UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Prevalence and factors associated with uptake of pre-exposure prophylaxis amongst women vulnerable to HIV who received HIV antibodies in Antibody Mediated Prevention HVTN703/HPTN081 trial in Harare, Zimbabwe: a cross-sectional study.

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/79b7n25z

Journal BMJ Public Health, 2(1)

Authors

Siziba, Bekezela Mgodi, Nyaradzo Ngara, Bernard <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2024-07-01

DOI

10.1136/bmjph-2023-000262

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

BMJ Public Health. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2024 August 15.

Published in final edited form as: *BMJ Public Health.* 2024 July ; 2(1): . doi:10.1136/bmjph-2023-000262.

Prevalence and factors associated with uptake of pre-exposure prophylaxis amongst women vulnerable to HIV who received HIV antibodies in Antibody Mediated Prevention HVTN703/HPTN081 trial in Harare, Zimbabwe: a cross-sectional study

Bekezela Siziba¹, Nyaradzo Mavis Mgodi¹, Bernard Ngara², Tariro Dianah Chawana¹, Miria Chitukuta¹, Pamela Grace Mukwekwerere¹, Muchaneta Bhondai-Mhuri¹, Zvavahera Mike Chirenje^{1,3}, Felix Godwin Sivukile Mhlanga¹

¹University of Zimbabwe Clinical Trials Research Centre, Harare, Zimbabwe

²University of Zimbabwe College of Health Sciences, Harare, Zimbabwe

³University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA

Abstract

Introduction—There is limited evidence on pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) uptake post-trial participation for women vulnerable to HIV. This study investigates the prevalence and factors associated with PrEP uptake post-participation in an HIV prevention trial.

Methods—Former Antibody Mediated Prevention (AMP) study participants were invited to the three AMP clinical research sites in Zimbabwe after at least a year of exiting the study. The AMP study evaluated the safety and efficacy of Vaccine Research Center 01 broadly neutralising

Correspondence to: Dr Bekezela Siziba; sizibabekezela@gmail.com.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval This study involves human participants and the original trial and this study were both approved by Medical Research Council of Zimbabwe (MRCZ) reference numbers MRCZ/A/2037 and MRCZ/A/2768, respectively. All participants provided informed consent prior to questionnaire administration.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

Contributors All the authors contributed substantially to the design of the study proposal, protocol and questionnaires. BS and MC were actively involved in data collection. BN and BS analysed the data and prepared the tables. ZMC, FGSM and NMM provided supervision of the study. All authors reviewed, edited the draft manuscript, read and approved the final manuscript including providing responses to reviewer comments. All authors agree to be accountable to all aspects of the work. BS is the guarantor responsible for the overall content of the manuscript.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

monoclonal antibody in reducing acquisition of HIV-1 infection in women in sub-Saharan Africa. Participants vulnerable to HIV were enrolled and risk reduction counselling was done throughout study participation. In a cross-sectional study, semi-structured interview administered questionnaires were completed. The primary outcome was uptake of PrEP after the study exit.

Results—From February 2022 to August 2022, out of 434 participants enrolled in the AMP study, a total of 298 were invited and 225 participated in the study; 28% made an attempt to access PrEP after study participation, 20% used PrEP at some point after study participation and 15% were on PrEP at the time of questionnaire administration. PrEP uptake was associated with new sexual partners after study participation and higher average number of sexual encounters in the previous month. Challenges faced in accessing PrEP included those related to the health facility, transport problems and stigma.

Conclusion—The majority (85%) of former AMP participants were not on PrEP at the time of questionnaire administration. We observed poor uptake of PrEP post-study exit among participants who had received risk reduction counselling through study duration. Measures to improve PrEP uptake should be considered on participants vulnerable to HIV when exiting HIV prevention trials.

BACKGROUND

Despite the global decline in new HIV infections in the last decade, women of reproductive age in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) including Zimbabwe remain at risk of acquiring HIV.¹ Over the past decade, the HIV prevention toolkit has expanded from the sociobehavioural strategies to structural and biomedical interventions that include pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP).² Zimbabwe incorporated tenofovir-based antiretroviral (ARV) drugs into its Guidelines for Prevention of HIV in 2016.³ This followed the WHO strong specific recommendation for HIV-negative individuals at substantial risk of HIV infection to be offered oral PrEP containing tenofovir for use as an additional prevention choice in September 2015.⁴ PrEP in Zimbabwe is available for free at the primary care level for all HIV-uninfected individuals at substantial risk of HIV to reduce acquisition. Key populations, for example, sex workers, adolescent girls and young women, men who have sex with men and transgender people, among others, who are at an even increased risk of HIV infection, are actively offered PrEP.⁵

Evidence from the Partners PrEP and Partners Demonstration studies as well as other studies confirmed the efficacy of the ARV drug tenofovir for use as PrEP.⁶⁷ Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and emtricitabine for PrEP reduce HIV by up to 90%–99% compared with placebo. However, efficacy is highly correlated to the degree of adherence.⁸⁹ Uptake and adherence to approved/registered PrEP chemoprophylaxis is variable even among women vulnerable to HIV and is influenced by several factors that can be classified into structural, social, clinical and behavioural barriers. These factors include risk perception for HIV, lifestyle and life circumstances, ease of accessing services and lack of knowledge about PrEP.^{10–13} A consultative study done among key community stakeholders in Kenya in preparation of the PrEP rollout revealed that barriers and facilitators to PrEP uptake were population specific. For example, in sex workers, their vulnerability to HIV is worsened by the illegal status and secretive nature of sex work. As a result, they may not admit to engaging in sex work, making it difficult to reach them with HIV prevention interventions.¹⁴

Siziba et al.

A study in Zimbabwe showed that in the first 2 years of PrEP availability, 37.1% of female sex workers initiated oral PrEP and had at least one drug refill.¹⁵

Transitioning from clinical trials, where services offered often exceed local standard of care, to public care can be a sufficiently challenging experience to encourage sustained uptake especially in resource limited settings.¹⁶ Within clinical trials, most of the barriers to healthcare are removed and intense support that facilitates continued use of medications is provided. Post-participation in the study, participants become susceptible. Studies of participant experiences trying to access public HIV prevention and treatment services after study participation have revealed both personal and significant facility level challenges and concerns.^{17 18}

Although there is substantial literature on the uptake and adherence to PrEP within clinical trials, data on PrEP uptake after study participation is limited among women in Zimbabwe yet this information is crucial for implementation programmes so that we ensure continued access to PrEP and HIV prevention beyond study participation in this population vulnerable to HIV. In this substudy, we aimed to determine the prevalence of PrEP uptake after exit from an HIV-prevention trial in women vulnerable to HIV previously enrolled in the Antibody Mediated Prevention (AMP) HVTN703/HPTN081 study in Zimbabwe. We also aimed to identify factors associated with PrEP uptake in a non-study setting after participating in an HIV-prevention trial. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate PrEP uptake in women vulnerable to HIV after participating in an antibody-mediated HIV-prevention trial in Zimbabwe.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and participants

The AMP study was a phase 2b study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of Vaccine Research Center 01 broadly neutralising monoclonal antibody in reducing acquisition of HIV-1 infection in women in SSA, clinicaltrials.gov (NCT02568215). The study enrolled HIV uninfected females between 18 and 40 years of age vulnerable to HIV and in good health. A total of 1924 participants were enrolled in seven SSA countries and followed up monthly for 60 months during which they received bimonthly HIV monoclonal antibodies and comprehensive HIV risk reduction counselling throughout study participation. Between 2016 and 2018, Zimbabwe enrolled 434 participants across three clinical research sites (CRSs) and were exited from December 2018 to November 2020.^{19 20} At study exit. participants were referred for PrEP access in the public health sector. From February 2022 to August 2022, we consecutively enrolled former AMP study participants who exited at the three CRSs in Zimbabwe into a cross-sectional study to evaluate PrEP uptake after study exit. The semi-structured interviewer administered questionnaire had dedicated sections collecting information on each study variable namely demographics, HIV testing, sexual history and PrEP access. The questionnaire helped to avoid response bias as it had different question types, not simple 'yes and no' questions but rather allowed for elaboration and mixed viewpoints (online supplemental material). The questionnaire was administered in a private counselling room.

PrEP uptake was defined as the proportion of enrolled participants who received at least 1 months' supply of PrEP tablets and were on PrEP at time of interview.

Sample size calculation

The minimum sample size (n) required using Dobson's formula is: $n=[(Z\alpha)2\times p(1-p)]/e^2$; where p is the expected proportion PrEP use among women vulnerable to HIV who participated in the AMP study, Za are values of standard normal distribution at a level of significance, e is the margin of error for the prevalence estimate. Given that the total number of participants enrolled at the Zimbabwe CRSs in the AMP study was 434, assuming that p=50%, using 5% acceptable margin of error, the sample size required at 5% level of significance is 223 after adjusting for 10% who may not have complete data.

Sampling

Study participants who met the inclusion criteria were contacted using telephone and scheduled for a single study visit at the AMP study sites. The inclusion criteria comprised former AMP study participants who were HIV negative at study exit and had exited the study in at least a year prior. The exclusion criteria comprised participants who had relocated outside Zimbabwe or were unable to provide written informed consent for study participation.

Data management and analysis

Data were collected using an Institutional Review Board approved semi-structured interviewer-administered questionnaire. Data collected from the interviews was captured electronically and analysed using statistics and data (STATA) software package. Study participants were identified using unique identification numbers only. Data cleaning was performed before and during statistical analysis. The mean and SD or median and full range, where appropriate, were used to summarise quantitative data. In bivariate analysis χ^2 test or Student's t-test were used to determine associated with PrEP uptake. In multivariate analysis, we used stepwise (p=0.005) and backwise (p=0.001) techniques in performing regression. Logistic regression was used to determine variables associated with PrEP uptake. All statistical decisions were concluded at 5% level of significance.

Patient and public involvement

Participants were not directly involved in the design and conduct of the study. However, as participants were being called to come to the clinic, they were asked to inform other participants who were not contactable per phone and come along with them to the study clinics.

RESULTS

Out of 434 participants in the AMP study, 225 (52%) were enrolled in this study. Six former participants who acquired HIV infection during the AMP study were excluded in the analysis because they were not eligible for PrEP. The time range from study exit to completing the survey was 1.25–3.2 years (median 2 years). Table 1 shows the demographic

Siziba et al.

and behavioural characteristics and bivariate analysis to determine factors associated with PrEP uptake.

The majority of participants (95%) had secondary education and above and most participants (74%) were gainfully employed. 216 participants (96%) reported to be sexually active, defined as vaginal intercourse on a minimum of 2 separate days in the last 30 days. 65 reported that they were at risk of HIV acquisition. 28% (n=61) of participants tried to access PrEP after the study exit, 20% (n=44) used PrEP at some point after study participation and 15% (n=33) were on PrEP at the time of the interview. Among those who tried to access PrEP, 61% tried to access PrEP from the local public clinics. Participants identified as single/separated/divorced used PrEP more than those who were married/cohabitating.

There was an association between the use of being faithful to one sexual partner for HIV prevention after study participation and PrEP use after adjusting for other study variables, the odds of taking PrEP among those who reported faithfulness to their partners as an HIV prevention strategy were 0.19 times that of participants who did not report faithfulness (p=0.048). Additional information is available in table 2.

Challenges faced in accessing PrEP

61 participants (28%) tried to access PrEP. Among these 37 participants (61%) reported challenges in accessing PrEP. 30 participants (81%) reported health facility reasons, 4 participants (11%) reported transport challenges to reach the health facility while 3 participants (8%) reported challenges related to stigma. Health facility reasons included finding the clinic closed, no stock available or PrEP services not being offered at the clinic.

DISCUSSION

The prevalence of PrEP uptake after AMP study participation was low, however more than a quarter had tried to access PrEP. Factors associated with PrEP uptake included a report of being faithful to a sexual partner, being single/separated/divorced, having more current and new sexual partners after AMP study participation. Participants on PrEP were less likely to be using condoms compared with those not on PrEP. Challenges faced in accessing PrEP included health facility, transport and stigma concerns.

PrEP programmes targeting individuals vulnerable to HIV have seen the number of initiators rising to between 30% and 60%,²¹ in contrast to this study where only 15% took up PrEP.

This study shows that there is a positive correlation between number of sexual encounters, new sex partners and use of PrEP after study exit. This is consistent with findings of a recently published article in the Wales that showed a positive correlation between PrEP use and having more partners or using condoms less frequently, due to reduced anxiety about HIV.²² Similar findings were also reported in a study among men who have sex with men which showed significant discontinuation in PrEP use among participants with fewer sexual partners during the COVID-19 lockdown.^{23 24} This might be an indication that these participants felt they had an ongoing risk of HIV acquisition and hence the need for PrEP.

Siziba et al.

The association between PrEP uptake and less likely to use condoms can be explained by the phenomenon of sexual risk compensation. Risk compensation theory suggests that people typically adjust their behaviour in response to perceived levels of risk, becoming more careful where they sense greater risk and less careful if they feel more protected.²⁵ Sexual risk compensation is dire in individuals vulnerable to HIV on PrEP where they are more concerned about becoming HIV infected and engage in risky sexual behaviours like decreased use of condoms, a situation which predisposes them to a high risk of contracting sexually transmitted infections.^{26 27} This might undermine prevention efforts. Furthermore, the use of PrEP and disclosure of its use to sexual partner may hinder the ability to negotiate condom use as condoms are generally perceived as a means to prevent HIV.²⁸

Previous studies pointed to factors such as stigma, disclosure concerns and poverty as major challenges in accessing PrEP, however in this study, major challenges faced were related to the health facilities like finding the clinics closed and no stock available at the clinics.^{12 29} ³⁰ This is so because AMP HVTN703/HPTN081 participants were exited from the study during the COVID-19 epidemic were some clinics were closed and there were disturbances in the supply chain of medicines. Additionally due to the lockdown restrictions participants reported failure to reach the healthcare facilities as one of the major reasons of not accessing PrEP.³¹

In Zimbabwe, oral PrEP is available for free to all individuals who need it, even in the primary care setting.⁵ HIV prevention researchers need robust, person-centred procedures for post-trial access of HIV prevention care. There is a need to strengthen the referral process at end of study participation so that participants vulnerable to HIV can continue to access sexual and reproductive health services including PrEP. To prioritise provision of healthcare services, ensuring continued access and availability of reproductive healthcare services during epidemics.

The study had two main limitations. The first was that the study relied on self-reported data which may cause bias due to impression management whereby individuals limit their reported behaviours to those that are socially acceptable. Additionally, there was limited prior research on PrEP uptake post-participation in an HIV prevention trial among vulnerable women and this restricted the literature review.

CONCLUSION

There was low PrEP uptake post-AMP HVTN703/HPTN081 study participation. In addition to intense engagement with the community to raise awareness, measures to improve PrEP uptake should be considered for participants vulnerable to HIV when exiting HIV prevention trials.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the former AMP study participants who participated in the study and are grateful to the Spilhaus Clinical Research Site staff who participated in data collection.

Funding

This work was supported by the University of Zimbabwe Clinical Trial Research Centre (grant number: not applicable).

Data availability statement

All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as supplementary information. Additional data can be available upon request and approval of the investigators of the study. The principal investigator of the study was Bekezela Siziba, and can be contacted via email (sizibabekezela@gmail.com).

REFERENCES

- UNAIDS. The joint United Nations programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) data. 2019. Available: https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2019/2019-UNAIDS-data [Accessed 16 Mar 2020].
- UNAIDS. UNAIDS global AIDS update confronting inequalities lessons for pandemic responses from 40 years of AIDS. 2021. Available: https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/ 2021/2021-global-aids-update [Accessed 18 Aug 2021].
- 3. Global AIDS response report, Zimbabwe country report, Ministry of health and child care, Zimbabwe; 2016.
- 4. World Health Organization. Guidelines on when to start antiretroviral therapy and on pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV. Geneva World Health Organization; 2015.
- 5. Ministry of Health and Child Care. Guidelines for HIV prevention, testing and treatment in Zimbabwe; 2022.
- Baeten JM, Heffron R, Kidoguchi L, et al. Integrated delivery of antiretroviral treatment and pre-exposure prophylaxis to HIV-1–serodiscordant couples: a prospective implementation study in Kenya and Uganda. PLOS Med 2016;13:e1002099. [PubMed: 27552090]
- Baeten JM, Donnell D, Ndase P, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for HIV prevention in heterosexual men and women. N Engl J Med 2012;367:399–410. [PubMed: 22784037]
- Grant RM, Anderson PL, McMahan V, et al. Uptake of pre-exposure prophylaxis, sexual practices, and HIV incidence in men and transgender women who have sex with men: a cohort study. Lancet Infect Dis 2014;14:820–9. [PubMed: 25065857]
- Koss CA, Havlir DV, Ayieko J, et al. HIV incidence after pre-exposure prophylaxis initiation among women and men at elevated HIV risk: a population-based study in rural Kenya and Uganda. PLoS Med 2021;18:e1003492. [PubMed: 33561143]
- Gombe MM, Cakouros BE, Ncube G, et al. Key barriers and enablers associated with uptake and continuation of oral pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) in the public sector in Zimbabwe: qualitative perspectives of general population clients at high risk for HIV. PLoS One 2020;15:e0227632. [PubMed: 31931514]
- 11. King HL, Keller SB, Giancola MA, et al. Pre-exposure prophylaxis accessibility research and evaluation (prepare study). AIDS Behav 2014;18:1722–5. [PubMed: 25017425]
- 12. Mayer KH, Agwu A, Malebranche D. Barriers to the wider use of pre-exposure prophylaxis in the United States: a narrative review. Adv Ther 2020;37:1778–811. [PubMed: 32232664]
- Pillay D, Stankevitz K, Lanham M, et al. Factors influencing uptake, continuation, and discontinuation of oral prep among clients at sex worker and MSM facilities in South Africa. PLoS One 2020;15:e0228620. [PubMed: 32352969]
- 14. Mack N, Odhiambo J, Wong CM, et al. Barriers and facilitators to pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) eligibility screening and ongoing HIV testing among target populations in Bondo and

Rarieda, Kenya: results of a consultation with community stakeholders. BMC Health Serv Res 2014;14:231. [PubMed: 24886646]

- 15. Busza J, Phillips AN, Mushati P, et al. Understanding early uptake of prep by female sex workers in Zimbabwe. AIDS Care 2021;33:729–35. [PubMed: 33043688]
- Ngwenya N, Iwuji C, Petersen N, et al. Lnvestigation of post-trial access views among study participants and stakeholders using photovoice and semistructured interviews. J Med Ethics 2021;48:712–7. [PubMed: 34172519]
- 17. Odero I, Ondeng'e K, Mudhune V, et al. Participant satisfaction with clinical trial experience and post-trial transitioning to HIV care in Kenya. Int J STD AIDS 2019;30:12–9. [PubMed: 30157702]
- Beesham I, Joseph Davey DL, Beksinska M, et al. Daily oral pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) continuation among women from Durban, South Africa, who initiated prep as standard of care for HIV prevention in a clinical trial. AIDS Behav 2022;26:2623–31. [PubMed: 35122575]
- Corey L, Gilbert PB, Juraska M, et al. Two randomized trials of neutralizing antibodies to prevent HIV-1 acquisition. N Engl J Med 2021;384:1003–14. [PubMed: 33730454]
- Mgodi NM, Takuva S, Edupuganti S, et al. A phase 2B study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of VRC01 broadly neutralizing monoclonal antibody in reducing acquisition of HIV-1 infection in women in sub-Saharan Africa: baseline findings. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2021;87:680–7. [PubMed: 33587510]
- Patel P, Sato K, Bhandari N, et al. From policy to practice: uptake of pre-exposure prophylaxis among adolescent girls and young women in United States president's emergency plan for AIDS relief-supported countries, 2017–2020. AIDS 2022;36:S15–26. [PubMed: 35766572]
- Gillespie D, Couzens Z, de Bruin M, et al. Prep use, sexual behaviour, and prep adherence among men who have sex with men living in Wales prior to and during the COVID-19 pandemic. AIDS Behav 2022;26:2746–57. [PubMed: 35182283]
- Di Ciaccio M, Villes V, Michels D, et al. Impact of the early 2020 COVID-19 crisis and lockdown on prep use among men who have sex with men (MSM) in France. Sex Transm Infect 2022;98:510–7. [PubMed: 35149580]
- 24. Jacobs J Risky sexual behavior linked to prep use in the NYC LGBTQ community. Clinical Advisor; 2021. Available: https://www.clinicaladvisor.com/home/meeting-coverage/aapa-2021/risky-sexual-behavior-and-prep-use-in-lgbtq-community [Accessed 11 Nov 2022].
- 25. Stewart A On risk: perception and direction. Comput Secur 2004;23:362-70.
- Scott HM, Klausner JD. Sexually transmitted infections and pre-exposure prophylaxis: challenges and opportunities among men who have sex with men in the US. AIDS Res Ther 2016;13:5. [PubMed: 26793265]
- Milam J, Jain S, Dubé MP, et al. Sexual risk compensation in a pre-exposure prophylaxis demonstration study among individuals at risk of HIV. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2019;80:e9– 13. [PubMed: 30334877]
- 28. Shrader C-H, Arroyo-Flores J, Skvoretz J, et al. Prep use and prep use disclosure are associated with condom use during sex: a multilevel analysis of latino MSM egocentric sexual networks. AIDS Behav 2021;25:1636–45. [PubMed: 33387134]
- 29. Velloza J, Khoza N, Scorgie F, et al. The influence of HIV-related stigma on prep disclosure and adherence among adolescent girls and young women in HPTN 082: a qualitative study. J Int AIDS Soc 2020;23:e25463. [PubMed: 32144874]
- Ssuna B, Katahoire A, Armstrong-Hough M, et al. Factors associated with willingness to use oral pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) in a fisher-folk community in peri-urban Kampala, Uganda. BMC Public Health 2022;22:468. [PubMed: 35264123]
- Murewanhema G, Makurumidze R. Essential health services delivery in Zimbabwe during the COVID-19 pandemic: perspectives and recommendations. Pan Afr Med J 2020;35:143. [PubMed: 33193958]

Author Manuscript

• There is limited evidence on pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) uptake posttrial participation for women vulnerable to HIV.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

• PrEP uptake after study exit was low.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

• There is opportunity for further research to explore reasons for low uptake of PrEP after exiting HIV prevention trial and strengthening referral processes could improve PrEP uptake.

Table 1

Demographic and behavioural characteristics and bivariate analysis to determine factors associated with pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) uptake after participation in Antibody Mediated Prevention HVTN703/HPTN081 trial

Siziba et al.

	PrEP use		
Variable	No n (%)	Yes n (%)	P value
Marital status			
Married/cohabitating	117 (64)	15 (45)	0.039
Single/divorced or separated	67 (36)	18 (55)	
Religion			
Christian	133 (72)	23 (70)	0.362
African traditional	7 (4)	3 (9)	
Apostolic	36 (20)	7 (21)	
Other	8 (4)	0 (0)	
Employment status			
Employed	21 (11)	3 (9)	0.908
Not employed	46 (25)	9 (27)	
Self employed	117 (64)	21 (64)	
Ever tested for HIV after study exit			
No	29 (16)	0 (0%) (0%)	0.006
Yes	155 (84)	33 (100)	
Standard of care reason for HIV testing st			
No	100 (65)	24 (73)	0.244
Yes	55 (35)	9 (27)	
Voluntary reasons for HIV testing $\stackrel{\prime }{/}$			
No	58 (37)	4 (12)	0.002
Yes	97 (63)	29 (88)	
Social influence reason for HIV testing $\mathring{\tau}$			
No	132 (85)	28 (85)	0.572
Yes	23 (15)	5 (15)	

PrEP use

Variable	No n (%)	Yes n (%)	P value
Number of times of sexual intercourse in the previous month, median (range)	8 (0–60)	30 (1-60)	<0.001
Number of current sexual partners, median (range)	1 (0-20)	4 (1-30)	<0.001
New sex partners after study exit $^{\hat{S}}$			
No	104 (57)	6 (18)	<0.001
Yes	80 (43)	27 (82)	
Last tested for HIV			
3 months	77 (42)	25 (76)	0.002
6 months	45 (24)	4 (12)	
12 months	34 (19)	4 (12)	
While in the study	28 (15)	0 (0%)	
Thinks is at risk of HIV			
No	41 (22)	2 (6)	<0.001
Yes	111 (60)	31 (94)	
Don't know	31 (17)	0 (0%)	
No response	1(1)	0 (0%)	
Primary sex partner tested for HIV in the last 3 months			
No	116 (63)	16 (48)	0.115
Yes	68 (37)	17 (52)	
Use of condoms for HIV prevention after study exit			
No	50 (27)	2 (6)	0.005
Yes	137 (73)	31 (94)	
Has COVID-19 influenced interest in PrEP use?			
Reduced	19 (10)	3 (9)	<0.001
Increased	10 (6)	10 (30)	
No influence	155 (84)	20 (61)	

BMJ Public Health. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2024 August 15.

n=219.

The mean age was 33 years. The youngest was 23 years old, and the oldest was 49 years old. To determine factors associated with PrEP uptake, the demographic and behavioural characteristics of the participants were compared.

Siziba et al.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

To determine factors associated with PrEP uptake, the demographic and behavioral characteristics of the participants were compared.

* Standard of care reason for HIV testing means provider initiated testing of HIV during routine care for example as part of investigation of symptoms or HIV testing offered during antenatal care.

t'Voluntary reasons for HIV testing means self-motivated testing that is not prescribed by a health provider for example during an antenatal visit or influenced by social circumstance of a new relationship.

 \sharp social influence reason for HIV testing means testing of HIV due to a social pressure like when getting into a new relationship.

 $\overset{\mathcal{S}}{\mathcal{N}}$ No response for PrEP uptake for two participants.

Table 2

Multivariate analysis to determine factors associated with pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) use after participation in Antibody Mediated Prevention HVTN703/HPTN081 trial

Variable	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	P value
Marital status		
Married/cohabitating	Reference	_
Single/separated/divorced	0.84 (0.29 to 2.46)	0.754
Number of current sexual partners, median 2 (range 0-30)	1.01 (0.92 to 1.12)	0.776
New sex partners after study exit		
No	Reference	_
Yes	1.44 (0.43 to 4.89)	0.555
Use of condoms for HIV prevention after study participation	n	
No	Reference	_
Yes	1.68 (0.28 to 10.07)	0.567
Use of being faithful to one partner for HIV prevention after	r study participation	
No	Reference	_
Yes	0.19 (0.04 to 0.98)	0.048*
COVID-19 influence on PrEP interest		
Decreased	Reference	-
Increased	4.05 (0.60 to 27.3)	0.151
None	0.43 (0.09 to 2.21)	0.316

Significant at 5% level.

OR, Odds Ratio.