# UCLA UCLA Previously Published Works

## Title

Exploring Gender Differences in Veterans in a Secondary Analysis of a Randomized Controlled Trial of Mindfulness for Chronic Pain.

## Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7dw478kk

**Journal** Womens Health Reports, 5(1)

### Authors

Burgess, Diana Hagel Campbell, Emily Branson, Mariah <u>et al.</u>

# **Publication Date**

2024

## DOI

10.1089/whr.2023.0086

Peer reviewed

Women's Health Reports Volume 5.1, 2024 DOI: 10.1089/whr.2023.0086 Accepted January 10, 2024



Open camera or QR reader and scan code to access this article and other resources online.



### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

**Open Access** 

# Exploring Gender Differences in Veterans in a Secondary Analysis of a Randomized Controlled Trial of Mindfulness for Chronic Pain

Diana J. Burgess,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Emily M. Hagel Campbell,<sup>1</sup> Mariah Branson,<sup>1</sup> Collin Calvert,<sup>1,2</sup> Roni Evans,<sup>3</sup> Kelli D. Allen,<sup>4,5</sup> Ann Bangerter,<sup>1</sup> Lee J.S. Cross,<sup>1</sup> Mary A. Driscoll,<sup>6,7</sup> Sierra Hennessy,<sup>1</sup> John E. Ferguson,<sup>2</sup> Jessica K. Friedman,<sup>8</sup> Marianne S. Matthias,<sup>9–11</sup> Laura A. Meis,<sup>1,2</sup> Melissa A. Polusny,<sup>1,2</sup> Stephanie L. Taylor,<sup>8,12,13</sup> and Brent C. Taylor<sup>1,2</sup>

#### Abstract

**Background:** Although studies have documented higher rates of chronic pain among women Veterans compared to men Veterans, there remains a lack of comprehensive information about potential contributors to these disparities.

**Materials and Methods:** This study examined gender differences in chronic pain and its contributors among 419 men and 392 women Veterans, enrolled in a mindfulness trial for chronic pain. We conducted descriptive analyses summarizing distributions of baseline measures, obtained by survey and through the electronic health record. Comparisons between genders were conducted using chi-square tests for categorical variables and *t*-tests for continuous measures.

**Results:** Compared to men, women Veterans were more likely to have chronic overlapping pain conditions and had higher levels of pain interference and intensity. Women had higher prevalence of psychiatric and sleep disorder diagnoses, greater levels of depression, anxiety, post-traumatic stress disorder, fatigue, sleep disturbance, stress and pain catastrophizing, and lower levels of pain self-efficacy and participation in social roles and activities. However, women were less likely to smoke or have a substance abuse disorder and used more nonpharmacological pain treatment modalities.

<sup>5</sup>Thurston Arthritis Research Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA.

<sup>7</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, USA.

<sup>10</sup>Regenstrief Institute, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>VA HSR&D Center for Care Delivery and Outcomes Research, Minneapolis VA Health Care System, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA. <sup>2</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Minnesota Medical School, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Integrative Health and Wellbeing Research Program, Center for Spirituality and Healing, School of Nursing, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA. <sup>4</sup>VA HSR&D Center of Innovation to Accelerate Discovery and Practice Transformation, Durham VA Health Care System, Durham, North Carolina, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Pain Research, Informatics, Multimorbidities, and Education (PRIME) Center, VA Connecticut Health Care System, West Haven, Connecticut, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>VA HSR&D Center for the Study of Health Care Innovation, Implementation and Policy, Greater Los Angeles VA Health Care System, Los Angeles, California, USA. <sup>9</sup>VA HSR&D Center for Health Information and Communication, Roudebush VA Medical Center, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Department of Health Policy and Management, UCLA School of Public Health, Los Angeles, California, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Department of Medicine, UCLA School of Medicine, Los Angeles, California, USA.

<sup>\*</sup>Address correspondence to: Diana J. Burgess, PhD, VA HSR&D Center for Care Delivery and Outcomes Research, Minneapolis VA Health Care System, One Veterans Drive (152), Minneapolis, MN 55417, USA, E-mail: diana.burgess@va.gov

<sup>©</sup> Diana J. Burgess *et al.*, 2024; Published by Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. This Open Access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons License [CC-BY] (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Conclusion:** Among Veterans seeking treatment for chronic pain, women differed from men in their type of pain, had greater pain intensity and interference, and had greater prevalence and higher levels of many known biopsychosocial contributors to pain. Results point to the need for pain treatment that addresses the comprehensive needs of women Veterans.

Clinical Trial Registration Number: NCT04526158. Patient enrollment began on December 4, 2020.

Keywords: chronic pain; mindfulness; veterans; women

#### Background

Chronic pain is a prevalent, debilitating worldwide problem, which disproportionately affects women.<sup>1–7</sup> Women are more likely to use analgesics, including opioids, and to seek medical help for their pain.<sup>8</sup> Women are more likely to develop chronic pain than men and report greater pain intensity, pain-related disability, emotional distress, and poorer emotional and social functioning.<sup>1,3,4,8–18</sup> Women have been shown to have higher prevalence rates of 45 out of 47 chronic pain conditions<sup>19</sup> and are more likely to have 2 or more chronic overlapping pain conditions (COPCs), a set of painful chronic conditions with a high degree of cooccurrence, thought to have a shared etiology and often accompanied by fatigue, sleep difficulty, psychosocial vulnerability, and pain amplification.<sup>20,21</sup>

When studying gender- and sex-based disparities in chronic pain, it is important to differentiate between sex, a biological construct, based on a cluster of anatomical and physiological traits (sex traits), and gender, a social construct that refers to roles, behaviors, and identities.<sup>22</sup> In the past two decades, there has been an increase in research on sex-dependent biological pain mechanisms, including sex differences in hormones, genetics, nervous system, and immune system functioning.<sup>1,4,8</sup> The current biopsychosocial approach to understanding chronic pain has also led to greater focus on psychosocial contributors to gender disparities in pain and those that result from or are exacerbated by chronic pain, including their interplay with biological factors. For example, the gendered experiences of early life adversity (i.e., childhood physical or sexual abuse, parental neglect, household dysfunction) and sexual trauma, which are more common among women (a social contributor), can affect biological contributors to pain and the experience of pain.<sup>1,23</sup>

Trauma exposure is a risk factor for sleep disorders such as insomnia (considered a biological contributor),<sup>24</sup> which women are also more likely to experience,<sup>25,26</sup> and which play a key role in the development and exacerba-

tion of chronic pain.<sup>27</sup> Mental health disorders and symptoms (psychological contributors) such as depression, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) are more prevalent among women, due, in part, to gendered experiences of trauma, and are associated with a greater likelihood of experiencing chronic pain and poorer pain outcomes.<sup>4,28</sup> There is also evidence, although mixed, that gender differences in pain coping strategies may also contribute to gender disparities in pain.

Some studies have found women to be more likely than men to engage in maladaptive coping strategies (*e.g.*, catastrophizing) that contribute to and exacerbate pain,<sup>18</sup> while others have found no gender differences.<sup>29</sup> Other reviews have concluded that women are more likely to engage in adaptive strategies, such as seeking social and emotional support<sup>4</sup> and utilizing nonpharmacologic pain treatment.<sup>30,31</sup>

Gender disparities in chronic pain are also problematic among U.S. military Veterans,<sup>32–34</sup> a population that is more likely to experience elevated rates of chronic pain and mental health comorbidities than civilians.<sup>35</sup> As in the civilian population, compared to men, women Veterans with chronic pain report pain that is more severe.<sup>34,36</sup> They are more likely to have multiple and COPCs,<sup>34,37</sup> co-occurring mental health problems,<sup>10,34</sup> and other factors that contribute to pain, including experiences of military sexual trauma (MST)<sup>38,39</sup> and childhood trauma.<sup>38,40</sup> Women Veterans are also more likely to smoke than men Veterans.<sup>41–43</sup> In addition, compared to women in the civilian population, women Veterans have greater exposure to trauma and severe sexual abuse as well as higher rates of PTSD.44

Despite recent scientific advances, there is a need for more information to inform treatment approaches aimed at reducing gender disparities in chronic pain, including research examining a broad range of potential gender differences in known contributors to and correlates of pain that are amenable to intervention (*e.g.*, smoking, alcohol, poor sleep, perceived stress, pain-related cognitions).<sup>7,45</sup> Within the Veteran population, research to address gender disparities in pain has mainly relied on small, convenience samples of women<sup>32</sup> and from data obtained from the electronic health record (EHR).<sup>33,34,37</sup> There is also a lack of evidence among treatment-seeking Veterans, as interventions to reduce chronic pain in Veteran populations generally have small samples of women and outcomes are often not broken down by gender.

The purpose of this article is to explore gender differences in pain and its contributors among U.S. military Veterans, using baseline survey and EHR data from the Learning to Apply Mindfulness to Pain (LAMP) study, and to describe the LAMP study participants. LAMP was conducted Veterans with chronic pain who receive care within the VA Health Care System (VA).

LAMP was designed to address the biopsychosocial needs of women Veterans, including barriers to treatment, and was statistically powered to examine the effects of mindfulness-based interventions (MBIs) on women.<sup>46</sup>

### **Materials and Methods**

Data for this secondary analysis were obtained from the LAMP study, a three-arm randomized pragmatic type 1 hybrid-effectiveness trial of two approaches to delivering a MBI for chronic pain. The trial was conducted within the Minneapolis, Greater Los Angeles, and Durham VA Health Care Systems. The LAMP study was approved by the VA Central Institutional Review Board before data collection (C-IRB No. 18–21). A full description of the study aims and further details about the intervention and methods can be found in our study protocol publication.<sup>46</sup>

#### Inclusion/exclusion criteria

To be included, patients had to have had two qualifying pain diagnoses within the same diagnostic category on at least two occasions, at least 90 days apart, during the previous 2 years, a pain duration of  $\geq 6$  months, a pain severity score of  $\geq 4$  during the past week on the 0–10 Numeric Rating Scale,<sup>31</sup> access to a smart phone and internet, and willingness to engage in interventionspecific procedures (*e.g.*, to meet remotely online for sessions, to download the study mobile app). Patients were excluded if they (1) had a new diagnosis of schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, or active psychosis within the past 18 months in their EHR, (2) had current psychotic symptoms, suicidality, severe depression, a manic episode, or poorly controlled bipolar disorder based on chart review, or (3) were currently enrolled in another pain study or in a Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction program.

#### Measures

#### Sociodemographic characteristics

Gender was defined based on the self-reported measure of gender, with four response options (man, woman, another gender, decline to answer). Information recorded in the legacy "birth-sex" variable was used to impute missing responses. Responses were dichotomized as "woman" or "man" in analysis due to the small number of responses in other categories. EHR data were used to assess age, marital status, rurality, and MST. Survey questions assessed race, ethnicity, household financial situation, education, employment status, and the impact of the coronavirus pandemic.<sup>47</sup>

#### Pain and mental and behavioral health diagnoses

Chronic pain and mental health diagnoses were assessed using ICD-10 codes obtained from the VA EHR in past year.<sup>46</sup> COPCs were assessed using an algorithm developed by Schrepf and colleagues (2020), which comprised the following conditions: temporomandibular disorders, fibromyalgia, irritable bowel syndrome, vulvodynia, myalgic encephalomyelitis/ chronic fatigue syndrome, urologic chronic pelvic pain syndrome, endometriosis, chronic tension-type headache, migraine headache, and chronic lower back pain.<sup>20</sup> We created a code indicating whether patients had at least two of these COPCs. Smoking status used EHR data and was based on a pre-established algorithm.<sup>48</sup>

#### Pain and functioning

Pain intensity and interference. The brief pain inventory (BPI) subscales were used to assess participant experiences of pain intensity (range, 0–10) and interference (range, 0–10) during the past week.<sup>36,37</sup> Pain interference was assessed by 7 items asking the extent to which pain interferes with general activity, mood, walking ability, normal work, relationships with other persons, sleep, and enjoyment of life on an 11-point numeric rating scale from "Does not interfere" (0) to "Completely interferes" (10). Pain intensity was assessed by 4 items asking participants to rate their worst, least, average, and current pain severity for the past 1 month on an 11-point numeric rating scale from "No pain" (0) to "Pain as bad as you can imagine" (10). A one-point change in BPI interference or intensity is considered clinically significant.<sup>49–52</sup>

High impact chronic pain (HICP) was assessed by the Graded Chronic Pain Scale-Revised, a 2-item measure that assesses pain duration and impact.<sup>53</sup> HICP is defined as the presence of pain on at least half of days in the previous 3–6 months with substantial restriction of functional participation in work, social, and self-care activities.

Functional outcomes. Physical function, anxiety, fatigue, sleep disturbance, participation in social roles, and activities were assessed by The Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System-29 v20 (PROMIS) Profile, which uses 4 items per domain assessed on a 1–5 scale.<sup>54,55</sup>

Depression was assessed using the Patient Health Questionnaire depression scale (PHQ-8) to evaluate depressive symptoms (range 0-24).<sup>39</sup> Participants indicated how often they have been bothered by eight possible symptoms over the past 2 weeks. Each item was rated "Not at all" (0), "Several days" (1), "More than half the days" (2), and "Nearly every day" (3).<sup>56</sup>

PTSD symptoms were assessed by the PTSD Checklist for Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (PCL5).<sup>57</sup> The PCL5 is a 20item self-report measure that assesses the presence and severity of PTSD symptoms over the past month (range, 0–80). Respondents are asked to rate how bothered they have been by each of the 20 items on a 5point Likert scale. Response options for all items are as follows: "Not at all" (0), "A little bit" (1), "Moderately" (2), "Quite a bit" (3), or "Extremely" (4).

Stress was assessed by the NIH Toolbox Perceived Stress Survey (PSS),<sup>58</sup> comprised 10 items from the Perceived Stress Scale that assesses how "unpredictable, uncontrollable, and overloading respondents find their lives."<sup>59</sup> Respondents respond on a 5-point scale ranging from 0 (never) to 5 (very often) to items such as ("that difficulties were piling up so high that you could not overcome them.")

Alcohol misuse was assessed by the 3-item Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT), a brief alcohol use disorder screening instrument that reliably identifies individuals who are at risk for alcohol use disorder (including alcohol abuse or dependence). It has 3 items (each scored from 0–4) asking about the frequency and amount of alcohol use.<sup>60</sup> We used validated cut points of greater than or equal to three for women and greater than or equal to four for men to classify participants as having a positive screen for alcohol consumption.

Pain Catastrophizing was assessed using the Pain Catastrophizing Scale (PCS).<sup>35</sup> The PCS is a 13-item instrument that asks respondents to reflect on past painful experiences and indicate their thoughts and feelings in response to pain (range, 0–52). Response options for all items are as follows: "Not at all" (0), "To a slight degree" (1), "To a moderate degree" (2), "To a great degree" (3), or "All the time" (4).<sup>61</sup>

Pain self-efficacy was assessed by the Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire (PSEQ), a 10-item instrument that assesses the confidence people have in performing activities while in pain. Items are assessed on a 7-point scale from 0 "Not at all confident" to 6 "Completely confident."

Mindfulness was assessed by the Applied Mindfulness Process Scale (AMPS),<sup>62</sup> a 15-item self-report measure that asks how often respondents have used mindfulness (in several different forms, such as observing thoughts in a detached manner) to cope with daily stressors over the past 7 days. Answer options are on a 4-point scale from 0 "Never" to 4 "Almost always," with higher scores indicating more frequent use of a specific mindfulness practice.

Pain treatment. Prescriptions for long-term opioid therapy and benzodiazepines over the past year were obtained from the EHR, using established definitions.<sup>63</sup> Prior nonpharmacological pain treatment and other pain treatment in the past 3 months were assessed by the Nonpharmacological and Self-Care Approaches Measure from the Pain Management Collaboratory, a 9-item instrument which evaluates multiple aspects of engagement in nonpharmacological pain management approaches.<sup>64</sup>

### Statistical analysis

We conducted descriptive analyses summarizing distributions of the baseline measures of participant demographics, pain and other health diagnoses, and self-reported functioning scores for the whole sample and by gender. For categorical variables, n's and percent were reported while means and standard deviations are reported for continuous variables, Comparisons between genders were conducted using chi-square tests for categorical variables and *t*-tests for continuous measures using a significance level of 0.05. Analyses were completed in SAS version 9.4.

#### Results

#### Study participants

Study participants were recruited from November 2020 to May 2022. Figure 1 delineates participant enrollment and follow-up. Of the 27,319 patients who were sent recruitment materials, 1945 were eligible based on the online screener. One thousand seven hundred thirty-seven of these completed the baseline survey. Nine hundred twenty-six of baseline survey completers were excluded for the following reasons: ineligible based on phone call or Zoom test (n=407), refusal (mostly due

to inability to meet at scheduled times; n = 182), not able to be contacted by phone (n = 316), or were outside of the randomization window (n = 16). Eight hundred eleven were enrolled in the trial; this comprises the sample for this study.

Sociodemographic characteristics. Agreement between gender obtained by survey self-report and the EHR legacy birth-sex variable was 99.1% for those classified as men and 98.5% for those classified as women. Compared to men, women in our sample were younger, more likely to be a member of a minoritized



group, less likely to be married, more likely to be employed, and more likely to have a 4-year or advanced degree (Table 1). Women were also more likely to have experienced MST and to report that the coronavirus pandemic adversely affected their mental and emotional health. ache, abdominal and bowel pain, orofacial, ear, and temporomandibular disorder pain, neck pain, urogenital pain, systemic disorders or diseases causing pain, and COPCs. The only pain diagnosis women were less likely to have than men was neuropathy (Table 2).

Pain diagnoses. Compared to men, women were more likely to have a number of pain diagnoses, including fibromyalgia and wide-spread muscle pain, headMental and behavioral health diagnoses. Except for substance use disorder, which was higher among men, women were more likely than men to be diagnosed with each of the mental health conditions

#### Table 1. Sociodemographic Characteristics by Gender

	Men	Women	Total	Significance
	424	387	811	
Demographics				
Age, from EHR, Mean (SD), years	58.4 (12.6)	50.5 (11.8)	54.6 (12.9)	<0.0001
Ethnicity, N (%), Hispanic/Latino	21 (5.0)	30 (7.8)	51 (6.3)	0.10
Race, N (%)	70(1cc)	120 (25 0)	200 (25 0)	<0.0001
Black of African American American Indian (Alaska Nativa	70 (16.6) 6 (1.4)	138 (35.8)	208 (25.8)	
American Indian/Alaska Native	0 (1.4) 3 (0.7)	4 (1.0)	10 (1.2) 6 (0.7)	
Native Hawaijan/Pacific Islander	J (0.7)	1 (0.3)	1 (0 1)	
White	327 (77 5)	219 (56 9)	546 (67 7)	
Multiracial	16 (3.8)	20 (5.2)	36 (4.5)	
Household financial situation $N(0)$	,			0.00
Live comfortably	134 (31.6)	114 (29 5)	248 (30.6)	0.09
Meet your basic expenses with a little left over for extras	190 (44.8)	154 (39.8)	344 (42.4)	
lust meet your basic expenses	89 (21.0)	100 (25.8)	189 (23.3)	
Don't even have enough to meet basic expenses	11 (2.6)	19 (4.9)	30 (3.7)	
Marital status from EHR $N(\%)$				<0.0001
Married	276 (65.1)	152 (39.3)	428 (52.8)	<0.0001
Divorced/separated/widowed	90 (21.2)	135 (34.9)	225 (27.7)	
Never married/single	54 (12.7)	92 (23.8)	146 (18.0)	
Unknown	4 (1.0)	8 (2.1)	12 (1.5)	
Employment status $N(\%)$				<0.0001
Working now	152 (35.9)	181 (46.8)	333 (41.1)	0.0001
Disabled	89 (21.0)	88 (22.7)	177 (21.8)	
Retired	142 (33.5)	62 (16.0)	204 (25.2)	
Other	41 (9.7)	56 (14.5)	97 (12.0)	
Education, N (%)				0.009
High school or less	36 (8.5)	17 (4.4)	53 (6.5)	
Some college	197 (46.5)	157 (40.6)	354 (43.7)	
Bachelors	109 (25.7)	112 (28.9)	221 (27.3)	
Masters+	82 (19.3)	101 (26.1)	183 (22.6)	
Rurality, from EHR, N (%)				0.27
Urban	265 (62.5)	233 (60.2)	498 (61.4)	
Rural	138 (32.6)	127 (32.8)	265 (32.7)	
Highly rural	6 (1.4)	3 (0.8)	9 (1.1)	
Military sexual trauma, from EHR	22 (5.2)	148 (38.4)	170 (21.1)	<0.0001
Impact of coronavirus pandemic				
Ability to get health care	201 (47.4)	162 (41.9)	363 (44.8)	0.11
Social support	253 (59.7)	221 (57.1)	474 (58.5)	0.46
Finances	162 (38.2)	173 (44.7)	335 (41.3)	0.06
Ability to meet basic needs	133 (31.4)	133 (34.4)	266 (32.8)	0.36
Mental and emotional health	288 (68.0)	296 (76.5)	584 (72.0)	0.007
Site, from her				< 0.0001
Durham	149 (35.1)	193 (49.9)	342 (42.2)	
Minneapolis	218 (51.4)	127 (32.8)	345 (42.5)	
Los Angeles	57 (13.4)	67 (17.3)	124 (15.3)	

Unless specified, all variables were obtained by self-report.

EHR, electronic health record; SD, standard deviation.

#### Table 2. Pain Diagnoses by Gender

	Man	Woman	Total	Significance
	424	387	811	
At least two COPCs	33 (7.8)	79 (20.4)	112 (13.8)	< 0.0001
Number of COPCs among patients with COPCs <sup>a</sup> Mean (SD)	2.15 (0.36)	2.37 (0.56)	2.30 (0.52)	0.02
Abdominal and bowel pain	59 (13.9)	73 (18.9)	132 (16.3)	0.06
Back pain	210 (49.5)	177 (45.7)	387 (47.7)	0.28
Bone infections	5 (1.2)	3 (0.8)	8 (1.0)	0.56
Fibromyalgia and wide-spread muscle pain	92 (21.7)	127 (32.8)	219 (27.0)	0.0004
Fractures, contusions, sprains, and strains	47 (11.1)	43 (11.1)	90 (11.1)	0.99
Headache	50 (11.8)	85 (22.0)	135 (16.7)	0.0001
Infectious arthritic diseases	6 (1.4)	2 (0.5)	8 (1.0)	0.20
Limb extremity pain, joint pain, and arthritic disorders	288 (67.9)	274 (70.8)	562 (69.3)	0.38
Musculoskeletal chest pain	36 (8.5)	23 (5.9)	59 (7.3)	0.16
Neck pain	99 (23.4)	114 (29.5)	213 (26.3)	0.05
Neuropathy	64 (15.1)	32 (8.3)	96 (11.8)	0.003
Orofacial, ear, and temporomandibular disorder pain	5 (1.2)	13 (3.4)	18 (2.2)	0.04
Urogenital pain	12 (2.8)	47 (12.1)	59 (7.3)	< 0.0001
Other painful conditions	54 (12.7)	55 (14.2)	109 (13.4)	0.54
Systemic disorders or diseases causing pain	12 (2.8)	24 (6.2)	36 (4.4)	0.02

All diagnoses obtained from the EHR. N (%) except when indicated.

<sup>a</sup>COPCs were composed of the following conditions: temporomandibular disorders, fibromyalgia, irritable bowel syndrome, vulvodynia, myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome, urologic chronic pelvic pain syndrome, endometriosis, chronic tension-type headache, migraine headache, and chronic lower back pain

COPCs, chronic overlapping pain conditions.

coded, including depression, anxiety, and PTSD, and were more likely to have been diagnosed with a sleep disorder (Table 3).

Pain and functioning. Women reported worse pain and functioning across all domains assessed, except alcohol use, mindfulness skills, and HICP, for which no differences were found. Specifically, women reported higher levels of pain interference, pain intensity, depression, anxiety, PTSD symptoms, fatigue, sleep disturbance and stress, greater pain catastrophizing and lower levels of pain self-efficacy and participation in social roles and activities, and were less likely to be a current smoker (Table 4).

# Table 3. Mental and Behavioral Health Diagnoses by Gender, N (%)

	Man	Woman Total		Significance	
	424	387	811		
Any mental health diagnosis	229 (54.0)	280 (72.4)	509 (62.8)	<0.0001	
Depressive disorders	133 (31.4)	191 (49.4)	324 (40.0)	< 0.0001	
Anxiety disorders	82 (19.3)	117 (30.2)	199 (24.5)	0.0003	
PTSD	84 (19.8)	122 (31.5)	206 (25.4)	0.0001	
Opioid use disorder	1 (0.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)	0.34	
Substance use disorders	42 (9.9)	19 (4.9)	61 (7.5)	0.007	
Sleep diagnoses Nicotine dependence	50 (11.8) 24 (5.7)	78 (20.2) 26 (6.7)	128 (15.8) 50 (6.2)	0.001 0.53	

All diagnoses obtained from the EHR.

PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder.

Pain treatment. Women were more likely to report using manipulation or chiropractic care, therapeutic massage, yoga, relaxation techniques, mindfulness, psychotherapy, and topical pain relievers (Table 5). There were no differences in the use of Tai Chi/ Qigong, exercise, acupuncture and in the use of opioids, benzodiazepines, spinal injections, and nonopioid medications.

#### Discussion

This study advances our understanding about gender disparities among Veterans seeking treatment for chronic pain and identifies potential ways in which treatment approaches may be better tailored to women. Many of these gender difference replicate those found in the civilian population. Women Veterans in our sample reported greater pain intensity and interference and were more likely to have a number of biopsychosocial factors known to have complex and intersecting relationships to pain. This includes poorer emotional and social functioning, higher levels of perceived stress and fatigue, greater likelihood of having a mental health disorder or sleep disorder, higher levels of pain catastrophizing, lower levels of pain self-efficacy and higher levels of trauma (MST).

However, women had several protective factors. They were more likely to use several complementary and integrative pain treatment approaches (acupuncture, manipulation/chiropractic, massage, yoga) and

#### Table 4. Pain and Functioning by Gender

	Man	Woman	Total	Significance
	424	392	811	
BPI—interference	5.38 (1.89)	5.82 (2.02)	5.59 (1.97)	0.0014
BPI—pain severity (intensity)	5.35 (1.48)	5.73 (1.60)	5.53 (1.55)	0.0006
HICP (Graded chronic pain scale-revised-Revised)	0.63 (0.48)	0.63 (0.48)	0.63 (0.48)	0.94
Physical function (PROMIS)	12.64 (3.51)	12.00 (3.19)	12.33 (3.37)	0.007
Anxiety (PROMIS)	9.27 (3.73)	10.41 (3.95)	9.81 (3.88)	< 0.0001
Fatigue (PROMIS)	12.95 (3.87)	14.52 (4.09)	13.70 (4.05)	< 0.0001
Sleep disturbance (PROMIS)	13.69 (3.71)	14.70 (3.57)	14.17 (3.68)	< 0.0001
Participation in social roles and activities (PROMIS)	10.51 (3.18)	10.11 (3.10)	10.32 (3.15)	0.07
PHQ-8 depression symptoms	8.88 (5.74)	10.29 (5.69)	9.55 (5.75)	0.0005
PTSD (PCL5)	25.94 (19.25)	28.65 (19.63)	27.24 (19.47)	0.05
Unhealthy alcohol use (AUDIT-C)	3.07 (2.11)	2.30 (1.86)	2.70 (2.03)	< 0.0001
AUDIT-C positive screen, N (%)	90 (32.0)	81 (31.2)	171 (31.6)	0.83
Smoking status, N (%)- obtained from her				< 0.0001
Current smoker	51 (12.0)	38 (9.8)	89 (11.0)	
Former smoker	195 (46.0)	110 (28.4)	305 (37.6)	
Never smoker	170 (40.1)	224 (57.9)	394 (48.6)	
Unknown	8 (1.9)	15 (3.9)	23 (2.8)	
PCS	23.64 (11.07)	21.24 (11.34)	22.40 (11.27)	0.003
PSEQ	30.57 (12.28)	32.35 (11.91)	31.49 (12.11)	0.08
Perceived stress fixed form	31.74 (3.99)	30.89 (4.08)	31.30 (4.05)	0.002
Applied mindfulness process scale	26.06 (13.74)	26.46 (14.05)	26.27 (13.89)	0.64

Unless specified, all variables were obtained by self-report. Mean (SD) except when indicated.

AUDIT-C, alcohol use disorders identification test-concise; BPI, brief pain inventory; HICP, high impact chronic pain; PCL5, 5-item PTSD checklist; PCS, Pain Catastrophizing Scale; PHQ-8, 8-item Patient Health Questionnaire; PROMIS, Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System); PSEQ, Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire.

were less likely to have a substance abuse disorder or to smoke. In contrast to prior findings,<sup>65</sup> women Veterans were no more likely to have higher rates of opioid medications and benzodiazepines than men (separately and coprescribed). cently been described as nocioplastic—chronic pain resulting from the abnormal processing of pain signals without clear evidence of tissue damage or somatosensory system pathology (previously described as functional pain syndromes),<sup>66,67</sup> such as fibromyalgia and widespread muscle pain. While these gender differences were similar to those found in civilian<sup>1,4,8</sup> and

Compared to their men, women Veterans were more likely to have COPCs and pain conditions that have re-

	Man	Women	Total	Significance
	424	387	811	
Prescription from her				
Long-term opioid therapy	101 (23.8)	91 (23.5)	192 (23.7)	0.92
Benzodiazepine	33 (7.8)	35 (9.0)	68 (8.4)	0.52
Long-term opioid and benzodiazepine	17 (4.0)	11 (2.8)	28 (3.5)	0.36
Prior nonpharmacological pain treatment in pas	t 3 months			
Acupuncture	65 (15.3)	77 (19.9)	142 (17.5)	0.09
Manipulation	132 (31.1)	150 (38.8)	282 (34.8)	0.02
Massage	148 (34.9)	189 (48.8)	337 (41.6)	< 0.0001
Yoga	61 (14.4)	101 (26.1)	162 (20.0)	< 0.0001
Tai chi/qigong	25 (5.9)	20 (5.2)	45 (5.6)	0.65
Exercise	299 (70.5)	273 (70.5)	572 (70.5)	0.99
Relaxation techniques	163 (38.4)	205 (53.0)	368 (45.4)	< 0.0001
Meditation/mindfulness	102 (24.1)	121 (31.3)	223 (27.5)	0.02
Psychotherapy/counseling	86 (20.3)	120 (31.0)	206 (25.4)	0.0005
Other pain treatment in past 3 months				
Spinal injections	45 (10.6)	45 (11.6)	90 (11.1)	0.65
Opioid medications used for pain	108 (25.5)	87 (22.5)	195 (24.0)	0.32
Nonopioid medications used for pain	346 (81.6)	325 (84.0)	671 (82.7)	0.37
Topical pain relievers	310 (73.1)	316 (81.7)	626 (77.2)	0.004

#### Table 5. Pain Treatment by Gender, N (%)

Unless specified, all variables were obtained by self-report.

Veteran populations,<sup>10,34,37</sup> the prevalence of some of the diagnoses in this treatment-seeking sample far exceeds what has been reported in epidemiological studies.<sup>34,37</sup> For example, 21.7% of men and 32.8% of women in our sample had a diagnosis of fibromyalgia.

A major strength of this study was our ability to obtain survey and electronic health data from a large, relatively balanced sample of men and women with chronic pain (including over 40% women from minoritized groups), which enabled us to examine gender differences in a wide range of pain and its contributors, grounded in a biopsychosocial model. However, there are several limitations that future studies should address. First, we did not assess participants' sexual orientation.<sup>68</sup> We also did not assess potentially important contributors to gender differences in pain, such as early life adversity, lifetime trauma, and experiences of discrimination.<sup>4</sup>

Finally, our sample differs from the larger population of people with chronic pain in important ways. For example, consistent with other studies of the VA population, compared to their men, women in our sample were more likely to belong to a minoritized group, which has been associated with more severe pain,<sup>6</sup> but also are more likely to be younger, to be employed, and to have higher levels of education, all of which have been negatively associated with pain.<sup>6,7</sup> We did not adjust for these factors in our comparisons, as our study was intended to generalize to the population of men and women Veterans with chronic pain.

These findings have clinical and policy implications for the many women Veterans affected by chronic pain. First, women Veterans may benefit from treatment approaches that address the specific psychological (e.g., pain catastrophizing and comorbid mental health conditions), biological (e.g., insomnia and other sleep disorders), and social (e.g., exposure to trauma, interference in social activities) contributors to pain that disproportionately affects them. These approaches may include evidence-based psychological treatments for pain (e.g., MBIs, cognitive behavioral therapy for pain),<sup>69</sup> multimodal approaches that include complementary and integrative approaches that have been found to be appealing to women Veterans (e.g., acupuncture, chiropractic, massage, yoga) and programs adapted to be trauma-informed. Likewise, efforts to augment existing evidence-based psychological interventions to address co-occurring concerns (e.g., trauma/ pain), and to explicitly target social functioning, may be warranted.

It is also important that women Veterans have access to recommended treatment strategies for nocioplastic pain syndromes, which prioritize nonpharmacologic approaches and which focus on reducing, versus eradicating, symptoms and improving function.<sup>67</sup> In addition, because women are more likely to experience stigmatization, due to their gender and also to the type of pain they disproportionately experience (e.g., headache, overlapping pain conditions, fibromyalgia),<sup>70,71</sup> it is critical that women are able to access providers with sufficient knowledge and training in the biopsychosocial model of pain, including in how to communicate effectively and sensitively. The importance of addressing and integrating COPCs into conceptualizations and treatment plans also will be important to ensure optimal outcomes.

As women Veterans are more likely to be younger and employed, it is important that care be delivered in ways that accommodate the demands of work and caretaking, such as programs delivered by telemedicine and outside of work hours. Telemedicine also may be desired by women Veterans, whose past experiences of MST may contribute to the avoidance of care in VA.

#### Conclusion

Among Veterans seeking treatment for chronic pain, women differed in their type of pain, had greater pain intensity and interference, and had greater prevalence and higher levels of many known biopsychosocial contributors to pain. Results point to the need for treatment approaches designed to address these genderspecific needs in the Veteran population.

### Disclaimer

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions, and recommendations are those of the authors and are not necessarily endorsed by the Department of Defense, NCCIH, OBSSR, the National Institutes of Health, and the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, or the United States Government.

#### **Author Disclosure Statement**

No competing financial interests exist.

#### **Funding Information**

The U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity, 820 Chandler Street, Fort Detrick MD 21702-5014 is the awarding and administering acquisition office. This work was supported by the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs endorsed by the Department of Defense, through the Pain Management Collaboratory - Pragmatic Clinical Trials Demonstration Projects under Award No. W81XWH-18-2-0003. Research reported in this publication was supported by Grant Number U24AT009769 from the National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health (NCCIH), and the Office of Behavioral and Social Sciences Research (OBSSR). This material is the result of work supported with resources at the Minneapolis, Durham, and Greater Los Angeles VA Medical Centers. This manuscript is a product of the NIH-DoD-VA Pain Management Collaboratory. For more information about the Collaboratory, visit https://painmanagementcollaboratory.org/

#### References

- 1. Osborne NR, Davis KD. Sex and gender differences in pain. Int Rev Neurobiol 2022;164:277–307; doi: 10.1016/bs.irn.2022.06.013
- Relieving Pain in America: A Blueprint for Transforming Prevention, Care, Education, and Research Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Advancing Pain Research, Care, and Education. National Academy of Sciences: Washington, DC; 2011.
- Umeda M, Kim Y. Gender differences in the prevalence of chronic pain and leisure time physical activity among US adults: A NHANES study. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2019;16(6):988.
- Fillingim RB. Sex, Gender, and Pain. Principles of Gender-Specific Medicine. Elsevier; 2017; pp. 481–496; doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-803506-1 .00038-3
- Hoffmann DE, Fillingim RB, Veasley C. The woman who cried pain: Do sexbased disparities still exist in the experience and treatment of pain? J Law Med Ethics 2022;50(3):519–541.
- Mullins PM, Yong RJ, Bhattacharyya N. Impact of demographic factors on chronic pain among adults in the United States. PAIN Rep 2022;7(4):e1009.
- Mills SE, Nicolson KP, Smith BH. Chronic pain: A review of its epidemiology and associated factors in population-based studies. Br J Anaesth 2019;123(2):e273–e283.
- Keogh E. Sex and gender differences in pain: Past, present, and future. Pain 2022;163(Suppl 1):S108–S116; doi: 10.1097/j.pain .00000000002738
- Unruh AM, Ritchie J, Merskey H. Does gender affect appraisal of pain and pain coping strategies? Clin J Pain 1999;15(1):31–40; doi: 10.1097/ 00002508-199903000-00006
- Stubbs D, Krebs E, Bair M, et al. Sex differences in pain and pain-related disability among primary care patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain. Pain Med 2010;11(2):232–239; doi: 10.1111/j.1526-4637.2009.00760.x
- Goulet JL, Kerns RD, Bair M, et al. The musculoskeletal diagnosis cohort: Examining pain and pain care among veterans. Pain 2016;157(8):1696– 1703; doi: 10.1097/j.pain.000000000000567
- Sullivan MJ, Tripp DA, Santor D. Gender differences in pain and pain behavior: The role of catastrophizing. Cognitive Ther Res 2000;24(1):121– 134.
- Tsang A, Von Korff M, Lee S, et al. Common chronic pain conditions in developed and developing countries: gender and age differences and comorbidity with depression-anxiety disorders. J Pain 2008;9(10):883– 891; doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2008.05.005
- 14. Unruh AM. Gender variations in clinical pain experience. Pain 1996;65 (2–3):123–167; doi: 10.1016/0304-3959(95)00214-6
- Bimpong K, Thomson K, McNamara CL, et al. The gender pain gap: Gender inequalities in pain across 19 European countries. Scand J Public Health 2022;50(2):287–294; doi: 10.1177/1403494820987466
- Keogh E, Herdenfeldt M. Gender, coping and the perception of pain. Pain 2002;97(3):195–201; doi: 10.1016/S0304-3959(01)00427-4
- 17. Ruau D, Liu LY, Clark JD, et al. Sex differences in reported pain across 11,000 patients captured in electronic medical records. J Pain 2012;13(3): 228–234; doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2011.11.002

- El-Shormilisy N, Strong J, Meredith PJ. Associations between gender, coping patterns and functioning for individuals with chronic pain: A systematic review. Pain Res Manag 2015;20(1):48–55; doi: 10.1155/2015/490610
- Mogil JS. Sex differences in pain and pain inhibition: Multiple explanations of a controversial phenomenon. Nat Rev Neurosci 2012;13(12):859– 866; doi: 10.1038/nrn3360
- Schrepf A, Phan V, Clemens JQ, et al. ICD-10 codes for the study of chronic overlapping pain conditions in administrative databases. J Pain 2020; 21(1–2):59–70.
- Maixner W, Fillingim RB, Williams DA, et al. Overlapping chronic pain conditions: Implications for diagnosis and classification. J Pain 2016; 17(9 Suppl):T93–T107; doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2016.06.002
- 22. National Academies of Sciences Engineering, and Medicine. Measuring Sex, Gender Identity, and Sexual Orientation. The National Academies Press; 2022.
- 23. Walsh CA, Jamieson E, Macmillan H, et al. Child abuse and chronic pain in a community survey of women. J Interpers Violence 2007;22(12):1536– 1554; doi: 10.1177/0886260507306484
- Brownlow JA, Klingaman EA, Miller KE, et al. Trauma type as a risk factor for insomnia in a military population. J Affect Disord 2022;308:65–70; doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2022.04.018
- 25. Aili K, Andersson M, Bremander A, et al. Sleep problems and fatigue as predictors for the onset of chronic widespread pain over a 5-and 18-year perspective. BMC Musculoskeletal Dis 2018;19(1):1–14.
- Zeng L-N, Zong Q-Q, Yang Y, et al. Gender difference in the prevalence of insomnia: A meta-analysis of observational studies. Front Psychiatry 2020; 11:577429.
- Bonvanie IJ, Oldehinkel AJ, Rosmalen JGM, et al. Sleep problems and pain: A longitudinal cohort study in emerging adults. Pain 2016;157(4):957– 963; doi: 10.1097/j.pain.000000000000466
- Dersh J, Polatin PB, Gatchel RJ. Chronic pain and psychopathology: Research findings and theoretical considerations. Psychosom Med 2002; 64(5):773–786; doi: 10.1097/01.psy.0000024232.11538.54
- Ramirez-Maestre C, Esteve R. The role of sex/gender in the experience of pain: Resilience, fear, and acceptance as central variables in the adjustment of men and women with chronic pain. J Pain 2014;15(6):608–618 e1; doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2014.02.006
- Evans EA, Herman PM, Washington DL, et al. Gender differences in use of complementary and integrative health by U.S. military veterans with chronic musculoskeletal pain. Womens Health Issues 2018;28(5):379–386; doi: 10.1016/j.whi.2018.07.003
- Goldsmith ES, MacLehose RF, Jensen AC, et al. Complementary, integrative, and nondrug therapy use for pain among US military veterans on long-term opioids. Med Care 2020;58 Suppl 2 95:S116–S124; doi: 10.1097/ MLR.00000000001333
- Haskell SG, Heapy A, Reid MC, et al. The prevalence and age-related characteristics of pain in a sample of women veterans receiving primary care. JWomen's Health 2006;15:862–869.
- Haskell SG, Ning Y, Krebs E, et al. Prevalence of painful musculoskeletal conditions in female and male veterans in 7 years after return from deployment in Operation Enduring Freedom/Operation Iraqi Freedom. Clin J Pain 2012;28(2):163–167; doi: 10.1097/AJP .0b013e318223d951
- Higgins DM, Fenton BT, Driscoll MA, et al. Gender differences in demographic and clinical correlates among veterans with musculoskeletal disorders. Womens Health Issues 2017;27(4):463–470; doi: 10.1016/j.whi .2017.01.008
- 35. Nahin RL. Severe pain in veterans: The effect of age and sex, and comparisons with the general population. J Pain 2017;18(3):247–254; doi: 10 .1016/j.jpain.2016.10.021
- Naylor JC, Wagner HR, Johnston C, et al. Pain intensity and pain interference in male and female Iraq/Afghanistan-era veterans. Womens Health Issues 25 2019;29 Suppl 1:S24–S31; doi: 10.1016/j.whi.2019.04.015
- Weimer MB, Macey TA, Nicolaidis C, et al. Sex differences in the medical care of VA patients with chronic non-cancer pain. Pain Med 2013;14(12): 1839–1847; doi: 10.1111/pme.12177
- Cichowski SB, Rogers RG, Clark EA, et al. Military sexual trauma in female veterans is associated with chronic pain conditions. Mil Med 2017;182(9): e1895–e1899; doi: 10.7205/MILMED-D-16-00393
- 39. Turner AP, Harding KA, Brier MJ, et al. Military sexual trauma and chronic pain in veterans. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2020;99(11):1020–1025; doi: 10 .1097/PHM.00000000001469

- 40. Driscoll MA, Higgins DM, Seng EK, et al. Trauma, social support, family conflict, and chronic pain in recent service veterans: does gender matter? Pain Med 2015;16(6):1101-1111; doi: 10.1111/pme.12744
- 41. Weinberger AH, Esan H, Hunt MG, et al. A review of research on smoking behavior in three demographic groups of veterans: Women, racial/ethnic minorities, and sexual orientation minorities. Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse 2016:42(3):254-268.
- 42. Farmer MM, Rose DE, Riopelle D, et al. Gender differences in smoking and smoking cessation treatment: An examination of the organizational features related to care. Womens Health Issues 2011;21(4 Suppl):S182-S189; doi: 10.1016/j.whi.2011.04.018
- 43. LaRowe LR, Ditre JW. Pain, nicotine, and tobacco smoking: Current state of the science. Pain 2020;161(8):1688.
- 44. Zinzow HM, Grubaugh AL, Monnier J, et al. Trauma among female veterans: A critical review. Trauma Violence Abuse 2007;8(4):384-400; doi: 10 .1177/1524838007307295
- 45. Buscemi V, Chang W-J, Liston MB, et al. The role of perceived stress and life stressors in the development of chronic musculoskeletal pain disorders: A systematic review. J Pain 2019;20(10):1127-1139.
- 46. Burgess DJ ER, Allen KD, Bangerter A, et al. Learning to apply mindfulness to pain (LAMP): Design for a pragmatic clinical trial of two mindfulness-based interventions for chronic pain. Pain Med 2020;21: S29-S36.
- 47. Coleman BC, Purcell N, Geda M, et al. Assessing the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on pragmatic clinical trial participants. Contemp Clin Trials 2021;111:106619.
- 48. Smoking Status. VA CIPHER Program. Available from: https:// vhacdwdwhweb100.vha.med.va.gov/phenotype/index.php/COVID-19: Smoking\_Status\_(COVID) [Last accessed: March 14, 2023].
- 49. Cleeland CS, Ryan KM. Pain assessment: Global use of the Brief Pain Inventory. Ann Acad Med Singap 1994;23(2):129-138.
- 50. Kean J, Monahan PO, Kroenke K, et al. Comparative responsiveness of the PROMIS pain interference short forms, brief pain inventory, PEG, and SF-36 bodily pain subscale. Med Care 2016;54(4):414-421; doi: 10.1097/mlr .0000000000000497
- 51. Chen CX, Kroenke K, Stump T, et al. Comparative responsiveness of the PROMIS pain interference short forms with legacy pain measures: Results from three randomized clinical trials. J Pain 2019;20(6):664-675; doi: 10 .1016/j.jpain.2018.11.010
- 52. Goldsmith ES, Taylor BC, Greer N, et al. Focused evidence review: Psychometric properties of patient-reported outcome measures for chronic musculoskeletal pain. J Gen Intern Med 2018;33(Suppl 1):61-70; doi: 10 .1007/s11606-018-4327-8
- 53. Von Korff M, DeBar LL, Krebs EE, et al. Graded chronic pain scale revised: Mild, bothersome, and high-impact chronic pain. Pain 2020;161(3):651-661; doi: 10.1097/j.pain.000000000001758
- 54. Cook KF, Jensen SE, Schalet BD, et al. PROMIS measures of pain, fatigue, negative affect, physical function, and social function demonstrated clinical validity across a range of chronic conditions. J Clin Epidemiol 2016;73:89-102; doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2015.08.038
- 55. Deyo RA, Katrina R, Buckley DI, et al. Performance of a patient reported outcomes measurement information system (PROMIS) short form in older adults with chronic musculoskeletal pain. Pain Med 2016;17(2):314-324; doi: 10.1093/pm/pnv046
- 56. Kroenke K, Strine TW, Spitzer RL, et al. The PHQ-8 as a measure of current depression in the general population. J Affect Disord 2009;114(1-3):163-173; doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2008.06.026
- 57. Bovin MJ, Marx BP, Weathers FW, et al. Psychometric properties of the PTSD checklist for diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders-Fifth Edition (PCL-5) in veterans. Psychol Assess 2016;28(11):1379-1391; doi: 10.1037/pas0000254
- 58. Kupst MJ, Butt Z, Stoney CM, et al. Assessment of stress and self-efficacy for the NIH toolbox for neurological and behavioral function. Anxiety Stress Coping 2015;28(5):531-544; doi: 10.1080/10615806.2014.994204
- 59. Cohen S, Janicki-Deverts D. Who's stressed? Distributions of psychological stress in the United States in probability samples from 1983, 2006, and 2009 1. J Appl Soc Psychol 2012;42(6):1320-1334.
- 60. Bradley KA, Bush KR, Epler AJ, et al. Two brief alcohol-screening tests From the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT): Validation in a

female veterans affairs patient population. Arch Intern Med 2003;163(7): 821-829: doi: 10.1001/archinte.163.7.821

- 61. McWilliams LA, Kowal J, Wilson KG. Development and evaluation of short forms of the pain catastrophizing scale and the pain self-efficacy questionnaire. Eur J Pain 2015;19(9):1342-1349; doi: 10.1002/ejp.665
- 62. Li MJ, Black DS, Garland EL. The applied mindfulness process scale (AMPS): A process measure for evaluating mindfulness-based interventions. Pers Individ Dif 2016;93:6-15; doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2015.10.027
- 63. Krebs EE, Clothier B, Nugent S, et al. The evaluating prescription opioid changes in veterans (EPOCH) study: Design, survey response, and baseline characteristics. PLoS One 2020;15(4):e0230751; doi: 10.1371/journal .pone.0230751
- 64. Pain Management Collaboratory. Available from: https:// painmanagementcollaboratory.org/nonpharmacological-and-self-careapproaches [Last accessed: March 14, 2023].
- 65. Oliva EM, Midboe AM, Lewis ET, et al. Sex differences in chronic pain management practices for patients receiving opioids from the Veterans Health Administration. Pain Med 2015;16(1):112-118.
- 66. Cohen SP, Vase L, Hooten WM. Chronic pain: An update on burden, best practices, and new advances. Lancet 29 2021;397(10289):2082-2097; doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00393-7
- 67. Fitzcharles MA, Cohen SP, Clauw DJ, et al. Nociplastic pain: Towards an understanding of prevalent pain conditions. Lancet 2021;397(10289): 2098-2110; doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00392-5
- 68. Logie CH, van der Merwe LLA, Scheim A. Measuring sex, gender, and sexual orientation: One step to health equity. Lancet 2022;400(10354): 715-717; doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(22)01425-8
- 69. Kligler B, Bair MJ, Banerjea R, et al. Clinical policy recommendations from the VHA state-of-the-art conference on non-pharmacological approaches to chronic musculoskeletal pain. J Gen Intern Med 2018;33(Suppl 1):16-23; doi: 10.1007/s11606-018-4323-z
- 70. De Ruddere L, Craig KD. Understanding stigma and chronic pain: A-stateof-the-art review. Pain 2016;157(8):1607-1610.
- 71. Quintner J. Why are women with fibromyalgia so stigmatized? Pain Med 2020:21:882-888

Cite this article as: Burgess DJ, Campbell EMH, Branson M, Calvert C, Evans R, Allen KD, Bangerter A, Cross LJS, Driscoll MA, Hennessy S, Ferguson JE, Friedman JK, Matthias MS, Meis LA, Polusny MA, Taylor SL, Taylor BC (2024) Exploring gender differences in veterans in a secondary analysis of a randomized controlled trial of mindfulness for chronic pain, Women's Health Reports 5:1, 82-92, DOI: 10.1089/ whr.2023.0086.

#### Abbreviations Used

- AUDIT-C = alcohol use disorders identification test-concise BPI = brief pain inventory
- COPCs = chronic overlapping pain conditions DSM = Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental
- Disorders, Fifth Edition EHR = electronic health record
- HICP = high impact chronic pain
- LAMP = learning to apply mindfulness to pain
- $\mathsf{MBIs} = \mathsf{mindfulness}\mathsf{-}\mathsf{based} \ \mathsf{interventions}$
- MST = military sexual trauma PCS = Pain Catastrophizing Scale
- PHQ-8 = Patient Health Questionnaire Depression Scale PCL5 = PTSD checklist for DSM-5
- $\label{eq:PROMISE} \mathsf{PROMISE} = \mathsf{Patient}\text{-}\mathsf{Reported} \ \mathsf{Outcomes} \ \mathsf{Measurement} \ \mathsf{Information}$ System
  - PTSD = post-traumatic stress disorder
    - SD = standard deviation
  - VA = Veterans Health Administration