Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

THE ROTATIONAL INERTIA OF NUCLEI

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7fx645rb>

Author

Deleplanque, M.A.

Publication Date

1982-07-01

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Submitted to Physical Review Letters

THE ROTATIONAL INERTIA OF NUCLEI

M.A. Deleplanque, H.J. Körner, H. Kluge,
A.O. Macchiavelli, N. Bendjaballah,
R.M. Diamond, and F.S. Stephens

July 1982

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

AUG 23 1982

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

LBL-14056
c.2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

The Rotational Inertia of Nuclei*

M. A. Deleplanque, H. J. Körner,^{a)} H. Kluge,^{b)} A. O. Macchiavelli,^{c)}
N. Bendjaballah,^{d)} R. M. Diamond, and F. S. Stephens

Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720

Abstract:

A new method to determine dynamic effective moments of inertia at high rotational frequencies is presented. The very large values found at the highest spins ($50-55\hbar$) in some Er and Yb nuclei are most likely explained by the alignment of high- j proton orbitals, and could imply triaxial shapes.

*This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Division of Nuclear Physics of the Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.

In a rotational nucleus angular momentum is usually generated either by collective rotation or by alignment of single particle angular momentum along the rotation axis. The competition of these two modes can be studied by measuring different types of moment of inertia. The kinematic moment of inertia,[†] $\mathcal{J}^{(1)} = I/\omega$, is related to the overall motion of the nucleus. However, $\mathcal{J}^{(1)}$ does not necessarily describe the response of the system to a torque. That response is related to the differential quantity $dI/d\omega$ which is called a dynamic moment of inertia $\mathcal{J}^{(2)}$, and is the rate of change in spin with frequency. When there are changes in the internal structure of the nucleus, such as alignment of single particle angular momentum, I/ω will be different from $dI/d\omega$, reflecting the fact that the nuclear motion is not the simple rotation of a rigid body. These two types of moments of inertia can be defined for any sequence of levels. The collective rotation generates bands, and it is therefore natural to define moments of inertia within a band as $\mathcal{J}_{\text{band}}^{(2)} = (dI/d\omega)_{\text{band}}$. It can be measured experimentally either from discrete lines or from γ - γ correlation studies¹. However, a decay path consists of a succession of such bands having different particle alignments. It is then also natural to define effective moments of inertia as $\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)} = (dI/d\omega)_{\text{path}}$, which include both collective effects and particle alignment. $\mathcal{J}_{\text{band}}^{(2)}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}$ are complementary and are the only two moments of inertia presently measurable in the

[†]Except when numerical values are involved, we use units where $\hbar=1$.

continuum region. Their comparison gives the increase in alignment Δi in a frequency region where the total change of spin is ΔI :
 $\Delta i / \Delta I = 1 - \frac{\mathcal{J}_{\text{band}}^{(2)}}{\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}} \mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(1)}$, the integral of $\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}$, varies much more smoothly than $\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}$ and is only sensitive to general properties like mass, shape and pairing correlations.

Both $\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}$ can be determined from the detection of unresolved (continuum) γ -rays emitted after compound nucleus reactions, in which case they are averaged over many decay paths. The first one has been determined by a "centroid method"² from the evolution of the γ -ray spectra obtained in coincidence with slices of increasing total γ -ray energy (spin) detected in a "sum crystal". However, $\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(1)}$ is thereby averaged over a wide range of spins corresponding typically to $\sim 50\%$ of the mean entry spin. The value of $\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}$ is related to the height of the γ -ray spectrum (normalized to the γ -ray multiplicity). Since, in a rotational nucleus, the transitions are predominantly stretched E2, their number dN is $\approx dI/2$, and the spectrum height H is $dN/dE_{\gamma} \approx dI/4d\omega \approx \mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}/4$. In this case $\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}$ is obtained for a spread of frequencies essentially determined by the NaI resolution, which is about 5% in the 0.5 MeV frequency region. But H is a measure of $dI/4d\omega$ only in the frequency region which is fully populated, i.e. up to about 0.35 MeV.

In this letter, we present a way to correct these spectra for the feeding. It will allow the determination of $\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}$ at frequencies up to about 0.7 MeV in rotational nuclei, far higher and with much better resolution than previously possible. We take

the direct feeding (or feeding curve) corresponding to a certain sum slice to be df/dI , so that the total feeding at spin I_1 is

$\int_{I_1}^{\infty} (df/dI) dI$, normalized to $\int_0^{\infty} (df/dI) dI=1$. Assuming stretched E2 transitions, the height of the spectrum at spin I_1 is $g(I_1) = 1/2 \int_{I_1}^{\infty} (df/dI) dI$. Then the observed spectrum at ω_1 , is $h(\omega_1) = J_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}(\omega_1) g(I_1)$. Assuming the next sum slice has a similar feeding pattern, slightly shifted in spin by a "step" ΔI , its observed spectrum is $h_{\Delta}(\omega_1) = 1/2 J_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}(\omega_1) \int_{I_1-\Delta I}^{\infty} (df/dI) dI$, and the difference of these two spectra at a frequency ω_1 , is $\Delta h(\omega_1) = 1/2 J_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}(\omega_1) \Delta I df/dI(I_1)$. If, on the average, the angular momentum I varies monotonically with frequency (which is true³ in rotational nuclei), so that the population above spin I_1 is also above the frequency ω_1 , then $\frac{df}{dI}(I_1) = \frac{df}{d\omega}(\omega_1) \frac{d\omega}{dI}(\omega_1) = \frac{1}{J_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}(\omega_1)} \frac{df}{d\omega}(\omega_1)$ and $\Delta h(\omega_1) = 1/2 \Delta I df/d\omega(\omega_1)$, the feeding curve (as a function of ω) multiplied by a constant step size ΔI which cancels out in the normalization. Having determined $df/d\omega$ from the difference spectrum $\Delta h(\omega)$ (non-zero between $\omega_{\min}$ and $\omega_{\max}$), one can correct $h(\omega)$ to obtain the "true" spectrum $H(\omega)$ corresponding to the full feeding:

$$H(\omega) = h(\omega) \frac{\int_{\omega_{\min}}^{\omega_{\max}} \frac{df}{d\omega} d\omega}{\int_{\omega}^{\omega_{\max}} \frac{df}{d\omega} d\omega} \quad (1)$$

for $\omega_{\min} < \omega < \omega_{\max}$, and $H(\omega) = h(\omega)$ for $\omega < \omega_{\min}$. Typically $\omega_{\min}$ is of order 0.35 MeV and $\omega_{\max}$ is about 0.8 MeV, so that this method can double the range of frequencies for which $J_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}$ can be deduced.

The method has been tested with a model program³ that generates spectra from gaussian spin slices (comparable to the experimental ones), and then treats them exactly like the data. For both a constant and a variable moment of inertia, a spin step of 5-10%, gives results that reproduce the input moment of inertia within about the same percentage. In practice this condition is easily fulfilled by taking sum slices whose edges increase by sufficiently small steps. Both the model program and a simple analytically solvable case of constant feeding between $\omega_{\min}$ and $\omega_{\max}$, show that when the widths of the feeding curves decrease with increasing slice number (spin) the method is not exact, but the approximation is acceptable when the lower spectrum is corrected. Examples of both spectra and difference spectra are given in ref. 2.

Three different rotational systems have been studied at the 88" cyclotron of the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory:
 $^{130}\text{Te} + ^{40}\text{Ar}$, $^{126}\text{Te} + ^{40}\text{Ar}$, $^{124}\text{Sn} + ^{40}\text{Ar}$ at 185 MeV.
These lead essentially to $^{166,165}\text{Yb}$, $^{162,161}\text{Yb}$ and $^{160,159}\text{Er}$, respectively. The targets were all lead backed, which stops the beam with very little background and prevents the attenuation of the product angular distributions by hyperfine fields. The total energy was recorded in two 33 cm diameter x 20 cm thick sum crystals whose axes were vertical and centered on the target and whose front faces were 1.9 cm

away from the target. The γ -ray spectra were recorded in seven 12.7 x 15.2 cm NaI detectors in coincidence with the sum crystals. One NaI was at 90° to the beam, the other six were as close as possible to 0° or 180°. A Ge(Li) detector at 90°, also in coincidence with the sum crystals, identified the reaction products for different total γ -ray energies. For each sum slice considered (properly corrected for solid angle), the unfolded γ -ray spectra from all NaI detectors were added and normalized to their multiplicity (measured from the ratio of coincidences to sum-crystal singles). A statistical spectrum of the form $E_\gamma^3 e^{-E_\gamma/T}$, with $T = 0.5$ MeV and normalized in the 2.4 to 4 MeV region, was then subtracted. The remaining yrast part of the spectrum was corrected for feeding as outlined above. The experimental 0/90° ratio was then used to obtain the isotropic corrected spectrum which is directly proportional to $\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}$. Pile-up effects in the NaI detectors are less than 5% in the frequency region of interest and therefore have been neglected.

Figure 1a shows three different $\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}$ spectra as a function of frequency for the $^{126}\text{Te} + ^{40}\text{Ar}$ system. They correspond to various differences of γ -ray spectra, all with steps of 7 to 8% of their width: two are deduced from low-spin slices (triangles) and high-spin slices (squares), and the third (circles) covers both ranges. They are in remarkable agreement, although the feeding corrections at a given frequency are quite different for these various spectra. In

general, one does not necessarily expect these curves to be identical since the de-excitation pathways might differ and in fact the curves do differ at low frequencies due to the formation of different product nuclei. In the present cases, the paths followed at higher frequencies are similar enough to give consistent values for different entry spins, and to give confidence in the validity of the method.

The peaks at 0.22 and 0.26 MeV in Fig. 1a are the known discrete lines in the product nuclei $^{162,161}\text{Yb}$. The first backbend around 0.3 MeV in the even ^{162}Yb nucleus also shows up as a peak since several transitions are piled up near the same frequency. Such an alignment generates a large amount of angular momentum in a small frequency range. The blocked backbend in ^{161}Yb is also seen as a small bump around 0.35 MeV.

Beyond the known discrete lines, the most impressive feature in Fig. 1a is the large rise of $\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}$ starting at a frequency of 0.5 MeV and continuing up to the highest ones measurable around 0.7 MeV. Such an increase is most likely to be produced either by a shape change or by some alignment effects, or both. Figure 1b shows a moment of inertia spectrum for $^{162,161}\text{Yb}$ which is an average of the three curves drawn in fig. 1a. It is compared to similar average curves for $^{166,165}\text{Yb}$ and $^{160,159}\text{Er}$. The behavior of $\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}$ is essentially identical at high frequencies for $^{166,165}\text{Yb}$ and $^{162,161}\text{Yb}$. In $^{160,159}\text{Er}$, it rises more slowly and only above $\omega=0.6$ MeV.

^{160}Er and ^{162}Yb , which have the same number of neutrons, behave very differently; whereas the two Yb systems, which differ by 4 neutrons, are similar at high frequencies. This suggests that the protons are playing the more important role, probably populating aligned $i_{13/2}$ and $h_{9/2}$ orbitals which are coming down to the Fermi level at these frequencies, as calculated by several groups⁴⁻⁵. The thin dashed line shows the collective contribution $\mathcal{J}_{\text{band}}^{(2)}$ in $^{166,165}\text{Yb}$ deduced from the known discrete lines up to $\omega \approx 0.3$ MeV and from the measured correlation spectra at higher frequencies.⁶ The latter $\mathcal{J}_{\text{band}}^{(2)}$ values are somewhat tentative but seem to drop at the frequency where $\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}$ becomes larger, suggesting that an increasing proportion of the angular momentum is generated by aligning particles. The thin solid line shows $\mathcal{J}_{\text{band}}^{(2)}$ obtained¹ for $^{160,159}\text{Er}$. It has the same tendency, though to a lesser extent.

The filling of these high- j orbitals could also trigger an increase in deformation or a change of shape. A larger deformation might be expected to favor collective rotation over alignment, whereas the reverse would generally be true for a shift toward triaxial shapes. Thus, the increased alignment discussed above and the lack of strong rotational features (valley and ridges) in the correlation spectra at these frequencies, may suggest triaxial shapes. The filling of the $i_{13/2}$ and $h_{9/2}$ proton particle states (in contrast to the previously filled $i_{13/2}$ quasineutron and $h_{11/2}$ quasiproton orbitals which are mixed particle-hole states) would tend to drive the system triaxial.

From the $\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}$ values, $\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(1)}$ can be calculated:

$$\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(1)}(\omega_1) = (1/\omega_1) \int_0^{\omega_1} \mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}(\omega) d\omega + I_C/\omega_1 \quad (2)$$

This, however, involves estimating I_C , the spin lost below $\omega = 0.15$ MeV (the spectrum cut off), and some contribution from the statistical γ -rays, and is therefore much less certain in the low frequency region. These spectra are shown in Fig. 2 for the different systems considered, together with values deduced using the centroid method. Much of the detailed structure of the $\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}$ spectrum is lost in the $\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(1)}$ spectrum. The increase at low frequencies is due both to the Coriolis antipairing and to the first backbend. The values deduced from the centroid method are systematically higher by 5 to 10%. Part of this can be accounted for by systematic effects in this method due to the narrowing of the difference spectra with increasing spin. It might also be partly associated with temperature effects, since the centroid method always involves states at higher temperatures.

The determination of dynamic effective moments of inertia to much higher frequencies provides some new insights into nuclear behavior at very high spins. The observed values at high spins are very large, approaching twice the rigid-sphere value. The evidence suggests that these are due largely to alignment of proton orbitals, probably $i_{13/2}$ and $h_{9/2}$. The observed features would be consistent with increasing triaxiality at these spins (50-55h) although other possibilities certainly exist.

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Division of Nuclear Physics of the Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.

References

- a) Permanent address: Physik Department, Technische Universität, München, West Germany.
 - b) Permanent address: Hahn-Meitner Institute, Berlin, D-1000 Berlin 38, West Germany.
 - c) Permanent address: Comisión Nacional De Energía Atómica, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
 - d) Permanent address: C.S.T.N., B. P. 1017, Alger, Algeria.
-
- 1. M. A. Deleplanque et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 172 (1980)
 - 2. H. J. Körner et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 490 (1979).
 - 3. M. A. Deleplanque, I. Y. Lee, F. S. Stephens, R. M. Diamond, and M. M. Aleonard, Phys. Rev. Lett 40, 629 (1978).
 - 4. G. Leander, Y. S. Chen, and B. S. Nilsson, Physica Scripta 24, 164 (1981).
 - 5. S. Frauendorf, Physica Scripta 24, 349 (1981).
 - 6. M. A. Deleplanque, Physica Scripta 24, 158 (1981).

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. a) $\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}$ as a function of $\hbar\omega$ for the system $^{126}\text{Te}+^{40}\text{Ar}$, (see text). In each of these plots, the filled symbols show the location of 50% and 25% feeding; spectra are not plotted beyond the 25% feeding point.

b) Average of plots similar to those in fig. 1a for the systems $^{124}\text{Sn}+^{40}\text{Ar}$ (thick solid line), $^{126}\text{Te}+^{40}\text{Ar}$ (dotted line), $^{130}\text{Te}+^{40}\text{Ar}$ (thick dashed line). Also shown are some values of $\mathcal{J}_{\text{band}}^{(2)}$ for $^{124}\text{Sn}+^{40}\text{Ar}$ (thin solid lines) and $^{130}\text{Te}+^{40}\text{Ar}$ (thin dashed lines).

Fig. 2. Plots of $\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(1)}$ as a function of $\hbar\omega$, deduced from the centroid method (symbols) and $\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}$ integral (lines) for the following systems: $^{124}\text{Sn}+^{40}\text{Ar}$ (∇ , solid line), $^{126}\text{Te}+^{40}\text{Ar}$ (+, dotted line), $^{130}\text{Te}+^{40}\text{Ar}$ ($\diamond$, dashed line).

XBL 823-233

Fig. 1

XBL 823-232

Fig. 2

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720