UC Riverside UC Riverside Previously Published Works

Title

A Terminal N2 Complex of High-Spin Iron(I) in a Weak, Trigonal Ligand Field

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/78q5x52n

Journal Journal of the American Chemical Society, 137(28)

ISSN 0002-7863

Authors McSkimming, Alex Harman, W Hill

Publication Date 2015-07-22

DOI 10.1021/jacs.5b06337

Peer reviewed



A Terminal N₂ Complex of High-Spin Iron(I) in a Weak, Trigonal Ligand Field

Alex McSkimming and W. Hill Harman*

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Riverside, California 92521, United States

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The role of Fe in biological and industrial N₂ fixation has inspired the intense study of small molecule analogues of $Fe-(N_xH_y)$ intermediates of potential relevance to these processes. Although a number of low-coordinate $Fe-(N_2)$ featuring varying degrees of fidelity to the nitrogenase active site are now known, these complexes frequently feature strongly donating ligands that either enforce low- or intermediate-spin states or result in linear $Fe-(N_2)$ -Fe bridging motifs. Given that the nitrogenase active site uses weak-field sulfide ligands to stabilize its reactive Fe center(s), N_2 binding to high-spin Fe is of great interest. Herein, we report the synthesis and characterization of the first terminal N₂ complex of highspin (S = 3/2) Fe(I) as well as a bridging Fe-(N₂)-Fe analogue. Electron paramagnetic resonance and solution magnetic moment determination confirm the high-spin state, and vibrational experiments indicate a substantial degree of activation of the N≡N bond in these complexes. Density functional theory calculations reveal an electronic structure for the terminal adduct featuring substantial delocalization of unpaired spin onto the N₂ ligand.

he fixation of atmospheric N_2 is an essential process in f L both the natural world and modern human society.¹ Given the kinetic challenges of activating the N-N triple bond and the inherent chemical complexity of such a process, the systems responsible for biological² and industrial³ N₂ fixation have been subjected to intense scrutiny. Despite recent advances in the field, the detailed mechanism of N2 fixation by nitrogenase has yet to be fully elucidated.⁴ Indeed, some of the proposed elementary steps of this mechanism are without synthetic precedent. Inspired by the FeMoco active site of nitrogenase (Figure 1B) (and its non-Mo congeners),⁵ the synthetic inorganic community has targeted model complexes to reveal the fundamental chemistry of N2 activation at transition-metal centers,⁶ culminating in the discovery of synthetic molecular systems based on Mo⁷ and Fe⁸ for the catalytic reduction of N₂ to NH₃.

Although an N₂ adduct of the FeMoco has not yet been characterized, biochemical studies have provided compelling evidence for Fe as the likely site(s) of N₂ binding.⁴ Concurrently, a wealth of model chemistries for N₂ and related nitrogenous substrates have been discovered with Fe-based molecular systems,⁹ including systems capable of facile, reversible N \equiv N cleavage.¹⁰ Although the coordination chemistry of N₂ with Fe has been studied since the 1970s,¹¹



Figure 1. (A) Examples of previously reported $Fe-(\mu-N_2)-Fe$ complexes that possess local spin states of S > 1. (B) The FeMoco active site of nitrogenase with a potential N₂ binding site highlighted. (C) A terminal N₂ complex of S = 3/2 Fe(I) (this work).

most $Fe-(N_2)$ complexes are diamagnetic, 18-electron species,⁹ and only recently have paramagnetic $Fe-(N_2)$ complexes been fully characterized.¹² Many of these complexes are supported by sterically demanding, strong-field ligands such as phosphines or redox-active pyridine-diimine (PDI) ligands resulting in spin states of S = 1/2 or 1 at Fe. These species stand in contrast to a hypothetical N₂ adduct of a belt Fe of FeMoco. Given the weak-field nature of sulfide ligands, such a complex would likely feature a locally high-spin Fe (Figure 1B). Targeting synthetic models of N₂ coordination to high-spin Fe in weak ligand fields is therefore an important area of study. The only $Fe-(N_2)$ complexes thought to have local spin states greater than S = 1are linear Fe– $(\mu$ -N₂)–Fe bimetallic complexes supported by β diketiminate (NacNac),^{12a} tris(phosphinomethyl)borate (PhBP^{iPr}₃),^{12b} or hybrid phosphine/amide^{12c} ligands (Figure 1A). The bimetallic nature of these complexes complicates the analysis of the local ligand field and spin state at Fe, and while these complexes are capable of substantial N2 activation, the bridging N₂ ligand does not experience the polarization of both spin and electron density expected for a terminal N2 adduct. Prior to this work, terminal high-spin $Fe(I)-(N_2)$ complexes had not been isolated. Herein, we report the synthesis and characterization of such a complex along with its bridging analogue.

Received: June 18, 2015

With sufficiently bulky substituents at the 3-position of the pyrazole rings, four-coordinate TpFe complexes can be isolated.¹³ Of these complexes, $(PhTp^{fBu})Fe(CO)$ prepared by Parkin et al. is the lone example of a monovalent TpFe complex.¹⁴ We were curious to ascertain if an analogous N₂ complex could be prepared and if such a complex might adopt a high-spin state. To wit, reduction of the known complex Tp^{Ph,Me}FeCl¹⁵ (1) with potassium naphthalenide in toluene gave the deep burgundy N₂-bridged dimer $(Tp^{Ph,Me}Fe)_2(N_2)$ (2, Scheme 1). Single-crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD)

Scheme 1



performed on **2** reveals a staggered orientation of the two Tp ligands with an essentially linear Fe– (N_2) –Fe linkage (\angle Fe– $N-N = 177.7^{\circ}$) which sits on a crystallographically imposed inversion center (Figure 2). The N–N bond length of 1.1804(19) Å for **2** is similar to that found in [(NacNac)-Fe]₂(N₂) complexes and indicative of substantial backbonding from the Fe centers.

The IR spectrum of 2 is featureless in the region containing N–N stretching bands; however, Raman spectroscopy reveals



Figure 2. Thermal ellipsoid plots (50% probability) of the solid-state structures of **2** (left) and **4** (top view, top right; side view, bottom right). Orange, blue, pink, and gray ellipsoids represent Fe, N, B, and C atoms, respectively. Hydrogen atoms bonded to carbon and cocrystallized solvent molecules are omitted for clarity.

an absorption at 1779 cm⁻¹, consistent with a strongly activated N₂ unit. Solution magnetic moment determination by the method of Evans gives a value for μ_{eff} of 6.9 \pm 0.2 μ_{B} , consistent with a well-isolated S = 3 ground state at ambient temperature (spin-only value for $S = 3:6.9 \mu_B$). Either strong ferromagnetic superexchange coupling between two S = 3/2 Fe(I) centers or a three-spin ferrimagnetic interaction involving two S = 2 Fe(II) centers coupled antiferromagnetically to a triplet $(N_2)^{2-}$ ligand would be consistent with these data. However, ferromagnetic superexchange coupling via N_2 is typically weak,¹⁶ at odds with the effective magnetic moment observed for 2 at ambient temperature. The latter three-spin model has been used to explain magnetic Mossbauer data collected on the related $[(NacNac)Fe]_2(\mu-N_2)$.¹⁷ Given its similarity to these complexes, the three-spin model is a compelling description for 2. This analogy is further supported by the presence of an intense near IR band (903 nm, 3300 M^{-1} cm⁻¹) observed for 2 (see Supporting Information, SI) similar to that observed in the neutral [(NacNac)Fe]₂(μ -N₂) complexes.¹⁸ Detailed spectroscopic and magnetic studies are underway to further characterize these interactions.

The successful synthesis of 2 led us to explore the possibility of stabilizing an analogous terminal $Fe-(N_2)$ complex through the introduction of vertically oriented steric bulk at the 3position of the pyrazole donors. We therefore prepared the complex $Tp^{Ad,Me}FeCl$ (3) that was then reduced by KC_8 in toluene to give pale purple $Tp^{Ad,Me}Fe(N_2)$ (4) (Scheme 1). XRD on single crystals of 4 grown from toluene reveals an approximately three-fold symmetric pseudotetrahedral coordination sphere at Fe,¹⁹ with a N \equiv N distance of 1.1187(17) Å (Figure 2). In contrast to 2, solid samples of 4 possess an intense IR absorption at 1959 cm⁻¹, consistent with a strongly activated, terminally bound N2 ligand. Evans' method data on 4 give a value for μ_{eff} of 3.8 \pm 0.2 μ_{B} , consistent with an S = 3/2 Fe(I) center. The most compelling evidence for the assignment of a high-spin state for 4 comes from X-band EPR spectroscopy. A frozen toluene solution of 4 at 106 K reveals prominent features at $g_{\rm eff}$ = 4.0 and $g_{\rm eff}$ = 2.0 consistent with transitions within the $m_s \pm 1/2$ doublet of an axial S = 3/2 system (Figure 3).²⁰ Under the conditions investigated, no hyperfine structure was resolved.

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations (M06L²¹ with a custom Alrichs²² basis set via ORCA,²³ see SI) were carried out on the model complex TpFe(N₂) in order to gain insight into the electronic structure of **4**. Geometry optimization on the quartet surface reproduced the experimental geometry well, yielding an essentially $C_{3\nu}$ structure in the absence of any symmetry constraints. Optimization on the doublet surface resulted in a higher energy structure (by ~0.035 $E_{\rm h}$) that deviated substantially from three-fold symmetry, lending further support for an S = 3/2 assignment for **4** (see SI). Interestingly, Mulliken spin population analysis designates only two atoms in the molecule with significant spin density (>0.05): Fe (3.31) and the distal nitrogen of the N₂ ligand (N_{βν} -0.24) (Figure 4).

The one-electron frontier molecular orbitals calculated for $TpFe(N_2)$ provide some insight into the origin of this spin distribution (Figure 5). While care should be taken in the interpretation of canonical orbitals,²⁴ the orbital structure shown in Figure 5 is not readily described in terms of five, twoelectron d-orbitals that are filled according to the Aufbau principle in either a low- or high-spin configuration. The lowest-energy d-orbital has d_{z^2} parentage followed by a



Figure 3. X-band EPR spectrum of 4 at 106 K in a toluene glass collected at a microwave frequency of 9.326 GHz.



Figure 4. Spin-density isosurface calculated for the model complex TpFe(N₂). Green represents positive spin and red represents negative spin. Mulliken spin populations: Fe = 3.31, N_{β} = -0.24.

degenerate set that is essentially nonbonding with respect to the σ -bonding framework of the molecule. A second e set higher in energy is antibonding with respect to the Tp–Fe σ bonds with some delocalization on to the N₂ ligand. The remaining two β -spin electrons reside in a pair of approximately degenerate orbitals that exhibit substantial backbonding to the N₂ ligand. The spin density found on the distal nitrogen of the bound N₂ ligand is presumably a result of this differential backbonding in the α - and β -spin manifolds. One interpretation of these results would place 4 on a continuum in between Fe(I)–(N₂) and Fe(III)–(N₂)²⁻, by analogy to the N₂-bridged diiron complex 2 and related complexes,²⁵ although the latter formulation would admittedly be extreme. More detailed computational study of these complexes will accompany further spectroscopic investigations.

In summary, through the use of a bulky Tp supporting ligand, we have synthesized the first example of a terminal N_2 complex of high-spin Fe(I). EPR studies unequivocally indicate an S = 3/2 ground state for 4. This assignment is further substantiated by magnetic moment determination and DFT calculations, the latter of which indicate substantial spin



Figure 5. Canonical single-electron Kohn–Sham orbitals calculated for $TpFe(N_2)$ (see text for computational details). Orbital energies are in eV.

polarization of the N_2 ligand. This work definitively establishes the viability of terminal N_2 coordination to high-spin Fe(I), and the weak-field nature of 4 renders it a compelling model for hypothetical N_2 binding in a terminal mode to an unsaturated Fe site in nitrogenase. Future studies are directed toward spectroscopic corroboration of the spin-polarization of the N_2 ligand of 4 and the potential ramifications of this electronic structure on subsequent N_2 functionalization reactivity.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Experimental procedures and characterization, spectroscopic data, crystallographic analyses, and computational data. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b06337.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*hill.harman@ucr.edu

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

W.H.H. is a member of the UCR Center for Catalysis. This work was supported by the University of California, Riverside. Dr. Daniel L. M. Suess is acknowledged for helpful discussions, Dr. Dan Borchardt for assistance with Raman spectroscopy, and Dr. Fook Tham for X-ray crystallographic analysis.

REFERENCES

- (1) Smil, V. Enriching the Earth; MIT Press: Cambridge, MA, 2001.
- (2) Burgess, B. K.; Lowe, D. J. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 2983.
- (3) Ertl, G. J. Vac. Sci. Technol., A 1983, 1, 1247.

(4) Hoffman, B. M.; Lukoyanov, D.; Yang, Z.-Y.; Dean, D. R.; Seefeldt, L. C. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 4041. (5) Eady, R. R. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 3013.

(6) MacKay, B. A.; Fryzuk, M. D. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 385.

(7) (a) Yandulov, D. V.; Schrock, R. R. Science 2003, 301, 76.

(b) Arashiba, K.; Miyake, Y.; Nishibayashi, Y. Nat. Chem. 2011, 3, 120.

(8) Anderson, J. S.; Rittle, J.; Peters, J. C. Nature 2013, 501, 84.
(9) Crossland, J. L.; Tyler, D. R. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2010, 254, 1883.

(1) Clossiand, J. L., Tyler, D. R. Coond. Chem. Rev. 2016, 254, 1063.
 (10) MacLeod, K. C.; Vinyard, D. J.; Holland, P. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
 2014, 136, 10226.

(11) (a) Chatt, J.; Dilworth, J. R.; Richards, R. L. Chem. Rev. 1978, 78, 589. (b) Ghilardi, C. A.; Midollini, S.; Sacconi, L.; Stoppioni, P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 205, 193.

(12) Selected examples: (a) Smith, J. M.; Lachicotte, R. J.; Pittard, K. A.; Cundari, T. R.; Lukat-Rodgers, G.; Rodgers, K. R.; Holland, P. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 9222. (b) Betley, T. A.; Peters, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 10782. (c) Chomitz, W. A.; Arnold, J. Chem. Commun. 2007, 4797. (d) Mankad, N. P.; Whited, M. T.; Peters, J. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 5768. (e) Scott, J.; Vidyaratne, I.; Korobkov, I.; Gambarotta, S.; Budzelaar, P. H. M. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 896. (f) Rose, R. P.; Jones, C.; Schulten, C.; Aldridge, S.; Stasch, A. Chem. - Eur. J. 2008, 14, 8477. (g) Moret, M.-E.; Peters, J. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 2063. (h) Rudd, P. A.; Liu, S.; Gagliardi, L.; Young, V. G., Jr.; Lu, C. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 20724. (i) Stieber, S. C. E.; Milsmann, C.; Hoyt, J. M.; Turner, Z. R.; Finkelstein, K. D.; Wieghardt, K.; DeBeer, S.; Chirik, P. J. Inorg. Chem. 2012, 51, 3770. (j) Suess, D. L. M.; Peters, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 4938. (k) Creutz, S. E.; Peters, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 1105.

(13) Jové, F. A.; Pariya, C.; Scoblete, M.; Yap, G. P. A.; Theopold, K. H. *Chem.-Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 1310 and references therein .

(14) Kisko, J. L.; Hascall, T.; Parkin, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 10561.

(15) Tietz, T.; Limberg, C.; Stößer, R.; Ziemer, B. Chem. - Eur. J. 2011, 17, 10010.

(16) Hendrich, M. P.; Gunderson, W.; Behan, R. K.; Green, M. T.; Mehn, M. P.; Betley, T. A.; Lu, C. C.; Peters, J. C. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2006, 103, 17107.

(17) Stoian, S. A.; Vela, J.; Smith, J. M.; Sadique, A. R.; Holland, P. L.; Münck, E.; Bominaar, E. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2006**, 128, 10181.

(18) Smith, J. M.; Sadique, A. R.; Cundari, T. R.; Rodgers, K. R.; Lukat-Rodgers, G.; Lachicotte, R. J.; Flaschenriem, C. J.; Vela, J.; Holland, P. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2006**, 128, 756.

(19) Crystallization of **4** from THF/pentane mixtures affords hexagonal crystals in which the complex resides on a crystallographic three-fold axis. These structures are complicated by the presence of badly disordered solvent molecules.

(20) Hagen, W. R. Adv. Inorg. Chem. 1992, 38, 165.

(21) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. J. Chem. Phys. 2006, 125, 194101-1.

- (22) Weigend, F.; Ahlrichs, R. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2005, 7, 3297.
- (23) Neese, F. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci. 2012, 2, 73.
- (24) Autschbach, J. J. Chem. Educ. 2012, 89, 1032.
- (25) Holland, P. L. Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 5415.