

**UCLA**

**Department of Statistics Papers**

**Title**

Centering in Multilevel Models

**Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/79n3g7vp>

**Author**

de Leeuw, Jan

**Publication Date**

2004-04-25

## CENTERING IN MULTILEVEL MODELS

JAN DE LEEUW

ABSTRACT. This is an entry for The Encyclopedia of Statistics in Behavioral Science, to be published by Wiley in 2005.

Consider the situation in which we have  $m$  groups of individuals, where group  $j$  has  $n_j$  members. We consider a general multilevel model, i.e. a random coefficient model for each group of the form

$$y_{ij} = \beta_{0j} + \sum_{s=1}^p x_{is} \beta_{sj} + \epsilon_{ij},$$

where the coefficients are the outcomes of a second regression model

$$\beta_{sj} = \gamma_{s0} + \sum_{r=1}^q z_{jr} \gamma_{rs} + \delta_{js},$$

where  $s = 0, \dots, p$ . Here we have used the “Dutch convention” [1] of underlining random variables. The disturbance vectors  $\underline{\epsilon}_j$  and  $\underline{\delta}_j$  are supposed to have zero expectations. They are uncorrelated with each other and have

---

*Date:* April 25, 2004.

*Key words and phrases.* multilevel model, random coefficient model, mixed linear model, centering.

dispersions  $\mathbf{V}(\underline{\epsilon}_j) = \sigma^2 I$  and  $\mathbf{V}(\underline{\delta}_j) = \Omega$ . It follows that

$$\mathbf{E}(y_{ij}) = \gamma_{00} + \sum_{r=1}^q z_{jr} \gamma_{r0} + \sum_{s=1}^p x_{is} \gamma_{s0} + \sum_{s=1}^p \sum_{r=1}^q x_{is} z_{jr} \gamma_{rs},$$

and

$$\mathbf{C}(y_{ij}, y_{kj}) = \omega_{00} + \sum_{s=1}^p (x_{is} + x_{ks}) \omega_{0s} + \sum_{s=1}^p \sum_{t=1}^p x_{is} x_{kt} \omega_{st} + \delta^{ij} \sigma^2$$

Typically, we define more restrictive models for the same data by requiring that some of the regression coefficients  $\gamma_{rs}$  and some of the variance and covariance components  $\omega_{st}$  are zero.

In multilevel analysis the scaling and centering of the predictors is often arbitrary. Also, there are sometimes theoretical reasons to choose a particular form of centering. See Raudenbush and Bryk [3, p. 31-34] or Kreft et al. [2]. In this article we consider the effect on the model of translations.

Suppose we replace  $x_{is}$  by  $\tilde{x}_{is} = x_{is} - a_s$ . Thus we subtract a constant from each individual-level predictor, and we use the same constant for all groups. If the  $a_s$  are the predictor means, this means *grand mean centering* of all predictor variables.

After some algebra we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{00} + \sum_{r=1}^q z_{jr} \gamma_{r0} + \sum_{s=1}^p x_{is} \gamma_{s0} + \sum_{s=1}^p \sum_{r=1}^q x_{is} z_{jr} \gamma_{rs} &= \\ &= \tilde{\gamma}_{00} + \sum_{r=1}^q z_{jr} \tilde{\gamma}_{r0} + \sum_{s=1}^p \tilde{x}_{is} \gamma_{s0} + \sum_{s=1}^p \sum_{r=1}^q \tilde{x}_{is} z_{jr} \gamma_{rs}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{r0} = \gamma_{r0} + \sum_{s=1}^p \gamma_{rs} a_s$$

for all  $r = 0, \dots, q$ . Thus the translation of the predictor can be compensated by a linear transformation of the regression coefficients, and any vector of expected values generated by the untranslated model can also be generated by the translated model. This is a useful type of invariance. But it is important to observe that if we restrict our untranslated model, for instance by requiring one or more  $\gamma_{r0}$  to be zero, then those same  $\gamma_{r0}$  will no longer be zero in the corresponding translated model. We have invariance of the expected values under translation if the regression coefficients of the group-level predictors are non-zero.

In the same way we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{00} + \sum_{s=1}^p (x_{is} + x_{ks}) \omega_{0s} + \sum_{s=1}^p \sum_{t=1}^p x_{is} x_{kt} \omega_{st} &= \\ &= \tilde{\omega}_{00} + \sum_{s=1}^p (\tilde{x}_{is} + \tilde{x}_{ks}) \tilde{\omega}_{0s} + \sum_{s=1}^p \sum_{t=1}^p \tilde{x}_{is} \tilde{x}_{kt} \tilde{\omega}_{st} \end{aligned}$$

if

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\omega}_{00} &= \omega_{00} + 2 \sum_{s=1}^p \omega_{0s} a_s + \sum_{s=1}^p \sum_{t=1}^p \omega_{st} a_s a_t, \\ \tilde{\omega}_{0s} &= \omega_{0s} + \sum_{t=1}^p \omega_{st} a_t.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have invariance under translation of the variance and covariance components as well, but, again, only if we do not require the  $\omega_{0s}$ , i.e. the covariances of the slopes and the intercepts, to be zero. If we center by using the grand mean of the predictors we still fit the same model, at least in the case in which we do not restrict the  $\gamma_{r0}$  or the  $\omega_{s0}$  to be zero.

If we translate by  $\tilde{x}_{is} = x_{is} - a_{js}$  and thus subtract a different constant for each group, the situation becomes more complicated. If the  $a_{js}$  are the group means of the predictors, this is *within-group centering*. The relevant formulas are derived in [2], and we will not repeat them here. The conclusion is that separate translations for each group cannot be compensated for by adjusting the regression coefficients and the variance components. In this case, there is no invariance, and we are fitting a truly different model. or, in other words, choosing between a translated and a non-translated model becomes a matter of either theoretical or statistical (goodness-of-fit) considerations.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Hemelrijk. Underlining Random Variables. *Statistica Neerlandica*, 20:1–7, 1966.
- [2] G. G. Kreft, J. De Leeuw, and L. S. Aiken. The Effects of Different Forms of Centering in Hierarchical Linear Models. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 30:1–21, 1995.
- [3] S. W. Raudenbush and A.S. Bryk. *Hierarchical Linear Models. Applications and Data Analysis Methods*. Sage Publications, Newbury Park, CA, second edition, 2002.
- [4] G. Van Landeghem, P. Onghena, and J. Van Damme. The Effects of Different Forms of Centering in Hierarchical Linear Models Re-examined. Technical Report 2001-04, Universitair Centrum vor Statistiek, University of Leuven, 2001. URL <http://www.kuleuven.ac.be/ucs/Technical%20Reports/Report2001-04.pdf>.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095-1554

*E-mail address*, Jan de Leeuw: [deleeuw@stat.ucla.edu](mailto:deleeuw@stat.ucla.edu)

*URL*, Jan de Leeuw: <http://gifi.stat.ucla.edu>