## **UCLA**

## **Census Snapshots**

### **Title**

Indiana Census Snapshot: 2010

### **Permalink**

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7cq1t5qk

### **Authors**

Gates, Gary J. Cooke, Abigail M.

### **Publication Date**

2011-09-01




## Indiana Census Snapshot: 2010


Same-sex couples	11,074	Same-sex couples per 1,000 households	4.4
Husband/wife	1,603	Same-sex "husband/wife" couples per 1,000 "husband/wife" couples	1.3
<b>Unmarried partner</b>	9,471	Same-sex "unmarried partner" couples per 1,000 "unmarried partner" couples	57.1

## Same-sex couples per 1,000 households


by Census tract (adjusted)




#### **All Same-sex Couples**




## Same-sex couples




## Same-sex couples who identify as unmarried partners



# Same-sex female couples per 1,000 households by county (adjusted)




# Same-sex male couples per 1,000 households by county (adjusted)





## Percent of same-sex couples raising "own" children\*


by county (adjusted)



\*"Own" children are never-married children under 18 who are sons or daughters of one partner or spouse (Person 1) by birth, marriage (stepchild), or adoption.







## **Data and methodology**

Data are compiled using the US Census Bureau's state-level preferred estimates for same-sex couples found <a href="here">here</a>. Same-sex couples are identified in households where Person 1 describes his or her relationship with another adult of the same sex as either a "husband/wife" or "unmarried partner". The Census Bureau preferred estimates adjust original Census tabulations reported in the Census 2010 SF-1, PCT15 to account for the likelihood that a small portion of different-sex couples miscode the sex of a spouse or partner and are incorrectly counted as a same-sex couple.

#### Adjusted data

The Census Bureau only released preferred estimates for states. County, city, and tract data used in this report are adjusted by the authors and do not represent official Census Bureau tabulations. Like the Census Bureau preferred estimates, the adjustment procedure accounts for the likelihood that a small portion of different-sex couples miscode the sex of a spouse or partner and are incorrectly counted as a same-sex couple.

#### **Undercount**

The adjusted figures do not take into account the possibility that some same-sex couples may not be counted in Census tabulations due to concerns about confidentiality or because neither partner was Person 1 in the household.

Go here for a complete description of the adjustment procedure.

## Counties with 50+ same-sex couples ranked by same-sex couples per 1,000 households

State	US rank among 1,142 counties with 50+ same-sex		Same-sex couples	Same-sex couples per 1,000 households	Same-sex male couples	Same-sex female couples	% Raising "own" children among same-sex couples
rank	couples	County	(adjusted)	(adjusted)	(adjusted)	(adjusted)	(adjusted)
1	53	Monroe	497	9.05	280	216	16%
2	63	Marion	3157	8.62	2113	1044	18%
3	223	Floyd	172	5.82	91	81	22%
4	235	Vanderburgh	428	5.75	219	209	20%
5	334	LaPorte	214	5.05	120	94	17%
6	341	Clark	223	5.03	101	121	14%
7	358	Howard	170	4.95	46	123	33%
8	359	Harrison	75	4.95	11	64	24%
9	372	Vigo	202	4.89	70	132	25%
10	379	Delaware	226	4.87	112	114	10%
11	427	Tippecanoe	304	4.63	122	182	25%
12	443	Bartholomew	136	4.54	39	96	38%
13	455	St. Joseph	465	4.51	232	233	18%
14	516	Madison	223	4.30	66	157	31%
15	517	Clinton	52	4.30	0	52	65%
16	521	Allen	590	4.28	263	328	11%
17	584	Wayne	112	4.06	40	72	17%
18	618	Morgan	102	3.95	0	102	32%
19	624	Hendricks	206	3.94	0	206	20%
20	637	Whitley	51	3.90	2	49	25%
21	661	Johnson	199	3.81	45	155	34%
22	668	Hamilton	377	3.78	139	238	13%
23	687	Porter	233	3.75	41	192	19%
24	726	Shelby	63	3.65	2	61	31%
25	820	Jackson	56	3.41	0	56	16%
26	833	Cass	50	3.39	19	31	11%
27	860	Lake	625	3.32	76	549	26%
28	872	Henry	63	3.28	0	63	15%
29	913	Warrick	71	3.16	1	70	30%
30	927	Lawrence	59	3.12	9	49	31%
31	934	Boone	66	3.11	13	53	0%
32	977	Grant	81	2.99	0	81	17%
33	988	Hancock	77	2.92	0	77	1%
34	1034	Kosciusko	80	2.74	0	80	0%
35	1048	Elkhart	188	2.68	0	188	36%
			Counties with <50	same-sex couple	es		
		Adams	11	0.92	0	11	22%
		Benton	9	2.67	0	9	22%
		Blackford	9	1.70	0	9	27%
		Brown	43	6.97	29	14	10%
		Carroll	0	0.00	0	0	0%
		Clay	33	3.18	0	33	33%
		Crawford	16	3.70	4	12	12%

Daviess	32	2.84	0	32	16%
Dearborn	34	1.80	0	34	27%
Decatur	20	1.98	0	20	36%
DeKalb	31	1.94	0	31	74%
Dubois	27	1.69	0	27	0%
Fayette	11	1.16	0	11	31%
Fountain	5	0.73	0	5	0%
Franklin	25	2.91	0	25	5%
Fulton	22	2.73	2	20	35%
Gibson	34	2.58	4	31	37%
Greene	10	0.73	0	10	0%
Huntington	33	2.31	0	33	0%
Jasper	23	1.89	0	23	0%
Jay	22	2.72	0	22	33%
Jefferson	37	2.95	4	34	23%
Jennings	37	3.43	0	37	16%
Knox	37	2.40	0	37	0%
LaGrange	14	1.18	0	14	0%
Marshall	12	0.70	0	12	0%
Martin	2	0.40	0	2	0%
Miami	20	1.46	0	20	0%
Montgomery	41	2.71	0	41	11%
Newton	11	2.03	0	11	20%
Noble	37	2.12	0	37	10%
Ohio	5	2.16	4	2	0%
Orange	21	2.63	11	10	11%
Owen	21	2.43	5	15	19%
Parke	17	2.75	0	17	0%
Perry	9	1.24	0	9	35%
Pike	5	0.89	0	5	11%
Posey	17	1.69	0	17	0%
Pulaski	13	2.55	0	13	7%
Putnam	46	3.59	0	46	41%
Randolph	29	2.81	0	29	43%
Ripley	19	1.73	0	19	39%
Rush	16	2.44	0	16	12%
Scott	36	3.79	14	22	21%
Spencer	26	3.26	3	23	0%
Starke	14	1.52	0	14	33%
Steuben	23	1.72	0	23	11%
Sullivan	6	0.75	0	6	0%
Switzerland	12	3.02	8	4	0%
	15	2.35		15	0%
Tipton Union	11	3.59	0 4	6	0%
Vermillion	9				
		1.43	0	9	42%
Wabash	25	1.94	0	25	41%
Washington	11	3.42	6	6	0%
Washington	32	2.93	7	25	27%

Wells	26	2.37	0	26	0%
White	20	2.10	0	20	17%

## Cities with 50+ same-sex couples ranked by same-sex couples per 1,000 households

	ranked by s	ame-sex coupi	es per 1,000 nous	enoias
State rank	US rank among 1,415 cities with 50+ same-sex couples	City	Same-sex couples (adjusted)	Same-sex couples per 1,000 households (adjusted)
1	252	Indianapolis	2986	8.99
2	270	Bloomington	274	8.73
3	490	New Albany	107	6.89
4	516	Michigan	82	6.74
5	541	Evansville	335	6.63
6	542	Lafayette	189	6.61
7	554	Jeffersonville	122	6.54
8	606	Terre Haute	142	6.27
9	636	Muncie	171	6.15
10	642	Kokomo	122	6.14
11	690	South Bend	236	5.93
12	711	Anderson	137	5.82
13	721	Plainfield town	56	5.77
14	808	Portage	76	5.44
15	845	Columbus	92	5.19
16	850	Greenwood	101	5.16
17	935	Fort Wayne	494	4.86
18	970	Lawrence	85	4.74
19	978	Hammond	140	4.69
20	984	Richmond	70	4.66
21	991	Marion	55	4.61
22	1001	Hobart	53	4.56
23	1114	Merrillville	58	4.22
24	1122	Mishawaka	90	4.21
25	1124	Gary	132	4.20
26	1177	Carmel	115	3.98
27	1206	Noblesville	74	3.89
28	1214	Fishers	104	3.83
29	1252	Elkhart	70	3.65

#### **About the authors**

Gary J. Gates, PhD is the Williams Distinguished Scholar at the Williams Institute, UCLA School of Law.

**Abigail M. Cooke** is a PhD candidate in the Department of Geography at UCLA and is affiliated with the California Center for Population Research.

### Acknowledgments

The authors thank Williams Institute Fellows Angeliki Kastanis, Laura Durso, and Christy Mallory for assistance with the Snapshots.

### For more information

The Williams Institute, UCLA School of Law, (310) 267-4382 <a href="http://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/">http://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/</a>