# **Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory**

**LBL Publications**

# **Title**

Nobelium: Tracer Chemistry of the Divalent and Trivalent Ions

## **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7h5219g6>

# **Authors**

Maly, Jaromir Sikkeland, Torbjorn Silva, Robert [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7h5219g6#author)

# **Publication Date**

1968-02-01

# **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

**UCRL-17995** 

下室

CRL-1799

# University of California Ernest O. Lawrence **Radiation Laboratory**

NOBELIUM: TRACER CHEMISTRY OF THE DIVALENT AND TRIVALENT IONS

> Jaromir Maly, Torbjorn Sikkeland, Robert Silva, and Albert Ghiorso

> > February 1968

**物**子

**TWO-WEEK-LOAN COPY** 

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

#### **DISCLAIMER**

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

#### Submitted to Sclence

'I

**'.** 

UCRJ~-l 7995 Preprint

#### UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Berkeley, California

AEC Contract No.  $W - 7405$ -eng- $48$ 

NOBELIUM: TRACER CHEMISTRY OF THE DIVALENT AND TRIVALENT IONS . Jaromir Maly, Torbjorn Sikkeland, Robert Silva, and Albert Ghiorso

February 1968

#### Submitted to SCIENCE

UCRL-17995

#### TRACER CHEMISTRY OF THE DIVALENT AND TRIVALENT IONS (1) NOBELIUM:

Jaromir Maly (2), Torbjorn Sikkeland, Robert Silva and Albert Ghiorso

## Author note:

The authors are with the Nuclear Chemistry Division, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California.

. f.

r

Abstract In the absence of oxidizing or reducing agents the chromatographic and coprecipitation behavior of element 102 is similar to that of the alkaline earth elements. After oxidation with ceric ions, the behavior is that expected of a trivalent actinide. Our conclusion is that nobelium is the first actinide for which the +2 oxidation state is the most stable species in aqueous solution.

#### Introduction

Results of the first attempts (3) and other more recent efforts  $(4)$  to study the chemistry of element 102 suggested the chemical behavior of nobelium to be that of  $a + 3$  actinide element. On the other hand, new results indicated. an increasing tendency toward formation of +2 states with increasing Z by the heavy actinides (5) and this was confirmed by the discovery of the Md<sup>+2</sup> ion (6,7). These results suggested that nobelium might exhibit a fairly stable divalent state in addition to the expected trivalent state.

The recent discovery of  $^{255}$ No, with an alpha half-life and decay energy of approximately 3 minutes and  $8.1$  MeV  $(8,9,10)$ , has made it possible to carry out tracer chemistry on element 102 (11). The short half-life and low yield of  $255<sub>N</sub>$ O atoms per experiment compelled us to modify and develop fast chemical procedures so that the total time necessary for separation, chemical study and preparation of a source sui table for alpha energy analysis would be less than 10 minutes. These procedures included chromatographic methods for the study of single-atom behavior and one-step coprecipitation reactions. As only a few  $255$ No decays were observed per experiment, it was necessary to repeat each set of experiments 10-20 times in order to obtain statistically significant results.

#### Experimental Procedures and Results

#### **a.** Production and Identification

**"** 

The  $255$ No was produced by irradiating a 0.8 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>-thick plutonium target supported by a 1-mil thick Be foil with  $^{16}$  ions from the Berkeley HILAC. The isotopic composition of the target in atom percent was  $2^{144}$ Pu -  $74\cdot 21$ ,  $^{242}$ Pu - 25.32,  $^{241}$ Pu - 0.094,  $^{240}$ Pu - 0.312,  $^{239}$ Pu - 0.064 and  $^{238}$ Pu - 0.002. The beam current was  $2-\frac{1}{4}$  uamps over an area of 0.2 cm. The nobelium atoms

.,

recoiling from the target were stopped in He gas and transferred to a platinum .catcher foil by gas jet as described elsewhere  $(9,12)$ . The maximum yield was obtained at an oxygen-ion energy of approximately 97 MeV as expected for the  $244$  $Pu(^{16}$ 0,5n)<sup>255</sup>No reaction. The average number of countable atoms at 50% geometry on the catcher foil at the beginning of the chemical operations was determined, from 36 measurements, to be  $6.0 \pm 0.5 \alpha$  cts/ $\mu$ amp of beam.

The <sup>255</sup>No was identified by its alpha decay energy. The alpha spectrum is known to be complex and there is good indication of more than one alpha group spread over energies of 7.7 to 8.25 MeV. A composite decay curve of this energy range was constructed from 36 measurements and gave a half-life of  $3.6\pm0.5$  minutes. Subportions of the main alpha spectrum gave half-lives in general agreement with the composite value so all alphas from  $7.7$  to 8.25 MeV in energy were assumed to come from  $^{25}$ No.

### **b.** Electrodeposition

The first evidence of unexpected behavior for No was found during cathodic electrodeposi tion experiments using standard procedures (13). In these experiments the No atoms were washed from the catcher foil with a saturated NH<sub>1</sub>Cl plating solution containing tracer quantities of the radioactive elements to be compared with the No. During plating the pH is very high near the cathode and tracers are known to be deposited in approximately inverse proportion to the solubility of their hydroxides. In Table 1 is shown the percent of tracers and nobelium that were plated  $(14)$ . Also shown is the number of  $255<sub>No</sub>$  alpha decays observed per number of decays expected. The latter was computed for each experiment from the average number of countable atoms given above by allowing for decay during the chemical operations. As

shown in Table 1, No is plated with a low yield similar to Ra rather than a high vield as are the +3 actinides, Th and Pa suggesting a hydroxide solubility akin to the former rather than the latter elements.

#### c. Chloride Volatility

In these experiments the volatility of nobelium chloride was compared to the volatility of tracer quantities of Pa, Am, Th, Pb and Ra by heating the platinum catcher foil to  $\sim 1000^{\circ}$ C with a Bunsen flame after conversion to the chlorides by evaporation to dryness with HCl. The results in Table 1 indicate that nobelium does not exhibit an unusually volatile chloride as does Pb.

#### Cation Exchange Column  $d_{\bullet}$

Our attempts to elute No from a heated  $(80^{\circ}c)$ , Dowex 50x12 ion exchange resin column with ammonium alpha-hydroxyisobutyrate in the predicted precinate inium elution position  $(15)$  were unsuccessful. The procedure was to first elute the tracer +3 actinide ions with Z higher than Am with 8 drops of dilute eluting solution (0.3M, pH 4.0) and then to elute Am and several lower Z elements (Pb, Sr, Ra, Ac and Ce) with 8 drops of concentrated eluting solution  $(1.9M, pH 4.8)$ . The results given in Table 2 clearly show that No was not eluted before Am.

An elution position for No relative to tracer quantities of Y, Sr, Ba and Ra was obtained for a heated  $(80^{\circ}\text{C})$ , 0.2 cm diameter by 2 cm long column using the concentrated eluting solution. The composite result for 13 experiments is presented in Fig. 1. The results show that under these conditions nobelium does not exhibit the slightest resemblance to the +3 actinides, for in similar tracer experiments, Es, Cm, Am and Ac were eluted in the Y position which is

 $-5-$ 

 $^{\circ}$   $^{\circ}$   $^{\circ}$ 

..

promptly after the first free column volume. This was also the case for Th, Pa, Pb and Ce. The elution of No near the Sr position strengthened our growing suspicion that No was exhibiting a +2 valence.

Unsuccessful attempts were made to oxidize the suspected  $No$ <sup>+2</sup> to No<sup>+3</sup> and elute it from the ion exchange column in the predicted +3 position, but when rapid oxidizing agents such as  $Ce^{+4}$  were present in sufficiently dilute so concentrations/as not to effect the column operation, they were immediately reduced by the hot butyrate solution.

#### **e.** Fluoride Behavior

For the study of the coprecipitation behavior of No fluoride, we used the residue adsorption technique  $(16,17)$ . A drop of 0.1M HCl containing the tracers to which No was to be compared plus  $\sim$  5µg each of the various chargestate carriers Ba, La and Zr was used to dissolve the No atoms from the catcher foil. Two drops of 40% HF were added to convert to the fluorides and taken to dryness. The plate was then washed with  $H_2^0$  several times and both residue plate and  $H_2^0$  washes were alpha energy analyzed. The results are given in Table 2 and suggest that the solubility of No fluoride is more like BaF<sub>2</sub> than LaF<sub>3</sub>.

Assuming that the nobelium was exhibiting  $a + 2$  valence under the above conditions, attempts were made to oxidize the  $No^{+2}$  to  $No^{+3}$  which should form a less soluble fluoride. The procedure was the same as described above except the oxidant, ceric nitrate, was substituted for the Zr. As seen in Table 2, after oxidation with Ce<sup>+4</sup> the distribution was in favor of the LaF<sub>3</sub> phase.

The behavior of No fluoride after oxidation appears to be better explained by the formation of  $\text{MoF}_{3}$  rather than  $\text{MoF}_{1}$ . Under these dilute

conditions, CeF<sub>1</sub> was found to have a high solubility. If it were formed, NoF<sub>1</sub>, might be expected to be as soluble as  $C\mathrm{eF}_{1}$  and  $Z\mathrm{rF}_{1}$ .

#### f. Sulfate Behavior

Recent work has shown that  $90<sub>y</sub>$  can be separated from  $90<sub>ST</sub>$  at room temperature by elution from a  $SrSO_{\mu}$  column using 0.5M  $H_2SO_{\mu}$  (18). In general it appears that the more soluble sulfates are eluted before the less soluble ones. We used a similar column for the study of the behavior of nobelium sulfate. In each experiment the No, tracer activities to which No was to be compared, and  $\sim$  5µg each of Zr and La were converted to the sulfate form on the platinum catcher foil by evaporation to dryness with  $\ln M_{2}SO_{\mu}$ . The mass of Zr and La added was the same as the mass of  $ce^{+4}$  and  $ce^{+3}$  used in later oxidation experiments in order to duplicate the mass effects on the column. The No and other elements were washed from the foil with 6M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>1</sub>, and transferred to the 0.2 cm dia. x 1 cm long  $SrSO_k$  column and the elution carried out with  $6M$   $H_2SO_h$ . Time and counter limitations made the elution in fractions rather than dropwise necessary. The results are given in Table 2 and show No to be more strongly adsorbed on the column than Es and Am.

Similar experiments were carried out under oxidizing conditions using  $Ce^{+l}$  and the results given in Table 2. In these experiments, the No was eluted with Es and before Am. This apparent change in solubility of nobelium sulfate is consistent with a change in valence state from  $+2$  to  $+3$ .

#### Discussion

The results presented in this work indicate a very interesting behavior by nobelium when compared to other elements of the actinide family.

 $-7-$ 

Under the conditions of our experiments, nobelium exhibited the following properties relative to the other heavy actinides in their +3 state:

- 1) Its hydroxide or hydrous oxide has a high solubility.
- $\mathbf{c}$ ) Its fluoride has a high solubility.
- $\mathcal{R}$ Its sulfate has a low solubility.
- $4)$ Nobelium did not elute from a Dowex 50x12 ion exchange column with ammonium  $\alpha$ -hydroxisobutyrate in the position predicted for the  $+3$  ion. In fact, No was eluted near Sr<sup> $+2$ </sup> and about the same position as  $Ca^{+2}$  under conditions where all other actinides were eluted immediately. This behavior shows that previous claims that No is eluted in the pre-Es position must be in error  $(3)$ .
- 5) Though No chloride exhibits a low volatility, probably in the range of the  $+3$  actinides, we do not agree with the generalization made in Ref. 19 that the chemical behavior of nobelium is similar to  $+3$  actinides.
- 6) In two types of experiments, fluoride coprecipitation and sulfate column, there were strong indications that nobelium was oxidized by Ce<sup>+4</sup> to a form where it behaved like a trivalent heavy actinide  $(Ce^{+3} - Ce^{+4}$  couple  $\sim -1.5$  volts).

We feel that the best interpretation of our results is that the divalent ion is the most stable species for nobelium in aqueous solution and thus it exhibits a substantially different chemical behavior from the other actinides. This would appear to confirm the prediction made by Seaborg in 1949 (20) of a possible stable +2 state for element 102 due to the special stability of the  $5f^{14}$  electronic configuration.

AUTHOFS: Jaromir Maly, Torbjorn Sikkeland, Robert Silva and Albert Ghiorso Building 71, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, University of California Berkeley, California  $34720$ 

References and Notes

- The work discussed in this article was done under the auspices of the  $1.$ U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.
- $2.$ On leave of absence from the Institute of Nuclear Research, Prague, Czechoslovakia, 1967-1968.
- 3. P. R. Fields, A. M. Friedman, J. Milsted, H. Atterling, W. Forsling, L. W. Holm, B. Astrom, Phys. Rev. 107, 1460 (1957) and Arkiv for Phys. 15, 225  $(1959)$ .
- Ju. T. Chuburkov, R. Caletka, M. R. Shalaevsky, I. Zvara, Preprint  $4.$ JINR P6-3076, Dubna, 1966.
- 5. J. Maly, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-17524, May 1967.
- 6. J. Maly and B. B. Cunningham, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-17679, July 1967.
- $7.$ E. K. Hulet, R. W. Lougheed, J. D. Brady, R. E. Stone, M. S. Coops, Science, 158, 486 (1967).
- V. A. Druin, G. N. Alkapjev, A. G. Dernier, Yu. V. Lobanov, B. V. Fefilov, 8. G. N. Flerov, L. P. Cheluokov, Atomnaja Energia 22, 127 (1967).
- A. Ghiorso, T. Sikkeland, M. J. Nurmia, Phys. Rev. Letters 18, 11, 9. 401 (1967).
- G. N. Flerov, S. M. Polikanov, V. L. Micheev, V. J. Iljuschenko, 10. V. F. Kuschinruk, M. V. Miller, A. M. Sukhov, V. A. Schegolev, Yadern. Fisika 5, 1186 (1967).
- 11. Preliminary report of the present work was given by one of us (A.G.) at The Symposium of Maria Skladowska-Curie Centenary, Warsaw, Poland, October 17-20, 1967.

 $\phi$  ,

A. Ghiorso and T. Sikkeland, Physics Today 20, 25 (1967). 12.

- G. R. Choppin, Experimental Nuclear Chemistry, Prentice Hall, Englewood 13. Cliffs, N. J. (1961).
- $14.$ In this, and subsequent experiments, the probable error in the tracer percentages is  $\leq 10\%$ .
- G. T. Seaborg, The Transuranium Elements, Yale University Press, New Haven  $15.$  $(1958).$
- 16. H. W. Kirby, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 25, 483 (1965).
- $17.$ H. W. Kirby, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 27, 1700 (1965).
- $18.$ H. Hamoguchi, N. Onuma, T. Watanabe, R. Kuroda, Nature, 211, 1295 (1965).
- G. N. Flerov, Preprint D7-3444, JINR, Dubna, 1967. 19.
- 20. G. T. Seaborg, J. J. Katz, W. M. Manning, The Transuranium Elements, Part IV, National Nuclear Energy Series, Vol. 14B, Paper 21.1, McGraw-Hill, New York (1949).
- We thank Professor B. B. Cunningham for many suggestions, Mr. T. Bowman 21. for help in preparation of the Pu target, and the HILAC crew. One of us (J.M.) expresses his gratitude to IAEA, Vienna, for a research grant.

 $-11-$ 

		Table 1. Results of Electrodeposition (A) and Chloride Volatility (B) Experiments.						
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



្មែ

UCRL-17995

Results of Elution from Cation Exchange Column with ammonium  $\alpha$ -hydroxisobutyrate(A), Table 2. Fluoride Coprecipitation with La and Ba Carrier (B), and Elution from SrSO<sub>4</sub> column with ł,  $6N$  H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (c).



 $-12-$ 

# Figure Caption

Elution of nobelium from a heated  $(80^{\circ}\text{C})$ , Dowex 50x12 cation Fig. 1

exchange column with  $1.9M$ , pH 4.8 ammonium  $\alpha$ -hydroxyisobutyrate.



 $-14-$ 

UCRL-17995

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) &= \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) \end{split}$  $\sim 10^{-10}$  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A})$  .  $\label{eq:2.1} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})$ 

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}$