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Abstract

It is undeniably true that transportation systems positively impact human life but, the use of transportation systems also emits greenhouse gasses in the environment which leads to global warming. In this day and age, various technologies are being combined with transportation systems, aimed at reducing the global impact of greenhouse gas emissions. The high-speed rail (HSR), which is well-known for low CO$_2$ emissions, has continually been improved, especially its model.

In this study, the material replacement method is taken to account for a feasibility study on the next model of the HSR. There are three types of composite materials that consist of glass filled with epoxy, glass filled with polyester and glass filled with nylon, replacing the six chief parts of HSR components.

As a result, the total weight of rolling stock has significantly reduced to 24.61% on average so, it can decrease the amount of fuel in the HSR operation. Also, the feasibility of the recyclability ($\%R_{cyc}$) and recovery rate ($\%R_{cov}$) is critical in judging the suitability of the replacement. The research found that the replacement of CFRP (Carbon fiber reinforced polymer) generates a positive result on $\%R_{cyc}$ value at 73.9% compared with 61.4% in the original model. Besides, the $\%R_{cov}$ at 78.9% is higher than the 73.1% of the original model.
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Highlights

- This study clearly explains the calculation methods of $\%R_{cyc}$ and $\%R_{cov}$ of the end-of-life rolling stock of the HSR that is suitable for all industries to adopt in their recycling processes.
- The research is the world’s first to provide composite material replacement methods of the HSR rolling stocks. And, the result shows that the total weight of HSR can be reduced up to 24% by a substitute CFRP in the six chief parts of the HSR’s components.
- There are three types of composite materials, including glass filled with epoxy, polyester and nylon, which were all compared with the original model. The results indicated that the replacement by composite material can increase values of $\%R_{\text{cyc}}$ to 11.7% and $\%R_{\text{cov}}$ to 5% compared with the original model.
- The parametric study was also applied to predict the recycling rate of aluminium with steel and pure steel, with regards to the improvement of manufacturing technologies. The change is predicted to decrease the amount of waste in landfills by up to 85% by 2039.

1. Introduction

With regards to the dramatic growth in railway industries around the world, rails have become one of the most crucial public transportation modes, directly creating a positive impact on human life. However, growth and demand are causing large scale issues in terms of its global impact. Many researchers have revealed that the increase of the world’s temperature to 36.5 °F is an effect of transportation emissions, which includes high-speed rails around the world [1,2].

The report from the UIC showed that transportation sector CO$_2$ emissions, accounted for 24.7% of global emanation in 2015. In comparisons of CO$_2$ emissions from transportation sectors, nearly three quarters came from the road (motor vehicles etc.); while 4.2% of the global transportation emissions was a result of rail sectors around the world [2]. Therefore, attempts to get passengers to change their mode of transport from cars to trains, has boosted the gradual growth of rail networks in many areas i.e., China, France and the USA.

The issue of most concern is the methodology needed to reduce the amount of CO$_2$ released into the atmosphere from the transportation sector which directly relates to air quality, and which is a significant cause of severe diseases. Heavy duty vehicles confirmedly have a greater effect on air quality compared to rail and passenger vehicles [3]. The high rates of CO$_2$ emissions impact the ozone and PM$_{2.5}$, which is a particle matter air pollution less than 2.5 in diameter, especially in big cities. Health effects from PM$_{2.5}$ have manifested with numerous symptoms i.e., airway damage [4, 5, 6], cardiovascular impairment [7, 8, 9] and diabetes mellitus [10, 11, 12]. Therefore, the train as a means of transport has become a critical driver in promoting a cleaner environment.
Moreover, improvements in the railway industry can be found in various aspects, aiming to provide a positive impact and improvements in global railway operation efficiency; for example, many researchers have focused on combustion systems changing from diesel to electric operated trains [13,14,15]. Chan and et al.’s research (2013) mentioned that the substitution of electric systems on rail networks could reduce GHG emissions (including CO₂) up to 27,000 tons per year or a decrease of 98% from the current operation on the rail network [16].

Some have pointed to the management of end-of-life rolling stock [17,18,19]. Regarding Kaewunruen and et al.’s (2019) findings, the end-of-life rolling stock of HSR contains 37 components and, the study described that recyclability rates (%R_{cyc}) and recoverability rates (%R_{cov}) were 61.4% and 73.9%, respectively [17]. The main reason for this was that the rolling stock model was composed of steel and mixed aluminium and steel. Consequently, waste management methods through end-of-life rolling stock, can reduce left over landfill waste which in turn leads to a decrease in CO₂ emissions.

Lightweight materials have also been applied across transportation industries. In the USA, nearly one-third of pollution was emitted from transportation. Many researchers revealed that the reduction on the total weight (i.e. car body) could decrease fuel consumption; in other words, the amount of CO₂ emissions would be degraded [20]. Nowadays, car industries have entirely adopted lightweight material replacement techniques in their model.

In this study, the material replacement technique is applied to the HSR model. The “original model” of the end-of-life HSR from Kaewunruen and et al.’s research (2019) will be compared with the “new model”, which includes substitution with lightweight material on the HSR’s body and other parts. The study aims to improve the performance of both R_{cyc} and R_{cov} rates which leads to a reduction in CO₂ emissions which greatly impacts human health.

2. Methodology

Aiming to reduce rolling stock body mass, the feasibility of substitution of materials used in the original model, with lightweight materials, must be considered as follows. First, the selection of materials for replacement in the original model, which mostly consists of aluminium and steel; determining which rolling stock parts need to be replaced with light-weight material should be thoroughly considered. Second, the calculation of component mass, after replacing the old materials, is addressed by analyzing the change in total mass of the rolling stock. Lastly, the impact of end-of-life rolling stock must be considered; since the change in body weight leads to leftover landfill waste CO₂ emissions.
2.1 Material replacement technique

Based on Kaewunruen and et al.’s (2019) research, there are some parts of HSR rolling stock that can be replaced by lightweight materials, i.e. composite material, Advanced High Strength Steel (AHSS) and Magnesium. An obvious benefit of the lightweight material in the vehicle’s body was revealed by the US government showing that a “10% reduction in vehicle weight can lead to an 8% improvement in fuel economy” [17]. This refers to the decrease in fuel demand for powering vehicle, which in turn degrades CO\textsubscript{2} emissions. Nonetheless, the main body and other parts of the HSR’s rolling stock are made from aluminium and steel so, the substitution of those parts must be of a lighter weight than aluminium.

Nowadays, composite materials are applied to some car body components in order to reduce total rolling stock weight and GHG emissions [17,21,22]. The material contains remarkable properties, i.e., high stiffness, high strength, low density and is suitable for reforming in to multi-shaped components [17].

Composite materials are made from more than one component and, a well-known composite material is reinforced plastic [23,24] which is currently being used in various industries. Reinforced plastic was applied to some parts of the HSR and passenger trains, mostly in the car body.

In this study, three types of composite materials were chosen to replace some parts of the HSR, including glass-filled epoxy (35%), glass-filled polyester (35%) and glass-filled nylon (35%). Moreover, reinforced plastic contains higher values of energy recovery rates compared to other materials, thus making it a suitable replacement material for the rolling stock model [25-29].

The main reason of selecting the three above-mentioned composite materials is the current market availability, especially for glass-filled epoxy [30, 31]. Moreover, many industries have made attempts to lower the cost of composite materials. This study therefore provides case studies on replacement composite materials in HSR rolling stock.

2.2 Calculation of components’ mass

The primary purpose of replacing the material is to reduce the total mass of the train which in turn leads to a decrease in CO\textsubscript{2} emissions. The "original model" has a total weight of 265,000 kg, as this model contains steel and aluminium in more than 50% of all components. However, the replacement of composite material effects the body weight. Thus, the weight of the "improved model" of the HSR rolling stock, can be calculated using Eq. 1.
\[ m = V \times \rho \]  

where; \( m \) = mass (kg); \( V \) = Volume (m\(^3\)); \( \rho \) = Density (kg/m\(^3\))

The perspective on replacing composite materials focuses on some rolling stock parts based on the feasibility study. There are six main parts including the roof, door, car body/tumblehome, brake control unit, break rheostat/dynamic brake and bogie transom. Composite material replacement is divided into three cases studies, as shown in Table 1. As a result, the total weight of the HSR rolling stock is changed, reducing CO\(_2\) emissions due to higher \( R_{cyc} \) and \( R_{cov} \) rates compared to aluminium or steel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component of rolling stock</th>
<th>Type of material</th>
<th>Original model</th>
<th>Glass-filled epoxy</th>
<th>Glass-filled polyester</th>
<th>Glass-filled nylon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m (kg)</td>
<td>( \rho ) (kg/m(^3))</td>
<td>V (m(^3))</td>
<td>m (kg)</td>
<td>( \rho ) (kg/m(^3))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof</td>
<td>Aluminum/Steel</td>
<td>14,071.50</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>9,865.63</td>
<td>10,384.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door</td>
<td>Aluminum/Steel</td>
<td>7,340.50</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>5,146.48</td>
<td>5,417.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car body/ Tumblehome</td>
<td>Aluminum/Steel</td>
<td>20,749.50</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td>14,547.62</td>
<td>15,313.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Break Control Unit</td>
<td>Aluminum/Steel</td>
<td>97,944.00</td>
<td>36.14</td>
<td>68,669.23</td>
<td>72,823.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breaking Rheostat</td>
<td>Aluminum/Steel</td>
<td>1,139.50</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>798.91</td>
<td>840.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bogie Frame</td>
<td>Steel plate/Cast steel</td>
<td>22,048</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>5,440.42</td>
<td>5,726.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bogie Transom</td>
<td>Steel plate/Cast steel</td>
<td>9,805.00</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>2,419.42</td>
<td>2,546.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total weight</td>
<td></td>
<td>173,098</td>
<td>106,887.69</td>
<td>112,513.36</td>
<td>90,010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The key finding in Table 1 is the difference in the total mass of the six main parts after substitution with various type of composite materials. The original model is compared to three different types of composite materials. The different properties of glass filled with epoxy, polyester and nylon illustrate density values as 1,900 km/m\(^3\), 2,000 km/m\(^3\) and 1,600 km/m\(^3\) respectively.

As a result, the original model weighed 173,098 kg; whereas, composite materials such as glass filled with epoxy, polyester and nylon weigh 106,887.69 kg, 112,513.36 kg and 90,010 kg respectively.
respectively. The low-density property of composite materials significantly impacts the total weight of the HSR, and so, positively contributing to a light-weight car body. Therefore, the replacement with composite materials leads to a reduction in the amount of fuel consumed during the operation.

2.3 The impact with the end-of-life rolling stock

Regarding end-of-life rolling stock CO2 emissions, many researchers have measured its performance by greenhouse gas emission rates, through recycling rates (%R_{cyc}) and recovery rates (%R_{cov}) [17, 25, 33].

The recycling process of end-of-life rolling stock aims at turning waste parts into useable material and to decrease environmental impact. The full process includes three stages; pre-treatment, dismantling and shredding. First, the pre-treatment stage removes all liquid, toxicities, fuel and gases. The process aims at preventing accidents during the transfer of rolling stock parts to the recycling process. The total mass of end-of-life rolling stock, which relates to the pre-treatment stage, is carried out in the form of m[P]_{reuse}, m[P]_r and m[P]_E. Then, the rolling stock parts are sent to the dismantling stage that separate useful material parts in the rolling stock, i.e., cables, train seats, tables etc. The m[D]_{reuse}, m[D]_r, m[D]_E values are calculated as the total mass of the end-of-life rolling stock at the dismantling stage. The shredding process, lastly, aims at grinding large parts into small parts. The amount of m[S]_r and m[S]_E represent the total mass of the vehicle at the shredding stage.

There are differences in the material properties of the rolling stock. Some parts are suitable for recycling such as a metal, elastomer, glass, representing MRF values of more than 80%; on the other hand, a higher ERF value, as shown in reinforced polymers, are materials suitable for the energy recovery process. Therefore, the recycling process of end-of-life rolling stock should consider the material properties of all parts, which increases both R_{cyc} and R_{cov} values. The calculation of R_{cyc} and R_{cov} rates are shown as follows;

\[
\gamma_i = \sum m_j \cdot MRF_j \quad (2)
\]
\[
R_{cyc} (%) = \frac{\sum \gamma_i}{m_v} \times 100 \%
\quad (3)
\]
\[
R_{cov} (%) = R_{cyc} (%) + \left[ \frac{\sum m_j \cdot ERF_j}{m_v} \times 100 \% \right] \quad (4)
\]

where;

\[R_{cyc} (%) = \text{vehicle recyclability by percentage} \, [\%];\]
$R_{\text{cov}}$ (%) = vehicle recoverability by percentage [%];
$m_t$ = total mass of rolling stock [kg];
MRF = Mass recovery factor [%];
ERF = Energy recovery factor [%];
i = material subscription;
$j = \{m[P]\text{Reuse}, m[P]_R, m[P]_E, m[D]\text{Reuse}, m[D]_R, m[D]_E, m[S]_R, m[S]_E\}$
$m[P]\text{Reuse}$ = total mass of materials which can be considered reusable at the pre-treatment step [kg];
$m[P]_R$ = mass of materials which can be considered recyclable at the pre-treatment step [kg];
$m[P]_E$ = mass of materials which can be considered as energy recoverable at the pre-treatment step [kg];
$m[D]\text{Reuse}$ = total mass of materials which can be considered reusable at the dismantling step [kg];
$m[D]_R$ = mass of materials which can be considered recyclable at the dismantling step [kg];
$m[D]_E$ = mass of materials which can be considered as energy recoverable at the dismantling step [kg];
$m[S]_R$ = mass of materials which can be considered recyclable at the shredding step [kg];
$m[S]_E$ = mass of materials which can be considered as energy recoverable at the shredding step [kg];

3. Results

The calculation of the $R_{\text{cyc}}$ and $R_{\text{cov}}$ values, divide the CFRP materials, which are useful composite materials, into six rolling stock parts. The substitution in material and property causes a change in total mass, $\text{Reuse}$, $m[P]_R, m[P]_E, m[D]_R, m[D]_E, m[S]_R, m[S]_E, R_{\text{cyc}}, R_{\text{cov}}$ and waste values. The reason for this is the $R_{\text{cyc}}$ and $R_{\text{cov}}$ of CFRP is 95% [34]; whereas, the value of aluminium represents a $R_{\text{cyc}}$ of 14% and $R_{\text{cov}}$ of 33% [25, 26].

As illustrated in Table 2, the replacement of six components on the new HSR rolling stock model changes the overall weight of the original model from 265,000 kg to 201,124.69 kg; in other words, it decreases the total weight by 24.61%. The decrease in the total weight decreases the energy required to operate the HSR. Additionally, the leftover landfill waste is approximately 14,420 kg compared to the 88,201 kg in the original model.

Moreover, the overall recyclability rates of the new model is 73.10% compared with the 61.4% in the original model, which increases % $R_{\text{cyc}}$ by 11%. Also, an increase of 5% in $R_{\text{cov}}$ is revealed with the upgrade, increasing the $R_{\text{cov}}$ from 73.9% in the original model to 78.9% in the improved model, as illustrated in Figure. 1. The significant increase in both rates, greatly reduces both landfill waste and CO₂ emissions in the long term.
In conclusion, substituting CFRP material in the HSR’s body, provides long-term benefits in the recycling process of the end-of-life HSR vehicle. The recyclable materials, which were applied to the new model of the HSR, reduces the waste left over after the end-of-life stage. Also, the energy requirements in operating the new HSR model are lower than in the original model, as the materials used in the new model are light-weight and therefore requires less energy to operate. In other words, CO₂ combustion and emissions will certainly be decreased directly because of a variation in the whole train body mass.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component of Train</th>
<th>Type of Material</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Reuse</th>
<th>$m_{\text{P}}$</th>
<th>$m_{\text{P}}$</th>
<th>$m_{\text{D}}$</th>
<th>$m_{\text{D}}$</th>
<th>$m_{\text{S}}$</th>
<th>$m_{\text{S}}$</th>
<th>$%_{\text{Rev}}$</th>
<th>$%_{\text{Rec}}$</th>
<th>Waste (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>High Speed Rail</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>265,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheels</td>
<td>Steel R7</td>
<td>44,069.50</td>
<td>41425.3</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2644.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window</td>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>4902.5</td>
<td>4412.3</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>490.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof*</td>
<td>CFRP</td>
<td>8,307.90</td>
<td>7,892.50</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>415.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table</td>
<td>Polypropylene/ Polyethylene</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>704.9</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum</td>
<td></td>
<td>7950</td>
<td>5302.65</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat</td>
<td>Polypropylene/ Polyethylene</td>
<td>2623</td>
<td></td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>216.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery Box</td>
<td>CFRP</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>149.5</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pantograph</td>
<td>High Strength tubular steel or alloy frame</td>
<td>2438</td>
<td>2291.7</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>137.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Transformer</td>
<td>Steel/ Aluminium</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td>274.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1294.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyristor Controlled Rectifier</td>
<td>Silicon Steel</td>
<td>238.5</td>
<td>33.39</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>157.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traction Inverters</td>
<td>Aluminium</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>1431</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>159</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchronous AC Traction Motor</td>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>4902.5</td>
<td>4607.9</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>294.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Transmission</td>
<td>Aluminium Alloys/ Steel</td>
<td>2438</td>
<td>341.32</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1609.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact Absorption Block</td>
<td>Aluminium</td>
<td>5644.5</td>
<td>5080.1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>564.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbody/ Tumblehome</td>
<td>CFRP</td>
<td>12,250.63</td>
<td></td>
<td>11,638</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>612.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Break Control Unit</td>
<td>CFRP</td>
<td>57,826.72</td>
<td></td>
<td>54,935.3</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2891.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condenser</td>
<td>Copper, Brass, Aluminium, Stainless Steel</td>
<td>874.5</td>
<td>839.5</td>
<td>874.5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressor</td>
<td>Aluminium</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>167.48</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signaling Antennas</td>
<td>Aluminium</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupler</td>
<td>Steel or Composites</td>
<td>2146.5</td>
<td>1996.2</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>139.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gangway Bellows</td>
<td>Silicon-coated fabric</td>
<td>8559.5</td>
<td>7703.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>856</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery</td>
<td>Polypolyene, polyethylene or plastic-coated steel</td>
<td>609.5</td>
<td>481.5</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breaking Rheostat*</td>
<td>CFRP</td>
<td>672.77</td>
<td>639.13</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Bloc/ DC circuit breaker and the main filter capacitor</td>
<td>Insulation sheet, bimetallic, strip, silver point, ceramic RFI/ EMI suppression capacitors</td>
<td>238.5</td>
<td>102.6</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>136</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generator</td>
<td>Magnetic steel and copper</td>
<td>1457</td>
<td>1151</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternator</td>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>397.5</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Converter</td>
<td>Silicon Carbide</td>
<td>662.5</td>
<td>523.4</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bogie Frame*</td>
<td>CFRP</td>
<td>4581.40</td>
<td></td>
<td>4352.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>229.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bogie Transom*</td>
<td>CFRP</td>
<td>2037.40</td>
<td></td>
<td>1935.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>101.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brake Cylinder</td>
<td>Aluminium</td>
<td>2438</td>
<td>2291.7</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>146.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Suspension Coil</td>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>980.5</td>
<td>921.7</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Suspension Coil</td>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>927.5</td>
<td>871.9</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gearbox</td>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>5512</td>
<td>5181.3</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>330.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Suspension Coil</td>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>8559.5</td>
<td>8045.9</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>513.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Suspension Air Bag</td>
<td>Textile reinforced Rubber</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>298.9</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mass of energy recyclable (kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td>147,030.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mass of energy recoverable (kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td>11,602.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total waste (kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td>14,419.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall recyclability rate (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(14419.95 * 100%) = 73.10%</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall recoverability rate (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(11,602.82 * 100%) + 73.10% = 12.5% + 73.10% = 78.87%</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. The parametric study of time effect on MRF and ERF values

The technology revolution in manufacturing has increased recyclability production. Since the industrial era, various materials have been applied to abandoned products to support human needs. However, un-recyclable products have significantly impacted the environment which has pushed the idea of recyclability and the recycling of end-of-life products across industries forward.

Aluminium and steel materials are mostly used in sectors such as transportation and construction, as well as in other products. Products that are made from those materials and which are leftover or unused have increased landfill waste, significantly damaging the environment. Nevertheless, the improvement of recycling technologies and regulations to limit CO₂ emissions have provoked an increasing number of recycling products. As shown in the example of aluminum production in Figure 2, the percentage of recycling components in aluminium production has risen after 1980 [35].

In the transportation sector, mixed aluminium with steel and pure steel make up the majority of components in HSR rolling stock which consists of 51.55% and 36.52%, respectively. The recycling rate of aluminium production relates to its respective industry. In 2007, for instance, the rate was approximately 90% in the transportation sector and 85% in the construction sector, but 60% in the beverage can production industry [36-41].

![Fig. 2 The percentage of recycling component in aluminium production adapted from [35].](image-url)
With regards to the end-of-life HSR rolling stock, recycling rates have continuously increased due to the advancement of technologies [35, 37, 40]. The recycling rate of mixed aluminium and steel was only at 90% of the recycling rate in 2006 and it increased by 1% the following year. At present, the recycling rate sits at 94%, which is almost higher than any other materials. In terms of steel recycling, the trend in recycling rates has also increased from 88% in 2012 to 90% in 2019. The obvious increase of recycling rates on mixed aluminium with steel and pure steel are impacted by recycling technologies. It directly generates a positive impact across end-of-life rolling stock, reducing the amount of waste leftover and the global environmental impact.

![MRF Estimation using Logarithmic Function, y = a + b*ln(x)](image)

Fig. 3 The estimation of MRF values of mixed aluminium and steel
The prediction on MRF values of the second top material used in HSR is provided to predict future values. The research considers data from at least 3 consecutive years. The data was processed using a regression analysis with logarithmic interpolation. The achieved coefficients included 95% confidence bounds. The goodness of fit was examined under the sum of squares due to an error. As a result, the recycling rate of mixed aluminium and steel is predicted to be 95% and 96% in 2029 and 2039 respectively. Additionally, the recycling rate for steel is predicted to be 93% in 2029 and 95% in 2039 as shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4.

An increase in recycling rates in the majority of components will have a positive impact on the end-of-life rolling stock in the future as landfill waste will be reduced. With regards to the calculation of left-over residue, using equation 3 shows that there will be 267,601.51 kg of recyclable components and 16,610.49 kg of waste in 2029. In 2039, left-over waste will have decreased to 13,094.98 kg and 270,799.02 kg of recyclable components. This shows that upgrading to recycling technologies will decrease the global impact to the environment.

To compare left-over landfill waste, there are four end-of-life rolling stock models; the original model, the improved model with the CFRP material replacement, the predictive model in 2029 and the predictive model in 2039 as shown in Figure 5. The original model shows a large amount of waste at 88,201 kg compared to 13,094.98 kg in the predictive model in 2039. Therefore, the decrease in waste is approximately 85% from the original model.
Fig. 5 The comparison of left-over waste between the original model, improved model, predictive model in 2029 and 2039.

5. Discussion

The HSR system consistently provides a service that positively impacts human life; however, the dramatic growth of the HSR has created higher atmospheric CO$_2$ emissions. Thus, the notion of reducing CO$_2$ emissions has been addressed in various aspects, from changing operation systems to electric trains and even material replacement.

Within this study, the CFPR replacement material was developed based on the "original model" in Kaewunruen and et al.'s (2019) research. While the original model comprises more than 35 parts, there are only six parts of the component that can feasibly be replaced with the composite materials.

First, the three types consisting of glass filled with epoxy, polyester and nylon, have been replaced in the six components. As a result, the total weight of the improved model is reduced by 24.61%, on average. This shows that all composite materials are suitable for substitution in the HSR's parts and, the decreased weight of the improved model therefore reduces the amount of fuel required for operation which leads to a decrease in CO$_2$ emissions.

Additionally, the end-of-life HSR leftover landfill waste is reduced by nearly 84% as shown in the calculation in Table 2. The end-of-life rolling stock of the new model remains at only 14,420 kg; whereas the original model produced more than 80,000 kg in landfill. The initiative to reduce CO$_2$ leads to the use of recyclable and recoverable materials, like CFRP materials, in the rolling stock component.
As a result, the overall $\%R_{cyc}$ and $\%R_{cov}$ is dramatically improved by 73.1% and 78.9%, respectively. The increase in both values refers to the reduction of CO$_2$ emissions from landfill.

Lastly, the parametric study showed that the improvement in technology directly impacts the end-of-life rolling stock’s left over waste. In the original model, there is 88,201 kg left over residues but, in the predictive model in 2039, there will be only 13,094.98 kg left over waste. This means that almost all components can be recycled during the manufacturing processes. However, the research highly recommended following the material replacement method using CFRP material (improved model) for following two reasons. First, the “improved model” produces a slightly different amount of left-over waste. Furthermore, while the improved model is currently ready to implement, the predictive model in 2039 is requires future and developing technologies to support the recycling process.

6. Conclusion

The improved model of the HSR rolling stock, using replacement CFRP materials and techniques shows a decrease in CO$_2$ emissions. This study confirms that the decrease in CO$_2$ emissions in the atmosphere is a results of the reduction in fuel used for operating lightweight rolling stock. Additionally, CFRP material is ideal for recycling and recovering processes, which in turn decreases end-of-life rolling stock waste. Therefore, using CFRP materials to replace components in the new HSR model is feasible and desirable.
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