

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

Distribution Coefficients and Diffusivities in Three Polymers for Nineteen Aqueous Nonvolatile Solutes

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/79b7168v>

Journal

Journal of Applied Polymer Science, 85(10)

Authors

Fornasiero, F.

Olaya, M.M.

Esprester, B.

et al.

Publication Date

2001-06-01



ERNEST ORLANDO LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY


Distribution Coefficients and Diffusivities in Three Polymers for Nineteen Aqueous Nonvolatile Solutes

F. Fornasiero, M.M. Olaya, B. Esprester,
V. Nguyen, and J.M. Prausnitz

Chemical Sciences Division

June 2001

Submitted to
*Journal of Applied
Polymer Science*



REFERENCE COPY |
Does Not |
Circulate |

Library Annex Reference
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Copy 1

LBNL-48573

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

**Distribution Coefficients and Diffusivities in Three
Polymers for Nineteen Aqueous Nonvolatile Solutes**

F. Fornasiero, M.M. Olaya, B. Esprester, V. Nguyen, and J.M. Prausnitz

Chemical Engineering Department
University of California, Berkeley

and

Chemical Sciences Division
Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

June 2001

Distribution Coefficients and Diffusivities in Three Polymers for Nineteen Aqueous Nonvolatile Solutes

F. Fornasiero, M. M. Olaya¹, B. Esprester², V. Nguyen³, and J. M. Prausnitz⁴

Chemical Engineering Department
University of California, Berkeley

and

Chemical Sciences Division
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

¹ Present address: Departamento de Ingenieria Quimica, Universidad de Alicante, Alicante, Spain

² Present address: Lehrstuhl für Feststoff- und Grenzflächenverfahrenstechnik, Technische Universität, München, 85748 Garching, Germany

³ Present address: Chemical Engineering Department, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1592

⁴ To whom correspondence should be addressed. *Email address:* prausnit@cchem.berkeley.edu

Synopsis

Experimental results at 25 °C are reported for infinite-dilution distribution coefficients for nineteen non-volatile solutes between aqueous solution and three kinds of polymer films, and for their diffusion coefficients in the polymer matrix. The experiments were performed by coupling UV-spectroscopy and gravimetric measurements with mass balances. The solutes are aromatic non-volatile compounds that are of interest in environmental technology and may serve as model compounds for drug-delivery systems. The polymers are ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymers with 33 (EVAc33) and 45 (EVAc45) weight percent vinylacetate, and poly(vinylacetate) (PVAc), widely used in drug delivery devices.

Infinite-dilution distribution coefficients K_s range from near unity to several hundred. K_s tends to increase with vinyl acetate content in the polymer because the vinyl acetate group interacts favorably with the polar functional groups in the solute. The nature and position of functional groups in the solute affect K_s ; if they augment lipophilicity, the distribution coefficient tends to increase in EVAc copolymers and *viceversa*. In PVAc, the effect appears to be more complex: polar moieties can raise K_s because of favorable interaction, but non-polar groups may give the same effect because of increased lipophilicity.

In EVAc copolymers, diffusion coefficients D range from 10^{-10} to 10^{-8} cm²/sec; they are weakly dependent on VAc and usually larger for the solute with lower distribution coefficient. In PVAc, the diffusion process is much slower ($D \sim 10^{-12}$ cm²/sec). As a consequence, the time to equilibrate the polymer with the aqueous solution of the solute is quite long (more than 4-5 weeks). An extrapolation procedure with a suitable diffusion model has been used to obtain the equilibrium distribution coefficient and the diffusion coefficient.

Experimental results and calculations with the Langmuir isotherm show that the contribution of surface adsorption is negligible.

Abstract

Experimental results at 25 °C are reported for infinite-dilution distribution coefficients for nineteen non-volatile solutes between aqueous solution and three kinds of polymer films, and for their diffusion coefficients in the polymer matrix. The experiments were performed by coupling UV-spectroscopy and gravimetric measurements with mass balances. The solutes are aromatic non-volatile compounds that are of interest in environmental technology and may serve as model compounds for drug-delivery systems. The polymers are ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymers with 33 (EVAc33) and 45 (EVAc45) weight percent vinylacetate, and poly(vinylacetate) (PVAc), widely used in drug-delivery devices.

For PVAc, a long time is required to reach equilibrium. Because the required time is too long for reasonable experimental studies, equilibrium distribution coefficients were calculated from finite-time data using a diffusion model. The contribution of surface adsorption is shown to be negligible. Infinite-dilution distribution coefficients K_s , defined as the volume fraction of solute in the polymer divided by that in water, tend to increase with vinyl acetate content; they range from near unity to several hundred. Diffusion coefficients, determined from time-dependent sorption data, are significantly larger in EVAc copolymers (10^{-10} to 10^{-8} cm²/sec) than in PVAc (10^{-12} cm²/sec).

These data may be useful for design of membrane processes, for controlled delivery of drugs and for application in packaging and storage of food, chemicals and pharmaceuticals.

Keywords: diffusion, distribution coefficient, nonvolatile solutes, ethylene-vinyl acetate, poly(vinyl acetate).

Introduction

Numerous applications of polymeric materials concern polymer films in contact with an aqueous solution of heavy organic solutes, i.e. those with a negligible vapor pressure at room temperature. For example, in wastewater purification, polymer membranes enable the removal of small amounts of pollutants from water streams and the potential recovery of useful compounds. Polymeric bags, containers and wrapping films are extensively used for packaging of food and storage of pharmaceuticals or other chemicals. Leaching of polymer-processing chemicals could contaminate the stored product. On the other hand, sorption of compounds from a stored pharmaceutical solution could compromise its effectiveness by lowering the compound's concentration.¹⁻³ Distribution-coefficient data are helpful for selection of suitable polymers for separation processes and for packaging.

Most pharmaceuticals are organic compounds with very low volatility at room temperature. In controlled drug-delivery systems, polymers serve as a supporting matrix for a uniformly dissolved or dispersed solute (matrix systems) or as permeable barriers surrounding the stored drug (reservoir systems) as discussed, for example, by Langer.⁴ The solubility of the solute in the polymer and the distribution coefficient between the aqueous phase and the polymer, together with the diffusion coefficient are controlling properties in drug-release to the surrounding media.⁵ These properties affect the ability of a drug to reach significant concentration in the blood stream for distribution into tissues.

The polymer-water distribution coefficient and the diffusion coefficient in the polymer are essential parameters for rational design of appropriate devices for specific purposes.

In this work, we report distribution coefficients for nineteen non-volatile organic solutes between a dilute aqueous solution and a polymer film at 25 °C. For each solute, the diffusion

coefficient in the polymer matrix is also obtained at the same temperature. The solutes are aromatic compounds with different functional groups with molecular weights ranging from 79 to 182 g/mol; most of them are solids at 25 °C. They may be considered as models for typical pharmaceuticals.

Ten of the solutes are aromatic nitro-compounds, an important class of substances with a variety of applications; they are used as solvents, fuel additives, intermediates in manufacture of dyes and explosives, etc... Nitroaromatics present a serious environmental hazard because of their high stability and tendency to leach into groundwater; they frequently appear on lists of high-priority pollutants. Under environmental conditions, they are encountered at very low concentrations.⁶ Naphthols are used directly in manufacture of dyes and are converted into tanning agents, antioxidants, and antiseptics; acetophenone is used in perfumery industry, as solvent and as intermediate in organic synthesis; benzophenone is used for pesticides, perfumes, pharmaceuticals, and as organic ultraviolet (UV) absorber. Benzoic acid is mainly used in dye and paint industries; benzyl alcohol is used in the soap, perfume, and flavor industries and as solvent for inks and lacquers.

The polymers are two ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymers (33 and 45 weight % vinyl acetate) and poly(vinylacetate). Ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymers (EVAc) find a variety of industrial applications thanks to their chemical resistance, flexibility and excellent processability. Their biocompatibility and biological inertness make them appealing for biomedical applications. EVAc copolymers with 30-50% VAc content are FDA-approved materials employed as non-degradable polymers for drug-delivery systems.⁷⁻¹⁰

Materials

Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was purchased from EM Science and used as a solvent to cast the polymer films. Ultrapure water (Barnstead NANOpure system) was used to prepare the aqueous solutions.

Table 1 lists the solutes; for each solute, Table 1 gives chemical formula, light sensitivity, purity, and supplier. Table 2 presents the most relevant solute properties: molecular weights, densities and melting points T_f ($^{\circ}\text{C}$). All solutes were used without further purification.

EVAc copolymers were obtained from Scientific Polymer Product, while PVAc was purchased from Aldrich. Table 3 gives the average molecular weight M_w , purity, composition and glass-transition temperature. The glass-transition temperature for EVAc has been estimated using the Flory-Fox equation:¹¹

$$\frac{1}{T_g} = \frac{w_{p1}}{T_{g,p1}} + \frac{w_{p2}}{T_{g,p2}} \quad (1)$$

where T_g is the glass-transition temperature of the copolymer, while $T_{g,p1}$ and $T_{g,p2}$ are the glass-transition temperatures of the parent homopolymers, and w_{p1} and w_{p2} are the mass fractions of the parent monomers. Possible uncertainties in Equation (1) are not relevant for our measurements because the glass-transition temperature is much lower than 25 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. The glass-transition temperature of PVAc has been measured by modulated differential scanning calorimetry (DSC 2920, Modulated DSC TA Instrument) at a heating rate of 3 $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$, with modulation amplitude of ± 1 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and period of 60 sec.

The polymers are polydisperse. Experimental studies by Nguyen¹² have shown that the effect of M_w on K_s is negligible for rubbery polymers at high degree of polymerization ($M_w > 80000$). Polydispersity may affect the solubility and the distribution coefficient of a solute

in a glassy polymer by increasing the glass-transition temperature and reducing polymer chain mobility. However, the EVAc copolymers and the water-presaturated PVAc (see the next section) used in this work are rubbery at room temperature;¹³ therefore, polydispersity is not expected significantly to affect our results.

Polymer-film densities have been determined using a 10-ml pycnometer. The densities reported are averages over several measurements; typical uncertainty (standard deviation) is less than 2 weight percent. Measured densities agree within the experimental error with those reported by the producer (the latter are 2 % higher for EVAc copolymers, the same for PVAc).

Distribution coefficient

In the following section, we present the experimental procedure to determine the distribution coefficient in EVAc33. For PVAc and EVAc45 we report only the variations applied to the procedure for EVAc33. Table 4 summarizes the characteristic features of preparation of the distribution-coefficient experiment for each polymer.

a) Experimental procedure

EVAc33

To obtain the infinite-dilution distribution coefficient K_s^∞ , the weighed polymer samples were put into contact with a weighed dilute aqueous solution of the selected solute.

To reduce the time to reach equilibrium, the polymer samples were prepared as thin films. A liquid polymer solution was obtained by dissolving the polymer in tetrahydrofuran (THF). The polymer concentration in THF should be low to avoid problems with liquid transfer due to high viscosity, but not too low, lest the time for evaporating THF is too long. Typical polymer concentration was 6% (gr polymer/ml THF). Consistent with the desired film thickness, a small amount of polymer/THF solution was poured into a 63-mm-diameter aluminum dish. 20

ml/pan were used for EVAc33. Each aluminum pan was then covered and put into an oven, fluxed with nitrogen, for a heating time of 40 hours at 100 °C. The drying temperature is chosen well above the normal boiling point of THF (66 °C) and well above the glass-transition temperature of the polymer (estimated to be -95 °C for EVAc33) to allow complete drying.¹⁴ After that, the polymer melt in the aluminum pan was allowed to cool to room temperature for at least 8 hours. Next, a polymer film was removed from the aluminum pan and cut into strips. For EVAc33, the average thickness of the polymer film was 0.266 mm (measured by MDC-1" Pf Micrometer from Mitutoyo).

Polymer films should be totally free of solvent after casting. Thermogravimetric analysis, using 5-10 mg polymer sample at heating rate 5 °C/min, showed that all solvent in the polymer film is absent (TG 220 SSC/5200 SII Seiko Instruments).

EVAc45

EVAc45 films were cast from an 8% THF solution. Pyrex dishes (139-mm diameter) were filled with 84-ml polymer solution each, covered, and heated to 100 °C for 40 hours under a nitrogen flux. The dishes were then stored at -20 °C in the refrigerator for a minimum of one day. The cold polymer films were detached from the Pyrex container as soon as possible after removing the dishes from the refrigerator. Use of Pyrex dishes (instead of aluminum pans) combined with the cooling procedure and the use of a higher film thickness (0.421 mm) minimized problems in the recovery of the polymer films from the dishes caused by the adhesive properties of EVAc45. For EVAc33, it was easy to peel off the polymer film from the aluminum pan, but not for EVAc45.

PVAc

10 ml of a 4% THF polymer solution were poured in each aluminum pan to obtain 0.101 mm thick PVAc films. The temperature and the heating time are the same as those used for EVAc33. The polymer films, removed easily from the aluminum pans, were then placed into contact with nanopure water and allowed to equilibrate for 2 weeks. The water sorption lowers the glass transition temperature of the wet PVAc films; with roughly 2.5 weight % water content (smaller than the measured equilibrium value at 25 °C) they become rubbery at room temperature. Therefore, there is no glass transition during subsequent distribution-coefficient experiments. Moreover, pre-equilibration with water provides additional removal of possible impurities from the polymer films.

After the polymer films were prepared, several strips of EVAc33 (total weight ~1 g) were placed into an equilibrium vial, in contact with a weighed amount of aqueous solution of the solute at known concentration. Because of the strong adhesion properties of EVAc45 and wet PVAc, the polymer strips tend to stick together reducing the contact area with the aqueous solution. This compromises the reproducibility of distribution coefficient data, especially for those systems that require a long time to reach equilibrium. Therefore, for EVAc45 and PVAc, only one strip was used in each vial (respectively ~ 0.5 g and ~ 0.2 g).

The optimum initial concentration of the solute in water was determined by trial and error for each solute-polymer pair. The concentration of the solute in the aqueous solution must not be too large lest the change in aqueous solute concentration before and after sorption become too small for accurate measurement. On the other hand, the concentration must not be too small lest the amount of sorbed solute be difficult to detect because of limits in analytic accuracy. To minimize loss of aqueous solution during repeated measurements at different times, the solution

concentration should also allow direct UV spectroscopic measurement of absorbancy without dilution. In this case, the sampled solution can be recovered for subsequent measurements. To minimize any error caused by evaporation of water in the equilibrium vial, each vial was completely filled with solution and polymer and then sealed with Teflon tape or septa and capped tightly. The equilibrium vials prepared for the sorption experiment were maintained in a thermostatic bath at 25 ± 0.1 °C until equilibrium was nearly obtained. Time required for near-equilibrium was a minimum of a few days for EVAc copolymers, and more than 4-5 weeks for PVAc. As discussed in Appendix I, the near-equilibrium data for PVAc were fit to a diffusion model that permits rational extrapolation with time to obtain equilibrium distribution coefficients. For the light-sensitive solutes, precautions were taken to minimize the exposure to light by using brown glass vials for the sorption experiment and for storing aqueous solutions.

Using an ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-160), the solute concentration in water was measured prior to contact with the polymer films and then, as a function of time extending over several weeks, at regular intervals. These data, coupled with the known mass of solution and mass of polymer, were used to determine the concentration of solute in the polymer as a function of time.

Data reduction

The ratio of solute concentrations (expressed as volume fractions) in the polymer and in the aqueous phases gives the time-dependent distribution constant K_s , before extrapolation, as shown below:

$$m_{s,p} = m_s^o - m_{s,w} \quad (2)$$

$$m_{s,p} = m_{sol}^o \cdot w_{s,w}^0 - m_{sol} \cdot w_{s,w} = m_{sol}^o \cdot w_{s,w}^0 - [m_{sol}^o - (m_{s,p} + m_{w,p})] \cdot w_{s,w} \quad (3)$$

$$w_{s,p} = \frac{m_{s,p}}{m_{s,p} + m_{w,p} + m_p^o} \quad (4)$$

$$K_s = \frac{\Phi_{s,p}}{\Phi_{s,w}} = \left(\frac{w_{s,p}}{w_{s,w}} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{w_{s,w} / \rho_s + w_{w,w} / \rho_w}{w_{s,p} / \rho_s + w_{w,p} / \rho_w + w_{p,p} / \rho_p} \right) \quad (5)$$

where, $m_{i,j}$ is the mass of component i in phase j ; $w_{i,j}$ is the mass fraction of i in phase j ; $\Phi_{i,j}$ is the volume fraction of i in phase j ; i or $j = s$ (solute), w (water), or p (polymer); subscript sol stands for aqueous solution and superscript o stands for initial.

For data interpretation through thermodynamic analysis, it is convenient to obtain the distribution coefficient at infinite dilution K_s^∞ . For a particular polymer-solute pair, distribution-coefficient data were therefore obtained at five levels of dilute aqueous-solution concentration. Because the experimental distribution coefficients do not show any trend with the final solution concentration, the experimental points are considered to be inside the infinite-dilution regime and K_s^∞ was calculated as a simple average over these five values. More precisely, this procedure gives the ratio of concentrations $\Phi_{s,p} / \Phi_{s,w}$ at infinite dilution as a function of time. These data, in turn, were reduced to give the equilibrium (corresponding to infinite time) distribution coefficient K_s^∞ at infinite dilution as discussed in Appendix I.

To convert weight fraction into volume fraction, we introduced in Equation (5) the densities of the solutes in the actual state at the experimental temperature. While a more rigorous calculation should use the density in a hypothetical liquid state for the solid solutes at 25 °C, negligible errors are introduced by this approximation. The precise value of ρ_s in Equation (5) has no appreciable influence on the distribution coefficient because $w_{s,p}$ and $w_{s,w}$ are much smaller than $w_{w,w}$ and $w_{p,p}$.

Water sorption

To close the mass balance (Equations (3)-(5)), the amount of water in the polymer at saturation is also required. It was obtained from a separate experiment with solute-free water and was assumed to be independent of the solute. Thermogravimetric analysis was performed to determine the water content of water-saturated polymer films. Fifteen days of contact were sufficient to reach saturation. The equilibrium water content has been determined from the loss of weight of the water-saturated polymer sample after heating to at least 100 °C as illustrated in Figure 1. An independent measure of the polymer weight before and after equilibration with water gives consistent results as indicated in Table 5.

Diffusion coefficient

To determine the diffusion coefficients of the solutes in the polymer films, we used a short-time approximation to the solution of the diffusion problem illustrated in Appendix I:¹⁵

$$\frac{\Phi_{s,p}(\tau)}{\Phi_{\infty}} = (1 + \alpha) \left\{ 1 - \exp\left(\frac{\tau}{\alpha^2}\right) \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\tau}{\alpha^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \quad (6)$$

where $\Phi_{s,p}$ is the volume fraction of the solute in the polymer at time t , Φ_{∞} is the equilibrium volume fraction of the solute in the polymer, $\tau = \frac{Dt}{l^2}$ and $\alpha = \frac{a}{l \cdot K_s}$; D is the diffusion coefficient of the solute in the polymer, a/l is the ratio of the volume of solution to that of polymer and K_s is the equilibrium distribution coefficient.

Performing a Taylor expansion and applying the solute mass balance, Equation (6) simplifies to

$$\frac{\Phi_{s,w}(t)}{\Phi_{s,w}^0} = 1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{\alpha^2}} = 1 - \frac{2 \cdot K_s}{a \sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{D \cdot t}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (7)$$

where $\Phi_{s,w}$ is the solute volume fraction in the aqueous solution. Equation (7) shows that for $t \rightarrow 0$ the solute concentration decreases linearly with $t^{1/2}$ and that the slope is proportional to the distribution coefficient and \sqrt{D} . Once the distribution coefficient has been determined using Equation (5), the diffusion coefficient can be calculated from the slope of the straight line when $\Phi_{s,w}(t)/\Phi_{s,w}^0$ is plotted against $t^{1/2}$.

The data required for Equation (7) were obtained by measuring as a function of time the decrease of the solute concentration in the aqueous solution near the beginning of the experiment, i.e. every 2-5 min for 30-60 min for EVAc copolymers, and every 0.5-1 hour for 8-10 hours for PVAc. In these time intervals the experimental solute concentrations are linear function of the square root of the time.

Results and discussion

Contribution of surface adsorption

Equations (2)-(5) do not distinguish between solute adsorbed on the polymer film surface and solute absorbed in the polymer bulk. Because our interest is in the bulk properties of the polymer/aqueous solution system, it is necessary to estimate the contribution of surface adsorption to the total sorption. This problem was addressed in two ways. First, sorption experiments were performed varying the thickness of the polymer film while keeping constant the polymer mass as well the mass and concentration of the aqueous solution. Second, the Langmuir adsorption isotherm was used to provide an upper-bound estimate of the amount of adsorbed solute. For a conservative estimate, we assumed a large adsorption-energy parameter $K_{ads} = 100-1000$ to favor solute adsorption, and we estimated the monolayer coverage n_m as the

ratio of the typical surface area of the polymer films to the projected van der Waals area¹⁶ of a solute molecule.

The experimental approach is meaningful only if the measurements of solution concentration are at long time, close to the equilibrium condition. Because the amount of solute diffused into the polymer at a given time is inversely proportional to the film thickness, the time-dependent distribution coefficient decreases with rising film thickness while the diffusion process is proceeding. At equilibrium, for films of varying thickness, the distribution coefficient attains a common value only if there is no surface adsorption. On the other hand, if the equilibrium distribution coefficient depends on film thickness (surface-to-volume ratio), there is significant surface adsorption contribution. At non-equilibrium conditions, the dependence of $\Phi_{s,p}/\Phi_{s,w}$ on the film thickness cannot be discerned from the eventual equilibrium surface adsorption contribution. For this reason, and to reduce the experimental effort, we used this experimental approach only for EVAc33 but not for the other polymers. Figure 2 shows typical results for EVAc33 with an aqueous solution of acetophenone, or 2-nitroaniline or 2-nitrophenol. The zero slope indicates that surface adsorption for all examined EVAc33/solute systems is negligible.

The calculation based on the Langmuir isotherm shows that for both EVAc copolymers and PVAc, the contribution of surface adsorption is less than 0.5% of the total sorption.

Finally, the early-time measurements also indicate a negligible effect of surface adsorption. The typical equilibration time for surface adsorption of a small solute on a non-porous solid is of the order of a few minutes.¹⁷ Therefore, a plot of the solute concentration in the aqueous solution as a function of the square root of the time should present a nearly instantaneous decline at the beginning, corresponding to the amount adsorbed. None of the

systems studied here shows such a decline; see, for example, Figure 8. In conclusion, Equation (5) can be used to evaluate the bulk distribution coefficient without introducing any appreciable error due to surface adsorption.

Water sorption

Table 5 reports the measured water sorption in EVAc33, EVAc45 and PVAc. The weight per cent of water at saturation (at $T=25$ °C) increases with VAc content. The water content is negligible in EVAc33; it rises to ~0.4 weight % in EVAc45 and reaches 7.0% in PVAc. Our measured water contents in EVAc copolymers are in agreement with those reported by Chen and Lostritto,¹⁸ who find less than 0.5% water content for copolymers with VAc content between 0 and 40%. PVAc films are transparent in the dry state and become cloudy after equilibration with water. Johnson *et al.*¹⁹ associated the whitening to water clustering in the polymer matrix. They showed that PVAc absorbs up to about 4 weight % water that is bound to the polymer and is responsible for the decrease of T_g below room temperature. Additional sorbed water (clustered water) fills microscopic cavities within the polymer matrix and has no effect upon T_g for PVAc. Therefore, roughly 3 weight % of clustered water is present in our PVAc films. EVAc45 is also transparent when dry but becomes cloudy when wet.

Distribution coefficient

Figure 3 shows distribution coefficients for three different solutes in EVAc33 as a function of the solute equilibrium volume fraction in the aqueous phase. In the experiments, the masses of both phases and the thicknesses of the polymer films were held constant. The error bars and the average distribution coefficient are also shown. Clearly, the measured distribution coefficients are independent of aqueous solute concentration for the concentration range considered here.

Figure 4 shows similar data for EVAc45; analogous conclusions can be drawn. In Figures 5-7 (PVAc), there is again no evident trend of the distribution coefficient with the solute concentration. Here, however, the concentration in the horizontal axis is a non-equilibrium concentration because of the long time necessary to reach equilibrium. Comparing the ratio of solute volume fractions in the two phases at different times, time-dependent distribution coefficient $\Phi_{s,p}/\Phi_{s,w}$ remains independent of dilution. Therefore, the assumption of infinite-dilution conditions for PVAc remains valid for all times up to the time required for equilibrium.

Table 6 lists distribution coefficients with corresponding standard deviations for all solutes in EVAc33, EVAc45 and PVAc. Because EVAc copolymers in contact with aqueous solutions reach equilibrium in a few days, extrapolation to infinite time is not required and the reported K_s are simple averages of the final measurements. However, for PVAc systems, the diffusion process is much slower and a long time is needed for equilibration. Therefore, the reported distribution coefficients are the average of the 5 values (corresponding to the 5 different solution concentrations) of the fitting parameter K_s appearing in the diffusion model used for time extrapolation (Appendix I).

In general, the distribution coefficient of a solute is affected primarily by two factors: the presence of functional groups on the solute molecule that may interact specifically with the VAc moiety, and the lipophilicity of the solute as a measure of how much the solute dislikes the aqueous environment (hydrophobicity).

Highly polar molecules like pyridine and benzyl alcohol have indeed the lowest K_s (~1-10) while benzophenone has the highest (~ 10^3). For a given solute, K_s increases with VAc content in the polymer with few exceptions: 2 and 4-nitrotoluene and benzophenone; for these solutes, EVAc45 gives the highest distribution coefficient. The addition of polar VAc moieties in

the polyethylene backbone appears to increase the favorable interaction between the solute and the polymer. Each solute studied here has a polar functional group (nitro, amino, hydroxyl, carbonyl, carboxyl, etc...) attached to the aromatic ring; specific attractive interactions between these groups and VAc are likely. Maurin *et al.*¹⁰ observed similar behavior for monosubstituted benzoic acids in EVAc copolymers. They explained their results on the basis of complex formation between the carboxylic group and the vinyl acetate moiety because experimental studies have shown that these aqueous monosubstituted benzoic acids have essentially zero partition coefficients in polyethylene.

4-Nitroaniline in EVAc copolymers exhibits a smaller K_s than nitrobenzene (92, 145, 172 in EVAc33, EVAc45 and PVAc respectively). The additional amino group decreases the lipophilicity of the solute increasing its partition into the aqueous solution. When the amino group moves from the para to the ortho position, intramolecular interaction with the adjacent nitro-group may reduce this effect causing an increase in lipophilicity and in the distribution coefficient.

For all three polymers, the distribution coefficient of 2-nitrophenol is the same as that of nitrobenzene. The addition of a hydroxyl moiety has a parallel effect in EVAc copolymers; the ortho-substituted isomer has the higher K_s , while the meta and para isomers show nearly the same K_s .

For nitrophenols, PVAc presents an opposite trend while for nitroanilines the behavior is more complex. For both solutes, the additional polar group raises K_s above that for nitrobenzene. The favorable interaction between polar moieties appears here to be the major driving force for partition into the polymer.

For nitrotoluenes, EVAc copolymers and PVAc show similar behavior. The methyl group favors partition into the polymer by increasing the lipophilicity of the solute.

Diffusion coefficient

Table 7 gives diffusion coefficients for all solutes in EVAc33, EVAc45 and PVAc as obtained with the method presented by Equations (2)-(5). The standard deviation is also reported. Typical experimental data are presented in Figure 8. The diffusion coefficients for solutes in EVAc45 and EVAc33 range from 10^{-10} to 10^{-8} cm²/sec, while for the wet PVAc they are two orders of magnitude smaller. With few exceptions, diffusion coefficients in EVAc45 are a little larger than those in EVAc33.

Our data are in reasonable agreement with previous results for similar aromatic compounds. Chen and Lostritto¹⁸ measured the diffusion coefficient of benzocaine ($M_w=165.2$) in EVAc copolymers with different VAc content; their results for 28%-40% VAc are of the order of 10^{-9} - 10^{-8} cm²/sec, slightly increasing with %VAc. Maurin *et al.*¹⁰ report diffusion coefficients for monosubstituted benzoic acids in EVAc copolymers at 37 °C; they found $D \sim 10^{-9}$ - 10^{-8} cm²/sec and little change in the diffusion coefficient with VAc content in the range 0-40%. Kumar *et al.*²⁰ obtained diffusion coefficients for benzene, toluene and xylene in EVAc with 18% VAc; here, the results are of the order of 10^{-7} cm²/sec. To our best knowledge, no diffusion-coefficient data have been reported in literature for aromatic solutes in wet PVAc.

Table 7 also shows diffusion coefficients for solutes in PVAc obtained by the extrapolation procedure described in Appendix I. Agreement between the two methods is good. The experimental uncertainty of the diffusion coefficient obtained through the extrapolation procedure is smaller than that obtained from the early-time measurements because in the former, the uncertainty in the film thickness is not taken into account.

For a given polymer/solute system, there is a weak correlation between the diffusion coefficient and the distribution coefficient; as the latter increases, the former decreases, as shown in Figure 9 for EVAc33. The stronger polymer/solute interaction in the systems (indicated by a higher distribution coefficient) retards solute motion in the polymeric matrix. Results for isomers of the same solute show some exceptions; however, these exceptions may not be significant because the uncertainties in the data are often quite large, perhaps as high as $\pm 50\%$.

Conclusion

For nineteen aqueous non-volatile solutes, experimental results are reported for distribution coefficients at infinite dilution and diffusion coefficients in three polymers. Solutes are aromatics with a variety of functional groups; the polymers are two EVAc copolymers and PVAc. The solutes are of environmental interest and may serve as models for pharmaceuticals; EVAc copolymers are widely used as rate-controlling membranes in drug-delivery systems.

Surface adsorption is negligible. The distribution coefficients K_s increase with vinyl acetate content in the polymer because the vinyl acetate group interacts favorably with the polar functional groups in the solute. The nature and position of functional groups in the solute affect K_s ; if they augment lipophilicity, the distribution coefficient tends to increase in EVAc copolymers and *viceversa*. In PVAc, the effect appears to be more complex; polar moieties (hydroxyl and amino group) can raise K_s because of favorable interaction with the vinyl acetate group, but non-polar groups (methyl) may give the same effect because of increased lipophilicity.

In EVAc copolymers, diffusion coefficients D are weakly dependent on VAc; they range from 10^{-10} to 10^{-8} cm²/sec. They are usually larger for the solute with lower distribution coefficient. In PVAc, the diffusion process is much slower ($D \sim 10^{-12}$ cm²/sec). As a consequence,

the time to equilibrate the polymer with the aqueous solution of the solute is quite long (more than 4-5 weeks). Therefore, for solutes in PVAc, an extrapolation procedure with a suitable diffusion model has been used to obtain the equilibrium distribution coefficient and the diffusion coefficient.

Acknowledgement

For financial support, the authors are grateful to the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, US Department of Energy and to the Donors of the Petroleum Research Fund administered by the American Chemical Society. For research grants, MO thanks the Ministerio de Educacion y Ciencia (Spain) and BE thanks the Solvay Foundation. For extensive assistance in experimental work, all authors are grateful to Nancy Fung, Claire Kimball, Shirley Poon, Wilson Wong, Chris Lee, Susan Hsiong, Jenny Fang, Lauren Bergeson, Nausheen Husain, Raymon Morcos, Alan Chen, Sannie Wiryo, Tara Fitzgerald, Ted Hsiao, Chi Cheung, Samson Famurewa, Carlos Hernandez, Duong Le, Dang-Khoa To, Linda Yu, Zachary O'Brien, Hyat Khan and Michael Chiu.

References:

1. Hayward, D. S.; Kenley, R. A.; Jenke D. R. *Int. J. Pharm.* 1990, 59, 245-253.
2. Hayward, D. S.; Jenke, D. R. *Int. J. Pharm.* 1990, 66, 87-96.
3. Jenke, D. R.; Kenley, R. A.; Hayward, D. S. *J. Appl. Poly. Sci.* 1991, 43, 1475-1482.
4. Langer, R. *Science* 1990, 249, 1527-1533.
5. Langer, R. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1993, 26, 537-542.
6. Benes M.; Dohnal, V. J. *Chem. Eng. Data* 1999, 44, 1097-1102.
7. Brannon-Peppas, L. *Medical Plastics and Biomaterials* 1997, 34-45.
8. Langer, R.; Peppas, N. J. *Macromol. Sci.-Rev. Macromol. Chem. Phys.* 1983, C23, 61-126.
9. Govil, S. K. in *Drug Delivery Devices*, Tyle, P., Ed., Marcel Dekker: New York, 1988, Chapter 13.
10. Maurin, B. M.; Dittert, L. W.; Hussian, A. A. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 1992, 81, 1, 79-84.
11. Fox, T. G. *Bull. Am. Phys. Soc.* 1956, 1, 123.
12. Nguyen, V. M.S. Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, California, 1999.
13. Bair, H. E.; Johnson, G. E.; Anderson, E. W.; Matsuoka, S. *Polym. Eng. Sci.* 1981, 21, 14, 930-935.
14. Leibler, L.; Sekimoto, K. *Macromolecules* 1993, 26, 6937-6939.
15. Crank, J. *The mathematics of Diffusion*, Clarendon Press: Oxford, 1975.
16. Bondi, A. *Physical Properties of Molecular Crystals, Liquids, and Glasses*, John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1968.
17. Kipling, J. J. *Adsorption from Solutions of Nonelectrolytes*, Academic: New York, 1965.
18. Chen, S. X.; Lostritto, R. T. *J. Control. Rel.* 1996, 38, 185-191.

19. Johnson, G. E.; Bair, H. E.; Matsuoka, S.; Anderson, E. W.; J. E. Scott, J. E. in *Water in Polymers*, Rowland, S. P., Ed., ACS Symposium Series 127: Washington, 1980, Chapter 27.
20. Kumar, S. A.; Thomas, S.; Kumaran, M. G. *Polymer* 1997, 38, 18, 4629-4640.
21. Hamzaoui, F.; Baert, F.; Wojcik, G. *Acta Crystallogr. B* 1996, 52, 1, 159-164.
22. Domalski, E. S.; Hearing, E. D. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* 1996, 25, 1-526.
23. Domalski, E. S.; Hearing, E. D. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* 1990, 19, 881-1041.
24. NIOSH, "International Chemical Safety Card," ICSC: 0519, 1993.
25. Brandup, J.; Immergut, E. H.; Grulke, E. A. *Polymer handbook*, John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1999.

Appendix I. Extrapolation of the Distribution Coefficient to Infinite Time.

For PVAc systems, non-negligible increases of the amount of absorbed solute were measured even after 40 days. To obtain the equilibrium value of the distribution coefficient K_s^∞ , extrapolation to infinite time is necessary. Toward that end, we have chosen a mathematical model for diffusion that is consistent with our experimental conditions. The main features of this model are:

1. The decrease of solute concentration in the aqueous phase is due only to diffusion of the solute into the polymer bulk, i.e. the contribution of surface adsorption negligible.
2. The solute mass transfer into the polymer follows Fick's law with constant diffusion coefficient.
3. The solution volume is limited, i.e. the concentration of the solute in the solution falls as the solute enters the polymer film. This feature is essential because the solute uptake in the polymer is experimentally deduced by monitoring the concentration in the solution as a function of time.
4. The solute mass transfer in the aqueous phase is much faster than that in the polymer phase; the solute concentration in the aqueous phase is then uniform and depends only on time ($\Phi_{s,w}(t)$).
5. The polymer film is modeled as a plane sheet of infinite surface area with negligible border area. The only relevant dimension x is orthogonal to the plane surface.
6. Equilibrium is established instantaneously at the solution-polymer interface $x=\pm l$ ($\Phi_{s,p}(\pm l, t) = K_s \cdot \Phi_{s,w}(t)$) where $2l$ is the wet-film thickness.

Adsorption, discussed in the "Results and discussion" section, is negligible. The assumption of constant diffusion coefficient is justified at infinite-dilution condition where $K_s = K_s^\infty$.

The fifth feature is easily tested by calculating the ratio of the border area A_b to the surface area A_s of the polymer films for a typical polymer thickness. A_b/A_s is always less than 1%.

Finally, the time-independent relation between solute concentration in the polymer film at the interface and that in the solution is reasonable for the highly dilute solutions used here.

The solute uptake in the polymer film as a function of time is obtained by solving the one-dimensional diffusion problem:

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{s,p}}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_{s,p}}{\partial x^2} \quad (I.1)$$

with the initial conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{s,p} &= 0 & -l < x < l, & & t = 0, \\ \Phi_{s,w} &= \Phi_{s,w}^0 & & & t = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (I.2)$$

stating that at time $t=0$ all the solute is in the aqueous solution phase with concentration $\Phi_{s,w}^0$.

The boundary condition equates the rate at which the solute leaves the solution to that at which it enters the film at the surfaces $x=\pm l$:

$$\begin{aligned} V_w \frac{\partial \Phi_{s,w}}{\partial t} &= A_p j_{s,p} \Big|_{x=\pm l} = \mp A_p D \frac{\partial \Phi_{s,p}}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=\pm l} \\ \frac{a}{K_s} \frac{\partial \Phi_{s,p}}{\partial t} &= \mp D \frac{\partial \Phi_{s,p}}{\partial x} & x = \pm l, & & t > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (I.3a)$$

One of the boundary condition in Equation (I.3) can be replaced by the symmetry condition:

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{s,p}}{\partial x} = 0 \quad x = 0, \quad t > 0. \quad (\text{I.3b})$$

In Equations (I.1)-(I.3), V_w is the solution volume, A_p is the surface area of the polymer film, $\Phi_{s,p}$ represents the solute volume fraction in the polymer film, D is the diffusion coefficient in the polymer phase, x is the direction orthogonal to the polymer surface, t is time, $2l$ is the wet-film thickness, $\Phi_{s,w}$ is the solute volume fraction in the aqueous solution, $2a=V_w/A_p$ is the solution thickness, K_s is the distribution coefficient of the solute between polymer film and aqueous solution, defined in Equation (5) of the text.

The solution of the diffusion equation is (Crank, 1975):

$$\frac{\Phi_{s,p}(t)}{\Phi_{\infty}} = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\alpha(1+\alpha)}{1+\alpha+\alpha^2\lambda_n^2} \exp\left(-\frac{D\lambda_n^2 t}{l^2}\right) \quad (\text{I.4})$$

In Equation (I.4), $\Phi_{s,p}(t)$ is the solute concentration in the polymer averaged over the film thickness at the time t ; $\alpha = \frac{a}{l \cdot K_s}$ and $\Phi_{\infty} = \left(\frac{a}{l}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\Phi_{s,w}^0}{1+\alpha}\right)$.

Equation (I.4) contains two parameters: diffusion coefficient D and equilibrium distribution coefficient K_s . We fit these parameters with a non-linear least-square algorithm minimizing the weighted sum S of the squares of the differences between calculated $\Phi_{calc,i}$ and experimental $\Phi_{exp,i}$ concentrations:

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{npt} w_i (\Phi_{calc,i} - \Phi_{exp,i})^2 \quad (\text{I.5})$$

where npt is the number of measurements at different times and w_i is the weight corresponding to $\Phi_{exp,i}$.

Because the contribution of the n^{th} term is decreasing exponentially with increasing index n , only the first 10 terms of the series in Equation (I.4) were used in the calculation.

The parameter fitting is repeated for each of the five vials used in the experiments for determining the equilibrium value of K_s and the diffusion coefficient D . The average distribution coefficients and diffusion coefficients are reported respectively in Tables 6-7 of the text along with their standard deviations.

Table 1: Characteristics of the solutes: name, chemical formula, light sensitivity, purity and supplier

<i>Solute</i>	<i>Formula</i>	<i>Light</i>	<i>Purity (%)</i>	<i>Supplier</i>
1-Naphthol	C ₁₀ H ₈ O	Yes	99.0	Aldrich
2-Naphthol		Yes	99.0	Aldrich
2-Nitroaniline	C ₆ H ₆ N ₂ O ₂	No	98.0	Aldrich
3-Nitroaniline		No	98.0	Aldrich
4-Nitroaniline		No	99.0	Aldrich
2-Nitrophenol		No	99.0	Acros
3-Nitrophenol	C ₆ H ₅ NO ₃	No	99.0	Aldrich
4-Nitrophenol		No	98.0	Acros
2-Nitrotoluene		No	99.0	Acros
3-Nitrotoluene	C ₇ H ₇ NO ₂	No	99.0	Aldrich
4-Nitrotoluene		No	99.0	Acros
Acetophenone	C ₈ H ₈ O	No	99.0	Pfaltz
Benzoic Acid	C ₇ H ₆ O ₂	No	99.5	Aldrich
Benzonitrile	C ₇ H ₅ N	No	99.0	Aldrich
Benzophenone	C ₁₃ H ₁₀ O	No	99.0	Fisher
Benzyl Alcohol	C ₇ H ₈ O	No	99.0	Fisher
Nicotine	C ₁₀ H ₁₄ N ₂	No	98.0	Aldrich
Nitrobenzene	C ₆ H ₅ NO ₂	No	99.9	Fisher
Pyridine	C ₅ H ₅ N	No	99.9	Fisher

Table 2: Solute properties: molecular weight M_w (g/mol), density ρ_s (g/cm³), melting point T_f (°C)

<i>Solute</i>	M_w	ρ_s^a	T_f
1-Naphthol	114	1.220	95.0 ^d
2-Naphthol	114	1.280	120.4 ^d
2-Nitroaniline	138	1.442	69.3 ^d
3-Nitroaniline	138	1.430	113.8 ^d
4-Nitroaniline	138	1.424	147.5 ^d
2-Nitrophenol	139	1.495	44.8 ^d
3-Nitrophenol	139	1.540 ^b	96.8 ^e
4-Nitrophenol	139	1.479	113.8 ^d
2-Nitrotoluene	137	1.163	-3.8 ^e
3-Nitrotoluene	137	1.157	16.0 ^e
4-Nitrotoluene	137	1.392	51.6 ^d
Acetophenone	120	1.055	19.6 ^d
Benzoic Acid	122	1.266	112.3 ^d
Benzonitrile	103	1.010	-12.8 ^d
Benzophenone	182	1.110	47.8 ^d
Benzyl Alcohol	108	1.045	-15.5 ^d
Nicotine	162	1.010	-80.0 ^f
Nitrobenzene	123	1.196	5.7 ^d
Pyridine	79.1	0.978	-41.6 ^d

^a All solute densities are at 20 °C¹² except for 3-nitrophenol (25 °C)

^b Hamzaoui *et al.*²¹

^c Benes and Dohnal⁶

^d Domalski and Hearing²²

^e Domalski and Hearing²³

^f International Chemical Safety Card²⁴

Table 3: Polymer properties: average molecular weight M_w (g/mol), density ρ_s (g/cm³), composition (comonomer weight percent), glass-transition temperatures T_g (°C) and purity

<i>Polymer</i>	M_w	ρ_s	% w	T_g	<i>Purity (%)</i>
PVAc	500000	1.189	-	39.7 (± 1.5)	>99
EVAc45	250000	0.952	45% VAc	-80 ^a	>99
EVAc33	150000	0.936	33% VAc	-95 ^a	>99

^a Estimated using Equation (1) and T_g (PVAc)=32 °C, T_g (PE)=-125 °C²⁵

Table 4: Conditions for polymer film preparation

<i>Conditions</i>	<i>EVAc33</i>	<i>EVAc45</i>	<i>PVAc</i>
<i>THF solution concentration^a</i>	6%	8%	4%
<i>Container (diameter)</i>	Aluminum pan (63 mm)	Pyrex dish (139 mm)	Aluminum pan (63 mm)
<i>ml solution/pan</i>	20	84	10
<i>Heating T (°C)</i>	100	100	100
<i>Heating time (hours)</i>	40	40	40
<i>Cooling T (°C)</i>	room	-20	room
<i>Average film thickness (μm)</i>	266 (±45)	421 (±94)	101 (±23)

^a gr polymer/ml THF

Table 5: Water content at saturation (weight %).

<i>Method</i>	<i>EVAc33</i>	<i>EVAc45</i>	<i>PVAc</i>
<i>Thermogravimetric</i>	~0	0.43 (± 0.04)	7.0 (± 0.3)
<i>Gravimetric</i>	~0	0.42 (± 0.05)	7.6 (± 0.3)

Table 6: Equilibrium distribution coefficient K_s and corresponding standard deviation for nineteen solutes in two EVAc copolymers and in PVAc.

<i>Solute</i>	<i>EVAc33</i>	<i>EVAc45</i>	<i>PVAc</i>
1-Naphthol	599 (±8)	623 (±25)	2203 (±65)
2-Naphthol	372 (±5)	743 (±8)	1693 (±27)
2-Nitroaniline	66.3 (±2.7)	140 (±2)	350 (±3)
3-Nitroaniline	36.5 (±1.3)	80.1 (±1.3)	264 (±4)
4-Nitroaniline	25.2 (±0.3)	65.9 (±0.5)	322 (±2)
2-Nitrophenol	93.7 (±4.3)	122 (±2)	185 (±5)
3-Nitrophenol	44.1 (±0.6)	94.2 (±1.1)	314 (±5)
4-Nitrophenol	33.9 (±0.2)	87.3 (±2.6)	374 (±7)
2-Nitrotoluene	336 (±17)	483 (±1)	435 (±15)
3-Nitrotoluene	105 (±4)	249 (±2)	386 (±2)
4-Nitrotoluene	345 (±11)	471 (±10)	423 (±3)
Acetophenone	38.7 (±1.2)	41.5 (±2.2)	55.7 (±0.7)
Benzoic Acid	5.18 (±0.45)	13.9 (±1.9)	53.4 (±0.5)
Benzonitrile	43.9 (±0.7)	54.5 (±1.3)	77.1 (±3.1)
Benzophenone	1356 (±30)	2231 (±12)	1782 (±37)
Benzyl Alcohol	2.79 (±0.08)	10.8 (±1.7)	23.4 (±3.3)
Nicotine	1.42 (±0.08)	22 (±14)	46 (±9)
Nitrobenzene	91.5 (±0.8)	145 (±2)	172 (±7)
Pyridine	1.09 (±0.26)	1.38 (±0.41)	5.32 (±1.32)

Table 7: Diffusion coefficient D and corresponding standard deviation for nineteen solutes in two EVAc copolymers (10^{-9} cm²/s) and in PVAc (10^{-12} cm²/s). For PVAc, results from both early-time measurements and from the extrapolation procedure are compared.

<i>Solute</i>	<i>EVAc33</i>	<i>EVAc45</i>	<i>PVAc</i>	
			<i>early-time</i>	<i>extrapolation</i>
1-Naphthol	0.13 (±0.05)	0.46 (±0.21)	1.08 (±0.50)	4.36 (±0.32)
2-Naphthol	0.44 (±0.17)	0.66 (±0.30)	1.34 (±0.61)	6.60 (±0.77)
2-Nitroaniline	2.85 (±1.00)	3.86 (±1.73)	3.38 (±1.54)	9.28 (±1.31)
3-Nitroaniline	4.29 (±1.60)	5.11 (±2.31)	5.87 (±2.69)	11.3 (±1.0)
4-Nitroaniline	4.60 (±1.57)	6.21 (±2.77)	4.69 (±2.15)	12.3 (±1.1)
2-Nitrophenol	1.15 (±0.43)	10.2 (±4.7)	6.86 (±3.18)	14.5 (±1.4)
3-Nitrophenol	3.00 (±1.07)	2.51 (±1.16)	2.39 (±1.11)	12.3 (±1.6)
4-Nitrophenol	4.62 (±1.58)	1.55 (±0.69)	6.85 (±3.14)	13.2 (±1.9)
2-Nitrotoluene	2.21 (±0.80)	1.53 (±0.69)	8.18 (±3.78)	12.5 (±1.0)
3-Nitrotoluene	2.87 (±1.00)	2.92 (±1.31)	5.62 (±2.57)	15.6 (±1.4)
4-Nitrotoluene	1.25 (±0.46)	3.71 (±1.68)	8.21 (±3.76)	15.9 (±2.4)
Acetophenone	4.61 (±1.65)	12.8 (±6.0)	10.8 (±5.0)	12.5 (±2.1)
Benzoic Acid	12.0 (±4.71)	7.2 (±3.8)	8.83 (±4.04)	6.63 (±1.31)
Benzonitrile	4.98 (±1.74)	14.7 (±7.1)	19.9 (±9.2)	28.3 (±2.5)
Benzophenone	0.10 (±0.03)	0.34 (±0.15)	1.36 (±0.63)	4.67 (±0.37)
Benzyl Alcohol	56.0 (±21.6)	15.9 (±8.8)	10.5 (±5.7)	145 (±27)
Nicotine	3.10 (±1.14)	0.05 (±0.07)	0.19 (±0.12)	1.96 (±1.70)
Nitrobenzene	2.63 (±0.97)	5.53 (±2.46)	18.7 (±8.7)	17.4 (±1.0)
Pyridine	51.3 (±30.1)	93.9 (±69.2)	-	-

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Water sorption in EVAc copolymers and PVAc. The initial decrease to the plateau region corresponds to the water weight fraction.

Figure 2. Distribution coefficients for solutes in EVAc33. Acetophenone (after 20 days; circles), 2-nitroaniline (after 22 days; diamonds) and 2-nitrophenol (after 14 days; triangles). K_s is independent of the polymer's area/volume ratio indicating that adsorption is negligible.

Figure 3. Distribution coefficients for three solutes in EVAc33. Squares are for 3-nitroaniline (after 23 days; $K_s = 34.9 \pm 1.3$), diamonds are for acetophenone (after 35 days; $K_s = 38.8 \pm 1.2$), and circles are for 3-nitrophenol (after 35 days; $K_s = 44.0 \pm 0.7$). The dotted line represents the average distribution coefficient K_s .

Figure 4. Distribution coefficients for three solutes in EVAc45. Diamonds are for acetophenone (after 14 days; $K_s = 66.1 \pm 0.5$), squares are for 2-nitrophenol (after 14 days; $K_s = 94.5 \pm 1.1$) and circles are for nitrobenzene (after 14 days; $K_s = 145.6 \pm 1.6$). The dotted line represents the average distribution coefficient K_s .

Figure 5. Effect of time on the distribution coefficient of 2-naphthol in PVAc (circle: 7 days; diamond: 21 days; triangle: 42 days). K_s is independent of solute concentration at any time. The dotted lines represent the time-dependent average distribution coefficients.

Figure 6. Effect of time on the distribution coefficient of 4-nitroaniline in PVAc (circle: 14 days; diamond: 28 days; triangle: 40 days). K_s is independent of solute concentration at any time. The dotted lines represent the time-dependent average distribution coefficients.

Figure 7. Effect of time on the distribution coefficient of acetophenone in PVAc (circle: 7 days; diamond: 21 days; triangle: 40 days). K_s is independent of solute concentration at any time. The dotted lines represent the time-dependent average distribution coefficients.

Figure 8. Short-time solute depletion in the aqueous solution. The vertical axis is the normalized solute volume fraction in solution. Circles are for EVAc33 + 2-nitroaniline; triangles are for EVAc33 + benzophenone; diamonds are for EVAc45 + 4-nitroaniline; squares are for EVAc45 + nitrobenzene; stars are for PVAc + 1-naphthol and crosses are for PVAc + nitrobenzene. Open and filled symbols refer to two separate experiments for the same polymer/solute system.

Figure 9. Double logarithmic plot of diffusion coefficients for nineteen solutes in EVAc33 *versus* polymer/water distribution coefficients.




Figure 1.




Figure 2.




Figure 3.




Figure 4.




Figure 5.




Figure 6.




Figure 7.




Figure 8.




Figure 9.

**ERNEST ORLANDO LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY
ONE CYCLOTRON ROAD | BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720**