UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Feasibility and acceptability of a mobile messaging program within a church-based healthy living intervention for African Americans and Latinos

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/74c2k03k

Journal

Health Informatics Journal, 26(2)

ISSN

1460-4582

Authors

Whitley, Margaret D Payán, Denise D Flórez, Karen R et al.

Publication Date

2020-06-01

DOI

10.1177/1460458219853408

Peer reviewed

Published in final edited form as:

Health Informatics J. 2020 June; 26(2): 880–896. doi:10.1177/1460458219853408.

Feasibility and acceptability of a mobile messaging program within a church-based healthy living intervention for African Americans and Latinos

Margaret D Whitley,

University of California, Irvine, USA; RAND Corporation, USA

Denise D Payán,

University of California, Merced, USA

Karen R Flórez,

City University of New York, USA

Malcolm V Williams,

RAND Corporation, USA

Eunice C Wong,

RAND Corporation, USA

Cheryl A Branch,

Los Angeles Metropolitan Churches, USA

Kathryn P Derose

RAND Corporation, USA

Abstract

Church-based programs can act on multiple levels to improve dietary and physical activity behaviors among African Americans and Latinos. However, the effectiveness of these interventions may be limited due to challenges in reaching all congregants or influencing behavior outside of the church setting. To increase intervention impact, we sent mobile messages (text and email) in English or Spanish to congregants (n = 131) from predominantly African American or Latino churches participating in a multi-level, church-based program. To assess feasibility and acceptability, we collected feedback throughout the 4-month messaging intervention and conducted a process evaluation using the messaging platform. We found that the intervention was feasible to implement and acceptable to a racially ethnically diverse study sample with high obesity and overweight rates. While the process evaluation had some limitations (e.g. low response rate), we conclude that mobile messaging is a promising, feasible addition to church-based programs aiming to improve dietary and physical activity behaviors.

Corresponding author: Margaret D Whitley, Department of Population Health and Disease Prevention, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA. mwhitley@uci.edu.

Declaring of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Keywords

African American; churches; faith-based organizations; Hispanic/Latino; obesity; text messaging

Introduction

Obesity increases the risk of many serious illnesses, including type 2 diabetes, hypertension, coronary artery disease, stroke, and certain cancers, and is associated with mental health problems and reduced quality of life. Obesity is highly prevalent across the United States, and certain subgroups, such as African Americans (AAs) and Latinos, are disproportionately affected. Among US adults, 43 percent of Latinos and 48 percent of AAs are obese compared to 33 percent of Whites and 11 percent of Asians. Healthy eating and physical activity can help prevent and address obesity, although factors like genetics, mental health, and other health behaviors also contribute. Dietary and physical activity behaviors are difficult to modify; therefore, multi-level interventions that implement strategies across various levels—for example, intrapersonal, interpersonal, community, and policy—are needed. Health are respectively.

Faith-based organizations, such as churches, offer a unique opportunity for acting on multiple levels. Churches have a wide reach in AA and Latino communities and are trusted institutions that have historically intervened on social issues, particularly among AAs. ^{5,6} Churches' physical infrastructure, programs, and social networks can be leveraged to influence not only individuals but also the congregation and neighborhood. ⁷ Previous church-based interventions with AAs and Latinos have influenced eating and/or physical activity habits through educational approaches, ^{8,9} changes to the food environment at church, ^{10,11} on-site exercise get-togethers, ¹² and neighborhood walkability assessments and advocacy. ¹³ However, such interventions have been limited by challenges with reaching participants for intervention activities and measurement. ¹⁴ Congregants' busy schedules may limit their ability to attend in-person activities, ⁹ and long-term follow-up with participants can be difficult. ⁸ Furthermore, while the church environment is important, the home environment remains key, ⁷ suggesting a need to engage with congregants outside of the church setting.

Mobile messaging may strengthen church-based healthy living programs by engaging more frequently with more congregants. As a "push" technology that reaches participants during daily activities, mobile messaging allows for frequent and tailored communication at a low cost. Systematic reviews have found mobile messaging to be a promising approach for promoting healthy eating and physical activity. The majority of studies that employed mobile messaging in church settings, including three studies with AA populations, sometimes found that the approach was promising but required further research. One study reported that respondents did not want to participate in healthy living activities beyond the messages, while the other suggested that messaging be used as part of a more comprehensive response to promoting healthy weight. Another study successfully combined messaging with a structured diet and cooking classes implemented during the 40 days before Easter. Thus, combining mobile messaging with other church-based activities may increase participants'

exposure to healthy living content, but it is unclear how acceptable this approach would be to congregants. Another study described the successful integration of a mobile messaging component into a multi-level, church-based program; however, the study focused on prostate cancer prevention. ²² To the best of our knowledge, there are no prior published articles that examine mobile messaging as part of a multi-level, faith-based program to impact dietary and physical activity behaviors.

This study explores the feasibility and acceptability of using mobile messaging technology to send healthy eating and active living messages to congregants from churches whose membership were predominantly (>80%) AA or Latino (herein described as AA churches and Latino churches) that participated in the pilot implementation of a multi-level, church-based intervention to address obesity. The overall intervention provided evidence of preliminary effectiveness in reducing weight gain, increasing weight loss, and improving diet quality among study participants. Given the novelty of the mobile messaging component of this intervention, we undertook a process evaluation. Prior research suggests that mobile messaging is acceptable among AA 15,22,24 and Latino populations, including monolingual Spanish speakers, 25–27 as well as older adults. 22,28 To our knowledge, this is the first multi-level, church-based intervention to use mobile messaging with both AA and Latino congregations, which presented the challenge of communicating in multiple languages and across cultural contexts.

Method

Community context and partnership

The study took place in Los Angeles County Service Planning Area (SPA) 6, which includes the neighborhoods of South Los Angeles, Lynwood, and Compton. These neighborhoods are characterized by worse health outcomes and greater socioeconomic disadvantage compared to other neighborhoods in the county. Approximately 68 percent of SPA 6 residents are Latino and 28 percent are AA.^{29,30}

The multi-level intervention was developed through a community-based participatory research initiative led by the RAND Corporation (a non-profit research organization), Los Angeles Metropolitan Churches (LAM; a faith-based advocacy organization), and a Community Steering Committee comprising AA and Latino faith leaders and public health organizations in South Los Angeles. Through an extensive community outreach process, which included an innovative consensus-building process called ExpertLens, 2 public health and faith leaders identified community health priorities and selected obesity as the priority health issue. RAND, LAM, and other community partners designed a multi-level, church-based intervention called Eat, Pray, Move (EPM), which included a mobile messaging component. Intervention and evaluation activities were approved by RAND's Human Subjects Protection Committee.

Intervention description

The overall EPM intervention aimed to influence dietary and physical activity behaviors through multiple strategies, including sermons, educational material, church produce

gardens, cooking and nutrition classes, local food and physical activity mapping and advocacy activities, and ultimately, congregational policy changes that would create a healthier environment at the church. A mobile messaging component was included based on community partner input and the fact that few church-based interventions had incorporated this recent innovation.

Content.—Message content mapped to five healthy eating and physical activity categories, each with weekly health promotion behavior themes linked to other intervention components (i.e. handouts, cooking and exercise classes). Specific themes and corresponding messages were drawn and developed from publicly available resources, ^{33,34} given the advantages to using existing, pre-tested messaging content. ³⁵ Certain messages were tailored for holidays (e.g. Thanksgiving) that occurred during the intervention period. Some messages used spiritual language and others encouraged family- and community-based activities, in line with previous studies that encourage tailoring messages to a specific setting and audience. ²² Messages provided helpful, actionable suggestions rather than simple facts ³⁶ and used positive, non-judgmental language and communicated respect for community traditions. ³⁷ Daily messages were a maximum of 200 characters to facilitate transmission on basic cell phones. Table 1 includes the messaging categories and sample weekly health promotion themes and messages.

The mobile messaging platform can also be used to bolster involvement in other activities. Thus, we also sent church-specific reminders about other EPM intervention activities. Communication with participants was mostly unidirectional, except for an initial request asking participants to confirm receipt and occasional (unsolicited) feedback and questions from participants.

Modality and language.—Study participants opted to receive messages via text or email. While text has been used most frequently for mobile messaging health interventions, ³⁸ email has also been employed ³⁹ and may be preferred by some people. ⁴⁰ Our participants chose to receive messages in English or Spanish, similar to other bilingual interventions. ^{26,27} The few messages that were not already available in Spanish were translated by a native Spanish-speaking team member and reviewed by three other bilingual team members.

Frequency and timing.—Since mobile health messaging has been shown more effective with greater frequency over a longer period of time, ^{28,41} we sent a daily message over a 4-month period, for a total of 119 messages. Messages were sent at 9 am so participants could read them throughout the day.²²

Recruitment.—We enrolled participants in the messaging component during baseline data collection at each church to reduce sign-up burden on participants.⁴² Requiring people to opt-in later has been shown to hinder recruitment of participants from lower socioeconomic groups.^{24,43} Contact information was collected from the consent forms after participants verbally consented to participate in the messaging component.

Messaging platform.—We sent messages using a secure cloud-based platform that could send both email and text messages and manage multiple contact lists, such as a list of all

English-speaking or Spanish-speaking participants and lists specific to each church for event reminders. Incoming messages from participants could be viewed and answered within the platform by research staff but were not accessible to other participants.

Process evaluation analysis

Two AA Protestant churches and one Latino Catholic church participated in the intervention. A total of 156 adults from these three intervention churches enrolled in the study, which included baseline and follow-up surveys and biometric screenings, and were eligible to participate in the mobile messaging component.

Demographic and health characteristics for the messaging intervention sample came from the study's baseline and follow-up surveys. The research team tracked spontaneous feedback and opt-out requests from participants throughout the intervention. After the messaging intervention was complete, process evaluation questions were administered via text and email to assess participant satisfaction. Bivariate analyses were conducted on the six multiple-choice survey items. Frequencies were calculated and stratified by messaging modality, church type, and language preference. To determine whether there were significant differences in responses to the six items by message modality (text vs email), language (English vs Spanish), and church type (predominately Latino vs AA), we used Fisher's exact test of independence. Because we conducted 18 tests, we applied the Holm–Bonferroni sequential correction for multiple comparisons. Quantitative analysis was conducted in Stata 15.⁴⁴

Open-ended questions followed each multiple-choice item to encourage participants to expand on their answers. Responses were analyzed using a combination of identifying relevant constructs related to health behavior theory a priori and an inductive approach that involved reviewing responses and composing a list of emergent themes. ⁴⁵ Qualitative analysts coded the responses and calculated frequencies of the themes.

Evaluation framework.—Many health behavior theories have been used as the basis for evaluating mobile messaging interventions. ^{46,47} We selected the Health Belief Model, which focuses on the perceived susceptibility and severity of an illness, as well as the perceived benefits of, barriers to, and self-efficacy for changing health behaviors. Sociodemographic and other variables can moderate these beliefs, and cues to action are necessary to trigger behaviors. ⁴⁸ Several studies have used the Health Belief Model and mobile messaging to change a variety of health behaviors; ^{49–52} however, there is a need to better understand how the theory's constructs align with the mechanisms of a mobile messaging intervention. ^{41,47}

Results

Over 15,500 healthy eating and active living messages were sent during the 4-month messaging intervention period, excluding event announcements (e.g. cooking class reminders).

Enrollment and participation rates

At baseline, 131 (84.0%) of study participants at the three intervention churches (87.2% at the AA churches and 80.0% at the Latino church) agreed to participate in the messaging component and provided contact information. Table 2 shows program delivery mode, language, and church type for two groups of messaging participants (the total enrolled at baseline and the total that participated in post-implementation process evaluation). Most messaging participants preferred to receive messages via text (63.4%) instead of email (36.6%) and in English (74.0%) rather than Spanish (26.0%). During the 4-month implementation period, six people (4.5% of the total that enrolled) opted out of the messaging component. Of the 125 participants completing the messaging intervention, 60 (48%) responded to at least one process evaluation question. In addition, 14 people responded spontaneously during the intervention; some responses were brief (e.g. "Okay"), whereas others were more substantive (e.g. "Yeah you are right thanks I am drinking more water and eating more fruits").

Participant sociodemographic and health characteristics

Overall, most messaging participants were over 50 years and female. Socioeconomic status varied across church type; 90 percent of AA church participants had at least some post-high school education compared to only 38 percent of Latino participants. Fewer than 5 percent of AA church participants were born outside of the United States compared to over 70 percent at the Latino church.

At the Latino church, 33 percent of participants reported having no health insurance, compared to only 6 percent at the AA churches. Overall, about two-thirds of participants (68.0%) reported excellent, very good, or good health status. More respondents at the Latino church reported fair or poor health (37.8%) than at the AA church (27.6%). According to biometric measures, over half of all participants were obese (body mass index (BMI) > 30.0), and an additional 30 percent were overweight (BMI: 25.0–29.9). Self-reports of previously diagnosed heart disease, hypertension, diabetes, and high cholesterol were higher among participants from AA churches than the Latino church. The majority of study participants were non-smokers. Table 3 shows sociodemographic and health characteristics for the participants.

Logistical and technological barriers to implementation

Initially, it was difficult to decipher some handwritten email addresses and phone numbers from consent forms; this may have contributed to erroneous contact information. We also encountered some technological challenges. For instance, occasionally text messages arrived scrambled because of the way that standard mobile phone software handled Spanish language characters such as accents. Furthermore, due to limitations in the underlying technology, it was not feasible to confirm if the text messages were received by participants. We were only able to conservatively estimate the number of participants who viewed messages based on whether they responded to us or opened an email or attachment. We confirmed that 86 participants, or 65 percent of the 131 participants included initially, received and viewed at least one message.

Process evaluation results

Process evaluation item-level response rates ranged between 21 and 33 percent for close-ended process evaluation questions. Furthermore, 35 percent provided valid open-ended responses to at least one question. Table 4 shows the responses to close-ended questions by messaging mode, participant language preference, and church type. There were no statistically significant differences in the responses to the six items based on message mode, language, or church type. The results are summarized by theme below with exemplary quotes from respondents. The percentages reported use the total number of respondents for that particular item as a denominator. All respondents quoted received messages via text message unless email is noted.

Additional themes arose from the open-ended responses, including some that mapped to the Health Belief Model (positive cues to action, helped address barriers to healthy living, promoted self-efficacy, encouraged healthy behaviors, and reinforced existing knowledge). These findings are detailed below.

Message helpfulness.—A total of 37 participants (29.6%) responded to the question assessing perceived helpfulness of the messages. A clear majority of respondents (97.3%) said that the messages were either very or somewhat helpful. A 54-year-old woman from an AA church conveyed what she found useful, saying, "it was healthy and helpful information to share that would benefit the health and well-being of my family members and friends." A total of 11 people said that the messages were useful since they were generally informative —for example, "helped me to be mindful of the types of food I was eating," (60-year-old woman, Latino church). Only one respondent (Latino church) reported that the messages were not at all helpful.

In terms of *how* the messages influenced health behavior, six people said that the messages were useful because they helped them address perceived barriers to healthy living. A 65-year-old woman (AA church) commented, "it allowed me to see what I can do differently with my cooking to still have flavor but be healthier for me in the long run."

Messages as positive cues to action.—A dozen participants indicated that the healthy living messages served as a cue to engage in healthy behaviors. A 57-year-old woman (AA church) commented, "the messages were always coming and it allowed me to think twice about a snack or taking a 4 hr nap when I could be doing something better for my health." Six respondents said they had changed a particular behavior due to the messages.

Comprehensibility.—Everyone who responded to the comprehensibility item (n = 41) said that the messages were easy to understand, indicating a high level of comprehensibility from English- and Spanish-speaking participants. A 66-year-old man (AA church) said the messages were "explained clearly," and a 45-year-old female Spanish-speaking participant said the messages were easy to understand and implement in her daily life.

Frequency.—Most respondents (n = 22 or 66.7%) replied that daily messages were "just right," while 10 respondents (30.3%) said they were excessive. A 57-year-old man (AA church) stated that the messages were "not overbearing. Perfect! No one wants to be texted

every minute of the day lol." In contrast, one woman (AA church) said the messages were too frequent and bothered her while she was in class.

Content relevance.—Most respondents (n = 21 or 77.8%) said that the messages were always or mostly useful to their daily life. Remaining respondents said that messages were sometimes useful. A Spanish-speaking 53-year-old man said the messages specifically motivated him to eat more vegetables. Similarly, a 57-year-old woman (AA church) commented, "the messages helped me a lot when it came to cooking at home, watching TV while snacking, and exercising."

Seven participants commented that information in the messages was not novel, although this was not necessarily portrayed as a negative. For instance, a 67-year-old female email recipient (AA church) commented, "Some of the information I already knew—I'm glad to know I'm doing it right."

In their open responses, 18 participants commented most on diet-related messages compared to messages about cooking or physical activity. A 67-year-old female email participant from an AA church described, "I'm making eating healthier my goal."

Message sharing and program recommendation.—The 32 respondents to this item reported sharing at least one message with another person. In total, 16 people elaborated, such as a 48-year-old Spanish-speaking woman who liked being able to either forward a text or verbally share the information. Moreover, 24 respondents (92.3%) said they would recommend the program to a family member or friend. Only two respondents said they would not recommend it.

Self-efficacy, encouragement, and reinforcement.—Five people responded that the messages increased their self-efficacy. For instance, a 39-year-old Spanish-speaking woman stated, "I learned how to cook and how to eat healthy." Relatedly, eight people described the messages as encouraging. A 29-year-old male from an AA church mentioned, "it doesn't come across as nagging," while a 63-year-old Spanish-speaking woman said the messages were motivating.

Discussion

Overall, we found this messaging intervention feasible to implement and acceptable to churchgoing AAs and Latinos with high rates of obesity and chronic diseases. Using process evaluation, we identified various ways in which the messages impacted participants' health behaviors and decision-making. Furthermore, this study provides new insights about employing mobile messaging, such as enrollment, retention, message content, participant satisfaction, and alignment with health behavior theory.

Potential to reach underserved populations

We recruited participants and delivered healthy living messages within a multi-level, church-based intervention and demonstrated the acceptability of this intervention among a population that is underrepresented in health research.⁵³ While previous studies have

conducted messaging interventions with AA^{15,22} or Latino populations,^{24–26} we are not aware of any previous mobile messaging interventions that served members of both communities through a single, faith-based program. Our process evaluation showed high acceptability in AA and Latino churches, and there were no significant differences in responses to multiple-choice items across the two church types. Moreover, our study sample comprised predominately older (average age: 53 years), mostly female (76.6%) AAs and Latinos. While one study suggested older churchgoing AAs would not be receptive to health information transmitted electronically,⁵⁴ our study found high satisfaction with a mobile messaging intervention across the entire sample, including among older adults. In addition, these study participants reported, with the exception of smoking, relatively high rates of cardiovascular risk factors.⁵⁵ The majority of Latino study participants (72.9%) were foreign-born, and a third reported having no health insurance (32.7%). Chronic diseases are more likely to go undiagnosed among recent Mexican immigrants compared to the US-born, ⁵⁶ and among individuals without health insurance.⁵⁷ These factors indicate even greater health risk than what we observed.

Over a quarter (26.0%) of all participants opted to receive messages in Spanish. Satisfaction rates were high among both Spanish and English speakers, indicating that mobile messaging may be an effective approach for engaging with foreign-born Latinos, who may experience language and trust barriers that limit their participation in research studies.⁵⁸

Our recruitment practices incorporated lessons learned from prior studies to increase the study's reach. For instance, by enrolling participants on-site, we were able to avoid low or biased enrollment. ²⁴ Over a third of participants chose email, which might have reduced barriers to participation among participants concerned about wireless charges or who considered text messages too intrusive. ⁴⁰

High acceptability and satisfaction with daily health promotion messaging

The process evaluation results demonstrated that respondents considered the messages helpful and encouraging. Many said that they would recommend the program to a friend, which is an indication of satisfaction,⁵⁹ and the frequency of messages (daily) was generally acceptable. These positive responses were consistent across the email and text modalities, for both Spanish and English speakers, and for participants from AA as well as Latino churches. More respondents mentioned an impact on their eating habits than on physical activity. This may reflect that a stronger dosage of messages had greater impact,⁴¹ as 8 of the 17 weeks specifically targeted healthy eating, and only 4 weeks focused on physical activity (the other 5 weeks covered a mix of topics).

Prior health promotion mobile messaging studies have sent messages at a variety of frequencies, including daily for 1 month,⁶⁰ thrice daily for 12 weeks,²⁵ or four messages weekly for 6 months.⁶¹ Greater frequency and longer intervention are considered more effective for behavior change.^{28,41} We found that delivering daily messages for 4 months was feasible and acceptable to most participants. As nearly one-third (30.3%) of the sample thought daily messages were excessive, future interventions may consider offering varying levels of frequency.

Drop-out rates are another indication of participant satisfaction.⁵⁹ Drop-out has tended to be low for mobile messaging interventions;⁶² for instance, a study that augmented weight loss workshops with text messages experienced 16 percent drop-out.⁶³ Similarly, this study's 4.5 percent drop-out rate was low. This may reflect the relatively passive nature of our intervention, as participants could have stopped reviewing the messages without requesting to drop-out. Other factors may include use of positive, encouraging messages. Prior research found that participants preferred such content,^{37,64} and our participants confirmed this in their feedback. Moreover, because this mobile messaging program was integrated into a larger church-based intervention, which itself was developed using a community-based participatory process, participants may have felt more connected to the intervention and its goals. Our findings align with church-based prostate cancer programming where less than 2 percent of participants requested to stop receiving text messages.²²

Limitations

A limitation of this study, like some other mobile messaging studies, ^{22,65} was that the underlying technology made the intervention more passive and made it difficult to confirm how many respondents viewed the messages, thus impeding our understanding of the intervention's reach. It is also possible that some messages were not received due to erroneous contact information or unknown technical glitches. Relying on handwritten contact information from participants also contributed to initial legibility issues. Future studies should consider having participants write contact information twice and/or enter it directly into an electronic database.

Another limitation was a low response rate to the process evaluation questions. Fewer than half of the mobile messaging participants responded to any process evaluation questions, and the per-item response rate was never more than 33 percent. It is possible that some participants became accustomed to passively receiving messages and did not feel the need to respond. Regardless, a low response rate may imply biased responses, depending on the reasons for nonresponse, ⁶⁶ and this limits the interpretability of our findings. The brevity of text messages may have also limited the ability of some respondents to describe their experiences. Close-ended questions related to Health Belief Model constructs may have been more informative than open-ended questions in elucidating how well that theory explains participants' experiences. Furthermore, while mobile modalities are increasingly used for data collection due to their convenience and relative accuracy compared to traditional approaches, ^{67–70} future process evaluations might consider in-person or phone interviews to increase response rates and/or allow for richer open-ended responses.

Recommendations for future research and practice

Future interventions might enhance mobile messaging effectiveness through various approaches. First, while our messages were sometimes tailored to the church, they were rarely personalized for individuals. Personalizing messages to an individual's baseline health behaviors—for instance, not sending messages about soda consumption to participants who report not drinking soda—could make the content more relevant.⁶¹ In addition, participants could draft their own motivational messages and/or choose which messages they want to receive. ^{15,25} While we responded to messages from respondents who contacted us, our

intervention primarily used one-way communication; future interventions should consider encouraging bidirectional communication to foster greater engagement.²⁸ Moreover, future interventions could consider additional technology, for example, wearable devices such as pedometers, to enable remote monitoring and feedback.⁷¹

The process evaluation response rate was considerably lower among email recipients than text recipients, confirming prior findings that in dual-modality messaging interventions, email participants were less engaged. We did not find evidence that the text message participants considered the intervention more intrusive than email participants. Future interventions may consider using text messages as a default and offer email only to those who explicitly decline texts.

Future studies should continue testing which behavior theories best align with the effects of mobile messaging. ⁴⁶ We found that the Health Belief Model's cue to action construct was useful in explaining how this intervention influenced participants' eating, cooking, and physical activity behaviors. There was little evidence for other Health Belief Model constructs, potentially because the process evaluation did not include explicit questions about them.

Conclusion

This study presents new approaches to participant recruitment and message content and describes participant responses to a church-based mobile messaging program. We provide evidence that the intervention was acceptable to attendees of AA Protestant churches and Latino Catholic churches, including monolingual Spanish speakers and older adults. We conclude that mobile messaging can be integrated into a multi-level church-based healthy living intervention with sociodemographically diverse participants.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Vish Chatterji and Edward Skolarus for their support in configuring their message platform OpenVersa to send our daily messages. They are also grateful to the study's Community Steering Committee, especially Rev. Michael A. Mata and Rev. Dr. Clyde W. Oden, who provided excellent guidance and counsel throughout the study. Finally, they acknowledge Rachana Seelam at RAND, who provided programming assistance; Beth Ann Griffin, who provided guidance regarding our statistical analysis; and Dominic Lathos of the Episcopal Urban Intern Program, who helped implement the process evaluation and code interviews.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article: The study was supported by the National Institute of Minority Health and Health Disparities (grant no. R24MD007943, K.P.D.); Dr D.D.P. was supported by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (grant no. T32HS00046). The contents of this article are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not represent the official views of NIMHD or AHRQ.

References

- 1. Kopelman P. Health risks associated with overweight and obesity. Obes Rev 2007; 8(Suppl. 1): 13–17. [PubMed: 17316295]
- Bischoff SC, Boirie Y, Cederholm T, et al. Towards a multidisciplinary approach to understand and manage obesity and related diseases. Clin Nutr 2016; 36: 917–938. [PubMed: 27890486]

3. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, et al. Prevalence of childhood and adult obesity in the United States, 2011–2012. JAMA 2014; 311(8): 806–814. [PubMed: 24570244]

- 4. McLeroy KR, Bibeau D, Steckler A, et al. An ecological perspective on health promotion programs. Health Educ Quart 1988; 15(4): 351–377.
- 5. Davis DT, Bustamante A, Brown CP, et al. The urban church and cancer control: a source of social influence in minority communities. Public Health Rep 1994; 109(4): 500. [PubMed: 8041849]
- 6. Peterson J, Atwood JR and Yates B. Key elements for church-based health promotion programs: outcome-based literature review. Public Health Nurs 2002; 19(6): 401–411. [PubMed: 12406175]
- 7. Jacob Arriola KR, Hermstad A, St Clair Flemming S, et al. Promoting policy and environmental change in faith-based organizations: outcome evaluation of a mini-grants program. Health Promot Pract 2016; 17(1): 146–155. [PubMed: 26546507]
- 8. Parker VG, Coles C, Logan BN, et al. The LIFE project: a community-based weight loss intervention program for rural African American women. Fam Community Health 2010; 33(2): 133–143. [PubMed: 20216356]
- 9. Barnhart JM, Mossavar-Rahmani Y, Nelson M, et al. An innovative, culturally-sensitive dietary intervention to increase fruit and vegetable intake among African-American women: a pilot study. Top Clin Nutr 1998; 13: 63–71.
- Campbell MK, Demark-Wahnefried W, Symons M, et al. Fruit and vegetable consumption and prevention of cancer: the Black Churches United for Better Health project. Am J Public Health 1999; 89(9): 1390–1396. [PubMed: 10474558]
- Campbell MK, Motsinger BM, Ingram A, et al. The North Carolina Black Churches United for Better Health project: intervention and process evaluation. Health Educ Behav 2000; 27(2): 241– 253. [PubMed: 10768805]
- 12. Ivester P, Sergeant S, Danhauer SC, et al. Effect of a multifaceted, church-based wellness program on metabolic syndrome in 41 overweight or obese congregants. Prev Chronic Dis 2010; 7(4): A81.
- 13. Arredondo EM, Elder JP, Haughton J, et al. Fe en Acción: promoting physical activity among churchgoing Latinas. Am J Public Health 2017; 107(7): 1109–1115. [PubMed: 28520484]
- 14. Lancaster K, Carter-Edwards L, Grilo S, et al. Obesity interventions in African American faith-based organizations: a systematic review. Obes Rev 2014; 15(Suppl. 4): 159–176.
- 15. Gerber BS, Stolley MR, Thompson AL, et al. Mobile phone text messaging to promote healthy behaviors and weight loss maintenance: a feasibility study. Health Inform J 2009; 15(1): 17–25.
- 16. Heron KE and Smyth JM. Ecological momentary interventions: incorporating mobile technology into psychosocial and health behaviour treatments. Brit J Health Psych 2010; 15(Pt 1): 1–39.
- 17. Siopis G, Chey T and Allman-Farinelli M. A systematic review and meta-analysis of interventions for weight management using text messaging. J Hum Nutr Diet 2015; 28: 1–15.
- 18. O'Reilly GA and Spruijt-Metz D. Current mHealth technologies for physical activity assessment and promotion. Am J Prev Med 2013; 45(4): 501–507. [PubMed: 24050427]
- McCoy P, Leggett S, Bhuiyan A, et al. Text messaging: an intervention to increase physical activity among African American participants in a faith-based, competitive weight loss program. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2017; 14(4): 326.
- 20. Skolarus LE, Cowdery J, Dome M, et al. Reach out churches: a community-based participatory research pilot trial to assess the feasibility of a mobile health technology intervention to reduce blood pressure among African Americans. Health Promot Pract 2018; 19(4): 495–505. [PubMed: 28583024]
- 21. Vaughn NA, Brown D, Reyes BO, et al. A 40-day journey to better health: utilizing the DanielFast to improve health outcomes in urban church-based settings. Healthcare 2018; 6(1): 25.
- 22. Le D, Holt CL, Saunders DR, et al. Feasibility and acceptability of SMS text messaging in a prostate cancer educational intervention for African American men. Health Inform J 2016; 22(4): 932–947.
- 23. Derose KP, Williams MV, Flórez KR, et al. Eat, pray, move: a pilot cluster randomized controlled trial of a multilevel church-based intervention to address obesity among African Americans and Latinos. Am J Health Promot 2019; 33: 586–596. [PubMed: 30474376]
- 24. Speirs KE, Grutzmacher SK, Munger AL, et al. Recruitment and retention in an SMS-based health education program: lessons learned from Text2BHealthy. Health Inform J 2016; 22(3): 651–658.

25. Buchholz SW, Ingram D, Wilbur J, et al. Bilingual Text4Walking food service employee intervention pilot study. JMIR mHealth uHealth 2016; 4(2): e68.

- 26. Kolodziejczyk JK, Norman GJ, Barrera-Ng A, et al. Feasibility and effectiveness of an automated bilingual text message intervention for weight loss: pilot study. JMIR Res Protoc 2013; 2(2): e48.
- 27. Handley MA, Harleman E, Gonzalez-Mendez E, et al. Applying the COM-B model to creation of an IT-enabled health coaching and resource linkage program for low-income Latina moms with recent gestational diabetes: the STAR MAMA program. Implement Sci 2016; 11(1): 73. [PubMed: 27193580]
- 28. Park LG, Beatty A, Stafford Z, et al. Mobile phone interventions for the secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2016; 58(6): 639–650. [PubMed: 27001245]
- 29. Los Angeles County Department of Public Health, Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology. Key indicators of health by Service Planning Area, http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/docs/HealthNews/KeyIndicators-3-13.pdf (2013, accessed 10 November 2017).
- Los Angeles County Department of Public Health, Office of Planning, Evaluation and Development. Supplement to Community Health Assessment: Service Planning Area 6: South, http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/plan/docs/SPA6Supplement.pdf (2014, accessed 10 November 2017).
- 31. Derose KP, Williams MV, Branch CA, et al. A community-partnered approach to developing church-based interventions to reduce health disparities among African-Americans and Latinos. J Racial Ethn Health Disparities 2019; 6: 254–264. [PubMed: 30120736]
- 32. Dalal S, Khodyakov D, Srinivasan R, et al. ExpertLens: a system for eliciting opinions from a large pool of non-collocated experts with diverse knowledge. Technol Forecast Soc Change 2011; 78: 1426–1444.
- United States Department of Agriculture, https://www.choosemyplate.gov/ (2016, accessed 10 November 2017).
- 34. Wilcox S, Laken M, Parrott AW, et al. The faith, activity, and nutrition (FAN) program: design of a participatory research intervention to increase physical activity and improve dietary habits in African American churches. Contemp Clin Trials 2010; 31(4): 323–335. [PubMed: 20359549]
- 35. Willoughby JF and Furberg R. Underdeveloped or underreported? Coverage of pretesting practices and recommendations for design of text message—based health behavior change interventions. J Health Commun 2015; 20: 472–478. [PubMed: 25749250]
- 36. Partridge SR, Allman-Farinelli M, McGeechan K, et al. Process evaluation of TXT2BFiT: a multi-component mHealth randomised controlled trial to prevent weight gain in young adults. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2016; 13: 7. [PubMed: 26785637]
- 37. Maar MA, Yeates K, Toth Z, et al. Unpacking the black box: a formative research approach to the development of theory-driven, evidence-based, and culturally safe text messages in mobile health interventions. JMIR mHealth uHealth 2016; 4(1): e10.
- 38. Free C, Phillips G, Galli L, et al. The effectiveness of mobile-health technology-based health behaviour change or disease management interventions for health care consumers: a systematic review. PLos Med 2013; 10(1): e1001362.
- 39. Antypas K and Wangberg SC. An internet-and mobile-based tailored intervention to enhance maintenance of physical activity after cardiac rehabilitation: short-term results of a randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res 2014; 16(3): e77.
- Moon RY, Hauck FR, Kellams AL, et al. Comparison of text messages versus email when communicating and querying with mothers about safe infant sleep. Acad Pediatr 2017; 17(8): 871– 878. [PubMed: 28606858]
- 41. Armanasco AA, Miller YD, Fjeldsoe BS, et al. Preventive health behavior change text message interventions: a meta-analysis. Am J Prev Med 2017; 52(3): 391–402. [PubMed: 28073656]
- 42. Gutierrez C and Linnemeyer S. Feasibility and acceptability of Wisepill and SMS social norms messaging for HIV+ adolescents in Kampala, Uganda In: Wireless health, Bethesda, MD, 14–16 10 2015 San Diego, CA: Wireless-Life Sciences Alliance.
- 43. Gazmararian JA, Elon L, Yang B, et al. Text4baby program: an opportunity to reach underserved pregnant and postpartum women? Matern Child Healt J 2014; 18(1): 223–232.
- 44. StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 15. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC, 2017.

- 45. Ryan GW and Bernard HR. Techniques to identify themes. Field Method 2003; 15: 85-109.
- 46. Evans WD, Wallace JL and Snider J. Pilot evaluation of the text4baby mobile health program. BMC Public Health 2012; 12: 1031. [PubMed: 23181985]
- 47. Bull S and Ezeanochie N. From Foucault to Freire through Facebook: toward an integrated theory of mHealth. Health Educ Behav 2016; 43(4): 399–411. [PubMed: 26384499]
- 48. Champion VL and Skinner CS. The health belief model In: Glanz K, Rimer BK and Viswanath K (eds) Health behavior and health education: theory, research, and practice. 4th edn San Francisco, CA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 2008, pp. 45–65.
- 49. Reback CJ, Fletcher JB, Shoptaw S, et al. Exposure to theory-driven text messages is associated with HIV risk reduction among methamphetamine-using men who have sex with men. AIDS Behav 2015; 19(Suppl. 2): 130–141. [PubMed: 25563501]
- Kamal AK, Shaikh Q, Pasha O, et al. A randomized controlled behavioral intervention trial to improve medication adherence in adult stroke patients with prescription tailored Short Messaging Service (SMS)-SMS4Stroke study. BMC Neurol 2015; 15: 212. [PubMed: 26486857]
- 51. Schluter P, Lee M, Hamilton G, et al. Keep on brushing: a longitudinal study of motivational text messaging in young adults aged 18–24 years receiving work and income support. J Public Health Dent 2015; 75(2): 118–125. [PubMed: 25409935]
- 52. Odeny TA, Newman M, Bukusi EA, et al. Developing content for a mHealth intervention to promote postpartum retention in prevention of mother-to-child HIV transmission programs and early infant diagnosis of HIV: a qualitative study. PLoS ONE 2014; 9(9): e106383.
- 53. Yancey AK, Ortega AN and Kumanyika SK. Effective recruitment and retention of minority research participants. Annu Rev Public Heal 2006; 27: 1–28.
- 54. Holt CL, Graham-Phillips AL, Mullins CD, et al. Health ministry and activities in African American faith-based organizations: a qualitative examination of facilitators, barriers, and use of technology. J Health Care Poor U 2017; 28(1): 378–388.
- 55. Kurian AK and Cardarelli KM. Racial and ethnic differences in cardiovascular disease risk factors: a systematic review. Ethnic Dis 2007; 17(1): 143–152.
- Barcellos SH, Goldman DP and Smith JP. Undiagnosed disease, especially diabetes, casts doubt on some of reported health "advantage" of recent Mexican immigrants. Health Affair 2012; 31(12): 2727–2737.
- 57. Medford-Davis LN, Fonarow GC, Bhatt DL, et al. Impact of insurance status on outcomes and use of rehabilitation services in acute ischemic stroke: findings from get with the guidelines-stroke. J Am Heart Assoc 2016; 5(11): e004282.
- 58. Lopez-Class M, Cubbins L and Loving AM. Considerations of methodological approaches in the recruitment and retention of immigrant participants. J Racial Ethn Health Disparities 2016; 3(2): 267–280. [PubMed: 27271068]
- Larsen DL, Attkisson CC, Hargreaves WA, et al. Assessment of client/patient satisfaction: development of a general scale. Eval Program Plann 1979; 2(3): 197–207. [PubMed: 10245370]
- 60. O'Brien LM and Palfai TP. Efficacy of a brief web-based intervention with and without SMS to enhance healthy eating behaviors among university students. Eat Behav 2016; 23: 104–109. [PubMed: 27619174]
- 61. Chow CK, Redfern J, Hillis GS, et al. Effect of lifestyle-focused text messaging on risk factor modification in patients with coronary heart disease: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2015; 314(12): 1255–1263. [PubMed: 26393848]
- 62. Cole-Lewis H and Kershaw T. Text messaging as a tool for behavior change in disease prevention and management. Epidemiol Rev 2010; 32: 56–69. [PubMed: 20354039]
- 63. Sidhu MS, Daley A and Jolly K. Evaluation of a text supported weight maintenance programme "Lighten Up Plus" following a weight reduction programme: randomised controlled trial. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2016; 13: 19. [PubMed: 26867588]
- 64. Woolford SJ, Barr KL, Derry HA, et al. OMG do not say LOL: obese adolescents' perspectives on the content of text messages to enhance weight loss efforts. Obesity 2011; 19: 2382–2387. [PubMed: 21869762]
- 65. Evans WD, Abroms LC, Poropatich R, et al. Mobile health evaluation methods: the Text4baby case study. J Health Commun 2012; 17(Suppl. 1): 22–29.

66. Groves RM and Peytcheva E. The impact of nonresponse rates on nonresponse bias: a meta-analysis. Public Opin Quart 2008; 72: 167–189.

- 67. Anhoj J and Moldrup C. Feasibility of collecting diary data from asthma patients through mobile phones and SMS (short message service): response rate analysis and focus group evaluation from a pilot study. J Med Internet Res 2004; 6(4): e42.
- 68. Kew S. Text messaging: an innovative method of data collection in medical research. BMC Res Notes 2010; 3: 342. [PubMed: 21172018]
- 69. Lim MS, Sacks-Davis R, Aitken CK, et al. Randomised controlled trial of paper, online and SMS diaries for collecting sexual behaviour information from young people. J Epidemiol Commun H 2010; 64(10): 885–889.
- 70. Maher JE, Pranian K, Drach L, et al. Using text messaging to contact difficult-to-reach study participants. Am J Public Health 2010; 100(6): 969–970. [PubMed: 20395564]
- 71. Yingling LR, Brooks AT, Wallen GR, et al. Community engagement to optimize the use of webbased and wearable technology in a cardiovascular health and needs assessment study: a mixed methods approach. JMIR mHealth uHealth 2016; 4(2): e38.

Table 1.Messaging health promotion categories, weekly themes, and sample messages.

Health promotion category	Weekly theme	Sample message
Nutrition	Increase fruits and vegetables intake	Make half your plate fruits and veggies. Pick red, orange, and dark green ones like tomatoes, sweet potatoes, and broccoli
Healthy holidays	Create memories and traditions	Blessed Christmas Eve! Give yourself the gift of peace. When the invitations pile up, say "No" to some. The stress of being overbooked is not fun and can be bad for your health
Building healthy eating patterns	Goal setting and monitoring	Attach a time frame to your goal so you can track progress. Instead of saying "I will lose 10 pounds," say, "I will lose 10 pounds in 5 months"
Active living	How much physical activity?	Get your heart pumping! For health benefits, work your way up to 2 h 30 min each week of physical activity that requires moderate effort. A few examples include brisk walking and biking
Home environment	Healthy home environments	Reward your children with attention, not food. Show your love by playing with your kids. Comfort with hugs and talks. Offering sweets as rewards makes children think that desserts are better than other foods

Table 2.

All messaging program participants and process evaluation participants by program delivery mode, language, and church type.

	Baseline mobile messaging participants (N = 131), n $(\%)$	Process evaluation participants (N = 60), n (%)
Program delivery mode		
Text	83 (63.4%)	53 (88.3%)
Email	48 (36.6%)	7 (11.7%)
Language		
English	97 (74.0%)	44 (73.3%)
Spanish	34 (26.0%)	16 (26.7%)
Church type		
African American Protestant	75 (57.3%)	38 (63.3%)
Latino Catholic	56 (42.8%)	22 (36.7%)

 Table 3.

 Sociodemographic and baseline health characteristics of study participants.

	Total $(n = 124)^a$	African American church (n = 69)	Latino church (n = 55)
Age in years, mean (SD)	53.4 (15.6)	55.44 (17.5)	50.85 (12.4)
Gender, n (%)			
Male	29 (23.4%)	13 (18.8%)	16 (29.1%)
Female	95 (76.6%)	56 (81.2%)	39 (70.9%)
Marital status, n (%)			
Single, never married	42 (34.2%)	23 (33.3%)	19 (35.2%)
Married and/or living with partner	53 (43.1%)	27 (39.1%)	26 (48.2%)
Divorced, separated, or widowed	28 (22.8%)	19 (27.5%)	9 (16.7%)
Education, n (%)			
Less than high school	22 (17.7%)	1 (1.5%)	21 (38.2%)
High school or GED	19 (15.3%)	6 (8.7%)	13 (23.6%)
Some college	46 (37.1%)	36 (52.2%)	10 (18.2%)
Bachelor's degree or above	37 (29.8%)	26 (37.7%)	11 (20.0%)
Annual household income, n (%)			
Less than \$19,999	34 (28.3%)	12 (17.9%)	22 (41.5%)
\$20,000-\$39,999	29 (24.2%)	13 (19.4%)	16 (30.2%)
\$40,000-\$59,999	22 (18.3%)	15 (22.4%)	7 (13.2%)
\$60,000-\$69,999	8 (6.7%)	6 (9.0%)	2 (3.8%)
\$70,000-\$99,999	12 (10.0%)	9 (13.4%)	3 (5.7%)
\$100,000 or more	15 (12.5%)	12 (17.9%)	3 (5.7%)
Race/ethnicity, n (%)			
Black or African American	73 (59.7%)	65 (94.2%)	9 (16.4%)
Latino or Hispanic	44 (35.5%)	2 (2.9%)	42 (76.4%)
Asian	1 (0.8%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.8%)
Other	3 (2.4%)	0 (0%)	3 (5.5%)
Multi-racial	2 (1.6%)	2 (2.9%)	0 (0%)
Nativity, n (%)			
US-born	73 (65.8%)	60 (95.2%)	13 (27.1%)
Foreign-born	38 (34.2%)	3 (4.8%)	35 (72.9%)
Health insurance, n $(\%)^b$			
None	22 (17.7%)	4 (5.8%)	18 (32.7%)
Medicaid	27 (21.8%)	16 (23.2%)	11 (20.0%)
Medicare	17 (13.7%)	12 (17.4%)	5 (9.1%)
Private insurance	69 (55.7%)	49 (71.0%)	20 (36.4%)
Self-reported health status, n (%)			
Excellent	6 (4.9%)	3 (4.4%)	3 (5.7%)
Very good	36 (29.5%)	25 (36.2%)	11 (20.8%)
Good	41 (33.6%)	22 (31.9%)	19 (35.9%)

Whitley et al.

African American church (n = 69) Latino church (n = 55)Total $(n = 124)^a$ 37 (30.3%) 19 (35.9%) Fair 18 (26.1%) Poor 2 (1.6%) 1 (1.5%) 1 (1.9%) Body mass index (BMI), n (%) Underweight 1 (0.8%) 0 (0%) 1 (1.8%) Healthy weight 17 (13.7%) 13 (18.8%) 4 (7.3%) 37 (29.8%) 16 (23.2%) 21 (38.2%) Overweight Obese 69 (55.7%) 40 (58.0%) 29 (52.7%) Previous diagnosis of chronic disease, n (%) Heart disease 6 (6.3%) 5 (8.8%) 1 (2.6%) Hypertension 42 (40.4%) 34 (54.0%) 8 (19.5%) 24 (22.9%) 18 (29.0%) 6 (14.0%) Diabetes High cholesterol 27 (33.3%) 20 (45.5%) 7 (18.9%) Smoking, n (%) Not at all 112 (90.3%) 64 (92.8%) 48 (87.3%) Some days 4 (3.2%) 1 (1.5%) 3 (5.5%) Everyday 8 (6.5%) 4 (5.8%) 4 (7.3%)

Page 19

GED: General Education Development.

^a125 people completed the mobile messaging intervention. However, one person did not complete the baseline survey, so results for 124 are presented here.

 $[^]b\!\mathrm{Respondents}$ could select more than one type of health insurance, so frequencies do not sum to 100 percent.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 4.

Responses to close-ended process evaluation questions.

Process evaluation questions	Text, n (%)	Email, n (%)	Spanish, n (%)	English, n (%)	African American Protestant church, n (%)	Latino Catholic church, n (%)
1. How helpful were the messages? a						
Very or somewhat $(n = 36)$, 97.3%	30 (97.8%)	6 (100.0%)	9 (100.0%)	27 (96.4%)	24 (100.0%)	12 (92.3%)
Not at all $(n = 1)$, 2.7%	1 (3.2%)	I	ı	1 (3.6%)	I	1 (7.7%)
2. Were the messages easy to understand? a						
Yes (n = 41), 100.0%	36 (100.0%)	5 (100.0%)	13 (100.0%)	28 (100.0%)	24 (100.0%)	17 (100.0%)
No $(n = 0)$, 0.0%	1	I	ı	ı	I	I
3. Was getting a message every day a						
Too much $(n = 10)$, 30.3%	7 (25.9%)	3 (50.0%)	I	10 (34.5%)	7 (28.0%)	3 (37.%)
Just right (n = 22), 66.7%	19 (70.4%)	3 (50.0%)	4 (100.0%)	18 (62.1%)	17 (68.0%)	5 (62.5%)
Not enough $(n = 1)$, 3.0%	1 (3.7%)	I	ı	1 (3.4%)	1 (4.0%)	I
4. Did you share messages with a family member or friend? a						
Yes (n = 32), 100.0%	30 (100.0%)	2 (100.0%)	7 (100.0%)	25 (100.0%)	21 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)
No $(n = 0)$, 0.0%	ı	I	ı	ı	I	I
5. How often were messages useful to your daily life \mathfrak{I}^a						
Always or mostly $(n = 21)$, 77.8%	19 (79.2%)	2 (66.7%)	6 (85.7%)	15 (75.0%)	13 (72.2%)	8 (88.9%)
Sometimes $(n = 6), 22.2\%$	5 (20.8%)	1 (33.3%)	1 (14.3%)	5 (25.0%)	5 (27.8%)	1 (11.1%)
Never $(n = 0), 0.0\%$	1	I	ı	ı	I	I
6. Would you recommend the program to a family member or friend? $^{\it a}$						
Yes $(n = 24)$, 92.3%	21 (95.5%)	3 (75.0%)	4 (100.0%)	20 (90.9%)	16 (88.9%)	8 (100.0%)
No $(n = 2)$, 7.7%	1 (4.5%)	1 (25.0%)	ı	2 (9.1%)	2 (11.1%)	I

To control for multiple comparisons, we applied the Holm-Bonferroni sequential correction. Because there were no significant differences to begin with, controlling for multiple comparisons did not change the results.

a According to the results of Fisher's exact tests, there were no statistically significant differences in the responses to each items based on text versus email message mode, language, or church type.