UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Long-Acting Reversible Contraception Initiation With a 2- to 3-Week Compared With a 6-Week Postpartum Visit

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7g0578gp

Journal Obstetrics and Gynecology, 130(4)

ISSN

0029-7844

Authors

Chen, Melissa J Hou, Melody Y Hsia, Jennifer K <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2017-10-01

DOI

10.1097/aog.000000000002246

Peer reviewed

Long-Acting Reversible Contraception Initiation With a 2- to 3-Week Compared With a 6-Week Postpartum Visit

Melissa J. Chen, MD, MPH, Melody Y. Hou, MD, MPH, Jennifer K. Hsia, MD, MPH, Catherine D. Cansino, MD, MPH, Juliana Melo, MD, MSCS, and Mitchell D. Creinin, MD

OBJECTIVE: To evaluate whether a department policy changing the scheduling of the postpartum visit from 6 weeks to 2–3 weeks after delivery is associated with higher long-acting reversible contraception initiation at the postpartum visit.

METHODS: We conducted a quasiexperimental beforeafter study to evaluate long-acting reversible contraception initiation, specifically an intrauterine device or contraceptive implant, at the postpartum visit between women scheduled for follow-up at 6 weeks (before

Supported by the Society of Family Planning.

The project described was supported by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, National Institutes of Health (NIH), through grant number UL1 TR001861, for use of REDCap. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

Presented as a poster at the North American Forum on Family Planning, November 5–7, 2016, Denver, Colorado.

The authors thank Aubrey Blanton, MPH, for her assistance with recruiting participants, performing chart review, and reaching participants for 3- and 6-month follow-up phone calls.

Each author has indicated that he or she has met the journal's requirements for authorship.

Corresponding author: Melissa J. Chen, MD, MPH, 4860 Y Street, Suite 2500, Sacramento, CA 95817; email: mejchen@ucdavis.edu.

Financial Disclosure

Dr. Melo is currently a Merck Nexplanon trainer and has received compensation for leading the Nexplanon Clinical Training Program in the past. She has also been a consultant to Ipas for clinical review of Mozambique Standards and Guidelines for Safe Abortion Care. Dr. Creinin receives speaking honoraria from Allergan and Merck & Co, serves on an Advisory Board for Merck & Co, and is a consultant for Estetra, Health Decisions, and Medicines360. The Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of California, Davis, receives research funding for contraceptive clinical trials from Contramed, Medicines360, Merck & Co, NIH/Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, and the Society of Family Planning. The other authors did not report any potential conflicts of interest.

© 2017 by The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved. ISSN: 0029-7844/17 policy change) and 2–3 weeks after delivery (after policy change). Secondary outcomes included postpartum visit completion, overall contraception initiation at the postpartum visit, overall contraceptive use at 6 months after delivery, and repeat pregnancies by 6 months postpartum. We obtained delivery and postpartum information using the electronic medical record and contacted participants 3 and 6 months after delivery to assess contraception use and repeat pregnancies.

RESULTS: We enrolled 586 participants between December 2014 and November 2015, of whom 512 women (256 in each cohort) continued to meet eligibility criteria after delivery. Long-acting reversible contraception initiation rates at the postpartum visit were lower in the 2- to 3week (16.5%, 95% CI 12.2-21.8) compared with the 6week group (31.1%, 95% CI 25.2-37.7, P<01), primarily as a result of patient and health care provider preferences for delaying intrauterine device insertion to a later visit. More women completed a scheduled 2- to 3-week postpartum visit (90.2%, 95% CI 86.0-93.3) compared with a 6week visit (81.6%, 95% CI 76.4-85.9, P<.01). Deferral of any contraception initiation was higher in the 2- to 3-week group (27.3%, 95% CI 21.9-33.4) compared with the 6week group (15.8%, 95% CI 11.5-21.4, P<.01), but there were no differences in overall contraceptive use patterns at 6 months postpartum. No intrauterine device perforations or expulsions were observed in women who underwent insertion at 2–3 weeks postpartum. Five pregnancies were reported in each cohort by 6 months after delivery.

CONCLUSION: Scheduling a visit at 2–3 weeks after delivery was not associated with increased long-acting reversible contraception initiation at this visit despite higher postpartum visit attendance.

(Obstet Gynecol 2017;0:1–7) DOI: 10.1097/AOG.000000000002246

The optimal timing of postpartum long-acting reversible contraception (LARC) initiation, especially intrauterine device (IUD) insertion, remains uncertain.

VOL. 0, NO. 0, MONTH 2017

OBSTETRICS & GYNECOLOGY 1



From the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of California, Davis, Sacramento, California.

Attendance rates at the 6-week postpartum visit are variable with as many as 33% of prenatal care recipients not returning for postpartum care.¹ Postplacental IUD insertion, which refers to IUD insertion within 10 minutes of placental delivery, is one potential way to decrease rapid repeat pregnancies.² However, expulsion rates are as high as 27% at 6 months postpartum with postplacental IUD insertion compared with 1-4% with interval insertion at 4 to 6 weeks after delivery.³⁻⁷

A postpartum visit at 2–3 weeks after delivery presents another potential opportunity for IUD insertion. Recent studies have demonstrated the feasibility and acceptability of IUD insertion at 2 and 3 weeks postdelivery with expulsion rates of 3–4% at 6 months postpartum.^{8,9} Women are more likely to attend an earlier postpartum visit within 3 weeks after delivery,¹⁰ and this increased attendance creates earlier opportunities for contraceptive counseling and LARC provision. Furthermore, an earlier postpartum visit allows for timely assessment of the physical and emotional well-being of mothers, opportunity to address breastfeeding, and time to reschedule missed appointments.¹¹

Given these potential benefits, the University of California, Davis Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology planned to implement a policy to change the timing of the scheduled postpartum visit from 6 weeks to 2–3 weeks after delivery. We designed this study to coincide with the planned policy change to assess postpartum health outcomes, primarily LARC initiation, with an earlier visit.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this quasiexperimental before–after study, we evaluated outcomes in women enrolled before and after the department implemented a clinic policy to change the timing of the scheduled postpartum visit from 6 weeks to 2–3 weeks after delivery. We consented women to participate in a prospective study assessing postpartum health outcomes over 6 months after delivery. The University of California, Davis institutional review board approved this study.

We approached women receiving prenatal care at the two Sacramento campus clinics for study participation. We included women if they were 28 weeks of gestation or greater at the time of enrollment, planning to deliver and return for postpartum care at one of these two clinics, and planning to delay subsequent pregnancy for at least 1 year. We excluded women who required assisted reproductive technologies to achieve the index pregnancy or planned vasectomy as their postpartum contraceptive method.

After informed consent, we obtained baseline demographic and medical information. We obtained

delivery information through chart review in the electronic medical record. After each participant's delivery, we excluded women who received sterilization or hysterectomy before their postpartum visit, had an IUD or implant placed during the delivery hospitalization, or did not deliver at our institution. We collected postpartum visit information through chart review and called participants at 3 and 6 months after delivery to complete a 10- to 15-minute telephone questionnaire assessing general health issues, contraception use, satisfaction with the timing of the postpartum visit, breastfeeding status, repeat pregnancies, and pediatrician visit completion. Participants did not receive any remuneration for participation.

The primary outcome of the overall study was LARC initiation at the postpartum visit. Secondary outcomes included postpartum visit completion, LARC use at 3 and 6 months postpartum, complications with IUD insertion at 2-3 weeks and 6 weeks after delivery, overall contraception initiation at the postpartum visit and contraceptive use over 6 months, satisfaction with timing of the postpartum visit, breastfeeding continuation rates at 3 and 6 months postpartum, and pediatrician visit completion. In this article, we focus on findings related to contraceptive use, including the primary outcome of LARC initiation at the postpartum visit and secondary outcomes of postpartum visit follow-up rates by 12 weeks after delivery, overall contraception initiation at the postpartum visit, resumption of intercourse before the postpartum visit, participant-reported contraceptive use at 6 months postpartum, and repeat pregnancies by 6 months postpartum.

We estimated the sample size based on the primary outcome of the proportion of women who initiated LARC at the postpartum visit. Institutional data review for the 3 months preceding study development demonstrated a baseline LARC initiation rate of 15.1% at the postpartum visit. Assuming a 66% increase in LARC initiation to 25% among women scheduled for an earlier postpartum visit, we estimated a sample size of 256 participants per group using an α of 0.05 and power of 0.80.

The department implemented the policy change in June 2015 once 256 participants had delivered and did not meet postdelivery exclusion criteria. We continued enrolling women into the study after the policy change until 256 participants met criteria for continued study participation postdelivery. Before implementing the policy change, the department held meetings with physicians, nurses, and administrative support staff to provide education on the expected changes associated with an earlier postpartum visit.

OBSTETRICS & GYNECOLOGY



Department physicians agreed to provide comprehensive postpartum care at 2–3 weeks after delivery, including offering LARC insertions.

We used REDCap electronic data system for data management¹² and SPSS 24 to perform descriptive statistics and comparisons between groups with χ^2 and Fisher exact tests for categorical outcomes and Student *t* tests for continuous outcomes. We considered a *P* value of <.05 as significant.

RESULTS

We recruited women for study participation between December 2014 and November 2015. We enrolled 586 participants, of whom 74 did not meet all eligibility criteria (Fig. 1). There were no differences in the completion of telephone follow-up at 3 months (86.3%, 6-week group; 86.3%, 2- to 3-week group; P=1.0) and 6 months after delivery (73.8% and 78.5%, respectively, P=.25). Baseline demographic and obstetric characteristics did not differ between the two cohorts, except for age (Table 1).

Both groups demonstrated similar desire for LARC at the postpartum visit, but women in the 2- to 3-week group had lower rates of LARC initiation at the initial postpartum visit compared with those in the 6-week group (Table 2). Patient and health care provider preferences accounted for more delays in IUD insertion at 2–3 weeks (84.6%, 95% CI 70.3–92.8) compared with 6 weeks postpartum (33.3%, 95% CI 16.3–56.3, P<.01) (Table 3). By 6 months postpartum, there were no differences in type of contraceptive method used between both groups with most women relying on a LARC method (Table 4).

More women attended their postpartum visit when scheduled at 2–3 weeks after delivery (90.2%, 95% CI 86.0–93.3) compared with 6 weeks after delivery (81.6%, 95% CI 76.4–85.9, P<.01). The median number of days between delivery and postpartum visit attendance was 43 days (range 16–63 days) in women scheduled for a 6-week visit and 18 days (range 8–70 days) in those scheduled for 2–3 weeks postpartum. More couples resumed intercourse before the postpartum visit in the 6-week group (16.1%, 95% CI 11.3–22.3) compared with the 2- to 3-week group (3.3%, 95% CI 1.4–7.2, P<.01).

No IUD perforations occurred based on participant report and chart abstraction. Among 38 women in the 6-week cohort who had an IUD inserted within 56 days after delivery with follow-up through 6 months postpartum, one participant requested removal of her



Fig. 1. Participant flow diagram for women scheduled for 6-week and 2- to 3-week postpartum visits. *In this quasiexperimental before–after study, the postpartum scheduling policy change took place once 256 women met postdelivery criteria in the 6-week cohort. Enrollment continued until 256 women met postdelivery criteria in the 2- to 3-week cohort.

Chen. Earlier Postpartum Visit and Contraception. Obstet Gynecol 2017.

VOL. 0, NO. 0, MONTH 2017

Chen et al Earlier Postpartum Visit and Contraception 3



Age (y) 28.9 \pm 5.2 30.3 \pm 5.5 <.01	Characteristic	6 Wk Visit (n=256)	2–3 Wk Visit (n=256)	P
Hispanic ethnicity 74 (28.9) 71 (27.7) 85 Race	Age (y)	28.9±5.2	30.3 ± 5.5	<.01*
Race 58 White 171 (66.8) 169 (66.0) 58 Black 24 (9.4) 27 (10.5) 7 Asian 31 (12.1) 35 (13.7) 50 Native American and Pacific Islander 14 (5.5) 7 (2.7) 7 Other ³ 16 (6.3) 18 (7.0) 7 Did not complete high school 15 (5.9) 21 (8.2) 7 Jif not complete high school 16 (2.4) 30 (14.1) 39 (15.2) Some college 84 (32.8) 77 (27.7) 6 College graduate 59 (23.0) 77 (30.1) 6 Graduate school 62 (24.2) 48 (18.8) 7 Work status 7 7 7 7 Hultime 120 (46.9) 131 (51.2) 7 7 Rutilitary 14 (5.5) 13 (5.1) 7 7 Unemployed 40 (15.6) 31 (12.1) 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Hispanic ethnicity	74 (28.9)	71 (27.7)	.85 ⁺
White 171 (66.8) 169 (60.0) Black 24 (9.4) 27 (10.5) Asian 31 (12.1) 35 (13.7) Native American and Pacific Islander 14 (5.5) 7 (2.7) Other ³ 16 (6.3) 18 (7.0) 7 Did not complete high school 15 (5.9) 21 (8.2) 7 Did not complete high school 6 (14.1) 39 (15.2) 7 Some college 84 (32.8) 71 (27.7) 6 College graduate 59 (23.0) 77 (30.1) 6 Graduate school 6.2 (24.2) 48 (18.8) 7 Vork status 7 7 7 7 Full-time 120 (46.9) 131 (51.2) 7 Part-time 35 (13.7) 34 (13.3) 7 Unemployed 40 (15.6) 11 (2.1) 7 Holic 70 (27.5) 77 (30.2) 7 Public 70 (27.5) 77 (30.2) 7 Public 70 (27.5) 77 (30.2) 7 Private	Race			.58 [‡]
Black 24 (9.4) 27 (10.5) Asian 31 (12.1) 35 (13.7) Native American and Pacific Islander 14 (5.5) 7 (2.7) Other ⁶ 16 (6.3) 18 (7.0) 17 Did not complete high school 15 (5.9) 21 (8.2) 17 Did not complete high school 16 (14.1) 39 (15.2) 50 Some college 84 (32.8) 77 (27.7) 50 College graduate 59 (23.0) 77 (30.1) 67 Graduate school 62 (24.2) 48 (18.8) 78 Work status 78 74 74 Full-time 120 (46.9) 31 (51.2) 79 Part-time 35 (13.7) 34 (13.3) 79 Unemployed 40 (15.6) 31 (12.1) 70 Holmser Student 16 (6.3) 14 (5.5) 70 Private 171 (67.1) 165 (64.7) 70 Military 14 (5.5) 31 (51.1) 70 Rationship status 70 (27.8) 20 (7.8) 20 (7.8)	White	171 (66.8)	169 (66.0)	
Asian 31 (12.1) 35 (13.7) Native American and Pacific Islander 14 (5.5) 7 (2.7) Other ⁴ 16 (6.3) 18 (7.0) Education $(7.2,7)$	Black	24 (9.4)	27 (10.5)	
Native American and Pacific Islander 14 (5.5) 7 (2.7) Other [§] 16 (6.3) 18 (7.0) Did not complete high school 15 (5.9) 21 (8.2) High school graduate 36 (14.1) 39 (15.2) Some college 84 (32.8) 71 (27.7) College graduate 59 (23.0) 77 (30.1) Graduate school 62 (24.2) 48 (18.8) Work satus	Asian	31 (12.1)	35 (13.7)	
Others ⁶ 16 (6.3) 18 (7.0) Education	Native American and Pacific Islander	14 (5.5)	7 (2.7)	
Education 15 15 16.0 17 Did not complete high school 15 15 19 21 (8.2) Some college 36 (14.1) 39 (15.2) 50 Some college 84 (32.8) 77 (27.7) 50 College graduate 59 (23.0) 77 (30.1) 57 Graduate school 62 (24.2) 48 (18.8) 78 Full-time 120 (46.9) 131 (51.2) 78 Full-time 120 (46.9) 31 (12.1) 78 Hull-time 156 31 (12.1) 79 Public 70 (27.5) 77 (30.2) 79 Public 70 (27.5) 77 (30.2) 74 Relationship status 56 57 20 78 Single 20 (7.8) 26 (10.2) 78 Partnered, Iving with partner 21 72 (28.10) 78 Single 20 (7.8) 3	Other [§]	16 (6.3)	18 (7.0)	
Did not complete high school15 (5.9)21 (8.2)High school graduate36 (14.1)39 (15.2)Some college84 (32.8)71 (27.7)College graduate59 (23.0)77 (30.1)Graduate school62 (24.2)48 (18.8)Work status -78 Full-lime120 (46.9)131 (51.2)Part-time35 (13.7)34 (13.3)Unemployed40 (15.6)46 (18.0)Full-time student16 (6.3)14 (5.5)Insurance -79 Public70 (27.5)77 (30.2)Private171 (67.1)155 (64.7)Military14 (5.5)13 (5.1)Relationship status -56 Single20 (7.8)20 (7.8)Partnered, Inving with partner218 (85.5)210 (82.0)Partnered, not living with partner17 (6.7)20 (7.8)191 (35.5)69 (27.0)18 (7.0)272 (28.1)79 (30.9)18 (7.0)272 (28.1)79 (30.9)18 (7.0)272 (28.1)79 (30.9)18 (7.0)272 (28.1)79 (30.9)18 (7.0)272 (28.1)79 (30.9)18 (7.0)272 (28.1)79 (30.9)18 (7.0)272 (28.1)79 (30.9)18 (7.0)184 (32.8)83 (32.4)19 (5.0)26 (10.2)26 (10.2)26 (10.2)3 or more12 (4.7)18 (7.0)16 (5.0)184 (32.8)83 (32.4)10 (7.6) <td>Education</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>.17[‡]</td>	Education			.17 [‡]
High school graduate36 (14.1)39 (15.2)Some college84 (32.8)71 (27.7)College graduate59 (23.0)77 (30.1)Graduate school62 (24.2)48 (18.8)Work status72Full-time120 (46.9)131 (51.2)Partime35 (13.7)34 (13.3)Unemployed40 (15.6)31 (12.1)Homemaker45 (17.6)46 (18.0)Full-time student16 (6.3)14 (5.5)Insurance	Did not complete high school	15 (5.9)	21 (8.2)	
Some college 84 (32.8) 71 (27.7) College graduate 59 (23.0) 77 (30.1) Graduate school 62 (24.2) 48 (18.8) Work status	High school graduate	36 (14.1)	39 (15.2)	
College graduate 59 (23.0) 77 (30.1) Graduate school 62 (24.2) 48 (18.8) Work stats	Some college	84 (32.8)	71 (27.7)	
Graduate school $62 (24.2)$ $48 (18.8)$ Work status	College graduate	59 (23.0)	77 (30.1)	
Work status .78 Full-time 120 (46.9) 131 (51.2) Part-time 35 (13.7) 34 (13.3) Unemployed 40 (15.6) 31 (12.1) Homemaker 45 (17.6) 46 (18.0) Full-time student 16 (6.3) 14 (5.5) Insurance .79 Public 70 (27.5) 77 (30.2) Private 171 (67.1) 165 (64.7) Military 14 (5.5) 13 (5.1) Relationship status .56 Single 20 (7.8) 26 (10.2) Partnered, living with partner 17 (6.7) 20 (7.8) Cravidity .78 .69 (27.0) 2 72 (28.1) 79 (30.9) 3 44 (17.2) 48 (18.8) 4 20 (7.8) 30 (11.7) 5 17 (6.6) 12 (4.7) 6 or more 12 (4.7) 83 (32.4) 2 26 (10.2) .61 9 50.90 .63 .63 1 .91 (95.6) 41 (16.0) .04 2 .26 (10.2) .26 (10.	Graduate school	62 (24.2)	48 (18.8)	
Full-time120 (46.9)131 (51.2)Part-time35 (13.7)34 (13.3)Unemployed40 (15.6)31 (12.1)Homemaker45 (17.6)46 (18.0)Full-time student16 (6.3)14 (5.5)Insurance	Work status			.78 [‡]
Part-time 35 (13.7) 34 (13.3) Unemployed 40 (15.6) 31 (12.1) Homemaker 45 (17.6) 46 (18.0) Full-time student 16 (6.3) 14 (5.5) Insurance	Full-time	120 (46.9)	131 (51.2)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Part-time	35 (13.7)	34 (13.3)	
Homemaker45 (17.6)46 (18.0)Full-time student16 (6.3)14 (5.5)Insurance.79Public70 (27.5)77 (30.2)Private171 (67.1)155 (64.7)Military14 (5.5)13 (5.1)Relationship status.66Single20 (7.8)26 (10.2)Partnered, not living with partner218 (85.5)210 (82.0)Partnered, not living with partner17 (6.7)20 (7.8)Gravidity.18.69 (27.0).18191 (35.5)69 (27.0).18191 (35.5)69 (27.0).18191 (35.5)69 (27.0).18191 (35.5)69 (27.0).18191 (35.5)69 (27.0).18191 (35.5)69 (27.0).18191 (35.5)69 (27.0).18191 (35.5)69 (27.0).18191 (35.5)69 (27.0).18191 (35.5)69 (27.0).18191 (35.6)12 (4.7).18191 (35.6)12 (4.7).1822 (28.1)79 (30.9).1822 (10.2)2 (4.7).18184 (32.8)83 (32.4).2122 (6 (10.2).22 (47.7).113 or more17 (6.6).25 (9.8).10Prior miscarriage65 (25.4).86 (33.6).05Prior miscarriage65 (25.4).86 (33.6).05Prior obor	Unemployed	40 (15.6)	31 (12.1)	
Full-time student16 (6.3)14 (5.5)Insurance	Homemaker	45 (17.6)	46 (18.0)	
Instrance	Full-time student	16 (6 3)	14 (5.5)	
Public 70 (27.5) 77 (30.2) Private 171 (67.1) 155 (64.7) Military 14 (5.5) 13 (5.1) Relationship status .56 Single 20 (7.8) 26 (10.2) Patnered, living with partner 218 (85.5) 210 (82.0) Partnered, not living with partner 17 (6.7) 20 (7.8) Gravidity $(7.2)(28.1)$ 79 (30.9) .18 1 91 (35.5) 69 (27.0) .18 2 72 (28.1) 79 (30.9) .18 4 20 (7.8) 30 (11.7) .55 5 17 (6.6) 12 (4.7) .63 0 12 (4.7) 18 (7.0)	Insurance			.79 [‡]
InitialInitialInitialPrivate171 (67.1)165 (64.7)Military14 (5.5)13 (5.1)Relationship status	Public	70 (27.5)	77 (30.2)	
Military14 (5.5)13 (5.1)Relationship status.56Single20 (7.8)Partnered, living with partner218 (85.5)Partnered, not living with partner17 (6.7)20 (7.8)20 (7.8)Gravidity.18191 (35.5)292 (28.1)272 (28.1)720 (7.8)344 (17.2)48 (18.8)420 (7.8)517 (6.6)12 (4.7)18 (7.0)6 or more12 (4.7)184 (32.8)83 (32.4)2226 (10.2)3 or more17 (6.6)1184 (32.8)84 (32.8)83 (32.4)226 (10.2)3 or more17 (6.6)9 rior miscarriage65 (25.4)9 Prior miscarriage65 (25.4)9 Prior cesarean delivery40 (15.6)9 Prior cesarean delivery40 (15.6)9 Primary obstetric care provider.339 General faculty obstetrician95 (37.1)9 Si (37.1)79 (30.9)9 Maternal-fetal medicine physician68 (26.6)74 (28.9)999 Revident physician93 (36.2)9 Revident physician93 (37.1)9 Revident physician93 (36.2)9 Revide	Private	171 (67.1)	165 (64.7)	
Relationship status1.6 (B)56 (B)Single20 (7.8)26 (10.2)Partnered, living with partner218 (85.5)210 (82.0)Partnered, not living with partner17 (6.7)20 (7.8)Gravidity17 (6.7)20 (7.8)191 (35.5)69 (27.0)272 (28.1)79 (30.9)344 (17.2)48 (18.8)420 (7.8)30 (11.7)517 (6.6)12 (4.7)6 or more12 (4.7)18 (7.0)Parity	Military	14 (5.5)	13 (5.1)	
Name20 (7.8)26 (10.2)Partnered, living with partner218 (85.5)210 (82.0)Partnered, not living with partner17 (6.7)20 (7.8)Gravidity	Relationship status	(0.0)	13 (311)	.56 [‡]
Partnered, living with partner218 (85.5)210 (82.0)Partnered, not living with partner17 (6.7)20 (7.8)Gravidity191 (35.5)69 (27.0)272 (28.1)79 (30.9)344 (17.2)48 (18.8)420 (7.8)30 (11.7)517 (6.6)12 (4.7)6 or more12 (4.7)18 (7.0)Parity	Single	20 (7.8)	26 (10.2)	100
Partnered, not living with partner 17 (6.7) 20 (7.8) Gravidity 17 (6.7) 20 (7.8) 1 91 (35.5) 69 (27.0) 2 72 (28.1) 79 (30.9) 3 44 (17.2) 48 (18.8) 4 20 (7.8) 30 (11.7) 5 17 (6.6) 12 (4.7) 6 or more 12 (4.7) 18 (7.0) Partity 60 122 (47.7) 1 84 (32.8) 83 (32.4) 2 26 (10.2) 26 (10.2) 3 or more 17 (6.6) 25 (9.8) Prior miscarriage 65 (25.4) 86 (33.6) .05 Prior abortion 40 (15.6) 41 (16.0) 1.0 ⁴ Prior abortion 40 (15.6) 41 (16.0) 1.0 ⁴ Prior abortion 40 (15.6) 41 (16.0) 1.0 ⁴ Primary obstetric care provider .33 .3 .3 .3 Greenal faculty obstetrician 95 (37.1) 79 (30.9) .33 Maternal-fetal medicine physician 68 (26.6) 74 (28.9) .33 Becident physician 68 (26.6) </td <td>Partnered, living with partner</td> <td>218 (85.5)</td> <td>210 (82.0)</td> <td></td>	Partnered, living with partner	218 (85.5)	210 (82.0)	
Graviety $(4, 6)$ $(2, 6)$ 191 (35.5)69 (27.0)272 (28.1)79 (30.9)344 (17.2)48 (18.8)420 (7.8)30 (11.7)517 (6.6)12 (4.7)6 or more12 (4.7)18 (7.0)Parity $(10, 2)$ 26 (10.2)3 or more129 (50.4)122 (47.7)184 (32.8)83 (32.4)226 (10.2)26 (10.2)3 or more17 (6.6)25 (9.8)Prior miscarriage65 (25.4)86 (33.6)015.6)41 (16.0)1.0 [†] Prior abortion40 (15.6)41 (16.0)1.0 [†] Prior abortion63 (63.7)162 (63.3)1.0 [†] Primary obstetric care provider3337.1)79 (30.9)Matemal-fetal medicine physician68 (26.6)74 (28.9)Recident physician93 (36.2)103 (40.2)	Partnered, not living with partner	17 (6.7)	20 (7.8)	
191 (35.5) $69 (27.0)$ 272 (28.1)79 (30.9)344 (17.2)48 (18.8)420 (7.8)30 (11.7)517 (6.6)12 (4.7)6 or more12 (4.7)18 (7.0)Parity.630129 (50.4)122 (47.7)184 (32.8)83 (32.4)226 (10.2)26 (10.2)3 or more17 (6.6)25 (9.8)Prior miscarriage65 (25.4)86 (33.6)O15.6)56 (21.9).09Prior cesarean delivery40 (15.6)41 (16.0)Pring postpartum LARC59 (23.0)42 (16.4).08Primary obstetric care provider.33.34Ceneral faculty obstetrician95 (37.1)79 (30.9)Maternal-fetal medicine physician68 (26.6)74 (28.9)Protot of physician68 (26.6)74 (28.9)Priot central faculty obstetrician93 (36.2)102 (40.2)	Gravidity		20 (7.0)	.18 [‡]
11111111272 (28.1)79 (30.9)344 (17.2)48 (18.8)420 (7.8)30 (11.7)517 (6.6)12 (4.7)6 or more12 (4.7)18 (7.0)Parity.630129 (50.4)122 (47.7)184 (32.8)83 (32.4)226 (10.2)26 (10.2)3 or more17 (6.6)25 (9.8)Prior miscarriage65 (25.4)86 (33.6)040 (15.6)56 (21.9).09Prior cesarean delivery40 (15.6)41 (16.0) 1.0^{\dagger} Pregnancy planned163 (63.7)162 (63.3) 1.0^{\dagger} Planning postpartum LARC59 (23.0)42 (16.4).08Primary obstetric care provider.33.34.33General faculty obstetrician95 (37.1)79 (30.9)Maternal-fetal medicine physician68 (26.6)74 (28.9)Pridott physician68 (26.6)74 (28.9)Pridott physician93 (36.2)103 (40.2)	1	91 (35 5)	69 (27 0)	.10
111 <th< td=""><td>2</td><td>72 (28.1)</td><td>79 (30 9)</td><td></td></th<>	2	72 (28.1)	79 (30 9)	
4 $20(7.8)$ $30(11.7)$ 517 (6.6)12 (4.7)6 or more12 (4.7)18 (7.0)Parity.630129 (50.4)122 (47.7)184 (32.8)83 (32.4)226 (10.2)26 (10.2)3 or more17 (6.6)25 (9.8)Prior miscarriage65 (25.4)86 (33.6).010 (15.6)56 (21.9).0997 ior cesarean delivery40 (15.6)91 dottion40 (15.6)41 (16.0)91 dottion163 (63.7)91 dottic care provider.33General faculty obstetric an95 (37.1)92 (36.2)74 (28.9)Maternal-fetal medicine physician93 (36.2)93 (36.2)103 (40.2)	3	44 (17.2)	48 (18.8)	
110 (10)30 (11)517 (6.6)12 (4.7)6 or more12 (4.7)18 (7.0)Parity	4	20 (7.8)	30 (11 7)	
6 or more12 (4.7)12 (1.7)Parity.630129 (50.4)184 (32.8)226 (10.2)3 or more17 (6.6)25 (9.8)Prior miscarriage65 (25.4)86 (33.6).05Prior abortion40 (15.6)9 Prior cesarean delivery40 (15.6)9 Prior cesarean delivery40 (15.6)9 Prior cesarean delivery163 (63.7)9 Primary obstetric care provider.330 General faculty obstetrician95 (37.1)9 S (37.1)79 (30.9)Maternal-fetal medicine physician68 (26.6)9 Resident physician93 (36.2)102 (40.2)	5	17 (6.6)	12 (4 7)	
Parity	6 or more	12 (4 7)	18 (7 0)	
name129 (50.4)122 (47.7)184 (32.8)83 (32.4)226 (10.2)26 (10.2)3 or more17 (6.6)25 (9.8)Prior miscarriage65 (25.4)86 (33.6).05Prior abortion40 (15.6)56 (21.9).09Prior cesarean delivery40 (15.6)41 (16.0) 1.0^{\dagger} Pregnancy planned163 (63.7)162 (63.3) 1.0^{\dagger} Planning postpartum LARC59 (23.0)42 (16.4).08Primary obstetric care provider.33.31.34General faculty obstetrician95 (37.1)79 (30.9).34Maternal-fetal medicine physician68 (26.6).74 (28.9).34Prostician93 (36.2)102 (40.2).34	Parity	12 (1.7)	10 (7.0)	63 [‡]
184 (32.8)83 (32.4)226 (10.2)26 (10.2)3 or more17 (6.6)25 (9.8)Prior miscarriage65 (25.4)86 (33.6)Prior abortion40 (15.6)56 (21.9)Prior cesarean delivery40 (15.6)41 (16.0)Pregnancy planned163 (63.7)162 (63.3)Planning postpartum LARC59 (23.0)42 (16.4)Primary obstetric care provider.33General faculty obstetrician95 (37.1)Maternal-fetal medicine physician68 (26.6)Program93 (36.2)Prior thy obstetrician93 (36.2)102 (40.2)102 (40.2)	0	129 (50.4)	122 (47 7)	.05
226 (10.2)26 (10.2)3 or more17 (6.6)25 (9.8)Prior miscarriage65 (25.4)86 (33.6).05Prior abortion40 (15.6)56 (21.9).09Prior cesarean delivery40 (15.6)41 (16.0) 1.0^{\dagger} Pregnancy planned163 (63.7)162 (63.3) 1.0^{\dagger} Planning postpartum LARC59 (23.0)42 (16.4).08Primary obstetric care provider.33.36.33General faculty obstetrician95 (37.1)79 (30.9).34Maternal-fetal medicine physician68 (26.6)74 (28.9).24Posiciont physician93 (36.2).102 (40.2).34	1	84 (32.8)	83 (32 4)	
3 or more $17 (6.6)$ $25 (9.8)$ Prior miscarriage $65 (25.4)$ $86 (33.6)$ $.05$ Prior abortion $40 (15.6)$ $56 (21.9)$ $.09$ Prior cesarean delivery $40 (15.6)$ $41 (16.0)$ 1.0^{\dagger} Pregnancy planned $163 (63.7)$ $162 (63.3)$ 1.0^{\dagger} Planning postpartum LARC $59 (23.0)$ $42 (16.4)$ $.08$ Primary obstetric care provider .33 General faculty obstetrician $95 (37.1)$ $79 (30.9)$ Maternal-fetal medicine physician $68 (26.6)$ $74 (28.9)$ Procent provider .03 (36.2) .02 (40.2)	2	26 (10.2)	26 (10.2)	
Prior miscarriage 65 (25.4) 86 (33.6) .05 Prior miscarriage 65 (25.4) 86 (33.6) .09 Prior abortion 40 (15.6) 56 (21.9) .09 Prior cesarean delivery 40 (15.6) 41 (16.0) 1.0 [†] Pregnancy planned 163 (63.7) 162 (63.3) 1.0 [†] Planning postpartum LARC 59 (23.0) 42 (16.4) .08 Primary obstetric care provider .33 .36 .34 General faculty obstetrician 95 (37.1) 79 (30.9) .34 Maternal-fetal medicine physician 68 (26.6) 74 (28.9)	3 or more	17 (6.6)	25 (9.8)	
Prior inscuringe 40 (15.6) 56 (21.9) .09 Prior abortion 40 (15.6) 56 (21.9) .09 Prior cesarean delivery 40 (15.6) 41 (16.0) 1.0 [†] Pregnancy planned 163 (63.7) 162 (63.3) 1.0 [†] Planning postpartum LARC 59 (23.0) 42 (16.4) .08 Primary obstetric care provider .33 .36 .33 General faculty obstetrician 95 (37.1) 79 (30.9) .34 Maternal-fetal medicine physician 68 (26.6) 74 (28.9) .34 President physician 93 (36.2) 102 (40.2) .33	Prior miscarriage	65 (25.4)	86 (33 6)	05
Prior desident 10 (11.6) 50 (11.6) 10 Prior cesarean delivery 40 (15.6) 41 (16.0) 1.0 [†] Pregnancy planned 163 (63.7) 162 (63.3) 1.0 [†] Planning postpartum LARC 59 (23.0) 42 (16.4) .08 Primary obstetric care provider .33 .33 General faculty obstetrician 95 (37.1) 79 (30.9) Maternal-fetal medicine physician 68 (26.6) 74 (28.9) Posident physician 93 (36.2) 102 (40.2)	Prior abortion	40 (15.6)	56 (21.9)	.09
Pregnancy planned 163 (63.7) 162 (63.3) 1.0 ⁺ Planning postpartum LARC 59 (23.0) 42 (16.4) .08 Primary obstetric care provider .33 .33 General faculty obstetrician 95 (37.1) 79 (30.9) Maternal-fetal medicine physician 68 (26.6) 74 (28.9) Provident physician 93 (36.2) 102 (40.2)	Prior cesarean delivery	40 (15.6)	41 (16 0)	1.0 ⁺
Planning postnartum LARC105 (05.7)102 (05.5)1.0Primary obstetric care provider59 (23.0)42 (16.4).08General faculty obstetrician95 (37.1)79 (30.9).33Maternal-fetal medicine physician68 (26.6)74 (28.9)Provider to physician93 (36.2)102 (40.2)	Prognancy planned	163 (63 7)	162 (63 3)	1.0 1.0 [†]
Primary obstetric care provider55 (25.6)42 (10.4).00General faculty obstetrician95 (37.1)79 (30.9)Maternal-fetal medicine physician68 (26.6)74 (28.9)Primary obstetrician93 (36.2)102 (40.2)	Planning postpartum LARC	59 (23 0)	42 (16 4)	08
General faculty obstetrician 95 (37.1) 79 (30.9) Maternal-fetal medicine physician 68 (26.6) 74 (28.9) Posident physician 93 (36.2) 102 (40.2)	Primary obstetric care provider	55 (25.0)	12 (10.7)	.00 22 ⁺
October Option	General faculty obstatrician	95 (37 1)	79 (30.9)	
$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200) & (200) & (200) & (200) \\ \text{Praticular boundary for a field of (200)} & (200)$	Maternal-fetal medicine physician	68 (26 6)	74 (28.9)	
	Resident physician	93 (36 3)	103(40.2)	
Index pregnancy considered high risk $106 (41.4)$ $112 (43.8)$ 66	Index pregnancy considered high risk	106 (41 4)	112 (43.8)	66 ⁺

Table 1. Demographic and Obstetric Characteristics of Women Scheduled for a 6-Week or 2- to 3-Week Postpartum Visit

LARC, long-acting reversible contraception.

Index delivery preterm

Index delivery vaginal

Data are n (%) or mean±SD unless otherwise specified.

* Independent-samples *t* test used for this comparison.

⁺ Fisher exact test used for these comparisons.

⁺ Chi-squared test used for these comparisons.

[§] Other includes participants who identified with more than one race.

^{||} Fisher exact test used for this comparison, P>.05.

4 Chen et al Earlier Postpartum Visit and Contraception

OBSTETRICS & GYNECOLOGY

17 (6.6)

177 (69.1)

Copyright © by The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

23 (9.0)

183 (71.5)



.41⁺

 $.63^{+}$

Table 2.	Long-Acting Reversible Contraception Use Intentions and Outcomes	by 8 Weeks Postpartum for
	Women Scheduled for and Attended a 6-Week or 2- to 3-Week Post	partum Visit

Characteristic	6 Wk Visit (n=209)	2–3 Wk Visit (n=231)	P *
Planned LARC method for postpartum contraception before delivery	45 (21.5, 16.5–27.6)	39 (16.9, 12.6–22.2)	.23
Desired LARC at postpartum visit	87 (41.6, 35.2-48.4)	86 (37.2, 31.3-43.6)	.38
IUD	65 (31.1, 25.2–37.7)	66 (28.6, 23.1–34.7)	
Implant	22 (10.5, 7.1–15.4)	20 (8.7, 5.7–13.0)	
Initiated LARC at postpartum visit	65 (31.1, 25.2–37.7)	38 (16.5, 12.2–21.8)	<.01
IUD	47 (22.5, 17.4–28.6)	27 (11.7, 8.2–16.5)	
Levonorgestrel IUD	39 (83.0, 69.9–91.1)	20 (74.1, 55.3-86.8)	
Copper IUD	8 (17.0, 8.9–30.1)	7 (25.9, 13.2–44.7)	
Implant	18 (8.6, 5.5–13.2)	11 (4.8, 2.7-8.3)	
Initiated LARC by 8 wk postpartum	65 (31.1, 25.2–37.7)	62 (26.8, 21.5-32.9)	.34
IUD	47 (22.5, 17.4–28.6)	47 (20.4, 15.7–26.0)	
Levonorgestrel IUD	39 (83.0, 69.9–91.1)	35 (74.5, 60.5-84.8)	
Copper IUD	8 (17.0, 8.9–30.1)	12 (25.5, 15.3–39.5)	
Implant	18 (8.6, 5.5–13.2)	15 (6.5, 4.0–10.4)	

LARC, long-acting reversible contraception; IUD, intrauterine device.

Data are n (%, 95% CI) unless otherwise specified.

* Fisher exact test used for the overall LARC comparisons.

copper IUD after 81 days for side effects. Of 21 women in the 2- to 3-week cohort who had an IUD inserted within 28 days after delivery with follow-up through 6 months postpartum, four participants requested IUD removal for side effects. Two levonorgestrel IUDs were removed after 7 and 84 days, and two copper IUDs were removed after 60 and 100 days. No expulsions occurred in these 59 women.

Five participants in each group became pregnant within 6 months after delivery. Among women in the 6-week group, three planned to continue their pregnancies, one received treatment for an ectopic pregnancy, and one underwent termination. In the 2- to 3-week group, three women planned to continue their pregnancies and two experienced early pregnancy loss. One woman became pregnant after her copper IUD was removed for heavy bleeding, one participant was using progestin-only pills, two women were using condoms, and the rest were not using contraception when they became pregnant.

DISCUSSION

We found that scheduling a 2- to 3-week visit after delivery was associated with lower LARC initiation rates at the initial postpartum visit. We had hypothesized that an earlier visit would be associated with higher postpartum visit attendance, increased opportunity for contraception counseling, and resultant increase in LARC initiation. Instead, LARC initiation rates were lower, primarily as a result of delays in levonorgestrel IUD insertion, despite higher postpartum visit completion in women scheduled for an

Table 3. Reasons for Delay in Long-Acting	Reversible Contraception	Insertion at the Initial	6-Week and 2-
to 3-Week Postpartum Visits			

	Timing of Schedule	Timing of Scheduled Postpartum Visit		
Reason for Delay	6 Wk	2–3 Wk		
IUD	n=18	n=39		
Insurance authorization*	11 (61.1)	6 (15.4)		
Patient preference	2 (11.1)	19 (48.7)		
Health care provider preference	4 (22.2)	14 (35.9)		
Concern for pregnancy	1 (5.6)	0		
Implant	n=4	n=9		
Insurance authorization*	2 (50.0)	4 (44.4)		
Health care provider preference	2 (50.0)	2 (22.2)		
Patient preference	0	3 (33.3)		

IUD, intrauterine device.

Data are n (%).

* Insurance authorization refers to situations in which insurance coverage for LARC was not verified before the postpartum visit.

VOL. 0, NO. 0, MONTH 2017

Chen et al Earlier Postpartum Visit and Contraception 5



	Initiated at	Initiated at Postpartum Visit			Reported Use at 6 Mo Postpartum			
Contraceptive Method	6 Wk (n=209)	2–3 Wk (n=231)	P *	6 Wk ⁺ (n=182)	2–3 Wk [‡] (n=195)	P *		
Tier 1								
Levonorgestrel IUD	39 (18.7, 14.0–24.5)	20 (8.7, 5.7–13.0)	<.01	43 (23.6, 18.0–30.3)	37 (19.0, 14.1–25.1)	.31		
Copper IUD	8 (3.8, 2.0–7.7)	7 (3.0, 1.5–6.1)	.79	8 (4.4, 2.3–8.4)	11 (5.6, 3.2–9.8)	.64		
Implant	18 (8.6, 5.3–13.5)	11 (4.8, 2.7-8.3)	.12	13 (7.1, 4.2–11.8)	17 (8.7, 5.5–13.5)	.70		
Sterilization	0	0	ND	3 (1.7, 0.1–4.7) [§]	$3 (1.5, 0.1-4.4)^{\parallel}$	1.0		
Tier 2								
DMPA	9 (4.3, 2.3-8.0)	8 (3.5, 1.8-6.7)	.81	8 (4.4, 2.3-8.4)	5 (2.6, 1.1-5.9)	.40		
Pills, patch, ring	58 (27.8, 22.1–34.2)	53 (22.9, 18.0-28.8)	.27	33 (18.1, 13.2–24.4)	34 (17.4, 12.8–23.4)	.89		
Tier 3								
Condoms	42 (20.1, 15.2–26.1)	65 (28.1, 22.7–34.3)	.06	38 (20.9, 15.6–27.4)	45 (23.1, 17.7–29.5)	.62		
Fertility awareness	2 (1.0, 0.3–3.4)	4 (1.7, 0.7–4.4)	.69	3 (1.7, 0.1–4.7)	1 (0.5, 0.1–2.8)	.36		
None	33 (15.8, 11.5–21.4)	63 (27.3, 21.9–33.4)	<.01	33 (18.1, 13.2–24.4)	42 (21.5, 16.4–27.8)	.44		

Table 4.	Contraception	Initiated	at the 6-Wee	k or 2- to	3-Week	Postpartum	Visit and	Reported
	Contraception	Use at 6	Months Post	oartum				

IUD, intrauterine device; ND, not done; DMPA, depot medroxyprogesterone acetate.

Data are presented as n (%, 95% CI). Tier levels are adapted from World Health Organization rating of contraceptive efficacy.¹³

* Fisher exact test used for comparisons.

⁺ Seven participants not included in the table: one who underwent a hysterectomy for leiomyomas after the postpartum visit, one who reported using spermicide, and five who became pregnant by 6 months postpartum.

⁺ Six participants not included in the table: one who reported using the lactational amenorrhea method and five who became pregnant by 6 months postpartum.

[§] Two participants using vasectomy and one participant who underwent a female sterilization procedure.

 $^{\parallel}$ One participant using vasectomy and two participants who underwent female sterilization procedures.

earlier visit. Overall contraceptive initiation at the 2- to 3-week postpartum visit was also lower with more women choosing not to initiate a method. Contraceptive use patterns were similar in both groups by 6 months postpartum, indicating that women who initially deferred IUD insertion at 2–3 weeks after delivery did return for IUD initiation at a later visit.

In contrast to prior studies, we uncovered patient and health care provider barriers to IUD insertion with implementation of an earlier postpartum visit in clinical practice. Although IUD insertion at 2⁸ and 3 weeks⁹ was acceptable to clinical trial participants, patient preferences accounted for almost half of the delays in IUD insertion among women scheduled for a 2- to 3-week postpartum visit. Health care provider barriers were the second most common reason for delay. Before policy implementation, department physicians agreed to offer LARC insertion at 2-3 weeks postpartum, including IUDs; still, many physicians recommended deferring IUD initiation. The exact reasons for health care provider deferral of IUD initiation are unknown, which is a limitation of this study, but possible factors could include appointment time limitations. Previous studies have also demonstrated health care provider attitudes regarding safety as a limitation to both interval and immediate postpartum IUD initiation.^{14,15}

A limitation of this study is the potential for baseline differences between the two groups to influence outcomes that would have been avoided in a randomized trial. Although not statistically significant, fewer women in the 2- to 3-week cohort planned for postpartum LARC at enrollment compared with women in the 6-week group. Furthermore, this study design introduces the possibility that external factors, other than the policy change itself, influenced the primary outcome of LARC initiation. The policy changed in June 2015, and the combination of new resident trainees and introduction of a new levonorgestrel IUD inserter device into the clinics could have contributed to the decrease in IUD insertion postpolicy implementation.

Despite these limitations, our study highlights the advantages of scheduling an earlier postpartum visit. Postpartum visit attendance rates were higher at 2–3 weeks after delivery, which presents more opportunities for clinicians to address postpartum concerns. Most couples had not resumed intercourse before the 2- to 3-week visit, allowing women to initiate effective contraception initiation before they are at risk of pregnancy.¹⁶ Our experience, albeit limited, also supports the present data on the safety of IUD insertion at 2–3 weeks postpartum.^{8,9}

The higher postpartum visit attendance with implementation of an earlier visit was not enough to increase LARC or overall contraception initiation; rather, more clinician training and anticipatory guidance during the antenatal period are needed to maximize the visit.¹¹ The optimal timing for LARC

6 Chen et al Earlier Postpartum Visit and Contraception

OBSTETRICS & GYNECOLOGY



initiation differs based on several factors, including a woman's insurance coverage, ability to attend follow-up visits, and contraceptive preferences. With these considerations in mind, obstetric care providers should also present the option of LARC initiation at 2-3 weeks after delivery in addition to discussing immediate postpartum and interval insertion.

REFERENCES

- 1. Wilcox A, Levi EE, Garrett JM. Predictors of non-attendance to the postpartum follow-up visit. Matern Child Health J 2016;20 (suppl 1):22–7.
- Sonalkar S, Kapp N. Intrauterine device insertion in the postpartum period: a systematic review. Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care 2015;20:4–18.
- Chen BA, Reeves MF, Hayes JL, Hohmann HL, Perriera LK, Creinin MD. Postplacental or delayed insertion of the levonorgestrel intrauterine device after vaginal delivery: a randomized controlled trial. Obstet Gynecol 2010;116:1079–87.
- Dahlke JD, Terpstra ER, Ramseyer AM, Busch JM, Rieg T, Magann EF. Postpartum insertion of levonorgestrelintrauterine system at three time periods: a prospective randomized pilot study. Contraception 2011;84:244–8.
- Jatlaoui TC, Marcus M, Jamieson DJ, Goedken P, Cwiak C. Postplacental intrauterine device insertion at a teaching hospital. Contraception 2014;89:528–33.
- Woo I, Seifert S, Hendricks D, Jamshidi RM, Burke AE, Fox MC. Six-month and 1-year continuation rates following postpartum insertion of implants and intrauterine devices. Contraception 2015;92:532–5.
- Eroğlu K, Akkuzu G, Vural G, Dilbaz B, Akin A, Taşkin L, et al. Comparison of efficacy and complications of IUD insertion in immediate postplacental/early postpartum period with interval period: 1 year follow-up. Contraception 2006;74:376–81.

- Zerden ML, Stuart GS, Charm S, Bryant A, Garrett J, Morse J. Two-week postpartum intrauterine contraception insertion: a study of feasibility, patient acceptability and short-term outcomes. Contraception 2017;95:65–70.
- Baldwin MK, Edelman AB, Lim JY, Nichols MD, Bednarek PH, Jensen JT. Intrauterine device placement at 3 versus 6 weeks postpartum: a randomized trial. Contraception 2016; 93:356–63.
- Tsai PJ, Nakashima L, Yamamoto J, Ngo L, Kaneshiro B. Postpartum follow-up rates before and after the postpartum follow-up initiative at Queen Emma Clinic. Hawaii Med J 2011;70:56–9.
- Optimizing postpartum care. Committee Opinion No. 666. Obstet Gynecol 2016;127:e187–92.
- Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)–a metadatadriven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009; 42:377–81.
- 13. World Health Organization Department of Reproductive Health and Research (WHO/RHR) and Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health/Center for Communication Programs (CCP), Knowledge for Health Project. Family planning: a global handbook for providers (2011 update). Baltimore (MD) and Geneva (Switzerland): CCP and WHO; 2011.
- Rauh-Benoit LA, Tepper NK, Zapata LB, Whiteman MK, Curtis KM, Mandel MG, et al. Healthcare provider attitudes of safety of intrauterine devices in the postpartum period. J Womens Health (Larchmt) 2017;26:768–73.
- Ogburn JA, Espey E, Stonehocker J. Barriers to intrauterine device insertion in postpartum women. Contraception 2005; 72:426–9.
- Speroff L, Mishell DR Jr. The postpartum visit: it's time for a change in order to optimally initiate contraception. Contraception 2008;78:90–8.

VOL. 0, NO. 0, MONTH 2017

