

UC Office of the President

Recent Work

Title

Synthetic MSC?

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7jd3b868>

Journal

Circulation Research, 120(11)

ISSN

0009-7330

Authors

Monsanto, Megan M
Wang, Bingyan J
Sussman, Mark A

Publication Date

2017-05-26

DOI

10.1161/circresaha.117.310986

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed



Published in final edited form as:

Circ Res. 2017 May 26; 120(11): 1694–1695. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.310986.

Synthetic MSC? Nothing Beats the Real Thing

Megan Monsanto, BS^{1,*}, Bingyan J. Wang, MS^{1,*}, and Mark A Sussman, PhD¹

¹Department of Biology, San Diego State University Heart Institute, San Diego State University, San Diego, California

Keywords

Mesenchymal stem cell; Secretome; Synthetic cell

Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are a widely used and promising stem cell type to treat ischemic heart disease. Ease of access for MSC isolation and relatively straightforward culture/expansion protocols led to numerous studies to explore therapeutic potential of MSCs. Direct injection of MSC into infarcted hearts showed fusion with resident cardiomyocytes¹, transdifferentiation into cardiomyocytes and coronary vessels², as well as improvement of ventricular function coupled with beneficial remodeling^{3,4}. However, as is the case for multiple types of cardiac stem cell therapies, uncertainty and limitations also exist in adoptive transfer of MSCs. Major issues include poor intramyocardial engraftment, negligible long-term retention, marginal transdifferentiation capacity, and modest understanding of biologically relevant cytokines released following transplantation. MSCs are postulated to ameliorate heart disease through release of soluble factors that contribute to extracellular matrix remodeling, pro-survival and proliferative signaling, and also stimulate cell-cell communication and recruitment of endogenous cardiac stem cells through paracrine action⁵. To support the paracrine hypothesis of action, MSC secretome products have been suggested to serve as an alternative to MSC therapy. Concentrated MSC-conditioned medium enriched for cellular secretion significantly reduced infarct size and improved ventricular function following intramyocardial delivery⁶. However, the short half-life of released cytokines and inability to maintain cytokine production following delivery are limitations of cytokine/paracrine-based treatments. Exosomes derived from MSCs, bi-lipid membrane bound vesicles carrying secretory signaling molecules, exert cardioprotective actions but were less potent relative to conditioned medium⁷. Therefore, an important challenge remains as to how the secreted cocktail from MSCs can be most effectively delivered. A new study in this current issue of *Circulation Research* offers a simple and effective maneuver, using the MSC's own vessel to bag its own goodies.

Corresponding Author: Mark A. Sussman, PhD, SDSU Heart Institute and Department of Biology, San Diego State University, 5500 Campanile Drive, San Diego, CA 92182, Phone: (619) 594-8632, Fax: (619) 594-8635, heartman4ever@icloud.com.

*Authors contributed equally to manuscript.

Disclosures

M.A. Sussman is a founder and co-owner of CardioCreate Inc. M. Monsanto and B. Wang report no conflicts.

The study by Luo *et al.*⁸ in this issue of *Circulation Research* used fabricated synthetic MSCs (synMSCs), a cell-free therapeutic microparticle with cell membranes derived from MSCs that encapsulate concentrated MSC secretome. Briefly, poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) was used to encapsulate enriched secretome harvested from MSC conditioned medium and the PLGA-secretome emulsion was coated with isolated MSC membrane, forming a sphere structure with a cell membrane on the surface and the full spectrum of cell secretome captured inside. These synMSCs share similar cell dimension and surface markers as human bone marrow-derived MSCs. Secretory molecules such as VEGF, SDF-1, IGF-1 can be continuously released from synMSCs for up to one week. When injected into infarcted hearts, synMSCs significantly reduced infarct size and maintained thicker ventricular wall at 14 days, to the same extent as intact MSC-based therapy. Therapeutic benefits of synMSCs were thereby explained by paracrine effects along with recruitment of endogenous c-Kit⁺ cardiac progenitor cells and promotion of angiogenesis consistent with increased number of CD34⁺ cells.

Immunomodulatory properties of synMSCs were also postulated to confer added benefits. MSCs have reduced expression of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I and costimulatory molecules such as CD40, CD80, and CD86⁹. This hypoimmune profile prompted Luo *et al.* to coat microparticles with MSC cell membranes. Unlike other non-cellular based therapies, such as exosomes or injection of soluble factors^{5,10}, the synMSC membranes may allow for increased duration prior to clearance due to their larger size and lowered immunogenicity. With the advantage of a native MSC surface profile, synMSCs interact with and stimulate cardiomyocyte contractility when co-cultured with neonatal rat cardiomyocytes *in vitro*. MSCs may also exert protective effects upon resident cardiac cells after ischemic damage via direct cell-to-cell interactions and small diameter nanotubes that facilitate intercellular communication including exchange of mitochondria^{11,12}. Direct exchange of intracellular components and information is clearly a limitation of synMSCs that lack mitochondria, leading to diminished cellular communicative capacity. In addition to nanotubes and gap junctions¹³, cell surface receptors and membrane bound proteins allow cells in close proximity to interact. The most likely reason why synMSCs show improved cardiomyocyte contractility and increased cardiomyocyte number relative to treatment with uncoated microparticles is the retention of MSC cell surface proteins on the synMSCs membrane. However, if administration of cell-free secretome is not significantly more efficacious than intact MSCs, then what makes synMSC more attractive than real MSC?

The simple answer is ease of synMSC cryopreservation and subsequent delivery to patient. Freeze-thawing presents a major hurdle for cell viability, as dynamic secretome composition can rapidly change by cryopreservation, storage, and subsequent cell recovery. Because synMSCs are not “real cells”, post-thaw synMSCs maintain surface marker expression, structure and size, and sustained release of VEGF. Impressively, when injected *in vivo*, the synMSCs show decreased activation of CD86⁺ macrophage compared to cellular MSCs.

Despite the stable packaging of secretome afforded by synMSCs, the composition and sustainability of the MSC secretome once injected *in vivo* still remains unclear. Growth factors, cytokines, extracellular matrix components, hormones, are all present in a cell's secretome. Characterization of the complete secretome profile would provide insight toward

which factors are present and presumptively contributing to the beneficial effects observed. Similar to injection of exosomes⁷, naked DNA¹⁴, or specific growth factors and cytokines¹⁰, synMSCs are unable to sense their environment and adapt to secrete cytokines relative to environmental cues. Degradation of synMSCs is inevitable, especially given the myocardial environmental changes that occur following ischemia and reperfusion. Combating these issues following transplantation remains a major issue to be further examined.

In spite of the innovative nature of the synMSCs, the predominant disconcerting outcome from the report of Luo *et al.* is that synMSCs exhibit equivalent efficacy compared to real MSCs without evidence of any functional advantage⁸. This may simply be a case of “two steps forward, three steps back”, with the synthetic cell concept just beginning to be explored. Future possibilities could be envisioned wherein synthetic cells with artificially engineered customized membranes encapsulate cellular factors or microparticles¹⁵. This would streamline production by creating artificial membranes in days rather than growing up cells for weeks, saving both time and money. While cognizant of current overt limitations in functional superiority of synMSC over the tried-and-true cellular delivery of intact MSC, only time will tell if synMSC are the direction with which we should be marching.

Acknowledgments

Sources of Funding

M. Monsanto is supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant R01HL122525, Rees Stealy Research Foundation, and Achievement Rewards for College Scientists. B. Wang is supported by Rees Stealy Research Foundation. M.A. Sussman is supported by NIH grants R01HL067245, R37HL091102, R01HL105759, R01HL113656, R01HL113647, and R01HL122525, as well as an award from the Fondation Leducq Transatlantic Network.

References

- Noiseux N, Gneccchi M, Lopez-Illasaca M, Zhang L, Solomon SD, Deb A, Dzau VJ, Pratt RE. Mesenchymal stem cells overexpressing Akt dramatically repair infarcted myocardium and improve cardiac function despite infrequent cellular fusion or differentiation. *Mol Ther.* 2006; 14(6):840–50. [PubMed: 16965940]
- Hatzistergos KE, Quevedo H, Oskoueï BN, Hu Q, Feigenbaum GS, Margitich IS, Mazhari R, Boyle AJ, Zambrano JP, Rodriguez JE, Dulce R, Pattany PM, Valdes D, Revilla C, Heldman AW, et al. Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells stimulate cardiac stem cell proliferation and differentiation. *Circ Res.* 2010; 107(7):913–22. [PubMed: 20671238]
- Hare JM, Traverse JH, Henry TD, Dib N, Strumpf RK, Schulman SP, Gerstenblith G, DeMaria AN, Denktas AE, Gammon RS, Hermiller JB, Reisman MA, Schaer GL, Sherman W. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-escalation study of intravenous adult human mesenchymal stem cells (prochymal) after acute myocardial infarction. *JACC.* 2009; 54(24):2277–86. [PubMed: 19958962]
- Guo Y, Wysoczynski M, Nong Y, Tomlin A, Zhu X, Gumpert AM, Nasr M, Muthusamy S, Li H, Book M, Khan A, Hong KU, Li Q, Bolli R. Repeated doses of cardiac mesenchymal cells are therapeutically superior to a single dose in mice with old myocardial infarction. *Basic Res Cardiol.* 2017; 112(2):18. [PubMed: 28210871]
- Ranganath SH, Levy O, Inamdar MS, Karp JM. Harnessing the mesenchymal stem cell secretome for the treatment of cardiovascular disease. *Cell Stem Cell.* 2012; 10(3):244–58. [PubMed: 22385653]
- Gneccchi M, He H, Noiseux N, Liang OD, Zhang L, Morello F, Mu H, Melo LG, Pratt RE, Ingwall JS, Dzau VJ. Evidence supporting paracrine hypothesis for Akt-modified mesenchymal stem cell-

- mediated cardiac protection and functional improvement. *FASEB J.* 2006; 20(6):661–9. [PubMed: 16581974]
7. Lai RC, Chen TS, Lim SK. Mesenchymal stem cell exosome: a novel stem cell-based therapy for cardiovascular disease. *Regen Med.* 2011; 6(4):481–92. [PubMed: 21749206]
 8. Luo L, Tang J, Nishi K, Yan C, Dinh P-U, Cores J, Kudo T, Zhang J, Li T-S, Cheng K. Fabrication of Synthetic Mesenchymal Stem Cells for the Treatment of Acute Myocardial Infarction in Mice. *Circ Res.* 2017 CIRCRESAHA.116.310374.
 9. Atoui R, Chiu RCJ. Concise review: Immunomodulatory properties of mesenchymal stem cells in cellular transplantation: update, controversies, and unknowns. *Stem Cells Transl Med.* 2012; 1(3): 200–5. [PubMed: 23197779]
 10. Beohar N, Rapp J, Pandya S, Losordo DW. Rebuilding the damaged heart: the potential of cytokines and growth factors in the treatment of ischemic heart disease. *JACC.* 2010; 56(16):1287–97. [PubMed: 20888519]
 11. Cselenyák A, Pankotai E, Horváth EM, Kiss L, Lacza Z. Mesenchymal stem cells rescue cardiomyoblasts from cell death in an in vitro ischemia model via direct cell-to-cell connections. *BMC Cell Biol.* 2010; 11(1):29. [PubMed: 20406471]
 12. Plotnikov EY, Khryapenkova TG, Vasileva AK, Marey MV, Galkina SI, Isaev NK, Sheval EV, Polyakov VY, Sukhikh GT, Zorov DB. Cell-to-cell cross-talk between mesenchymal stem cells and cardiomyocytes in co-culture. *J Cell Mol Med.* 2008; 12(5A):1622–31. [PubMed: 18088382]
 13. Wang X, Veruki ML, Bukoreshtliev NV, Hartveit E, Gerdes H-H. Animal cells connected by nanotubes can be electrically coupled through interposed gap-junction channels. *PNAS.* 2010; 107(40):17194–9. [PubMed: 20855598]
 14. Liu N, Wang BJ, Broughton KM, Alvarez R, Siddiqi S, Loaiza R, Nguyen N, Quijada P, Gude N, Sussman MA. PIM1 -minicircle as a therapeutic treatment for myocardial infarction. *PLoS ONE.* 2017; 12(3):e0173963. [PubMed: 28323876]
 15. Hu Z, Ho JCS, Nallani M. Synthetic (polymer) biology (membrane): functionalization of polymer scaffolds for membrane proteins. *Curr Opin Biotechnol.* 2017; 46:51–56. [PubMed: 28187339]