Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

DEVELOPMENT OF A PROCESS FOR SIMULTANEOUS DESULFURICATION, DRYING, AND RECOVERY OF NATURAL GAS LIQUIDS FROM NATURAL GAS STREAMS

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7jd4s844>

Authors

Sciamanna, S.F. Lynn, S.

Publication Date 1986-10-01

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness, of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect. those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

LBL-22664

DEVELOPMENT OF A PROCESS FOR SIMULTANEOUS **DESULFURIZATION, DRYING, AND RECOVERY OF NATURAL GAS LIQUIDS FROM NATURAL GAS STREAMS**

by

Steven F. Sciamanna and Scott Lynn

October 1986

Applied Science Division Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California 94720

This work was supported by the Morgantown Energy Technology Center, Assistant Secretary for Fosssil Energy, Office of Coal Utilization, Advanced Research and Technology Development, Division of Surface Coal Gasification, through the U.S. Department of Energy under contract number DE-AC03-76SF0009S.

..

Development of a Process for Simultaneous Desuifurization, Drying, and Recovery of Natural Gas Liquids from Natural Gas Streams

Steven Francis Sciamanna

Abstract

In general, raw natural gas is a mixture of hydrocarbon gases that may also contain sulfur compounds, water and a small amount of inert gases. Conventional na tural gas processing schemes are based on the sequential removal of sulfur compounds, water and hydrocarbon liquids. Each processing step requires a different process. The processing scheme proposed here removes all three in a single absorption step and is an integrated arrangement based on the University of California, Berkeley Sulfur Recovery Process (UCBSRP) technology. This processing scheme promises both reduced capital and operating costs. High purity sulfur product and natural gas liquids are produced and all exit streams contain less than I ppm of either hydrogen sulfide or sulfur dioxide.

The UCBSRP technology is based on absorption of hydrogen sulfide and sulfur dioxide by a polar organic solvent. Certain classes of polyglycol ethers are suitable solvents for hydrogen sulfide, sulfur dioxide and light hydrocarbon gases. These solvents are also miscible with water. Hydrogen sulfide reacts with sulfur dioxide (generated by burning sulfur) in the liquid phase to form elemental sulfur and water. Sulfur is partially miscible in the solvent. Information on the solubility of hydrocarbon and acid gases is required for these organic solvents. Furthermore, knowing the solubility of elemental sulfur in these solvents is also necessary. The experimental portion of this work is concerned with the measurement of these solubilities as a function of temperature, solvent composition and water content. Solubility data were collected for hydrogen sulfide, sulfur dioxide, carbon dioxide, propane, and butane in a variety of monoand diethers of polyethylene glycol. Solubility data for sulfur in these solvents were also collected. Correlations were developed for both gas and sulfur solubilities.

Acknowledgements

My motivation, four years ago, for taking a leave-of-absence from a comfortable job and exchanging it for a lifestyle without nights or weekends was twofold. First, to have the opportunity to research a particular subject in detail and second, to have fun doing so. Now, the addition of three more letters after my name only signifies that I accomplished a personal goal, nothing else.

-.. '

While in the Chemical Engineering Department here at Berkeley, it has been my good fortune to have the opportunity to work with Dr. Scott Lynn. His patience, availability, and good humor are greatly appreciated. I have learned a great deal from Dr. Lynn and his creative approach to chemical process synthesis.

I have made many friends in the department. In particular my labmates, past and present, known as the 205 Gilman Association. Most notably in this august body are Dan Neumann, Craig Stevens, Marshall Hix, David Weingaertner and Tom Colson with whom I have shared many enjoyable experiences. A special thanks to my friend and computer-guru Dan Neumann. Thanks are also owed to Charlie Hultgren, Pete Lynn and Brian Tobey for their assistance in the sulfur solubility work.

My wanderings through the V.C. system would have certainly been more confusing were it not for invaluable assistance and counsel from Kay Ekman.

Thanks to Dr. John Prausnitz for the opportunity to discuss molecular thermodynamics and dabble in the occult (i.e. thermodynamics of ultrapure systems).

I have received immeasurable support from my family and friends. They ha ve always expressed sincere interest in my academic endea vors, even when the

subject matter was slightly obtuse. My father and mentor, Aido Sciamanna, deserves a special heart-felt thanks for introducing me to the field of chemical engineering. If it was not for his generous support, wealth of expertise and practical insights I surely would not have made it this far.

Every achievement has at least two persons involved; the first person is the pursurer of the goal, and the other(s) to keep the former moving in the right direction. I am fortunate to have had access to an infinite supply of enthusiasm, ideas, moral support and love from my wife and best friend Sandy. She has always been with me at the front-lines and I am forever in her debt.

A special thanks is owed to my friends Sam Davis and Dave Rogge of Chevron U.S.A. Inc., Western Region Production Department, for convincing Chevron management to provide initial funding for this work, for somehow maintaining my leave-of-absence and for throwing some part-time work my way.

This work was supported in part by the Morgantown Energy Technology Center, Assistant Secretary for Fossil Energy, Office of Coal Utilization, Advanced Research and Technology Development, Division of Surface Coal Gasification, through the Department of Energy under contract DE-AC03- 76SF00098.

ii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$

iv

 \sim

 $\ddot{}$

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$

 $\mathbf{v}^{\mathcal{I}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 \sim \sim

 $\frac{1}{2}$

'~

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 WHY TREAT NATURAL GAS?

Raw natural gas is, in general, a mixture of hydrocarbon gases that may contain sulfur compounds, certain inert gases and water. This gas must be treated before sending it to a sales-gas pipeline. Propane and heavier hydrocarbons are removed both to limit the heating value of the gas and to recover these components as separate products (depending on the current market). Excess inerts such as carbon dioxide or nitrogen affect the flammability of the gas. The presence of water can cause gas hydrate formation or, upon condensation, can promote corrosion in the presence of carbon dioxide. Sulfur-containing compounds, such as hydrogen sulfide, carbonyl sulfide, and mercaptans, are lowered to trace levels because of their toxicity and odor. A typical sales-gas pipeline specification is given in Table 1-1.

. 1.2 CONVENTIONAL NATURAL GAS PROCESSING

The conventional approach to natural gas processing is the sequential removal of each component of interest, using a different process for each. A typical scheme is shown in Figure 1-1.

1.2.1 Desulfurization

The optimum desulfurization process for a particular application depends upon the amounts of hydrogen sulfide and carbon dioxide present in the gas stream. An ethanolamine-based absorption process is commonly used. In water, ethanolamines (10-30 wt%) are weak organic bases. This mixture acts as a "chemical" solvent and reacts with dissolved acidic solutes such as hydrogen sulfide and carbon dioxide. Equilibrium is shifted at elevated temperatures which allows the solvent to be regenerated. Primary ethanolamines are the most basic

 \mathbf{I}

and tertiary are the least. Although hydrogen sulfide and carbon dioxide are both acid gases and react with ethanolamine solvents, the reaction mechanisms are different (Savage et al., 1986). Some selectivity between hydrogen sulfide and carbon dioxide is possiple with tertiary ethanolamines. Selectivity is normally desired since the hydrogen sulfide specification is quite low $($ (1 ppm) while the specification for $CO₂$ at -5% is much higher. As long as the acid off-gas from the ethanolamine process is combustible, this stream can serve as the feed to a conventional Claus sulfur plant.

2

The Claus process (Kohl and Riesenfeld, 1979) is based upon the Claus reaction shown below.

$$
2 H_2S + SO_2 \leftrightarrow 3/x S_x + 2 H_2O
$$

Hydrogen sulfide and sulfur dioxide react in the gas phase at high temperature to form gaseous elemental sulfur and water. The reaction is accelerated over an alumina catalyst and the extent of reaction is limited by equilibrium. Increasd sulfur conversion is accomplished by multiple catalyst beds with intermediate sulfur condensation and separation. Sulfur dioxide is generated by partial combustion of the acid gas stream. Exact stoichiometric control in the furnace is to avoid having the excess sulfur dioxide or hydrogen sulfide leave the Claus process unconverted. The control difficulties and equilibrium limitations in the Claus process necessitate treating the tail gas to remove unreacted hydrogen sulfide and sulfur dioxide. If the tail-gas stream is small, a non-regenerable caustic scrub is sometimes the most cost-effective treatment; otherwise, a regenerable process is necessary. Examples of regenerable processes arc the Wellman-Lord, SCOT, and the Beavon-Stretford processes. In the Wellman-Lord process residual hydrogen sulfide is combusted to sulfur dioxide. Sulfur dioxide is then separated from the tail gas stream in an absorber-stripper operation and recycled to the Claus process. In the SCOT process residual sulfur dioxide is hydrogenated~to hydrogen sulfide. Hydrogen'sulfide is separated from the-tail gas

stream in an absorber-stripper operation circulating an ethanolamine solvent. The acid off-gas is recycled to the Claus furnace. In the Beavon-Stretford process residual sulfur dioxide is hydrogenated to hydrogen sulfide. The tail gas stream containing hydrogen sulfide is absorbed into the Stretford liquor, where the complex Stretford reactions occur forming elemental sulfur and water. Refer to Kohl and Reisenfeld (1979) for a detailed description of these processes. Usually. the tail gas process requires as much or more capital than the Claus process.

1.2.2 Dehydration

Since the ethanolamine solvent contains water. dehydration of the gas precedes hydrocarbon removal because of potential gas-hydrate formation when the gas is cooled. Gas dehydration is accomplished with a glycol-type absorption solvent. Di- or triethylene glycol are the most common glycols used for gas. dehydration. Solvent vapor pressure, viscosity and hydrocarbon solubility in the solvent are the important considerations in solvent selection. The water content of the dried gas is set either by the pipeline specification or'by the type of process used for hydrocarbon removal. The solvent is regenerated by heating. If the gas is dried to very low water content, the solvent is stripped with a dry, inert stripping gas. The stripping gas is necessary to a void high temperatures in the reboiler of the solvent stripper.

1.2.3 Hydrocarbon Recovery

The two common methods of hydrocarbon recovery are absorption and/or refrigeration. In the case of absorption, a heavy hydrocarbon oil is contacted with the hydrocarbon-rich natural gas stream. The absorbed propane and heavier hydrocarbons are rejected at low pressure in a stripping operation. The recovery of propane and heavier hydrocarbons is typically 85-95 %. For this process, meeting the water-content specification of the pipeline gas precludes gas-hydrate formation. If the absorption oil is refrigerated. the water content of the gas must

be lower.

The other common means of hydrocarbon recovery is refrigeration and condensation in a Low Temperature Separation (LTS) operation. The water content of the natural gas stream must be reduced to very low levels to avoid gashydrate formation. Propane and higher carbon number recovery is about 60-70%.

Ù

1.2.4 Limitations

The conventional natural gas'conditioning scheme has several shortcomings associated with it. Each of the gas separations utilizes a circulating solvent in an absorber-stripper operation. There is a substantial amount of capital expense caused by the duplication of equipment in this approach. Selective ethanolamine solvents are necessary when the carbon dioxide content of the natural gas is high enough to generate a non-combustible off-gas from the ethanolamine stripper. These selective ethanolamine solvents are less basic and require higher circulation rates. An example of a selective ethanolamine process is Exxon's FLEXSORB process: Since the:Claus process is equilibrium-limited, the tail gas always contains residual hydrogen sulfide and sulfur dioxide: The tail gas process removes these residual components from a low pressure gas stream and is expensive in both capital and operation. As an example consider the Beavon-Strctford process, which hydrogenates residual sulfur dioxide to hydrogen sulfide (Beavon unit) and converts the hydrogen sulfide to elemental sulfur (Stretford process). The-Stretford process forms sulfur from an aqueous-based scrubbing solution. Since sulfur has very low solubility in water, low quality, collodial sulfur is produced. The sulfur is floated to the top of the solution by air sparging and then skimmed off the top. The sulfur slurry is then filtered or centrifuged to produce a sulfur cake. This sulfur separation step is difficult to operate and is a serious limitation of the Stretford process.

1.3 UCBSRP: NEW APPROACH

Common to each gas separation in the conventional processing scheme is an absorber-stripper operation with a circulating solvent. Since each of these steps uses a different solvent but similar equipment, it is worthwhile to consider in tegrating them into one process. The UCBSRP has only one absorption step in which the solvent contacts the natural gas stream to remove all of these components. Furthermore. by reacting the hydrogen sulfide to sulfur in a liquidphase reaction, the need for the Claus process and tail gas plant is eliminated. A substantial capital saving and reduced operating expenses are possible.

The oxidation of hydrogen sulfide to elemental sulfur using sulfur dioxide is the well-known Claus reaction. Obtaining complete reaction is favored by having as low a temperature as possible while still maintaining an acceptable reaction rate. When this reaction is carried out in the gas phase (as in the Claus process) the minimum temperature is set by the dewpoint of sulfur. Reaction can be further enhanced by reacting at a lower temperature, in a liquid phase below the sulfur dewpoint, and by using a suitable catalyst to maintain the reaction rate. Th is approach has been used in the Townsend process (Townsend, 1965) and the IFP process (Renault, 1969). The Townsend process uses a polyglycol solvent with water as a catalyst while the IFP process uses a polyglycol ether solvent with an undisclosed catalyst. These processes have not found wide spread application because of their inability to meet stringent outlet specifications and air quality standards.

The University of California, Berkeley, Sulfur Recovery Process (UCBSRP) is similar to the Townsend process but has a superior catalyst and processing scheme. The kinetics of this reaction in the presence of a homogenous liquid phase catalyst has been studied by Neumann (1986). The reaction is first-order in both hydrogen sulfide and sulfur dioxide and depends on the concentration of the homogenous liquid-phase catalyst. Substituted tertiary aromatic amines, such as

5

, ,

N.N-dimethylaniline (DMA). and heterocyclic nitrogen compounds, such as 3 pyridylcarbinol, are catalysts for this reaction. The heterocyclic compounds are more effective catalysts than are the tertiary amine compounds.

Some novel aspects of the UCBSRP are: First, the process configuration allows maintaining exact overall stoichiometry between hydrogen sulfide and sulfur, dioxide while maintaining an excess of one reactant or the other in each reaction zone. This scheme is necessary since the reaction is first-order in both reactants. An excess of one reactant is nceded to maintain the fast reaction rate needed for complete annilation of the limiting reactant. Second, the option of carrying out a portion of the reaction in the main absorber provides the driving force needed to reduce the hydrogen sulfide content of the treated gas stream to \langle 1 ppm.

Ł

1.4 OBJECTIVES

1.4.1 UCBSRP

The objectives of the UCBSRP project are to collect the reaction-rate and ph ysical-property data' needed to design the process and to perform a competent evaluation of it. The project scope is summarized as follows:

I. Sob'ent Selection

- a. *Sulfur* / *Solvent Equilibria*
- **b.** *Gas/Solvent Equilibria*
- c. *Water* / *Solvent Equilibria*
- d. *Materials Compatibility*
- *2. Catalyst Selection*
- *3. Parameters Controlling Crystal Size*
- 4. Parameters Controlling Reactive Absorption
- *5. Computer Modelling and Process Development*
- *6. Cost Comparison with Conventional Technology*

1.4.2 Disserta tion

The experimental portion of this dissertation is limited to sulfur/solvent and gas/solvent equilibria measurement and correlation. The goal of this work is the process synthesis and evaluation of the UCBSRP technology applied to natural gas treatment.

1-1 Typical Sales Gas Specifications

List of Figures

1-1 Conventional Natural Gas Processing Scheme

Table 1-1

TYPICAL SALES GAS SPECIFICATIONS

Ł.

Pressure

Set by sales pipeline pressure. Typical value = 750 psig.

Temperature

Lower limit: Prevent condensation with gas already in the pipeline. *Upper Limit:* Avoid materials damage (i.e. melt plastic coatings etc.).

Water

Content: 7 lbs/MMSCF^{$*$}(147 ppm)

Hydrocarbon

Dewpoint (for California): 40[°]F at pipeline pressure. *Higher Heating Value:* 1000-1220 BTU/MSCF

Inerts

Total [nerts: 6% Carbon Dioxide: 5%

Sulfur-

Hydrogen Sulfide: < 4 ppm (0.25 grain/100 SCF). *Total Sulfur:* < 8 ppm (0.5 grain/ 100 SCF).

$FIGURE 1-1$

 \bullet

 Δ

.'

Conventional Natural Gas Processing Scheme

CHAPTER 2 **SULFUR SOLUBILITY**

2.1 PREFACE

The production of elemental sulfur by a liquid-phase reaction is at the heart of the University of California. Berkeley. Sulfur Recovery Process (UCBSRP). Information on the physical interactions of sulfur and the process solvent is necessary in deciding, how to handle sulfur in the process. For instance, if sulfur is insoluble in· the process solvent. unwanted precipitation of sulfur may occur in less-than-suitable points in the process. Furthermore, if the sulfur-solvent separation is accomplished by melting and decanting, data on solvent solubility in sulfur is necessary for estimating potential solvent losses. On the other hand, if sulfur is sufficiently soluble in the process solvent, then sulfur crystallization can be controlled in a suitable processing scheme. Thus. information on the temperature-dependence of sulfur solubility in the process solvent'is required to establish a feasible design.

The solvent of choice preferably exhibits good sulfur solubility at higher temperatures (60-100 $^{\circ}$ C) and that solubility should decrease markedly at lower temperatures (20-40 0 C). This property is weighed with the other desired properties of a good process solvent (e.g. gas solubility. low vapor pressure, etc.) in making the final choice of solvent. Also, information on interactions of the dissolved catalyst compound with sulfur are necessary since losses of this component are undesirable. The experimental program was designed to establish a basis for solvent selection with respect to sulfur solubility. The experimental work is divided into five areas.

- 1. Sulfur solubility in pure organic solvents.
- 2. The effect of water on sulfur solubility.
- 3. Sulfur solubility in mixed organic solvents.
- 4. Solvent solubility in sulfur.
- S: *Atypical ternary system.*

The solvents tested in each area are discussed at the beginning of each section. All of the experimental data are given in Appendix A.3.

2.2 THEORY

...

..,

The thermodynamic framework for describing solid-liquid and liquid-liquid equilibria is well known. Only the results are presented here. Refer to Prausnitz (1969) and Scott (1965) for a detailed treatment of the development of these theories. The purpose of this section is to establish a framework for correlating experimental data.

2.2.1 SOLID-LIQUID EQUILIBRIA

The solubility of a solid solute in a liquid solvent is mathematically described' by:

$$
\ln x_{s} = (-4S_{f}/R)[T_{m}/T - 1] - \ln \gamma_{s}
$$
 (2-1)

Where:

 x_s = Mole fraction of solute

 δS_f = Entropy of fusion of the solute at T_m (= $\delta H_f/T_m$) T_m = Melting point temperature of the solute f'_s = Activity coefficient of the solute

Three approximations are made in the derivation of this equation. First, the triple-point temperature (T_t) is replaced by the melting-point temperature (T_m) since for most substances there is little difference between them. Second, the terms containing the difference in heat capacity between the solute as a solid and as a hypothetical liquid are small relative to the latent heat effect. Third, the heat of fusion is assumed to be independent of temperature. The heat of fusion of rhombic crystalline sulfur is 11.9 cal/gm (Kirk and Othmer, 1954). The melting

point of rhombic sulfur is 112.8 ^oC. In the case of ideal solubility of the solute in the solvent, the activity coefficient for the solute is equal to unity. This implies that a plot of $\ln x_s$ vs. T_m/T would yield a straight line with a slope of $-\frac{S_f}{R}$. It also necessitates that the solute and solvent be completely miscible at the melting point of the solute. Non-ideal behavior requires a method for predicting activity coefficients of solid solutes in liquid solvents. Prausnitz (1969) suggests that a reasonable estimate can be made with the Scatchard-Hildebrand relation and regular-solution theory. The determination of activity coefficients by this method requires thermodynamic information on the solvent and the solute as a hypothetical sub-cooled liquid. However, an empirical correlation of sulfur solubility with temperature is adequate for the purposes of this work and therefore no further analysis is necessary.

Since the organic solvents tested in this study have very different molecular weights, weight fractions rather than mole fractions of dissolved sulfur have been correlated with the inverse. absolute temperature of the solution, normalized with the absolute temperature of the melting point of sulfur. If, at the melting point of the solute, the solvent and solute are not miscible then two liquid phases are formed. Above this temperature, liquid solute, rather than solid solute, is in equilibrium with the solvent.

2.2.2 LIQUID-LIQUID EQUILIBRIUM

The-mathematical description of liquid-liquid phase equilibrium (LLE) is a complex problem since mutual distribution of each liquid component can occur. The purpose of the experimental portion of this work is to measure the solubility limit of sulfur in various solvents over the temperature range of interest. To correlate LLE data within a fundamental framework capable of predictive behavior, requires that the composition of each phase be known. Unfortunately, the determination of the composition of the sulfur-rich liquid phase was not

within the scope of this work. It is interesting to note that if the activity coefficent is based on the two-suffix Margules equation then it is possible to fit the single adjustable parameter with solubility-limit data. However, this model predicts identical mutual solubilities of the two liquids in each other. This does not hold for sulfur and the various solvents of interest. For the purposes of correlating the data above the melting point of sulfur, the solubility is expressed as $\ln w_s = A^*(T_m/T) + B$, where w_s is the weight percent of sulfur and A and B are fitted parameters.

2.3 EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS

...

...

The experimental apparatus was extremely simple in construction. Refer to Figure 2-1 for a schematic of the equipment. The main piece of equipment was a combination hotplate and magnetic stirrer modified to include a shut-off relay for' regulating the hotplate temperature. The temperature sensor was an on-off type \hat{i} mercury thermoregulator. The heating bath consisted of a 400 ml beaker filled with clear mineral oil and a stirring bar. A 2x15 cm test tube containing a small magnetic stirring bar served as the sample holder. A thermometer of appropriate range was used to monitor the temperature inside the test tube. Various clamps and a ringstand were used to suspend the necessary equipment. The mercury thermoregulator was immersed in the oil bath, which was placed atop the hotplate/stirrer. The test tube containing the sulfur/solvent sample and the small stirring bar was suspended directly above the larger stirring bar in the mineral oil bath. The magnetic coupling between the two stirring bars was sufficient to cause mixing of the sulfur/solvent sample when the magnetic stirrer was turned on .

2.4 BINARY & PSEUDO-BINARY SYSTEMS

The binary systems in this investigation were sulfur and pure organic solvents. The pseudo-binary classification refers to the solubility of sulfur in a mixture of

solvents. Two studies were conducted under the heading of pseudo-binary solvents: sulfur solubility in organic solvent-water mixtures and in mixtures of organic solvents. Each of these studies are discussed separately.

2.4.1 EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

An amount of sulfur was added to a pre-weighed test tube containing the small stirring bar. The mass of sulfur added was determined from the weight difference. Solvent was added to give a total combined.mass (solvent plus sulfur) of about 13 grams; This volume of mixture insured adequate coverage of the thermometer bulb. Initially, the sample was heated until all of the sulfur had dissolved and then the solution was cooled until the sulfur recrystallized. The dissolution temperature required was as high as 150 $^{\circ}$ C, depending upon the particular solvent and the amount of sulfur present. If the temperature exceeded about 165 $\mathrm{^0C}$, the undissolved molten sulfur converted to the amorphous state and was insoluble at any temperature. This initial heating and recrystallization step was found to be necessary because the crystalline form of the reagent grade (sublimed) sulfur dissolved very slowly. The recrystallized" sulfur dissolved much more rapidly and at a much lower temperature. A melting point determination indicated the reagent sulfur is in the form of monoclinic crystals whereas the recrystallized material is rhombic sulfur.

The solubility of sulfur in the solvent was found by slowly heating $(1 \text{ }^{\circ}C/\text{min})$ or less) the oil bath and immersed test tube while both are being stirred. The temperature at which the last bit of sulfur dissolved was taken as the solubility temperature for that concentration of sulfur in the solvent. The crucial part of this procedure is to heat the system at a very slow rate as the last small amount of sulfur is dissolving. Unfortunately, this temperature cannot be checked by cooling because the sulfur will supersaturate in the solvent. However, with care this technique is reproducible to within 1^oC . This technique is limited to

solubility measurements above room temperature (refer to Appendix A.1 for subroom temperature analysis) but less than 150 $^{\circ}$ C. Amounts of sulfur less than 0.25 wt% are too difficult to see and above 90 wt% sulfur there is not enough liquid present to effectively mix the sample. Also, in the case of mixed solvents with the possibility of phase splitting, the minor phase may float rather than sink. This makes it difficult to tell when only a single phase is present.

2.4.2 PURE ORGANIC SOLVENTS

The solubility of sulfur in a variety of organic solvents was studied. These compounds are divided into four general classifications. They are: mono- and diethers of n-ethylene (or propylene) glycol (where "n" refers to di, tri, etc.), ethylene glycol, and various nitrogen-containing compounds. The mono-ethers are products of the Dow Chemical Co. and part of their "Dowanol" family of solvents. The mono-ethers solvents tested had varying substituent terminal groups as well as different numbers of ether linkages. A homologous series of di-ethers, or glymes, was studied to determine the effect of the number of ether linkages. One glycol compound was studicd to determine the effect of substituent hydroxyl groups. Finally, the sulfur solubility in two types of nitrogen-containing model catalyst compounds was studied. The first type is a tertiary aromatic amine and the second is a heterocyclic nitrogen compound. The compounds that were studied and their abbreviations are listed in Table 2-1.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Model Catalyst Compounds

Figure 2-2 is a plot of sulfur solubility in N,N-dimethylaniline (DMA) and in quinoline. Solubility is expressed as mole fraction of sulfur where sulfur in solution is assumed to exist as an eight-membered ring. Also shown is the ideal solution solubility curve and the data of Hammick and Holt (1926) for sulfurquinoline solubility. Sulfur has similiar solubility characteristics in DMA and Quinoline and both are totally miscible with sulfur above its melting point. The data of Hammick agree quite well with the results of this study but he found slightly higher sulfur solubilities at the higher temperatures. With the technique used in the present study, it is virtually impossible to err on the side of a too-high solubility. However, from the description of Hammick's technique, his method might appear to suffer from an inadequate means of mixing.

B. Monoethers

The sulfur solubility data for all of the monoethers tested are shown in Figure 2-3. These data are expressed on a weight fraction basis for comparsion because the ethers have widely varing molecular weights. All of the curves exhibit a break at or below the melting point of sulfur, T_m . This "break" corresponds to a phase transition from solid to liquid sulfur. Slope changes that occur-below T_m indicate· some solvent solubility in sulfur. This is a manifestation of freezing point depression. The solvents with more hydrocarbon character are the better. solvents for sulfur. The solvating power also increases as the effect of the substituent hydroxyl group is "diluted" with either longer ether linkages or longer aliphatic groups. Refer to Table 2-2 for the mathematically-fitted results of sulfur solubilities in these solvents above and below T_m .

c. Clymes

In the series di-, tri-, and tetraglyme there is no real difference in sulfur solubility characteristics. These.results are shown in Figure 2-4. A possible reason for the similarity is that a terminal methyl group is similar to an internal. $CH₂$ group. That is, two diglyme molecules have about the same solvating ability as one pentaglyme molecule. Thus, polyglyme (i.e. Selexol) is anticipated to have about the same sulfur solubility characteristics as the lower glymes. Of all the glycol ethers tested. the glymes are-the best solvents for sulfur. Refer-to Table 2-

2 for fitted numerical results.

D. Glycol

The sulfur solubility data (Figure 2-5) for the solvent series diethylene glycol (OEG), diethylene glycol methyl ether (Oowanol OM), and diethylene glycol dimethyl ether (diglyme) show the effects of exchanging substituent terminal methoxy groups for hydroxyl groups. The basic observation is that terminal hydroxyl groups decrease sulfur solubility. Also note that, starting with OEG, substituting one methoxy group for a hydroxyl to make DGM has a greater effect than substituting the second methoxy group to make diglyme. Refer to Table 2-2 for the fitted numerical results.

E. Summary

The solubility curves for all the solvents tested are shown in Figure 2-6. Except for the two nitrogen containing compounds, all the slopes are reasonably constant and are offset by a constant activity coefficient (see Equation 2~1). An estimate of the activity coefficient for sulfur in each of these solvents is shown in Table 2-3.

2.4.3 EFFECT OF WATER

A preliminary study was conducted to determine the effect of small amounts of water on the solubility of sulfur in the organic solvent. Diglyme with 5 wt% water and Dowanol OM with both 2.5 and 5.0 wt% water were selected to study this effect. The solubility of sulfur in a given mixture of glycol ether and water was determined using the same method as for pure solvent. The upper temperature limit was set by the need to avoid vaporizing water from the mixture. As a result, data were collected over a much narrower temperature range.

The results of this study are shown in Figure 2-7. As expected, water decreases the solubility of sulfur. However, 5.0 wt% water in diglyme is still a better solvent for sulfur than pure Dowanol OM. From a process point of view this flexibility is desirable.

2.4.4 MIXED ORGANIC SOLVENTS

Potentially, an appreciable quantity of catalyst is required in the solvent to achieve a suitable reaction rate. The presence of the catalyst could affect the sulfur solubility characteristic of the bulk solvent. A parametric study was completed to elucidate the solubility behavior over a wide variation of bulk solvent and catalyst compositions. N,N-dimethylaniline (OMA) and Oowanol OM were selected as model compounds for the catalyst and bulk solvent. Mixtures of OMA and Dowanol OM were prepared and tested for sulfur solubility, The results are shown in Figure 2-8 and the regular behavior of the mixtures is obvious. The phase transition or "break" in the solubility curve occurs at lower temperatures in "the mixtures because OMA, which is soluble in sulfur, is extracted into the sulfur-rich phase. Furthermore, DMA extracts some Dowanol DM along with it. The shifting of the "break" or freezing point depression increases with DMA content and perhaps goes through a maximum. The limiting slope of the solubility curves is about double the ideal solution value. The linear behavior of the curves indicates that the activity coefficient is approximately constant. These curves can be used to generate a ternary mixture phase envelope. Refer to Section 2.S and Appendix A.2 for this analysis.

2.4.S SOLVENT SOLUBILITY IN SULFUR

As noted before, a "break" in the solubility curve (or phase transition) below T_m indicates solvent solubility in liquid sulfur. In systems of pure glycol ethers and sulfur it is difficult to tell if there is a phase transition below the melting point of sulfur. Except for Oowanol OM and Oowanol OPM, the data indicate little if any solubility of glycol ethers in liquid sulfur. To verify this assumption,

sulfur and various glycol ether solvents were equilibrated at 120 0 C and 140 0 C. The liquid sulfur phase was analyzed for extracted solvent. The experimental technique used to sample and analyze the sulfur rich phase is described in Section 2.5.1.

The solvents tested were di-, tri-, and tetraglyme, Dowanol DM and diethylene glycol. At 120 0 C and 140 0 C, the glymes had concentrations of about 0.5 wt% solvent in sulfur. There was no detectable change in concentration with temperature over this limited range. Oowanol OM and OEG were not detected in the sulfur phase at either temperature. The results from the indirect observations and direct analysis are somewhat at odds with each other. The absence of Dowanol OM in the sulfur phase is not consistent with the phase transition below T_m that is shown in the solubility curve. Also, the glymes showed slight solubility in sulfur but the solubility curve indicates the opposite conclusion. This discrepancy may be due to the lack of sufficient precision in the method of solubility measurement ncar the melting point of sulfur. The results indicate that, of the polyglycol ether solvents tested, the glymes are the best solvents for sulfur. To an extent, the glymes are soluble in molten sulfur.

2.5 TERNARY SYSTEM

This study is an extension of the investigation described in Section 2.4.4. In an industrial process, the separation of sulfur from solvent is accomplished by melting and decanting molten sulfur at elevated temperature. Thus, mutual solubility data are needed for an actual sulfur-solvent-catalyst system at process conditions. To this end, the ternary phase diagram was determined for OMA, Dowanol DM, and sulfur at 120 $^{\circ}$ C. This temperature is assumed to be a nominal separation temperature. Although many catalyst compounds arc under consideration, a study of this sort for all combinations of catalyst, bulk solvent and sulfur would be monumental. The results presented here should serve as a

guide to the expected general behavior for these systems.

2.5.1 EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

The ternary phase envelope, shown in Figure 2-9, for the DMA-Dowanol DMsulfur system was constructed using cross-plot information from the sulfur solubility study on mixed organic solvents. The numbers on the phase envelope represent the composition of the bulk solvent phase used in the sulfur solubility study. As indicated, actual data points extend around.'only half of the phase envelope. Solvent mixtures of 90 and 95 wt% DMA were attempted but these" results proved invalid. This was because the Dowanol DM portion of the solvent mixture would separate and form a "sheen" on top of the sample. It was difficult to determine when the sulfur completely dissolved. This difficulty does not occur when the sample contains mostly solvent and only a little sulfur. The sulfur sinks and it is easy to tell when it dissolves. Because of this limitation with the previously described technique, both phases must be analyzed (1) to further define the' phase' envelope on the sulfur-rich side and (2) to establish the tie lines.

SOLVENT-RICH PHASE ANALYSIS

Measuring the solvent-rich phase is straightforward. The method used here is as follows. First, in a 2x 15 em test tube with a stirring bar in the bottom, weigh in known amounts of sulfur and solvent mixture. Use enough sulfur and solvent to have phases large enough to take samples of each phase from the test tube. This corresponds to about 30 gms of material. The sample is equilibrated $(\pm 2 \text{ }^0C)$ in a stirred oil bath. The actual temperature is measured using a thermometer placed in the bath. A sample of the top (solvent) phase is obtained using a heated (disposable) glass pipette. The immersed portion of the pipette is first heated to prevent precipitation of sulfur from the solvent. The stirrer is turned off at this point. The heated pipette tip is then placed in the solvent phase and allowed to temperature-equilibrate with the surrounding liquid for about.one minute; and

then a sample of the liquid is withdrawn. The outside of the pipette is wiped clean of solvent, then the pipette is placed into another small test tube of known weight. The two are placed in an oven held at 120 $^{\circ}$ C. The sample in the pipette melts, letting the solvent and sulfur dribble from the pipette into the test tube. After this, the drained pipette is removed. The test tube and sample are weighed and the sample mass determined by difference. The test tube containing the sample is cooled in ice to precipitate as much sulfur as possible.

At this point, there are two routes for further analysis. First, if the phase envelope is known in the region of interest, and is assumed correct, only the relative amounts of DMA and Dowanol DM need be determined to fix the composition of the solvent phase. This is done by decanting the solvent phase and analyzing it by gas chromatography. A Chromosorb 101 column, 2 meters long, at 235 $^{\circ}$ C is suitable for this analysis. As shown in Figure 2-9, point (A) is the measured, sulfur-free solvent composition and point (B) is the intersection of the phase envelope and the line extending from point (A) to the pure sulfur vertex. Point (B) is the actual composition of the original solvent rich phase.

In the second method of analysis it is appropriate to verify the phase envelope or the actual sulfur content of the sample. Before cooling the sample in ice, add a known quantity of quinoline or other suitable compound as an internal standard (i.e. one that does not have a G.C. peak that interferes with either the DMA or the Dowanol DM peaks). The quantity added should be comparable to the estimated amounts of DMA and Dowanol DM present. As before, the sample is cooled in ice and analyzed by Gc. The actual weights, as well as the relative amounts, of OMA and Dowanol DM are determined by referencing them to the internal standard. The amount of sulfur is determined by difference from the original sample weight and the calculated amounts of OMA and Oowanol OM present. Accurate GC results were achieved using the Chromosorb 101 column at 250 $^{\circ}$ C with a thermal conductivity detector. The reproducibility over four runs using this

SULFUR-PHASE ANALYSIS

The analysis of the sulfur rich phase is more involved. Dowanol DM (like most glycol ethers) is not very soluble in sulfur. DMA is much more soluble. The basic problem in this analysis is to measure a small concentration of solvent dissolved in sulfur. Described below is the technique developed to accomplish this analysis.

SAMPLING THE SULFUR RICH PHASE

The bottom, sulfur-rich phase must be sampled without contaminating the sample with the top, solvent-rich phase. Since there is only a small amount of Dowanol DM in the sulfur phase, any contamination by the top phase will introduce substantial error in the analysis. The experimental set-up described in the previous section was used. The sample size is very important. If the sample is too large, inadequate mixing occurs because the small stirring bar cannot create a liquid surface vortex. About 1.5-2 inches of liquid sample in a 1.6 x 15 cm test tube is optimum. Glass pipettes were modified by sealing and bending the end (see Figure 2-10). The sealed pipette is heated in a "cool" bunsen burner flame. Care is taken not to melt it further. The reason for heating the pipette is to prevent sulfur from precipitating on its surface when it is lowered through the top phase. The stirrer is turned off and the phases are allowed to fully separate. The pipette is immersed in the lower phase. The bent tip is broken off by pushing it against the bottom of the test tube. The sulfur sample is drawn into the pipette and the pipette is rapidly withdrawn through the top phase, A slight suction must be maintained on the pipette so none of the sulfur drains out. The sample rapidly solidifies in the tube. The bottom 1-2 cm of the pipette tip is broken off and discarded. The discarded piece contains any solvent that might have been captured when the pipette was removed through the top (solvent-rich)

•

phase. The outside of the pipette is wiped clean, then the pipette is placed in a weighed test tube and put (in a vertical position) in an oven at $120+$ ^oC. The sample melts out of the pipette and into the test tube. The pipette is removed from the test tube and the sample mass is determined by weighing.

ANALYZING THE SULFUR SAMPLE

Since the'sample is mostly sulfur, it will solidify in the bottom of the test tube as a mass that occludes the dissolved solvent. Therefore, just analyzing the non-occluded solvent is invalid. The entire sample is analyzed as follows. (1) The entire sulfur sample is dissolved in a minimum amount of carbon disulfide. Sulfur solubility in carbon disulfide is approximately $1/2$ gm sulfur/gm $CS₂$ at room temperature. A slight excess of carbon disulfide insures that adequate liquid will be present. after the sulfur is re-precipitated. (2) An amount of quinoline is added and its mass determined by weighing. This amount should be of the same magnitude as the mass of Oowanol OM expected in the sample. (3) The sample is cooled in ice to precipitate as much sulfur as possible. (4) The sample is then decanted and the supernatant liquid saved. (5) The supernatant liquid is analyzed by gas chromatography using a Flame Ionization Detector (FlO). The FlO is necessary to measure the small amounts of Oowanol OM present. When using a column of Chromosorb 101 two meters long a temperature of $250 \degree C$ is adequate to elute the quinoline (helium carrier, 0.5cc/sec) in about 13 minutes,

The masses of OMA and Oowanol OM are determined by comparing their G.c. peaks and the reference quinoline peak. Carbon disulfide is essentially transparent to the FID; this is beneficial since the sample contains mostly CS_2 .

As a precaution, the solvent and sulfur phases are withdrawn from different initial batches. Any loss of sulfur from the top phase during sampling of the bottom phase would influence subsequent analysis of the top phase.

' .. "

'0

2.5.2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The ternary phase diagram for DMA, Dowanol DM and sulfur at 120 $^{\circ}$ C is shown in Figure 2-11. As described previously, the left hand portion of the phase envelope was determined using sulfur solubility data from Figure 2-8 and coordinate transformation relationships. Refer to Appendix A.2 for more detail on coordinate transformations from solubility limit data in a solvent mixture to a ternary diagram representation. The right-hand side of the envelope (but above the plait point) was determined by analyzing (GC/TCD) the top, solvent-rich phase of an equilibrated two-phase sample. The phase envelope below the plait point was completed by analysis of the sulfur-rich phase. The latter analysis requires the use of a GC equipped with a Flame Ionization Detector (FlO). This piece of equipment was not immediately available, so a few analyses were done on borrowed equipment. Nevertheless, the phase envelope is sufficiently known for reliable estimates. The tie lines were determined by analyzing the top phase as described before and using the. known' bulk sample.composition. The.conjugate line: was, estimated from the tie lines. Extrapolating the conjugate line construction to the phase envelope places the plait point at 0.5 wt\% Dowanol DM, 13.7 wt% DMA, and 85.8 wt% sulfur. Refer to Appendix *AA* for the data used to generate this plot.

From a process synthesis point of view, these results indicate that the separation of molten sulfur from.solvent would. result in appreciable. loss of the more-soluble catalyst component in the sulfur. However, reducing the catalyst concentration required in the solvent reduces the problem of co-extraction loss.

2.6 SUMMARY

Among the glycol ether solvents tested, the glymes are the best solvents for sulfur. The three glymes tested have essentially the same sulfur solubility characteristics. Depending, on the process application, the monoethers might also

be suitable. The presence of water in these solvents does decrease sulfur solubility. However. 5.0 wt% water in the glymes still yields acceptable sulfur solubiltiy. Molten sulfur is completely miscible with both DMA and Quinoline and probably with any other compound similar in structure to either of these. Crystallization of sulfur from solution is a better approach to sulfur separation than is the decanting of molten sulfur from the process solvent because the latter leads inevitably to contamination of the product sulfur and loss of solvent components.

..

List of Tables

2-1 Compounds tested in' sulfur solubility study.

- 2-2 Sulfur solubility constants for polyglycol ether solvents.
- 2-3 Activity coefficient for sulfur in polyglycol ether solvents.

List of Figures

..

2-1 Schematic of sulfur solubility apparatus.

2-2 Sulfur solubility in N,N Oimethylaniline (OMA) and Quinoline.

2-3 Sulfur solubility in mono ethers of n-ethylene glycol.

2-4 Sulfur solubility in di ethers of n-ethylene glycol.

2-5 Effect of substituent hydroxyl group on sulfur solubility.

2-6 Comparision of sulfur solubility in organic solvents.

2-7 Effect of water on sulfur solubility in glycol ethers.

2-8 Sulfur solubility in mixtures of OMA and Oowanol OM.

2-9 Ternary phase diagram from mixture solubility data.

2-10 Modified pipettes for sampling sulfur rich phase.

2-11 Ternary phase diagram: OMA. Dowanol OM. Sulfur
Table 2-1

COMPOUNDS TESTED IN SULFUR SOLUBILITY STUDY

N.B.: MW = Molecular Weight

...

 $BP =$ Boiling Point ($^{\circ}C$) at 1 atm.

Table 2-2

SULFUR SOLUBILITY CONSTANTS FOR GLYCOL ETHER SOLVENTS

Wt. % Sulfur = $EXP[A(T_m/T) + B]$

;"

N.B.: T_m = Melting point of rhombic sulfur (386 K).

 $T =$ Dissolution temperature in Kelvin.

Table 2-3

ACTIVITY COEFFICIENTS FOR SULFUR IN GLYCOL ETHER SOLVENTS (Based on S_8 , See Equation 2-1)

FIGURE 2-1 Sulfur Solubility Apparatus

.

Modified Hotplate/Stirrer

~, .",

$FIGURE 2-2$

Sulfur Solubility in N,N-Dimethylaniline and Quinoline

بی

 λ

ပ္ပံ

 $\bm{\tau}$

FIGURE 2-9

Determination of Solvent-Rich Phase Composition from a Sulfur- Free Solvent Analysis and Sulfur Solubility Data for Solvent Mixtures at 120°C

N,N Dimethylaniline

FIGURE 2-10 Modified Sample Pipette

(Wt%)

CHAPTER 3· LIGHT' GAS **SOLUBILITY**

3.1 PREFACE

Gas absorption utilizing a physical organic solvent is a major unit operation in the University of California. Berkeley, Sulfur Recovery Process (UCBSRP). Accurate solubility data for light gases in the organic solvent are necessary for the confident design of unit operations involving vapor-liquid equilibria. Potentially, there are many gaseous components in the stream being treated. However, only a few are of major importance. These gases are sulfur dioxide (SO₂), hydrogen sulfide (H₂S), carbon dioxide (CO₂), propane (C₃) and n-butane $(n-C_4)$. The solvents of interest are the mono- and diethers of polyethylene glycol. These solvents are characterized by low vapor pressure (high boiling points), miscibility with water and, in some cases (unfortunately), higher viscosities. In general, the polyglycol ethers are relatively good to excellent solvents for these gases. Table 3-1 lists the polyglycol ether solvents and their trade names in vestigated in this study. Pertinent physical property data for the solvents and gases are given in Table 3-2 and Table 3-3.

The measurement of the solubility of sulfur dioxide in these solvents was the subject of an earlier investigation by Demyanovich (1984). The remaining combinations of gas and solvent pairs represents a formidable amount of data collection. Data are needed at the conditions encountered in the actual process. Typical conditions are: hydrogen-sulfide partial pressure, 0-100 kPa; temperature, 10-100 °C; and a composition, up to 5 mole% dissolved gas.

3.2 THEORY

3.2.1 Gas Solubility in Pure Solvents

The~thermodynamic theory describing\ vapor-liquid equilibria. of gases in

liquids is well understood (Prausnitz, 1969). Only the pertinent results are discussed here. In dilute gas-liquid systems the solubility behavior of the solute gas will closely approach Henry's Law. On the other hand. the behavior of the liquid solvent more closely follows Raoult's Law. Designating the solvent as component (1) and the solute as component (2), the phase equilibrium relationships for both are:

SOLUTION T:
$$
f_1 = \phi_1 y_1 P = \gamma_1 x_1 P_1^S \phi_1^S (PC)_1
$$
 (3-1)

 $f_2 = \phi_2 y_2 P = \phi_2^* x_2 H_2 (PC)_2$ SOLUTE: (3-2)

Where:

 f_1, f_2 = Fugacities

 ϕ_1, ϕ_2 = Vapor-phase fugacity coefficients

 y_1, y_2 = Vapor-phase mole fractions

 x_1, x_2 = Liquid-phase mole fractions

 δ_1 = Solvent activity coefficient (symmetric normalization)

 γ^* = Solute activity coefficient (unsymmetric normalization)

 $H_{2,1}$ = Henry's law for solute (2) in solvent (1)

 P_1^S = Vapor pressure of solvent

 ϕ_1^s = Solvent vapor fugacity coefficient at P_1^s

 $(PC)₁$ = High pressure correction term for liquid solvent

 $(PC)_{2}$ = High pressure correction term for liquid solute

At pressures below about 100 kPa, ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 , ϕ_1^s , (PC)₁ and (PC)₂ approach unity. Thus, in the low pressure limit, Equation (3-1) and (3-2) become:

SOLUTION:
$$
f_1 = y_1 P = \gamma_1 x_1 P_1^S
$$
 (3-3)
SOLUTION: $f_2 = y_2 P = \gamma_2^* x_2 H_{2,1}$ (3-4)

For very soluble gases the mole fraction of dissolved solute can become quite

high and the value of δ^* differs significantly from unity. A simple approach is to assume a Two-Suffix Margules equa tion for the activity coefficient. The activity coefficient for the solute is normalized according to the unsymmetric convention.

SOLVENT:
$$
\ln \delta_1^2 = (A/RT)(x_2)^2
$$
 (3-5)

SOLUTION:
$$
\ln \delta_2^* = (A/RT)(x_1^2-1)
$$
 (3-6)

In the dilute-solution limit both δ_1' and: δ_2^* approach unity. Rearranging and including Equation (3-6) into Equation (3-4) results in

$$
\ln (f_2/x_2) = \ln H_{2,1} + (A/RT)(x_1^2-1). \tag{3-7}
$$

This is a modified form of the Krichevsky-llinskaya equation in which the high pressure correction terms have been neglected. Equations $(3-7)$, $(3-3)$, and $(3-7)$ 4) are-thc'basis for correlating light gas solubility data, At constant temperature; a plot:of In (f_2/x_2) vs. $(x_1^2 - 1)$ yields A/RT as the slope and In H_{2,1} as the intercept. Solution' of these. equations is either- by trial and. error; with an initial estimate- for the value of the solvent activity coefficient, or by non-linear simultaneous solution.

3.2.2 The Effect **of** Temperature on Gas Solubility

The temperature variation of Henry's law is dependent on the enthalpy change from vapor to dissolved solute (heat of solution). The appropriate temperature functionality for correlating Henry's law values is seen by considering the .case of a non-volatile solvent and and dissolved solute at low pressure. This derivation is given in Appendix B.l. The result is given here.

$$
d \ln(H_{2,1})/d (1/T) = \Delta H_{\text{soln}}/R
$$
 (3-8)

If the Heat of Solution is negative then the solubility decreases (or Henry's

law value increases) with increasing temperature. Over small temperature ranges the Heat of Solution is insensitive to temperature. In this case. a simple one-term expansion in $1/T$ provides an adequate mathematical description of Henry's law with temperature.

3.2.3 Gas Solubility in Mixed. Solvents

The thermodynamic formulation of gas solubility with mixed solvents is potentially quite complicated. especially if any chemical interactions exist. An estimate is given by Prausnitz (1969) based on the simplest Wohl expansion for the excess Gibbs energy at constant temperature and the final result is given here.

$$
\ln H_{2,M} = x_1 \ln H_{2,1} + x_3 \ln H_{2,3} - a_{1,3} x_1 x_3 \tag{3-9}
$$

Where:

 $H_{2,M}$ = Henry's law value for the solute in the solvent mixture.

 $H_{2,1}$, $H_{2,3}$ = Henry's law value for the solute in each of the two solvents.

 x_1, x_3 = Composition of the solute free solvent.

 $a_{1,3}$ = Interaction parameter

An implicit assumption in the derivation is that the mole fraction of solute (x_2) is negligibly small. If $a_{1,3} = 0$ then the two solvents form an ideal mixture. If $a_{1,3}$ >0 the mixture exhibits positive deviations from Raoult's Law. As a result, the solubility is greater in the actual mixture than one would predict for an ideal mixture. The opposite is true if $a_{1,3} < 0$. When the two solvent molecules differ greatly in size there is some theoretical justification for using the molar volume fraction instead of the mole fraction. If the two solvents have the same mass densities. the molar volume fraction is numerically equal to the weight fraction.

3.3 EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS

The binary phase equilibrium problem for the case of a dilute gaseous solute is described by Equation 3-7. To determine the value of parameter *AIR* and the variation of Henry's constant, $H_{2,1}$, with temperature, data are needed on the variation of solute fugacity, f_2 , with system temperature, T, and solute composition in the liquid phase, x_2 . The solute fugacity is a function of temperature and pressure as well as the composition of both phases.

3.3.1 - Approach

In the design of any experimental apparatus the following questions must be addressed: (a) what quantities need be measured? (b) what accuracy is required? (c) how much will it cost? (d) what duration of experimental work is acceptable? (e) What are the advantages and constraints of the particular system? The advantages. in working with these particular gases and solvents are"worth mentioning since, in this case, they determine the basic experimental approach. First, the gases are reasonably soluble in the solvents. Second, the solvents have low. volatility and readily degas upon heating with agitation. Also of importance, solubility data at low solute-gas partial pressures are desired. These factors suggest that a closed, low-pressure system will yield acceptable data.

The composition of both phases in this system must be specified. One approach is to analyze samples from both phases, but sampling and analysis can get quite involved. Charging the system with known amounts of solvent and gas and then estimating the phase compositions is experimentally simpler, but this procedure of course raises the question of accuracy. The error in this approach is the uncertainty in estimating the distribution of solute between the liquid and the vapor phases. At low pressure the quantity of solute in the vapor can be quite small provided the solute is very soluble in the solvent.

The methods of measuring temperature and pressure are tied to the desired

accuracy of the measurements, the number of measurements required and the experimental-time considerations. Automated data acquisition and computer control is desired because of the many gas-solvent systems under consideration and the time-intensive nature of equilibrium experiments. This approach to data collection and experimental control requires eletrical signals as inputs. For this reason temperature is measured with a thermocouple and pressure with a transducer.

The basic experiment is to charge a closed system with a known amount of solvent and gaseous solute and then measure the system pressure as a function of temperature. The fugacity of the solute is determined from the measured· total pressure and the system composition. The experiment is repeated with different concentrations of gaseous solute.

3.3.2 Equilibrium Cell

Refer to Figure 3-1 for a schematic of the measuring portion of the experimental setup and Appendix B.3, Table 1 for equipment specifications. A pressure transducer is connected to the round-bottom flask shown in Figure 3-1. This pressure transducer accurately measures the system pressure and generates an electrical-signal output. Temperature is measured via a thermocouple inserted into a glass thermowell which is integral to the flask headpiece. The thermowell contains a few drops of oil to facilitate heat transfer to the thermocouple. Mixing is accomplished by a magnetic stirring bar. The flask is heated and agitated by a programmable hotplate/stirrer (DataplateTM) which is modified to control either a heating mantle or the standard hotplate. Temperature feedback to the Dataplate is by a J-type thermocouple also inserted in the glass thermowell. The overall system is designed to minimize the head-space volume. The amount of solute gas in the vapor space represents an uncertainty in the liquid-phase composition. Also, it is important to minimize the weight of the flask so the capacity of the

balance used for weighing is not exceeded. The volume of the flask is chosen so that sufficient solvent is present to dissolve enough gas to be weighed with precision. In this work the flasks used had volumes of 100 ml and 250 ml; the smaller flask was used for the more soluble gases.

3.3.3 Gas Addition System

A key part to automating this experiment is the method by which gas is metered into the; measuring flask and its amount accurately known. The method of choice.:.used .here is gas "flow from a known volume. This method is indirect *1/* and requires accurate pressure and temperature measurements in a small gas cylinder. At elevated pressure the non-ideal nature of the gas necessitates the uses of gas compressibility data. This approach is entirely applicable to computer control. The gas addition apparatus is shown in Figure 3-2; refer to Appendix B.3, Table 2' for'equipment specifications. The apparatus consists of a 1/4-inch'pipe stainless steel cross modified to include a fifth pipe port perpendicular to the cross. A small gas cylinder (or "bomb") is connected to the vertical fifth port with a' 1/4-inch close nipple. A thermowell is inserted through the top port of the gas bomb. Solenoid valves are connected, with close nipples, on opposite ends of the cross. A pressure transducer is connected to the cross and the remaining port is valved and permits calibration of the pressure transducer. A needle valve, used to control the fill rate of the bomb, is located on the gas supply side of the solenoid valve. On the· flask side of the other solenoid valve is a precision-bore; bellows-type needle valve. The purpose of this valve is to allow setting the drain rate from the bomb at slightly less than the rate of gas absorption rate within the flask. This is necessary so that the pressure in the flask does exceed a preset maximum before the filling operation is complete.

The bomb is filled with gas from the supply cylinder. The latter is regulated to 50 psig. The maximum bomb fill pressure is set so that the pressure swing in

the bomb during sample addition is large relative to the accuracy limitations of the bomb-pressure transducer. The mass of gas drained from the bomb to the sample flask is related to the initial and final conditions in the bomb. The gas compressibility factor, Z, is determined so an exact gas delivery is made. The gas law relationship with compressibility included is

$$
\Delta W = (MV/R)[(P/ZT)i - (P/ZT)f]
$$
 (3-10)

where:

The gas compressibility is calculated from experimental data for the second virial coefficient given by Dymond and Smith (1980). For a pure material the second virial coefficient, B, is approximately a linear function of temperature near room temperature and is independent of pressure. The values of the parameters for the linear correlation function for each of the gases is given in Table 3-4. The virial coefficient and compressibility are related by the expression $Z = 1 + BP/RT$.

The volume of the assembled gas addition system was determined by displacement of water from a burette. The system volume from this method is 338.8 ml. An indirect method of volume determination is also suitable. The indirect method relics on the fact that sulfur dioxide is extremely soluble in polyglycol solvents. In this method, the gas addition bomb is repeatedly filled with sulfur dioxide and drained into a weighed sample flask containing a polyglycol ether solvent. The pressure and temperature are recorded before and after draining the sample bomb to the sample flask. When 5-10 gms of sulfur dioxide has been added to the sample flask. the flask is accurately weighed to determine the exact amount of sulfur dioxide transferred. The volume of the gas addition system is calculated from the transferred mass of sulfur dioxide, the pressure and temperature readings and the known compressibility data for ·sulfur dioxide. The volume from the indirect method is 342.7 ml. The deviation between the two methods is 1.1%. The volume based on the direct method (338.8 ml) was used for the calculations presented below.

3.3.4 Data>Acquisition/& Control Hardware-

A concern in selecting the hardware is to maintain maximum flexibility for future applications of the equipment. Refer to Appendix B.3, Table 3 for equipment specifications and Figure 3-3 for the layout. The heart of the system is a personal computer with both a serial and parallel communications port. A printer is connected to the parallel port and a software-switchable serial port selector (Black Box) is connected to the serial port: The latter device-provides forswitching the one serial port from the-computer in' four'different serial ports.

One of these serial ports is connected to an analog-to-digital (A/D) convertor (White Box). This device provides eight (0-5 Vdc) analog-inputs and four digital (on/off) outputs. The White Box is a "smart" device with an on-board microprocessor. Since the various input signals (temperatures and pressures) from the experimental equipment are at different voltage levels they must be scaled to 0-5 Vdc before input to the White Box. A signal conditioner (Red Box) electronically scales the input signals for transmission to the White Box. The Red , Box also provides electrical isolation for the four digital outputs from the White Box. These outputs drive solid-state relays that operate the solenoid valves (110 VAC). A thermocouple-to-analog converter with cold junction compensation is connected to the thermocouple on the gas bomb and output to the Red Box for further amplification. The temperature in the flask is accurately measured with a thermocouple referenced to an ice bath, the combined signal is amplifed and sent

to the Red Box.

The second serial port from the Black Box is connected to the microprocessor hotplate/stirrer. A heating mantle that accomodates the round-bottom sample flask is connected to the microprocessor-controlled hotplate/stirrer. The latter provides local temperature control of the sample flask. However, the set-point temperature~ is issued from the personal computer via the Black Box to the hotplate/stirrer. The strategy for heating the sample flask is discussed in Section 3.4.3.

The sample flask is cooled by blowing cold air over it. A solenoid valve, actuated by the Red Box, on the laboratory compressed air line is connected via plastic tubing to a copper (heat exchange) coil. The coil is submersed in an ice bath in an insulated chest. The air lines to and from the coil pass through the lid of the chest. The cold air is blown over the flask from holes in a perforated copper distribution ring that encircles the middle of the flask. With this cooling method, the minimum temperature achieved inside the flask is about 10 $\,^{\circ}$ C. The cold air could be cooled further by using dry ice and methanol instead of ice water in the ice chest. However, even if the supply air were pre-dried to prevent condensation in the cooling coil, moisture in the surrounding air would condense and freeze on the flask. The layer of ice would hinder further heat transfer from the flask. An automated, non-intrusive cooling source below about 10 $^{\circ}$ C is difficult to devise.

3.3.5 Measurement Accuracy

There are inherent uncertainties associated with the process of converting an electrical signal from a sensor to a numerical value in a computer. The following is an analysis of these uncertainties working from the computer back to the sensors. Once a signal has been digitized, the only uncertainty in its value is the precision of the computer. This error is unimportant unless one is taking the

difference between measurements which are close in value, but this is not the case here.

The resolution of the *AID* conversion (White Box) is I part in 20,000. With a maximum input signal of 5 Vdc this represents a resolution of 0.25 mY. Thus, a signal strength of 25 mY or more has less than 1% resolution uncertainty. The linearity of the A/D conversion is equally important. Information on this characteristic is not published by the manufacturer. A simple test showed the non~ linearity to be 1% on a 100mv signal and 3% on a $30\,\text{mV}$ signal. The non-linearity of this conversion decreases rapidly to an insignificant amount for signals above 100 mv. This specification sets the input signal strength to the White Box.

The signal conditioner (Red Box) scales the signal voltage before input to the White Box. The two-signal scaling operations used are amplification and division (by two). Amplification, is accomplished with precision instrumentation, amplifiers made by Analog Devices (AD524B). These integrated circuits feature extremely low nonlinearity characteristics. Division is accomplished by a resistive divide network followed by a unity-gain op-amp buffer. The division error is adjusted to a trivially low value. Thus, the signal conditioning error associated with the Red Box is less than the errors associated with upstream and downstream equipment. Low voltage signals on the input side to the Red Box are subject to electrical noise. This noise can be picked up on the signal wires (especially if they are long) and thereby generate errors. Great care is taken to provide maximum common-mode noises rejection. The precision of each measurement is described separately below,

The flask temperature is measured with a T-type thermocouple; a similar thermocouple, immersed in an ice bath, serves as the cold junction. The thermocouple wires are kept short (<20cm) and the combined signal amplified by 1000. The amplifier is located next to the reference and measuring thermocouples. This technique is used because the combined signal level before amplification is

very low and easily subject to noise. The amplified signal is input to the Red Box but not amplified further. The signal is digitized and converted to a temperature reading by the software. From measurement to computer, the uncertainty is less than 0.5 $^{\circ}$ C over the range of 0-100 $^{\circ}$ C.

The MKS Baratron transducer (Model 222B) has an accuracy of 0.5% of the reading and a temperature coefficient of 0.1 mmHg/^OC. The transducer is sensitive to ambient temperature fluctuations. This effect is somewhat dampened by insulating the unit. At low pressure (l mmHg) the uncertainty in reading the digitized signal from the pressure transducer is set by room temperature changes and. more important by the White Box non-linearity.

The measurement of the temperature of the gas bomb is made with a T-type thermocouple connected to a temperature-to-analog convertor (Omega Inc., TAC-386), This device provides reference junction compensation and conversion to 1mV ^OC output. The device was adjusted to give an accuracy of ± 0.5 ^OC near room temperature.

The pressure transducer on the gas bomb has a precision of ± 0.1 psia. Analog division by two in the Red Box does not reduce this precision. However, the nonlinearity of the White Box can be a problem if the pressure reading is less than I psia. Such a pressure is unlikely in actual operation of the gas addition system.

3.4 EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

3.4.1 Solvent Preparation

The polyglycol solvents are hygroscopic and must be dried ·before use. The vapor pressures of the solvents were measured and compared to the literature values. The measured vapor pressures were always higher than published values for the pure solvent. The solvents were analyzed (GC) and found to contain I to 2 wt% water. The partial pressure for such amounts of water is enough to account for the discrepancy in vapor pressure. The solvents were then boiled and stripped with dry nitrogen. This procedure reduces the water content to about 0.1 wt%.

3.4.2 **Start-Up**

The sample flask and headpiece shown in Figure 3-1 were cleaned and dried. The ground glass joints 'were greased with silicone vacuum grease (except for the top glass ball joint). The flask and stirring bar were weighed without solvent present. Enough solvent was added to the flask to minimize head-space volume without affecting the mixing ability of the stirring bar. This amount was about 80 gms of solvent for the 100 ml flask and about 200 gms of solvent for' the' 250 ml flask. The 100 ml flask was used for hydrogen sulfide and sulfur dioxide while the 250 ml flask was used for carbon dioxide, propane and n-butane. It was not yet necessary to know the exact amount of solvent at this point. The top ground glass ball joint was thcn grcased and connected to the rest of the apparatus.

The solvent sample was then degassed under vacuum while being stirred and heated. The degassing temperature was set by the volatility of the solvent. If the temperature and heating rate were set too high the solvent boiled out of the flask. The target temperature was set on the hotplate/stirrer such that under the applied vacuum the solvent just began to boil when the target temperature was reached. The target-degassing temperatures for the solvents tested are shown in Table 3-5 and are equipment specific. The degassing step was completed when gas bubbles stopped evolving from the solvent or when the solvent itself appeared to be boiling. After degassing is complete the stopcock on the flask was closed and the flask was cooled to room temperature. The flask was disconnected from the apparatus and the grease was wiped clean from the top ground glass ball joint. The flask was then re-weighed and the exact amount of solvent present determined by difference. After reconnecting the flask to the apparatus, the vapor space above the flask-stopcock was evacuated. The system was then leak

checked by determining whether the pressure within the flask increased over a period of 2 to 5 minutes.

3.4.3 **Run** Procedure

The following procedure was used for measuring gas solubilities with this equipment. After the solvent was degassed. the system was evacuated and isolated and the stopcock on the sample flask was opened to allow the pressure transducer to measure the system pressure. The stirring rate was set between 600-800 RPM, depending on the solvent. A high rate is prefered, to enhance mass transfer. Some of the solvents are too viscous (e.g. Dowanol TBH, Tetraglyme) and 600 RPM is the maximum attainable mixing rate. At higher rates the magnetic stirring bar decouples. The flask is cooled to 13 $^{\circ}$ C by a flow of cold air. Since the solvent contains a small residual of dissolved gas or water. the vapor pressure of the solvent was first measured over the temperature range of interest.

Heat was supplied to the flask by the heating mantle. It was found that steady heating at a rate of $1 \text{ }^{\circ}C/\text{min}$ or slower yielded a reproducible vapor pressure curve. Also, the same curve was retraced upon slow cooling. Flask temperatures and pressures were recorded at 5° C intervals from 15 $^{\circ}$ C to 100 $^{\circ}$ C.

The flask was held at 100 $^{\circ}$ C for ten minutes to demonstrate that no further change in pressure would occur. then the heat was turned off and the cooling was started. Since the cooling rate was fast and not controllable, equilibrium was not maintained in the flask during cooling. When the flask temperature reached 13 $\mathrm{^{0}C}$ the cooling was turned off.

Gas was added to the flask in even increments of mass each time after the flask was cooled. An estimate of the final bomb pressure necessary to deliver the desired mass increment of gas was calculated first. This final bomb pressure estimate was calculated by assuming the bomb temperature before and after draining were the same. If the estimated final bomb pressure was less than a preset allowable minimum pressure then multiple bomb refills were necessary to deliver the specified mass of gas to the sample flask. The gas was slowly drained to the sample flask until the bomb pressure reached the final estimated pressure or the preset minimum pressure. The procedure was repeated until the specified mass of gas was delivered then filling was halted. After waiting about ten minutes to re-establish thermal equilibrium in the bomb, the actual mass delivered was calculated based on measured initial and final conditions. The solvent sample. containing dissolved gas, was heated and cooled in the same manner as used to establish the vapor pressure of the "pure" solvent. The run was completed after the heating and cool down sequence corresponding to the final gas addition.

3.4.4 Shut-Down

After the run was finished, a bath of methanol and dry ice was used to cool down the mixture in the flask. This cooling step maximizes the fraction of the gas in the flask that is dissolved in the solvent. If the solvent froze or became to viscous to stir or the pressure stabilized, then methanol-dry ice bath was removed and the flask stopcock was closed. The flask was heated to room temperature and removed from the apparatus. The top ball joint is wiped free of grease and the outside of the flask wiped free of any condensation. The flask is then weighed to determine the total amount of gas that was added. This total was compared to that calculated from the gas-addition procedure described above. If the difference between the measured and the calculated. values was greater than 2% of the total weight of gas, the run is suspect.

3.4.5 Control Algorithm

A flowchart for the control algorithm is shown in Figure 3-4. The same sequence of operations would be followed to conduct the experiment manually. The control program is written in BASIC and is a collection of subroutines that

 α

perform independent tasks that are independent. These tasks are listed below.

- (J) *Flask healing*
- *(2) Flask cooling*
- (3) *Flask filling*
- *(4) Bomb filling*
- *(5) Estimate final bomb pressure*
(6) Compute mass of gas added to
- *(6) Compute mass of gas added to flask*
- *(7) Abort and shut-down procedure*
- *(8) Valve opening or closing*
- *(9)* Read an input signal

The main program calls these various subroutines and also performs conditional tests to direct the sequence of events. In the heating, cooling, and filling sequences where waiting is involved, it is always necessary to check for temperature and pressure excursions as well as for system errors (such as exceeding disk space). An example of the required input data necessary to execute the control program as well as a complete listing of the control program is included in Appendix B.S.

3.4.6 Reproducibility and, Limitations

The reproducibility of the total pressure as a function of temperature from repeated runs for the same gas/solvent composition is within 2 %. The major uncertainty is the true temperature of the liquid in the flask. This uncertainty is caused by the thermal lag associated with the glass thermowel1. Heat loss via conduction up the glass well results in having a slightly lower temperature $(-0.2-$ 0.5 $^{\circ}$ C) measured by the thermocouple. The thermal lag is minimized by very slow heating.

The error in composition caused by vapor space hold-up is greater for insoluble gases at low concentrations. For carbon dioxide this uncertainty may be as high as 2%. This effect is quantified in Appendix B.2. A sample flask larger than 250 ml is required for gases less soluble than carbon dioxide.

The solvent volatility sets the upper temperature limit. Condensation of solvent vapors in the upper portion of the. apparatus changes the composition of the liquid phase. and can plug the.gas-addition feed line with liquid. The ability to degas the higher volatility solvents is a more stringent limitation.

The gas addition system produces very reproducible results. The uncertainty is within the accuracy of the balance used to weight the flask $(\pm 0.01 \text{ gm})$. The accuracy decreases when the pressure swing in the bomb is too small relative to the uncertainty of the pressure transducer. This effect is minimized by reducing the bomb fill pressure so that the pressure swing during sample addition is increased.

3.5 PURE GASES AND SOLVENTS

3.5.1 Results

A sizeable amount of data (about 9000 PTX data points) were collected on the twenty gas-solvent pairs tested. At least three runs per gas-solvent pair were made over the range of 15-100 0 C at 5 0 C intervals. Depending on the gas-solvent pair, between six and eight gas additions were made per run. The range of mole fraction covered for each gas-solvent pair is shown in' Table 3-6. No attempt is made to reproduce all of the raw experimental data here. Rather, only the results for hydrogen sulfide-tetraglyme arc presented as an example. An actual run printout, the associated output file, and the necessary input for one of the triplicate runs is given in Appendix B. A plot of the logarithm of the total pressure vs. the inverse of the absolute temperature at constant composition for one of the triplicate runs is given in Figure 3-5.

3.5.2 Data Analysis

The combination of Equations 3-3 and 3-4, shown below, relates the fugacity of the solute, f_2 , to the system pressure, P, the solvent composition in the liquid phase, x_1 , the vapor pressure of the "pure" solvent, P_1^S , and the activity coefficient of the solvent, δ_1 .

$$
f_2 = P - \gamma_1 x_1 P_1^S
$$
 (3-11)

Since the mole fraction of solvent in these experiments was never less than. 0.9, the activity coefficient of the solvent was assumed for simplicity to be unity. Strictly speaking. this assumption is not thermodynamically consistent if the Krichevsky-Ilinskaya equation, Equation (3-7), is assumed for the solute. The value of the solvent activity coefficient could be solved for simulatneously by using Equation (3-5). However, since the 'solute concentrations were so dilute, the activity coefficient of the solvent was always very close to unity. The error involved in this simplification is discussed below.

The vapor pressure measured for the solvent and its residual content of water and dissolved air between $50-100$ ^O was fit to the Clapeyron equation. Although solvent vapor pressure data were collected between $15-100$ °C, fitting the data over the higher range proved more reliable. This is because the vapor pressure of these solvents at the lower temperatures approached too closely the sensitivity of the pressure transducer.

Figure 3-6 shows the results for the triplicate runs for H_2S in tetraglyme cast in the form of Equation (3-7). The nearly horizontal slope indicates only minor dependence of f_2 on x_2 , i.e., a very small value of A. The value of $\ln(f_2/x_2)$ was linearly regressed against $(x_1^2 - 1)/T$ at constant temperature where (2) denotes the solute and (1) the solvent. The slope is A/R and the y-intercept is $ln(H_{2,1})$. The values of A/R were generally scattered, with a slight temperature trend. An average value of *AIR* for the run was fit based on all the data points. This fit is discussed later. The y-intercepts, or $ln(H_{2,1})$ values, for triplicate runs are plotted against $1/T$ in Figure 3-7. This curve is nearly linear, so higher order expansions in $1/T$ are not necessary. The linear variation of the natural log of the Henry's law values with the inverse absolute temperature were fit by linear regression. The smoothed Henry's law values were computed at each data point and the best

value of *AIR* was determined for all the data points by the method of least squares applied to the equation 'below;

$$
\ln \left[(f_2/x_2)/H_{2,1} \right] = (A/R)[(x_1^2-1)/T] \tag{3-12}
$$

The average error was calculated between the experimentally measured and calculated system pressure based on the best value of *AIR,* the Henry's law· expression and the solvent vapor pressure. An average error less than 2% is considered acceptable. The run was was repeated jf the average-error was greater· than 2%. The values of the ,fitted parameters for the variation of the Henry's law with temperature from the triplicate runs were averaged to determine the uncertainty in the fitted Henry's law constants. This averaging is statistcally valid since the number of gas additions and temperature intervals were the same for each run. The values of *AIR* varied significantly from run to run. For the hydrogen sulfide-tetraglyme system the average of the best-fit *AIR* values for triplicate runs is -176 K±18%. This is one of the lowest uncertainties for an *AIR* value of the gas-solvent pairs tested, some are as high as ±200%. As shown in Figure 3-6, there is little or no dependence on liquid phase non-ideality. The value of A/R for the gas-solvent pairs tested in this study is not meaningful because it is heavily weighted by the uncertainty in the liquid-phase composition. Consequently, all of the data were collected in the Henry's law region and a composition-dependent activity coefficient is not necessary to correlate the data.

For the hydrogen sulfide/tetraglyme system the estimated activity coefficient for the solvent is 0.997. This activity coefficient is based on a value of *AIR* equal to -176 K, a solute mole fraction of 0.07, a temperature of 15 $^{\circ}$ C and Equation (3-5). The assumption of a solvent activity coefficient near unity is valid.

3.5.3 Correlated Results

The correlation of Henry's constant, $H_{2,1}$, with absolute temperature is expressed in terms of the Heat of Solution (AH_{soln}) and a reference (25 ^oC) Henry's law value $(H_{2,1}^0)$. This correlating equation is

$$
H_{2,1} = H^{0}_{2,1} EXP[(\Delta H_{soln}/R)(1/T-1/T^{0})]
$$
 (3-13)

where T^0 = 298.15 K. The heats of solution and reference Henry's law values for all the gas-solvent pairs are given in Table 3-7. For comparison purposes, solubilities at 25 $\rm{^0C}$ are expressed on a mole of gas per mass of solvent basis in Table 3-8. Selectivity of the solvents for the other gases referenced to hydrogen sulfide are shown in Table 3-9.

3.5.4 Discussion

The results from the light gas solubility study were found to be reproducible. That is, the variation of system pressure with temperature and composition from run-to-run for the same gas-solvent system were within 2% of one another. The question of accuracy is addressed in a Section 3.7. The data indicate that for these gases even the highest solute concentration tested is within the Henry's law range. Reduction of the data by use of Equation (3-7) is a reliable method for statistically weighting solubility data over a range of solute concentrations and allowing extrapolation of the data to the infinitely dilute region. The value of the Henry's constant was determined by considering data over a dilute range rather than at the most dilute condition measured. This method of data analysis insured that the higher uncertainties associated with the most dilute results are not overly weighted. The data points corresponding to the lowest concentration of solute have a higher uncertainty associated with them because the vapor-space hold-up error increased at the most dilute condition.

Refer to Table 3-8 for a comparison of the solubilities of hydrogen sulfide

and the other gases in the glymes. When solubility is expressed as moles of gas per mass of solvent, hydrogen sulfide solubility is least sensitive to the solvent homologue. This suggests that solvent-solute association is important for hydrogen sulfide solubility. The number of ether linkages (\cdot CH₂OCH₂ \cdot), including a contribution from the terminal methyl groups, per unit mass is roughly the same for all the glymes. The slight decrease from diglyme to tetraglyme may be due to an overall decrease in physical solubility. Pure physical solubility is governed by the number of solvent molecules relative to solute molecules in the mixture. Increasing the molecular weight of the solvent molecule decreases the number of solvent molecules present on a mass basis. This fact is observed in the solubility decrease of the other gases in diglyme relative to tetraglyme. For hydrogen sulfide, the solvent-solute association is more important than the size of the solvent molecule. As shown in Table 3-9 the selectivity of tetraglyme for H_2S is not achieved by increasing the solubility of hydrogen sulfide in tetraglyme but by decrcasing the physical solubility of the other gases. Refcrring to Table 3-7, within experimental error, the heats of solution are constant for a given gas-solute in the homologous series of glyme-solvcnts.

Comparing the mono-ethers to the di-ethers, the'heat of solution in the monoether is less negative for hydrogen sulfide and carbon dioxide in Oowanol DM and TBH. This is also observed for propanc and nebutane in Oowanol DM. The increascd. heat of mixing is from breaking hydrogen bonds between solvent molecules. The~energy to break these bonds comes· from the heat of condcnsation of the solutc: The effcct. is. not observcd for propanc: and n-butane in Oowanol TBH. The association between these hydrocarbon gascs and the butyl group offscts this effect. Refering to Tables 3-8 and 3-9, the hydrogen bonding character of the monoethers reduccs the solubilities of the gases. Comparing Dowanol DM to diglyme, the solubility decrease of carbon dioxide, propane and nbutane is.dramaticlubecause these gases rely on pure physical solubility. The

decrease in hydrogen sulfide is not as severe since association between solvent ether-linkages and solute is still present. The selectivity of Dowanol DM for hydrogen sulfide is better than tetraglyme. Comparing Oowanol OM and Oowanol TBH, the increased molecular size of TBH decreases carbon dioxide solubility even further but the butyl group enhances propane and n-butane solubility. Hydrogen sulfide solubility decreases because of the increased solvent molecular size and reduced number of ether linkages per solvent molecule.

In summary, the following conclusions are made pertaining to solvent characteristics. They establish guidelines for "designing" a solvent molecule to a specific gas absorption application.

- *1. Hydrogen sulfide solubility is governed predominately by the presence of ether linkages in the solvent molecule.*
- *2. Physical solubility of the gases decreases with increased solvent molecule size and weight. Increasing the number of molecules per unit mass increases the" physical gas solubility.*
- *3. Hydrogen bonding between solvent molecules decreases physical solubility of the gas solute. Hydrogen sulfide is less sensitive than other gases to this effect.*
- 4. *Alkyl functional groups on solvent molecules increase hydrocarbon solubility.*
- 5. High hydrogen sulfide selectivity is achieved by; (a) many ether linkages per *molecule (b) terminal hydroxyl groups and (c) large solvent molecules.*

3.6 EFFECT OF WATER

The solubility of light gases in water is lower than in polyglycol ethers. Hence, the solubility of these gases is lower in solvent-water mixtures than in the pure solvent. The magnitude of this decrease in solubility is important since water is added to or generated by chemical reaction in the solvent at certain locations in the UCBSRP.

3.6.1 Procedure

The procedure used to measure pure gas-solvent solubilities is not suitable

when a volatile solvent component such as water is present. A key premise in designing the equipment and procedure is accomodating an essentially non-volatile solvent. At temperatures much above ambient, water vaporizes from solution and condenses on cooler parts' of the apparatus. As a result, the water content of the solvent becomes uncertain. About 30 $^{\circ}$ C is a practical upper limit for operation without appreciable water loss. To determine the temperature coefficient of solubility, measurements must be made below room temperature. Mass-transfer effects become important at lower temperatures because these solvents become quite viscous. Below 0^oC , the equilibration time is unacceptably long. The automated cooling system is only capable of lowering the sample temperature to 8^oC . Since operation of the apparatus in the automated mode is desirable, solubility data were only collected betweeen 10 $^{\circ}$ C and 30 $^{\circ}$ C.

The effect of water from zero to 6 wt% in the solvent was measured in diglyme and Dowanol OM. These solvents were the least viscous of the five solvents tested in the previous study. Only hydrogen sulfide and carbon dioxide were investigated as solutes in this study. Runs with sulfur dioxide were attempted, but problems with the the gas addition system thwarted furthur attempts. The seats of the solenoid valves, which are made of buna rubber or Viton, swell in the presence of sulfur dioxide. Even when the valve is open the swelled seat does not allow gas flow. Other suitable seat materials are available but must be custom-ordered from the manufacturer.

The sample-preparation procedure used in this study is similar to that described in section 3.4.2. with the following. addition. After the solvent is degassed and reweighed, the vacuum is released on the sample flask, the neckpiece removed and a known amount of water is added to the flask. The neckpiece is then replaced and the flask is reconnected to the apparatus and degassed again. However, in this second degassing step the sample is not heated. When the sample begins to boil, under vacuum, degassing. is complete.. The flask is then removed
and reweighed. Any sample loss is attributed to a reduction in the water content.

3.6.2 Results & Data Analysis

The data were analyzed by the same procedure described in Sections 3.5.2 and 3.5.3, the only difference being that the average molecular weight of the solventwater mixture was used instead of that of the pure solvent. The correlated results for both solvents with varying amounts of water (up to 6 wt%) and both gases are given in Table 3-10. Each water content represents one run. The Henry's constants at 25 $^{\circ}$ C are cast in the form of the mixing rule given in Equation (3-9). Mole fractions are replaced with volume fractions as given. Values for Henry's constants for hydrogen sulfide and carbon dioxide in water at 25^oC are reported by Wilhelm et al (1977) as 54.74 MPa/m.f. and 165.8 MPa/m.f. respectively. Henry's constant (for ideal solutions) of the mixture, H_m^* , is calculated as the exponential of the sum of the first two terms in Equation (3-9), again using volume fractions. These results, as well as, the values for the interaction parameter, $a_{1,3}$, are also given in Table 3-10.

3.6.3 Discussion

The negative values for a_1 ₃ indicate that the water-solvent mixture exhibits negative deviations from Raoult's law. This is caused by hydrogen bonding between the water and solvent molecules. The variation of the interaction parameter with water content of the solvent is scattered and interpertation is difficult at best. The mixture data are plotted in Figure 3-8 as log of the Henry's law value for the mixture vs. molar volume percent water. A curve of the fitted results and the ideal solution solubility curve is also included. Average values for the interaction parameter are used. As a first approximation, the ideal solution mixing rule is adequate.

3.7 LITERATURE COMPARISON

There is a paucity of information in the open literature on the solubility of gases in glycol ether solvents. The accuracy of the data produced by the light gas solubility apparatus was instead verified by testing two systems for which data were available and comparing the results to literature values. The model systems chosen were propane in dodecane and in hexadecane. These systems were chosen because the boiling points of the solvents are similar to those of the polyglycol ethers. Data on these systems are reported by Hayduk et al. (1972). The results of this comparsion are shown Table 3-12. At the three temperatures reported by Hayduk, in the case of dodecane, agreement varies from 0.97% at 25 $^{\circ}$ C 3.61% at 45 ^oC. Similar results are found in comparing the solubility of propane in hexadecane. This systematic deviation might suggest a different temperature coefficient for the solubility of propane in either of these solvents. Unfortunately. the data in this reference are the only data available for these systems. A firm conclusion is not possible with only three data points over a limited range of temperature.

Data are reported by Hartel (1985) for the solubility of hydrogen sulfide in tetraglyme. For some unknown reason the tabulated and correlated results presented by Hartel arc not in agreement. The data presented here lie between the tabulated and correlated results reported by Hartel. No further attempt was made to reconcile this difference.

Data for Selexol solvent (dimethyl ether of polyethylene glycol) are not available-in the open literature. One solubility datum point for this solvent is given by Kohl and Riesenfeld (1979) at 70 ^OF. Converting the units to an infinitely dilute solubility yields 11.74 moles of hydrogen sulfide per kg solvent and 1.26 moles of carbon dioxide per kg solvent. At 70 0 F, the results presented here for tetraglyme predict 11.77 and 1.59 for hydrogen sulfide and carbon dioxide respectively. The-lower solubility of carbon dioxide in Selexol is probably

caused by the higher molecular weight of this solvent.

3.8 CORRELATION OF SULFUR DIOXIDE DATA

Sulfur dioxide is a key component in the UCBSRP. The solubility of sulfur dioxide in a variety of solvents was measured by Demyanovich (1984). Although, the thermodynamic models chosen in that study do correlate the data, the models are too complicated for use in a numerical simulation of the UCBSRP. Demanyanovich reported that the solubility behavior of sulfur dioxide is nonideal over wide composition ranges but that Henry's law appeared to correlate the data surprisingly well. This observation suggests the data should be correlated with respect to deviations from Henry's law rather than Raoult's law. The use of Equation $(3-7)$, for correlating gas solubility data, does just that. Although the Two-Suffix Margules equation for the unsymmetrically normalized solute activity coefficient is extremely simple, it is suitable for small deviations from Henry's law. For the gases hydrogen sulfide, carbon dioxide, propane and n-butane concentrations were always well within the Henry's law range and the value of *AIR* was little more than statistical noise. In the case of sulfur dioxide the value of *AIR* is expected to be quite important.

The P-T-X data reported by Demyanovich were taken at constant solute concentration but at uneven temperature increments because of the experimental method used. This makes direct application of Equation (3-7) impossible since the values of $\ln H_{2,1}$ and A/R must first be determined at constant temperature and then correlated with temperature. A multi-dimensional search is possible once the functional dependence of *AIR* on temperature is known. However, this dependence is not known a priori. Demyanovich reports constants for a twoparameter Antoine equation for sulfur dioxide partial pressure at a fixed solute composition for his data. This allows interpolation of his experimental data at even temperature increments.

Demyanovich's values for the partial pressure of sulfur dioxide over Dowanol DM, diglyme, triglyme and tetraglyme were correlated in the following manner: At a specified temperature the partial pressure (or fugacity) of sulfur dioxide was calculated for each solute composition and cast in the form of Equation (3-7). The values of $ln(f_2/x_2)$ were linearly regressed against $[x_1^2-1]/T$ yielding values of $\ln H_{2,1}$ and A/R for that temperature. This procedure was repeated at 5 ^oC increments between 25-100 °C. As shown in Figure 3-9 and 3-10, the results for sulfur dioxide-Dowanol DM indicate that the"log of Henry's law varies linearly with inverse absolute temperature dependence and that *AIR* varies linearly with temperature. The Henry's law and *AIR* values were regressed with their respective functionalities. This procedure was repeated for the other solvents. The constants for the correlating equations are shown in Table 3-13 and the solubility of sulfur dioxide at infinite dilution in each of these solvents is given Table 3-14. These simple expressions reproduce the sulfur dioxide partial pressures calculated from Demyanovich's constant composition partial pressure equations. The correlation for sulfur dioxide in tetraglyme is plotted in Figure 3- 11 with the data of Albright et al. (1964) for this system. Agreement is good below about 40 mole% sulfur dioxide. This accuracy is adequate for the unit operations encountered in the UCBSRP.

3.9 SUMMARY

The method devised in this study for measuring gas solubility in solvents is reproducible $(-2%)$ when the solvents have a low volatility and the gas-solutes are quite soluble. The technique is well suited to automated data acquisition and control and allows for the collection of large amounts of data. For sparingly-to moderately-soluble gases, the modified Krichevsky-Illinskaya equation describes gas solubility behavior as a function of temperature and composition. The

solubility of hydrogen sulfide in polyglycol ether solvents is enhanced by the presence of ether linkages in the molecule. For the other gases tested, the size and aliphatic character of the solvent molecule are important. The presence of water in the solvent lowers the solubility of the gas and dissolution of the gaseous solute in the solvent-water mixture exhibits negative deviations from Raoult's law. The solubility of a solute gas in a mixture of polyglycol ether and water is related to the binary Henry's constants for solute in pure polyglycol and in pure water. The natural logarithm of the Henry's constant for each pure gas-liquid pair is weighted by the volume fraction of water and polyglycol solvent present in the mixture. The mixing rule is expressed in Equation 3-9. The logarithmic nature of the mixing rule indicates that small amounts *«Swt%)* of water do not drastically reduce the capacity of the solvent for absorbing gases. However, this study has not investigated the effect of one dissolved solute on the solubility of another. This effect may be substantial and is worth future investigation.

List of Tables

- 3-1 Solvents in Gas Solubility Study
- 3-2 Selected Physical Properities: Solvents
- 3-3 Selected Physical Properities: Gases
- 3-4 Parameters for Virial Coefficient Estimate Near Room Temperature
- 3-5 Solvent Degassing Temperatures
- 3-6 Solute Composition Range
- 3-7 Constants for Henry's Law Equation
- 3-8 Solubility of Gases in Solvents at Infinite Dilution
- 3-9 Selectivity of Solvents
- 3-10 Effect of Water on Gas Solubility in Organic Solvents
- 3-11 Henry's Law Constants for Propane in Heavy Hydrocarbons
- 3-12 Constants for Sulfur Dioxide Solubility Correlation
- 3-13 Solubility of Sulfur Dioxide in Organic Solvents at Infinite Dilution

List of Figures

- 3-1 Equilibrium Cell
- 3-2 Gas Addition System
- 3-3 Gas Solubility Measurement System
- 3-4 Control Algorithm
- 3-5 Effect of Temperature and Composition on Total Pressure for Hydrogen Sulfide in Tetraglyme
- 3-6 Plot of Equation 3-7 for Hydrogen Sulfide in Tetraglyme at Constant Temperature for Three Separate Runs
- 3-7 Effect of Temperature on Henry's Law Constant for Hydrogen Sulfide in Tetraglyme
- 3-8 Effect of Water on the Solubility of Hydrogen Sulfide and Carbon Dioxide in Diglyme and Dowanol OM
- 3-9 Effect of Temperature on Henry's Law Constant for Sulfur Dioxide in Dowanol OM
- 3-10 Effect of Temperature on $[A/R]$ for Sulfur Dioxide in Dowanol DM
- 3-11 Effect of Composition and Temperature on Partial Pressure of Sulfur Dioxide in Tetraglyme

SOLVENTS IN GAS SOLUBILITY STUDY

Table $3-2$.

SELECTED PHYSICAL PROPERITIES: SOLVENTS

 $N.B.$ MW = Molecular weight

TB = Normal Boiling Point (^0C) at 1 atm

 $PVAP = Vapor pressure (Pa) at 25^oC$

 $DENS = Liquid density (gm/cc)$

VISC = Liquid viscosity (cp)

SOLP = Solubility parameter (cal/cc)²

Source: The Glycol Ethers Handbook, Dow Chemical Co. Glycol Diethers: Technical Data Bulletin, SpecialityChem Products Corp. Glymes: Technical Data Bulletin, Grant Chemical Division, Ferro Corp.

Table 3-3

SELECTED PHYSICAL PROPERITIES: GASES

N.B. PVAP = Vapor pressure (kPa) at 25 $^{\circ}$ C $TC = Critical temperature ({}^{o}C)$ SOLP =-Solubility Parameter $(ca1/cc)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

PARAMETERS FOR VIRIAL COEFFICIENT ESTIMATE NEAR ROOM TEMPERATURE (25 °C)

$B = mT + b$

N.B.: $B = Second Virial Coefficient [cc/gmole]$ $T = Temperature [K]$

Table 3-5

SOLVENT DEGASSING TEMPERATURES

Table 3-6

SOLUTE COMPOSITION RANGE (mole fraction)

CONSTANTS FOR HENRY'S LAW EQUATION

N.B.: $H^0_{2,1}$ = Henry's Law value at T⁰ = 298.15 K

m.f. = mole fraction

SOLUBILITY OF GASES IN SOL VENTS AT INFINITE DILUTION

[gmoles gas/kgm solvent]/MPa ω 25 ^oC

Table 3-9

SELECTIVITY OF SOLVENTS

(Ratio of Solubilities)

[mole $H_2S/mole$ gas] @ 25 ^oC

 $\bar{\alpha}$

EFFECT OF WATER ON GAS SOLUBILITY IN ORGANIC SOLVENTS

 \sim \sim

 $\sim 10^6$

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$

 \sim

 \bar{A} .

 \sim \sim

 \overline{a}

 \bar{z}

HENR Y'S LAW CONSTANTS FOR PROPANE IN HEAVY HYDROCARBONS

(kPa/mole fraction)

 \sim

Table 3-12

CONSTANTS FOR SULFUR DIOXIDE SOLUBILITY CORRELATION

 $H_{2,1} = H_{2,1}^0$ EXP[($\triangle H^{soln}/R$)(1/T-1/T^o)]

 $(A/R) = (A/R)_{0} + (T-T^{0})(A/R)_{1}$

N.B.: T^0 = 298.15 K

Table 3-13

SOLUBILITY OF SULFUR DIOXIDE IN ORGANIC SOLVENTS AT INFINITE DILUTION

[gmoles Gas/Kgm Solvent]/MPa @ $25^{0}C$

To Power Supply/Readout

FIGURE 3-3 Gas Solubility Measurement System

FIGURE 3-5

 ∞

 \bullet

FIGURE 3-6

FIGURE 3-7 Effect of Temperature on Henry's Law Constant $10000 \frac{\text{for Hydrogen Sulfide in Tetraglyme}}{}$ $H_{2,1}$ 1000 (kPa/m.f.) o Run 1 o Run 2 \triangle Run 3 100'~--~--~--~--~--~--~--~--~ 2.7 2.8 2.9 3.0 3.1 3.2 -3.3 3.4 3.5 $[1,000/T]$ (K^{-1})

co N

FIGURE 3-8

 $\frac{8}{3}$

 94

•

FIGURE 3-11

CHAPTER 4 PROCESS SYNTHESIS

4.1 PREFACE

The University of California, Berkeley, Sulfur Recovery Process (UCBSRP) technology is applicable to a wide variety of gas streams containing hydrogen sulfide $(H₂S)$. The application of the UCBSRP to treatment of recycle gas from a crude oil residuum hydrotreater and to a coal gasification stream have been discussed, respectively, by Lynn et al (1986) and by Neumann (I986). The focus of this work is the treatment of sour natural gas for conditioning to sales pipeline specifications. The conditioning process entails dehydration, desulfurization and recovery of natural gas liquids (propane and higher hydrocarbons). The conventional approach is sequential processing to meet each specification.

In this study the performance of the conventional processing scheme shown in Figure 4-1 is used as a point of reference. In this scheme sour gas is cooled and separated into sour gas and sour liquid condensate streams at available cooling source temperature and pipeline pressure. The sour liquid condensate is fed to a depropanizer producing sweet C_4^+ product and a sour C_3^- gas stream. No mercaptans are assumed present, so additional treatment of the C_4^+ product is unnecessary. The sour overhead product is combined with the sour gas from the "knockout drum" (gas-liquid separator) and fed to an ethanolamine absorberstripper operation. The acid off-gas from the stripper is converted to elemental .sulfur in a Claus plant and the tail gas sweetened to meet emission standards in a Beavon-Stretford plant. The sweet, water-saturated, hydrocarbon-rich gas from the ethanolamine plant is fed to an absorber-stripper circulating triethylene glycol (TEG) to effect gas dehydration. Finally, hydrocarbons are recovered in a low temperature separation (LTS) plant. Overall C_3^+ recovery for this processing scheme is typically 65-75%.

The duplication of equipment in this approach suggests that an integrated processing scheme has a potential for savings in both capital and operating costs. This study does not include the sizing and costing for either the conventional processing scheme or the UCBSRP. Only the technical feasibility of applying the UCBSRP technology to natural gas conditioning is investigated. The sizing of the stream flows for a feasible process configuration and an estimation of the requirements and costs of the major process utilities have been included for their usefulness in.indentifying areas of potential improvement.

4.2 DESIGN BASIS

A flow basis of 4986 kmole/hr (100x10⁶ SCFD) of a natural gas stream containing sour condensate is taken in this study. The composition analysis, temperature, and pressure of the feed gas stream are shown in Table 4-1. The gas stream is rich in propane and higher carbon numbers and is representative of offshore California production. The product specifications are shown in Table 4-1. The objective of this process synthesis is to meet these specifications while minimizing energy usage, capital equipment, and solvent losses. a further objective is to design a process that will be stable with respect to moderate variations in gas composition and flow.

4.3 SOLVENT & CATALYST SELECTION

The results of the gas solubility studies indicate that diglyme is the best solvent for the co-absorption of hydrocarbon gases. However, diglyme is not the solvent of choice because of the following considerations. Diglyme is the most volatile solvent of the solvents tested in the gas solubility study. Since diglyme is miscible with water, a water-wash section at the top of an absorber is a method to minimize the loss of solvent vapor. However. because of the dehydration requirement of the sales gas stream, the water wash technique cannot be applied

to the primary absorber. The efficient separation and recovery of the heavier hydrocarbons from the solvent necessitates that the solvent volatility be much less than that of the heavier hydrocarbons. If this situation is not the case, an additional fractionation operation is required to recover solvent lost to the hydrocarbon phase. Furthermore. diglyme and water form an azeotrope. Removal of diglyme-free water is not possible in a simple fractionation. These additional considerations suggest that a higher-boiling, lower-volatility solvent is desirable. An additional reduction in the solvent vapor pressure is accomplished by refrigeration of the solvent. Assumed in this design is a bulk solvent of refrigerated (10 \degree C) tetraglyme containing 3-pyrdiylcarbinol as the homogenous liquid phase catalyst. A catalyst concentration of 0.1 wt% is sufficient to insure a second-order rate constant of $100 \text{ m}^3/\text{k}$ mole/sec (Neumann, 1986). Selected physical properities for the bulk solvent and catalyst are given in Table 4-2.

4.4 PROCESS DESCRIPTION

The process flowsheet shown in Figure 4-2 was developed with the aid of the UCBSRP f10wsheet simulator. Stream flows and conditions are given in Table 4·3. The list of major equipment is given in Table 4-4. Refer to Appendix C for a description of the simulation model and the detailed results. Each section of the process is described below.

4.4.1 Sour Condensate Separation

•

The sour natural gas is pressured to 50 bar and sour liquid is separated at 35 $^{\circ}$ C in knockout K-2. The sour overhead gas from K-2 is chilled to 10 $^{\circ}$ C (by H-I) for additional liquid separation in knockout K-3. Both sour condensate streams are combined and flashed to 30 bar in separator K-I for bulk removal of methane and ethane. The flash gas from K-1 contains 35 % of the C_2^2 and 2 % of the C_3^+ . The flash gas is compressed and returned to the sour gas inlet. The sour liquids

from K-1 are throttled to 22 bar providing 468 kW_t (133 tons) of cooling capacity between 14 ^0C and 25 ^0C which is recovered through heat exchanger H-2. Some of this refrigeration is available to chill the overhead gas feed to $K-3$. The sour liquid from K-I is sent to depropanizer T~2.

4.4.2 Primary Absorber

The primary absorber, T~l, is divided into two sections each containing five theoretical trays. Chilled sour gas enters the bottom of the first section and is contacted at 50 bar with cold (10^oC) recycle solvent fed midway up the column. Substantially all of the hydrogen sulfide and some of the higher hydrocarbons are absorbed by this solvent stream. The recycled solvent contains a low concentration of sulfur dioxide. Simultaneous absorption and reaction of H_2S with SO_2 provides a substantial driving force for H_2S removal. The sulfur produced by the reaction does not exceed the sulfur solubility in the solvent. The H_2S content in the vapor midway up the column is less than 1 ppm. The top section of the column is fed with a small amount of cold, lean solvent that serves to reabsorb any SO_2 that has been stripped. from the solvent, leaving less than I ppm in the exiting vapor. The temperature at the top of the column sets the solvent content of the exiting vapor at 6.5 ppm. The water content of the exiting vapor is 92 ppm. The temperature of the solvent exiting T-I is increased 6° C by the heat of solution of the co-absorbed hydrocarbons.

4.4.3 Reactor /Crystallizer & *Settler*

The H_2 S-laden solvent stream exiting the primary absorber is combined with solvent containing SO_2 from secondary absorber, T-3, and from the SO_2 absorber. Sufficient SO_2 solution is added to react with all but 5% of the total amount of $H₂S$ entering the process. The combined streams are fed to the primary reactor/crystallizer. V-I. A reactor volume of 259 gallons is necessary for 99.999% of the entering SO_2 to react: The excess H_2S is maintained because; first,

..

it is impractical to try to attain exact stoichiometric ratio between the two reactants; second, exact stoichiometry would necessitate a huge reactor volume because the reaction is first-order in both reactants; and, third, the residual H_2S (being less soluble) is easier to strip from solution than residual SO_2 would be. The solvent stream from V-I (containing sulfur, both dissolved and precipitated) is flashed to 22 bar in separator, K-4, to remove part of the H_2S and hydrocarbons at this intermediate pressure. The bottom liquid is combined with "compressor drips", cooled to 10 0 C (by H-4) and flashed to 1.2 bar in the sulfur settler, K-6. Wet vapor from the solvent stripper, T-4, is combined with overhead flash gas from K-6 and compressed to 6.5 bar. The condensate from intercooler H-5 is collected in knockout K-5 for recycle back to K-6. The interstage knockout is necessary to minimize solvent losses. The vapor from K·5 is further compressed to 22 bar (by C-3) and combined with flash gas from K-4. The combined stream is then sent to the depropanizer, T-2. The H_2S content of this stream is monitored and the SO_2 flow to V-1 is adjusted to maintain a set-point value.

4.4.4 Solvent Recycle

Approximately 81% of the clear solvent from the sulfur settler, K-6, is recycled to the primary absorber, T-1. A fixed flow of solvent containing SO_2 is metered into the solvent recycle stream and fed to solvent recycle reactor, V -2. This vessel provides the necessary residence time (528 gallons) to insure complete reaction (99.99%) of the H₂S. The added solvent containing SO_2 represents a slight excess of SO_2 and insures insignificant back pressure of H_2S in the middle of T-l. The solvent recycle stream is returned to T-I by pump, P-2.

4.4.5 Sulfur Separation

A 50 wt% sulfur-solvent slurry from the bottom of the sulfur settler, K-6, is fed to a pusher-type centrifuge. The sulfur crystals are freed of solvent and washed with water. The sulfur crystals are re-slurried in water (33 wt% solids),

preheated in heat exchanger H-14, and pumped to the melter/decanter, H-15/V-6. The pressure in V-6 is sufficient to prevent vaporization of water when the slurry is heated above the melting point of sulfur. Steam from V-6 is condensed by heat exchange (in $H-14$) with the cool sulfur-water slurry and recycled as wash water to the centrifuge. Two-thirds of the molten sulfur produced is sent to sulfur storage.

4.4.6 Solvent & *Sour water Stripper*

The wash stream from· the 'centrifuge is combined with the balance of clear solvent from K-6 and sent to the solvent stripper, T-4. The combined stream contains dissolved hydrocarbons, water (3.5 wt%), unreacted H_2S and dissolved sulfur. The solvent must be stripped of most of the water to maintain the water balance in the system. Residual hydrocarbon and unreacted H_2S are effectively stripped from solution by the large water vapor flow. The feed to T:4 is preheated to 121 0 C in H-10 by heat exchange with hot, dry (152 0 C, 1 wt% water) solvent from the bottom of T-4. The solvent stripper contains eight theoretical trays and is equipped with a reboiler and partial condenser. The feed enters on the fourth stage. Overhead condenser H-11 operates at 35 $^{\circ}$ C and is fed with vapors from T-4 and the sour-water stripper, T-5, which has four trays. Approximately 65% of the condensate from reflux accumulator V-5 is fed at the top of T-5 and the rest is refluxed back to T-4 to minimize solvent vapor losses. Part of the "waste" water from T-5 is used as wash water in the centrifuge and part is used in the $SO₂$ absorber, T-6, to displace solvent vapors from the stack gas. Excess water is sent to disposal. Uncondensed vapors from V -5 join those from K-2 and are compressed and sent to depropanizer, T-2.

4.4.7 Depropanizer

Flash gas from K-4 is combined with compressed flash gas from K-5 and sour condensate from K-1. The mixed-phase stream is preheated to 61 $^{\circ}$ C in H-6 by

heat exchange with the bottoms from depropanizer, T-2. The feed enters on tray 9 of an 18-tray (theoretical) depropanizer equipped with a partial reboiler and condenser. The temperature in the overhead condenser is 38 $^{\circ}$ C and has a C_A content of 0.6%. The bottoms temperature is 147 $^{\circ}$ C and has a C₃ content of 0.9%. Since H_2S is more volatile than C_3 it is effectively stripped out of the bottoms product.

4.4.8 Secondary Absorber/Reactor

The purpose of the secondary absorber, T-3, is to scrub residual H_2S from the light hydrocarbons. The propane and lighter gases from the depropanizer are fed at the bottom of T-3, which has fourteen trays. The sour gas contacts an SO_2 -rich solvent stream at 35 $^{\circ}$ C in a 5-tray (theoretical) section of T-3. Simultaneous absorption and reaction occurs in the lower section and the H_2S content is reduced to a low level (<1 ppm). Some stripping of $SO₂$ will occur in this section. A small amount of lean solvent is fed on tray 13. Below this tray SO_2 is reabsorbed, leaving less than I ppm in the exiting light gas stream. Some of the overhead gas is condensed by H-9, collected in reflux accumulator V-4 and refluxed back on tray 14 to control solvent losses. The temperature of the exiting liquid is 58 °C. The temperature rise is due to the heat of reaction between H_2S and SO_2 and to the heat of solution of reabsorbed hydrocarbons. It is desirable to maintain this column at elevated temperature to minimize reabsorption of hydrocarbons. Further processing of the light gases is not considered here. However, additional compression and fractionation in a de-ethanizer would be necessary.

4.4.9 Furnace & SO₂ Absorber

..

One-third of the sulfur produced from the centrifuge is burned in the furnace to generate the SO_2 required for the process. Air is fed from blower C-4 at a rate controlled to give the desired amount SO_2 . Sulfur is fed to the furnace in excess to assure that there is no formation of $SO₃$. The excess sulfur is condensed in the economizer and recycled to the furnace. The stack gas temperature is reduced to 150 °C in the economizer and 42.4 bar (615 psia) steam is generated.

Combustion gas from the furnace is fed to the bottom of the 13-tray (theoretical) SO_2 absorber, T-6. The acid gas is contacted with cool, lean solvent fed on tray 10. The SO_2 content of the stack gas is reduced to less than one ppm while generating an 8.2-wt% solution of SO_2 . A small water wash section at the top serves to minimize solvent loss. The solvent temperature rise is 27 °C. This is caused by cooling the hot gas and by the heat of solution of absorbed SO_2 . The solution is cooled to 35^oC in H-16.

4.5 PROCESS UTILITIES

The major utilities use and costs are given in Table 4-5. The total utilities cost is about 1.5 million dollars per year. Most of the cost is attributed to hydrocarbon recovery, fractionation, and compression. The substantial electric power usage of P-2 is inherent to the sulfur recovery aspect of this process configuration. The power consumption of this pump suggests a process modification that might be beneficial. This point is discussed further in section 4.7.

Except for the steam consumption in the depropanizer reboiler. the UCBSRP produces more steam than is consumed. Power consumption by refrigeration in the sour gas chiller is substantial and unavoidable. The temperature of the exiting treated gas is about 21 0 C and not suited for additional heat integration. A valid comparsion between the integrated approach of the UCBSRP and a conventional processing scheme would require that each processing scheme produce similiar product streams.

..

4.6 PROCESS CONTROL

Exact overall stoichiometry between hydrogen sulfide and sulfur dioxide is

always maintained in the UCBSRP. However, at any point in the process where reaction occurs, there is an excess of either H_2S or SO_2 . This excess is necessary since the reaction kinetics are first order in each component. Annihilation of stoichiometric amounts of each reactant would require a very large reactor (i.e., a long residence time). In the UCBSRP a fixed flow of $SO₂$ solution is fed to the secondary absorber and to the recycle reactor. The balance, and most, of the $SO₂$ solution is added directly to the primary reactor. The latter flow is metered on demand to maintain a set H_2S concentration in the flash gas that is fed to the depropanizer. As long as the composition and flow of the gas feed do not change dramatically this technique is insensitive to the H_2S content of the sour gas feed.

In practice, natural gas flows can fluctuate as wells are brought on or shut in and as upsets in upstream equipment occur. To follow swings in the gas flow effectively. the solvent recycle should be proportioned to the flow of gas feed. Similarily, the $SO₂$ solution flow to the solvent recycle reactor is proportioned to the solvent recycle flow. The flow of $SO₂$ solution fed to the secondary absorber is proportioned to the flow of $SO₂$ solution metered directly to the primary reactor. This control scheme follows fluctuations in flow and H_2S content of the sour gas feed. Continuous flow gas analyzers capable of measuring very low levels of $H₂S$ (1-10 ppm) are very expensive and often unreliable for process control. The major control point in the UCBSRP is the H_2S content of the flash gas to the depropanizer. The H_2S content of this stream is quite high (~2900 ppm) and relatively easy to measure with most onstream $H₂S$ analyzers.

The $SO₂$ absorber, T-6, is somewhat decoupled from the rest of the process. The air flow to the furnace is controlled by the level of SO_2 solution inventory in the bottom of T-6. The lean solvent flow to T-6 is proportioned to the combustion gas flow from the furnace. Excess sulfur is circulated in the furnace to minimize $SO₃$ formation. This scheme provides a supply of constant composition $SO₂$ solution in the bottom of T-6.

Conventional temperature control at the bottom of the solvent stripper is adequate to maintain a constant water content in the lean solvent.

Onstream gas analyzers for monitoring, but not controlling, the H_2S and SO_2 content of the treated' gas from T-I and the stack gas from T-6, respectively, should be included as a precaution during upset conditions.

4.7 SUMMARY

A version of the UCBSRP technology is applied here to sour natural gast conditioning. This integrated approach requires less equipment than does a conventional processing scheme. Most of the energy consumed by the process is associated with the recovery and fractionation of propane and heavier hydrocarbons. Approximately 92% of the electrical power usage and 89% of the cooling requirement is associated with hydrocarbon recovery and separation. The heat generated by the sulfur furnace more than offsets the heat requirements that are only associated with desulfurization of the natural gas stream. The substantial power used by 'the solvent-recycle pump suggests that the use of a power-recovery hydraulic turbine might be economically justified.

The thermodynamics used in the UCBSRP flowsheet simulator are approximate for vapor-liquid equilibria in the absence of solvent. Consequently, equilibria calculations involving straight hydrocarbons are estimated to be good to ± 10%.

..

List of Figures

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

4-1 Conventional Natural Gas Procesing Scheme 4-2 UCBSRP: Natural Gas Processing Scheme

t,

Table 4-1

DESIGN BASIS

Feed

 Δ

Product Specifications

Table 4-2

SELECTED PHYSICAL PROPERITIES

Solvent: *Tetraglyme*

Catalyst: *3-pyrdiyl carbinol (3-Hydroxymethylpyridine)*

Molecular Weight = 109.13
Boiling Point = $266 °C$ Boiling Point = 266 °c @ 1 atm Liquid Density = 1.132 g/ml @ 20 °C Solubility in water = miscible ω 20 °C Freezing Point $= -8$ °C

 \bullet

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\ddot{\bullet}$

 $\bar{\beta}$

 \sim

Streams Flows and Conditions

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$

 89

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

MAJOR EQUIPMENT LIST

No. of Theoretical Trays

101

Table 4-5

UTILITIES USE AND COSTS

Electric Power

 \mathcal{L}^{\pm}

Sour Gas Chiller, H-I

 \sim

945 _ tons

• Annual costs based on an operating rate of 8,000 hrs/yr .

•• Cooling Water available at 30 ^oC. Maximum Exit Temp. = 50 ^oC.

••• Cooling available from 0° C to 20 $^{\circ}$ C.

 $\frac{1}{2}$

**** Propane refrigeration: 0^0C evaporator and 95 0C condenser.

$FIGURE 4-1$

Conventional Natural Gas Processing Scheme

έÿ

 \sim

UCBSRP: Natural Gas Processing Scheme

Figure 4-2

501

REFERENCES

CHAPTER 1

- Neumann, D. W. Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 1986.
- Renault, P. US Patent 3 441 379, 1969.
- Savage, D. W.; Funk, E. W.; Yu, W. C.; Astarita, G. *Ind. Eng. Chern. Fundam., 1986,* 25, 326-330.

Townsend, F. M. US Patent 3 170 766, 1965.

CHAPTER 2

Hammick, D. L.; Holt, W. E. J. *Chem. Soc.* 1926, 1995.

- Kirk. R. E.; Othmer, D. F. "Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology"; The Interscience Publishers: New York, NY, 1954, Volume 13.
- Prausnitz, J. M "Molecular Thermodynamics of Fluid-Phase Equilibria"; Prentice-Hall: Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1969, Chapter 9.
- Scott, R. L. "Elemental Sulfur", Edited by B.Meyer; John Wiley & Sons: New York, NY, 1965, Chapter 17.

CHAPTER 3

- Albright, L. F.; Shannon, P. T.; Yu, S.; Chueh, P. L. *Chem. Eng. Prog. Symp. Ser.,* 1964, 59, No. 44, 66-74.
- Demyanovich, R. J. Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 1984.
- Dymond, J. H.; Smith, E. B. "The Virial Coefficients of Pure Gases and Mixtures"; Oxford University Press: New York, NY, 1980.

Hartel, G. H. J. *Chem. Eng. Data,* 1985, *30,* 57-6\.

Hayduk, W.; Walter, E. B.; Simpson, P. J. *Chem. Eng, Data, 1972,* 17, 59-61.

- Kohl, A.; Riesenfeld, F. "Gas Purification"; Gulf Publishing Co.: Houston, TX, 1979.
- Prausnitz, J. M. "Molecular Thermodynamics of Fluid-Phase Equilibria"; Prentice-Hall: Englewood, NJ, 1969, Chapter 8.

Wilhelm, E.; Battino, R.; Wilcock, R. J. *Chemical Reviews,* 1977, 77, 219-262.

106

CHAPTER 4

- Lynn. S.; Neumann D. W.; Sciamanna. S. F.; Vorhis, F. H. "A Comparsion of the UCB Sulfur Recovery Process with Conventional Sulfur Recovery Technology for Treating Recycle Gas from a Crude Oil Residuum Hydrotreater", LBL-21574; Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory: Berkeley, CA, 1986.
- Neumann, D. W. Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 1986.

APPENDIX A

.,

- A.I Determination of Sulfur Solubility Near or Below Room Temperature
- A.2 Ternary Coordinate Transformation
- A.3 Experimental Data: Sulfur Solubility in Pure and Mixed Solvents
- A.4 Experimental Data: Model Ternary System

Appendix A.l

Determination of Sulfur Solubility Near or Below Room Temperature

The experimental method described in Section 2.4.1 is only applicable to solubility measurements above room temperature. Unless a thermostatically controlled refrigerated bath is used, it is difficult to control the heating rate of the sample. A method was devised to estimate sulfur solubility below room temperature by utilizing the data measured above room temperature. In this method, a known amount of sulfur is added to a sample of solvent that is known to be saturated at a given sub-ambient temperature. The mixture is then heated until the sulfur just dissolves. This apparent solubility is compared to the known solubility of sulfur in that solvent at that temperature to determine the amount of sulfur that was present at the lower temperature. The method is described below.

Make a saturated solution of sulfur in solvent at some elevated temperature. Cool the sulfur-solvent mixture to the desired temperature. Sulfur will precipitate, leaving a supernatant liquid saturated with sulfur at that temperature. Add some of the supernate to a test tube of known mass. Determine the amount of the supernate by difference. Add recrystallized sulfur to the test tube. Determine the exact mass of sulfur added by difference. The amount of sulfur added should correspond to the lowest sulfur solubility reliably measured above room temperature. Perform the sulfur solubility experiment as described in Section 2.4.1. Determine the solubility at the measured dissolution temperature from the above room temperature data. The solubility at the original reduced temperature is related to the solubility at the elevated temperature, the mass of sulfur added and the mass of saturated solvent by $W_S^r = W_S^c$ - B(100- W_S^c). In this expression, W^{Γ}_{S} is the sulfur solubility at the reduced temperature, W^{C}_{S} is the sulfur solubility at the elevated temperature and "B" is the mass ratio of sulfur added to saturated solvent. Sulfur solubility is given as weight percent sulfur in the solvent-sulfur mixture.

,~

Appendix A.2

Ternary Coordinate Transformation

The sulfur solubility data shown in Figure 2-8 were used to describe the ternary phase envelope' for the DMA. Dowanol DM and sulfur system. Data at 120 ^oC were interpolated from Figure 2-8 and used to construct Figure A-1. Figure Aol relates the weight percent of sulfur dissolved in sulfur-free solvent. The solvent composition in the ternary mixture is related to the sulfur-free solvent composition by

$$
W_{\text{Down}} = W'_{\text{Down}} (100 - W_{\text{S}})/100 \tag{1}
$$

$$
W_{\text{DMA}} = W'_{\text{DMA}} (100 \cdot W_S) / 100 \tag{2}
$$

where (') denotes sulfur-free and composition is given in weight percent. The composition of the sulfur-rich phase can be estimated using Figure A-I. the solvent-rich phase analysis, and the known feed composition. This estimate can also be made with the conventional ternary diagram; however, the'logarithmic nature of Figure A-I is easier to interpolate. Consider the typical ternary diagram shown. in Figure A-2. The mathematical relationship for a "tie-line" in the rectangular coordinate system to one in an equilateral triangle ternary diagram is described by

$$
Y = W_A \tag{3}
$$

$$
X = 100 - W_B - W_A/2
$$
 (4)

$$
Y = mX + b \tag{5}
$$

The slope "m" and intercept "b" are determined from the known feed and solvent phase analysis. Let component A refer to DMA, B refer to Dowanol DM and C refer to sulfur. The DMA content, expressed on a sulfur-free basis, is related to the'sulfur content along the "tic-line" by

$$
W_C = [W_A(1-m/2) - b]/[W_A(1-m/2)/100 + m]
$$
 (6)

The intersection of this function and the curve in Figure A-I are the ends of an equilibrium tie-line. The ternary data given in Appendix A.4 were used to estimate the analysis of the sulfur-rich phase.

$$
Y = W_A
$$

\n
$$
X = 100 - W_B - W_A / 2.
$$

\nwhere $W_i = W_t . 7$ of

component "i"

 $A \equiv N$, N Dimethylaniline $B \equiv$ Dowanol DM $C \equiv$ Sulfur

Appendix A.3 EXPERIMENTAL DATA: SULFUR SOLUBILITY IN PURE AND MIXED SOLVENTS

Melting point of Rhombic Sulfur: T_m

 $T_m = 112.8$ °C = 385.85 K

Sulfur Dissolution Temperature: $T_{\mathcal{A}}$

A. Model Catalyst Compounds

1. N, N Dimethylaniline

- 2. Ouinoline
- B. Diethers

C. Monoethers

D. Glycols

1. Diethylene Glycol

E. Effect of Water

1. 2.5 wt% Water in Dowanol DM 2. 5.0 wt% Water in Dowanol DM 5.0 wt% Water in Diglyme $3.$

Mixed Organic Solvents F_{\bullet}

10.00 wt% DMA in Dowanol DM $1.$ 25.00 wt% DMA in Dowanol DM $2.$ 3. 50.13 wt% DMA in Dowanol DM 4. 75.00 wt% DMA in Dowanol DM 5. 90.00 wt% DMA in Dowanol DM

A. Model Catalyst Compounds

~---~---~-~~~~-~--~-~~~~----------~~-~------

N.N Dimethylaniline (DMA)

 $\ddot{}$

Quinoline

114

A.

B. Diethers

Triglyme

Tetraglyme

×.

115

\mathbf{C} . \overline{a}

Monoethers

Dowanol OM

•

Dowanol TBH

Dowanol DPM

Dowanol TPM

Glycols

D.

 \overline{a}

Diethylene Glycol

•

•

والمتعابث

E. Effect of water

5.0 wt% Water in Dowanol DM

5.0 wt% Water in Diglyme

F. Mixed Organic Solvents

25.00 wt% DMA in Dowanol DM

50.13 wt% DMA in Dowanol DM

75.00 wt% DMA in Dowanol DM

 $\ddot{\bullet}$

 $\bar{\gamma}$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

 \sim ω

 \sim

90 wt% OMA in Oowanol OM

 $\ddot{}$

 $\ddot{}$

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

Appendix A.4

TERNARY-SYSTEM DATA AT 120· °c

Sulfur Diethylene glycol methyl ether N,N Dimethylaniline Dow DM DMA

Solvent Phase Analysis

sulfur" Phase Analysis

(Est'imated: Refer to Appendix A.2)

APPENDIX B

- B.l Derivation of Temperature Dependence of Henry's Law
- B.2 Vapor-Space Hold-Up Estimate
- B.3 Equipment Specifications
- B.4 Control Program Input Data (Sample)
- B.S Experiment Control Program
- B.6 Control Program Run-Time Output (Sample)
- B.7 Output Data File (Sample)

..

B.8 Data Regression and Correlation Program (CRUNCH.F77)

Appendix B.1

Derivation of the Temperature Dependence of Henry's Law

The vapor-liquid equilibrium expression for solute (2) dissolved in solvent (1) is described by

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_2^{\mathbf{v}} = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_2^{\ell} \tag{B.1-1}
$$

where (\cdot) denotes a partial molar-property. The total derivative-of the natural logarithm of Equation (B.I-I) is also a valid phase equilibrium expression and shown below.

$$
d(\ln \tilde{f}_2^{\nu}) - d(\ln \tilde{f}_2^{\ell}) \tag{B.1-2}
$$

In Equation (B.1-2), the fugacity, f , in either phase is a function of temperature, presssure and composition. The total derivative of the fugacity with respect to temperature. pressure and composition in either the vapor or the liquid phase is

$$
d(\ln \vec{f}_2) = \left[\frac{\partial (\ln \vec{f}_2)}{\partial T}\right]_{P,x} dT + \left[\frac{\partial (\ln \vec{f}_2)}{\partial P}\right]_{T,x} dP + \left[\frac{\partial (\ln \vec{f}_2)}{\partial x_2}\right]_{T,P} d x_2 \qquad (B.1-3)
$$

The partial derivatives of the solute fugacity with respect to temperature and to pressure are

$$
\left[\frac{\partial (\ln \bar{f}_2)}{\partial T}\right]_{P,x} - \left[\frac{\bar{H}_2 - \bar{H}_2^0}{RT^2}\right]
$$
 (B.1-4)

$$
\left[\frac{\partial (\ln \mathbf{F}_2)}{\partial P}\right]_{T,x} - \left[\frac{\overline{v}_2}{RT}\right]
$$
 (B.1-5)

These partial derivatives are valid for either phase. The quantity H_2^0 is the. reference enthalpy for the solute and is only a function of temperature.

Equations (B.I-4) and (B.I-5) are substituted into Equation (B.I-3) once for the vapor phase and once for the liquid phase. The vapor phase is denoted by (v) and the liquid phase by (l) . These intermediate equations are substituted into both sides of Equation $(B.1-2)$ to yield

$$
0 = -\left[\frac{\overline{H}_{2}^{\ell} - \overline{H}_{2}^{V}}{RT^{2}}\right]dT + \left[\frac{\overline{V}_{2}^{\ell} - \overline{V}_{2}^{V}}{RT}\right]dP + \left[\frac{\partial(\ell n \ \overline{f}_{2}^{\ell})}{\partial x_{2}}\right]_{T, P, y}^{dx_{2}} - \left[\frac{\partial(\ell n \ \overline{f}_{2}^{V})}{\partial y_{2}}\right]_{T, P, x}^{dy_{2}} \tag{B.1-6}
$$

Consider the case where the gas phase is ideal and the solvent is non-volatile. In that case, the composition of the gas phase is essentially constant and contains mostly pure solute. Equation (B.I-6) simplifies to

$$
0 = -\left[\frac{\overline{H}_{2}^{\ell} - \overline{H}_{2}^{\nu}}{RT^{2}}\right]dT + \left[\frac{\overline{V}_{2}^{\ell} - \overline{V}_{2}^{\nu}}{RT}\right]dP + \left[\frac{\partial (\ell n \overline{f}_{2}^{\ell})}{\partial x_{2}}\right]_{T, P}dx_{2}
$$
(B.1-7)

At P < 1 atm, the ideal gas condition is valid and $V_2^{\nu} \gg V_2^{\ell}$. Therefore, $V_2^{\nu} =$ RT/P. Assuming Henry's law is valid for the liquid phase, then $f_2^l = H_{2,1}x_2$ and

$$
\left[\frac{\partial (\ln \vec{f}_2^2)}{\partial x_2}\right] - \frac{1}{x_2} \tag{B.1-8}
$$

Under these conditions f_2^I is approximately equal to the total system pressure. Defining the heat of solution, H_{soln} , as H_2^f \cdot H_2^v and substituting this definition along with the previous assumptions into Equation (B.I-7) yields

$$
\left[\frac{d(\ln H_{2,1})}{d(1/T)}\right] \approx \frac{\Delta H_{\text{soln}}}{R}
$$
 (B.1-9)

Thus, the logarithm of the Henry's constant varies inversely with absolute temperature.

Vapor-Space Hold-Up

The amount of gas contained in the vapor space was estimated for the 100 ml flask by assuming that 11 ml of the gas volume is at ambient temperature and 14 ml is at the liquid temperature. The ideal gas condition applies in each section. The moles of gas in the upper (11 ml) section is

..

$$
N_{\rm u} = P(5.92*10^{-7} \text{ [mole/mmHg]})
$$

and the moles of gas in the lower (14 ml) section is

$$
N_1 = (2.25*10^{-4} \text{ [mole-K/mmHg]})P/T
$$

where pressure, P, is in mmHg and temperature, T, is in Kelvin. The total moles of gas held in the vapor space is

$$
N_t = N_H + N_I = P(2.25 * 10^{-4} / T + 5.92 * 10^{-7})
$$

As an example, consider the hydrogen sulfide-tetraglyme system. The data given in output file RUN28.DAT are used here. The percent of the total amount of gas in the system that is held-up in the vapor space was computed for minimum and maximum temperatures and compositions.

Case #1: Low Solute Composition

0.1011 gm H₂S = 2.97 * 10⁻³ moles H₂S

Case #2: High Solute Composition

1.0003 gm H₂S = 2.94*10⁻² moles H₂S

"

The error in the liquid-phase composition is less than 5%. In most cases the 250 ml flask was used instead of the 100 ml flask to minimize this error.

Appendix B.3

EQUIPMENT SPECIFICATIONS

Table. 1

SPECIFICATIONS: EQUILIBRIUM CELL

PRESSURE TRANSDUCER: Absolutc'Pressure Gauge, MKS Baratron, Model 222B, 0-1000 mmHg, Supply: ±,15vdc, Output: O-lOvdc

TEMPERA TURE: T -type thermocouple (22 gauge wire)

HOTPLATE/STIRRER: Dataplate, PMC Industries Inc., Model 440P, with computer (RS232) interface

READOUT/POWER SUPPLY: Author Fabricated, Dual channel voltmeter with power supply. Digital Voltmeter: Newport, Model 203A-04. ± 19.99 Vdc F.S. Power-Supply: Power-One, Model HAA15-0.8-A, ± 15 Vdc at 0.8 A

Table 2

SPECIFICA TIONS: GAS ADDITION SYSTEM

GAS BOMB: Sample cylinder, Whitey, Model 3047-HDF4-300

SOLENOID VALVES: Skinner, Model V52DB2052, 110 VAC coil, 3/16" orifice, 1/4" NPT, Stainless Steel, Viton and Buna plungers.

FLASK FILL (RATE) VALVE: Nupro, Model B-4BMG, bellows, metering valve, Stainless Steel.

BOMB FILL (RATE) VALVE: Nupro, Model S-SS2-A, fine metering valve, 1/8" swagclock

PRESSURE TRANSDUCER: Omega Engineering Inc., Model PXI76-050A-5V, 0-50 psia, Supply 15 Vdc, Output 1-6 Vdc

READOUT/POWER SUPPLY: Refer to Table 3

TEMPERA TURE: T-Type thermocouple

130

Table 3

SPECIFICATIONS: DATA ACQUISITION AND CONTROL HARDWARE

COMPUTER:

Zenith PC, Model Z150, (2) 5 1/4" disk drives,320K memory. (1) serial (RS232) port. (1) parallel port. RGB monitor

PRINTER:

Epson. Model MX80, dot matrix, parallel interface

CODE ACTIVATED SWITCH:

Black Box Corp., Model CAS-4Q, (I) serial (RS232) input, (4) serial (RS232) outputs

A/D CONVERTER:

Omega Engineering Inc., White Box - Interfacing Microcomputer, Model WB-40, (8) 0~5 vdc analog inputs, (4) digital (on/off) outputs, serial (RS232) interface

SIGNAL CONDITIONER: Author fabricated, "Red Box", (8) analog channels, (4) output drivers,

THERMOCOUPLE CONVERTOR:

Omega Engineering Inc., Thermocouple to analog convertor, Model TAC-386, $Imv/^{0}C$, 9 Vdc battery required

THERMOCOUPLE AMPLIFIER:

Author fabricated, T-Type thermocouple amplifier, Analog Devices-Model AD524B, precision instrumentation amplifier, xlOOO amplification, reference junction - ice bath

..

OUTPUT DRIVER: Solid state relays, 3-32 vdc input, load; 115 Vac.at 10 amps.

Appendix B.4

Control Program Input Data (Sample)

RUN ABORT RUN? (Y OR N) NAME OF PSEUDO-SOLVENT NAME OF GAS MOLECULAR WEIGHT OF PURE SOLVENT MOLECULAR WEIGHT OF GAS 2nd VIRIAL COEFF. SLOPE (cc/gmole/K) 2nd VIRIAL COEFF. Y-INTERCEPT (cc/gmole) WEIGHT OF SOLVENT IN SAMPLE (GM) WEIGHT OF WATER IN SAMPLE (GM) GRAMS OF GAS PER ADDITION INITIAL AMOUNT OF GAS IN FLASK (GM) MAXIMUM NUMBER OF GAS ADDITIONS OUTPUT FILE NAME (B:RUN#.DAT) ENTER THE DATE: mm/dd/yyyy ARE INPUTS OK? (Y OR N) SOLVENT PVAP DETERMINATION? (Y OR N) B:RUN28.DAT

 $\sim 10^7$

N

H2S

TETRAGLYME

? 222.28 ? 34.08 ? 1.70 ? -714.4 ? 85.17
? 0.00 $? 0.00$
 $? 0.10$ $? 0.10$
 $? 0.00$ 0.00

? 10

8/2/85

y Y

t REM ** 2 REM PROGRAM CONTROL.BAS IS THE CONTROL PROGRAM FOR MEASURING 3 REM 4 REM 5 REM GAS SOLUBILITY IN PURE SOLVENTS OR MIXTURES OF WATER AND SOLVENT. THE PROGRAM IS WRITTEN iN GW BASIC FOR A ZENITH PERSONAL COMPUTER BY STEVEN F. SCIAMANNA. 9 REM ** **10 REM** 15 ON ERROR GOTO 3000 20 CLOSE #1 25 OPEN "COM1:300,N,8,2" AS #1 30 QUTAS="l" 35 OUTBS="l" 40 OUTCS="l," 45 OUTDS="l" 50 GOSUB 2000 55 YESS="Y" 60 LINE INPUT "ABORT RUN? (Y OR N) 65 IF YORNS<>YESS GOrO 80 70 CLOSE #1 75 STOP 80 LINE INPUT "NAME OF PSEUDO' SOLVENT 85 LINE INPUT "NAME OF GAS 90 INPUT "MOLECULAR WEIGHT OF PURE SOLVENT 95 INPUT "MOLECULAR WEIGHT OF GAS 100 INPUT "2nd VIRIAL COEFF. SLOPE (cc/gmole/K) ~;YORNS "; SOLVENTS "; GASS "; SOLMW "; GASMW 'abort run if any system error occurs 'close communications port (just in case) 'open communications port to BLACK BOX 'close vaLve A 'close valve B 'close valve C 'close vaLve 0 'call valve opening/closing subroutine 'should run be aborted ? 'close communications to BLACK BOX 'stop program "; SLOPE 105 INPUT "2nd VIRIAL COEFF. Y·INTERCEPT (cc/gmole) "; YINTERCEPT 110 INPUT "WEIGHT OF SOLVENT IN SAMPLE (GM) 112 INPUT "WEIGHT OF WATER IN SAMPLE (GM) 115 INPUT "GRAMS OF GAS PER ADDITION 120 INPUT "INITIAL AMOUNT OF GAS IN flASK (GM) 125 INPUT "MAXIMUM NUMBER OF GAS ADDITIONS 130 LINE INPUT "OUTPUT FILE NAME (B:RUN#.DAT) 135 LINE INPUT "ENTER THE DATE: mm/dd/yyyy 140 LINE INPUT "ARE INPUTS OK? (Y OR N) 145 IF YORN\$ <> VESt GOTO 60 "; GMSOL "; GMH20 "; GMGASADD "; SUMGMGAS "; LOOPMAX "; FILENAME\$ "; MDY\$ "; YORNS 146 LINE INPUT "SOLVENT PVAP DETERMINATION? (Y OR N) ";YORN\$ 150 DATE\$=MDY\$

Experi nent \mathbf{C} on Control Program.

Appendix

 \overline{u} in **155 REM** 160 OPEN FILENAMES FOR OUTPUT AS #2 162 H2OMW=18.02 163 MOLESOL=GMSOL/SOLMW 164 MOLEH20=GMH2O/H2OMW 165 GMSAMPLE=GMSOL+GMH2O 166 MOLESAMPLE=MOLESOL+MOLEH20 167 SAMPLEMW=GMSAMPLE/MOLESAMPLE **169 REM** 170 WRITE #2, FILENAME\$, DATES 175 WRITE #2, SOLVENTS, SAMPLEMW, GMSAMPLE 180 WRITE #2, GAS\$, GASMW, GMGASADD **185 REM** ##.###^^^^, 195 FORM\$="####.#, ###.#. ##.####" ##.###^^^^ 197 FORM2\$="####.#, ###.#, ##.####, 80 200 HEAD\$="P(mmhg) TC **XGAS GMGAS** TIME¹ 202 COLONS=":" 204 SUMMOLEGAS=SUMGMGAS/GASMW 205 TOTALMOLE=SUMMOLEGAS+MOLESAMPLE 207 XGAS=SUMMOLEGAS/TOTALMOLE 210 PBOMBMAX=40 215 PBOMBMIN=15 220 TFLASKMAX=30 225 TFLASKMIN=9 230 PFLASKMAX=800 235 PFLASKFILL=500 237 TIMEOFF=0 245 TINTERVAL=2 246 TSTART=10 247 FLAG=0 250 LOOP=0 252 TIME\$="0" 254 LPRINT "GAS IS "; GAS\$, "SOLVENT IS "; SOLVENT\$ 255 LPRINT "OUTPUT FILE NAME IS ";FILENAMES 260 LPRINT "DATE OF RUN IS "; DATES 265 LPRINT

'open output data file 'molecular weight of water 'moles of solvent present 'moles of water present 'total mass of sample 'total moles in sample 'molecular weight of sample

'initial total moles of gas 'total moles in the flask 'mole fraction of gas 'maximum bomb fill pressure (psia) 'minimum bomb drain pressure (psia) 'maximum allowable flask temperature (C) ""cool to" flask temperature (C) 'maximum allowable flask pressure (mmhg) '"cut-off" pressure for filling (mmhg)

'temp, (C) interval for data acquisition

BEGINNING OF SEQUENCE 267 REM 269 IF YORNS<>YES\$ GOTO 280 270 LPRINT 271 LPRINT "··········· SOLVENT VAPOR PRESSURE ··········· 272 LPRINT 273 TNEXT=TSTART 274 GOSUB 8000 275 GOSUB 9000 280 LOOP=LOOP+1 285 REFILL=0 290 IF LOOP>LOOPMAX GOTO 500 291 TNEXT=TSTART 292 LPRINT 293 LPRINT "············ GAS ADDITION # ";LOOP;" ············· 294 LPRINT 295 TIMEOFF=TIMEOFF+TIMER 296 TIMES="0" 297 HRS=TIMEOFF/3600 298 HRS=INT(HRS) 299 MIN=TIMEOFF/60-HRS*60 300 MIN=INT(MIN) 301 SEC=TIMEOFF-60*(MIN+HRS*60) 302 SEC=INT(SEC) 303 ETS=STR\$(HRS)+COLON\$+STR\$(MIN)+COLON\$+STR\$(SEC) 310 LPRINT "ELAPSED TIME =";ET\$ 315 GASADD=GMGASADD 320 GOSUB 5000 325 LPRINT USING "PBOMBFINAL (PSIA) = ##.##"; PBOMBFINAL 330 IF PBOMBFINAL > PBOMBMIN GOTO 380 335 GOSUB 6000 345 GOSUB 5000 350 LPRINT USING "PBOMBFINAL (PSIA) = ##.##"; PBOMBFINAL 355 REFILL =0 360 IF PBOMBFINAL > PBOMBMIN GOTO 380 365 REFILL=1 370 LPRINT "BOMB REFILL IS NECESSARY"

 \mathbf{t}

'call the heating sequence subroutine 'call the cooling sequence subroutine 'increment gas addition iteration counter 'turn off multiple flask refills 'completed all gas additions 'first data point (C)

'compute elapsed time since last timer reset 'reset timer to zero 'convert timer offset to hours, minutes and seconds

'create string of hours, minutes and seconds 'set the amount of gas added to input value 'estimate final bomb pressure necessary 'is there enough gas in the flask? 'refill the bomb to PBOMBMAX 'estimate final bomb pressure necessary

> 'turn off multiple flask refills 'filled bomb, are flask refills necessary 'turn on multiple flask refills
375 PBOHBFINAL=PBOHBMIN 380 GOSUB 20000 385 GOSUB 60000 390 TBI=TBOMB 395 PBI=PBOHB 400 GOSUB 7000 405 IF FLAG=1 GOTO 500 410 GOSUB 20000 415 GOSUB 60000 420 PBF=PBOMB 425 TBF=TBOMB 430 GOSUB 4000 435 SUMGMGAS=SUMGMGAS+GMGAS 440 IF FLAG=2 GOTO 465 445 IF REFILL=0 GOTO 465 450 GASAOO=GASAOO-GMGAS 455 IF GASAOO<=O GOTO 465 460 GOTO 335 465 SUMMOLEGAS=SUMGMGAS/GASMW 470 XGAS=SUMMOLEGAS/(SUMMOLEGAS+MOLESAMPLE) 475 GOSUB 8000 480 GOSUB 9000 485 IF FLAG <> 0 GOTO 500 490 GOTO 280 492 REM ** 495 REM ENO OF SEQUENCE - BACK TO BEGINNING 497 REM ** 500 GOSUB 3000 505 STOP 2000 REM ** 2005 REM VALVE OPENING/CLOSING ROUTINE 2010 REM ** 2015 PRINT #1, CHRS(19)+CHRS(1) 2020 VALVES="O"+OUTOS+OUTCS+OUTBS+OUTAS 2025 PRINT #1, VALVES 2030 RETURN 3000 REM ***

"

'drain bomb to PBOHBMIN 'read Initial bomb temperature 'read initial bomb pressure (psia)

'fi II flask 'pressure too high to start filling-abort run '.read final bomb temperature 'read final bomb pressure (psia)

'calculate amount of gas added to flask 'update the amount of gas in the flask 'is this the last run? no more gas added 'are more bomb & flask refills necessary 'amount of gas to be added in refill 'trap if amount of gas goes negative 'go back and refill the bomb 'calculate total moles of gas present 'calculate gas mole fraction 'heating sequence 'cooling sequence 'if this is the last run, exit from loop 'go back to beginning of sequence

'normal program exit

'switch BLACK BOX to WHITE BOX

3005 REM ABORT ROUTINE RESETS EVERYTHING 3010 REM *** 3012 PRINT "SYSTEM ERROR = ";ERR 3015 LPRINT "ABORT RUN" 3020 OUTAS="l" 3025 OUTBS="t" 3030 OUTCS="O" 3035 OUTDS="t" 3040 GOSUB 2000 3045 PRINT #1, CHRS(19) + CHRS(2) 3047 PRINT #1, "R"+"0"+CHRS(13) 3050 PRINT #1, "?"+"T"+"0"+CHRS(13)+"B" 3055 CLOSE #2 3060 DElAY=TIMER+2 3062 IF TIMER < DELAY GOTO 3062 3063 GOSUB 10000 3064 IF TFLASK > TFLASKMIN GOTO 3060 3065 OUTCS="1" : GOSUB 2000 3066 CLOSE #1 3067 STOP 3070 RETURN 4000 REM **e*****************e******* •• ***.*****.**** 4005 REM COMPUTE THE MASS (GM) OF GAS ADDED 4010 REM *********.****************.*****.**.***.*.** 4015 R=1205.81 4020 VOLUME=338.8 4025 1TBI=TBI+273.15 4030 TTBF=TBF+273.15 4035 BI=SLOPE*TTBI+YINTERCEPT 4040 BF=SlOPE*TTBF+YINTERCEPT 4045 ZI=1+BI*PBI/(R*TTBI) 4050 ZF=1+BF*PBF/(R*TTBF) 4055 GMGAS=(PBI/(ZI*TTBI)-PBF/(Zf*TTBF»*VOLUME*GASMY/R 4060 LPRINT USING "GMS OF GAS ADDED = $\#.\# \# \#''$; GMGAS 4065 RETURN **5000 REM .**.*** •••••• *.** ••••••••••••••• **_.**.* ••• * •••••••••**

5005 REM THIS SUBROUTINE ESTIMATES FINAL BOMB PRESSURE

•

'leave air blower on

'set black box to port B (DATAPLATE) 'turn off ramp 'turn off hotplate heater & beep

'has flask cooled down to TFLASKMIN 'call flask opening/closing routine 'close communications to BLACK BOX 'stop the program

'gas constant: cc*psla/gmole/K 'bomb volume w/o gauge In cc's 'convert final bomb temp. to kelvin 'convert final bomb temp. to kelvin 'initial bomb 2nd virial coefficient 'final bomb 2nd vi rial coefficient 'initial bomb compressibility factor 'final bomb compressibility factor 'compute the mass (gm) of gas added

5006 REM NECESSARY TO DELIVER THE DESIRED MASS OF GAS

5015 GOSUB 20000

5020 GOSUB 60000 5025 R=1205.81 5030 VOLUME = 338.8 5035 T=TBOMB+273.15 5040 P=PBOMB 5045 B=SLOPE*T+YINTERCEPT 5050 Z=1+B*P/R/T 5055 TEMP=P/Z-GASADD*R*T/GASMW/VOLUME 5060 PBOMBFINAL=TEMP/(1-TEMP*B/R/T) 5065 IF PBOMBFINAL<0 THEN PBOMBFINAL=0 5070 RETURN FILLING SEQUENCE FOR SAMPLE BOMB 6005 REM 6015 LPRINT "START BOMB FILLING SEQUENCE" 6020 GOSUB 60000 6025 PBOMBLAST=PBOMB 6030 IF PBOMB>PBOMBMAX GOTO 6155 6035 LPRINT "OPEN BOMB FILL VALVE" 6040 OUTA\$="0" : GOSUB 2000 6045 DELAY2=TIMER+60 6050 DELAY=TIMER+1 6055 IF TIMER<DELAY GOTO 6055 6060 GOSUB 60000 6065 IF TIMER <DELAY2 GOTO 6105 6070 DELAY2=TIMER+60 6075 CHANGE=(PBOMB-PBOMBLAST)/PBOMB 6080 CHANGE=ABS(CHANGE) 6085 PBOMBLAST=PBOMB 6090 IF CHANGE > .01 GOTO 6105 6095 LPRINT "BOMB IS NOT FILLING - ABORT RUN" 6100 GOSUB 3000 6105 IF PBOMB<PBOMBMAX GOTO 6050 6110 LPRINT "CLOSE BOMB FILL VALVE"

'read bomb temperature 'read bomb pressure 'gas constant: cc*psia/gmole/K 'bomb volume w/o gauge in cc's 'convert temperature to kelvin

'calculate 2nd virial coefficient 'calculate compressibility factor

'calculate final bomb pressure 'trap negative pressures

'read bomb pressure

'bomb is already filled to maximum

'open bomb fill valve

'wait 1 sec between reads

'read bomb pressure

'check every 60 sec.s if bomb is actually filling '(i.e. the valve is not stuck). if it is not, 'then abort the run.

'call abort sequence-bomb fill valve is stuck closed 'bomb is still filling

6115 OUTAS="1" : GOSUB 2000 'close bomb fill valve 6120 DELAY=TIMER+60*5 *'wait for 5 minutes* 6125 LPRINT "WAITING FOR 5 MINUTES" 6130 DELAY2=TIMER+2 6135 IF TIMER<DELAY2 GOTO 6135 6140 GOSUB 60000 6145 IF PBOMB>PBOMBMAX+3 THEN GOSUB 3000 'bomb fill valve is leaking - stop run 6150 IF TIMER<DELAY GOTO 6130 6155 LPRINT "LEAVE BOMB FILLING SEQUENCE" 6160 RETURN 7005 REM FILLING SEQUENCE FOR SAMPLE FLASK 7015 LPRINT "START FILLING SEQUENCE FOR SAMPLE FLASK" 7020 QUTC\$="0": GOSUB 2000 'turn on air blower 7025 LPRINT "AIR BLOWER IS ON" 7030 DELAY=TIMER+1 7035 IF TIMER<DELAY GOTO 7035 7040 GOSUB 10000 7045 IF TFLASK > TFLASKMIN GOTO 7030 7050 LPRINT "FLASK TEMPERATURE IS COOL ENOUGH TO CONTINUE" 7055 GOSUB 50000 7060 IF PFLASK > PFLASKFILL GOTO 7135 7065 LPRINT "FLASK PRESSURE IS LOW ENOUGH TO START FILLING". 7070 7075 OUTB\$="0" : GOSUB 2000 7080 LPRINT "OPEN FLASK FILL VALVE" 7085 LPRINT "CURRENTLY FILLING FLASK WITH GAS" 7090 DELAY=TIMER+1 7095 IF TIMER<DELAY GOTO 7095 7100 GOSUB 50000 7105 IF PFLASK > PFLASKFILL GOTO 7150 7110 GOSUB 60000 7115 IF PBOMB > PBOMBFINAL GOTO 7090 7120 FLAG=0 7125 LPRINT "NORMAL EXIT FROM FLASK FILLING SEQUENCE" 7130 GOTO 7160

 \mathbf{r}

پيز

 \mathbf{r}

'read flask temperature 'check if flask temperature is cool yet

'read flask pressure 'if flask pressure is above the initial

'maximum abort the filling sequence 'open flask fill valve

'wait 1 sec between reads

'read flask pressure thas flask pressure exceeded maximum? 'read bomb pressure 'is bomb at final pressure?

7135 FLAG=1 7140 LPRINT "FLASK PRESSURE TOO HIGH . ABORT FILLING SEQUENCE" 7145 GOTO 7160 7150 FLAG=2 7155 LPRINT "DID NOT ADD ENTIRE AMOUNT OF GAS' LAST RUN" 7160 OUTB\$="1" : GOSUB 2000 7165 LPRINT "CLOSED FLASK FILL VALVE" 7180 LPRINT "YAITING 10 MINUTES" 7185 DELAY=TIMER +60*10 7190 DELAY2=TIMER+2 7195 IF TIMER<DELAY2 GOTO 7195 7200 GOSUB 50000 7205 IF PFLASK>PFLASKMAX THEN GOSUB 3000 7210 IF TIMER < DELAY GOTO 7190 7212 LPRINT "TURNED OFF AIR BLOWER" 7214 OUTC\$="1" : GOSUB 2000 7215 LPRINT "LEAVING SAMPLE FLASK FILLING SEQUENCE" 7220 RETURN 8000 REM *** 8005 REM HEATING SEQUENCE 8010 REM *** 8015 LPRINT "START HEATING SEQUENCE" 8020 OUTC\$="O" : GOSUB 2000 8025 GOSUB 10000 8030 IF TFLASK < TFLASKMIN GOTO 8050 8035 DELAY=TIMER+5 8040 IF TIMER<DELAY GOTO 8040 8045 GOTO 8025 8050 OUTC\$="1" : GOSUB 2000 8055 GOSUB 10000 8060 GOSUB 50000 8065 RAMP=9 8070 RAMP\$=STR\$(RAMP) 8072 RAMP\$=RIGHT\$(RAMP\$,1) 8075 RATE=RAMP*10 8080 LPRINT "HEATING RATE = HC) PER ";RATE;" SEC.S" 8085 LPRINT

•

'close flask fill valve

'wait for 10 minutes

'turn off air blower

 $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$

'turn on air blower

'make sure flask temp. is low enough

'turn off air blower 'read flask temperature 'read flask pressure 'ramp rate: 1 C per 60 sec 8090 LPRINT HEADS 8090 LPRINT HEAD\$
8095 REM PRINT #2, USING FORM\$; PF
8100 LPRINT USING FORM2\$; PFLASK,T
8105 PRINT #1, CHR\$(19) + CHR\$(2) BO95 REM PRINT #2, USING FORMS; PFLASK, TFLASK, XGAS, SUMGMGAS 8100 LPRINT USING FORM2\$; PFLASK, TFLASK, XGAS, SUMGMGAS, TIME\$ 8105 PRINT #1, CHRS(19) + CHRS(2) 8110 PRINT #1, "R"+RAMPS+CHRS(13)+"B" 8115 DELAY=TIMER+2 8120 IF TIMER < DELAY GOTO 8120 8125 GOSUB 10000 8130 GOSUB 50000 8135 IF TFLASK > TFLASKMAX GOTO 8175 8140 IF PFLASK > PfLASKMAX GOTO 8175 8145 IF TFLASK < TNEXT GOTO 8115 8150 PRINT #2, USING FORMS; PFLASK,TFLASK,XGAS,SUMGMGAS 8155 LPRINT USING FORM2S; PFLASK,TFLASK,XGAS,SUMGMGAS,TIMES 8160 TNEXT=TNEXT+TINTERVAL 8165 GOTO 8115 8170 REM 8175 PRINT #1, CHRS(19)+CHRS(2) 8180 PRINT #1, "R"+"0"+CHRS(13)+"B" 8185 LPRINT "SET HOTPLATE AT CURRENT TEMPERATURE" 8190 DELAY=TIMER+60*5 8195 LPRINT "WAITING FOR 5 MINUTES" 8200 DELAY2=TIMER+2 8205 IF TIMER < DELAY2 GOTO 8205 8210 GOSUB 10000 8215 GOSUB 50000 8220 IF TFLASK > TFLASKMAX+l0 THEN GOSUB 3000 8225 IF PFLASK > PFLASKMAX+50 THEN GOSUB 3000 8230 IF TIMER < DELAY GOTO 8200 8235 GOSUB 10000 8240 GOSUB 50000 8245 PRINT #2, USING FORM\$; PFLASK,TFLASK,XGAS,SUMGMGAS 8250 LPRINT USING FORM2\$; PFLASK,TFLASK,XGAS,SUMGMGAS,TIMES 8255 PRINT #1, CHR\$(19)+CHR\$(2) 8260 PRINT #1, "?"+"T"+"O"+CHRS(13)+"B" 8265 LPRINT "LEAVING HEATING SEQUENCE" 8270 RETURN 'set black box to port B

!'

'ramp hotplate 'wait 2 sec before taking data 'read flask temperature 'read flask pressure

'stop run at maximum temperature 'stop run at maximum pressure 'is it time for a data point ?

'set next temperature point 'continue loop

'set black box to port B 'set hotplate to current temperature

°wait for 5 minutes

'check flask temp. while waiting 'check flask pres. while waiting

'read final flask temperature 'read final flask pressure

'set blackbox to port B 'turn hotplate off & beep

9000 REM -*** 9005 REM COOLING SEQUENCE 9010 REM ** 9015 LPRINT "START COOLING SEQUENCE" 9020 OUTCS="O" : GOSUB 2000 9025 GOSUB 10000 9030 GOSUB 50000 9035 REM PRINT #2, USING FORMS; PFlASK,TFlASK,XGAS,SUMGMGAS 9040 LPRINT USING FORM2\$; PFLASK, TFLASK, XGAS, SUMGMGAS, TIME\$ 9045 TNEXT=TNEXT·TINTERVAl 9050 DElAY=TIMER+2 9055 IF TIMER < DELAY GOTO 9055 9060 GOSUB 10000 9065 IF TFLASK < TFLASKMIN GOTO 9095 9070 IF TFLASK $>$ TNEXT GOTO 9050 9075 GOSUB 50000 9080 REM PRINT #2, USING FORMS; PFLASK,TFlASK,XGAS,SUMGMGAS 9085 LPRINT USING FORM2\$; PFLASK, TFLASK, XGAS, SUMGMGAS, TIME\$ 9090 GOTO 9045 9095 OUTCS="l" : GOSUB 2000 9100 GOSUB 10000 9105 GOSUB 50000 9110 LPRINT USING FORM2\$; PFLASK, TFLASK, XGAS, SUMGMGAS, TIME\$ 9115 REM PRINT #2, USING FORMS; PFLASK, TFLASK, XGAS, SUMGMGAS 9120 LPRINT "LEAVING COOLING SEQUENCE" 9125 RETURN 10000 REM *** 10001 REM READ FLASK TEMPERATURE *(C)* 10002 REM *** 10005 PRINT #1, CHRS(19) + CHRS(l) 10010 TSCALE=1.0047 10012 PRINT #1, "N" 10015 PRINT #1, "11" 10020 PRINT #1, "R" 10025 LINE INPUT #1, TFLASKS 10030 TMV=TSCALE*VAL(TFLASKS)*5/19999 10031 AO=·4.92587E·05

 $\frac{1}{2}$ - $\frac{1}{2}$

'turn on air blower 'read flask temperature 'read flask pressure

'set next data point 'wait 2 sec between data input

'read flask temperature 'reached minimum flask temperature 'is it time for a data point? 'read flask pressure

'continue loop 'turn off air blower 'read flask temperature 'read flask pressure

'set BLACK BOX to Port A (WHITE BOX) 'scale factor correction

'compute reading to mV

10032 Al=25.9305 10033 A2=·.72922 10034 A3=.0308414 10035 TFLASK=AO+TMV*(Al+TMV*(A2+TMV*A3» **10040 RETURN** 20000 REM ******~***************************** 20001 REM READ BOMB TEMPERATURE (C) 20002 REM ************************************ 20005 PRINT #1, CHRS(19) + CHRS(l) 20010 TSCALE=100 20012 PRINT #1, "N" 20015 PRINT #1, "12" 20020 PRINT #1, "R" 20025 LINE INPUT #1, TBOMBS 20030 TBOMB=TSCALE*VAL(TBOMBS)*5/19999 20035 RETURN 30000 REM ********************************** 30001 REM READ ROOM TEMPERATURE (C) 30002 REM •• * •••••••••••••••• * •• *.*.* ••• ** •• ** 30005 PRINT #1, CHRS(19) + CHRS(l) 30010 TSCALE=100 30012 PRINT #1, "N" 30015 PRINT #1, "13" 30020 PRINT #1, "R" 30025 LINE INPUT #1, TROOMS 30030 ·TROOM=TSCALE·VAL(TROOMS)·5/19999 30032 TROOM=.99423·TROOM·.66614 30035 RETURN 50000 REM * ••••••••••••••• * •• ** ••• ******** •• *. 50001 REM READ FLASK PRESSURE (MMHG) 50002 REM ••• * ••• **.* ••• *.* •• ***.***.****.**.* 50005 PRINT #1, CHRS(19) + CHRS(l) 50010 PSCALE=200 50012 PRINT #1, "N" 50015 PRINT #1, "IS" 50020 PRINT #1, "R" 50025 LINE INPUT #1, PFLASKS

.,

٠

'compute temperature by empirical expression.

'set BLACK BOX to port A (WHITE BOX) 'scale factor for Omega Thermocouple Amplifier (TAC-386)

'compute temperature (C)

'set BLACK BOX to port A (WHITE BOX) 'scale factor for Omega Thermocouple Amplifier (TAC'386)

'compute temperature (C)

'set BLACK BOX to port A (WHITE BOX) 'scale factor for MKS Baratron pressure transducer 50030 PFLASK=PSCALE*VAL(PFLASKS)*5/19999 50032 IF PFLASK < 0 THEN PFLASK=O 50035 RETURN 60000 REM ************************************ 60001 REM READ BOHB PRESSURE (PSIA) 60002 REM ************************************ 60005 PRINT #1, CHRS(19) + CHRS(1) 60010 PSCALE=20 60015 OFFSET=10 60017 PRINT #1, "N" 60020 PRINT #1, "16" 60025 PRINT #1, "R" 60030 LINE INPUT #1, PBOHBS 60035 PBOHB=PSCALE*VAL(PBOHBS)*5/19999-0FFSET 60037 IF PBOHB < 0 THEN PBOMB=O 60040 RETURN

 λ

'compute flask pressure in mmHg 'zero if flask pressure reading goes negative

'set BLACK BOX to port A (WHITE BOX) 'scale factor for bomb pressure transducer 'offset factor for bomb pressure transducer

'compute bomb pressure (psia) 'zero if flask pressure reading goes negative

Control Program. RunsTime Output (Sample)

GAS IS H2S SOLVENT IS TETRAGLYME OUTPUT FILE NAME IS B:RUN28.DAT DATE OF RUN IS 08-02-1985

 $\epsilon_{\rm s}^{-1}$

********* SOLVENT VAPOR PRESSURE ***********

START HEATING SEQUENCE
HEATING RATE = 1(C) PER 60 SEC.S

LEAVING COOLING SEQUENCE

ELAPSED TIME =01:47:30 PBOMBFINAL $(FSIA) = 25.07$ START FILLING SEQUENCE FOR SAMPLE FLASK AIR BLOWER IS ON FLASK TEMPERATURE IS COOL ENOUGH TO CONTINUE FLASK PRESSURE IS LOW ENOUGH TO START FILLING OPEN FLASK FILL VALVE CURRENTLY FILLING FLASK WITH GAS NORMAL. EXIT FROM FLASK FILLING SEQUENCE CLOSED FLASK FILL VALVE TURNED OFF AIR BLOWER WAITING 10 MINUTES LEAVING SAMPLE FLASK FILLING SEQUENCE GMS OF GAS ADDED = 0.101 START HEATING SEQUENCE HEATING RATE = $1(C)$ PER 60 SEC.S

LEAVING COOLING SEQUENCE

 145

ELAPSED TIME =03:45:05 PBoMBFINAL (PSIA) = 22.08 START FILLING SEQUENCE FOR SAMPLE FLASK AIR BLOWER IS ON FLASK TEMPERATURE IS COOL ENOUGH TO CONTINUE FLASK PRESSURE IS LOW ENOUGH TO START FILLING OPEN FLASK FILL VALVE CURRENTLY FILLING FLASK WITH GAS NORMAL EXIT FROM FLASK FILLING SEQUENCE CLOSED FLASK FILL VALVE TURNED OFF AIR BLOWER WAITING 10 MINUTES LEAVING SAMPLE FLASK FILLING SEQUENCE GMS OF GAS ADDED = 0.099 START HEATING SEQUENCE HEATING RATE = I(C) PER 60 SEC.S

LEAVING COOLING SEQUENCE

146

 $\sigma^2 = \Delta^2$

ELAPSED TIME =05:45:17 PBOMBFINAL (PSIA) = 18.99 START BOMB FILLING SEQUENCE OPEN BOMB FILL VALVE CLOSE BOMB FILL VALVE WAITING FOR 5 MINUTES LEAVE BOMB FILLING SEQUENCE PBOMBFINAL (PSIA) = 37.04 START FILLING SEQUENCE FOR SAMPLE FLASK AIR BLOWER IS ON FLASK TEMPERATURE IS COOL ENOUGH TO CONTINUE FLASK PRESSURE IS LOW ENOUGH TO START FILLING OPEN FLASK FILL VALVE CURRENTLY FILLING FLASK WITH GAS NORMAL EXIT FROM FLASK FILLING SEQUENCE CLOSED FLASk FILL VALVE TURNED OFF AIR BLOWER WAITING 10 MINUTES LEAVING SAMPLE FLASK FILLING SEQUENCE GMS OF GAS ADDED = 0.099 START HEATING SEQUENCE HEATING RATE = 1 (C) PER 60 SEC. S

LEAVING COOLING SEQUENCE

•

147

*********** GAS ADDITION #. 4 **************

ELAPSED TIME =07:54:30 $PBOMBFINAL (PSIA) = 34.08$ START FILLING SEQUENCE FOR SAMPLE FLASK AIR BLOWER IS ON FLASK TEMPERATURE IS COOL ENOUGH TO CONTINUE
FLASK PRESSURE IS LOW ENOUGH TO START FILLING OPEN FLASK FILL VALVE CURRENTLY FILLING FLASK WITH GAS NORMAL EXIT FROM FLASK FILLING SEQUENCE CLOSED FLASK FILL VALVE TURNED OFF AIR BLOWER WAITING 10 MINUTES LEAVING SAMPLE FLASK FILLING SEQUENCE GMS OF GAS ADDED = 0.100 START HEATING SEQUENCE HEATING RATE = 1(C) PER 60 SEC.S.

 \prec^*

PBOMBFINAL (PSIA) = 31.10 START FILLING SEQUENCE FOR SAMPLE FLASK AIR BLOWER IS ON -FLASK TEMPERATURE IS COOL ENOUGH TO CONTINUE FLASK PRESSURE IS LOW ENOUGH TO START FILLING OPEN FLASK FILL VALVE CURRENTLY FILLING FLASK WITH GAS NORMAL EXIT FROM FLASK FILLING SEQUENCE CLOSED FLASK FILL VALVE TURNED OFF AIR BLOWER WAITING 10 MINUTES LEAVING SAMPLE FLASK FILLING SEQUENCE GMS OF GAS ADDED = 0.100 START HEATING SEQUENCE HEATING RATE = $1(C)$ PER 60 SEC.S.

LEAVING COOLING SEQUENCE

 \mathcal{L}

********** GAS ADDITION # 6 *************

ELAPSED TIME =11:50:28 PBoMBFINAL (PSIA) = 28.13 START FILLING SEQUENCE FOR SAMPLE FLASK ,AIR BLOWER IS ON FLASK TEMPERATURE IS COOL ENOUGH TO CONTINUE FLASK PRESSURE IS LOW ENOUGH TO START FILLING OPEN FLASK FILL VALVE CURRENTLY FILLING FLASK WITH GAS NORMAL EXIT FROM FLASK FILLING SEQUENCE CLOSED FLASK FILL VALVE TURNED OFF AIR BLOWER WAITING 10 MINUTES LEAVING SAMPLE FLASK FILLING SEQUENCE GMS OF ^{" GAS} $ADDED = 0.102$ START HEATING SEQUENCE HEATING, RATE = 1(C) PER 60 SEC.S

 $\mathbb{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}_k$

*******~** GAS ADDITION # 7 *************

ELAPSED TIME =13:48:21 PBOMBFINAL (PSIA) = 25.18 START FILLING SEQUENCE FOR SAMPLE FLASK AIR BLOWER IS ON FLASK TEMPERATURE IS COOL ENOUGH TO CONTINUE FLASK PRESSURE IS LOW ENOUGH TO START FILLING OPEN FLASK FILL VALVE CURRENTLY FILLING FLASK WITH GAS NORMAL EXIT FROM FLASK FILLING SEQUENCE CLOSED FLASK FILL VALVE TURNED OFF AIR BLOWER WAITING 10 MINUTES LEAVING SAMPLE FLASK FILLING SEQUENCE GMS OF GAS ADDED = 0.100 START HEATING SEQUENCE HEATING RATE = $1(C)$ PER 60 SEC.S

 α

•

********** GAS ADDITION # 8 *************

ELAPSED TIME =15:47:33 PBOMBFINAL (PSIA) = 22.12 START FILLING SEQUENCE FOR SAMPLE FLASK AIR BLOWER IS ON FLASK TEMPERATURE IS COOL ENOUGH TO CONTINUE FLASK PRESSURE IS LOW ENOUGH TO START FILLING OPEN FLASK FILL VALVE CURRENTLY FILLING FLASK WITH GAS NORMAL EXIT FROM FLASK FILLING SEQUENCE CLOSED FLASK FILL VALVE TURNED OFF AIR BLOWER WAITING 10 MINUTES LEAVING SAMPLE FLASK FILLING SEQUENCE GMS OF GAS ADDED = 0.102 START HEATING SEQUENCE HEATING RATE' = $1(C)$ PER: 60 SEC. S

 $\ddot{}$

152

ELAPSED TIME =17:42:24 PBOMBFINAL (PSIA) = 19.11 START BOMB FILLING SEQUENCE OPEN BOMB FILL VALVE CLOSE BOMB FILL VALVE WAITING FOR 5 MINUTES LEAVE BOMB FILLING SEQUENCE PBOMBFINAL (PSIA) = 37.03 START FILLING SEQUENCE FOR SAMPLE FLASK AIR BLOWER IS ON FLASK TEMPERATURE IS COOL ENOUGH TO CONTINUE FLASK PRESSURE IS LOW ENOUGH TO START FILLING OPEN FLASK FILL VALVE CURRENTLY FILLING FLASK WITH GAS NORMAL EXIT FROM FLASK FILLING SEQUENCE CLOSED FLASK FILL VALVE TURNED OFF AIR BLOWER WAITING 10 MINUTES LEAVING SAMPLE FLASK FILLING SEQUENCE GMS OF GAS ADDED = 0.099 START HEATING SEQUENCE HEATING RATE = I(C) PER 60 SEC.S

LEAVING COOLING SEQUENCE

•

ELAPSED TIME = $19:38:32$ PEOMBFINAL (PSIA) = 34.09 START FILLING SEQUENCE FOR SAMPLE FLASK AIR BLOWER IS ON FLASK TEMPERATURE IS COOL ENOUGH TO CONTINUE FLASK PRESSURE IS LOW ENOUGH TO START FILLING OPEN FLASK FILL VALVE CURRENTLY FILLING FLASK WITH GAS NORMAL EXIT FROM FLASK FILLING SEQUENCE
CLOSED FLASK FILL VALVE TURNED OFF AIR BLOWER WAITING 10 MINUTES LEAVING SAMPLE FLASK FILLING SEQUENCE GMS OF GAS ADDED = 0.098 START HEATING SEQUENCE HEATING RATE = $1(C)$ PER 60. SEC.S

LEAVING COOLING SEQUENCE

ABORT RUN

Output Data File (Sample)

l, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$

 $\ddot{}$

 \mathbb{Z}

 \sim

 \sim

 \bar{z}

 \cdot

 $\overline{}$

Ą,

 \bar{z}

C CRUNCH.F77 REGRESSES AND CORRELATES LIGHT GAS SOLUBILITY DATA

C COLLECTED BY CONTROL.BAS TO FIT THE MODIFIED KRICHEVSKY'ILLINSKAYA

C *******************.***************************** ••• * ••••••••••• * ••••

DIMENSION PKPA(200), TK(200), GMGAS(200), XGAS(200), WGAS(200), 1PVAPSOL(200),PGAS(200),FOX(200),XVAR(200),H.ENRY(200),GAMHA(200),

C EQUATION. THIS PROGRAM WAS WRITTEN BY STEVEN F. SCIAMANNA.

IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A'H,O'Z)

INTEGER YES,YALL,YHANK,YREP

C OPEN DATA FILE GENERATED BY CONTROL.BAS

20 FORMAT (' OPEN ALL.DAT? (Y=1) I)

OPEN (1,FllE=IPTXIN.DAT',ERR=500)

CHARACTER*20 FILENAME,DATE,SOLVENT,GAS

REAL*8 M

2HANK(50,4)

YES=1

Data

Regressi
Regressi

 $\operatorname*{sign}^{\mathbf{u}}$

 \tilde{z}

Appendix

 \mathbf{S} .8

d Correla
VCH.F77

ation
)

C OPTION TO CREATE HANK.DAT OUTPUT DATA FILE WHICH INCLUDES HENRY'S LAW

C OPTION TO CREATE ALL.DAT OUTPUT DATA FILE WHICH INCLUDES iNTERMEDIATE

C VALUES AT VARIOUS TEMPERATURES.

C VALUES FOR ALL DATA POINTS.

WRITE (6,20)

READ (5,*) YALL

 $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$

WRITE (6,22) 22 FORMAT (' OPEN HANK.DAT? (Y=1) I) READ (5,*) YHANK IF (YHANK.EQ.YES) OPEN (3,FILE='HANK.DAT',ERR=500)

IF (YAlL.EQ.YES) OPEN (2,FILE='ALL.DAT',ERR=500)

C OPTION TO CREATE REPORT.DAT OUTPUT DATA FILE WHICH INCLUDES A SUMMARY

C OF ALL REGRESSED AND CORRELATED QUANTITIES.

24 FORMAT (' OPEN REPORT.DAT? (Y=1) ')

IF (YREP.EQ.YES) OPEN (4,FILE='REPORT.DAT',ERR=500)

26 FORMAT($'$ OMIT DATA WITH \leq ? GRAMS OF GAS $')$

C OPTION TO OMIT DATA POINTS WHICH HAVE <= A SPECIFIED MASS OF GAS.

WRITE (6,24>

WRITE (6,26)

C INITIALIZE ALL SUMS

SUMX=9· DO SUMY=O.DO SUMXY=O.DO SUMXX=O.DO SUMYY=O.DO N=O

READ (5,*) GMSOMIT

C READ HEADER INFORMATION FROM DATA FILE

READ (1,*) FILENAME,DATE READ (1,*) SOLVENT,SOLMW,GMSOL READ (1,*) GAS,GASMW,GMGASADD

READ (5,*) YREP

 160

C ONLY READ SOLVENT VAPOR PRESSURE DATA FROM DATA FILE.

DO 100 1=1,200 READ (1,*) P,T,XG,GG IF (GG.GT.O.DO) GOTO 150 IF (T.LT.50.DO) GOTO 100

)

 \bullet

C ONLY REGRESS .SOLVENT VAPOR PRESSURE DATA POINTS ABOVE SOC.

200 CONTINUE

 $I = 1$ NOMIT=0

C IN THE VARIOUS ARRAYS.

C READ IN ALL THE DATA POINTS. CALCULATE AND STORE INTERMEDIATE VALUES

SOLB=B SOLM=M $SOLR2=R2$

C SOLB AND SOLM ARE THE Y-INTERCEPT AND SLOPE OF CORRESPONDING TO C CONSTANTS IN AN ANTOINE EQUATION FOR THE SOLVENT VAPOR PRESSURE.

150 DENOM=N*SUMXX-(SUMX)**2 B=(SUMY*SUMXX-SUMX*SUMXY)/DENOM M=(N*SUMXY-SUMX*SUMY)/DENOM DENOM=(SUMXX-SUMX**2/N)*(SUMYY-SUMY**2/N) R2=(SUMXY-SUMX*SUMY/N)**2/DENOM

100 CONTINUE

P=P*0.13332 T=T+273.15D0 $X = 1.000/T$ $Y = DLOG(P)$ $XY=X*Y$ $XX=X+XX$ YY=Y*Y SUMX=SUMX+X SUMY=SUMY+Y SUMXY=SUMXY+XY SUMXX=SUMXX+XX SUMYY=SUMYY+YY $N=N+1$

PKPA(I)=p aO.13332DO $TK(1)=T+273.15$ XGAS(I)=XG GMGAS(I)=GG PVAPSOL(I)=EXP(SOLM/TK(I)+SOlB) PGAS(I)=PKPA(I)·(1.0DO·XGAS(I))*PVAPSOL(I) $FOX(I)=PGAS(I)/XGAS(I)$ WGAS(I)=GMGAS(I)/(GMGAS(I)+GMSOl) XVAR(I)=XGAS(I)*(XGAS(I)·2.0DO)/TK(I)

 $1 = 1 + 1$ READ (1,*) P,T,XG,GG IF (P.GT.O.DO) GOTO 200

NPTS=I·1 NKEEP=NOHIT+1

C SORT DATA BY ISOTHERM AND REGRESS LN(F/X) VS. XVAR AT CONSTANT C TEMPERATURE. THE SLOPE IS AIR AND THE Y·INTERCEPT IS HENRYS C CONSTANT. SAVE TEMPERATURE, A/R, HENRY'S CONSTANT AND CORRELATION C COEFFICIENT IN 'HANK' ARRAY.

C THE DATA WAS COLLECTED AT 5 C TEMPERATURE INCREMENTS. IN CASE THE C TEMPERATURE INCREMENT IS NOT AN EXACT MULTIPLE OF 5 C, THEN ROUND C OFF THE VALUE TO THE NEAREST MULTIPLE OF *5C.* IF DATA IS COLLECTED C AT SOME OTHER INCREMENT THEN THE ARGUMENT IN THE MOO STATEMENT C SHOULD BE CHANGED.

TEMP=TK(NKEEP)·273.15DO MTEMP=INT(TEMP) IF(MOO(MTEMP,5).NE.0) THEN MTEMP=MTEMP+1
ENDIF TEMP=DBLE(MTEMP)+273.15DO

 $J=1$

300 **CONTINUE**

 Φ

¥

C INITALIZE ALL SUMS

SUMX=0.DO SUMY=0.00 SUMXY=0.DO SUMXX=0.DO SUMYY=0.DO $N=0$

C ONLY REGRESS DATA AT THE SAME TEMPERATURE TO FIT THE KRICHEVSKY-

C ILLINSKAYA EQUATION.

DO 50 I=NKEEP, NPTS

```
IF (DABS(TK(I)-TEMP).GT.0.200) GOTO 50
   X=XVAR(1)Y=DLOG(FOX(I))
   XX=X^*XXY=X*YYY=Y*YSUMX=SUMX+X
   SUMY=SUMY+Y
   SUMXX=SUMXX+XX
   SUMXY=SUMXY+XY
   SUMYY=SUMYY+YY
   N = N + 150 CONTINUE
```
DENOM=N*SUMXX-(SUMX)**2 B=(SUMY*SUMXX-SUMX*SUMXY)/DENOM M=(N*SUMXY-SUMX*SUMY)/DENOM DENOM=(SUMXX-SUMX**2/N)*(SUMYY-SUMY**2/N)

R2=(SUMXY-SUMX*SUMY/N)**2/DENOM

 \mathbf{r}

C STORE TEMPERATURE, A/R, HENRY'S LAW VALUE, AND CORRELATION

C COEFFICIENT IN THE HANK ARRAY.

```
HANK(J, 1)=TEMP
HANK(J,2)=M
HANK(J,3)=DEXP(B)
HANK(J,4)=R2
```
C REPEAT THE PREVIOUS REGRESSION FOR THE NEXT 5C TEMPERATURE INCREMENT

C UP TO A MAXIMUM OF 95C. IF THE INCREMENT OR MAXIMUM TEMPERATURE IS

- C DIFFERENT THEN THESE CONSTANTS SHOULD BE CHANGED. JPTS IS THE NUMBER
- C OF TEMPERATURE POINTS.

TEMP=TEMP+5.DO $J=J+1$ IF (TEMP.LE.368.1500) GOTO 300 $JPTS=J-1$

C INITIALIZE ALL SUMS

 \bar{z}

C REGRESS LOG HENRY'S LAW VALUE (Y-INTERCEPT FROM BEFORE) VS. 1/T(K)

DO 450 J=1, JPTS $X=1.00/HANK(J,1)$ Y=DLOG(HANK(J,3)) $XX=X^*X$ $XY=X*Y$ YY=Y*Y SUMX=SUMX+X SUMY=SUMY+Y

 $\overline{\mathbf{r}}$

DO 600 I=NKEEP, NPTS

 $X = XYAR(1)$ $XX=X^*X$ XY=X*DLOG(GAMMA(I))

C REGRESS A/R OVER ALL DATA POINTS TO FIND BEST VALUE OF A/R.

C INITIALIZE ALL SUMS SUMXX=0.00 **SUMXY=0.DO**

DO 550 I=1, NPTS HENRY(I)=DEXP(HM/TK(I)+HB) GAMMA(I)=FOX(I)/HENRY(I) 550 CONTINUE

C ACTIVITY COEFFICIENT) FOR EACH DATA POINT.

C CALCULATE HENRY'S CONSTANT AND GAMMA* (UNSYMMETRICALLY NORMALIZED

HM=M $HB = B$ $HR2 = R2$

C HM AND HB ARE CONSTANTS FOR [LN (HENRY) = HM/TK + HB].

N=JPTS DENOM=N*SUMXX-SUMX**2 B=(SUMY*SUMXX-SUMX*SUMXY)/DENOM M=(N*SUMXY-SUMX*SUMY)/DENOM DENOM=(SUMXX-SUMX**2/N)*(SUMYY-SUMY**2/N) R2=(SUMXY-SUMX*SUMY/N)**2/DENOM

450 CONTINUE

SUMXX=SUMXX+XX SUMXY=SUMXY+XY SUMYY=SUMYY+YY \mathbf{t}_i

- WRITE (2,415) 415 FORMAT(1X,1H',2X,'P(KPA)',9X,'T(K)',8X,'GMGAS',8X,'XGAS',9X, 1 'WGAS', 6X, 'PVAPSOL(KPA)', 3X, 'PGAS(KPA)', 4X, 'F/X (KPA)', 5X,
- WRITE (2,405) SOLVENT, SOLMW, GMSOL, SOLM, SOLB, SOLR2 WRITE (2,410) GAS, GASMW WRITE (2,412) NPTS, HM, HB, HR2, ARLS, STD 400 FORMAT (1X, 1H', A12, 1H', ', ', 1H', A12, 1H')

405 FORMAT (1X, 1H', A12, 1H', 5(',', E12.5)) 410 FORMAT (1X, 1H', A12, 1H', ', ', E12.5) 412 FORMAT (1X, 15, 5(',', E12.5))

- IF (YALL.NE.YES) GOTO 460 WRITE (2,400) FILENAME, DATE
- C SHOULD ALL.DAT BE PRINTED OUT?

C PSTD IS THE PERCENT STANDARD DEVIATION

STD=SQRT(SUMERR2/(NPTS-NOMIT-1)) PSTD=100.DO*STD

650 CONTINUE

DO 650 I=NKEEP, NPTS ERROR2=(1.DO-DEXP(ARLS*XVAR(1))/GAMMA(1))**2 SUMERR2=SUMERR2+ERROR2

SUMERR2=0.00

- C MEASURED DATA POINTS.
- C DETERMINE THE STANDARD DEVIATION BETWEEN THE CALCULATED AND

ARLS=SUMXY/SUMXX

SUMXX=SUMXX+XX

SUMXY=SUMXY+XY 600 CONTINUE

2 'XVAR', 9X, 'HENRY', 8X, 'GAMMA*', 1H')

DO 700 I=1, NPTS

700 WRITE (2,420) PKPA(I), TK(I), GMGAS(I), XGAS(I), WGAS(I), PVAPSOL(I), 1 PGAS(I), FOX(I), XVAR(I), HENRY(I), GAMMA(I)

 $A = -1.00$ $B = 0.00$

Y.

WRITE (2,420) A, B, B, B, B, B, B, B, B, B, B 420 FORMAT (1X, 10(E12.5, ', '), E12.5)

- 460 CONTINUE
- C SHOULD HANK.DAT BE PRINTED OUT?

IF (YHANK.NE.YES) GOTO 475

WRITE (3,425)

425 FORMAT (1X, 1H', 3X, 'T(K)', 10X, 'A/R', 10X, 'HENRYS', 8X, 'R2', 3X, 1H')

DO 800 I=1, JPTS

- 800 WRITE (3,430) (HANK(1,J), J=1,4)
- 430 FORMAT (1X, 3(E12.5, ', '), E12.5)
- 475 CONTINUE

C SHOULD REPORT.DAT BE PRINTED OUT?

IF (YREP.NE.YES) GOTO 500

TKHI=0.00 TKLO=500.00 PHI=0.DO PLO=1000.D0 \mathbf{L}

 $\sim 10^{-10}$

- WRITE (4,499) XlO,XHI 499 FORMAT(' MOLE FRACTION ',14X,F6.5,5X,F6.5)
- WRITE (4,498) WlO,WHI 498 FORMAT(' WEIGHT FRACTION ',12X,F6.5,5X,F6.5)
- WRITE (4,497) PLO,PHI 497 FORMAT(' PARTIAL PRESSURE (KPA) ',4X,F5.2,7X,F6.2)
- WRITE (4,496) TKLO,TKHI 496 FORMAT(' TEMPERATURE (K)',13X,F5.1,6X,F5.1)
- WRITE (4,495) 495 FORMAT (35X,'RANGE',I,' VARIABLES',19X,'lOW',9X,'HI',IJ
- WRITE (4,482) FllENAME,DATE,SOlVENT,GAS 482 FORMAT(2X,2(A12,5X),2(A12),/)
- WRITE (4,480) 480 FORMAT(1X,15(H*),' liGHT GAS SOLUBILITY REPORT *',15(H*),II)*
- 1000 CONTINUE

"

- IF (GAMMA(I).GT.GAHHI) GAHHI=GAHMA(I) IF (GAMMA(I).LT.GAMlO) GAMLO=GAMMA(I)
- IF (XGAS(I).LT.XlO) XlO=XGAS(I)
- IF (XGAS(I).GT.XHI) XHI=XGAS(I)
- IF (WGAS(I) .GT.WHI) WHI =WGAS(I) IF (WGAS(I).lT.WlO) WlO=WGAS(I)
- IF (PGAS(I).LT.PLO) PLO=PKPA(I)
- IF (PGAS(I).GT.PHI) PHI=PKPA(I)
- IF (TK(I).LT.TKLO) TKLO=TK(I)
- IF (TKO).GT.TKHI) TKHI=TIC(I)
- DO 1000 1=1,NPTS
- IJHI=O.DO WlO=1.DO XHI=O.DO XLO=1.DO GAMHI=O.DO GAHLO=10.DO
- 484 FORMAT(' SOLVENT VAPOR PRESSURE: PVAP(KPA)=DEXP[MS/T(K)+BS) I) WRITE (4,486) SOlM,SOlB,SOLR2
- 486 FORMAT(' MS = ',E12.5,5X,'BS = ',E12.5,5X,'R2 = ',F5.4,/) WRITE (4,488)
- 488 fORMAT(' HENRYS lAW: HENRY(KPA)=DEXP[MH/T(K)+BH) ') WRITE (4,490) HM,HB,HR2
- 490 FORMAT(' MH = ',E12.S,SX,'BH = ',E12.S,SX,'R2 = ',FS.4,/) WRITE (4,492) ARlS
- 492 FORMAT(' TWO-SUFFIX MARGULES CONSTANT: $A/R(K) =$ '.E12.5./) WRITE (4,494) PSTO,NPTS
- 494 fORMAT(' STANDARD DEVIATION (X) = ',fS.2,SX,'NPTS = *',13,/)* WRITE (4,S04) NOMIT,GMSOMIT
- S04 fORMAT(' NUMBER OF DATA POINTS OMITTED =',13,SX,I, 1,' DATA WITH <= ',fS.4,' GRAMS Of GAS WERE NOT CONSIDERED')
- SOO CLOSE (1)

:.

If (YAll.EQ.YES) CLOSE (2) If (YHANK.EQ.YES) CLOSE (3) IF (YREP.EQ.YES) CLOSE (4) STOP END

•

APPENDIX C

- C.I Simulation Flow Diagram
- C.2 Operations Specifications Summary
- C.3 Detailed Stream Data
- C.4 Column Profiles

170
Simulation Flow Diagram

•

The UCBSRP flowsheet simulator described by Neumann (1986) is composed of many "operation" subroutines that simulate actual unit operations. These "operations" are "connected" together to describe an actual process. The process simulator generates detailed heat and mass balances for all the streams in the process. The process flow diagram shown in Figure 4-2 was decomposed into the Simulation Flow Diagram (SFD) outlined in the following section. There are many more "operations" and streams in the detailed SFD than are shown in Figure 4-2. The circled stream numbers shown in the SFD do not correspond to those shown in Figure 4-2. The "operations" shown in the SFD are numbered in the order they are calculated. Some of these "operations" are fictitious and but are included to improve the stability of the calculation. An example of such an "operation" is Unit #8, the $SO₂$ specification. This specification insures a constant flow of sulfur dioxide to the rest of the simulation even if the $SO₂$ absorber fails to produce the required amount of SO_2 solution. This "operation" has no effect at convergence of a correctly specified simulation. Alternatively, Unit #23 which specifies the molar flow of H_2S , is necessary for convergence. This "operation" is analogous to a $H₂S$ controller in an actual process. In Figure 4-2, there are four points where chemical reaction occurs; they arc: the primary absorber, secondary absorber, primary reactor and recycle reactor. If the H_2S composition or flow is not fixed at some point in the simulation (or process), the process simulation is under constrained.

To Secondary Absorber-Reactor

..

Operations & Specifications Summary

The operations and specifications summary was generated by the database input program for the UCBSRP flowsheet simulation (Neumann. 1986). This summary contains all information on interconnection of streams and specifications for the various "operation". All specifications are given in SI units. The specifications in this summary were used to generate the STREAMIO.DAT file used by the UCBSRP flowsheet simulator.

UNIT= 1.00

TSET ROUTINE

INLET STREAM NUMBER= OUTLET STREAM NUMBER= SET TEMPERATURE OF OUTLET=

UNIT= 2.00

STORAGE UNIT

THIS UNIT SETS THE FLOW OF THE DESIRED COMPONENT AND SCALES THE OUTPUT FLOWS OF THE OTHER COMPONENTS AT THE SAME COMPOSITION AS THE INLET

UNIT= 3.00

FLOW SPLITTER

UNIT= 4·.00

FLOW SPLITTER

181

1 2

293.00

UNIT= 5.00

l.

FURNACE

UNIT= 6.00

ABSORBER/STRIPPER UNIT

EXIT VAPOR STREAM NUMBER= 13 REBOILER HEAT INPUT $(KJ/S) = 0.00$ FRACTION OF CONDENSER LIQUID TO SIDE DRAW= 0.000 CONDENSER EXIT LIQUID STREAM NUMBER= FIRST HEATER/INTERCOOLER STAGE= 0 SECOND HEATER/INTERCOOLER STAGE= 0
PROFILE FLAG= 1 (=0 GENERATE NEW INITIAL GUESSES) EXIT LIQUID STREAM NUMBER= 14 CONDENSER TEMPERATURE $(K) =$
 0.000 o HEAT LOAD $(KJ/S) =$ HEAT LOAD $(KJ/S) =$ 0.00 0.00 (=1 USE PROFILE FROM PREVIOUS CALCULATION) 0.00

00 w

$UNIT= 7.00$

TSET ROUTINE

INLET STREAM NUMBER= OUTLET STREAM NUMBER= SET TEMPERATURE OF OUTLET=

 \sim

14 15 308.00.

UNIT= 8.00

MAKEUP UNIT

THIS UNIT SETS THE OUTLET FLOW OF THE DESIRED COMPONENT WHILE PASSING THE FLOWS OF THE OTHER COMPONENTS THROUGH FROM THE INLET TO THE OUTLET

UNIT= 9.00

PUMP

UNIT=10.00

SPLITTER

UNIT=11.00

MIXER

UNIT=12.00

ADIABATIC FLASH

UNIT=13.00

PARTIAL CONDENSER

UNIT=14.00

MIXER

UNIT=15.00

ADIABATIC FLASH

UNIT=16.00

PARTIAL CONDENSER

UNIT=17'.OQ'

MIXER

UNIT=18.00

COMPRESSOR

 $UNIT=19.00$

PUMP

UNIT=20.00

•

TSET ROUTINE

INLET STREAM NUMBER= OUTLET STREAM NUMBER= SET TEMPERATURE OF OUTLET= 33 34 283.00

UNIT=21.00

~-

ABSORBER/STRIPPER UNIT

EXIT VAPOR STREAM NUMBER= 35
REBOILER HEAT INPUT (KJ/S)= 0.00 CONDENSER TEMPERATURE (K)= REBOILER HEAT INPUT $(KJ/S) = 0.00$ CONDENSER TEMPERATURE $(K) = 0.00$
FRACTION OF CONDENSER LIQUID TO SIDE DRAW= 0.000 FRACTION OF CONDENSER LIQUID TO SIDE DRAW= 0.000 CONDENSER EXIT LIQUID STREAM NUMBER=
FIRST HEATER/INTERCOOLER STAGE= 0 HEAT LOAD (KJ/S)= FIRST HEATER/INTERCOOLER STAGE= 0 HEAT LOAD (KJ/S)= 0.00
SECOND HEATER/INTERCOOLER STAGE= 0 HEAT LOAD (KJ/S)= 0.00 SECOND HEATER/INTERCOOLER STAGE= 0. PROFILE FLAG= 1 (=0 GENERATE NEW INITIAL GUESSES) (=1 USE PROFILE FROM PREVIOUS CALCULATION)

• [~]I-

t

美し

$UNIT=22.00$

REACTOR

UNIT=23.00

MAKEUP UNIT

THIS UNIT SETS THE OUTLET FLOW OF THE DESIRED COMPONENT WHILE PASSING THE FLOWS OF THE OTHER COMPONENTS THROUGH FROM THE INLET TO THE OUTLET

UNIT=24.00

ADIABATIC FLASH

 $UNIT=25.00$

 \mathbf{k}

MIXER

UNIT=26.00

PARTIAL CONDENSER

UNIT=27.00

SULFUR SETTLER

UNIT=28.00

MIXER

UNIT=29.00

SULFUR CENTRIFUGE

UNIT=30.00

 \mathcal{L}

PUMP

UNIT=3!. 00

•

..

 \mathbf{L}

HEAT EXCHANGER

 \sim

UNIT=32.00

MELTER/DECANTER

UNIT=33.00

TSET ROUTINE

UNIT=34.00

PUMP

 \mathcal{A}_A

UNIT=35.00.

FLOW SPLITTER

•

٠Ā

 \bullet

UNIT=36.00

MIXER

UNIT=37.00

REACTOR

UNIT=38.00

PUMP

UNIT=39.00

•

HEAT EXCHANGER

UNIT=40.00

 $\bar{\tau}$

TSET ROUTINE

INLET STREAM NUMBER= OUTLET STREAM NUMBER= SET TEMPERATURE OF OUTLET=

 ~ 100

62 63 394.00 UNIT=41.00

PROFILE FLAG= 1

 $\frac{1}{2}$, and the contract of the contract

SPECBOT (TOP) = VALUES FOR SET PARAMETER (HEAT, TEMP., ETC.)

3=SET RECOVERY FRACT OF COMP. IBOT

(=1 USE PROFILE FROM PREVIOUS CALCULATION)

(=0 GENERATE NEW INITIAL GUESSES)

2=SET CONDENSER TEMPERATURE
3=SET RECOVERY FRACT OF COMP. ITOP

UNIT=42.00

r [~]

NUMBER OF STAGES= S FEED1 STREAM NUMBER= 0 FEED2 STREAM NUMBER= 0 FEED3 STREAM NUMBER= 0 FEED4 STREAM NUMBER= 0 FEEDS STREAM NUMBER=66 PRESSURE $(KPA) = 120.00$ FEED1 STAGE NUMBER= 0 FEED2 STAGE NUMBER= 0 FEED3 STAGE NUMBER= 0 FEED4 STAGE NUMBER= 0 FEED5 STAGE NUMBER= 5 EXIT VAPOR STREAM NUMBER= 64 FIRST HEATER/INTERCOOLER STAGE= 0 SECOND HEATER/INTERCOOLER STAGE= 0 EXIT LIQUID STREAM NUMBER= 68
HEAT LOAD $(KJ/S) =$ 0.00 HEAT LOAD $(KJ/S) =$ 0.00
HEAT LOAD $(KJ/S) =$ 0.00 HEAT LOAD $(KJ/S) =$ BOTTOM SPEC= 3 IBOT= 2 SPECBOT= 0.9900

TOP SPEC= 1 ITOP= 0 SPECTOP= 0.0000 TOP SPEC= 1 FRACT TO SIDEDRAW STRM $#$ 0 = 0.000
TOP SPEC=: BOTTOM SPEC=:
1=SET MOLE FRACT OF COMP. IBOT 1=NO TOP SPECIFICATION 1=SET MOLE FRACT OF COMP. IBOT 1=NO TOP SPECIFICATION
2=SET REBOILER HEAT DUTY 2=SET CONDENSER TEMPERATURE 2=SET REBOILER HEAT DUTY 3=SET RECOVERY FRACT OF COMP. IBOT 3=SET RECOVERY FRACT OF COMP. ITOP SPECBOT (TOP) = VALUES FOR SET PARAMETER (HEAT, TEMP., ETC.)

DISTILLATION UNIT

PROFILE FLAG= 1 (=0 GENERATE NEW INITIAL GUESSES) (=1 USE PROFILE FROM PREVIOUS CALCULATION) • [~]

UNIT=43.00

MIXER

 $UNIT=44.00$

COMPRESSOR

..

 \bullet

UNIT=45.00 \degree

PARTIAL CONDENSER

UNIT=46.00

COMPRESSOR

$UNIT=47.00$

MIXER

UNIT=48.00

a)

MIXER

UNIT=49.00

HEAT EXCHANGER

$UNIT=50.00$

TSET ROUTINE

 ~ 10

 $UNIT=51.00$

DISTILLATION UNIT NUMBER OF STAGES= 20 FEED1'STREAM NUMBER= 0 $FEED2$ STREAM NUMBER= 0 FEED3 STREAM NUMBER=77 FEED4 STREAM NUMBER= 0 FEEDS STREAM NUMBER= 0 EXIT VAPOR STREAM NUMBER= 78 FIRST HEATER/INTERCOOLER STAGE= 0 SECOND HEATER/INTERCOOLER STAGE= 0 PRESSURE $(KPA) = 2200.00$ FEEDl STAGE NUMBER= 0 FEED2 STAGE NUMBER= 0 FEED3 STAGE NUMBER=10. FEED4 STAGE NUMBER= 0 FEED5 STAGE NUMBER= 0 EXIT LIQUID STREAM NUMBER= 79 HEAT LOAD $(KJ/S) =$ 0.00 HEAT LOAD $(KJ/S) =$ 0.00 BOTTOM SPEC= 3 1BOT= 13 SPECBOT= 0.9800

TOP SPEC= 3 1TOP= 12 SPECTOP= 0.9900 TOP SPEC= FRACT TO SIDEDRAW STRM $\#$ 0 = 0.000
TOP SPEC=: BOTTOM SPEC=:
1=SET MOLE FRACT OF COMP. IBOT 1=NO TOP SPECIFICATION l=SET MOLE FRACT OF COMP. IBOT 2=SET REBOILER HEAT DUTY 2=SET CONDENSER TEMPERATURE 3=SET RECOVERY FRACT OF COMP. IBOT 3=SET RECOVERY FRACT OF COMP. ITOP SPECBOT (TOP) = VALUES FOR SET PARAMETER (HEAT, TEMP., ETC.) PROFILE FLAG= 1

i. ., c .,.

(=0 GENERATE NEW INITIAL GUESSES) (=1 USE PROFILE FROM PREVIOUS CALCULATION)

UNIT=52.00

PUMP

UNIT=53.00

SPLITTER

UNIT=54.00

ABSORBER/STRIPPER UNIT

NUMBER OF STAGES= PRESSURE (KPA)= VOLUME OF LIQUID ON A TRAY (M3)= LIQUID MOLAR DENSITY (KMOL/M3)= SECOND ORDER RATE CONSTANT= FEED1 STREAM NUMBER=78 FEED2 STREAM NUMBER= 0 FEED3 STREAM NUMBER=82 FEED4 STREAM NUMBER=81 FEED5 STREAM NUMBER= 0 15 2200.00 0.06 4.56 100.00 FEED1 STAGE NUMBER= 1 FEED2 STAGE NUMBER= 0 FEED3 STAGE NUMBER= 5 FEED4 STAGE NUMBER=lj FEED5 STAGE NUMBER= 0 EXIT VAPOR STREAM NUMBER= 83 EXIT LIQUID STREAM NUMBER= 84 REBOILER HEAT INPUT $(KJ/S) = 0.00$ CONDENSER TEMPERATURE $(K) = 308.00$
FRACTION OF CONDENSER LIOUID TO SIDE DRAW= 0.000 FRACTION OF CONDENSER LIQUID TO SIDE DRAW= 0.000 CONDENSER EXIT LIQUID STREAM NUMBER=
FIRST HEATER/INTERCOOLER STAGE= 0 HEAT LOAD (KJ/S)= FIRST HEATER/INTERCOOLER STAGE= 0 HEAT LOAD (KJ/S)= 0.00
SECOND HEATER/INTERCOOLER STAGE= 0 HEAT LOAD (KJ/S)= 0.00 SECOND HEATER/INTERCOOLER STAGE= 0 HEAT LOAD $(KJ/S) = 0.00$ PROFILE FLAG= 1 (=0 GENERATE NEW INITIAL GUESSES)

 \bullet . The contract of the co

(=1 USE PROFILE FROM PREVIOUS CALCULATION)

UNIT=55.00

REACTOR

UNIT=56.00

..

..

PUMP

Detailed Stream > Data

The detailed stream data for the converged simulation are given here. The stream numbers correspond to those in the simulation flow diagram shown in Appendix C.1. The units used in this output are given below.

..

FLOW TEMP PRES ENTH **DHAS** $C5+$ SULP 02 $CO₂$ c sor v **H20 M2S** $SO₂$ **SULD** #2 \$18 20+3TY36ZSCRIE. · E0+30SSP. CO+30503. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 80-3286. SO-3007. 80-3286E. TO-3286P. 00+36212. SO-3000. 80-3286E. TO-388E. TO-388E. TO-388E. TO-388E. CO+3000. 80-1.0 1.0 2004100212.12004120012930412.2006.000+30000.000+30000.000+30000.000+30000.000+30000.000+30000.000+30000.000+30000.000+3000.000+3000.000+3000.000+3000.000+3000.000+3000.000+3000.000+3000.000+3000.000+3000.000+3000.000+3000.0 1.0 2005208212712. 201910292. 201910202. 20191000. 001910000. 00191000. 00191000. 00191000. 00191000. 00191000. 2000. 2000. 2000. 201910. 201910. 2019102. 2019102. 2019100. 2019100. 2019100. 2019100. 2019100. 2019100. 2019100. 1.0 20050802121. 20050121. 2017825. 00-307081. 00-30000. 00-30000. 00-30000. 00-30000. 00-30000. 00-3000. 00-3000. 20-300. 20-300. 00-3000. 00-3000. 00-3000. 00-3000. 00-3000. 00-3000. 00-3000. 00-3000. 00-3000. 00-3000. 00-300 1.0 1.0 1.0 40+324979755.0 00+30000. 20+30292. 50-30200. 10+30000. 10+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 8. 0000 1.0 ۵. 2.0 2.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 20011434392414. \$20021. \$20021. \$20000. \$20000. \$20000. \$20000. \$20000. \$20000. \$20000. \$200000. \$200000. \$20000. \$20000. \$20000. \$20000. \$20000. \$20000. \$20000. \$20000. \$20000. \$20000. \$20000. \$20000. \$20000. \$20000. \$2000 1.0 3.0 3.0 20110011701. 2012002. 201202. 191000. 191000. 191000. 191000. 19100. 19100. 19100. 191000. 201201. 191000. 191000. 20120. 191000. 20120. 11102. 191000. 191000. 191000. 191000. 19320. 19320. 19320. 19320. 19320. 19320. 1932 1.0 2.0 1.0 2.0 0.02 00011178787201. 000122787202. 000+37222. 000+30000. 000+38871. 000+32725. 000+327251. 000+31251. 00000. 00000. 00000. 00000. 00000. 00000. 00000. 00000. 00000. 00000. 00000. 00000. 00000. 00000. 00000. 00000. 00000. 00 1.0 000013403610277. 2000202. 2012102. 20130002. 20130000. 2013000. 2013012. 20130201. 20130201. 2013000. 2013000. 2013000. 2013000. 2013000. 2010000. 2013000. 2013000. 2013000. 2013000. 2013000. 2013000. 2013000. 2013000. 201 2.0 CONSIDERATION CONSIDERATION CONSIDERATION 0000E+00 .0000E+00 .0000E+00 .0000E+00 .0000E+00 .000E+00 .000E 1.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 1.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 4.0 40390002. CO+32002. 00+32000. 00+30000. 00-38202. DO+38001. 00-3002. 00-3002. 00-3002. 00-3002. 00-3002. 00-3002. 00-3002. 00-3002. 00+30002. 00+30002. 00+30002. 00+30002. 00+30002. 00+30002. 00+30002. 00+30002. 00+30002. $-0.977327097E + 05$ $1,0$ 2.0 1.0 00+38057484689. 00+3885. 00+37982. 00+37980. 00+30+30. 00+37980. 00+3000. 00+38125. 00+3888. 00+3888. 01-30984. 07-3717. 00-34486. 00+38057. 00+38057. 00+38057. 00+38057. 00+38057. 00+38057. 00+38057. 00+38057. 00+38057. 0 3.0 2.0 1.0 4.0 -4.0

0.0 00+10002525. 00+30001. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+3 47 .9692E-14 .15000E-00 .1000E-00 .1000E-00 .1000E-000. TO-302E-07 .00-3POIE. 70-3288E. 80-3220. 16-3528E. 00-3228E-07 .3795E-07 .3792E-07 .3792E-07 .3792E-07 .3735E-07 .3735E-07 .3093E-07 .3093E-07 .3735E. 10-385E. 07-388 48 .4279£+00. 2000E+03 .2000E+00 .2075E+00 .2076E-03 .2016E-02 .3736E-02 .3736E-02 .3302E-02 .3302E-02 .3502E-0
0.4 237464951E-02 20100E-01 .3000E-00 .3000E-00 .0OOOE-OO .35756 .00-33756 80.37556 3692A 20.35702 .23705 .292 49 .1878 .2000 .278E-07 .2008 .2009 .2009 .2009 .0004 .00 .1335E-08 .2009E-14 .0736E-05 .2130E-07 .1122E-11 .6736E-07 .30926-06 .2170E-07 .2170E-07 .2170E-07 .2170E-07 .2170E-08 .2170E-03 .21298445E-14 .212988463E+06 .2120 50.1877£-05 .7830E+00 .1278E-07 .1122E-11 .6736E·07 .1505E-06 .6699£-14 .1335E-08 .1533£-07 .3084£-06 .1568£-06 .1351£-07 .2170E+00 .OOOOE+OO .5603E-Ol .3036£+03 .2000E+03 -.212988463E+06 1.0 51 .1877£-05 .7830E+00 .1278£-07 .1122E-II .6736E-07 .1505E-06 .6699E-14 .1335E-08 .1533E-07 .3084E-06 .1568E-06 .1357E-07 .2170E+00 .OOOOE+OO .5603E-01 .3743£+03 .2000E+03 -.210505114E+06 1.0 0.6 60+3000E-26 .1000E-01 .1632E-07 .1433E-11 .363 = 2002E-08 .399 .3909 .399 .390 .2002E-05 .30 .3200 . 2000E+01 .3900E+01 .35204444E+04 .2002E+04 .35204444E+04 .2002E+01 .3900E+01 .3930E+03 .2000E+03 .26935E-01 .2509+0 53.000E+00 .0000E+00 .0000E+00 .000E+00 .0000E+00 .0000E+00 .0000E+00 .000E+00 .000E+00 .000E+00 .000E+00 .000
0.1 .000E+00 .0000E+00 .000E+00 .000E+00 .000E+00 .000E+00 .000E+00 .000E+00 .000E+00 .000E+03 .2000E+03 .2000E 0.1 000±+04 .1000E-01 .1033E-07 .27115137E+06 .2004E - 11 .0432E -07 .049E. 70-300E-06 .3597E-14 .3592E -07 .2424E -07 .2424E -07 .2434E -17 .8614E -07 .2436E -04 .2872 .2006E-07 .3949E .04 .2000£+00 .2434E .000E+08 .2720 0.1 2000E-04 .1000E-01 .1033E-07 .1434E-11 .8614E-07 .80-3926. 80-30061. 80-3006. 80-3000E-06 .3947E-07 .2000E-04 .1000E-01 .300E-04 .1735E-07 .2000E-01 .2000E-01 .2000E-01 .272079329E-0
1.0 2000E03 .2841E+03 .2841E+03 .2 56.4279£+00 .5333E+00 .5323E+02 .5330E+00 .7075E-02 .7444E-03 .7444E-03 .7444E-03 .0000E+00 .3832E-02 .2830E+04 .5942E+03 .168IE-OI .8564E-02 .7444E-03 .0000E+00 .0000E+00 .3832E-02 .2841E+03 .1200E+03 .153687969E+06 1.0
 57 .666\E+00 .2720E+00 .1101E-02 .9988E-07 .5817E-02 .1292E-Ol .5956£-09 .1144E-03 .1306£-02 .26IBE-OI .1333E-OI .1159£-02 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .1800E+00 .2830E+03 • 1200E+03· -.876256497E+05 4.0 58 .666IE+OO .2720E+00 .ll0IE-02 .9988E-07 .58m-02 .1292E-01 .5956£-09 .1144E-03 .1306£-02 .261BE-01 .1333E-Ol .1159£-02 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .4102E-01 .2830E+03 .1200E+03 ·.876256497E+05 4.0 59 .6564500 .6565E+00 .2945E+00 .2945E+01 .771E-07 .1109E-03 .2536E-01 .3771E-07 .1252E-01 .3771E-09 .2645E+03 .266E-02 .2645E+03 .2645E+03 .1200E+00 .2945E+03 .1200E+03 .1200E+03 .1200E+03 .1200E+03 .1200E+03 .1200E+03 .1 60 60 2002026. 2002081. 20020828. 00+30181. 00+30180. 00-31522. 10-31522. 20-31202. 129424. 129424. 10-3128E-02
10. 27205426-02 120024. 20020181. 000400. 200201. 20135E-0. 1154362. 20-31202. 20-31202. 10-31242. 10-31242. 1 ECONDOPTERS. 30-3002. 2000000. 20-3000. 20-31816. 20-3201. 10-32002. 20-3201. 20-3130E-03 .1299E. 20-32025. 20-320242. 20-320242. 26-320242. 20-320242. 20-32000E-00. 20-320242. 20-32024
20-3203028. 20-3203. 20-32081. 20-32 62 .6455E+00 .2945E+00 .1067E-02 .9678E-07 .5636£-02 .1252E·Ol .5nlE-09 .1109E-03 .1266£-02 .2536£-01 .1292E-Ol .1123E·02 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .4491E-Ol .3911E+03 .1200E+03 ·.589236834E+05 3.0 61 .6455E+00 .1067E-02 .645E+05 .1202E-01 .1202E-01 .1202E-01 .1202E-02 .5636E-02 .12632E-02 .1262E-03 .2536E-03 .2536E-03 .2536E-03 .2536E-03 .2536E+05 .2535E-00 .2945E-03 .2536E+05 .2535E-03 .2535E-04 .253915468E+05 .253 64 .4406£-08 .9819£+00 .3367E-02 .3543E-05 .OOOOE+OO .1298E·Ol .0000£+00 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .1194E-02 .4868E-03 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .9768E-04 .3n4E+03 .1200E+03 ·.229774674E+06 2.0 65 .8823E+00 .1100E+00 .4966E-07 .3879£ -08 .7704E-02 .1683E-08 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO • 1794E -07 .1495E-06 .0000E+00 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .3286E-Ol .4253E<>03 .1200E+03 0.151567322E+05 1.0 66 .2498E-06 .2498E-02 .3008E-02 .3000E-00 .322E-03 .0000E+00 .3282E-03 .000E+00 .322E-03 .000E+00 .3282E-04 .497E-05 .2068E-06 .000E+00 .000E+00 .2593E-02 .3080E+03 .27208F503 .272087523E+06 .249E-07 .000E+03 .2720E-08 .2 0.5 00+292226267. 20+20027. 20+2000. 00+2000. 00+2000. 00+20+262. 00+2622. 00+2622. 20+2000. 00+2000. 00+20+20. 00+20+20. 10-2222. 16+202. 16+202. 16+202. 16+200. 1942. 16-2000. 1942. 1942. 1942. 1942. 1942. 1942. 1943000. 69 .69 .1313E-03 .8324E-02 .3551E-02 .3551E-02 .7579E-07 .0000E+03 .000E+OO .2707E-00 .4493E+06 .4493E+00 .7579E-02 .770E-02 .000E+00 .000E+00 .6162E-03 .2844E+03 .1200E+03 .12044415E+06 3.0
20 .1313E-01 .812E+02 .1525E+06 70 .1313E-03 *.8324£-02* .355IE-02 .7579E-07 _OOOOE+OO .2707E+00 .1818E-05 .6806E-Ol .1127E+00 .4493£+00 .7955E-Ol .nOIE-02 .OOOOE+OO .0000£+00 .6162E-Ol .2982E+03 .6500£+03 ·.162447425E+06 3.0 71 .546OE-02 .3057E+00 .1410E-02 .1512E-06 .OOOOE+OO .8780E-02 .2393E-07 .2015E-02 .217IE-Ol .3543E+00 .2327E+00 .6799E-Ol .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .1478E-02 .2930£+03 .6500E+03 ·.162062224E+06 1.0 0.5 A0+3906E-07 .200+00268. 20+302CS. DO+30000. OO+30000. SO-302E-OI .3943E-00+302FO. 0000000. CO-3588E. 00+3272E-00 .779EE-02 .3604E-07 .0000E-02 .7343E-03 .2978E-02 .0000E-00 .779E-02 .36000E-03 .2978E-03 .2978E-02 .3600 73 .3743E-06 .1015E-02 .3604E-02 .7394E-07 .OOOOE+OO .2772E+00 .1862E-05 .6968E-01 .1149£+00 .4517E+00 .7579£-01 .6220E-02 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .6014£-01 .3032E+03 .2200E+04 ·.161133622E+06 2.0 74 .3287E-05 _9383E-03 .2903E-02 .5837£-07 .OOOOE+OO .2386E+00 .1723E-04 .1781E+00 .1268£+00 .3854E+00 .6209E-Ol .5202E-02 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .7638E-Ol .3158E+03 .2200E+04 -.147646276E+06 3.0 75 .8442E-06 _3035E-02 .1162E-Ol .1499E-07 .OOOOE+OO .6536E-Ol .4425£-05 .1207E+00 .1246E+00 .3208E+00 .2014E+00 .1523E+00 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .2974E+00 .3069E+03 .2200E+04 '.117928803E+06 3.0 76 .8442E-06 .3035E-02 _'162£-01 .1499E-07 .OOOOE+OO .6536E-Ol .4425E-05 .1207E+00 .1246E+00 .3208£+00 .2014E+00 .1523E+00 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .2974E+00 .334IE+03 .2200E+04 -.110039049E+06 3_0 0.6 60+3075 .8442E-06 .3000E+06 .3000E+00 .000+00 .00+3042E-00 .200E+00 .2000E+00 .3208E+00 .100+207E+00 .100+207E+00 .100+207E+00 .15252+00 .1523E+04 .1162E+05 .2000E+00 .1536E+06 .1162E+03 .2200E+04 .110076858E+06 .2000 18 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .1804E-OI .1804E-OI .1931E-OI .138IE-OO .1935E+02 .0000E+OO .1015E+00 .1935E+05 .2020E+00 .1935E+00 .4933E+04 .2200E+04 .2200E+00 .1015E+02 .2000E+00 .1015E+02 .0000E+04 .2200E+04 .2000E+06 .2200E+0 79 .2372E-05 .8530E-02 .1596E-05 .1715E-07 _OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .2637E-06 .9012E-02 .5546E+00 .4279E+00 .OOOOE+OO .0000£+00 .1058E+00 .4203E+03 .2200£+04 •• 100316452E+06 1.0 0. 20001221. 20001052. 200+30202. 200+30000. 000+30000. 000+30422. 50-3726, 00-37200. 000000. 00-3000. 0000000 .0002 .000000. 2017E-02 .5330E-02 .5330E-02 .15986 .22005+00 .3775E-05 .220
0.1 2230868E71E - 20160002. 2016052 81 .8823E+00 .1100E+00 .498IE-07 .3888E-08 .7704E-02 .1689E-08 .OOOOE+OO .0000£+00 .OOOOE+OO .1800E-07 .150IE-06 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .1377E-Ol .2930E+03 .2200E+04 -.317586968E+05 1_0 82 .6863E+00 .9240E-Ol .OOOOE+OO .2152E+00 _5993E-02 .OOOOE+OO .1392E-03 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .9071E-02 .3080E+03 .2200E+04 -.913294545E+05 1.0 83 .1660E-04 .208E-03 .208E-03 .208E-04 .9286E-03 .5286E-07 .0000E+00 .1002E+00 .4739E+04 .2115E+00 .4739E+04 .2115E+00 .4739E+04 .2115E+00 .4810E-02 .9846E-07 .0000E+00 .4759 .0000E+00 .3080E-03 .2200E+04 ...
81 .100E+00 84 .3957E+00 .1196E+00 .2162E-02 .5918E-02 .1118E+00 .200-303E-02 .3004E-02 .3004E-02 .300E-02 .3908E-02 .3938E-02 .3918E-02 .1118E+00 .3905E-02 .3918E-02 .3957E-00 .0000E+OO .2152E-OP .2307E+03 .2200E+04 .2397E+05 2.000E+ 85 .6863£+00 .9240E-Ol .OOOOE+OO .2152E+00 .5993E-02 .OOOOE+OO .1392E-03 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .9442E-02 .3080E+03 .2200£+04 ·.913294545E+05 1.0 0.4 2783727245E-07 .3203E-00 .3136E-03 .200E+03 .2000E+OS .4031E-01 .4056E-01 .4056E-03 .3352E-02 .4643E-03 .303E-03 .2000E+00 .773E-03 .303E-03 .4056E-01 .3906E-01 .3352E-03 .200E+04 .2352E-03 .200E+04 .2352E-07 .2000E+00 0.1 2000021224E-07 .2322E-07 .2376E-00 .000 .4440E+00 .000 .4031E-01 .4031E-01 .4053EE-04 .3352E-02 .3352E-02 .3376E-01 .3376E+00 .4040E+00 . 4049E45E-07 .59727246E-00 .3263E-00 .3263E- 00 .3263E-03 .3500E+00 .1736E+03 .35

~ ...

Appendix C.4

Column Profiles

The converged temperature, flow and composition profiles for all equilibriumstaged columns used in this simulation are given here.

 $\bar{\rm I}$

UNIT 6: SULFUR DIOXIDE ABSORBER

 \mathbb{R}^2

 \sim

 \sim

N o 0\

..

 ζ

 \sim

 \mathbf{Y}

H₂s **SO2 SULD** CO₂ **N2** $C1$ $H₂$ CO $C₂$ $C₃$ r4 SOLV **H2O** SG# 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 02+3292. 00+30000. 00+30228. 04+3672. 1 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+3000. 00+3232E-04. 57525. 8 000+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00-31321. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30264. 00+30000. 00-3840E. 4. 1299E1. 4 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00-30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+37808. 00+30000. 00=37631. 00+30000. 00+3000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+3000. 00+3000. 00+3000. 00+3000. 00+3000. 00+3000. 00+3000. 00+3000. 00+3000. 00+3000. 00+ 0011112. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+31812. 00-33781. 00-34812. 00-34803. 0 80-312525. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+300000. 00+300000. 00+300000. 00+30000. 00+32732. 09-3737. 09-37472. 09-3603. 000.

() 安德德代 ()

207

..

INPUT PARAMETERS: K2= 100.0 VTRAY= .2000 RHOl= 4.56

LIQUID COMPONENT MOLE FRACTIONS
SG# SOLV H2O H2S SG# SOLV H20 H2S' S02 SULD C02 1 .4981E+OO .1996E+OO .1985E·Ol .6703E·07 .5175E·02 .7341E·01 N2 C1 .OOOOE+OO .5401E-Ol H2 CO C2 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .3655E-01 .8370E-Ot 00-3011E-01 .2012. 10-3414E-01 .2014E-01 .2015E+00 . 00-3000E+00 . 10-3014E-02 . 20-3792E- 20-310E-02 . 2397E+
2016 . 1995 . 1996 . 1996 . 1996 . 1999 . 1999 . 1999 . 1999 . 1999 . 1999 . 1999 . 1999 . 1997 . 20-310E- 02-3 .5324E+00 .2142E+OO .1905E-02 .2944E·04 .5443E-02 .6535E-01 .OOOOE+OO .5090e·01 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .3289E-Ol .7582E-Ol .1923E-Ol .1809E-02 4 .5385E+OO .2166E+OO .4570E·03 .1315E·03 .5202e·02 .6359E·01 .OOOOE+OO .5033E-Ot .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .3222E-01 • 7399E-Ol .1728E·Ol .1687E-02 50-3427E-01 .1578E-01 .5429E-00 .000E-00 .0000E+00 .0000E+00 .0000E+02 .000E+00 .2437E-03 .49185E-00 .2183E+00
Concert and state of state of state or second accessor .0000E+00 .0000E+00 .000E+00 .2137E-00 .2183E+00 .2183E 0000E+00 .2204E-00 .2204E-01 .1449E-01 .000E-03 .4845E-02 .5878E-02 .5078E-03 .4845E-02 .3287E-04 .2204E+00 .2
COSTA ARTERA ARTERA 16 .2005 10 .2006 .000 .000000 .000000 .000000 .0000000 .0000E+OL .2204E+00 .2204E+00 .220 00-387EPD .3206E-01 .3295E-01 .325E-01 .000E+00 . 000E+00 . 1236E-03 .4935E-03 .4935E-03 .4935E-05 . 5618E+00
Coopen 14205 . 14375E . 1437EE . 1438EEC . 1438EEC . 143 00-3020E+00 .1528E-01 .1592E-01 .1526E-03 .559ZE-03 .5278E-02 .5278E-03 .5786E-02 .5278E-03 .5786E-03 .5786E-0
Coase 1525B-02 .2525E-02 .25 PETTE .00 .0000E-02 .00 .00000 .000000 .0000E+00 .000E+00 .000E+00 .000E-05 .557E 00-30601. 1593E-01. 169886. 16-377E-0. 000000-000000. 10-30000 1314E-08 . 15-3252E-02 .5333E-03 . 2731E+00 .6731E+00. 0
Coopens 1977E-01. 1977E-01. 1977E-01. 1977E-01. 1977E-03. 20-311E-03. 20-3555E-01. 20-3555E-01. 20-355 10 .6778E+OO .8535E-01 .50l4E-06 .2773E-04 .5922E-02 .5300e-01 .1317E-08 .5664E-Ol .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .3583E-01 .68l8E-Ol .1556E-01 .1636E-02 C3 C4 C5+ .2718E·01 .2433e-02

t

LIQUID COMPONENT FLOWS
SG# SOLV H2O SG# SOLV H20 H2S S02 SULD C02 N2 Cl H2 CO C2 C3 C4 C5+ 1 .1240E+00 .4971E-Ol .4943E-02 .1669E-07 .1289E-02 .1828E-01 .OOOOE+OO .1345E-Ol .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .9102E-02 .2084E-Ol .6768E-02 .6059E-03 2 .1240E+00 .4989E-Ol .1499E-02 .5701E-06 .1287E·02 .1621E-01 .OOOOE+OO .1236E-01 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO _8119E-02 .1869E-01 .5260E-02 .4795E-03 03-32152. 02-38142. 01-3762E. 02-3262E. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00=323E. 02-38612. 05-36482. 04-392E. 05 .1240E-03. 05-3481E 4 .1240E+00 .4990E-Ol .1053E-03 .3029E-04 .1198E-02 .1465E·01 .OOOOE+OO .1159E-Ol .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .7422E-02 .1705E-Ol .3981E-02 .3886E·03 5 .1240E+00 .4987E-Ol .2065E-04 .5568E-04 .1123E-02 .1415E-Ol .OOOOE+OO .1145E-Ol .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .7305E-02 .1649E-Ol .3605E-02 .3653E-03 6 .1240E+00 .552E- 01 .3278E-03 . 1240E+00 . 000E-01 .0000E-00 . 0000E-00 . 00-300E+00 . 2789E-03 .3789E-03 .
The main of the state of the state of the cool . 00-3000. 00-3000. 00-3000. 00-300E+00 . 2785E. 00-3888. 00-30 <u>7 .1240E+00 .7080E-02 .1302E-03 .0000E+00 .0000E+00 .0000E+00 .0000E+00 .0000E+00 .41758. 6417E-06 .0417E-05 .2947E-03 .0417E-05 . 1280E-01 .2947E-02 .1240E-01 .2947E-02 .3042E-01 .740E</u> 8 .3616E-02 .6063E-03 .6559E·08 .8916E-06 .3191E·04 .2911E-03 .7188E-11 .3084E-03 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .1944E-03 .3707E-03 .8589E-04 .8935E-05 9 .3617E-02 .4794E·03 .3613E-08 .4077E·06 .3167E-04 .2866E-03 .7062E·ll .3037E·03 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .1922E-03 .3686E-03 .8562E-04 .8921E·05 10 .3617E-02 .4555E·03 .2676E-08 .1480E-06 .3161E-04 .2828E-03 .7028E-11 .3023E-03 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .1912E-03 .3639E-03 .8304E-04 .8730E-05

 r and r a

N o \0

00+30000. 04-32025. 04-37291. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 05+3252. 00+30000. 07-3808. 04-322E-00. 06+3622. 04-3683E-01. 2 20+32425. 20+37602. 20+3602. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+320000. 00+3222. 00+37070. 00+367070. 12345-01 £0.30101. 20.3273E. 02.3898E. 02.373E. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 20+30000. 00+3012. 51612. 00+3603E. 01+367SE. 0 02-30296. 01-34856. 01-3486. 02-3414E. 0000 0000. 0000000. 00000000. 00-30000. 00-30000. 00-34856. 01-34856. 0 SO-38926. 10-3327E-01. 26-3326E-03. 200006-00. 000-30000. 205326. 00000+00. 20-3225E-01-3225E-02. 20-3225E-02. 20-3602E-02. 20-3602E-02. 20-3602E-02. 20-3602E-02. 20-3602E-02. 20-3602E-03. 20-3602E-02. 20-3602E-02. 20-3602 2879E-01.2879E-02.12E-01.3311E-01.0000E-00.2842E-03.0000E+00.2842E-03.0000E+00.2737E-02.2412E-02.2412E-02.2412E-02.3246E-04.8405E-04.8405E-04.8405E-04.8405E-04.8405E-04.8405E-04.8405E-0
2819E-02.10E-01.3311E-01.3311E-01.33 SO-32929. 10-3862. 10-3103. SO-310EE. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 10-3070E. 00+30000. 00-358E. SO-341E-06. 00+31888. 00-3141E. 9 101-3070. 001+32625. 001+34492. 001-3220E 01.0000E+00. 0000E+00. 0000E+00. 0000E+00. 0000E+00. 001-3220E. 11-3226E. 01-22069. 0099E-01. 1872E-01. 1872E-01. 1848E-05. 0000E+00. 2000E+00. 0000E+00. 1870E-01. 1548E-05. 0000E

 \mathcal{X}

LIQUID COMPONENT FLOWS
SG# SOLV H2O SG# SOLV H2O H2S SO2 SULD CO2 N2 C1 H2 CO C2 C3 C4 C5+ C5+
1 .2899E-01 .3614E-02 .1632E-08 .1274E-09 .2531E-03 .5531E-10 .0000E+00 .0000E+00 .0000E00 .0000E+00 .0000E+00 2 .2999E-01 .1213E-01 .1852E-07 .5375E·09 .2531E·03 .1714E-08 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .1217E-07 .6282E-07 .OOOOE+OO 3 .2931E-01 .1334E-01 .1243E-06 .9316E-09 .2531E-03 .3775E-07 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .1941E-06 .5675E-06 .7963E-09 4 .2927E-01 .1332E-Ol .8032E-06 .1452E-08 .2531E-03 .8171E-06 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .2119E-08 .3086E-05 .5071E-05 .2844E-07 5 .2924E-01 .1230E-01 .4672E-05 .1980E-08 .2531E-03 .1597E-04 .OOOOE+OO .7106E-08 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .2600E-06 .4433E-04 .4086E-04 .9137E-06 6 .4139E-03 .4182E-02 .9216E-07 .5341E-10 .OOOOE+OO .3006E-06 .OOOOE+OO .1513E-09 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .3385E-08 .1788E-06 .1137E-06 .3924E-08 7 .2805E-04 .5356E-02 .1161E-07 .7604E-11 .OOOOE+OO .4029E'-07 .OOOOE+OO .2438E-10 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .2787E-09 .4017E-08 .1540E-08 .7432E-10 8 .1470E-05 .5463E-02 _9050E-08 _6002E-11 .OOOOE+OO .3167E-07 _OOOOE+OO .1959E-10 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .2068E-09 _2562E-08 _9248E-09 .4635E-10 9 .7324E-07 .5468E-02 .9126E-08 .6295E·ll .OOOOE+OO .3188E-07 .OOOOE+OO .1972E-10 _OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .2073E-09 .2547E-08 .9165E-09 .4602E-l0 10 .1290E-08 .5164E-02 .1771E-06 .1864E-09 .OOOOE+OO .6827E-06 .OOOOE+OO .2731E-09 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .4013E-08 .6280E-07 .2560E-07 .1066E-08

 \ddot{c} $\ddot{$

- C

LIQUID COMPONENT MOLE FRACTIONS $c₂$ $C₃$ $C₄$ $C5+$ $H2S$ SO₂ **SULD** CO₂ N₂ C1 H₂ CO **H2O** SOLV SG# 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 10+30001. 00-32524. 1 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30500. 23535- 2 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+36000. 00+36000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+3000. 00+3000. 00+30 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 70-34621. 10+30001. 00-32253. 4 000+10000. 000+100252. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+32815. 00+30000. 07+3692. 100+3000. 100+3000. 60+32354. 5. 23544. 06+30000. 60+3000. 60+3000. 60+30000. 60+30000. 60+30000. 60+3000. 60+3000. 60+30

LIQUID COMPONENT FLOWS $c₂$ $C₃$ $C₄$ C5+ **H20** H₂S **SO2 SULD** $CO₂$ NZ $c₁$ H₂ **CO** SG# SOLV 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 02+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+3000. 00+3000. 00+3000. 00+3000. 00+300 00+30000. 00 00+30000. 00 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 10+3252. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00-35952. 1020E-01. 2399E-08. 4. 102+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+3000. 00+3000. 4 00+30000. 101-37922. 101-3082. 10+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 07-3224E. 07+30000. 09-3240. 1019E-01. 1019E-01. 2399E-08. 5

VAPOR COMPONENT FLOWS $c₂$ $C₃$ $C₄$ $C5+$ **SO2 SULD** CO₂ N₂ $C₁$ CO SOLV **H2O** H₂S H2 SG# 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+20007. 11-33906. 10+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+3000. 1 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 10+3161E. S 00+30000. 00 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+3222. 00+30000. 00+30000. 07-3222. 07-62742. 00+30000. 19422. 03. 00+30000. 07+35754. 06-36611. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00+30000. 00-36621. 00+30000. 09-36462. 06-36862. 04-3591E-04. 5. 4304E-12. 06-3687. 5. 4304E-12. 04-3591E-04. 5. 40-3687. 0

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\sim 10^{11}$ km s $^{-1}$

 ~ 100

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 σ

 $\sim 10^7$

 \sim \approx

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 \sim

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$. The set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \,$

~ ~ 1-

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 214

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{A})$. The $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{A})$

 ϕ and ϕ is the contract of the contract

 \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max}

N r-' V1

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$. The contribution of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2}d\mu_{\rm{eff}}\,.$

 ζ , and the contract of t

216

 $\bm{\zeta}$. In the contract of the contract of

VAPOR COMPONENT MOLE FRACTIONS
SOLV H2O H2S SG# SOLV H2O H2S SO2 SULD CO2 H2 C1 H2 CO
1 .5219E-04 .20236-00 .000E+00 .0OOOE+OO .000E+00 .000E+00 .0003E+00 .0000E+00 .000OE+00 .1661E-02 .8702E-03 . 2 .6520E-04 .1042E-02 .5048E-05 .1598E-02 .OOOOE+OO .1024E+00 .7045E-05 .1920E+00 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .1978E+00 .4989E+00 .6219E-02 .1034E·06 3 .6665E-04 .9S8SE-03 .2743E-07 .1911E-02 .OOOOE+OO .1023E+00 .7051E-05 .1922E+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO _1979E+OO .498SE+00 .61S6E-02 .1032E-06 4 .6883E-04 .9827E-03 .2579E-08 .2016E-02 .OOOOE+OO .1023E+OO .7078E-05 .1928E+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO _198SE+00 .4974E+00 .6002E-02 .1029E-06 S .7165E-04 .1027E-02 .2094E-08 .2112E-02 .OOOOE+OO .1014E+00 .1430E-04 .198SE+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .2032E+OO .4881E+00 .SS44E-02 .1010E·06 6 .8047E-04 .1131E-02 .2083E-08 .S834E-03 .OOOOE+OO .1015E+00 .1433E-04 .1990E+00 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .2036E+OO .488SE+OO .SS35E-02 .1011E-06 7 .8272E-04 .1175E-02 .2081E-08 .16S2E-03 .OOOOE+OO .1016E+OO .1434E-04 .1991E+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .2037E+OO .4886E+OO .5533E-02 .1011E-06 8 .8319E-04 .1188E-02 .2084E-08 .4700E-04 .OOOOE+OO .1016E+OO .1434E·04 .1992E+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .2038E+OO .4886E+OO .5S35E·02 .1011E-06 9 .8297E·04 .1186E-02 .209SE-08 .1333E·04 .OOOOE+OO .1016E+OO .1434E-04 .1991E+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .2037E+OO .4887E+OO .5540E·02 .1012E·06 10 .8209E-04 .1174E-02 .2157E-08 .3739E·05 .OOOOE+OO .1016E+OO .1434E-04 .1990E+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .2037E+OO .4889E+OO .5550E-02 .1013E-06 11 .8000E·04 .1143E-02 .2403E-08 .1017E·05 .OOOOE+OO .1017E+00 .1432E·04 .1988E+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .203SE+OO .4892E+OO .5556E·02 .1015E-06 12 .7534E-04 .1075E-02 .3202E-08 .2S41E-06 .OOOOE+OO .1018E+OO .1431E·04 .1987E+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .2036E+OO .4892E+00 .5483E·02 .1019E·06 13 .6442E·04 .9187E-03 .5385E-08 .479SE-07 .OOOOE+OO .1002E+OO .150SE-04 .2086E+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .211SE+OO .4739E+OO .4810E·02 .984SE-07 14 .6478E-04 .9388E-03 .5361E-08 .4822E-07 .OOOOE+OO .1002E+OO .1505E-04 .2086E+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .2115E+OO .4739E+00 .4810E-02 .9846E·07 15 .1660E-04 .9126E-03 .5286E-08 .4775E·07 .OOOOE+OO .1002E+OO .1505E-04 .2086E+OO .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .211SE+OO .4739E+OO .4810E·02 .9846E·07

LIQUID COMPONENT FLOWS
SG# SOLV H2O SG# SOL V H20 H2S S02 SULD C02 *H2* Cl H2 CO C2 C3 C4 C5+ 1 .1837E·Ol .5S51E-02 .1004E-03 .2748E-03 .5l93E-02 .2270E-02 .14B4E-08 .1766E-03 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .8l79E-03 .1330E-Ol .3740E-03 .27l7E·08 2 .1838E-Ol .2669E-02 .5042E-06 .1908E-02 .403SE·03 .196SE-02 .1274E-08 .1529E-03 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .7170E-03 .120SE-Ol .34l4E-03 .2462E·08 3 .1838E-Ol .2392E-02 .2719E·08 .2250E·02 .16l9E-03 .1954E-02 .1270E-08 .1524E-03 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .7140E-03 .1204E·01 .3379E·03 .2446E-08 4 .1838E-Ol .2375E-02 .2Sl3E-09 .2309E-02 .1604E·03 .1929E-02 .1263E-08 .lS13E-03 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .7060E-03 .1188E-Ol .3251E·03 .2392E-08 5 .1838E-Ol .2379E-02 .1983E-09 .2327E-02 .1604E·03 .1867E·02 .2499E-08 .1523E·03 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .7045E-03 .1140E-01 .2932E·03 .2281E·08 6 .1216E-01 .lS43E-02 .1183E-09 .3809E-03 .1061E·03 .1127E-02 .1516E-08 .9226E-04 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .4249E-03 .6896E-02 .1764E·03 .136SE·08 7 .12l6E-Ol .1562E-02 .11S4E-09 .10S0E-03 .1061E·03 .ll02E-02 .1485E·08 .9031E-04 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .4l54E-03 .6743E-02 .1722E-03 .1332E·08 8 .12l6E-Ol .1570E-02 .1149E-09 .2970E-04 .1061E-03 .1096E-02 .1478E-08 .8983E-04 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .4131E-03 .6707E-02 .1713E·03 .1324E-08 9 .12l6E-01 .1572E-02 .1156E-09 .8442E-OS .1061E-03 .1096E-02 .1477E-08 .8981E·04 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .4132E-03 .6707E-02 .1715E-03 .1326E·08 10 .12l6E·01 .lS72E-02 .1196E-09 .2390E-05 .1061E-03 .1101E-02 .1480E-08 .9007E-04 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO _4150E·03 .6733E-02 .1726E-03 .1336E-08 11 .12l6E-01 .lS69E-02 .1352E·09 .6646E-06 .1061E-03 .11l3E-02 .1490E-08 .9076E-04 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .4l96E-03 .6800E·02 .1747E·03 .1361E·08 12 .12l6E-Ol .1564E-02 .1860E-09 .1750E-06 .1061E-03 .1141E-02 .1513E-08 .9250E-04 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .4312E·03 .6945E-02 .1770E-03 .1420E-08 13 .1216E-01 .15S2E-02 _336SE-09 .3767E-07 .1061E-03 .1177E-02 .1634E-08 .1006E·03 ·.OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .474SE-03 .7013E·02 .1644E-03 .1507E·08 14 .S189E·OS .1063E-05 .2240E-12 .253SE·10 .2522E·10 .7871E-06 .1093E-ll .6725E·07 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .3173E-06 .4687E-05 .1099E·06 .1007E-11 15 .8253E-05 .4509E-05 .4448E-12 .7462E·10 .1864E-10 .13S5E-05 .1690E-11 .1076E-06 .OOOOE+OO .OOOOE+OO .S607E-06 .68S4E-OS .1704E-06 .1980E·11

> N "

 ~ 800

Contract Contract Contract Contract

 \mathcal{L}^{max}

 ~ 100

 \sim

 \mathcal{L}

 \sim \bullet

 $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$

 $\pmb{\zeta}$

218

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

 $\overline{}$

 \mathcal{L}

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

 ~ 10

 $\frac{1}{2}$