

# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

## Recent Work

### Title

THE RADIOLYSIS OF LIQUID ISOBUTANE WITH PULSED ELECTRONS

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7mm9589p>

### Authors

Yamamoto, B.Y.  
Sciamanna, A.F.  
Newton, Amos S.

### Publication Date

1961-10-01

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
Lawrence Radiation Laboratory  
Berkeley, California  
Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

THE RADIOLYSIS OF LIQUID ISOBUTANE WITH PULSED ELECTRONS

B. Y. Yamamoto, A. F. Sciamanna, and Amos S. Newton

October, 1961

## **DISCLAIMER**

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

## THE RADIOLYSIS OF LIQUID ISOBUTANE WITH PULSED ELECTRONS

B. Y. Yamamoto, A. F. Sciamanna, and Amos S. Newton<sup>1)</sup>

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory  
University of California  
Berkeley, California

October, 1961

## Abstract

Isobutane has been irradiated in the liquid state with 4.2 Mev electrons from a microwave electron accelerator. Products through the octanes have been identified. The heavier products are consistent with combinations of methyl, isopropyl, isobutyl and tert-butyl radicals. Methyl cyclopropane, n-butane and butene-2 were formed in low yields. It is suggested that these are formed via a cyclic intermediate state.

---

1) Author to whom requests for reprints are to be addressed.

## THE RADIOLYSIS OF LIQUID ISOBUTANE WITH PULSED ELECTRONS \*

B. Y. Yamamoto, A. F. Sciamanna, and Amos S. Newton

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory  
University of California  
Berkeley, California

October, 1961

Introduction

Of the saturated hydrocarbons, isobutane represents the simplest case where specificity in radiolytic behavior owing to structural configuration should be apparent. The hydrogen bonded to the tertiary carbon atom should, on the basis of bond strengths alone, be expected to be more reactive than other hydrogen atoms in the molecule. In order to investigate such specificity, liquid isobutane has been irradiated at 20° and an attempt made to identify and measure all products through the C<sub>8</sub> hydrocarbons.

Isobutane has been irradiated in the liquid phase at -30° by Keenan, Lincoln, Rogers and Burwasser<sup>2)</sup> who reported compounds through C<sub>8</sub> hydrocarbons. Recently Kivel and Voigt<sup>3)</sup> irradiated liquid and gaseous isobutane containing C<sup>14</sup> and discussed the formation of products through the pentanes.

---

\* Work done under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

2) V. J. Keenan, R. M. Lincoln, R. L. Rogers, and H. Burwasser, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 79, 5125 (1957).

3) J. Kivel and A. F. Voigt, Intern. J. App. Radiation and Isotopes, 10, 181 (1961).

### Experimental

Phillips Research Grade isobutane of stated purity 100.00% was used without further purification other than degassing the condensed liquid in a cold trap. In all cases the isobutane was removed from the cylinder as the liquid. Gas chromatographic checks of the isobutane showed the presence of only traces ( $< 0.01\%$ ) of  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  hydrocarbons. A second tank similarly labeled showed traces of propane, n-butane, isobutane and butene-1 as impurities. The amounts found were subtracted from the radiolysis yields of these products.

The irradiation cell was a stainless steel cylinder, 35 mm ID and 76 mm high. This had a 0.05 mm thick stainless steel beam window welded into a 25 mm diameter port on the side. The top was held in place with a flange and Neoprene gasket. A valve, a cooling coil, and a thermocouple well were attached to the top. The cooling coil and thermocouple well were immersed in the liquid when the target was assembled. These were arranged to be beyond the range of the electrons in the liquid isobutane so no electron energy was dissipated directly in these units. Water cooling was used to maintain the isobutane at  $20 \pm 5^\circ$  during the irradiation.

Irradiations were performed with the Lawrence Radiation Laboratory electron linear accelerator. The irradiation conditions were 15 or 30 pulses/sec., 50 ma/pulse, a pulse duration of 6  $\mu$ sec., and a mean electron energy of 4.2 Mev. The samples were irradiated to a total dose of  $5.4 \times 10^{21}$  ev/gm. This dose resulted in approximately 2.5% decomposition of the isobutane.

After irradiation the gaseous products were removed by refluxing under vacuum<sup>4)</sup> using a methyl cyclopentane slush bath ( $\sim -140^\circ$ ) as a coolant.

---

4) A. S. Newton, Anal. Chem. 28, 1214 (1956).

Fractions volatile at  $-196^{\circ}$  and  $-140^{\circ}$  were collected. These were analyzed with the aid of a mass spectrometer, CEC Model 21-103. Blank experiments with added propane and propene showed  $>95\%$  recovery of the propane and propene using this technique, but in actual runs on irradiated isobutane, small amounts of propane and propene were left in the residual liquid as shown by gas chromatographic analysis. These were added to the amounts found in the gas fractions.

The liquid products were concentrated by distilling the residual isobutane through a Podbielniak Series 3300 semi-micro distillation column, using cold acetone ( $-70^{\circ}$ ) as a coolant in the condenser. The isobutane was removed at a reflux ratio of 20:1. In this way the higher boiling products were concentrated by about a factor of 10. Neopentane, isobutene, n-butane, and methyl cyclopropane were found to be divided between distillate and residue in this operation. In some of the final runs, isopentane was added as a chaser for the low boiling components in the distillation, and known amounts of ethyl chloride and n-octane were added as internal standards for the intermediate and high boiling products respectively. The low boiling fraction (isobutane to isopentane) was then concentrated in a preparatory gas chromatography column, by collecting all peaks after the isobutane was eluted. This collected material was then run on an analytical column and the yields calculated by relating the products to the ethyl chloride internal standard.

The products in the resulting high boiling concentrate were measured and isolated by gas chromatography. A 4 meter glass column, 5 mm ID, packed with 0.35 gms, dinonyl phthalate per gm of 40-60 mesh C-22 firebrick was used for the separation. The temperature of the column was regulated in the range  $25-110^{\circ}$  depending on the boiling range of the compounds of interest in the run. A composite chromatogram of some 22 peaks resulted. Several of these peaks were mixtures of compounds. The identity of each peak was established by

collecting the eluted material and running the collected fraction on the mass spectrometer. Where possible, authentic samples were run in both instruments to check the authenticity of the identification as well as the sensitivity of the chromatograph.

The products found and the yields at this level of irradiation are given in Table I. For comparison, the yields of gaseous products in the  $\text{Co}^{60}$   $\gamma$ -ray irradiation of liquid isobutane at  $25^\circ$  are included. These latter measurements were made in glass cells 25 mm OD and 75 mm high containing 15 ml of liquid isobutane. The largest differences in yields between the  $\gamma$ -rays and pulsed electron bombardments were in the hydrogen and methane yields. The  $\gamma$ -ray values of  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{CH}_4$  yields are in substantial agreement with those found by Kivel and Voigt<sup>3)</sup>, but the propane yield is much lower than found by those authors.

#### Discussion

The present data are not sufficient to justify proposing any complete kinetic mechanism for the radiolysis of isobutane, but certain regularities can be pointed out which are indicative of a free radical mechanism for the formation of the higher products. First, the pentanes, heptanes and octanes in highest yield are those formed by methyl, isopropyl, isobutyl and tertiary butyl radicals. Isomerization of these groups, if it occurs, must be less than a tenth of the total yield of these radicals.

If one assumes that the products, ethane, isopentane, neopentane, 2,3-dimethyl butane, 2,4-dimethyl pentane, 2,2,3-trimethyl butane, 2,2,4-trimethyl pentane, 2,5-dimethyl hexane, and 2,2,3,3-tetramethyl butane are formed by combination of methyl, isopropyl, isobutyl and tertbutyl radicals, then from the yields of radical dimers one can calculate

Table I.

Products from the Radiolysis of Isobutane with Pulsed Electrons<sup>a)</sup>

| Product                          | G<br>Electrons | G<br>Co <sup>60</sup> γ-rays | Product                                   | G<br>Electrons |
|----------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------|
| H <sub>2</sub>                   | 2.9            | 4.4                          | 2-Methyl pentene-1 <sup>b)</sup>          | 0.0005         |
| CH <sub>4</sub>                  | 1.4            | 2.2                          | 4-Methyl pentene-1 <sup>b)</sup>          | 0.0025         |
| C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>    | 0.04           | 0.04                         | 4-Methyl pentene-2 <sup>b)</sup>          | 0.0003         |
| C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>    | 0.07           | 0.08                         | 2,3-Dimethyl butene-1 <sup>b)</sup>       | 0.0005         |
| C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>    | 0.22           | 0.2                          | 3,3-Dimethyl butene-1 <sup>b)</sup>       | 0.0003         |
| C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>4</sub>    | 0.02           | -                            | Hexadienes (or Isomers) <sup>b)</sup>     | 0.0002         |
| C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>6</sub>    | 1.1            | 0.6                          | 2,2,3-Trimethyl butane                    | 0.10           |
| C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>    | 0.5            | 0.3                          | 2,4-Dimethyl pentane                      | 0.12           |
| n-C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub> | 0.10           |                              | 2,2-Dimethyl pentane <sup>b)</sup>        | 0.008          |
| i-C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>8</sub>  | 1.1            |                              | 2-Methyl hexane <sup>b)</sup>             | 0.014          |
| Butene-1                         | 0.01           |                              | 4,4-Dimethyl pentene-1 <sup>b)</sup>      | 0.002          |
| Butene-2 (cis + Trans)           | 0.05           |                              | Heptadienes<br>(or Isomers) <sup>b)</sup> | 0.0008         |
| Methyl cyclopropane              | 0.04           |                              | 2,5-Dimethyl hexane                       | 0.13           |
| C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>6</sub>    | ≥0.0005        |                              | 2,2,4-Trimethyl pentane                   | 0.19           |
| Neopentane                       | 0.16           |                              | 2,2,3,3-Tetramethyl<br>butane             | 0.08           |
| Isopentane                       | ≥0.20          |                              |                                           |                |
| n-Pentane                        | 0.002          |                              |                                           |                |
| 2-Methyl butene-1                | 0.008          |                              |                                           |                |
| 2-Methyl butene-2                | 0.002          |                              |                                           |                |
| n-Hexane                         | 0.0009         |                              |                                           |                |
| 2-Methyl Pentane                 | 0.008          |                              |                                           |                |
| 2,2-Dimethyl butane              | 0.004          |                              |                                           |                |
| 2,3-Dimethyl butane              | 0.030          |                              |                                           |                |

a) Probable errors in the yields are one unit in the last figure given or 10% whichever is larger.

b) Authentic sample not available for direct comparison.

the expected yield of mixed radical products assuming combination occurs according to the binomial expansion for any two types of radicals. This assumes no activation energy or steric effects in the combination. The results of such a calculation are shown in Table II.

Table II.

Calculated and Observed Yields of Mixed Products from Radicals

| $R_1$            | $R_2$            | Mixed Product           | $G_{R_1 R_2}$ | $G_{R_1 R_2}$ <sup>a)</sup> |
|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
|                  |                  |                         | Observed      | Calculated                  |
| $CH_3$           | $(CH_3)_2CHCH_2$ | isopentane              | $\geq 0.20$   | 0.34                        |
| $CH_3$           | $(CH_3)_3C$      | neopentane              | 0.16          | 0.26                        |
| $(CH_2)_2CH$     | $(CH_3)_2CHCH_2$ | 2,4-dimethyl pentane    | 0.12          | 0.118                       |
| $(CH_3)_2CH$     | $(CH_3)_3C$      | 2,2,3-trimethyl butane  | 0.10          | 0.098                       |
| $(CH_3)_2CHCH_2$ | $(CH_3)_3C$      | 2,2,4-trimethyl pentane | 0.19          | 0.194                       |

a) Calculated by the equation:

$$G_{R_1 R_2} = 2G_{R_1 R_1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot G_{R_2 R_2}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$


The agreement between calculated and observed yields in the heptanes and octanes is satisfactory. The lack of agreement in neopentane and isopentane may indicate that some of the ethane is formed by non-radical processes. A similar suggestion has been made by Taylor, Mori and Burton in the radiolysis of neopentane<sup>5)</sup>.

The distribution of heptanes and octanes is consistent with a ratio of isobutyl to tertiary butyl radicals of about 1.25:1. This distribution implies tertiary bonded H atoms are at least 7 times as reactive as primary H atoms in isobutane. It is a higher difference in reactivity than would be calculated

5) W. H. Taylor, S. Mori and M. Burton, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 82, 5817 (1960).

from the results of Keenan, et al.,<sup>2)</sup> but lower than that which would be calculated from the results of Kivel and Voigt<sup>3)</sup> who found 2.5 to 3.6 times as much neopentane as isopentane to be formed in the  $\gamma$ -radiolysis of isobutane, suggesting a reactivity difference of a factor of 22 to 32.

An unexpected observation was the occurrence of methyl cyclopropane among the radiolysis products. It appears that about 3% of the  $C_4H_8$  yield is formed as methyl cyclopropane. This is the first time a high energy isomer has been observed to be formed in a radiolysis process. The identity of the methyl cyclopropane was established by comparison of both its mass spectrometer ionization pattern and gas chromatograph elution time with the mass spectrometer pattern and elution time of an authentic synthetic sample of methyl cyclopropane. Methyl cyclopropane may be formed via a cyclic intermediate in an highly excited state of isobutane. The existence of such a state could also be used to explain the formation of n-butane and the linear butenes in the radiolysis. It may also explain the temperature sensitivity of the n-butane yield found by Kivel and Voigt<sup>3)</sup>. The competitive modes of decay of such a state as shown in reaction (1) should be temperature sensitive.



Unfortunately the yields are low and the experimental difficulties inherent in a meaningful investigation of the effect of temperature on this system, preclude further work on this system at the present time.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Mr. William L. Everette for the electron irradiations, Mr. John Olmsted for the Co<sup>60</sup> results, Mrs. Mary Pong for reading the mass spectrometer records and Mr. Phillip Wadsworth of the Shell Development Company for an authentic sample of 2,2,3,3-tetramethylbutane.

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.