $N'_F = N_c - 3$  case, for  $N'_F = N_c - 4$  we have another branch with vanishing superpotential, which does not produce the global minimum in the presence of AMSB. For all  $N'_F$  in the range  $0 \le N'_F \le N_c - 2$ , we find that monopole condensation persists in the AMSB global minimum. Since in the  $\mu \to \infty$ limit, all of the extra flavors effectively decouple, this is a demonstration of monopole condensation for the entire range  $0 \le N_F \le N_c - 2$ .

*Larger*  $N_F$ .—For  $N_c - 2 < N_F \leq \frac{3}{2}(N_c - 2)$ , the SUSY limit has the IR description in terms of the free magnetic SO( $N_F - N_c + 4$ ) theory with magnetic quarks and

mesons. With AMSB, the global minimum is obtained when the meson matrix has full rank and the magnetic quarks are integrated out, similar to the case of  $SU(N_c)$ QCD [8]. The low-energy limit is a pure  $SO(N_F - N_c + 4)$ SUSY Yang-Mills with the gaugino condensate, which is known to confine. With AMSB, the fermion bilinear also acquires a VEV, breaking the  $SU(N_F)$  global symmetry to  $SO(N_F)$ .

On the other hand for  $\frac{3}{2}N_c < N_F \leq 3N_c$ , the SUSY theory flows to conformal fixed point. AMSB effects disappear by a power law towards the fixed point and the theory recovers supersymmetry.

All these phases are summarized in Table II, which are discussed in much more detail in the companion paper [30].

*Conclusions.*—We studied the dynamics of the  $SO(N_c)$ gauge theory with fermions in the vector representation using its supersymmetric version perturbed by anomaly mediated supersymmetry breaking. We obtained the exact global minimum and demonstrated that the magnetic monopole and fermion bilinear condense for  $N_F \leq$  $N_c$  – 2, leading to both confinement and chiral symmetry breaking. While we cannot exclude the possibility of a phase transition as m crosses the dynamical scale, there are strong reasons to believe that no such transition takes place. Supersymmetry breaking happens via a single holomorphic parameter m, which may prevent a phase transition if singularities in the complex m plane are to be isolated. This is further supported by the consistency of the above mass deformations. However, a proof excluding a possible phase transition would be valuable. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first analytic demonstration of both confinement and continuous chiral symmetry breaking in nonsupersymmetric gauge theories.

C. C. is supported in part by the NSF Grant No. PHY-2014071 as well as the U.S.-Israeli BSF Grant No. 2016153. A. G. is supported in part by the NSERC PGS-D fellowship, and in part by the NSF Grant No. PHY-2014071. O. T. and H. M. were supported in part by the

DOE under Grant No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. H. M. was also supported in part by the NSF Grant No. PHY-1915314, by the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research JP20K03942, MEXT Grant-in-Aid for Transformative Research Areas (A) JP20H05850, JP20A203, by WPI, MEXT, Japan, and Hamamatsu Photonics, K. K.

ccsaki@gmail.com

awg76@cornell.edu

<sup>\*</sup>hitoshi@berkeley.edu, hitoshi.murayama@ipmu.jp<sup>§</sup>t10ofrit@gmail.com

- M. Gell-Mann, The eightfold way: A theory of strong interaction symmetry, https://www.osti.gov/biblio/4008239.
- [2] Y. Ne'eman, Derivation of strong interactions from a gauge invariance, Nucl. Phys. 26, 222 (1961).
- [3] H. Yukawa, On the interaction of elementary particles. I, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 1, 1 (1955).
- [4] S. Mandelstam, Vortices and quark confinement in non-Abelian gauge theories, Phys. Lett. **53B**, 476 (1975).
- [5] G. 't Hooft, Topology of the gauge condition and new confinement phases in non-Abelian gauge theories, Nucl. Phys. B190, 455 (1981).
- [6] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Dynamical model of elementary particles based on an analogy with superconductivity. I, Phys. Rev. 122, 345 (1961).
- [7] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Dynamical model of elementary particles based on an analogy with superconductivity. II, Phys. Rev. 124, 246 (1961).
- [8] H. Murayama, Some Exact Results in QCD-Like Theories, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 251601 (2021).
- [9] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Out of this world supersymmetry breaking, Nucl. Phys. B557, 79 (1999).
- [10] G. F. Giudice, M. A. Luty, H. Murayama, and R. Rattazzi, Gaugino mass without singlets, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (1998) 027.
- [11] N. Arkani-Hamed, G. F. Giudice, M. A. Luty, and R. Rattazzi, Supersymmetry breaking loops from analytic continuation into superspace, Phys. Rev. D 58, 115005 (1998).
- [12] N. Arkani-Hamed and R. Rattazzi, Exact results for nonholomorphic masses in softly broken supersymmetric gauge theories, Phys. Lett. B 454, 290 (1999).
- [13] N. J. Evans, S. D. H. Hsu, M. Schwetz, and S. B. Selipsky, Exact results and soft breaking masses in supersymmetric gauge theory, Nucl. Phys. B456, 205 (1995).
- [14] N. J. Evans, S. D. H. Hsu, and M. Schwetz, Exact results in softly broken supersymmetric models, Phys. Lett. B 355, 475 (1995).
- [15] O. Aharony, J. Sonnenschein, M. E. Peskin, and S. Yankielowicz, Exotic nonsupersymmetric gauge dynamics from supersymmetric QCD, Phys. Rev. D 52, 6157 (1995).
- [16] L. Alvarez-Gaume and M. Marino, More on softly broken N = 2 QCD, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **12**, 975 (1997).

- [17] L. Alvarez-Gaume, J. Distler, C. Kounnas, and M. Marino, Softly broken N = 2 QCD, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **11**, 4745 (1996).
- [18] E. D'Hoker, Y. Mimura, and N. Sakai, Gauge symmetry breaking through soft masses in supersymmetric gauge theories, Phys. Rev. D 54, 7724 (1996).
- [19] N. J. Evans, S. D. H. Hsu, and M. Schwetz, Phase transitions in softly broken N = 2 SQCD at nonzero theta angle, Nucl. Phys. **B484**, 124 (1997).
- [20] K. Konishi, Confinement, supersymmetry breaking and theta parameter dependence in the Seiberg-Witten model, Phys. Lett. B 392, 101 (1997).
- [21] L. Alvarez-Gaume, M. Marino, and F. Zamora, Softly broken N = 2 QCD with massive quark hypermultiplets. 1., Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **13**, 403 (1998).
- [22] L. Alvarez-Gaume, M. Marino, and F. Zamora, Softly broken N = 2 QCD with massive quark hypermultiplets. 2., Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **13**, 1847 (1998).
- [23] N. J. Evans, S. D. H. Hsu, and M. Schwetz, Controlled soft breaking of N = 1 SQCD, Phys. Lett. B **404**, 77 (1997).
- [24] H.-C. Cheng and Y. Shadmi, Duality in the presence of supersymmetry breaking, Nucl. Phys. B531, 125 (1998).
- [25] S. P. Martin and J. D. Wells, Chiral symmetry breaking and effective Lagrangians for softly broken supersymmetric QCD, Phys. Rev. D 58, 115013 (1998).
- [26] M. A. Luty and R. Rattazzi, Soft supersymmetry breaking in deformed moduli spaces, conformal theories, and  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  Yang-Mills theory, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (1999) 001.
- [27] C. Córdova and T. T. Dumitrescu, Candidate phases for SU(2) adjoint QCD<sub>4</sub> with two flavors from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory, arXiv:1806.09592.
- [28] M. Ünsal, Abelian Duality, Confinement, and Chiral Symmetry Breaking in a SU(2) QCD-Like Theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 032005 (2008).
- [29] M. Ünsal, Magnetic bion condensation: A new mechanism of confinement and mass gap in four dimensions, Phys. Rev. D 80, 065001 (2009).
- [30] C. Csáki, A. Gomes, H. Murayama, and O. Telem, companion paper, Phases of nonsupersymmetric gauge theories: The  $SO(N_c)$  case study, Phys. Rev. D 104, 114018 (2021).
- [31] A. Pomarol and R. Rattazzi, Sparticle masses from the superconformal anomaly, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (1999) 013.
- [32] K. A. Intriligator and N. Seiberg, Duality, monopoles, dyons, confinement and oblique confinement in supersymmetric  $SO(n_c)$  gauge theories, Nucl. Phys. **B444**, 125 (1995).
- [33] J. L. Cardy and E. Rabinovici, Phase structure of Z(p) models in the presence of a theta parameter, Nucl. Phys. **B205**, 1 (1982).
- [34] J. L. Cardy, Duality and the  $\theta$  parameter in Abelian lattice models, Nucl. Phys. **B205**, 17 (1982).
- [35] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Electric-magnetic duality, monopole condensation, and confinement in N = 2 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory, Nucl. Phys. **B426**, 19 (1994); Erratum, Nucl. Phys. **B430**, 485 (1994).