Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

A REMOTELY-OPERATED LOW BACKGROUND GERMANIUM MULTI-DETECTOR SPECTROMETER SYSTEM

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7783k54s>

Author

Goulding, F.S.

Publication Date 1986-05-01

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098

64512-184

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

LOGISTICAL ASPECTS OF THE DESIGN

F.S. Goulding, C.A. Cork, D.A. Landis, P.N. Luke, N.W. Madden, D.F. Malone, R.H. Pehl, A.R. Smith Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720 U.S.A.

and

D.O. Caldwell, R.M. Eisberg, D.M. Grumm, D.L. Hale and M.S. Witherell University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106 U.S.A.

ABSTRACT

A system of data acquisition, data analysis, monitoring and gain stabilization used in a long-term, low background germanium double beta decay experiment is described. This system, which is essential to the remote underground operation of the spectrometer, may provide a model for similar experiments.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years there has been a substantial increase in interest in non-accelerator experiments designed to detect very rare processes. Usually these experiments consist of a complex detector array operated underground to reduce the effects of cosmic ray background. In addition, the design of the system, choice of materials, etc., are all aimed toward removing background due to natural uranium and thorium decay chains in the local environment.

Fig. 1 Exploded view of the complete detector assembly with the upper layer raised.

"

We are conducting one of these experiments 700 ft under the Oroville Power Dam in Northern California. This experiment is designed to detect decays of 76Ge atoms by simultaneous emission of two beta-particles (double beta decay mode) in Ge detectors; the half life limit we expect to be able to set in two years of operation approaches 10^{24} yrs which corresponds to ~0.5 disintegrations/year/kilogram of natural germanium. The detector system contains eight large volume
(160 cm³) coaxial Ge detectors (total mass 7.5 kg) surrounded by a Compton shield containing 10 very large (-6"x6"x12 to 20") NaI scintillation detectors. This detector array is enclosed in a low-activity lead shield weighing almost 15 tons. Figures 1 and 2, taken

from previous papers^{1,2}, show the detector assembly and the photograph (Fig. 3) shows a top view of the partially assembled detector system. After 18 months of operation, no neutrinoless double beta decay events, (at a half life limit of 4xl023 yrs) have been observed. While continuing the double beta decay experiment we intend to use the same system, suitably augmented, to look for events caused by collisions in the detectors of WIMPS (weakly interacting massive particles) postulated to be contained in the universe's dark matter through which we travel at high velocity.

Fig. 2 Exploded view of the lower layers of detectors.

In common with all similar experiments, we must face the problem of background removal and of very careful labeling of individual events to permit detailed and critical study of candidate events by the scientific community if such events are observed. Furthermore, the experiment is operated remotely from our laboratory and unattended operation for prolonged periods is essential. These factors have resulted in the approach to the experiment and equipment design discussed in this paper.

One special feature of experiments using Ge detectors is the need to provide liquid nitrogen to cool the detectors. The procedure used in our case is to use four cryostats (3 liters) - one for each dualdetector system. Associated with each system is a backup 50 liter supply dewar and an automatic monitoring and transfer system that replenishes each local 3 liter cryostat about every 20 hrs (under command of a computer that monitors liquid nitrogen levels as well as many other parameters on an hourly basis). Visits are made to the Oroville site every 10 days or so to collect the latest data tapes and to refill the 50 liter supply dewars. The liquid nitrogen monitoring and transfer system was described in an earlier paper3.

Fig. 3 Photograph of the detector assembly in its shield with the associated electronics in the
nower room of the Oroville Power Dam. The expower room of the Oroville Power Dam. citors for the turbine generators are in the background.

Another important feature of the system is the provision of non-interruptable power. In initial tests we were surprised to find that power is interrupted often for short periods of time in this location despite (or because of) its proximity to the hydro-electric source.
A battery-operated 1800 watt non-interruptable power supply capable of handling 30 min of full system operation is used to overcome this problem.

The detector system itself was also fully described earlier¹,² and the interested reader is referred to these gapers. Results have been presented in other pa-pers4,5. The focus here will be on the data acquisi-

tion and system monitoring equipment and on the remote processing of the data.

THE DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEM

Figure 4 is a diagram of the data acquisition and monitoring system employed in the experiment. Also
shown in this figure is the non-interruptable power supply, the liquid nitrogen supply system and the redundant system of ion pump power supplies with battery backup.

The data acquisition system performs the following functions:

- a) Eight preamplifiers and linear amplifiers are used to process the Ge detector signals. Because good resolution at high energies (where the ballistic deficit is an important contributor) is required, and the low counting rates permit long shaping times, a Gaussian pulse shape peaking in $8 \mu s$ is employed.
- b) Signals from the preamplifier outputs also feed $fast$ amplifiers (0.5 μ s integration and differentiation) and discriminators to develop a master trigger for the data acquisition system when any Ge detector finds an event above a threshold of ~40 keV. No data is recorded by the main data acquisition system unless this condition is met. An auxiliary monitoring system containing IBM PC #2 is used to check the operation of the NaI detectors without requiring signals from Ge detectors.
- c) The germanium signals feed l3-bit (8192 channels) ADC's that are gated on by a $10 \mu s$ waveform genera-ted from the master trigger.
- d) The 10 NaI detector photomultiplier output signals are amplified and shaped $(2 \mu s)$ peaking Gaussian) by linear amplifiers and the outputs from these amplifiers feed 8-bit ADC's operating on the large scale digitizer principle (i.e., a common-ramp multiple-
channel Wilkinson-type ADC). Each ADC contains 8 channels and the units can be cascaded.
- e) Interface units associated with the Ge l3-bit ADC block and the NaI ADC's provide for sequential scanning of the data and feeding a stream of l6-bit words characterizing each event into a GPIO interface on an HP9836 computer.

XBl 8612-3897

.l

Fig. 4 Block diagram of the detector assembly, processing electronics, monitoring and data acquisition systems.

- f) The main purpose of the HP9836 computer is to buffer event by event data in a 20,000 byte memory
buffer, then to transfer the data to a 64 Mbyte hard disk associated with the computer. These transfers occur about every 2 hrs. Certain histograms are also generated by the computer to permit an immediate check on the validity of data as needed. A streamer tape unit associated with the HP9836 computer is used to record the data from the disk. This tape is taken to the laboratory at the end of each 10-day run.
- g) A timer with 1 second resolution is provided and the time since 00.00 hrs, 1/1/85, is recorded as part of the data stream with each event.

Fig. 5 Showing the recorded data format for events. A condition on recording an event is that at least one Ge detector must register a signal.

Event data is recorded in the format shown in Fig. 5. Each event consists of a set of 16-bit words with the most significant bit (#15) indicating whether the word is an identifying tag word or real data. In tag words, the value of bits #11-14 indicate the type of tag (#1 = time data, #2 = Ge detector data, #3 = first group of eight NaI detectors, #4 = second group of NaI detector data). In each case, the tag word is followed by a series of data words presenting the data associated with the tag. For example,

- a) The time tag word is followed by a 15-bit number containing the time data for the event.
- b) Each of the last 8 bits of the NaI and Ge tag words indicate which detectors contain data.
- c) Ge detector data words contain the ADC data (last 13 bits), an indicator bit #13 showing whether the data refers to a reference pulser pulse (see next section) and another indicater bit #14 showing whether the signal has a slow component. The slow component indicator is not used at the present time, but is available for use in the future.

..

d) NaI detector data is structured similarly to the Ge detector data but only bits #0-7 are employed to represent ADC data. Bits #8- 10 provide a (redundant) indication of the NaI detector 10#.

THE MONITORING SYSTEM

The traditional method of carrying out a remote long-term experiment involves the use of graduate stu-

dents located at the experiment site. The ready availability of personal computers and modems makes it possible to monitor an experiment remotely with less frustration for all concerned. Therefore, the decision was made to provide a complete remote monitoring system for this experiment.

Elements of the monitoring system are shown in Fig . 4. An IBM PC located at the experiment reads monitoring information from these elements hourly and automatically transmits twice daily, sending the monitoring data to another IBM PC at LBL. If the telephone transmission fails (a rare occurence), the computer at the experiment automatically dials again each hour until a successful transmission is made. Validity of the received data is checked and if errors are detected, the data is retransmitted. The system is also protected from interference by casual telephone callers - a problem that has proved important in our experience.

Printout of the monitoring data can be initiated at any time at LBL. A typical output is shown in Fig. 6; this will be used in the following discussion of the monitoring system. The following analog parameters are monitored directly:

- a) Liquid nitrogen levels in the four 50 liter supply dewars.
- b) Liquid nitrogen levels in the four (~3 liter) cryostats associated with each dual-detector system.
- The ion pump power supply currents. Each of the four detector systems is equipped with a pair of ion pumps (A & B) to provide redundancy; while one power supply is used to power the "A" pumps, a separate one is used to supply the "B" pumps. Failure of a power supply or single ion pump will cause no damage to a system, but measuring the supply currents ensures that we receive immediate notice of the problem. Incidentally, a deviation of any monitored parameter outside preset limits initiates hourly transmission of data to LBL instead of the normal twice daily transmission.
- d) Failure of power would result in both ion pump power supplies being shut down. Consequently, eight back-up battery power supplies are provided. The output voltages of these supplies are monitored hourly to ensure their availability at all times.
- e) The DC level at the output of all preamplifiers is monitored to provide an indication of any changes in detector leakage currents.
- f) Temperatures in the two equipment racks are monitored to check the operation of ventilation fans.

All of the forementioned parameters are fed to a 24-channel 8-bit ADC board in IBM PC #1 used for monitoring. Digital inputs and outputs (under program control) are also used as follows:

- a) One set of outputs triggers the liquid nitrogen filling system when the level in any cryostat falls below a preset level defined in the program.
- b) A standard amplitude pulser (nine channels) is triggered by another set of outputs from monitoring PC #1. One channel (#9) feeds all the NaI detectors (at the same time as Ge detector #4) while the remaining channels feed the eight Ge detectors.

In normal operation, the pulser sequence is triggered once per minute with 1 second delay between the germanium detectors (i.e., Ge #1 \rightarrow 1 s \rightarrow Ge #2 \rightarrow 1 s \rightarrow Ge #3 \rightarrow etc.). Each pulser output drives through its germanium detector capacitance into the preamplifier, then through the whole pulse processing system being treated in exactly the same way as real detector signals.

Fig. 6 An example of the monitoring data received twice daily by automatic transmission to LBL (Berkeley).

The resulting stored pulser events are used for gain stabilization purposes as described in the following section. In addition, the pulser counts
are used as part of the monitoring system to check that the full counting chain (including the data acquisition computer) is working correctly. To accomplish this result, each time a memory buffer is dumped onto the disk, the pulser events are read back from the disk and are sent as a train of pulses to eight scalers (one for each detector) included in the monitoring PC #1. The PC monitoring program reads these scalers each hour and resets the scaler. The numbers in columns 25-32 are used to check this operation. Figure 16, to be discussed in the next section shows the pulser sequence interleaved with normal gamma-ray counts.

c) The 4-bit input in the interface board in PC #1 is used to check the status of the non-interruptable power supply. Not shown in Fig. 4 is a separate non-interruptable supply used to power the monitoring PC #1. This is provided so that monitoring and transmission of data to LBL continues even in a total power failure condition.

OFF-LINE DATA REDUCTION

Data tapes from the experiment are dispatched about every 10 days to LBL (Berkeley) and UCSB (Santa Barbara). Data is reduced and analyzed in parallel at both sites using two different computers to make sure that any results are independently checked at two places. Only the data reduction system at LBL will be described here because the functions performed at the two places are essentially the same.

The data is initially transferred from the HP9836 data tapes into an IBM PC equipped with two 10 Mbyte

Bernoulli disks, one of which is used to store programs and final histogram data while the other stores event by event data transcribed directly from the original HP9836 data tapes. A single 10 Mbyte disk can hold about 30 days of the original eight-detector data. This illustrates the value of high-capacity removable disks in experiments where data volumes can become very large. At the moment, 18 months of data (initially four detectors, most recently eight detectors) are contained on 12 10 Mbyte disks.

The data analysis and reduction programs perform a number of functions:

- a) Calibration of the data and attaching the calibration information to the data records. Programs used in this operation include:
	- 1) SORT: This is the initial sorting program. It simultaneously sorts all the data for Ge detectors in a 600 keV range generating raw histograms of the data. Sorts are performed for the prams of the data. Solids are performed for the
contain the 352 keV peak of ²¹⁴Pb, the 1461 keV
peak of ⁴⁰K and the pulser peak located approx at channel 1910. (Note that the energy scale used is ~1 keV/channel.) These two sorts are performed in ~45 min on a typical 10-day run.
	- 2) CENTROID: Determines the centroid of the 3 peaks mentioned in the previous paragraph (for each detector).
	- 3) CALC: Using the information from CENTROID, this
fits a linear energy scale to the ^{214}Pb and ^{40}K lines, thus deriving the zero and energy scale and also the equivalent pulser energy for each detector averaged for the whole 10-day run.
	- 4) CAL: This processes the data records and calculates the energy scale specific to each record

using the pulser peak position measured for this
record and the previously derived equivalent pulser energy. This procedure is used because gamma-ray peaks in a single record contain very .few counts and only the pulser peak has enough counts to provide adequate statistics to be used for gain stabilization. CAL attaches calibration data to each record. This data is used by later programs to correct the spectral data precisely to a 1 keV/channel scale with zero energy offset.

- The operations performed by these four programs are normally carried out for an entire 10-day run and the assumption is made that the pulser itself is stable during a run (a run might contain 100-300 charges in the system. We have observed only minute zero changes, so no correction is needed for zero drift. The pulser stability appears to be -.01% during a 10-day run.
- b) A single program AUTO automatically sequentially sorts 600 keV intervals (from 40-4240 keV) and generates histograms with gain corrections made for each record using the gain data provided by CAL. If the run number is nn, this generates a file, PHAnn.dta, containing energy corrected histograms for all Ge detectors. AUTO requires about 3 hrs to generate fully gain-stabilized histograms on an 8-detector 10-day run. A program OUTPUT combines histograms from any selected number of runs and allows plotting or printing of the resulting spectra for any detector or all detectors summed. This process is completed in a minute or two.
- c) In view of the importance that may be placed on a single event it is essential for us to be able to select and study single events in detail. Two programs quickly accomplish this:
	- 1) EVENTS: Allows scanning of selected records and listing of all events where an energy within a selected range is deposited in a Ge detector. The signals in any or all detectors and their time of occurrence are listed.
	- 2) HISTORY: Allows printout of a selected number of events and their time of occurrence preceed- ing a single event that the experimenter selects in the output of EVENTS.

A common use of these programs is to use EVENTS to print out all events where a Ge detector has a 2000-2099 keV signal (range of interest near the 2.041 MeV energy of double beta decay), then to select certain events, using HISTORY to look for any correlation with events immediately preceeding the ones of interest. In this way, suspicious "burst" events could be eliminated. In fact, no such cases have been detected in our work. The ability to rapidly access and study single events and their environment in a data set accumulated over 18 months is a unique aspect of this experiment.

d) A program CAT allows the display of the complete catalog of data on all runs. This data is genera- ted and updated when AUTO runs.

 \setminus

Typical results from these data analysis programs are shown in Figs. 7-16. Figure 7 shows the complete catalog of runs carried out from February 1985 to June 1986. For the remaining figures we have selected Runs #1-44. Figure 8 shows a printout of the summed histogram for all detectors over this 15 month period for the energy range 40-640 keV. Actually, the counts given in each bin are 10 times the actual count accumulated; this is an artifact of the gain compensation which interpolates between channels with an accuracy of 0.1 channel. Figure 9 shows a plot, for detector #4 alone, of the spectrum (40-640 keV), while Figs. 10-14 show the summed spectra for all detectors from 40-3040 keV. The success of the gain stabilization program is illus-

Fig. 7 A table of information on all runs since the experiment started in February, 1985. This is the output of the program CAT.

trated by the observation that the energy resolution at 2.6 MeV is -3.2 keV; note - this is for all detectors added together for 15 months of operation. This result is as good as we normally obtain in our laboratory for an overnight run with a single detector.

Figure 15 shows a partial list of events from data disk #8, records #1-34, where a Ge detector absorbs between $200Q_{7}$ 2099 keV. Candidate events for double beta decay of ⁷⁶Ge must occur in a single detector and pro-
duce a 2.041 MeV signal (±2 keV). No event in this figure satisfies these criteria. The event in detector #4 at Record #16, position 5047 was selected for exam-ination of the 25 events preceeding it (using HISTORY). Figure 16 shows the result. We see that the event prior to the selected one occurred 4 seconds before it and resulted in a 1.131 MeV deposit in Ge detector #1 and a small energy deposit in NaI detector #7. Note that HISTORY operates on the raw original data (not energy corrected) whereas EVENT corrects the energy scale. This accounts for the apparent slight energy difference in the selected event in detector $#4$.

CONCLUSION

As shown by the results given in the previous section, we have demonstrated that high-resolution muitidetector spectroscopy can be carried out reliably for very long periods of time. The remote monitoring system has given us confidence in the operation of the experiment at all times and, on occasion, has indicated the need to travel to the remote site to correct poten- tial problems. In 18 months of operation of 6 detectors, only 16 hrs of data was lost and that was in a single incident following the installation of new (faulty) equipment. This is testimony to the reliability of the system and to the value of redundancy in certain parts of the design.

Runs: 1-44 Det# All(Counts x 10)										
ε $= 40$	15784	16860	18091	18180	18612	20045	24859	31396	320B6	28101
ε ۰ 50	25718	24832	24537	25293	25910	25470	25806	25434	25859	25051
ε \blacksquare 60	25691	26459	25459	27365	27452	27996	27421	27898	27469	25800
Ε 70 \equiv	25454	24982	25386	26513	27533	29033	30349	31440	29442	26507
E \blacksquare 80	24601	25027	24209	24972	27079	26566	25996	26022	26600	24859
É \blacksquare 90	24760	26026	29068	33681	31561	25278	22195	21473	21464	21225
E 100 \bullet	20301	20308	19823	20166	20041	20097	19750	19757	20505	19887
ε \equiv 110	19756	19905	20005	20225	19886	19140	19134	19122	19652	18909
E e 120	19091	22739	28153	27237	20855	18824	18262	17906	17309	17495
ε \blacksquare 130	17469	17728	17782	17912	17200	17396	18590	19987	19098	17834
ε 140 ۰	17547	17513	19583	24771	29130	23784	17600	15893	16613	16186
E 150 \blacksquare	16493	16233	15651	15687	16185	16292	15681	15752	15733	15653
ε \bullet 160	15670	15004	15483	15485	15954	14800	14817	14390	14598	14321
ε 170 \equiv	14111	13834	13662	14096	14765	14589	15013	14133	13918	13701
180 Ε \blacksquare	13307	13395	13612	13456	15252	21874	30371	23354	15550	12340
E 190 \equiv	12258	12510	12195	11579	11956	11976	12127	11985	12303	12420
E 200 \equiv	11703	11742	11314	11511	11357	12369	12291	11292	10595	11171
ε 210 \blacksquare	11510	11640	11122	10512	10592	10564	10458	10865	10688	10553
E 220 e.	10242	10595	10246	10341	10328	10263	10242	9852	9764	10099
E 230 \blacksquare	9672	10071	10159	9382	10510	10948	12157	13402	19253	23195
ε 240 \blacksquare	16813	15685	19453	15261	10077	8376	8459	8559	8121	8329
E 250 \equiv	8445	7929	8486	7961	8238	8515	8750	9227	8258	7932
E \approx 260	7775	8031	7707	7661	7181	6936	7520	7695	7924	9236
ε 270 \equiv	9928	9584	8532	7429	6960	7118				
E 280 \blacksquare			6963				7129	7037	7186	7003
ε 290 $\qquad \qquad \blacksquare$	6555 6240	6771 6779		7300	7103	7417	6859	6676	6680	6637
ε \equiv 300			6583	7773	15173	29071	24119	10803	6596	7117
Ē	8024	7281	7114	6820	5955	5744	5450	5499	5300	4856
310 \equiv	5200	5388	5452	5614	5323	4858	4924	5265	4800	4750
E 320 \equiv	4966	4641	5003	5060	5231	5038	4407	4752	4822	5211
ε \blacksquare 330	5810	5068	4490	4516	4216	4456	4159	4809	6371	6410
E \equiv 340	5167	4198	4320	4142	3945	4247	4074	3940	3676	4192
ε 350 \blacksquare	9395	28963	45328	26591	7470	3549	3184	3026	3338	3068
ε 360 \blacksquare	3154	2981	2894	3046	3076	2779	2881	2661	2723	2826
E 370 \blacksquare	2739	2813	2757	2884	2978	2569	2428	2485	2523	2647
ε 380 \bullet	2671	2990	2655	2364	2221	2505	2450	2291	2403	2244
E 390 \blacksquare	2310	2300	2405	2256	2142	2372	2126	2157	2309	2364
E 400 \bullet	2203	2505	2665	2491	2225	2099	1955	1859	1827	2041
Ε 410 \blacksquare	1934	1964	1821	1685	1889	1729	1783	1675	1643	1817
E 420 \blacksquare	1703	1598	1674	1745	1616	1522	1987	3707	4733	3491
Ε 430 \blacksquare	2093	1426	1356	1597	1604	1531	1484	1292	1491	1638
E 440 æ,	1560	1602	1633	1448	1578	1729	1565	1471	1334	1454
E 450 \blacksquare	1306	1454	1399	1404	1436	1360	1310	1476	1400	1221
E 460 \blacksquare	1314	1437	1731	2447	2290	1679	1240	1436	1375	1295
E 470 $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$	1131	1220	1384	1169	1125	. 1335	2234	3744	4209	2556
ε 480 \bullet	1565	1488	1350	1119	1177	1031	1218	1334	1399	1368
E 490 \equiv	1169	944	1218	1286	1089	1285	1175	1088	1026	1096
E $\qquad \qquad =$ 500	1168	1195	1108	1082	1179	1192	1115	1189	1420	1514
ε \equiv 510	1601	1878	1981	1665	1230	1210	1044	1091	1047	1137
ε 520 \blacksquare	994	1105	1184	1136	1081	902	1057	1127	1054	1056
530 ε \blacksquare	1195	1139	985	1144	1115	1076	1115	1000	939	915
ε \equiv 540	894	931	997	1037	1015	1027	1106	1002	1063	1030
550 ε \equiv	1037	933	1034	977	726	934	981	935	1014	998
Ε \equiv 560	1106	1085	1063	1144	882	847	749	840	977	1096
ε 570 \equiv	1260	1236	1240	1577	1390	1189	971	827	1037	980
ε \blacksquare 580	1046	977	1338	1682	1497	921	854	825	896	939
Ε 590 \blacksquare	999	921	754	760	761	923	1061	853	973	1390
ε \blacksquare 600	2226	2251	1339	855	904	1137	1172	1790	3052	5009
ε \blacksquare 610	4385	2025	1034	905	956	883	794	833	821	899
ε \pmb{m} 620	884	772	775	787	754	894	904	726	842	950
ε \blacksquare 630	736	789	989	992	851	1181	1320	1129	842	848
				TOTAL ACTUAL COUNTS = 508898.8						

Fig. 8 Digital output of a 600 keV interval histogram for the sum of all detectors. The numbers given are lOx actual counts; this is an artifact introduced by gain stabilization which corrects gain shifts to 0.1 channels.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the staff at the Oroville Power Dam Facility for their generous assistance in the initial setting up of the experiment and the California Department of Water Resources for giving permission for us to use their facilities for this experiment.

This work was supported by the Office of High Ener-
gy Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy and was carried out at LBL under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098 and at UCSB under Contract No. DE-AT03-79ER70023.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

REFERENCES

- 1. F.S. Goulding et al, "Semiconductor Detectors and
Double Beta Decay", IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., NS-31, No. 1, 285 (1984).
- 2. F.S. Goulding et al, "The LBL/UCSB 76Ge Double Beta Decay Experiment: First Results", IEEE Trans.
Nucl. Sci., NS-32, No. 1, 463 (1985).

ţ,

- 3. D.A. Landis, N.W. Madden and F.S. Goulding, "A Reliable Automatic Liquid Nitrogen Filling System", IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., NS-33, No. 1, 399 (1986).
- 4. D.O. Caldwell et al, "Limit on Lepton Nonconservation and Neutrino Mass from Double Beta Decay", Phys. Rev. Lett., 54, No. 4, 281-284 (1985).
- 5. D.O. Caldwell et al, "Half-Life Limit on the Zero-Neutrino and Two-Neutrino Double Beta Decay of ⁷⁶Ge", Phys. Rev. D, 33, No. 9, 2737-2739 (1986).

6

 \mathcal{A}

 $\overline{\mathbf{z}}$

But# 0 Energies 2000 to 2099

Bata Bisht B Records 1 to 34

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\delta\delta$

 $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$

 ϵ

Fig. 15 Output of the program EVENTS showing those events containing germanium detector signals in the energy
range 2000-2099 keV on data disk #8 in records 1-34. Energy signals in all detectors are shown. The
first column record.

Fig. 16 Output of the program HISTORY. This shows the 25 counts preceeding that at position #5047, record #16
on data disk #8 (see Fig. 15). Pulser signals are included and the first column indicates the time in
seconds pr

 \sim

9

 \bar{z}

 $\ddot{}$

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

γÝ,

 ϵ

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

÷,

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

the contract of the contract of the contract of

 \sim

 $\sim 10^7$

Contractor

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$.

the control of the control of the

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of

 ~ 100 km s $^{-1}$

 \sim

 ~ 10

Contractor

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

and the state of the state of

 $\sim 10^{11}$ m $^{-1}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$. We can consider the $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$