UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Response of uranium-based heavy-fermion magnets to hydrostatic pressure

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/79n5d0dr>

Journal

Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials, 54-57(PART 1)

ISSN

0304-8853

Authors

Thompson, JD

Fisk, Z

Ott, HR

Publication Date

1986

DOI

10.1016/0304-8853(86)90635-9

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

RESPONSE OF URANIUM-BASED HEAVY-FERMION MAGNETS TO HYDROSTATIC PRESSURE

J.D. THOMPSON, Z. FISK and H.R. OTT *

Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

We have studied the response of heavy-fermion magnets UCd_{11} , U_2Zn_{17} and UAgCu_4 to hydrostatic pressures exceeding 17 kbar through temperature-dependent electrical resistance measurements. Results for U_2Zn_{17} and UAgCu_4 can be understood in terms of competing Kondo and RKKY interactions. UCd_{11} exhibits two new phase transitions induced by pressure.

Four uranium-based compounds UCd_{11} [1], U_2Zn_{17} [2], UAgCu_4 [3] and UCu_5 [4] are known that exhibit features consistent with magnetic ordering arising out of a strongly correlated f-electron system. Specific heat measurements on these materials reveal electronic contributions (extrapolated to $T = 0$ from above the ordering temperature) ranging from over 250 to ≈ 840 mJ/(mol f K²). Assuming a Fermi liquid description, we infer from the γ values effective masses $m^* \gg m_e$, where m_e is the free electron mass, hence the label heavy-fermion magnets. Certain features are common to all four: high temperature Curie-Weiss susceptibilities with negative paramagnetic Curie temperatures; entropy associated with the magnetic transition considerably less than $R \ln 2$; and large ($\geq 100 \mu\Omega \text{ cm}$) resistivities typical of Kondo-like scattering. Of the four U_2Zn_{17} and UCu_5 have been studied by neutron scattering. U_2Zn_{17} was found [5] to be a commensurate antiferromagnet with an anomalously small moment below T_N ; while uranium moments in UCu_5 coupled ferromagnetically within (111) planes but parallel planes coupled antiferromagnetically [6]. In view of their interesting behavior at ambient pressure and the well-known correlation between actinide-actinide separation ($d_{\text{U-U}}$) and magnetism, we have studied the response of UCd_{11} , U_2Zn_{17} and UAgCu_4 to hydrostatic pressures exceeding 17 kbar.

Four lead ac resistance measurements were made in the temperature interval 1 to 290 K on single-crystal UCd_{11} and U_2Zn_{17} and polycrystalline UAgCu_4 . All samples were single phase within the resolution of X-ray

diffraction techniques. Details of the sample preparation and characterization have been given elsewhere [1–3]. Preliminary X-ray intensity analysis on UAgCu_4 suggests that the Ag atoms reside on specific Cu sites in the UCu_5 crystal structure. Pressures were produced in a Be–Cu self-clamping cell whose design and operation have been described thoroughly [7].

The resistive response to pressure for the three heavy-fermion magnets is summarized in fig. 1. Consistent with progressively larger electronic specific heats (see table 1), we observe a systematic increase in changes in the electrical resistance with pressure for $T \geq T_N$ in the sequence UAgCu_4 – U_2Zn_{17} – UCd_{11} . Unlike UAgCu_4 and U_2Zn_{17} , UCd_{11} shows a relatively large pressure-induced increase in its room temperature resistance [$R(16.9 \text{ kbar}) - R(0)/R(0) \approx 17\%$] that appears to be intrinsic since only insignificant hysteresis was observed upon repeated pressure cycling. UCd_{11} is also unique in that at the highest pressure shown an additional phase transition appears at temperatures below $T_N(P)$. Not shown in fig. 1 are results obtained at intermediate pressures where yet another phase transition manifests itself initially near 3 K.

From these measurements we arrive at a phase diagram shown in fig. 2 for each of the three systems. All show the ordering temperature increasing initially with pressure at a rate $\partial T_N / \partial P$ given in table 1. These values may be compared with those calculated from Ehrenfest's relation

$$\partial T_N / \partial P = 3VT_N \Delta\alpha / \Delta C_p, \quad (1)$$

where $\Delta\alpha$ and ΔC_p are the thermal expansion and specific heat changes respectively at T_N and V is the

* Permanent address: ETH-Honggerberg, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland.

Table 1
Relevant parameters of heavy-fermion magnets

Compound	T_N (K)	γ (mJ/(mol K ²))	$d_{\text{U-U}}$ (Å)	$\partial T_N / \partial P^{(a)}$ (K/kbar)	$\partial T_N / \partial P^{(b)}$ (K/kbar)
UCd_{11}	5.05	840	6.56	0.070	0.060
U_2Zn_{17}	9.70	480	4.39	0.017	0.032
UAgCu_4	18.15	310	5.03	0.032	–
UCu_5	15.2	> 250	4.97	–	–

^(a) measured near $P = 0$; ^(b) from eq. (1).

Fig. 1. Electrical resistance as a function of temperature for UCd_{11} , UAgCu_4 and U_2Zn_{17} at ambient and elevated pressures. Curves are displaced vertically for clarity. Arrows denote phase transition temperatures. Room temperature resistivities are estimated to be on the order of $100 \mu\Omega \text{ cm}$ for each material. $R(T)$ for UAgCu_4 is very similar to that of UCu_5 (see ref. [4]).

molar volume. In the two cases where this comparison can be made, we see that eq. (1) predicts the correct sign for $\partial T_N / \partial P$, with semiquantitative agreement in magnitude. Considering these materials as Kondo lattices, we can understand the variation of T_N and $R(T)$ with pressure in UAgCu_4 and U_2Zn_{17} in terms of a competition between Kondo and RKKY interactions [8,9] where in the case of UAgCu_4 the Kondo temperature T_K is much less than T_N but in U_2Zn_{17} T_K and T_N are comparable. At pressures not significantly greater than 17 kbar, we would expect $\partial T_N / \partial P < 0$ as T_K is pushed far above T_N in U_2Zn_{17} .

The most interesting behavior is exhibited by UCd_{11} in which two phase transitions (labeled T_2 and T_3 in fig. 2) are induced by pressure. The nature of these transitions is unknown; however, both transitions do respond non-linearly to an applied magnetic field with $\partial T_2 / \partial H < 0$ and $\partial T_3 / \partial H > 0$. The phase diagram for UCd_{11} suggests a possible relationship between T_1 and T_2 as well as between T_1 and T_3 . Interestingly, ambient pressure specific heat measurements [1] on UCd_{11} show a shoulder in C/T versus T^2 near 3.5 K which agrees well with the temperature obtained by extrapolating the phase boundary $T_2(T, P)$ to $P = 0$, indicating that perhaps T_2 is beginning to form already at $P = 0$. Despite attempts to observe an unambiguous signature for T_2 below 3 kbar or for T_3 below 16 kbar, no resistive evidence for their presence could be detected in these regimes.

Fig. 2. Phase transition temperature versus pressure for UAgCu_4 , U_2Zn_{17} and UCd_{11} . Note scale changes on vertical axis. T_1 , T_2 and T_3 denote three phase transitions in UCd_{11} . Double symbols for T_2 and T_3 signify different criteria for determining the transition temperature. Pressure measurements are accurate to ± 0.5 kbar.

In summary, we have studied the pressure response of heavy-fermion magnets UCd_{11} , U_2Zn_{17} and UAgCu_4 . The effect of pressure on magnetic ordering in the latter two materials can be understood qualitatively in terms of competing Kondo and RKKY interactions, with $\partial T_N / \partial P$ in semiquantitative agreement with that calculated from Ehrenfest's relation. UCd_{11} shows unusual pressure behavior that requires additional experiments, e.g., magnetic susceptibility and neutron scattering under pressure, before its origin is understood.

Work at Los Alamos was performed under the auspices of the US Department of Energy.

- [1] Z. Fisk, G.R. Stewart, J.O. Willis, H.R. Ott and F. Hulliger, *Phys. Rev. B* 30 (1984) 6360.
- [2] H.R. Ott, H. Rudiger, P. Delsing and Z. Fisk, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 52 (1984) 1551.
- [3] H.R. Ott and Z. Fisk, unpublished.
- [4] H.J. van Daal, K.H.J. Buschow, P.B. van Aken and M.H. van Maaren, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 34 (1975) 1457.
- [5] G. Aeppli, S. Shapiro, G. Shirane, H. Ott and Z. Fisk, unpublished.
- [6] A. Muraski, S. Ligenza and Z. Zygmunt, *Phys. Stat. Sol. (a)* 23 (1974) K163.
- [7] J.D. Thompson, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 55 (1984) 231.
- [8] J.D. Thompson, R.D. Parks and H. Borges, *J. Magn. Magn. Mat.* 54-57 (1986).
- [9] S. Doniach, in: *Valence Instabilities and Related Narrow-Band Phenomena*, ed. R.D. Parks (Plenum, New York, 1977) p. 169.