# **UCSF**

# **UC San Francisco Previously Published Works**

## **Title**

Regulatory T cells: recommendations to simplify the nomenclature

# **Permalink**

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/75m8c11s

# Journal

Nature Immunology, 14(4)

#### **ISSN**

1529-2908

## **Authors**

Abbas, Abul K Benoist, Christophe Bluestone, Jeffrey A et al.

#### **Publication Date**

2013-04-01

## DOI

10.1038/ni.2554

Peer reviewed

# gdu

# Regulatory T cells: recommendations to simplify the nomenclature

#### To the Editor:

Regulatory T cells (T $_{\rm reg}$  cells) have attracted much interest from both basic and clinical immunologists. Although questions remain about their fundamental biology and their clinical potential has yet to be fully realized, considerable advances have been made in the understanding of the differentiation, homeostasis and function of  $T_{reg}$  cells. This new knowledge has led to a substantial increase in the number of Foxp3+ T<sub>reg</sub> cell subpopulations described in the literature and consequently to an increase in the use of new abbreviations and terminology. Furthermore, as the understanding of  $T_{\rm reg}$  cell biology has grown, so too has the realization that some aspects of the original terminology are no longer accurate, and its use has become less stringent. At the Third International Conference on Regulatory T Cells and Th Subsets and Clinical Application in Human Diseases held in Shanghai, China, on 13–16 October 2012, a small workshop was convened to discuss  $T_{reg}$ cell nomenclature and to develop several recommendations. We hasten to add that this is simply a list of recommendations, and it remains the prerogative of journals to develop their own editorial preferences and for the authors to use the nomenclature they feel best suits their manuscript. However, we support the recommendations noted below.

There were three general issues that provided the momentum for this workshop. First, the terms used for the principal Foxp3 $^+$  T<sub>reg</sub> cell populations—those that differentiate in the thymus, those that differentiate in the periphery and those generated in vitro—are not ideal, as these are, to some extent, inaccurate, ambiguous and/or uninformative. For example, the widely used term 'natural  $T_{\rm reg}$  cell' is misleading and ambiguous, as it indicates that all other Foxp $3^+$   ${\rm T_{reg}}$  cell populations are 'unnatural'. It also does not convey any useful or accurate information. One feature of these Foxp3+  $T_{reg}$  cell populations that is more informative is the anatomical location of their differentiation. Instead, use of the terms 'thymus', 'periphery' and 'in vitro' provides a clear indication of whence the Foxp3 $^+$  T $_{\rm reg}$  cells in question are derived. Thus, we would recommend that 'natural Foxp3+  $T_{reg}$  cells' instead be referred to as 'thymus-derived  $T_{reg}$  cells' (**Box 1**). Consistent with the rationale above, we would recommend that Foxp3+  $\rm T_{\rm reg}$  cells that differentiate in the periphery be referred to as 'peripherally derived T<sub>reg</sub> cells' rather than 'induced or adaptive T<sub>reg</sub> cells' (Box 1). In this context, we noted that terms used to define a  $T_{\text{reg}}$  cell subpopulation, such as 'induced T<sub>reg</sub> cells', are often used when the location of their differentiation is unclear. Thus, we would suggest that the newly recommended terms 'thymus-derived  $T_{reg}$  cells' and 'peripherally derived  $T_{reg}$  cells' be used only when the anatomical location of their differentiation has been clearly demonstrated. When the origin of the  $T_{reg}$  cell being studied is unclear, the general term 'Foxp3+  $T_{\rm reg}$  cell' would be more appropriate. Finally, to clearly distinguish between Foxp3 $^+$  T $_{\rm reg}$  cell populations that are generated in vivo versus those generated in vitro, we would suggest

that the term 'in vitro–induced  $T_{reg}$  cells' be used for all Foxp3<sup>+</sup>  $T_{reg}$  cell populations generated *ex vivo*, such as those generated through the use of transforming growth factor- $\beta$  (Box 1).

Second, there has been a growing tendency to use terms such as 'T $_{\rm reg}$  cells' or 'iT $_{\rm reg}$  cells' when confirmation of such identity is lacking. The frequent use of the term 'T $_{\rm reg}$  cells' has been a particular problem in studies focusing on human T $_{\rm reg}$  cells, as activated conventional T cells can also express Foxp3. The term 'T $_{\rm reg}$  cell' should be used only when it is clear that the cells have (or had) suppressive ability or have a transcriptional, epigenetic and/or protein-expression signature that suggests that the cells in question are, beyond a reasonable doubt, Foxp3+ T $_{\rm reg}$  cell' (Box 1). Also, as indicated above, the terms 'thymusderived T $_{\rm reg}$  cell' and 'peripherally derived T $_{\rm reg}$  cells' should be used only when the anatomical location of their development has been clearly demonstrated.

Third, there has been progressive growth in the development and use of new  $\rm T_{reg}$  cell terminology that is likely to lead to more confusion and the further 'jargonization' of immunology. Until a new population has been extensively demonstrated to be unique, distinct from other populations and stable, we would recommend not coining new terms for such subpopulations (Box 1). Instead, we would encourage investigators to identify them through the use of prominent aspects of their expression pattern, such as a transcription factor or cytokine. Although the focus of this Correspondence has been CD4+Foxp3+  $\rm T_{reg}$  cell populations, many CD4-Foxp3-  $\rm T_{reg}$  cell populations have been described, and we would similarly recommend that new terms be used to describe these only when their identity and stability have been clearly defined.

# Box 1 T<sub>reg</sub> cell nomenclature recommendations

- 1. 'Thymus-derived  $T_{reg}$  cell ( $tT_{reg}$  cell)' should be used instead of 'natural  $T_{reg}$  cell ( $nT_{reg}$  cell)'.
- 2. 'Peripherally derived  $T_{reg}$  cell (p $T_{reg}$  cell)' should be used instead of 'induced or adaptive  $T_{reg}$  cell (i $T_{reg}$  cell or a $T_{reg}$  cell)'.
- 3. 'In vitro–induced  $T_{reg}$  cell (i $T_{reg}$  cell)' should be used to clearly distinguish between those  $T_{reg}$  cell populations generated *in vivo* versus those generated *in vitro*.
- $4.\ T_{\text{reg}}$  cell terms should be used only when there is definitive evidence justifying their use.
- 5. The development and use of new T<sub>reg</sub> cell terminology should be limited, especially for subpopulations.

Abul K Abbas<sup>1,14</sup>, Christophe Benoist<sup>2,14</sup>, Jeffrey A Bluestone<sup>3,14</sup>, Daniel J Campbell<sup>4,14</sup>, Sankar Ghosh<sup>5,14</sup>, Shohei Hori<sup>6,14</sup>, Shuiping Jiang<sup>7,14</sup>, Vijay K Kuchroo<sup>8,14</sup>, Diane Mathis<sup>2,14</sup>, Maria Grazia Roncarolo<sup>9,14</sup>, Alexander Rudensky<sup>10,14</sup>, Shimon Sakaguchi<sup>11,14</sup>, Ethan M Shevach<sup>12,14</sup>, Dario A A Vignali<sup>13,14</sup> & Steve F Ziegler<sup>4,14</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pathology, School of Medicine, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA. <sup>2</sup>Division of Immunology, Department of Microbiology and Immunobiology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. <sup>3</sup>Diabetes Center, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA. <sup>4</sup>Immunology Program, Benaroya Research Institute, Seattle, Washington, USA. <sup>5</sup>Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons, New York, New York, USA. <sup>6</sup>Research Unit for Immune

Homeostasis, RIKEN Research Center for Allergy and Immunology, Yokohama, Japan. <sup>7</sup>Immunis Clinic, Beijing, China. <sup>8</sup>Center for Neurologic Diseases, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. <sup>9</sup>San Raffaele Telethon Institute for Gene Therapy, Division of Regenerative Medicine, Stem Cells and Gene Therapy, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy. <sup>10</sup>Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York, USA. <sup>11</sup>Laboratory of Experimental Immunology, World Premier Initiative Immunology Frontier Research Center, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan. <sup>12</sup>Laboratory of Immunology, National Institutes of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA. <sup>13</sup>Department of Immunology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, Tennessee, USA. <sup>14</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work. e-mail: dario.vignali@stjude.org

