UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Health-related quality of life among veterans in addictions treatment: identifying behavioral targets for future intervention.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7k58p3tf>

Journal

Quality of life research : an international journal of quality of life aspects of treatment, care and rehabilitation, 25(8)

ISSN

0962-9343

Authors

Oppezzo, Marily A
Michalek, Anne K
Delucchi, Kevin
et al.

Publication Date

2016-08-01

DOI

10.1007/s11136-016-1236-3

Peer reviewed

Health-related quality of life among veterans in addictions treatment: identifying behavioral targets for future intervention

Marilyn A. Oppizzo¹ · Anne K. Michalek¹ · Kevin Delucchi² · Michael T. M. Baiocchi¹ · Paul G. Barnett^{2,3} · Judith J. Prochaska¹

Accepted: 23 January 2016 / Published online: 17 February 2016
© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

Abstract

Background US veterans report lower health-related quality of life (HRQoL) relative to the general population. Identifying behavioral factors related to HRQoL that are malleable to change may inform interventions to improve well-being in this vulnerable group.

Purpose The current study sought to characterize HRQoL in a largely male sample of veterans in addictions treatment, both in relation to US norms and in association with five recommended health behavior practices: regularly exercising, managing stress, having good sleep hygiene, consuming fruits and vegetables, and being tobacco free.

Methods We assessed HRQoL with 250 veterans in addictions treatment (96 % male, mean age 53, range 24–77) using scales from four validated measures. Data reduction methods identified two principal components reflecting physical and mental HRQoL. Model testing of HRQoL associations with health behaviors adjusted for relevant demographic and treatment-related covariates.

Results Compared to US norms, the sample had lower HRQoL scores. Better psychological HRQoL was associated with higher subjective social standing, absence of pain or trauma, lower alcohol severity, and monotonically with the sum of health behaviors (all $p < 0.05$). Specifically,

psychological HRQoL was associated with regular exercise, stress management, and sleep hygiene. Regular exercise also related to better physical HRQoL. The models explained >40 % of the variance in HRQoL.

Conclusions Exercise, sleep hygiene, and stress management are strongly associated with HRQoL among veterans in addictions treatment. Future research is needed to test the effect of interventions for improving well-being in this high-risk group.

Keywords Health behaviors · Health-related quality of life · Veterans · Substance abuse

Introduction

Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) is a patient-reported measure of health status, assessing states of mind and states of body. The CDC defines HRQoL as multidimensional, encompassing subjective evaluations of positive and negative aspects of life clearly shown to affect either physical or mental health [1–3]. Over the past three decades, many measures of HRQoL have been developed, ranging in generality versus specificity [4]. They include preference-based measures for estimating quality-adjusted life-years; more general assessments of physical and/or psychological functioning; and measures of depression and mood [5]. As a global concept, HRQoL has proven to be useful for identifying groups at risk for morbidity and premature mortality and in need of intervention [6, 7].

In particular, research has been conducted to report on the health outcomes and functional status of US veterans [8]. For example, Kazis et al. [9] in their study with 1667 veterans recruited from VA ambulatory care clinics reported substantially worse mental and physical health

✉ Judith J. Prochaska
jpro@stanford.edu

¹ Department of Medicine, Stanford Prevention Research Center, Stanford University, Medical School Office Building, X316, 1265 Welch Road, Stanford, CA 94305-5411, USA

² Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

³ Health Economics Resource Center, Veterans Affairs Palo Alto Health Care System, Livermore, CA, USA

status relative to non-VA populations and particularly poor HRQoL among younger respondents. In contrast, studies in non-veteran samples have reported poorer HRQoL among older versus younger adults, although mental quality of life scores peak in the 60-year-old to 79-year-old age group [10, 11]. Low HRQoL in veteran samples may in part be attributed to service-related trauma exposure [12, 13]; debilitating pain [14]; and substance abuse [15, 16]. HRQoL also has been found related to socioeconomic status (SES) indicators and race/ethnicity. Notably, subjective self-rated SES (e.g., perceived social status in the USA or in one's community) has been a stronger predictor of health status and decline in health over time than traditional objective SES indicators of education and income [17]. Racial/ethnic differences in health exist [18, 19], although patterns of HRQoL are mixed, with some studies showing higher HRQoL for African-American adults compared to Whites [20], while others finding the reverse [21, 22], and in some cases specifically among African-American women [23]. Differences in findings may relate in part to differences in HRQoL measurement.

Beyond static demographic and clinical factors, of interest for intervention are modifiable factors or behaviors that may affect HRQoL in positive ways. Regular aerobic exercise, for example, is associated with positive mental and physical health [24, 25]; stress has been implicated in numerous diseases and inflammatory pathways [26–30]; adequate sleep is associated with physical and mental health [31, 32]; eating fruits and vegetables is recommended for and associated with overall health [33, 34]; and smoking is the leading preventable cause of disease, disability, and death [35, 36]. In our earlier studies of non-veteran clinical samples (i.e., smokers with mental illness or addictive disorders), clustering of multiple risk behaviors (e.g., poor diet, alcohol and drug, exercise, stress management, sleep hygiene) was associated with worse mental and physical health functioning [37]. Identification of key health-supporting behaviors associated with HRQoL can help inform future interventions.

The current study investigated how health behaviors relate to HRQoL among veterans in addictions treatment. Identifying the modifiable health behaviors that have the strongest association with HRQoL scores can inform prioritization for behavior change interventions.

Methods

Study design

Information on quality of life and health behaviors was assessed at baseline in a randomized trial of a multiple health behavior change intervention with veterans in

addictions treatment. The intervention was tailored to stage of change, and participants were not required to want to change a risk behavior to participate. Study recruitment has been described previously [38]. The current analyses examined baseline self-reported HRQoL and engagement in health behavior practices.

Sample

Participants were adult veterans (≥ 18 years of age) recruited from addiction treatment clinics at the San Francisco Veterans Affairs Medical Center who planned to remain in the San Francisco Bay Area over the next 18 months. Exclusion criteria were unstable psychosis, mania, or a disabling organic mental disorder. Inclusion criteria were purposefully broad with minimal exclusion criteria in order to maximize generalizability.

Measures

We defined HRQoL to include positive mental and physical functioning, as well as the absence of mood and emotional disturbance. We used four validated measures of HRQoL:

1. *The Profile of Mood States (POMS)* is an established and valid measure of mood [39]. We used the E-POMS, a 30-item abbreviated and established version [40]. Participants rated the extent that they experienced 30 different feelings during the past week on a 5-point Likert scale (not at all, a little, moderately, quite a bit, extremely). The 30 feelings were summed and categorized into scales reflecting Tension, Depression, Anger, Fatigue, Confusion, and Vigor. The Total Mood Disturbance was calculated by summing up the first five categories and subtracting the Vigor score.
2. *Standard Short Form-12 (SF-12v2)* is a validated survey with 12 questions adapted from the SF-36 Health Survey [11]. The physical component scores (PCS) and mental component scores (MCS) range from 0 (lowest level of health functioning) to 100 (highest level of health functioning), with a population norm of 50 and standard deviation of 10 [41].
3. *Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CESD)* is a validated, 10-item measure of past week depression symptoms. Scores ≥ 10 are considered indicative of depression [42].
4. *Health Utility Index 3 (HUI3)* is a multi-attribute preference-based measure of health status and HRQoL yielding eight attributes, or dimensions, of health status [43]. Scores range from highly impaired or disabled (0) to normal (1). We used scores from the four attributes most likely to be directly affected by, or related to, our

health behavior assessment: Cognition, Emotion, Ambulation, and Pain. The other scales of Vision, Hearing, Speech, and Dexterity were deemed as less modifiable via health behavior change and hence less relevant to our study purposes. For comparison with the general population, we also used the HUI3 Multi-Attribute Utility Score, a composite based on the 8 attributes of Cognition, Emotion, Ambulation, Pain, Vision, Hearing, Speech, and Dexterity.

Staging Health Risk Assessment (S-HRA), developed by ProChange Behavior Systems (South Kingstown, RI), measures engagement in the following health behaviors: regular exercise (i.e., planned physical activity that increases breathing rate and causes light sweat 5–7 times per week for at least 30 min per day), stress management (i.e., effective practices in daily life regular relaxation, physical activity, talking with others, and/or making time for social activities), sleep hygiene (i.e., getting at least 7 h of sleep, avoiding caffeine, alcohol, and nicotine close to bedtime, maintaining regular bed and wake schedule, and creating a sleep conducive environment that is dark, quiet, comfortable, and cool), fruit and vegetable consumption (i.e., eating at least five servings daily), and being tobacco smoke-free. Behavioral criteria were based on the Healthy People 2020 goals for the nation [44].

Demographic measures of interest included age; gender; race/ethnicity dichotomized as non-Hispanic White vs others due to a broad spectrum of ethnic minorities in our sample; and subjective SES assessed with the validated MacArthur Scale of Subjective Social Status [45]. The MacArthur scale presents a pictorial representation of a 10-rung ladder anchored at the top (10) with best jobs, most money, and most education and at the bottom (1) with the worst or no jobs, least money, and least education.

Treatment-related measures of interest were trauma exposure, screened using 3 questions from the Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI), a validated diagnostic interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10 psychiatric disorders [46], and alcohol and drug use severity assessed with the validated Addiction Severity Index (ASI) [47]. ASI scores range from 0 to 1; substance dependence cutoff scores for men range from 0.17 to 0.19 for alcohol dependence and 0.11–0.16 for drug dependence, and, respectively, for women are 0.13 and 0.12–0.18 [48].

Analytic plan

Descriptive statistics for the veterans were calculated for each of the HRQoL measures with values compared to US norms when available. Next, in order to simplify interpretation and still retain the unique information from all four HRQoL measures, we ran a principal component

analysis to find the minimum number of measurement dimensions that distinguished HRQoL. We identified two independent factors measuring mental health (mental, emotional, and cognitive function and dysfunction) and physical health. Principal component analysis transforms the original variables into a new set of minimally correlated factors (principal components) that account for the maximum proportion of the variance in the data, with each component being a linear combination of the original observed variables. The first principal component is the linear combination of variables that accounts for the largest proportion of variance in the data, and the second component is the combination that accounts for the next largest proportion, and so on. Only components with eigenvalues (the sum of the squared factor loadings, representing the variance attributable to each principal component) >1.0 are considered significant.

We examined sample frequencies for each health behavior recommendation and summed the total number of health behaviors that each individual performed with a range of 0 (no health behaviors performed at criterion) to 5 (meeting criteria for all health behaviors of interest). In model testing, we performed one-way analyses of covariance, controlling for potential confounders, to examine the association of our health behavior sum index with the two identified HRQoL components. Included covariates were age, ethnicity/race, perceived subjective SES, severity of substance abuse, trauma exposure, and pain as assessed with the HUI3.¹ Due to the unequal sample sizes in the factors for the model, we used Type III sums of squares, which weight all cells the same regardless of sample size. Finally, to examine the association of *specific* lifestyle behaviors (rather than the sum of behaviors) with HRQoL, we conducted one-way analyses of covariance, controlling for the same confounders in the previous analyses. In model testing, missing data for various measures were excluded listwise, resulting in sample sizes between 235 (lowest sample size in analysis) and 250 (full sample).

Results

Sample description

Actively in addictions treatment at a veterans healthcare system, we recruited the 250 participants from a general outpatient drug and alcohol clinic (31 %), an intensive day-hospital program (28 %), an opioid treatment clinic

¹ While pain is often considered one of the domains of HRQoL, it also has a strong influence on other facets of HRQoL and is likely to be associated with our health behaviors of interest including physical activity and sleep. Therefore, we included pain as a covariate in our models rather than as an outcome.

(27 %), an access center transition group (8 %), a substance use clinic for clients with posttraumatic stress disorder (4 %), or other (1 %). The sample's average age was 52.7 (10.0) ranging from age 24 to 77; few were women ($n = 9$); 44.8 % identified as non-Hispanic White. Mean (SD) addiction severity index scores were 0.11 (0.09) for drugs and 0.17 (0.16) for alcohol, each of which meet the cutoff scores for dependence [48]. Over a third (36 %) reported trauma exposure.

On the four measures of HRQoL, a majority of participants reported poor functioning. CESD depression scores averaged 13.02 (7.00), with 68 % of the sample scoring ≥ 10 , indicating significant depressive symptoms. The E-POMS Total Mood Disturbance score averaged 26.68 (22.49) (no norms available). On the SF-12v2, our sample scored significantly worse for both physical and mental health functioning relative to US population norm values for men aged 45–54 and 55–64 (Table 1). Figure 1 shows the frequencies of participants at each level of the HUI3 constructs of interest relative to the US population [20], from normal (level 1) to disabled (levels 4, 5, 6). A lower percentage of veterans in our sample were classified as normal and a higher percentage were categorized as disabled on the HUI3 scales of interest (see frequencies in Fig. 1). On the HUI3 Multi-Attribute Utility Score, the sample had a mean of 0.53 (0.31), which is significantly lower than the general US adult population score of 0.81 (0.64), $t(4282) = 6.70$, $p < 0.001$ [20].

For the health behavior practices assessed, the proportion meeting criterion was: 77 % for practicing stress management, 64 % for good sleep hygiene, 47 % for regularly exercising, 46 % for non-smoking, and 36 % for daily consumption of 5 or more servings of fruits and vegetables. Only 7 % of the sample met criteria for all five measured health behaviors, 19 % met four, 32 % met three, 24 % met two, 13 % met one, and 4 % did not meet criteria for any of the assessed health behaviors.

Principal component analysis of HRQoL

Principal component analysis on the E-POMS, SF-12, CESD, and HUI3 constructs of Emotion, Cognition, and Ambulation ($n = 243$ with complete data) met three

assumptions to justify this data reduction. First, all 7 of the assessment scores correlated at least 0.3 with at least one other score, suggesting reasonable factorability. Second, the Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin measure of sampling adequacy was 0.79, above the commonly recommended value of 0.6, and the Bartlett's test of sphericity was significant ($\chi^2(21) = 894.63$, $p < 0.001$). Interpretations of the two principal component factors indicated that the first was an indicator of psychological HRQoL and the second was an indicator of physical HRQoL. The component and coefficient matrices are given in Table 2. Composite scores were created for each of the two factors, with higher scores indicating healthier and more positive life quality in that area.

Model testing

Number of health behaviors and HRQoL principal component scores

For the psychological HRQoL principal component, the full model was significant, $F_{(12,213)} = 12.04$, $p < 0.001$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.37$, and the number of health behaviors was significantly associated $F_{(5,213)} = 3.38$, $p = 0.006$. There was a monotonic trend found in that the more health behaviors met, the higher the psychological HRQoL principal component score (Fig. 2). Covariates of pain, ASI—alcohol, trauma exposure, age, and subjective SES were all significant at $p \leq 0.05$; and ASI—drug, ($p = 0.23$), and race/ethnicity ($p = 0.21$) were not statistically significant. Higher psychological HRQoL was associated with less pain, less alcohol addiction severity, no trauma exposure, older age, and higher subjective SES.

For the physical HRQoL principal component, the model $F_{(12,213)} = 12.34$ and covariates of age and HUI3 pain were all significant at $p \leq 0.01$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.38$. However, the number of health behaviors was not significantly associated, $F_{(5,213)} = 0.39$, $p = 0.85$; nor were alcohol ($p = 0.20$) or drug ($p = 0.89$) addiction severity, trauma exposure ($p = 0.14$), race/ethnicity ($p = 0.99$), or subjective SES ($p = 0.66$). Lower physical HRQoL was associated with greater age and greater pain.

Table 1 Means (SDs) of SF-12v2 scores for the sample versus US population norms for men aged 45–54 and 55–64

	Sample ($n = 88$)	US norms, males 45–54 ($n = 560, 558$)	t (df)	Sample ($n = 94$)	US norms, males 55–64 ($n = 408$)	t (df)
PCS	45.47 (11.30)	50.54 (9.56)	$t(646) = 4.51^{***}$	42.93 (10.69)	47.57 (9.91)	$t(500) = 4.03^{***}$
MCS	38.25 (10.61)	50.16 (9.81)	$t(644) = 10.46^{***}$	41.28 (11.74)	51.61 (9.15)	$t(500) = 9.32^{***}$

Samples are subsets with only males in the norms comparison age range

PCS physical component score, MCS mental component score

*** $p < 0.001$; ** $p \leq 0.01$; * $p \leq 0.05$

Fig. 1 Health Utilities Index 3 percentages for sample versus US population norms **Table 2** Component and coefficient matrix for principal component analysis

HRQoL measure	Component		Coefficient	
	1	2	1	2
Emotion (HUI3)	<i>0.774</i>	−0.019	0.223	0.017
Cognition (HUI3)	<i>0.683</i>	0.043	0.192	0.053
Mood Disturbance (E-POMS)	−0.920	−0.036	−0.260	−0.057
Depression (CESD)	−0.920	0.061	−0.268	0.003
Mental component score (MCS)	<i>0.808</i>	−0.349	0.260	−0.186
Ambulation (HUI3)	0.177	<i>0.834</i>	−0.018	0.523
Physical component score (PCS)	0.161	<i>0.881</i>	−0.026	0.552

Principal components derived using varimax with Kaiser normalization; italic indicates highest loadings for the component matrix

HUI3 Health Utilities Index 3, *E-POMS* Profile of Mood States, *CESD* Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale

Specific health behaviors and HRQoL principal component scores

Participants who practiced regular exercise scored higher on both psychological and physical HRQoL, the only health behavior significantly associated with both factors (Table 3). Stress management had the strongest association with psychological HRQoL, and both practicing stress management and good sleep hygiene were associated with better psychological HRQoL. Neither stress nor sleep hygiene related to physical HRQoL, and eating five fruits and vegetables per day and being tobacco-free were unrelated to both HRQoL components. For the measured covariates, older age, less pain, lower alcohol addiction severity, higher subjective SES, and no trauma exposure were associated with higher psychological HRQoL, while

younger age and less pain were related to greater physical HRQoL.

Discussion

In our sample of veterans in addictions treatment, HRQoL measures of mental and physical functioning were significantly lower than US population norms. When adjusting for age, pain, addiction severity, race/ethnicity, subjective SES, and trauma exposure, those who engaged in multiple health behaviors had higher scores on psychological measures of quality of life. This dosing association is interesting, as two of the five behaviors (fruit and vegetable intake and smoking status) did not relate significantly on their own. While causality cannot be

Fig. 2 Relationship of psychological health-related quality of life (QoL) principal component by the number of healthy behaviors met. Means for each number of health behaviors are conditional point estimates; *error bars* are pointwise standard errors. *Note* Principal components are standardized to a mean of 0 and SD of 1

determined here, a possible explanation for the relationship may be that healthy behaviors synergistically enhanced psychological benefits when co-occurring. The number of health behaviors in which participants engaged was unassociated with physical functioning quality of life measures.

When we examined the specific health behaviors, the difference in psychological HRQoL scores was largest between those who reported successfully managing stress versus those who did not. This item listed several examples of stress management methods, including daily regular

relaxation, physical activity, talking with others, and/or making time for social activities. Future investigations should assess which specific stress management strategies are predominantly chosen and related to HRQoL.

Regular exercise, assessed alone as a health-supporting behavior, was associated with both better psychological and physical HRQoL. Physical activity interventions have found significant effects on psychological well-being. For example, mental component scores from the SF-12 (QoL measure) improved by 11 points in a randomized controlled trial of aerobic and strength training exercise [49]. Additionally, cross-sectional studies have found associations between physical activity and mental health [50], as well as stage of change in exercise behavior and mental health functioning [51]. Physical functioning and HRQoL measures also have been found related to meeting public health recommendations for exercise [24, 25], with the association stronger in clinical populations [52]. Lastly, sleep hygiene had a positive relationship with psychological HRQoL, even when adjusting for trauma exposure, which has been associated with sleep disturbance [53].

Surprisingly, fruit and vegetable consumption and smoking status in this sample were unrelated to psychological and physical HRQoL both in multivariate model testing and in separate univariate tests of association (data not shown). The current study did not assess serum cholesterol, blood pressure, or other biological measures that diet and smoking have been consistently found to

Table 3 Analysis of covariance of specific health behaviors on psychological and physical health-related quality of life

	Psychological HRQoL principal component	Physical HRQoL principal component
	Adjusted <i>R</i> Sq	
<i>Omnibus</i>	0.44	0.41
<i>Covariates</i>	Partial eta squared	
Age	0.03*	0.06***
Pain Free (HUI3)	0.05***	0.26***
Alcohol addiction severity (ASI)	0.02*	0
Drug addiction severity (ASI)	0.01	0
Race/ethnicity	0	0
Subjective SES (MacArthur Scale)	0.07***	0
Trauma exposure (MINI)	0.03**	0.01
<i>Independent factors (S-HRA)</i>	Beta (standard error)	
Regular exercise	0.22 (0.11)*	0.33 (0.11)**
Practices stress management	0.66 (0.13)***	−0.16 (0.13)
Practices sleep hygiene	0.31 (0.11)**	−0.16 (0.11)
Eats 5 servings of fruits and vegetables	−0.08 (0.11)	0.12 (0.11)
Non-smoker	−0.14 (0.11)	0.01 (0.11)

HUI3 Health Utilities Index 3, ASI Addiction Severity Index, MINI Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview, S-HRA Staging Health Risk Assessment

*** $p \leq 0.001$; ** $p \leq 0.01$; * $p \leq 0.05$

affect [54–56]. Fruit and vegetable intake has been associated with improved HRQoL [57] and higher life satisfaction [58]; however, accurate recall and assessment of dietary intake are difficult to achieve and particularly so with a single item. With regard to smoking, our previous work has found strong associations between heavier smoking and poorer health functioning [37]. In our sample, current smokers were on average 5 years younger than former and never smokers, and older age was associated with poorer physical health functioning. Prior research has found that poor health is predictive of quitting smoking [59]. Therefore, it could be that many former smokers in our sample had quit due to poor HRQoL, thereby muting effects.

Given the cross-sectional nature of the data, no direction of causality can be determined. However, the current findings indicate that engagement in healthy lifestyle behaviors of stress management, sleep hygiene, and physical activity is associated with better quality of life in a veteran sample with multiple comorbidities. Worth testing is whether interventions that encourage and support the adoption of multiple healthy behaviors during addictions treatment improve HRQoL with particular attention to stress management and regular exercise. Enhancement of quality of life in this at-risk population is of national importance.

Acknowledgments We acknowledge Kevin Ahern for his assistance with data analysis and variable computation; David Feeny for his help with HUI3 interpretations and score conversions; Daniel Schwartz for his generous time and help with data analysis; Carson Benowitz-Fredericks for his assistance with recruitment and data collection; and the clinical team that provided valuable treatment referrals and support throughout the duration of the trial, including Peter Banys, MD, David Kan, MD, Steven Batki, MD, Ricky Chen RN, William Clift, AT, Ellen Herbst, MD, Patricia Lane RN, and Rebecca Young, RN. Study was supported by the National Institutes of Health Grant #P50DA09253.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest None of the authors have conflicts of interest.

Ethical responsibilities of the authors All authors provided consent to submit this paper, and the authors whose names appear on the submission have significantly contributed to the scientific work and therefore share collective responsibility and accountability for the results. This manuscript has not been submitted to any other journal and has not been partly or fully published previously, and no data were fabricated. If requested to share relevant documentation of the IRB or raw data to verify the validity of the results, we will send it.

Ethical approval/informed consent All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Ethics review boards for UCSF, SF VAMC, and Stanford approved the study procedures, and all participants provided informed consent.

References

- McHorney, C. A. (1999). Health status assessment methods for adults: Past accomplishments and future challenges 1. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 20, 309–335.
- Group, T. W. (1998). The World Health Organization quality of life assessment (WHOQOL): Development and general psychometric properties. *Social Science and Medicine*, 46, 1569–1585.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Measuring healthy days: Population assessment of health-related quality of life. Atlanta CDC 2000; 4–6. <http://www.cdc.gov/hrqol/>.
- Coons, S. J., Rao, S., Keininger, D. L., & Hays, R. D. (2000). A comparative review of generic quality-of-life instruments. *Pharmacoeconomics*, 17, 13–35.
- Dominick, K. L., Ahern, F. M., Gold, C. H., & Heller, D. A. (2002). Relationship of health-related quality of life to health care utilization and mortality among older adults. *Aging Clinical and Experimental Research*, 14, 499–508.
- DeSalvo, K. B., Bloser, N., Reynolds, K., He, J., & Muntner, P. (2006). Mortality prediction with a single general self-rated health question. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 21, 267–275.
- Gage, H., Hendricks, A., Zhang, S., & Kazis, L. (2003). The relative health related quality of life of veterans with Parkinson's disease. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, 74, 163–169.
- Gold, M. R., Siegel, J. E., Russell, L. B., & Weinstein, M. C. (1996). *Cost-effectiveness in health and medicine* (1st ed.). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Kazis, L. E., Miller, D. R., Clark, J., Skinner, K., Lee, A., Rogers, W., et al. (1998). Health-related quality of life in patients served by the Department of Veterans Affairs: Results from the Veterans Health Study. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 158, 626–632.
- Hanmer, J., Lawrence, W. F., Anderson, J. P., Kaplan, R. M., & Fryback, D. G. (2006). Report of nationally representative values for the noninstitutionalized US adult population for 7 health-related quality-of-life scores. *Medical Decision Making*, 26, 391–400.
- Ware, J. E., Kosinski, M., & Keller, S. D. (1995). *SF-12: How to score the SF-12 physical and mental health summary scales*. Boston: Health Institute, New England Medical Center.
- Clark, M. E., Bair, M. J., Buckenmaier, C. C., Gironde, R. J., Walker, R. L., et al. (2007). Pain and combat injuries in soldiers returning from Operations Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom: Implications for research and practice. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development*, 44, 179.
- Lew, H. L., Otis, J. D., Tun, C., Kerns, R. D., Clark, M. E., & Cifu, D. X. (2009). Prevalence of chronic pain, posttraumatic stress disorder, and persistent postconcussive symptoms in OIF/OEF veterans: Polytrauma clinical triad. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development*, 46, 697–702.
- Kerns, R. D., Otis, J., Rosenberg, R., & Reid, M. C. (2003). Veterans' reports of pain and associations with ratings of health, health-risk behaviors, affective distress, and use of the healthcare system. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development*, 40, 371–380.
- Seal, K. H., Cohen, G., Waldrop, A., Cohen, B. E., Maguen, S., & Ren, L. (2011). Substance use disorders in Iraq and Afghanistan veterans in VA healthcare, 2001–2010: Implications for screening, diagnosis and treatment. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 116, 93–101.
- Jacobson, I. G., Ryan, M. A., Hooper, T. I., Smith, T. C., Amoroso, P. J., Boyko, E. J., et al. (2008). Alcohol use and alcohol-related problems before and after military combat deployment. *JAMA*, 300, 663–675.

17. Singh-Manoux, A., Marmot, M. G., & Adler, N. E. (2005). Does subjective social status predict health and change in health status better than objective status? *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 67, 855–861.
18. Williams, D. R., & Mohammed, S. A. (2009). Discrimination and racial disparities in health: Evidence and needed research. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 32, 20.
19. Williams, D. R., Yu, Y., Jackson, J. S., & Anderson, N. B. (1997). Racial differences in physical and mental health socio-economic status, stress and discrimination. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 2, 335–351.
20. Luo, N., Johnson, J. A., Shaw, J. W., Feeny, D., & Coons, S. J. (2005). Self-reported health status of the general adult US population as assessed by the EQ-5D and Health Utilities Index. *Medical Care*, 43, 1078–1086.
21. Gaskin, D. J., & Frick, K. D. (2008). Race and ethnic disparities in valuing health. *Medical Decision Making*, 28, 12–20.
22. Lubetkin, E. I., Jia, H., Franks, P., & Gold, M. R. (2005). Relationship among sociodemographic factors, clinical conditions, and health-related quality of life: Examining the EQ-5D in the U.S. General Population. *Quality of Life Research*, 1(14), 2187–2196.
23. Pereira, C. C. A., Palta, M., Mullahy, J., & Fryback, D. G. (2011). Race and preference-based health-related quality of life measures in the United States. *Quality of Life Research*, 20, 969–978.
24. Vuillemin, A., Boini, S., Bertrais, S., Tessier, S., Oppert, J.-M., Herberg, S., et al. (2005). Leisure time physical activity and health-related quality of life. *Preventive Medicine*, 41, 562–569.
25. Bize, R., Johnson, J. A., & Plotnikoff, R. C. (2007). Physical activity level and health-related quality of life in the general adult population: A systematic review. *Preventive Medicine*, 45, 401–415.
26. Hammen, C. (2005). Stress and depression. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 1, 293–319.
27. Krantz, D. S., & McCeney, M. K. (2002). Effects of psychological and social factors on organic disease: A Critical Assessment of Research on Coronary Heart Disease*. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 53, 341–369.
28. Kivimäki, M., Virtanen, M., Elovainio, M., Kouvonen, A., Väänänen, A., & Vahtera, J. (2006). Work stress in the etiology of coronary heart disease—a meta-analysis. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*, 1(32), 431–442.
29. Antoni, M. H., Lutgendorf, S. K., Cole, S. W., Dhabhar, F. S., Sephton, S. E., McDonald, P. G., et al. (2006). The influence of bio-behavioural factors on tumour biology: Pathways and mechanisms. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 6, 240–248.
30. Cohen, S., Janicki-Deverts, D., Doyle, W. J., Miller, G. E., Frank, E., Rabin, B. S., et al. (2012). Chronic stress, glucocorticoid receptor resistance, inflammation, and disease risk. *Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences*, 17(109), 5995–5999.
31. Alvarez, G. G., & Ayas, N. T. (2004). The impact of daily sleep duration on health: A review of the literature. *Progress in Cardiovascular Nursing*, 19, 56–59.
32. Magee, C. A., Caputi, P., & Iverson, D. C. (2011). Relationships between self-rated health, quality of life and sleep duration in middle aged and elderly Australians. *Sleep Medicine*, 12, 346–350.
33. Griep, L. O., Geleijnse, J. M., Kromhout, D., Ocké, M. C., & Verschuren, W. M. (2010). Raw and processed fruit and vegetable consumption and 10-year coronary heart disease incidence in a population-based cohort study in the Netherlands. *PLoS ONE*, 5, e13609.
34. Oyeboode, O., Gordon-Dseagu, V., Walker, A., & Mindell, J. S. (2014). Fruit and vegetable consumption and all-cause, cancer and CVD mortality: Analysis of Health Survey for England data. *Journal of Epidemiology Community Health*, jech-2013–203500.
35. World Health Organization. (2011). WHO Report on the Global Tobacco Epidemic, 2011. [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization, [cited 2015 Aug 24]. Available from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/44616/1/9789240687813_eng.pdf
36. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2015). The health consequences of smoking—50 years of progress: A Report of the Surgeon General—full-report.pdf [Internet]. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, [cited 2015 Aug 24]. Available from: <http://www.surgeongeneral.gov/library/reports/50-years-of-progress/full-report.pdf>
37. Prochaska, J. J., Sorensen, J. L., Hall, S. M., Rossi, J. S., Redding, C. A., Rosen, A. B., et al. (2005). Predictors of health functioning in two high-risk groups of smokers. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 9(78), 169–175.
38. Michalek, A. K., Kan, D., & Prochaska, J. (2015). Engaging veterans with substance abuse disorders into a research trial: success with study branding, networking, and presence. *Transl Behav Med*, 5, 167–176.
39. McNair, D. M., Lorr, M., & Droppleman, L. F. (1992). *Revised manual for the Profile of Mood States*. San Diego, CA: Educational and Industrial Testing Services.
40. Bourgeois, A., LeUnes, A., & Meyers, M. (2010). Full-scale and short-form of the Profile of Mood States: A factor analytic comparison. *J Sport Behav*, 33, 355.
41. Ware, J. E., Kosinski, M., Turner-Bowker, D. M., & Gandek, B. (2015). User's manual for the SF-12v2 Health Survey [Internet]. Linc RI Qual Inc 2002 [cited 2015 Aug 24]. Available from: http://opencourses.emu.edu.tr/pluginfile.php/8472/mod_resource/content/1/SF-12v2%20Manual.pdf
42. Andresen, E. M., Malmgren, J. A., Carter, W. B., & Patrick, D. L. (1994). Screening for depression in well older adults: Evaluation of a short form of the CES-D. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 10, 77–84.
43. Feeny, D., Furlong, W., Torrance, G. W., Goldsmith, C. H., Zhu, Z., DePauw, S., et al. (2002). Multiattribute and single-attribute utility functions for the health utilities index mark 3 system. *Medical Care*, 40, 113–128.
44. Healthy People 2020 [Internet] [cited 2015 Aug 25]. Available from: <https://www.healthypeople.gov/>
45. Singh-Manoux, A., Adler, N. E., & Marmot, M. G. (2003). Subjective social status: Its determinants and its association with measures of ill-health in the Whitehall II study. *Social Science and Medicine*, 56, 1321–1333.
46. Sheehan, D. V., Lecrubier, Y., Sheehan, K. H., Amorim, P., Janavs, J., Weiller, E., et al. (1998). The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI): The development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 59, 22–33.
47. McLellan, A. T., Luborsky, L., & Woody, G. E. (1980). O'BRIEN CP: An improved diagnostic evaluation instrument for substance abuse patients: The Addiction Severity Index. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 168, 26–33.
48. Deady, M. (2015). A review of screening, assessment and outcome measures for drug and alcohol settings [Internet]. Netw Alcohol Drugs Agencies 2009 [cited 2015 Aug 24]. Available from: http://old.drugsandalcohol.ie/18266/1/NADA_A_Review_of_Screening_Assessment_and_Outcome_Measures_for_Drug_and_Alcohol_Settings.pdf
49. Atlantis, E., Chow, C.-M., Kirby, A., & Fiatarone Singh, M. (2004). An effective exercise-based intervention for improving mental health and quality of life measures: A randomized controlled trial. *Preventive Medicine*, 39, 424–434.
50. Mummery, K., Schofield, G., & Caperchione, C. (2004). Physical activity: Physical activity dose-response effects on mental health status in older adults. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health*, 1(28), 188–192.
51. Laforge, R. G., Rossi, J. S., Prochaska, J. O., Velicer, W. F., Levesque, D. A., & McHorney, C. A. (1999). Stage of regular

- exercise and health-related quality of life. *Preventive Medicine*, 28, 349–360.
52. Rejeski, W. J., Brawley, L. R., & Shumaker, S. A. (1996). Physical activity and health-related quality of life. *Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews*, 24, 71–108.
53. Neylan, T. C., Marmar, C. R., Metzler, T. J., Weiss, D. S., Zatzick, D. F., et al. (2015). Sleep disturbances in the Vietnam generation: findings from a nationally representative sample of male Vietnam veterans [Internet]. Sleep 1998 [cited 2015 Aug 24];155. Available from: <http://ajp.psychiatryonline.org/doi/10.1176/ajp.155.7.929>
54. Stranahan, A. M., Cutler, R. G., Button, C., Telljohann, R., & Mattson, M. P. (2011). Diet-induced elevations in serum cholesterol are associated with alterations in hippocampal lipid metabolism and increased oxidative stress. *Journal of Neurochemistry*, 118, 611–615.
55. Ried, K., & Fakler, P. (2011). Protective effect of lycopene on serum cholesterol and blood pressure: Meta-analyses of intervention trials. *Maturitas*, 68, 299–310.
56. Omvik, P. (1996). How smoking affects blood pressure. *Blood Pressure*, 1(5), 71–77.
57. Steptoe, A., Perkins-Porras, L., Hilton, S., Rink, E., & Cappuccio, F. P. (2004). Quality of life and self-rated health in relation to changes in fruit and vegetable intake and in plasma vitamins C and E in a randomised trial of behavioural and nutritional education counselling. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 92, 177–184.
58. Grant, N., Wardle, J., & Steptoe, A. (2009). The relationship between life satisfaction and health behavior: A cross-cultural analysis of young adults. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 16, 259–268.
59. Twardella, D., Loew, M., Rothenbacher, D., Stegmaier, C., Ziegler, H., & Brenner, H. (2006). The diagnosis of a smoking-related disease is a prominent trigger for smoking cessation in a retrospective cohort study. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 59, 82–89.