

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

ANOTHER LOOK AT THE GAUGED WESS-ZUMINO EFFECTIVE ACTION

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/792710f8>

Author

Ingermanson, R.

Publication Date

1984-04-01



Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED

LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

JUN 18 1984

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

Physics Division

Submitted for publication

ANOTHER LOOK AT THE GAUGED WESS-ZUMINO
EFFECTIVE ACTION

R. Ingermanson

April 1984



LBL-17817
c.2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

**ANOTHER LOOK AT THE GAUGED
WESS-ZUMINO EFFECTIVE ACTION**

Randall Ingermanson*

*Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720, U.S.A.*

ABSTRACT

A compact form for the gauged Wess-Zumino effective action is found. The brevity of the result is due to the use of vector and axial fields, rather than left- and right-handed fields. The method used is in the spirit of Zumino's differential geometric approach.

*This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy Physics and Nuclear Physics, Division of High Energy Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.

I. Introduction

Some years ago, Wess and Zumino [1] derived a low energy effective action for pions in the presence of an external gauge field. The result was given in terms of a certain five-dimensional integral involving the Bardeen anomaly [2]. It was observed that the integral is non-vanishing, even when the gauge fields vanish.

Witten [3] has given a simple intuitive reason for the importance of the Wess-Zumino action, namely, that it removes a certain symmetry from the non-linear sigma model. This symmetry, $\Pi^i \mapsto -\Pi^i$, where Π^i represents the pion fields, is not a symmetry of QCD, and is therefore undesirable. The term which breaks this symmetry (with the fewest number of derivatives) is the Wess-Zumino action with gauge fields set equal to zero. This term is a five-dimensional integral involving pion fields alone.

Witten showed that this term can be written more symmetrically as an integral over a five-disk. Written in this way, it is seen that the action depends on the particular five-disk of integration. This leads to an ambiguity in the action proportional to the winding number for the pion configuration, which implies that the overall coefficient is quantised.

Witten also showed that, when gauge fields are taken into account, the effective action becomes the sum of this five-space integral and a four-space integral involving both gauge fields and pions. This four-space integral, formula (24) of ref.[3], is extremely messy, containing 24 terms. Indeed, it contained a few minor errors, which has triggered several papers [4], all of which are in agreement. (See also [5].) However, the basic problem remains—the formula still covers half a page and is very tedious to check.

The purpose of this paper is to find a reasonably simple expression for the gauged Wess-Zumino action, using a minimum of mathematical machinery. A glance at the final result, formula (3.37), will verify that the first of these two goals has been achieved. The machinery needed to arrive at this result is 1) the language of differential forms, 2) Stokes' theorem and 3) the "homotopy operator".

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 summarises our conventions. Section 3 outlines some rather pedestrian manipulations of Wess and Zumino's formula to obtain the final result. Section 4 contains a brief conclusion.

II. Conventions

We will work in Euclidean space, with $g^{\mu\nu} = \delta^{\mu\nu}$. It is customary to define

$$\xi \equiv \frac{\Pi^i X^i}{F_\pi} \quad (2.1)$$

where $F_\pi \approx 93$ MeV, Π^i are the pion fields, and X^i are the generators of $U(N)$. N is the number of light quark flavors, N_c is the number of colors. The generators X^i satisfy

$$\text{tr}(X^i X^j) = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ij}. \quad (2.2)$$

Many other authors [3,4,5] define

$$u(\xi) \equiv e^{2i\xi} \quad (2.3)$$

which transforms under $U(N)_L \times U(N)_R$ by

$$(A, B) : u \mapsto AuB^{-1}. \quad (2.4)$$

Instead of this representation, we will use the Callan, Coleman, Wess and Zumino formalism [6], which will be defined below.

We are interested in the interaction of pions with vector and axial fields \mathcal{V}_μ and \mathcal{A}_μ . Under vector transformations, \mathcal{V}_μ transforms like a gauge field and \mathcal{A}_μ transforms in the adjoint representation. The reverse is true for axial transformations. The fields are anti-hermitian:

$$\mathcal{V}_\mu = -i\mathcal{V}_\mu^i X^i \quad (2.5a)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu = -i\mathcal{A}_\mu^i X^i. \quad (2.5b)$$

For simplicity in the calculations, differential forms are essential. Define

$$\mathcal{V} \equiv \mathcal{V}_\mu dx^\mu \quad (2.6a)$$

$$\mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{A}_\mu dx^\mu. \quad (2.6b)$$

The usual field strength tensors

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \mathcal{V}_\nu - \partial_\nu \mathcal{V}_\mu + [\mathcal{V}_\mu, \mathcal{V}_\nu] + [\mathcal{A}_\mu, \mathcal{A}_\nu] \quad (2.7a)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \mathcal{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}_\mu + [\mathcal{V}_\mu, \mathcal{A}_\nu] + [\mathcal{A}_\mu, \mathcal{V}_\nu] \quad (2.7b)$$

will be denoted by the forms

$$\hat{\mathcal{V}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \quad (2.8a)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \quad (2.8b)$$

where we do not explicitly show the wedge product symbol.

In translating the Wess-Zumino formula into our notation, it is expedient to define

$$\mathcal{V}_t + \mathcal{A}_t \gamma_5 \equiv e^{-it\epsilon\gamma_5} (d + \mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}\gamma_5) e^{it\epsilon\gamma_5} \quad (2.9a)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{V}}_t + \hat{\mathcal{A}}_t \gamma_5 \equiv e^{-it\xi\gamma_5} (\hat{\mathcal{V}} + \hat{\mathcal{A}} \gamma_5) e^{it\xi\gamma_5}. \quad (2.9b)$$

\mathcal{V}_t and \mathcal{A}_t are axial gauge transforms of \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{A} , with gauge parameter $-t\xi$. Note that $\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A}$, $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_0 = \hat{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_0 = \hat{\mathcal{A}}$.

For any value of t ,

$$\hat{\mathcal{V}}_t = d\mathcal{V}_t + \mathcal{V}_t^2 + \mathcal{A}_t^2 \quad (2.10a)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}_t = d\mathcal{A}_t + \{\mathcal{V}_t, \mathcal{A}_t\}. \quad (2.10b)$$

We will find it convenient to define

$$\mathcal{G}_t \equiv \mathcal{V}_t + \mathcal{A}_t \gamma_5 \quad (2.11a)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t \equiv \hat{\mathcal{V}}_t + \hat{\mathcal{A}}_t \gamma_5. \quad (2.11b)$$

Finally, we define a covariant derivative \mathcal{D}_t whose action on p -forms ω_p is

$$\mathcal{D}_t \omega_p \equiv d\omega_p + [\mathcal{V}_t, \omega_p]_{\pm} \quad (2.12)$$

where $+$ should be taken for p odd and $-$ for p even. Note that \mathcal{D}_t is an anti-derivation, i.e., it satisfies the same Leibnitz rule as the exterior derivative d .

III. Calculations

The Wess-Zumino action in Euclidean space is given by

$$\Gamma = -\frac{iN_c}{48\pi^2} \int_0^1 dt \int_{R^4} \text{tr}[\xi \mathcal{B}_t] \quad (3.1)$$

where N_c is the number of colors and

$$\mathcal{B}_t \equiv 12\hat{\mathcal{V}}_t^2 + 4\hat{\mathcal{A}}_t^2 + 32\mathcal{A}_t^4 - 16(\mathcal{A}_t^2 \hat{\mathcal{V}}_t + \mathcal{A}_t \hat{\mathcal{V}}_t \mathcal{A}_t + \hat{\mathcal{V}}_t \mathcal{A}_t^2). \quad (3.2)$$

The fields approach constants at infinity, so from now on, we shall compactify R^4 to S^4 . We define the normalisation constant

$$\kappa \equiv -\frac{iN_c}{48\pi^2}. \quad (3.3)$$

Let D^5 be a five-dimensional disk whose boundary $\partial D^5 = S^4$. Then we can rewrite (3.1) via Stokes' theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= \kappa \int_0^1 dt \int_{S^4} \text{tr}[\xi \mathcal{B}_t] \\ &= \kappa \int_0^1 dt \int_{D^5} d(\text{tr}[\xi \mathcal{B}_t]) \\ &= \kappa \int_0^1 dt \int_{D^5} \mathcal{D}_t(\text{tr}[\xi \mathcal{B}_t]). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

The last step follows from the fact that the trace of anything is a singlet.

From the definitions in Section 2, it is easy to check that

$$\mathcal{D}_t \mathcal{V}_t = \hat{\mathcal{V}}_t + \mathcal{V}_t^2 - \mathcal{A}_t^2 \quad (3.5a)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_t \mathcal{A}_t = \hat{\mathcal{A}}_t \quad (3.5b)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_t \hat{\mathcal{V}}_t = [\hat{\mathcal{A}}_t, \mathcal{A}_t] \quad (3.5c)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_t \hat{\mathcal{A}}_t = [\hat{\mathcal{V}}_t, \mathcal{A}_t]. \quad (3.5d)$$

Using these formulas, a straightforward calculation will verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_t \text{tr}[\xi \mathcal{B}_t] = \\ \text{tr} \left[\left(\mathcal{D}_t \xi \right) \mathcal{B}_t + 12\mathcal{A}_t \{ \hat{\mathcal{V}}_t, [\hat{\mathcal{A}}_t, \xi] \} + 4\mathcal{A}_t \{ \hat{\mathcal{A}}_t, [\hat{\mathcal{V}}_t, \xi] \} - 16\mathcal{A}_t^3 [\hat{\mathcal{A}}_t, \xi] \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

From the definitions (2.9), it is easy to check that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{V}_t = i[\mathcal{A}_t, \xi] \quad (3.7a)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{A}_t = i(\mathcal{D}_t \xi) \quad (3.7b)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \hat{\mathcal{V}}_t = i[\hat{\mathcal{A}}_t, \xi] \quad (3.7c)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_t = i[\hat{\mathcal{V}}_t, \xi]. \quad (3.7d)$$

Using these rules, it quickly follows that

$$\mathcal{D}_t \text{tr}(\xi B_t) = \frac{d}{dt} \Omega_t \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\Omega_t \equiv -i \text{tr} \left[12 \mathcal{A}_t \hat{\mathcal{V}}_t^2 + 4 \mathcal{A}_t \hat{\mathcal{A}}_t^2 + \frac{32}{5} \mathcal{A}_t^5 - 16 \mathcal{A}_t^3 \hat{\mathcal{V}}_t \right]. \quad (3.9)$$

Combining (3.8) and (3.4), we find

$$\Gamma = \mathcal{K} \int_{D^5} (\Omega_1 - \Omega_0). \quad (3.10)$$

We would now like to convert this to an integral over S^4 by using Stokes' theorem again. The appropriate technology to apply is the homotopy operator formalism. Zumino [6] has given a very clear presentation of this method, so we simply quote the procedure (suitably modified to our more complicated situation).

For each value of t , there exists an operator k_t such that

$$k_t d + dk_t = 1 \quad (3.11)$$

when acting on homogeneous polynomials of the fields $\mathcal{V}_t, \mathcal{A}_t, \hat{\mathcal{V}}_t$ and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_t$. k_t is an anti-derivation and $k_t^2 = 0$. Its action on an arbitrary

polynomial $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}_t, \mathcal{A}_t, \hat{\mathcal{V}}_t, \hat{\mathcal{A}}_t)$ is defined in terms of a one-parameter family of anti-derivations ℓ_t^s by the formula

$$k_t \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}_t, \mathcal{A}_t, \hat{\mathcal{V}}_t, \hat{\mathcal{A}}_t) = \int_0^1 \ell_t^s \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}_t^s, \mathcal{A}_t^s, \hat{\mathcal{V}}_t^s, \hat{\mathcal{A}}_t^s). \quad (3.12)$$

Here ℓ_t^s acts on the "interpolating fields"

$$\mathcal{V}_t^s = s \mathcal{V}_t \quad (3.13a)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_t^s = s \mathcal{A}_t \quad (3.13b)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{V}}_t^s = s \hat{\mathcal{V}}_t - s(1-s)(\mathcal{V}_t^2 + \mathcal{A}_t^2) \quad (3.13c)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}_t^s = s \hat{\mathcal{A}}_t - s(1-s)\{\mathcal{V}_t, \mathcal{A}_t\} \quad (3.13d)$$

by the formula

$$\ell_t^s \mathcal{V}_t^s = 0 \quad (3.14a)$$

$$\ell_t^s \mathcal{A}_t^s = 0 \quad (3.14b)$$

$$\ell_t^s \hat{\mathcal{V}}_t^s = \mathcal{V}_t ds \quad (3.14c)$$

$$\ell_t^s \hat{\mathcal{A}}_t^s = \mathcal{A}_t ds. \quad (3.14d)$$

Applying (3.11) to (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= \mathcal{K} \int_{D^5} [(k_1 d + dk_1) \Omega_1 - (k_0 d + dk_0) \Omega_0] \\ &= \mathcal{K} \int_{S^4} (k_1 \Omega_1 - k_0 \Omega_0) + \mathcal{K} \int_{D^5} (k_1 d \Omega_1 - k_0 d \Omega_0) \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where Stokes' theorem has been used.

More progress can be made by defining

$$\mathcal{T} \equiv [k_1 d \Omega_1 - k_0 d \Omega_0]_{\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{V}_0 = 0} \quad (3.16)$$

Then the polynomial

$$\mathcal{Q} \equiv k_1 d\Omega_1 - k_0 d\Omega_0 - \tau \quad (3.17)$$

is necessarily homogeneous in the fields \mathcal{V}_0 , \mathcal{A}_0 , $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_0$ and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_0$, so (3.11) can be applied: $(k_0 d + dk_0)\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}$. This leads to the messy formula

$$k_1 d\Omega_1 - k_0 d\Omega_0 = \tau + (k_0 d + dk_0)(k_1 d\Omega_1 - k_0 d\Omega_0 - \tau). \quad (3.18)$$

Our goal now is to simplify this. In fact, only two terms in the expansion of the RHS are non-zero. Using formulas (3.5) on (3.9), it is routine to show that

$$\begin{aligned} d\Omega_t &= \mathcal{D}_t \Omega_t \\ &= -i \text{tr} \left[12 \hat{\mathcal{A}}_t \hat{\mathcal{V}}_t^2 + 4 \hat{\mathcal{A}}_t^3 \right] \\ &= -i \text{Tr} \left[\gamma_5 \hat{\mathcal{G}}_t^3 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

where Tr denotes trace over both Dirac and flavor indices. (Ω_t is analogous to ω_{2n-1}^0 in Zumino's lectures. The notation here is intended to suggest this parallel, while keeping in mind the differences.)

Noting that

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t = e^{-it\xi\gamma_5} \hat{\mathcal{G}}_0 e^{it\xi\gamma_5} \quad (3.20)$$

it is obvious from (3.19) that

$$d\Omega_1 = d\Omega_0. \quad (3.21)$$

But using (3.11),

$$\begin{aligned} d(k_1 d\Omega_1 - k_0 d\Omega_0) &= d((1 - dk_1)\Omega_1 - (1 - dk_0)\Omega_0) \\ &= d\Omega_1 - d\Omega_0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

From the definition of τ and ℓ_t^s , it is clear that

$$k_0 \tau = 0 \quad (3.23a)$$

and that (3.22) implies

$$d\tau = 0. \quad (3.23b)$$

Finally, noting $k_0^2 = 0$, (3.18) becomes

$$k_1 d\Omega_1 - k_0 d\Omega_0 = \tau + dk_0 k_1 d\Omega. \quad (3.24)$$

Substituting this into (3.15) and using Stokes' theorem again,

$$\Gamma = \mathcal{K} \int_{S^4} (k_1 \Omega_1 - k_0 \Omega_0 + k_0 k_1 d\Omega_1) + \mathcal{K} \int_{D^5} \tau. \quad (3.25)$$

Some explicit calculations are now in order.

$$\begin{aligned} k_t \Omega_t &= -i \text{tr} \int_0^1 \ell_t^s \left[12 \mathcal{A}_t^s (\hat{\mathcal{V}}_t^s)^2 + 4 \mathcal{A}_t^s (\hat{\mathcal{A}}_t^s)^2 + \frac{32}{5} (\mathcal{A}_t^s)^5 - 16 (\mathcal{A}_t^s)^3 \hat{\mathcal{V}}_t^s \right] \\ &= i \text{tr} \int_0^1 ds \left[12 \mathcal{A}_t^s \{ \mathcal{V}_t, \hat{\mathcal{V}}_t \} + 4 \mathcal{A}_t^s \{ \mathcal{A}_t, \hat{\mathcal{A}}_t \} - 16 (\mathcal{A}_t^s)^3 \mathcal{V}_t \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

and inserting (3.13), the integration over s is trivial,

$$k_t \Omega_t = i \text{tr} \left[4 \mathcal{A}_t \{ \mathcal{V}_t, \hat{\mathcal{V}}_t \} + 2 \mathcal{V}_t^3 \mathcal{A}_t + 6 \mathcal{V}_t \mathcal{A}_t^3 \right]. \quad (3.27)$$

An entirely similar calculation leads to

$$k_t d\Omega_t = -i \text{Tr} \left[\gamma_5 \left(\mathcal{G}_t \hat{\mathcal{G}}_t^2 - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}_t^3 \hat{\mathcal{G}}_t + \frac{1}{10} \mathcal{G}_t^5 \right) \right]. \quad (3.28)$$

Using the definition (3.16), this implies

$$\tau = -\frac{i}{10} \text{Tr}(\gamma_5 \alpha^5) \quad (3.29)$$

where

$$\alpha \equiv g^{-1} dg \quad (3.30a)$$

$$g \equiv e^{i\xi\gamma_5}. \quad (3.30b)$$

Note that τ looks very similar to the pion term given by Witten. It will be seen later that τ differs by an exact form from Witten's expression.

The computation of $k_0 k_1 d\Omega_1$ is only slightly more complicated. The reason is because k_0 is defined in terms of ℓ_0^s , which has a simple action on $\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{A}_0$, etc., but not on $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{A}_1$, etc. The best way to proceed is to define

$$G \equiv g^{-1} \mathcal{G}_0 g \quad (3.31a)$$

$$\hat{G} \equiv \hat{\mathcal{G}}_1 = g^{-1} \hat{\mathcal{G}}_0 g. \quad (3.31b)$$

A short calculation based on (3.13) and (3.14) gives the formulas

$$\mathcal{G}_1^s = sG + \alpha \quad (3.32a)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}_1^s = s\hat{G} - s(1-s)G^2 \quad (3.32b)$$

$$\ell_0^s \mathcal{G}_1^s = 0 \quad (3.32c)$$

$$\ell_0^s \hat{\mathcal{G}}_1^s = G ds. \quad (3.32d)$$

Combining these with (3.28), we find that

$$k_0 k_1 d\Omega_1 = -i \text{Tr} \gamma_5 \int_0^1 \ell_0^s \left[\mathcal{G}_1^s (\hat{\mathcal{G}}_1^s)^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{G}_1^s)^3 \hat{\mathcal{G}}_1^s + \frac{1}{10} (\mathcal{G}_1^s)^5 \right]$$

$$= i \text{Tr} \gamma_5 \int_0^1 ds \left[(sG + \alpha) \{G, s\hat{G} - s(1-s)G^2\} - \frac{1}{2} (sG + \alpha)^3 G \right] \quad (3.33)$$

which, after integration, becomes

$$k_0 k_1 d\Omega_1 = i \text{Tr} \gamma_5 \left[\frac{1}{2} \alpha \{G, \hat{G}\} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha G^3 + \frac{1}{4} (G\alpha)^2 + \frac{1}{2} G\alpha^3 \right]. \quad (3.34)$$

In view of (3.31), this can be rewritten as

$$k_0 k_1 d\Omega_1 = i \text{Tr} \gamma_5 \left[\frac{1}{2} \beta \{\mathcal{G}_0, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_0\} - \frac{1}{2} \beta \mathcal{G}_0^3 + \frac{1}{4} (\mathcal{G}_0 \beta)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}_0 \beta^3 \right] \quad (3.35)$$

where

$$\beta \equiv dgg^{-1}. \quad (3.36)$$

Combining (3.25), (3.26), (3.29) and (3.35), the final result is

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma = & iK \int_{S^4} \text{tr} \left[4\mathcal{A}_t \{\mathcal{V}_t, \hat{\mathcal{V}}_t\} + 2\mathcal{V}_t^3 \mathcal{A}_t + 6\mathcal{V}_t \mathcal{A}_t^3 \right]_{t=0}^{t=1} \\ & + iK \int_{S^4} \text{Tr} \gamma_5 \left[\frac{1}{2} \beta \{\mathcal{G}_0, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_0\} - \frac{1}{2} \beta \mathcal{G}_0^3 + \frac{1}{4} (\mathcal{G}_0 \beta)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}_0 \beta^3 \right] \\ & - \frac{iK}{10} \int_{D^5} \text{Tr}(\gamma_5 \alpha^5). \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

Equation (3.37) is the main result of this paper (in Euclidean space). To obtain the Minkowski space (+---) result, one adds a factor of i . We now check to see that (3.37) agrees with known results for some special cases.

If one sets $\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{A}_0 = 0$, then Γ reduces to a purely pionic action given by

$$\Gamma(\pi) = iK \int_{S^4} \text{tr}(2v^3 a + 6va^3) - \frac{iK}{10} \int_{D^5} \text{Tr}(\gamma_5 \alpha^5) \quad (3.38)$$

where v and a are defined by

$$v + \gamma_5 a \equiv \alpha. \quad (3.39)$$

An application of Stokes' theorem to the first term in (3.38), combined with an expansion of the second term, leads to

$$\Gamma^{(\pi)} = -\frac{32i\mathcal{K}}{5} \int_{D^5} \text{tr}(a^5). \quad (3.40)$$

One can show from (3.30a) and (2.3) that

$$a = \frac{1}{2} u^{-\frac{1}{2}} (du) u^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.41)$$

so we find that

$$\Gamma^{(\pi)} = -\frac{N_c}{240\pi^2} \int_{D^5} \text{tr}(u^{-1} du)^5 \quad (3.42)$$

which, of course, is Witten's expression (in Euclidean space).

Another check we could perform on (3.37) is to expand it as a power series in ξ . After a long calculation, one finds

$$\Gamma = \mathcal{K} \int_{S^4} \text{tr}(\xi B_0) + O(\xi^2) \quad (3.43)$$

which is obviously correct, in view of (3.1)–(3.3).

IV. Conclusion

Our result (3.37) is more compact than any other published formula that we know of. In spite of the more complicated form for the anomaly when using vector and axial fields, the effective action is apparently simpler than the corresponding formula expressed in terms of left-handed and right-handed fields. This is gratifying, in view of

recent work [7] that indicates that the effective action derived from Bardeen's form of the anomaly correctly reproduces the vector meson decay amplitudes. While our formula is not much more convenient for doing perturbative calculations, (because it must be expanded using (2.9) and (2.11)), we expect that Skyrme modellers [8] will find our formula less cumbersome than others that have been published. This, in fact, provided a motivation for this work.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is a pleasure to thank Dae Sung Hwang, who carefully read the zeroth draft of this paper and Prof. Orlando Alvarez, who interested me in anomalies and who encouraged me to publish this calculation.

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy Physics and Nuclear Physics, Division of High Energy Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Wess and B. Zumino, Phys. Lett. **37B** (1971),95.
- [2] W.A. Bardeen, Phys. Rev. **184** (1969), 1848.
- [3] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B223** (1983), 422.
- [4] K.C. Chou, H.Y. Guo, K. Wu and X.C. Song, *Academica Sinica* ITP-83-027 and ITP-83-032 (1983).
H. Kawai and S.H. Tye, CLNS-84/594 (1984).
J. Mañes, LBL Preprint 17318 (1984).
- [5] Ö. Kaymakçalan, S. Rajeev and J. Schechter, COO-3533-278 SU-4222-278 (1983).
- [6] S. Coleman, J. Wess and B. Zumino, Phys. Rev. **177** (1969), 2239.
C. Callan, S. Coleman, J. Wess and B. Zumino, Phys. Rev. **177** (1969), 2247.
- [7] B. Zumino, LBL Preprint 16747 (1983), presented as lectures in physics at Les Houches , August, 1983.
- [8] T.H.R. Skyrme, Proc. Roy. Soc. **A260** (1961) 127.
G.S. Adkins, C.R. Nappi and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B228** (1983), 552.
G.S. Adkins and C.R. Nappi, Print-84-0053 (1984).

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720