UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Interpregnancy Interval and Child Health Outcomes in India: Evidence from Three Recent Rounds of National Family Health Survey

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/79j81695

Journal Maternal and Child Health Journal, 27(1)

ISSN

1092-7875

Authors

Kannaujiya, Ajit Kumar Kumar, Kaushalendra McDougal, Lotus <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2023

DOI

10.1007/s10995-022-03559-3

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed



Interpregnancy Interval and Child Health Outcomes in India: Evidence from Three Recent Rounds of National Family Health Survey

Ajit Kumar Kannaujiya¹ · Kaushalendra Kumar² · Lotus McDougal³ · Ashish Kumar Upadhyay⁴ · Anita Raj³ · K S James¹ · Abhishek Singh²

Accepted: 12 September 2022 / Published online: 9 November 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

Introduction Short interpregnancy interval (IPI) is a well-known risk factor for preterm births and low birth weights. However, research on the association between interpregnancy interval (IPI) and health outcomes in children under age 5 is limited in India. We examined the associations between IPI and five child health outcomes in India.

Methods We used nationally representative cross-sectional data from three rounds of National Family Health Survey (NFHS) conducted in India during 2005-06, 2015-16 and 2019-21 to examine the associations between IPI [categorized as <12 months, 12–17 months, 18–23 months (ref), 24–35 months, and 36–59 months] and five child health outcomes – neonatal mortality, postneonatal mortality, diarrhea and/or acute respiratory infections (ARI), stunting, and underweight, for the total sample and, secondarily, using sex-stratified analyses. We used multivariable and mother fixed-effects binary logistic regressions to examine the associations.

Results 3% and 2% of infants died during the neonatal and postneonatal period, respectively. Thirteen, 40, and 37% of children had diarrhea and/or ARI, were stunted, and were underweight, respectively. IPI < 12 months was associated with higher odds of diarrhea and/or ARI (OR: 1.11; 95% CI: 1.05–1.18), stunting (OR: 1.13; 95% CI: 1.08–1.18) and underweight (OR: 1.06; 95% CI: 1.01–1.11). Mother fixed-effects adjustments confirmed these associations and also found that births with IPI of 12–17 months and 36–59 months had higher odds of stunting, and IPI of 12–17 months was also associated with higher odds of underweight.

Discussion Our findings indicate that IPIs shorter than 12 months are a risk factor for diarrhea and/or ARI, and IPIs shorter than 12 months and 12–17 months are risk factors for stunting and underweight among children under 5 in India. Mother fixed-effects models allowed us to adjust our estimates for unobserved heterogeneity; this has rarely been done before. Increases in birth spacing may improve child health outcomes in India.

Keywords interpregnancy interval \cdot neonatal mortality \cdot postneonatal mortality \cdot diarrhea and/or acute respiratory infections \cdot stunting \cdot underweight \cdot mother fixed-effects \cdot India

Ajit Kumar Kannaujiya ajeetatbhu@gmail.com

- ¹ International Institute for Population Sciences, Mumbai, India
- ² Department of Public Health & Mortality Studies, International Institute for Population Sciences, Mumbai, India
- ³ Center on Gender Equity and Health, University of California, San Diego, USA
- ⁴ GENDER Project, International Institute for Population Sciences, Mumbai, India

Significance

What is already known on this subject?

The association of IPI with child health outcomes is not well understood in India. Previous studies on associations of birth interval with child health outcomes have inadequately accounted for unobserved heterogeneity.

What this study adds?

IPIs shorter than 12 months and 12–17 months were associated with higher odds of stunting and underweight. While

IPIs of 12–17 months and 36–59 months had higher odds of stunting, IPIs of 12–17 months had higher odds of underweight. Our study extends the literature by examining how different IPIs relate to child health outcomes in the first five years of life in a large and diverse country like India.

Introduction

Mortality, morbidity, and malnutrition among children under age 5 years are key challenges facing India. India contributes considerably to the global numbers of infant mortality, prevalence of common childhood morbidities, and child malnutrition. Recent estimates suggest that India alone accounted for 20% of global neonatal and 17% of global infant deaths. Moreover, the Indian infant mortality rate (IMR), at 32 infant deaths per 1,000 live births, is the highest of neighboring countries such as Nepal. Bangladesh, and Sri Lanka (ORGCCI, 2020; UNIGME, 2017). India also suffers from considerable burden of diarrheal diseases and acute respiratory infections (ARI). In 2016, India alone accounted for 19% of the global deaths from pneumonia and diarrhea among children under age 5 (IVAC & JHBSPH, 2018). In terms of numbers, 0.26 million children under age 5 died of pneumonia (0.16 million) and diarrhea (0.10 million) in 2016 (IVAC & JHBSPH, 2018), comprising 20% of total under-5 deaths in India (IVAC & JHBSPH, 2018). India is also home to 46.6 million stunted children, accounting for 31% of the global stunting burden among children (Development Initiatives 2018). India also has a considerable burden of underweight and wasted children. According to the most recent National Family Health Survey 2019-21 (NFHS-5), 32% and 19% of children under age 5 were underweight and wasted, respectively (IIPS & ICF, 2017, 2022).

Birth interval is a key factor associated with mortality, morbidity, and malnutrition among children under age 5. Birth intervals of <18 months, <24 months, and 18–35 months have all been associated with an elevated risk of early neonatal, neonatal, postneonatal, infant, and under 5 mortality in India (Arulampalam & Bhalotra, 2006; Kumar et al., 2013; Molitoris et al., 2019; Rutstein, 2005; van der Klaauw & Wang, 2011; Whitworth & Stephenson, 2002; Williams et al., 2008). Multiple studies in the Indian context have also reported association between short birth intervals and higher risk of stunting and underweight among children under age 5 (Chungkham et al., 2020; Dhingra & Pingali, 2021; Rana et al., 2019; Rana & Goli, 2018; Rutstein, 2005).

While birth interval is a reasonably good indicator of birth spacing, it ignores those pregnancies that result into miscarriage, abortion or stillbirth between two consecutive live births, and is thus not likely the best representation of women's recuperative potential. In addition, the effects of preterm births may be misattributed to the effects of short birth intervals (Molitoris et al., 2019). Interpregnancy interval (IPI), defined as the duration from the outcome of a given pregnancy to the conception of the subsequent pregnancy, is better able to overcome these limitations associated with birth interval. Several studies from developed and a few developing countries have examined associations between IPI and adverse child health outcomes (Adams et al., 1997; Barclay et al., 2020; Conde-Agudelo et al., 2005; DaVanzo et al., 2007; Klebanoff, 2017; Swaminathan et al., 2020; Zhu & Le, 2003). However, only three studies from India examined associations between IPI and low birth weight (Kader & Perera, 2014; Kannaujiya et al., 2020; Mavalankar et al., 1992) and only one examined the association between IPI and stillbirth (Swaminathan et al., 2020). We could not identify any study from India that has examined the association of IPI with mortality and malnutrition in children under age 5. Although a few Indian studies have examined the association of birth interval with mortality and malnutrition outcomes, questions remain whether previous studies have adequately accounted for unobserved heterogeneity. In addition, a number of these studies are based on data that are dated or are not nationally representative. Finally, despite prior research from India documenting effects of child sex on both birth spacing and child health outcomes in India (Chalasani & Rutstein, 2014; Edmeades et al., 2012; Raj et al., 2015, 2019; Rutstein, 2005; Vilms et al., 2017), with girls being more vulnerable across issues, little analysis on the relationship between IPI and these child health outcomes has been conducted.

Based on these gaps in the science, our study examined the associations of IPI with five child health outcomes – neonatal and postneonatal mortality, diarrhea and/or acute respiratory infections (ARI), stunting, and underweight – in India using the third round of NFHS conducted in 2005-06 (NFHS-3), the fourth round of NFHS conducted in 2015-16 (NFHS-4), and the fifth round of NFHS conducted in 2019-21 (NFHS-5). Our inclusion of diarrhea and/or ARI, stunting, and underweight allowed us to examine the effect of IPI on child health outcomes beyond the first year of life.

Data and Methods

Data

Our study used pooled data from NFHS-3, NFHS-4, and NFHS-5, nationally representative household surveys covering over 99% of India's population. Interviews in NFHS-3, NFHS-4, and NFHS-5 were conducted with 124,385, 699,686, and 724,115 women age 15–49, with respective

response rates of 95%, 97%, and 97% (IIPS & ICF, 2007, 2017, 2022). NFHS provides information on maternal and child health, family planning, reproductive health and sexual behavior, and HIV/AIDS knowledge, attitudes, and behavior. NFHS data were collected via face-to-face interviews conducted by trained, sex-matched interviewers, and informed consent was obtained prior to interview. The International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS) was the nodal agency for managing and conducting the survey under the stewardship of the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India.

NFHS-3, NFHS-4, and NFHS-5 adopted a stratified twostage sampling design. The urban and rural samples within each state were drawn separately. In each state, the rural sample was selected in two stages, with the selection of Primary Sampling Units (PSUs), which are villages, with probability proportional to population size (PPS) selection at the first stage, followed by random selection of households within each PSU in the second stage. In urban areas, a two-stage procedure was followed. In the first stage, census enumeration blocks (CEB) were randomly selected with PPS. In the second stage, households were randomly selected within each selected CEB.

These three rounds of NFHS used standardized questionnaires. Field supervisors conducted spot-checks to verify the accuracy of key information, particularly with respect to the eligibility of respondents. IIPS also appointed one or more research officers in each state for monitoring and supervision throughout the training and fieldwork period to ensure adherence to survey procedures and protocols, and to maintain data quality. Further details regarding sampling design, survey instruments, and field procedures are available in the national NFHS reports (IIPS & ICF, 2007, 2017, 2022). NFHS surveys use uniform sampling design, survey instruments, and field procedures, which allowed us to pool data from the three rounds.

Ethics and Data Availability Statement

NFHS data are deidentified prior to sharing, and are publicly available at https://dhsprogram.com/. Ethical exemption for this analysis of publicly available, deidentified data was provided by the Institutional Review Board of the University of California San Diego.

Analytical Sample

We used reproductive calendar data collected in the three surveys to estimate IPI. The reproductive calendar includes a monthly history of key events such as births, pregnancies, pregnancy terminations, contraceptive use, and reasons for contraceptive discontinuation for up to 80 months prior to interview. We considered only reproductive histories of five years (up to 59 months) to minimize self-reporting errors.

Our analysis included only those women who had reported at least two pregnancy outcomes in the five years before the survey. Of the total 15,48,186 women interviewed (124, 385, 699,686, and 724,115 women in NFHS-3, NFHS-4, and NFHS-5, respectively), 10,89,439 women reported no pregnancy in the reference period and hence were excluded from the analysis. The remaining women (458,747) contributed a total of 678,004 pregnancies in the reproductive calendar. Of these pregnancies, 488,867 were excluded due to pregnancy outcome beyond the reference period (74,289), all pregnancies of a women in the reference period resulted in a non-live birth (66,338), and women with only one pregnancy in the reference period (348.240). In addition, non-singleton births and births with missing covariates information were also excluded, resulting in an analytic sample size of 183,919 births. The analytic sample for the mortality analyses further excluded 3,972 and 54,790 births that occurred in the past 28 days and in the past 12 months to account for the incomplete exposure time for experiencing neonatal mortality and postneonatal mortality, respectively (n = 179,947) for neonatal mortality and 129,129 for postneonatal mortality). The analytic samples for diarrhea and/or ARI excluded 9,252 births with missing child age, for a sample size of 174,667. The analytic sample for malnutrition outcomes excluded 21,767 and 19,792 births with missing information on height-for-age and weight-for-age z-scores, respectively for a sample size of 162,152 and 164,127 births. Details of the analytical sample are given in Fig. 1.

Dependent Variables

The dependent variables of the interest were neonatal deaths, postneonatal deaths, occurrence of diarrhea and/or ARI, stunting, and underweight. Neonatal death was coded as '1' if the child died within 28 days of birth, and '0' otherwise. Postneonatal death was coded as '1' if the child died between the 29th day and 1st birthday, and '0' otherwise. Occurrence of diarrhea and/or ARI was coded as '1' if the child suffered any episode of diarrhea and/or ARI in the two weeks prior to interview, and '0' otherwise. Stunting is coded as '1' if the height-for-age z-score is below minus two standard deviations (-2 SD) from the median of the reference population, and '0' otherwise. Likewise, underweight is coded as '1' if the weight-for-age z-score is below minus two standard deviations (-2 SD) from the median of the reference population, and '0' otherwise. Calculation of stunting and underweight are based on the international reference population released by WHO in April 2006 (and





accepted by the Government of India (World Health Organization, 2006). was categorized into 5 groups: <12 months, 12–17 months, 18–23 months, 24–35 months, and 36–59 months.

Independent Variable

a mén a l V a ni a la la a

IPI, which is defined as the gap between the first month the index pregnancy was reported in the reproductive calendar (referred to as the month of conception) and the month of pregnancy outcome (including live births and terminations) of the preceding pregnancy, is the independent variable. IPI

Control Variables

Based on existing literature, a number of mother-, child-, household-, and residence- related variables were included in the statistical models. Mother-related variables were mother's age at conception (<20 years, 20–24 years, 25–29 years, \geq 30 years), mother's height (<145 centimeters, \geq 145 centimeters), and mother's schooling (no schooling, up to primary, higher than primary). Child-related variables were birth order $(1, 2, 3, 4, \ge 5)$, sex of the child (male, female; also included as a stratification variable), and child wanted (no, yes). Household-related variables included wealth quintiles (poorest, poorer, middle, richer, richest), religion (Hindu, Muslim, others), and caste (scheduled castes, scheduled tribes, other backward classes, others). Residence-related variables included urban-rural residence (urban, rural) and state-region (north, central, east, northeast, west, south). We also controlled for round of the survey (3, 4 and 5).

Child wantedness was constructed using the following two questions canvassed in NFHS-3, NFHS-4, and NFHS-5: When you got pregnant with (Name), did you want to get pregnant at that time? (Yes, No). If the women answered no, the follow-up question was: Did you want to have a baby later on, or did you not want any (more) children? (Later, No more)

Births for whom the mothers answered 'no more' were coded as 'no'; all remaining births were coded as 'yes'. Household wealth quintiles are provided in the respective NFHS datasets. The detail of the state-region variable is given in Appendix Table A1.

Methods

Since all five dependent variables are binary, we estimated multivariable binary logistic regressions to examine the associations. We further used mother fixed-effects multivariable binary logistic regressions to account for the unobserved heterogeneity. In the mother fixed-effects regressions, we included only those sibling pairs in which one sibling had an outcome different from that of the other sibling. Finally, we used sex-stratified multivariable binary logistic regression models to assess the associations between IPI and five child health outcomes.

We adjusted all estimates for the complex survey design used in NFHS-3, NFHS-4, and NFHS-5, and used appropriate weights in the estimations. We carried out all the estimations in Stata 16.1.

Results

Descriptive Results

Descriptive statistics of births occurring in the five years prior to interview for each of the five outcome variables are shown in Table 1. Between 34 and 38%, 25–27%, 17%, 15–18%, and 3–7% of the births had IPIs of <12 months, 12–17 months, 18–23 months, 24–35 months, and 36–59 months, respectively. The percent distribution of all control variables was similar across the five samples. About

7% of births were first order births. About half (48–50%) of the births were second order births and about 13% were reported unwanted by their mothers. About 23% of births were from urban areas. Between 42 and 44%, 43–45%, and 12–15% of births in the pooled sample came from NFHS-5, NFHS-4, and NFHS-3 respectively. Distribution of male and female births by IPI were similar (Appendix Table A2).

Three percent and two percent of infants died during the neonatal and postneonatal period, respectively. Thirteen, 40, and 37% of children had diarrhea and/or ARI, stunting, and underweight respectively (Fig. 2).

Table 2 shows the distribution of outcomes by IPI. Over 4% of infants with IPIs < 12 months died within 28 days of birth. In comparison, only 2% of infants with IPIs 18-23 months died within 28 days of birth. While 2% of infants with an IPI < 12 months died during the postneonatal period, only 1% infants with IPIs of 18-23 months died during the same period. Importantly, 2% of infants with IPIs 36-59 months died during the postneonatal period. The prevalence of recent diarrhea ranged between 13% among children with an IPI < 12 months and 14% among children with an IPI 36–59 months. Stunting and underweight monotonically decreased with an increase in IPI. 43% and 38% of children born with an IPI < 12 months were respectively stunted and underweight. In comparison, only 28% and 27% of children born with an IPI 36-59 months were stunted and underweight, respectively. The distributions of outcomes by IPI in the male and female samples are shown in Appendix Table A3.

Multivariable Regression Results

Infants with an IPI < 12 months were 1.87 (95% CI: 1.68– 2.09) and 1.61 (95% CI: 1.36–1.91) times as likely as infants with an IPI 18–23 months to die during the neonatal and postneonatal periods, respectively (Table 3). Infants with an IPI of 36–59 months were also more likely to die during the postneonatal period 1.73 (95% CI: 1.28–2.33). After controlling for unobserved heterogeneity, infants with an IPI < 12 months were only 0.74 (95% CI: 0.60,0.91) as likely infants with IPIs 18–23 months to die within the first 28 days of birth. Female infants were less likely to die during the neonatal period compared to male infants. Infants reported wanted by their mothers were less likely to die during both windows.

Children with an IPI < 12 months were 1.11 (95% CI: 1.05-1.18) times as likely as children born with an IPI 18–23 months to have diarrhea and/or ARI (Table 4). The higher odds of diarrhea and/or ARI of children with IPIs < 12 months increased from 1.11 to 1.25 in the fixed-effects regression. IPIs shorter than 12 months and 12–17 months were associated with higher odds of stunting and

Table 1Interpregnancy interval and background characteristics of children born in past five years, India, NFHS 2019-21, NFHS 2015-16, and
NFHS 2005-06 (pooled data)

| Covariate & category | Neonatal sample (1 | Mortality ,79,947) | Postneona ity sample | tal Mortal- (1,29,129) | Diarrhea a sample (1 | and/or ARI ,74,667) | Stunting s (1,62,152) | ample) | Underwei sample (1 | ght ,64,127) |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---|--------------------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent | Ν | Percent | N |
| Interpregnancy interval | | | | | | | | | | |
| <12 months | 34.4 | 59,929 | 38.2 | 47,947 | 33.5 | 56,646 | 33.7 | 53,021 | 33.6 | 53,492 |
| 12–17 months | 25.4 | 45,801 | 27.0 | 35,153 | 25.4 | 44,444 | 25.6 | 41,592 | 25.5 | 41,980 |
| 18–23 months | 16.8 | 30,786 | 16.7 | 22,099 | 17.0 | 30,160 | 17.0 | 28,012 | 17.0 | 28,348 |
| 24–35 months | 17.2 | 31,505 | 15.1 | 19,827 | 17.5 | 31,199 | 17.4 | 28,676 | 17.5 | 29,159 |
| 36–59 months | 6.3 | 11,926 | 3.1 | 4,103 | 6.6 | 12,218 | 6.4 | 10,851 | 6.5 | 11,148 |
| Mother's age at conception | | <i>,</i> | | , | | , | | <i>,</i> | | , |
| <20 years | 8.2 | 12,424 | 9.2 | 10,047 | 8.0 | 11,776 | 8.0 | 10,903 | 8.0 | 10,999 |
| 20–24 years | 47.3 | 81.043 | 48.1 | 59.561 | 47.3 | 78,690 | 47.6 | 73,430 | 47.5 | 74.225 |
| 25–29 years | 31.3 | 58,474 | 30.0 | 40,323 | 31.6 | 57,106 | 31.5 | 52,911 | 31.6 | 53,641 |
| \geq 30 years | 13.2 | 28,006 | 12.7 | 19,198 | 13.1 | 27,095 | 12.9 | 24,908 | 13.0 | 25,262 |
| Mother's height | | -) | | - , | | ., | | , | | - / - |
| <145cm | 13.3 | 22.951 | 13.3 | 16.443 | 13.1 | 21.976 | 13.1 | 20.361 | 13.1 | 20.655 |
| >145cm | 86.7 | 1.56.996 | 86.7 | 1.12.686 | 86.9 | 1.52.691 | 86.9 | 1.41.791 | 86.9 | 1.43.472 |
| Mother's education | 0017 | 1,00,000 | 0017 | 1,12,000 | 0017 | 1,02,091 | 0017 | 1,11,721 | 000 | 1,10,172 |
| No schooling | 34.4 | 60 1 58 | 36.4 | 45 144 | 33.8 | 57 437 | 33 5 | 52 828 | 33 5 | 53 351 |
| Primary | 14.6 | 27 585 | 14.8 | 20.020 | 14.6 | 26 644 | 14.6 | 24 781 | 14.6 | 25,052 |
| Secondary or Higher | 50.9 | 92 204 | 48.8 | 63 965 | 51.6 | 90 586 | 51.8 | 84 543 | 51.9 | 85 724 |
| Birth order | 50.9 | ,201 | 10.0 | 05,705 | 51.0 | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | 51.0 | 01,515 | 51.9 | 00,721 |
| 1 | 6.6 | 11 115 | 68 | 8 1 4 1 | 6.6 | 10 764 | 67 | 10 127 | 67 | 10 233 |
| 2 | 48.9 | 86.061 | 48.1 | 60 980 | 49.4 | 84 213 | 49.4 | 78 339 | 49 5 | 79 340 |
| 3 | 22.9 | 41 783 | 22.7 | 29 772 | 22.8 | 40 577 | 22.8 | 37 648 | 22.8 | 38 119 |
| 4 | 10.8 | 20 388 | 11.0 | 14 797 | 10.8 | 19,634 | 10.8 | 18 174 | 10.8 | 18 402 |
| >5 | 10.0 | 20,500 | 11.0 | 15 439 | 10.0 | 19,031 | 10.3 | 17 864 | 10.0 | 18,033 |
| Sex of the child | 10.7 | 20,000 | 11.1 | 15,155 | 10.1 | 19,179 | 10.5 | 17,001 | 10.2 | 10,055 |
| Male | 52.0 | 93 600 | 52.0 | 67 241 | 52.0 | 90.815 | 51.8 | 83 958 | 51.8 | 85.060 |
| Female | <i>1</i> 8 0 | 95,000 86 347 | 48.0 | 61 888 | J2.0 48.0 | 83 852 | 18.2 | 78 104 | 18.2 | 79.067 |
| Child wanted | +0.0 | 80,547 | +0.0 | 01,000 | +0.0 | 05,052 | 40.2 | 70,194 | 40.2 | 19,007 |
| No | 13.2 | 22 278 | 13.2 | 15 926 | 13.0 | 21 351 | 13.0 | 19 714 | 12.9 | 19 865 |
| Ves | 86.8 | 1 57 669 | 86.8 | 1 13 203 | 87.0 | 1 53 316 | 87.0 | 1 42 438 | 87.1 | 1 44 262 |
| Wealth quintiles | 00.0 | 1,57,005 | 00.0 | 1,15,205 | 07.0 | 1,55,510 | 07.0 | 1,12,150 | 07.1 | 1,11,202 |
| Poorest | 29.5 | 54 425 | 30.1 | 39 702 | 29.1 | 52 386 | 29.1 | 48 506 | 29.1 | 49 145 |
| Poorer | 23.7 | 44 222 | 23.9 | 32 001 | 23.6 | 42 770 | 23.7 | 39 758 | 23.7 | 40 234 |
| Middle | 19.7 | 35 020 | 19.6 | 24 997 | 197 | 34 099 | 19.9 | 31 766 | 19.9 | 32 133 |
| Richer | 16.3 | 27 623 | 16.1 | 19 573 | 16.5 | 27 005 | 16.5 | 25 149 | 16.5 | 25 431 |
| Richest | 10.9 | 18 657 | 10.3 | 12,856 | 11.1 | 18 407 | 10.9 | 16 973 | 10.9 | 17 184 |
| Religion | 1000 | 10,007 | 1010 | 12,000 | | 10,107 | 1019 | 10,970 | 1000 | 17,101 |
| Hindu | 78 3 | 1 29 024 | 78.2 | 92 431 | 78.2 | 1 25 154 | 78.4 | 1 16 594 | 784 | 1 18 032 |
| Muslim | 17.6 | 29 197 | 17.9 | 21 335 | 17.6 | 28 289 | 17.5 | 26 127 | 17.5 | 26 457 |
| Others | 4 1 | 21,726 | 4.0 | 15 363 | 4 1 | 20,207 | 4 1 | 19 431 | 4 1 | 19.638 |
| Caste | | 21,720 | 1.0 | 10,000 | | 21,221 | | 19,191 | | 19,050 |
| Scheduled Caste | 23.5 | 37.093 | 23.6 | 26.669 | 23.5 | 35.829 | 23.6 | 33,356 | 23.6 | 33,774 |
| Scheduled Tribe | 10.5 | 36 543 | 10.4 | 25,009 | 10.5 | 35,644 | 10.4 | 32 670 | 10.4 | 33 095 |
| Other Backward Class | 44 5 | 70.021 | 44.6 | 50 400 | 44 5 | 67 899 | 44.6 | 63 423 | 44.6 | 64 194 |
| Others | 21.5 | 36 290 | 21.4 | 26 121 | 21.5 | 35 295 | 21.5 | 32 703 | 21.4 | 33 064 |
| Place of residence | 2110 | 50,290 | 2111 | 20,121 | 2110 | 00,200 | 2110 | 02,700 | 2111 | 22,001 |
| Urban | 23.2 | 36.804 | 23.1 | 26.370 | 23.4 | 35.871 | 23.1 | 32,982 | 23.1 | 33,359 |
| Rural | 76.8 | 1.43.143 | 76.9 | 1.02.759 | 76.6 | 1.38.796 | 76.9 | 1.29.170 | 76.9 | 1.30.768 |
| Region | | -,,110 | | -,-=,, | | -,-0,,,0 | | -,_,,,,,, | | -,- 0,, 00 |
| North | 12.8 | 31.696 | 12.6 | 22,565 | 12.8 | 30.835 | 12.8 | 28.680 | 12.8 | 29.012 |
| Central | 31.2 | 54,172 | 31.7 | 39,291 | 30.7 | 51,956 | 31.0 | 48,734 | 31.0 | 49.273 |
| East | 26.3 | 37,442 | 26.3 | 26.895 | 26.3 | 36,361 | 26.7 | 34,158 | 26.7 | 34,542 |

Table 1 (continued)

| Covariate & category | Neonatal sample (1 | Mortality ,79,947) | Postneona ity sample | atal Mortal- e (1,29,129) | Diarrhea sample (1 | and/or ARI ,74,667) | Stunting s (1,62,152 | sample) | Underwee sample (1) Percent 3.0 11.4 15.2 | ight ,64,127) |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|---|------------------|
| | Percent | Ν | Percent | Ν | Percent | Ν | Percent | Ν | Percent | N |
| Northeast | 3.0 | 24,508 | 3.0 | 17,426 | 3.0 | 23,964 | 3.0 | 21,935 | 3.0 | 22,187 |
| West | 11.5 | 13,596 | 11.3 | 9,764 | 11.6 | 13,382 | 11.4 | 12,134 | 11.4 | 12,318 |
| South | 15.4 | 18,533 | 15.2 | 13,188 | 15.6 | 18,169 | 15.2 | 16,511 | 15.2 | 16,795 |
| Survey round | | | | | | | | | | |
| Third | 13.0 | 19,975 | 14.7 | 15,739 | 12.8 | 19,056 | 12.3 | 16,845 | 12.1 | 16,845 |
| Fourth | 44.5 | 83,663 | 43.2 | 58,527 | 44.5 | 81,021 | 44.2 | 74,708 | 43.7 | 74,708 |
| Fifth | 42.4 | 76,309 | 42.1 | 54,863 | 42.8 | 74,590 | 43.6 | 70,599 | 44.2 | 72,574 |



Fig. 2 Child health outcomes for the children born in past five years, India, NFHS 2019-21, NFHS 2015-16, and NFHS 2005-06 (pooled data)

underweight in both models. While an IPI of 36-59 months was not associated with higher odds of stunting in the basic regression, this IPI was associated with higher odds of stunting in the fixed-effects regression. Importantly, the higher odds of stunting and underweight among children with IPIs < 12 months increased from basic regression to the fixed-effects regression. Children born with an IPI<12 months were 1.56 (95% CI: 1.37-1.77) and 1.59 (95% CI: 1.41-1.79) times as likely as children born with an IPI 18–23 months to be stunted and underweight respectively. Likewise, children born with an IPI of 12-17 months were 1.29 (95% CI: 1.14-1.45) and 1.39 (95% CI: 1.24-1.55) times as likely as children born with an IPI 18-23 months to be stunted and underweight, respectively. While children born with an IPI of 36-59 months were more likely to be stunted compared with children born with an IPI of 18-23 months in the fixed-effects regression, children born with an IPI of 24-35 months were less likely to be underweight compared with children born with an IPI of 18-23 months.

Sex-stratified Multivariable Regression Results

Among males, births with an IPI < 12 months were 1.66 (95% CI: 1.43–1.92) and 1.65 (95% CI: 1.28–2.13) times as likely as births with an IPI 18–23 months to die during the neonatal and postneonatal periods. Male births with an IPI of 36–59 months were also more likely to die during the

postneonatal period 1.69 (95% CI: 1.12-2.55). Likewise, an IPI shorter than 12 months was associated with higher odds of occurrence of diarrhea and/or ARI and stunting among male children. In addition, IPIs of 12-17 months and 36-59 months were associated with higher odds of stunting among the male children. Among females, children with an IPI < 12 months was associated with higher odds of neonatal mortality, occurrence of diarrhea and/or ARI, stunting, and underweight. Importantly, an IPI of 12-17 months was associated with higher odds of neonatal deaths and stunting among the female children. IPIs of 36-59 months were protective against stunting and underweight among the female children (Appendix Tables A4-A5).

Discussion

After accounting for unobserved heterogeneity, IPIs shorter than 12 months were associated with higher risk of diarrhea and/or ARI compared with IPIs of 18-23 months. The only comparative study to ours examined hospitalizations among Swedish children, and did not find any association between short IPI and probability of hospitalization. The study further found that IPIs of 43 months or longer decreased the risk of hospitalization among Swedish children (Barclay et al., 2020). In line with these findings, IPIs of 36-59 months substantially decreased the risk of diarrhea and/or ARI among Indian children. IPIs shorter than 18 months were also associated with elevated risk of stunting and underweight relative to IPIs of 18-23 months in our study, a finding that is consistent with the previous studies linking short birth intervals with stunting and underweight in India (Chungkham et al., 2020; Dhingra & Pingali, 2021; Rana et al., 2019; Rana & Goli, 2018; Rutstein, 2005). Importantly, IPIs of 36-59 months were also associated with stunting in our study, a finding that has not been seen in any previous Indian study. Such diminishing returns to lengthening of birth spacing beyond 36 months on infant mortality was observed in Molitoris et al., (2019) (Molitoris et al., 2019).

Table 2Distribution of outcomes by interpregnancy interval, socio-economic, and demographic characteristics, India, NFHS 2019-21, NFHS2015-16, and NFHS 2005-06 (pooled data)

| Covariate & category | Neonatal death (1,79,947) | Postneonatal death (1,29,129) | Diarrhea and/or ARI (1,74,667) | Stunting (1,62,152) | Under- weight (1,64,127) |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Interpregnancy interval | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| <12 months | 3.8 | 2.0 | 13.0 | 42.6 | 37.7 |
| 12–17 months | 2.5 | 1.4 | 13.1 | 43.4 | 38.9 |
| 18–23 months | 2.1 | 1.4 | 13.5 | 39.8 | 36.9 |
| 24–35 months | 2.0 | 1.3 | 13.4 | 36.5 | 34.3 |
| 36–59 months | 2.4 | 2.3 | 13.7 | 27.5 | 27.3 |
| Mother's age at conception | | | | | |
| <20 years | 3.5 | 1.7 | 14.2 | 45.8 | 40.7 |
| 20–24 years | 2.6 | 1.4 | 13.3 | 40.6 | 36.3 |
| 25–29 years | 2.5 | 1.7 | 13.1 | 38.4 | 35.1 |
| \geq 30 years | 3.8 | 2.1 | 12.9 | 40.5 | 38.5 |
| Mother's height | | | | | |
| <145cm | 3.7 | 2.1 | 13.1 | 56.2 | 50.8 |
| ≥145cm | 2.7 | 1.6 | 13.2 | 37.9 | 34.4 |
| Mother's schooling | | | | | |
| No schooling | 3.8 | 2.3 | 13.9 | 49.4 | 46.2 |
| Up to primary | 3.2 | 1.8 | 14.5 | 43.1 | 38.5 |
| Higher than primary | 2.0 | 1.1 | 12.5 | 33.6 | 29.8 |
| Birth order | | | | | _, |
| 1 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 14.2 | 30.8 | 27.5 |
| 2 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 12.4 | 37.3 | 33.4 |
| 3 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 13.4 | 42.2 | 38.6 |
| 4 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 14.7 | 45.6 | 42.2 |
| >5 | 47 | 2.2 | 14.8 | 51.0 | 47.8 |
| Sex of the child | ., | 2.7 | 11.0 | 51.0 | 17.0 |
| Male | 3.0 | 15 | 13.7 | 41.2 | 37.5 |
| Female | 2.6 | 1.5 | 12.7 | 30.3 | 35.6 |
| Child wanted | 2.0 | 1.0 | 12.7 | 57.5 | 55.0 |
| No | 3.6 | 2.1 | 18.6 | 42.6 | 38 5 |
| Ves | 2.7 | 1.6 | 12.4 | 40.0 | 36.3 |
| Wealth anintiles | 2.7 | 1.0 | 12.1 | 10.0 | 50.5 |
| Poorest | 3.6 | 2.0 | 14.2 | 49.2 | 47 4 |
| Poorer | 3.1 | 1.0 | 13.7 | 49.2 | 30.3 |
| Middle | 2.5 | 1.9 | 13.0 | 38.4 | 33.8 |
| Richer | 2.5 | 1.5 | 12.5 | 30.4 | 33.8 27.3 |
| Richest | 1.5 | 0.9 | 12.5 | 52.5 25.5 | 21.5 |
| Religion | 1.5 | 0.9 | 11.1 | 23.5 | 21.1 |
| Hindu | 2.0 | 17 | 13.0 | 40.4 | 37.1 |
| Muslim | 2.9 | 1.7 | 14.5 | 40.4 | 35.7 |
| Other | 2.8 | 1.0 | 14.5 | 35.0 | 30.0 |
| Casta | 2.0 | 1.0 | 11.1 | 55.7 | 50.7 |
| Scheduled Caste | 3.2 | 17 | 13.4 | 44.1 | 30.0 |
| Scheduled Tribe | 5.2 2.7 | 1.7 | 11.4 | 12.8 | 39.9 44 3 |
| Other Packward Class | 2.7 | 1.9 | 11.0 | 43.8 | 44.5 26.1 |
| Other Other | 2.8 | 1.0 | 13.4 | 25.1 | 30.1 |
| Place of residence | 2.5 | 1.5 | 15.0 | 55.1 | 30.5 |
| I have of residence | 2.0 | 13 | 12.2 | 35.2 | 30.8 |
| Rural | 2.0 | 1.5 | 12.2 | 33.2 A1 8 | 30.0 |
| iturai | 5.0 | 1./ | 13.3 | 1.0 | 30.3 |
| North | 26 | 17 | 12.2 | 25.1 | 20.2 |
| Control | 2.0 2.7 | 1.7 | 12.2 | 33.1 12.1 | 20.5 20.7 |
| East | 20 | 2.5 | 14.0 | тэ. т ЛЗ Л | 30. 4 /1.8 |
| Lust | 2.9 | 1.7 | 17.7 | 1.2.1 | T1.0 |

| Covariate & category | Neonatal death (1,79,947) | Postneonatal death (1,29,129) | Diarrhea and/or ARI (1,74,667) | Stunting (1,62,152) | Under- weight (1,64,127) |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| Northeast | 2.6 | 1.9 | 8.7 | 37.8 | 30.5 |
| West | 1.9 | 1.2 | 13.3 | 40.4 | 37.9 |
| South | 1.6 | 0.8 | 9.0 | 33.4 | 29.3 |
| Survey round | | | | | |
| Third | 3.8 | 2.1 | 16.2 | 48.9 | 43.4 |
| Fourth | 2.8 | 1.6 | 14.2 | 40.1 | 37.4 |
| Fourth | 2.5 | 1.5 | 11.3 | 38.1 | 33.9 |

Table 2 (continued)

Unlike previous Indian studies that found association of short birth intervals with neonatal and postneonatal mortality (Arulampalam & Bhalotra, 2006; Kumar et al., 2013; Molitoris et al., 2019; Rutstein, 2005; van der Klaauw & Wang, 2011; Whitworth & Stephenson, 2002; Williams et al., 2008), we did not find any association between short IPIs and neonatal and postneonatal mortality in our study. This difference may be attributable to the accounting of unobserved heterogeneity in our study. IPIs shorter than 12 months were indeed associated with higher risk of neonatal and postneonatal mortality in regressions based on all births. However, we were unable to correctly estimate the association of short IPIs with the two mortality outcomes and diarrhea and/or ARI in fixed-effects regressions due to very small samples. For example, there were only 26 births with IPIs of 36-59 months in the neonatal fixed-effects sample. Likewise, there were very few births with IPIs of 36-59 months in the postneonatal and diarrhea and/or ARI fixedeffects samples (4 and 27, respectively).

Associations between IPIs and child health outcomes varied by the sex of the child in multivariable binary logistic regressions, a finding novel to this study. While IPIs shorter than 12 months were associated with higher risk of neonatal and postneonatal mortality among both males and female infants, IPIs of 12-17 months were associated with higher risk of neonatal deaths only among female infants. Moreover, IPIs of 36-59 months were protective against stunting and underweight among female children. However, we could not confirm these associations with mother fixed-effects analyses due to sample size limitations. These data add to the developing body of literature on differential risks for child health outcomes based on sex of the child in India, findings previously observed in analysis of correlates related to child health outcomes, including wealth, sibling sex composition, and birth order (Chalasani & Rutstein, 2014; Edmeades et al., 2012; Raj et al., 2015, 2019; Vilms et al., 2017).

Importantly, 34–38% of the IPIs in our sample were shorter than 12 months and an additional 25–27% were 12–17 months. Given the high prevalence of such short IPIs

in India, the overall population health impact of these short IPIs is likely to be large. Our findings, therefore, call for strategies to tackle the huge burden of short IPIs in India. Increasing focus on spacing methods of family planning in India to reduce the serious consequences of short IPIs is an option that merits further consideration. Female sterilization has been, and remains, the dominant method of family planning in India, with long-acting reversible contraceptive use still quite rare (IIPS & ICF, 2017; Singh 2018; Singh et al., 2012). Antenatal screenings and postpartum checkups offer an important opportunity to provide high-quality, patient-centered counseling on family planning methods, and indeed, have been shown to be associated with postpartum contraceptive uptake (McDougal et al., 2020). Interestingly, only 69% of women age 15-49 with a live birth in the five years preceding the NFHS-4 who met with a community health worker in the last three months of pregnancy for their most recent live birth received advice on family planning (IIPS & ICF, 2017). Moreover, significant socioeconomic inequalities exist in receipt of advice on family planning (Singh et al., 2012). Taken together, there is ample scope to increase prevalence of contraceptive counselling, and improve the content of that counselling.

A related key finding that deserves discussion is the association between birth wantedness and child health outcomes. Unwanted births were more likely than wanted births to die during the neonatal and postneonatal periods, be stunted or underweight in our pooled analysis. This finding is consistent with previous research (Singh et al., 2012, 2013, 2017), and suggests that unwanted births continue to face higher risks of poor child health outcomes in India. Access to effective family planning can thus play an important role in reducing the burden of unwanted or mistimed births in India.

Our study has limitations, which must be noted. First, we could not estimate the association between IPIs longer than 59 months and the five child health outcomes due to data limitations. Second, the mother fixed-effects analyses come with some cost. The sample size reduces drastically in fixed-effects regressions. In our case, the sample size

 Table 3
 Logistic regression analysis of association of interpregnancy interval, socio-economic, and demographic characteristics with infant mortality, India, NFHS 2019-21, NFHS 2015-16 and NFHS 2005-06 (pooled data)

| Covariate & category | Neonatal death | | Postneonatal death | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | Total sample (1,79,947) | Mother fixed-effects (Number of groups – 4,629) | Total sample (1,29,129) | Mother fixed-effects (Number of groups – 1,654) |
| Interpregnancy interval | | | | |
| <12 months | 1.87*(1.68,2.09) | 0.74*(0.60,0.91) | 1.61*(1.36,1.91) | 0.83(0.59,1.16) |
| 12–17 months | 1.16*(1.03,1.31) | 0.77*(0.61,0.97) | 1.03(0.85,1.25) | 0.92(0.63,1.34) |
| 18–23 months® | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 24–35 months | 0.96(0.83,1.10) | 1.07(0.77,1.50) | 0.93(0.76,1.14) | 0.97(0.54,1.75) |
| 36–59 months | 1.20(0.99,1.44) | 2.69(0.93,7.75) | 1.73*(1.28,2.33) | 2.22(0.22,22.61) |
| Mother's age at conception | | | | |
| <20 years | 1.25*(1.09,1.43) | 0.82(0.60,1.11) | 1.30*(1.05,1.60) | 1.76*(1.01,3.11) |
| 20–24 years® | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 25–29 years | 0.92(0.84,1.01) | 1.05(0.82,1.35) | 1.01(0.86,1.16) | 0.96(0.66,1.40) |
| \geq 30 years | 1.14*(1.01,1.31) | 0.84(0.52,1.35) | 0.98(0.81,1.18) | 1.54(0.74,3.22) |
| Mother's height | | | | |
| <145cm | 1.25*(1.13,1.38) | | 1.23*(1.06,1.42) | |
| >145cm® | 1.00 | | 1.00 | |
| Mother's schooling | 1.00 | | | |
| No schooling | 1 35*(1 22 1 50) | | 1 52*(1 31 1 77) | |
| Un to primary | $1.39^{\circ}(1.15, 1.46)$ | | $1.32^{\circ}(1.31,1.77)$ | |
| Higher than primary® | 1.00 | | 1.00 | |
| Birth order | 1.00 | | 1.00 | |
| 1 | 0 70*(0 57 0 86) | 56 17*(32 72 96 4) | 0 40*(0 28 0 56) | 1 79(0 75 4 26) |
| 2 | $0.70^{\circ}(0.57, 0.80)$ | 14 14*(9.65.20.74) | $0.40^{\circ}(0.20, 0.50)$ 0.60*(0.49, 0.74) | 2.10*(1.21.3.66) |
| 3 | 0.72 (0.02,0.02) | 4.74*(3.41.6.61) | 0.83*(0.69.0.99) | 2.10(1.21, 5.00) 2.49*(1.54.4.03) |
| 4 | $0.70^{\circ}(0.60, 0.67)$ 0.79*(0.68, 0.91) | 1.68*(1.30, 2.17) | $0.03^{\circ}(0.07, 0.09)$ | $2.49^{\circ}(1.94, 4.03)$ 1 51*(1 04 2 20) |
| ד ▶ 5® | 1.00 | 1.00 (1.50,2.17) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| ≤ 30 Sox of the shild | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Mala® | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Fomale | 1.00 0.82*(0.77.0.80) | 0.64*(0.56.0.72) | 1.00 1 18*(1 05 1 21) | 1.00 1.12(0.01.1.27) |
| Child wanted | 0.82 (0.77,0.89) | 0.04*(0.50,0.75) | 1.18 (1.05,1.51) | 1.12(0.91,1.57) |
| No® | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Now | 1.00 0.90*(0.91.0.00) | 0.22*(0.25, 0.40) | 1.00 | 1.00 0.56*(0.28.0.82) |
| ies Waalth guintilag | 0.89 (0.81,0.99) | 0.52 (0.25,0.40) | 0.95(0.80,1.08) | 0.30*(0.38,0.82) |
| Peorest | 1.52*(1.22.1.90) | | 1 40*(1 04 2 12) | |
| Poorer | $1.55^{\circ}(1.25, 1.69)$ 1.50*(1.22, 1.85) | | $1.49^{\circ}(1.04, 2.12)$ 1.58*(1.12.2.22) | |
| Middle | $1.30^{\circ}(1.22, 1.03)$ 1.25*(1.10, 1.66) | | $1.38^{\circ}(1.12,2.23)$ 1.48*(1.05,2.10) | |
| Dishar | $1.55^{\circ}(1.10, 1.00)$ 1.21*(1.06.1.61) | | $1.46^{\circ}(1.03, 2.10)$ 1.22(0.87.1.76) | |
| Richest® | 1.51 (1.00,1.01) | | 1.23(0.87,1.70) | |
| Richest® Belizion | 1.00 | | 1.00 | |
| Kengion Ula la | 1 1 (() 0 4 1 4 4) | | 0.94/0.(1.1.1() | |
| Hindu | 1.10(0.94, 1.44) 1.07(0.95, 1.25) | | 0.84(0.01, 1.10) 0.70*(0.50, 0.00) | |
| Muslim | 1.07(0.85,1.35) | | 0.70*(0.50,0.99) | |
| Other ® | 1.00 | | 1.00 | |
| | 1.0((0.04.1.01)) | | 0.00/0.74.1.00 | |
| Scheduled Caste | 1.00(0.94, 1.21) | | 0.90(0.74,1.09) | |
| Scheduled Iribe | 0.88(0.75, 1.02) | | 0.98(0.79,1.22) | |
| Other Backward Class | 0.98(0.87,1.09) | | 0.96(0.81,1.14) | |
| | 1.00 | | 1.00 | |
| Place of residence | 1.00 | | 1.00 | |
| | 1.00 | | 1.00 | |
| Kural | 1.17*(1.04,1.31) | | 0.95(0.80,1.13) | |
| State-region | 1 40*(1 0/ 1 70) | | 1 70*(1 21 2 21) | |
| North | 1.49*(1.26,1.76) | | 1.70*(1.31,2.21) | |

| Covariate & category | Neonatal death | | Postneonatal death | |
|----------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|
| | Total sample (1,79,947) | Mother fixed-effects (Number of groups – 4,629) | Total sample (1,29,129) | Mother fixed-effects (Number of groups – 1,654) |
| Central | 1.86*(1.59,2.16) | | 2.08*(1.63,2.65) | |
| East | 1.39*(1.18,1.63) | | 1.23(0.94,1.60) | |
| Northeast | 1.40*(1.13,1.74) | | 1.70*(1.21,2.38) | |
| West | 1.14(0.92,1.40) | | 1.29(0.91,1.81) | |
| South ® | 1.00 | | 1.00 | |
| Survey round | | | | |
| Third ® | 1.00 | | 1.00 | |
| Fourth | 0.85*(0.76,0.95) | | 0.93(0.79,1.10) | |
| Fifth | 0.78*(0.69,0.88) | | 0.98(0.82,1.17) | |
| *p<0.05 | | | | |

Table 3 (continued)

even dropped further because we included only those sibling pairs in the fixed-effects in which one sibling has an outcome different from that of the other sibling. Moreover, the fixed-effects sample was more likely to be comprised of uneducated, poorer, and urban-residing mothers, all of which were controlled for in our standard regression models. This was particularly true in the case of our mortality analyses. Third, ARI and/or acute diarrhea outcomes are the weakest primary outcomes in our study, as they measure a single occurrence in time rather than cumulative episodes of illness over the child's life. Finally, as NFHS data are based on interviews, reporting and recall bias in the reproductive calendar are possible on the part of mother. Since no research has systematically examined the accuracy of the reproductive calendar for estimating IPIs, it remains difficult to assess the effect of such biases on our estimates.

This study makes several key contributions. Prior research examining associations between IPI length and health outcomes has been generally limited to the first year of life; we extend this knowledge base by examining these relationships over the course of the first five years of life in a large and diverse country. In addition, we used high-quality, large-scale, representative household survey data and advanced statistical models, such as mother fixed-effects models, to examine these associations. Further, mother fixed-effects models allowed us to adjust our estimates for unobserved heterogeneity, which has rarely been done in previous research. As we were unable to accurately estimate the association between IPI length and mortality during infancy despite very large sample sizes, future research would benefit from more statistically efficient methodologies to examine associations of IPI length with mortality during infancy and early childhood while accounting for potential biases. Such innovative approaches may also be used to confirm the sex-stratified associations of IPI length with the five child health outcomes noted in our study. In addition, future research may also explore the relative importance of IPI length for other important child health outcomes in lowand middle-income countries such as India.

| Covariate & category | Occurrence of dia respiratory infect | arrhea and/or acute | Stunting | | Underweight | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| | Total sample (1,74,667) | Mother fixed-effects (Number of groups – 6,898) | Total sample (1,62,152) | (Mother fixed-effects (Number of groups – 16,360) | Total Sample (1,64,127) | Mother fixed- effects (Num- ber of groups – 13,890) |
| Interpregnancy interval | | | | | | |
| <12 months | 1.11*(1.05,1.18) | 1.25*(1.04,1.49) | 1.13*(1.08,1.18) | 1.56*(1.37,1.77) | 1.06*(1.01,1.11) | 1.59*(1.41,1.79) |
| 12-17 months | 1.01(0.96,1.07) | 0.99(0.83,1.18) | 1.08*(1.04,1.13) | 1.29*(1.14,1.45) | 1.03(0.99,1.08) | 1.39*(1.24,1.55) |
| 18-23 months® | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 24-35 months | 0.95(0.89,1.01) | 0.76*(0.59,0.98) | 1.01(0.97,1.07) | 1.11(0.93,1.31) | 0.98(0.93,1.02) | 0.85*(0.73,0.99) |
| 36-59 months | 0.99(0.91,1.08) | 0.07*(0.03,0.15) | 1.01(0.93,1.08) | 1.80*(1.12,2.9) | 0.9*(0.84,0.96) | 1.35(0.87,2.12) |
| Mother's age at conception | | | | | | |
| <20 years | 1.11*(1.03,1.20) | 1.04(0.80,1.35) | 1.12*(1.06,1.19) | 1.12(0.94,1.34) | 1.11*(1.04,1.18) | 1.26*(1.06,1.50) |
| 20–24 years® | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 25–29 years | 0.95*(0.90,0.99) | 1.04(0.85,1.28) | 0.90*(0.87,0.93) | 0.96(0.84,1.09) | 0.93*(0.90,0.97) | 0.98(0.86,1.11) |
| \geq 30 years | 0.88*(0.82,0.94) | 1.23(0.82,1.86) | 0.84*(0.79,0.88) | 0.78(0.58,1.04) | 0.92*(0.87,0.97) | 0.99(0.76,1.30) |
| Mother's height | | | | | | |
| <145 cm | 0.94*(0.89,0.99) | | 2.01*(1.92,2.10) | | 1.77*(1.70,1.85) | |
| ≥145 cm® | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | |
| Mother's schooling | | | | | | |
| No schooling | 0.95(0.90,1.01) | | 1.31*(1.26,1.36) | | 1.29*(1.24,1.34) | |
| Up to primary | 1.07*(1.01,1.13) | | 1.15*(1.09,1.20) | | 1.10*(1.05,1.15) | |
| Higher than primary® | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | |
| Birth order | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1.12*(1.01.1.25) | 0.32*(0.14.0.74) | 0.55*(0.51.0.60) | 0.10*(0.05.0.18) | 0.59*(0.54.0.64) | 0.15*(0.09.0.27) |
| 2 | 0.91*(0.84.0.99) | 0.52*(0.28.0.99) | 0.74*(0.70.0.79) | 0.25*(0.16.0.40) | 0.76*(0.72.0.80) | 0.29*(0.19.0.44) |
| 3 | 0.95(0.88,1.03) | 0.79(0.50.1.26) | 0.82*(0.77.0.87) | 0.42*(0.30.0.59) | 0.83*(0.79.0.88) | 0.47*(0.35.0.65) |
| 4 | 1.03(0.95,1.11) | 1.05(0.79.1.39) | 0.87*(0.82.0.93) | 0.63*(0.51.0.78) | 0.88*(0.83.0.93) | 0.69*(0.57.0.84) |
| ≥5 ® | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Sex of the child Male® | | | | | | |
| Female | 0.91*(0.88.0.95) | 0.97(0.87.1.08) | 0.90*(0.88.0.93) | 0.89*(0.82.0.95) | 0.90*(0.88.0.93) | 0.92*(0.86.0.99) |
| Age of the child (in months) | 1.03*(1.02,1.03) | 1.03*(1.02,1.05) | 1.11*(1.11,1.12) | 1.17*(1.16,1.19) | 1.05*(1.05,1.06) | 1.09*(1.08,1.10) |
| Age of child square (in months) | 0.99*(0.99,0.99) | 0.99*(0.99,0.99) | 0.99*(0.99,0.99) | 0.99*(0.99,0.99) | 0.99*(0.99,0.99) | 0.99*(0.99,0.99) |
| Child wanted | | | | | | |
| No® | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Yes | 0.68*(0.64,0.71) | 1.01(0.85,1.20) | 0.99(0.95,1.03) | 0.78*(0.68,0.88) | 1.01(0.96,1.05) | 0.82*(0.72,0.92) |
| Wealth quintiles | 1 10+(1 00 1 01) | | | | | |
| Poorest | 1.19*(1.08,1.31) | | 2.11*(1.96,2.27) | | 2.38*(2.21,2.56) | |
| Poorer | 1.16*(1.07,1.27) | | 1.80*(1.68,1.93) | | 1.91*(1.77,2.05) | |
| Middle | 1.15*(1.05,1.25) | | 1.57*(1.47,1.68) | | 1.62*(1.51,1.73) | |
| Richer | 1.13*(1.04,1.23) | | 1.26*(1.17,1.35) | | 1.26*(1.17,1.35) | |
| Richest® | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | |
| Religion | | | | | | |
| Hindu | 0.99(0.89,1.10) | | 1.02(0.94,1.10) | | 1.12*(1.02,1.22) | |
| Muslim | 1.12(0.99,1.26) | | 1.12*(1.03,1.23) | | 1.15*(1.04,1.26) | |
| Others ® | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | |
| Caste | 1.00/0.051.10 | | 1 00*/1 00 1 0= | | 1 20*/1 22 1 2= | |
| Scheduled Castes | 1.02(0.96,1.10) | | 1.29*(1.22,1.35) | | 1.30*(1.23,1.37) | |
| Scheduled Tribes | 0.88*(0.81,0.96) | | 1.16*(1.09,1.23) | | 1.41*(1.33,1.50) | |
| Other Backward Classes | 1.02(0.96,1.08) | | 1.15*(1.10,1.20) | | 1.19*(1.14,1.24) | |
| Others ® | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | |

| Covariate & category | Occurrence of dia respiratory infecti | rrhea and/or acute on | Stunting | | Underweight | | |
|----------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | Total sample (1,74,667) | Mother fixed-effects (Number of groups – 6,898) | Total sample (1,62,152) | (Mother fixed-effects (Number of groups – 16,360) | Total Sample (1,64,127) | Mother fixed- effects (Num- ber of groups – 13,890) | |
| Place of residence | | | | | | | |
| Urban ® | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | |
| Rural | 1.04(0.97,1.11) | | 0.96(0.92,1.01) | | 0.94*(0.89,0.98) | | |
| State-region | | | | | | | |
| North | 1.38*(1.27,1.51) | | 1.04(0.98,1.11) | | 0.99(0.93,1.05) | | |
| Central | 1.68*(1.55,1.81) | | 1.20*(1.14,1.27) | | 1.11*(1.05,1.17) | | |
| East | 1.64*(1.51,1.79) | | 1.03(0.97,1.09) | | 1.12*(1.05,1.18) | | |
| Northeast | 0.91(0.81,1.03) | | 0.92*(0.85,0.99) | | 0.77*(0.71,0.83) | | |
| West | 1.54*(1.40,1.71) | | 1.31*(1.22,1.41) | | 1.40*(1.30,1.51) | | |
| South ® | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | |
| Survey round | | | | | | | |
| Third ® | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | |
| Fourth | 0.87*(0.81,0.93) | | 0.75*(0.72,0.79) | | 0.84*(0.80,0.88) | | |
| Fifth | 0.70*(0.65,0.75) | | 0.72*(0.68,0.76) | | 0.74*(0.70,0.78) | | |
| *p<0.05; | | | | | | | |

Appendix

|--|

| Category | States included |
|-----------|--|
| North | Chandigarh, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Jammu and Kashmir, Ladakh, Delhi, Rajasthan, Pun- jab, Uttarakhand |
| Central | Chhattisgarh, Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh |
| East | Bihar, Jharkhand, Odisha, West Bengal |
| Northeast | Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland, Sikkim, Tripura |
| West | Dadra and Nagar Haveli, Daman and Diu, Goa, Gujarat, Maharashtra |
| South | Andaman and Nicobar, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Kerala, Lakshadweep, Puducherry, Tamil |
| | Nadu, Telangana |

Table A2 Interpregnancy interval of sex of the children born in past five years, India, NFHS 2019-21, NFHS 2015-16, and NFHS 2005-06 (pooled data)

| | | Interpreg | Interpregnancy interval | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----------|-------------------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|--------------|-------|--------|
| | | <12 mor | nths | 12–17 m | onths | 18–23 m | onths | 24–35 m | onths | 36–59 months | | N |
| | | Percent | Ν | Percent | Ν | Percent | Ν | Percent | Ν | Percent | Ν | |
| Neonatal | Male | 34.4 | 31,329 | 25.5 | 23,862 | 16.8 | 15,937 | 17.1 | 16,337 | 6.2 | 6,135 | 93,600 |
| death sample | Female | 34.4 | 28,600 | 25.3 | 21,939 | 16.7 | 14,849 | 17.2 | 15,168 | 6.4 | 5,791 | 86,347 |
| Post- | Male | 38.3 | 25,088 | 27.1 | 18,309 | 16.7 | 11,440 | 14.9 | 10,240 | 3.1 | 2,164 | 67,241 |
| neonatal death sample | Female | 38.1 | 22,859 | 27.0 | 16,844 | 16.7 | 10,659 | 15.3 | 9,587 | 3.1 | 1,939 | 61,888 |
| Diarrhea | Male | 33.5 | 29,641 | 25.5 | 23,153 | 17.0 | 15,582 | 17.5 | 16,155 | 6.5 | 6,284 | 90,815 |
| and/ or ARI sample | Female | 33.5 | 27,005 | 25.2 | 21,291 | 16.9 | 14,578 | 17.6 | 15,044 | 6.8 | 5,934 | 83,852 |
| Stunting | Male | 33.7 | 27,664 | 25.7 | 21,578 | 17.0 | 14,422 | 17.4 | 14,758 | 6.2 | 5,536 | 83,958 |
| sample | Female | 33.7 | 25,357 | 25.4 | 20,014 | 16.9 | 13,590 | 17.5 | 13,918 | 6.5 | 5,315 | 78,194 |
| Under- | Male | 33.6 | 27,923 | 25.7 | 21,814 | 17.0 | 14,586 | 17.4 | 15,024 | 6.3 | 5,713 | 85,060 |
| weight sample | Female | 33.6 | 25,569 | 25.3 | 20,166 | 16.9 | 13,762 | 17.6 | 14,135 | 6.6 | 5,435 | 79,067 |

| | Neonatal death | | Postne | onatal death | Diarrhe | a and/or ARI | Stunting | | Under | Underweight | |
|------------------|----------------|--------|--------|--------------|---------|--------------|----------|--------|-------|-------------|--|
| | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | |
| Interpregnancy i | nterval | | | | | | | | | | |
| <12 months | 4.1 | 3.6 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 13.6 | 12.4 | 43.2 | 41.9 | 37.8 | 37.5 | |
| 12-17 months | 2.6 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 13.2 | 12.9 | 43.8 | 43.0 | 39.6 | 38.1 | |
| 18-23 months | 2.5 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 14.0 | 12.9 | 40.9 | 38.7 | 38.2 | 35.5 | |
| 24-35 months | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 13.8 | 12.9 | 37.6 | 35.2 | 35.5 | 32.9 | |
| 36-59 months | 2.7 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 14.3 | 13.1 | 31.1 | 23.9 | 30.1 | 24.5 | |

Table A3 Distribution of outcomes by interpregnancy interval stratified by sex of the child, India, NFHS 2019-21, NFHS 2015-16, and NFHS 2005-06 (pooled data)

Table A4 Logistic regression analysis of association of interpregnancy interval with infant mortality by sex of the child, India, NFHS 2019-21, NFHS 2015-16, and NFHS 2005-06 (pooled data)

| | Neonatal death | Neonatal death | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Binary logistic regres | sion [#] | | | |
| Interpregnancy inter | val | | | |
| <12 months | 1.66*(1.43,1.92) | 2.23*(1.87,2.65) | 1.65*(1.28,2.13) | 1.59*(1.27,2.00) |
| 12-17 months | 1.04(0.88,1.22) | 1.36*(1.13,1.63) | 1.14(0.85,1.54) | 0.94(0.73,1.21) |
| 18-23 months® | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 24-35 months | 0.87(0.73,1.05) | 1.10(0.89,1.35) | 1.14(0.85,1.53) | 0.77(0.58,1.02) |
| 36–59 months | 1.15(0.90,1.46) | 1.28(0.96,1.70) | 1.69*(1.12,2.55) | 1.75*(1.14,2.68) |

Note: - *p<0.05; ® Reference

[#]Results are adjusted for Mother's age at conception, Mother's height, Mother's schooling, Birth order, child wanted, Wealth quintiles, Religion, Caste, Place of residence, State-region, Survey round

Table A5 Logistic regression analysis of association of interpregnancy interval with child health outcomes by sex of child, India, NFHS 2019-21, NFHS 2015-16, and NFHS 2005-06 (pooled data)

| Covariate & category | Occurrence of diarrhea and/or acute respiratory infection | | Stunting | | Underweight | |
|----------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Binary logistic re | egression [#] | | | | | |
| Interpregnancy interval | | | | | | |
| <12 months | 1.11* (1.03,1.20) | 1.11* (1.02,1.21) | 1.13* (1.06,1.20) | 1.13* (1.06,1.20) | 1.02 (0.96,1.09) | 1.10* (1.03,1.17) |
| 12–17 months | 0.98 (0.90,1.06) | 1.06 (0.97,1.15) | 1.07* (1.01,1.13) | 1.10* (1.03,1.17) | 1.02 (0.96,1.08) | 1.05 (0.98,1.11) |
| 18-23 months® | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 24–35 months | 0.95 (0.87,1.03) | 0.95 (0.87,1.05) | 1.02 (0.95,1.09) | 1.01 (0.94,1.09) | 0.97 (0.91,1.04) | 0.98 (0.92,1.05) |
| 36–59 months | 0.99 (0.89,1.12) | 0.98 (0.86,1.11) | 1.11* (1.01,1.22) | 0.90* (0.80,0.99) | 0.95 (0.86,1.04) | 0.85* (0.76,0.94) |

Note: - p < 0.05; ® Reference

[#]Results are adjusted for Mother's age at conception, Mother's height, Mother's schooling, Birth order, child wanted, Wealth quintiles, Religion, Caste, Place of residence, State-region, Survey round

Acknowledgements Authors are thankful to the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation for providing financial support for this research under Grant No - OPP1179208.

Authors' Contribution AKK & AS conceived the idea; AKK & AKU analyzed the data; AKK, AS, & AKU prepared the draft; KK, LMD, AR, & KSJ reviewed and edited the draft.

Funding Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation under Grant No - OPP1179208.

Data Availability Indian Demographic and Health Survey (National Family Health Survey: NFHS) data are deidentified prior to sharing, and are made publicly available at https://dhsprogram.com/.

Code Availability STATA codes may be provided on request.

Declarations

Conflict of Interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval Study is based on publicly available secondary data, hence ethical approval is not required.

Consent to Participate Not applicable.

Consent for Publication Not applicable.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Adams, M., Delaney, K., Stupp, P., McCarthy, B., & Rawlings, J. (1997). The relationship of interpregnancy interval to infant birthweight and length of gestation among low-risk women, Georgia. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology*, *11*(S1), 48–62. doi:https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3016.11.s1.8.x
- Arulampalam, W., & Bhalotra, S. (2006). Sibling Death Clustering in India: State Dependence versus Unobserved Heterogeneity. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series A (Statistics in Society)*, 169(4), 829–848
- Barclay, K., Baranowska-Rataj, A., Kolk, M., & Ivarsson, A. (2020). Interpregnancy intervals and perinatal and child health in Sweden: A comparison within families and across social groups. *Population Studies*, 74(3), 363–378. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/0032 4728.2020.1714701
- Chalasani, S., & Rutstein, S. (2014). Household wealth and child health in India. *Population Studies*, 68(1), 15–41. doi:https://doi. org/10.1080/00324728.2013.795601
- Chungkham, H. S., Sahoo, H., & Marbaniang, S. P. (2020). Birth interval and childhood undernutrition: Evidence from a large scale survey in India. *Clinical Epidemiology and Global Health*, 8(4), 1189–1194. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cegh.2020.04.012
- Conde-Agudelo, A., Belizán, J. M., Breman, R., Brockman, S. C., & Rosas-Bermudez, A. (2005). Effect of the interpregnancy interval after an abortion on maternal and perinatal health in Latin America. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 89(S1), S34–S40. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2004.08.003
- DaVanzo, J., Hale, L., Razzaque, A., & Rahman, M. (2007). Effects of interpregnancy interval and outcome of the preceding pregnancy on pregnancy outcomes in Matlab, Bangladesh. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, 114*(9), 1079– 1087. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.2007.01338.x
- Dhingra, S., & Pingali, P. L. (2021). Effects of short birth spacing on birth-order differences in child stunting: Evidence from India. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118*(8), e2017834118. doi:https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2017834118

- Edmeades, J., Pande, R., Macquarrie, K., Falle, T., & Malhotra, A. (2012). Two sons and a daughter: Sex composition and women's reproductive behaviour in Madhya Pradesh, India. *Journal of Biosocial Science*, 44(6), 749–764. doi:https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0021932012000119
- IIPS, & ICF (2007). India National Family Health Survey (NFHS-3) 2005-06. Retrieved from IIPS, & ICF, & Mumbai (2007), India: http://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FRIND3/FRIND3.pdf
- IIPS, & ICF (2017). India National Family Health Survey (NFHS-4) 2015-16. Retrieved from IIPS, & ICF, & Mumbai (2017). India: http://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR339/FR339.pdf
- IIPS, & ICF (2022). India National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5) 2019-21. Retrieved from IIPS, & ICF, & Mumbai (2022). India: https://www.dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR374/FR374.pdf
- IVAC, & JHBSPH (2018). Pneumonia and Diarrhea Progress Report 2018. Retrieved from Baltimore, USA: https://www.jhsph.edu/ ivac/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Pneumonia-and-Diarrhea-Progress-Report-2018-1.pdf
- Kader, M., & Perera, N. (2014). Socio-economic and nutritional determinants of low birth weight in India. North American Journal of Medical Sciences, 6(7), 302–308. doi:https://doi. org/10.4103/1947-2714.136902
- Kannaujiya, A. K., Kumar, K., Upadhyay, A. K., McDougal, L., Raj, A., & Singh, A. (2020). Short interpregnancy interval and low birth weight births in India: Evidence from National Family Health Survey 2015-16. SSM - Population Health, 12, 100700. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2020.100700
- Klebanoff, M. A. (2017). Interpregnancy Interval and Pregnancy Outcomes: Causal or Not? Obstetrics & Gynecology, 129(3), 405– 407. doi:https://doi.org/10.1097/aog.000000000001913
- Kumar, C., Singh, P. K., Rai, R. K., & Singh, L. (2013). Early Neonatal Mortality in India, 1990–2006. *Journal of Community Health*, 38(1), 120–130. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-012-9590-8
- Mavalankar, D. V., Gray, R. H., & Trivedi, C. R. (1992). Risk Factors for Preterm and Term Low Birthweight in Ahmedabad, India. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 21(2), 263–272. doi:https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/21.2.263
- McDougal, L., Silverman, J. G., Singh, A., & Raj, A. (2020). Exploring the relationship between spousal violence during pregnancy and subsequent postpartum spacing contraception among firsttime mothers in India. *EClinicalMedicine*, 23. doi:https://doi. org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100414
- Molitoris, J., Barclay, K., & Kolk, M. (2019). When and Where Birth Spacing Matters for Child Survival: An International Comparison Using the DHS. *Demography*, 56(4), 1349–1370. doi:https://doi. org/10.1007/s13524-019-00798-y
- ORGCCI (2020). Sample Registration System Statistical Report 2018. Retrieved from New Delhi: https://censusindia.gov.in/vital_statistics/SRS_Reports_2018.html
- Raj, A., Johns, N. E., McDougal, L., Trivedi, A., Bharadwaj, P., Silverman, J. G., & Singh, A. (2019). Associations Between Sex Composition of Older Siblings and Infant Mortality in India from 1992 to 2016. *EClinicalMedicine*, 14, 14–22. doi:https://doi. org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2019.08.016
- Raj, A., McDougal, L. P., & Silverman, J. G. (2015). Gendered Effects of Siblings on Child Malnutrition in South Asia: Cross-sectional Analysis of Demographic and Health Surveys from Bangladesh, India, and Nepal. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, 19(1), 217–226. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-014-1513-0
- Rana, M. J., Gautam, A., Goli, S., Uttamacharya, Reja, T., Nanda, P., & Verma, R. (2019). Planning of births and maternal, child health, and nutritional outcomes: recent evidence from India. *Public Health*, 169, 14–25. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j. puhe.2018.11.019
- Rana, M. J., & Goli, S. (2018). Does planning of births affect childhood undernutrition? Evidence from demographic and health surveys

of selected South Asian countries. *Nutrition (Burbank, Los Angeles County, Calif.)*, 47, 90–96. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2017.10.006

- Rutstein, S. O. (2005). Effects of preceding birth intervals on neonatal, infant and under-five years mortality and nutritional status in developing countries: evidence from the demographic and health surveys. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 89(S1), S7–S24. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2004.11.012
- Singh, A. (2018). Sterilization Regret Among Married Women in India: Trends, Patterns and Correlates. *Int Perspect Sex Reprod Health*, 44(4), 167–176. doi:https://doi.org/10.1363/44e7218
- Singh, A., Chalasani, S., Koenig, M. A., & Mahapatra, B. (2012). The consequences of unintended births for maternal and child health in India. *Population Studies*, 66(3), 223–239. doi:https://doi.org/ 10.1080/00324728.2012.697568
- Singh, A., Ogollah, R., Ram, F., & Pallikadavath, S. (2012). Sterilization regret among married women in India: implications for the Indian national family planning program. *Int Perspect Sex Reprod Health*, 38(4), 187–195. doi:https://doi.org/10.1363/3818712
- Singh, A., Pallikadavath, S., Ram, F., & Ogollah, R. (2012). Inequalities in Advice Provided by Public Health Workers to Women during Antenatal Sessions in Rural India. *PLOS ONE*, 7(9), e44931. doi:https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0044931
- Singh, A., Singh, A., & Mahapatra, B. (2013). The Consequences of Unintended Pregnancy for Maternal and Child Health in Rural India: Evidence from Prospective Data. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, 17(3), 493–500. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10995-012-1023-x
- Singh, A., Upadhyay, A. K., Singh, A., & Kumar, K. (2017). The Association Between Unintended Births and Poor Child Development in India: Evidence from a Longitudinal Study. *Studies in Family Planning*, 48(1), 55–71. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/sifp.12017
- Swaminathan, A., Fell, D. B., Regan, A., Walker, M., & Corsi, D. J. (2020). Association between interpregnancy interval and subsequent stillbirth in 58 low-income and middle-income countries: a retrospective analysis using Demographic and Health Surveys.

The Lancet Global Health, 8(1), e113–e122. doi:https://doi. org/10.1016/S2214-109X(19)30458-9

- Development Initiatives (2018). 2018 Global Nutrition Report: Shining a light to spur action on nutrition. Retrieved from Bristol, UK: https://globalnutritionreport.org/reports/ global-nutrition-report-2018/
- UNIGME (2017). Levels and Trends in Child Mortality: Report 2017 Estimates Developed by the UN Inter-Agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation. Retrieved from New York, USA: https:// data.unicef.org/resources/levels-trends-child-mortality-2017/
- van der Klaauw, B., & Wang, L. (2011). Child mortality in rural India. Journal of Population Economics, 24(2), 601–628. doi:https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00148-009-0290-3
- Vilms, R. J., McDougal, L., Atmavilas, Y., Hay, K., Triplett, D. P., Silverman, J., & Raj, A. (2017). Gender inequities in curative and preventive health care use among infants in Bihar, India. *Journal of global health*, 7(2), 020402–020402. doi:https://doi. org/10.7189/jogh.07.020402
- Whitworth, A., & Stephenson, R. (2002). Birth spacing, sibling rivalry and child mortality in India. *Social Science & Medicine*, 55(12), 2107–2119. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536(02)00002-3
- Williams, E. K., Hossain, M. B., Sharma, R. K., Kumar, V., Pandey, C. M., & Baqui, A. H. (2008). Birth Interval and Risk of Stillbirth or Neonatal Death: Findings from Rural North India. *Journal of Tropical Pediatrics*, 54(5), 321–327. doi:https://doi.org/10.1093/ tropej/fmn027
- World Health Organization (2006). WHO child growth standards: length/height-for-age, weight-for-age, weight-for-length, weightfor-height and body mass index-for-age: methods and development. Retrieved from https://www.who.int/publications/i/ item/924154693X
- Zhu, B. P., & Le, T. (2003). Effect of Interpregnancy Interval on Infant Low Birth Weight: A Retrospective Cohort Study Using the Michigan Maternally Linked Birth Database. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, 7(3), 169–178. doi:https://doi.org/10.102 3/A:1025184304391

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.