Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

NOTES ON ISOTOPIC SPIN

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7k30t54g

Author

Frank, Wilson J.

Publication Date

1952-09-15

UCRL 2260

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Radiation Laboratory

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

2-1-6

Seminar in Meson Physics

September 15, 1952

Notes on Isotopic Spin

Wilson J. Frank

References:

Cassen and Condon - On Nuclear Forces PR 50 (846) 1936

Serber - Meson Theory Brookhaven Publication BNI, 153 (T-29)

Watson - Hypothesis of Charge Symmetry PR 85 (852) 1952

Brueckner - Meson-Nucleon Scattering PR 86 (106) 1952

Shortly after the discovery of the neutron, Heisenberg introduced the idea of isotopic spin, mainly as a mathematical convenience in dealing with different types of nuclear forces. From this viewpoint, a neutron and a proton are considered as different states of the same particle, called the nucleon. A negative proton is not considered as a state of the nucleon because (according to Fermi's "low-brow" explanation) it is a hole, a missing positive proton, in the negative energy proton sea. As far as charge goes, then, the nucleon has a doublet structure, and the mathematical formalism is set up by the analogy to the spin matrices and wave functions of a particle of ordinary spin ½.

The operator $\overline{\sigma}$ becomes $\overline{\tau}$, and the matrices $\sigma_i, \sigma_j, \sigma_r$ become τ_i, τ_j (the axes are numbered 1, 2, 3 to emphasize that the isotopic spin operators and wave functions are in a special space, called charge space). The matrix τ_j is chosen to be diagonal, and is related to the charge of the particle. The eigenfunctions of the isotopic spin operators $\overline{\tau}^i$ and τ_j are the one-column matrices

by $N_{\mathfrak{C}}$. The operators and wave functions are summarized below.

$$r_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 $r_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $r_3 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

the proton eigenfunction is $N_{\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$,

the neutron eigenfunction is $N_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\tau_{+} = \tau_{1} + i\tau_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \tau_{-} = \tau_{1} - i\tau_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

the charge is given by $q = e(r_3 + \frac{1}{2})$

The course of checators objugations (Const., and thus econset or the course of the const.)

These operators have the following properties (as they were designed to have):

$$\begin{array}{lll}
C_{3} N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{1}{2} N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} & & & & & & & & \\
C_{3} N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{1}{2} N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} & & & & & & & \\
C_{4} N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{3}{4} N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} & & & & & & & \\
C_{4} N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} &= 0 & & & & & & & \\
C_{4} N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} &= 0 & & & & & & \\
C_{4} N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} &= 0 & & & & & \\
C_{5} N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} &= N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} & & & & \\
C_{7} N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} &= N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} & & & & \\
C_{7} N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} &= 0 & & \\
C_{7} N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

(Historical note on the name "isotopic spin:" the "spin" comes from the analogy to ordinary spin; the "isotopic" comes from the isotopic number of a nucleus, defined as the number of neutrons minus the number of protons. This number was probably defined in this way to give positive integers for most nuclei, but it should be multiplied by one-half to correspond to a $r = \frac{1}{2}$ for nucleons. This makes the proton have $r_1 - r_2$. Some authors have changed the signs, so the plus r_3 value goes with the plus charge. Both conventions are being used currently.)

The isotopic spin formalism can be extended to a group of nucleons. The total isotopic spin is $T_1 = T(1) \cdot T(2) \cdot \dots \cdot T(n)$, and the third axis component is $T_3 = T_1(1) \cdot T_1(2) \cdot \dots \cdot T(n)$. The operators that raise or lower the T_3 value by 1 are $T_1 = T_1(1) \cdot \dots \cdot T(n)$, $T_1 = T_1(1) \cdot \dots \cdot T(n)$. The spin functions, of which there are 2^m , are denoted by $N_1^m(1)N_1^m(2) \dots N_1^m(n)$ while an eigenfunction of the operators T_1^m and T_2^m is denoted by T_1^m , and is a linear combination of the spin functions. The convention will be used that when the nucleon number is omitted, it is understood to be indicated by the position of the function or the operator; for example, in the spin function $N_1^m \cdot N_2^m \cdot N_2^m \cdot \dots$, the second $N_2^m \cdot T_2^m \cdot T_3^m \cdot T_3^m$

The Pauli principle for neutrons and protons can be extended to nucleons by requiring that the total wave function (including space, spin, and isotopic spin) be anti-symmetric.

As the two-nucleon isotopic spin eigenfunctions will be needed later, they are derived here to illustrate the nethod. There are two possibilities for T: either $\frac{1}{4}\cdot\frac{1}{4}=1$, or $\frac{1}{4}\cdot\frac{1}{4}=0$, the T=1 state is a triplet and has $T_3=1$, $O_3=1$, while the T=O state is a singlet and has $T_2=O$ only. We only the S space state (which is symmetric) is considered, the spin states can be described for the Fourier factor for the symmetric). The order of the spin states are the described for the Fourier factor T and T are the factor T and T are the symmetric T and T are the factor T are the factor T and T are the factor T are the factor T and T are the factor T are the factor T and T are the factor

The four possible spin functions are $N_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{i}}N_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{i}}$, $N_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{i}}N_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{i}}$, $N_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{i}}N_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{i}}$, $N_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{i}}N_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{i}}$, $N_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{i}}N_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{i}}$, $N_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{i}}N_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{i}}$, $N_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{i}}N_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{i}}$. The two-nucleon operators are:

$$\vec{\tau}^{2} = \left[\vec{\tau}(1) + \vec{\tau}(2)\right]^{2} = \vec{\tau}^{2}(1) + \vec{\tau}^{2}(2) + 2\vec{\tau}(1) \cdot \vec{\tau}(2)$$

$$\vec{\tau}(1) \cdot \vec{\tau}(2) = \tau_{1} \tau_{1} + \tau_{2} \tau_{1} + \tau_{3} \tau_{3}$$

$$\tau_{2} \tau_{2} = \tau_{1} \tau_{1} - i \tau_{1} \tau_{2} + i \tau_{1} \tau_{1} + \tau_{2} \tau_{1}$$

$$\tau_{2} \tau_{1} = \tau_{1} \tau_{1} + i \tau_{1} \tau_{2} - i \tau_{2} \tau_{1} + \tau_{2} \tau_{2}$$

$$\therefore \vec{\tau}(1) \cdot \vec{\tau}(2) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\tau_{1} \tau_{2} + \tau_{2} \tau_{3} \right] + \tau_{3} \tau_{3}$$

$$\vec{\tau}^{2} = \vec{\tau}^{2}(1) + \vec{\tau}^{2}(2) + \tau_{1} \tau_{2} + \tau_{2} \tau_{3} + 2\tau_{3} \tau_{3}$$

$$\vec{\tau}^{3} = \tau_{3}(1) + \tau_{3}(2)$$

$$\vec{\tau}^{\pm} = \tau_{4}(1) + \tau_{5}(2)$$

The four eigenfunctions are I_1 , I_2 , I_3 , I_4 , I_5 ; since they are linear combinations of the spin functions, they could be found by the straight forward way of evaluating the coefficients. (For example, consider $I_1' = a_1 N_1^4 N_1^4 + a_2 N_1^4 N_2^4 + a_3 N_2^4 N_1^4 + a_4 N_2^4 N_2^4$.

The equation $T_{i}^{*}=2I_{i}^{*}$ leads to the result that $a_{i}=a_{i}$; $a_{i}=2a_{i}$; $a_{i}=2a_{i}$; $a_{i}=a_{i}$. The equation $T_{i}I_{i}^{*}=1I_{i}^{*}$ leads to the result that $a_{i}=a_{i}$; $a_{i}=0$; $a_{i}=0$; $a_{i}=0$; $a_{i}=0$. Normalizing imposes the condition that $\sum a_{i}^{2}=1$. Thus, $I_{i}^{*}=N_{i}^{*}N_{i}^{*}$. This perhaps was obvious from the beginning since $N_{i}^{*}N_{i}^{*}$ is the only spin function having $T_{i}=1$. Similarly, $I_{i}^{*}=N_{i}^{*}N_{i}^{*}$.

Once the "lead" eigenfunction of a multiplet is known, the rest can be gotten by using the T-operator, since $T_{n} = \sqrt{T_{n}T_{n}} = \sqrt{T_{n}T_{n}T_{n}}$.

$$T_{-}I_{1}^{1} = \sqrt{2}I_{1}^{0} = N_{2}^{1}N_{2}^{1} + N_{2}^{1}N_{2}^{$$

The I eigenfunction can be found by noting that it must involve the same two spin functions as I_i^* , and also be orthonormal to I_i^* . $\therefore I_o = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[N_{ij}^* N_{ij}^{*} - N_{ij}^{*} N_{ij}^{*} \right]$

The two-nucleon system is summarized in Table I.

Table I

Space and Spin	y Comp	"I"3	Eigenfunction
³ 5 ₆	<u>I</u>	((0,0)	I' = N' LU L
		0 (0,2)	$I_{1}^{\prime} = N_{2}^{2} N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ $I_{2}^{\prime} = \frac{1}{12} \left[N_{2}^{2} N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]$ $I_{3}^{\prime} = N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}$
		(m,n)	I' = N + N +
³ S ,	0	(3.r)	I' = = = [NXNX - NXNX]

Finding the eigenfunctions for three-nucleon groups is somewhat more complicated (see Schiff (229) for the analogous three-electron ordinary spin case, or Messiah PR 86 (430) 1952 for the three-nucleon case). Instead, for these and heavier nuclei, the nucleus will be taken as a single particle with a certain isotopic spin. The two nuclei, He^3 and H^3 , will be considered to have the same space and spin configurations, the only difference between them lying in the isotopic spin part of the wave function. It is assumed that they form a doublet $(T = \frac{1}{2})$, which seems reasonable if He^3 is looked at as a deuteron plus a proton, and H^3 as a deuteron plus a neutron. Other examples, such as the T = 1 triplet C^{14} , N^{14} (excited state), O^{14} are discussed by Adair PR 87 (1041) 1952.

Before extending the isotopic spin formalism to pions, one reason for the current interest in isotopic spin might be mentioned. Charge symmetry of nuclear forces assumes that the p-p and n-n forces are equal (except for the Coulomb forces). Charge independence extends this equality to include n-p forces, and says all three are the same for the same space and spin state. This last hypothesis places stringent conditions on certain reactions, and predicts, with the use of the isotopic spin formalism, ratios of cross-sections of related processes. Many of these experiments are now being done, and their results will help decide the validity of the charge independence hypothesis.

The three \mathfrak{A} -mesons suggest that the pion has a triplet structure (with \mathfrak{A}_{*} , and \mathfrak{A}_{*} : for positive, neutral, and negative pions. The isotopic spin notation to be used follows by analogy to a particle with ordinary spin of one. The \mathfrak{A}^{2} and \mathfrak{A} matrices are chosen to be diagonal; the eigenfunctions of these two operators are designated by $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{A}}_{*}$ and are three-row, cae-column matrices.

The positive pion eigenfunction is
$$T_i^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
, the neutral wier situation is $T_i^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$,

by the second of the state o

The pion isotopic spin operators : e:

$$G = \frac{1}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ -i & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{1}{12} = \frac{1}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ -i & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{1}{12} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$C = G_1 = G_2 = G_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

the bion charge is given by $\frac{1}{2} = c \tau_3$.

These operators and eigenfunctions have the following properties.

$$T_3T_1' = 2T_1'$$
 $T_3T_1' = 2T_1'$
 $T_3T_1' = 2T_1'$

Combinations of pions and nucleons are treated in the same name is as groups of nucleons. The case if one nucleon and the plant will be the aximple, since their eigenfunctions are needed. The possibilities for T are 1+½ % (a quadruplet) and 1-½ ½ (a do let). The operators are the same as the two-nucleon ones on page 3, except that the second nucleon is replaced by a pion. The possible spinfunctions are: ***\forall \forall \for

$$T_{i}^{\lambda} = \overline{D} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} = N_{A}^{\lambda} \Pi_{i} + \overline{D} N_{i}^{\lambda} \Pi_{i}^{\lambda} + \overline{D} N_{i}^{\lambda} \Pi_{i}^{\lambda}$$

The doublet eigenfunctions are found in this way: for Γ_{k}^{k} , we have the same two spin functions as for Γ_{k}^{k} ; these two eigenfunctions must be orthogonal, so $\Gamma_{k}^{k} = \frac{1}{13} \left[N_{k}^{k} \Pi_{k}^{l} - N_{k}^{k} \Pi_{k}^{l} \right] - N_{k}^{k} \Pi_{k}^{l} - N_{k}^{k} \Pi_{k}^{l} \right]$. Similarly, $\Gamma_{k}^{k} = \frac{1}{13} \left[N_{k}^{k} \Pi_{k}^{l} - V_{k}^{l} N_{k}^{l} \Pi_{k}^{l} \right]$

These functions are summarized for convenience in table II.

Table II

$$T_{3} = \frac{1}{2} \qquad T_{3}^{2} = N_{\chi}^{k} \Pi_{1}^{i} \quad (\rho, \pi^{+})$$

$$T_{3} = \frac{1}{2} \qquad I_{\chi}^{k} = \frac{1}{13} \left[N_{\chi}^{k} \Pi_{1}^{i} + (2 N_{\chi}^{k} \Pi_{1}^{i}) \right] \qquad I_{\chi}^{k} = \frac{1}{13} \left[I_{2} N_{\chi}^{k} \Pi_{1}^{i} - N_{\chi}^{k} \Pi_{1}^{i} - N_{\chi}^{k} \Pi_{1}^{i} \right] \qquad (n, \pi^{-} + \rho, \pi^{-})$$

$$T_{3} = -\frac{1}{2} \qquad I_{\chi}^{k} = \frac{1}{13} \left[I_{2} N_{\chi}^{k} \Pi_{1}^{i} + N_{\chi}^{k} \Pi_{1}^{i} \right] \qquad I_{\chi}^{-k} = \frac{1}{13} \left[N_{\chi}^{k} \Pi_{1}^{i} - (2 N_{\chi}^{k} \Pi_{1}^{i}) \right] \qquad (n, \pi^{-} + \rho, \pi^{-})$$

$$T_{3} = -\frac{1}{2} \qquad I_{\chi}^{k} = N_{\chi}^{k} \Pi_{1}^{i} \qquad (n, \pi^{-})$$

Later the spin functions will be needed expressed in terms of the eigenfunctions. These are gotten by solving the expressions of tables I and II backwards, and table III below gives the results.

Table III

$$(\rho, n) \quad N_{2}^{k} N_{2}^{k} = \Gamma_{1}^{i}$$

$$(\rho, n) \quad N_{2}^{k} N_{2}^{k} = \frac{1}{12} \left[\Gamma_{1}^{o} + \Gamma_{0}^{a} \right]$$

$$(\rho, n) \quad N_{2}^{k} N_{2}^{i} = \Gamma_{1}^{i}$$

$$(\rho, n') \quad N_{2}^{k} \Pi_{1}^{i} = \Gamma_{2}^{i}$$

$$(\rho, n'') \quad N_{2}^{k} \Pi_{1}^{i} = \frac{1}{13} \left[\Gamma_{2}^{i} + \Gamma_{2}^{i} \right]$$

$$(\rho, n'') \quad N_{2}^{k} \Pi_{1}^{i} = \frac{1}{13} \left[\Gamma_{2}^{i} + \Gamma_{2}^{i} \right]$$

$$(\rho, n'') \quad N_{2}^{i} \Pi_{1}^{i} = \frac{1}{13} \left[\Gamma_{2}^{i} + \Gamma_{2}^{i} \right]$$

$$(\rho, n'') \quad N_{2}^{i} \Pi_{1}^{i} = \frac{1}{13} \left[\Gamma_{2}^{i} + \Gamma_{2}^{i} \right]$$

$$(\rho, n'') \quad N_{2}^{i} \Pi_{1}^{i} = \frac{1}{13} \left[\Gamma_{2}^{i} + \Gamma_{2}^{i} \right]$$

$$(\rho, n'') \quad N_{2}^{i} \Pi_{1}^{i} = \frac{1}{13} \left[\Gamma_{2}^{i} + \Gamma_{2}^{i} \right]$$

$$(\rho, n'') \quad N_{2}^{i} \Pi_{1}^{i} = \frac{1}{13} \left[\Gamma_{2}^{i} + \Gamma_{2}^{i} \right]$$

$$(\rho, n'') \quad N_{2}^{i} \Pi_{1}^{i} = \frac{1}{13} \left[\Gamma_{2}^{i} + \Gamma_{2}^{i} \right]$$

$$(\rho, n'') \quad N_{2}^{i} \Pi_{1}^{i} = \frac{1}{13} \left[\Gamma_{2}^{i} + \Gamma_{2}^{i} \right]$$

$$(\rho, n'') \quad N_{2}^{i} \Pi_{1}^{i} = \frac{1}{13} \left[\Gamma_{2}^{i} + \Gamma_{2}^{i} \right]$$

$$(\rho, n'') \quad N_{2}^{i} \Pi_{1}^{i} = \frac{1}{13} \left[\Gamma_{2}^{i} + \Gamma_{2}^{i} \right]$$

$$(\rho, n'') \quad N_{2}^{i} \Pi_{1}^{i} = \frac{1}{13} \left[\Gamma_{2}^{i} + \Gamma_{2}^{i} \right]$$

If the Hamiltonian is unchanged by a rotation in coordinate space, it commutes with the angular momentum, and the angular mementum is a constant of the motion. Similarly, if the Hamiltonian is unchanged by a rotation in charge space, it will commute with the total isotopic spin and the total isotopic spin will be a constant of the motion. (To is a constant of the motion, since it is related to the charge and charge is conserved.) If the Hamiltonian is to be unchanged by a rotation in charge space, it cannot contain any isotopic spin operators and thus cannot distinguish between protons and neutrons, or between different types of pions. Assuming that protons and neutrons have the same properties is, of course, the hypothesis of charge independence of nuclear forces. Therefore, the consequences of charge independence can be investigated by assuming that the total isotopic spin is a constant of the motion. The method of investigation usually involves the comparison of cross-sections for several similar processes.

For example, consider the related processes:

$$p+r \rightarrow d+\pi^+$$
 $n+p \rightarrow d+\pi^ n+n \rightarrow d+\pi^-$

By comparing the cross-sections for the same incident energies, the phase space factors are eliminated. (Since the space and spin parts of the wave functions involved are the same, the differential cross-sections can also be compared at the same laboratory angles.) Using Fermi's "Golden Rule #2"

$$\frac{\sigma_{ep}}{\sigma_{np}} = \frac{1H_{ep}^2}{1H_{ep}^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\sigma_{ep}}{\sigma_{np}} = \frac{1H_{ep}^2}{1H_{ep}^2} \quad \text{where } H = \int V_e^2 H V_e^2 dt^2 .$$

The only difference between the Ψ for the three reactions lies in the isotopic spin part, and the interaction matrix H reduces to

 $H_{00} = A \left[\rho \rho | dn^{\dagger} \right]$, $H_{ne} = A \left[n \rho | dn^{\circ} \right]$, and $H_{ne} = A \left[n n | dn^{\circ} \right]$. The isotopic spin of the right half of the reactions is the same as that for a pion, since the deuteron has zero isotopic spin. Using table III,

The factor $[T_n^{s}]T_n^{s}]$ is zero since the eigenfunctions are orthogonal; the T_3 notation is dropped from the final expressions because the result is independent of the charge of the state.

The relative cross-sections are: $\sigma_{eq} = 2 \sigma_{np} = \sigma_{nm}$

Another group of related processes is pion scattering from hydrogen: $\pi^{+} + e \implies \pi^{+} + e$

TO TO TO TO

جا و الله ي المنصورة م المناجرة

Using table III again, the matrix elements in this case are:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\rho \pi^{+} \right] &= \left[I_{\chi_{1}}^{\chi_{1}} \right] = \left[I_{\chi_{1}}^{\chi_{1}} \right] = \left[I_{\chi_{1}}^{\chi_{1}} \right] \\ \left[\rho \pi^{-} \right] &= \left[\frac{1}{6} \left(I_{\chi_{1}}^{-\chi_{1}} - V_{2} I_{\chi_{1}}^{-\chi_{1}} \right) \right] \frac{1}{6} \left(C_{\chi_{1}}^{-\chi_{1}} + I_{\chi_{1}}^{-\chi_{1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{C_{\chi_{1}}^{2}}{3} \left[I_{\chi_{1}} \right] I_{\chi_{2}} \right] - \frac{C_{\chi_{1}}^{2}}{3} \left[I_{\chi_{1}} \right] I_{\chi_{1}}^{\chi_{1}} \\ \left[e^{\pi^{-}} \right] &= \left[\frac{1}{6} \left(I_{\chi_{1}}^{-\chi_{1}} - C_{\chi_{1}}^{-\chi_{1}} \right) \right] \frac{1}{6} \left(I_{\chi_{1}}^{-\chi_{1}} - C_{\chi_{1}}^{-\chi_{1}} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \left[I_{\chi_{1}} \right] I_{\chi_{1}}^{\chi_{1}} \right] + \frac{2}{3} \left[I_{\chi_{1}} \right] I_{\chi_{1}}^{\chi_{1}} \end{aligned}$$

If we now assume that the scattering is mostly from the $T=\frac{1}{2}$ state and set $[T_1 \mid T_2] = O$, the relative cross-sections are:

The fact that the Chicago results from pion-proton scattering seemed to agree with these numbers was responsible to a large degree for the present interest in isotopic spin.

Another set of reactions is:
$$Q + Q \rightarrow t + \pi^{+}$$

$$Q + Q \rightarrow t + \pi^{-}$$

The $\rm H^3$ and $\rm He^3$ are assumed to behave isotopic-spin wise like nucleons, so that the results of table III can be used. The left side of the reactions has the isotopic spin of the proton, which is $\rm L_X^2$. The matrix elements are:

The matrix elements are:
$$[I_{x_{1}}^{k}] = [I_{x_{1}}^{k}] + [2I_{x_{2}}^{k}]] = [I_{x_{1}}^{k} | I_{x_{2}}^{k}]$$

$$[\varphi a | H_{0}^{3} \pi^{0}] = [I_{x_{1}}^{k}] + [2I_{x_{2}}^{k}] = [I_{x_{1}}^{k} | I_{x_{2}}^{k}]$$

The relative cross-sections are $\sigma_{t\eta^{*}}=2\,\sigma_{t\eta^{*}\eta^{*}}$.

This result can also be seen in the eigenfunction $L_{\chi}^{t_{1}}=\frac{1}{12}\left[\sqrt{2}\,N_{\chi}^{2}\Pi_{1}^{2}-N_{\chi_{1}}^{2}\Pi_{1}^{2}\right]$, which indicates that H^{3} , η^{+} is formed twice as often as He^{3} , η° .