In response to Sentilhes et al.: our findings are primarily applicable to low- and middle-income countries similar to the study locations. A-PLUS raises questions for future studies in high-income countries.

As Dall'Asta et al. suggested, we performed a post hoc analysis in which the 107 women with cases of sepsis in which tachycardia was the sole evidence of organ dysfunction were excluded. The incidence of maternal sepsis or death in each group was similar to that in the primary analysis, albeit slightly lower (1.3% in the azithromycin group and 1.9% in the placebo group; relative risk, 0.65; 95% confidence interval, 0.54 to 0.79). We used a relatively high threshold for tachycardia $(\geq 120$ beats per minute), and the conditions in many of these 107 women met criteria for endometritis or other localized infections (the risk of which is reduced by azithromycin) or might be further adjudicated as sepsis. In light of the results of our previous trial of azithromycin,³ the drug has been included in regimens used to prevent surgical-site infections in patients undergoing unscheduled cesarean delivery. It is also routinely used in pregnancy to prevent maternal and newborn infection after preterm premature rupture of membranes. Our findings are also consistent with those of another study conducted in low-income settings⁴ and provide important information for clinicians and policymakers.

Alan T.N. Tita, M.D., Ph.D. Waldemar A. Carlo, M.D. University of Alabama at Birmingham Birmingham, AL atita@uab.edu

Elizabeth M. McClure, Ph.D.

Research Triangle Institute International Research Triangle Park, NC

Since publication of their article, the authors report no further potential conflict of interest.

1. Bauserman M, Thorsten VR, Nolen TL, et al. Maternal mortality in six low and lower-middle income countries from 2010 to 2018: risk factors and trends. Reprod Health 2020;17:Suppl 3: 173.

2. Sutton AL, Acosta EP, Larson KB, Kerstner-Wood CD, Tita AT, Biggio JR. Perinatal pharmacokinetics of azithromycin for cesarean prophylaxis. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2015;212(6):812.e1-812.e6.

3. Tita ATN, Szychowski JM, Boggess K, et al. Adjunctive azithromycin prophylaxis for cesarean delivery. N Engl J Med 2016;375:1231-41.

4. Roca A, Camara B, Bognini JD, et al. Effect of intrapartum azithromycin vs placebo on neonatal sepsis and death: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2023;329:716-24.

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc2305875

Potential "Healthy Vaccinee Bias" in a Study of BNT162b2 Vaccine against Covid-19

TO THE EDITOR: Using observational methods, Arbel et al. (Dec. 23, 2021, issue)¹ calculated an adjusted 90% lower mortality due to Covid-19 among participants who received a first BNT162b2 vaccine (Pfizer–BioNTech) booster than among those who did not receive a booster. They found 65 Covid-19–associated deaths (reported as 0.16 per 100,000 persons per day) among participants in the booster group and 137 (reported as 2.98 per 100,000 persons per day) among those in the nonbooster group — a 94.6% difference. In a subsequent letter (March 10, 2022, issue),² Arbel et al. reported 441 deaths not related to Covid-19 in the booster group and 963 deaths not related to Covid-19 in the nonbooster group.

We did not have access to the data and could not account for the timing of the receipt of boosters or adjust for the covariates included in the analyses. However, using the person-days of exposure included in the 2021 article by Arbel et al. and the deaths not related to Covid-19 reported in the subsequent letter, we estimated the mortality not related to Covid-19, according to vaccination status, with the following formula: the ratios of total deaths not related to Covid-19 to Covid-19-related deaths, according to vaccination group, multiplied by mortality due to Covid-19, according to vaccination group, which accounts for person-days of exposure. The mortality not related to Covid-19 was calculated as (441/65) × 0.16=1.09 per 100,000 persons per day in the booster group as compared with $(963/137) \times 2.98 =$ 20.95 per 100,000 persons per day in the nonbooster group. This corresponds to a 94.8% lower mortality not related to Covid-19 among participants in the booster group and indicates a markedly lower incidence of adverse health outcomes in the booster group.

Underlying health plays a substantial role in Covid-19–related mortality. The unadjusted dif-

N ENGL J MED 389;3 NEJM.ORG JULY 20, 2023

The New England Journal of Medicine

Downloaded from nejm.org at SAN FRANCISCO (UCSF) on August 9, 2023. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.

Copyright © 2023 Massachusetts Medical Society. All rights reserved.

ferences in mortality related to Covid-19 and mortality not related to Covid-19, according to vaccination status, were essentially the same in the 2021 study by Arbel and colleagues. These findings arouse strong concern regarding unadjusted confounding. The adjusted 90% lower mortality due to Covid-19 reported among the participants who received a booster cannot, with certainty, be attributed to boosting. "Healthy vaccinee bias" in this population may have also led to overestimates of vaccine effectiveness in similar studies from Clalit Health Services. Inclusion of mortality not related to Covid-19 in all observational Covid-19 vaccine studies would provide important context.

Tracy B. Høeg, M.D., Ph.D.

University of California, San Francisco San Francisco, CA tracy.hoeg@ucsf.edu

Ram Duriseti, M.D., Ph.D.

Stanford School of Medicine Stanford, CA

Vinay Prasad, M.D., M.P.H.

University of California, San Francisco San Francisco, CA

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this letter was reported.

1. Arbel R, Hammerman A, Sergienko R, et al. BNT162b2 vaccine booster and mortality due to Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2021; 385:2413-20.

 Arbel R, Sergienko R, Hammerman A. BNT162b2 vaccine booster and Covid-19 mortality. N Engl J Med 2022;386:1000-1. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc2306683

THE AUTHORS AND COLLEAGUES REPLY: With regard to a potential healthy vaccinee bias, all participants in our study started at an "unboosted" status, which was changed to a "boosted" status 7 days after vaccination, and 9 of 10 participants contributed follow-up data in both statuses (i.e., to both the booster group and the nonbooster group). Therefore, we used a Cox proportionalhazards regression model with time-dependent covariates to estimate vaccine efficacy.

With adjustment for the same covariates that were included in the analyses of Covid-19–related death in our article, the adjusted hazard ratio for death not due to Covid-19 was 0.23 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.20 to 0.26) among participants who received the booster (Table 1), a finding that is 2.3 times as high as the adjusted hazard ratio for death due to Covid-19 (hazard ratio, 0.10; 95% CI, 0.07 to 0.14). However, a strong, unexplained association between the use of the booster and lower mortality not related to Covid-19 remains. During the B.1.617.2 (delta) wave in the United States, similar associations were observed between the use of mRNA vaccines and lower mortality not related to Covid-19¹ and mortality from any cause.² However, the associations between vaccination and deaths not due to Covid-19 should be interpreted cautiously because numerous potential confounders exist.

The policy in Israel prioritized the administration of boosters to persons in the community setting who were at the highest risk. However, boosters were generally not administered to hospitalized patients who were at high risk for death from any cause. Therefore, we explored hospitalization for any cause as an additional risk factor. The results, which are shown in Table S1 in the Supplementary Appendix (available with the full text of this letter at NEJM.org), indicate that hospitalization was significantly associated with mortality not related to Covid-19 (hazard ratio, 9.1; 95% CI, 8.1 to 10.2; P<0.001), and adjustment for hospitalization slightly modified the estimated association between receipt of the booster and mortality not related to Covid-19 (hazard ratio

Variable	Hazard Ratio for Death Not Due to Covid-19 (95% CI)
Booster vaccine received	0.23 (0.20-0.26)
Male sex	1.27 (1.13–1.42)
Age	1.11 (1.10–1.11)
Socioeconomic status	1.00 (0.98–1.03)
Diabetes	1.12 (1.00–1.25)
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	1.41 (1.20–1.65)
Stroke	1.53 (1.34–1.74)
Chronic renal failure	1.95 (1.71–2.21)
Ischemic heart disease	1.11 (0.99–1.26)
Heart failure	2.01 (1.75–2.31)
Obesity	0.95 (0.85–1.07)
History of smoking	1.07 (0.95–1.12)
Lung cancer	4.46 (3.47–5.72)
Transient ischemic attack	0.90 (0.73-1.10)

Table 1. Association of Confounding Variables with Death Not Due to

* Age was a continuous variable, and socioeconomic status was an ordinal variable; all other variables were dichotomous (present vs. absent). Covid-19 denotes coronavirus disease 2019.

N ENGL J MED 389;3 NEJM.ORG JULY 20, 2023

The New England Journal of Medicine

Downloaded from nejm.org at SAN FRANCISCO (UCSF) on August 9, 2023. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.

Copyright © 2023 Massachusetts Medical Society. All rights reserved.

for death among participants who received the Hadar Duskin-Bitan, M.D. booster, 0.27; 95% CI, 0.24 to 0.31).

Ronen Arbel, Ph.D.

Clalit Health Services Tel Aviv, Israel ronenarb@clalit.org.il

Ruslan Sergienko, M.H.A. Ben-Gurion University of the Negev Beersheba, Israel

Doron Netzer, M.D. Clalit Health Services Tel Aviv, Israel

Ariel Hammerman, Ph.D. Medison Pharma

Petah Tikva. Israel

Beersheba Israel

Michael Friger, Ph.D. Ben-Gurion University of the Negev

Alon Peretz, M.D. Talish Razi, M.P.H. Shlomit Yaron, M.D.

Clalit Health Services

Tel Aviv, Israel

A complete list of authors is available with the full text of this letter at NEJM.org.

Ms. Razi and Dr. Duskin-Bitan report no potential conflicts of interest relevant to this letter. Since publication of his article, Dr. Hammerman reports being employed by Medison Pharma, which distributes the mRNA-1273 (Moderna) vaccine against Covid-19 in Israel and in Central and Eastern Europe. No further potential conflict of interest relevant to this letter was reported.

1. Xu S, Huang R, Sy LS, et al. COVID-19 vaccination and non-COVID-19 mortality risk - seven integrated health care organizations, United States, December 14, 2020-July 31, 2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2021;70:1520-4.

2. Tang F, Hammel IS, Andrew MK, Ruiz JG. COVID-19 mRNA vaccine effectiveness against hospitalisation and death in veterans according to frailty status during the SARS-CoV-2 delta (B.1.617.2) variant surge in the USA: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet Healthy Longev 2022;3(9):e589-e598.

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc2306683

Postexposure Doxycycline for Sexually Transmitted Infections

TO THE EDITOR: Luetkemeyer et al. (April 6 issue)¹ report evidence that doxycycline treatment within 72 hours after condomless sex was an effective means of preventing sexually transmitted infections (STIs) among men who have sex with men who had had gonorrhea, chlamydia, or syphilis in the past 12 months. However, sexual behavior is the most crucial risk factor for STIs.² In the cohort of persons living with HIV infection, the percentage of participants with two or more STIs in the past 12 months was lower in the doxycycline group than in the standard-care group (33% vs. 47%), as was the number of sexual partners in the past 12 months (median, 7 vs. 10.5). In the study, no placebo was given to the participants in the standard-care group, which may have led to differences in measures of subsequent sexual behavior, such as the frequency of condomless sex and act frequency, between the intervention groups and the control groups, as most previous studies of preexposure prophylaxis have shown.^{3,4} The study carefully documented the baseline sexual behavior of the participants, including the number of sexual partners and sexual acts in the past 3 months. However, follow-up data on these measures are important for an understanding of the findings.

Yi-Jui Chiu, M.D. Ching-Yao Tsai, M.D., Ph.D.

Taipei City Hospital Zhongxing Branch Taipei. Taiwan

dac58@tpech.gov.tw

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this letter was reported.

1. Luetkemeyer AF, Donnell D, Dombrowski JC, et al. Postexposure doxycycline to prevent bacterial sexually transmitted infections. N Engl J Med 2023;388:1296-306.

2. Williamson DA, Chen MY. Emerging and reemerging sexually transmitted infections. N Engl J Med 2020;382:2023-32.

3. Hoornenborg E, Coyer L, Achterbergh RCA, et al. Sexual behaviour and incidence of HIV and sexually transmitted infections among men who have sex with men using daily and eventdriven pre-exposure prophylaxis in AMPrEP: 2 year results from a demonstration study. Lancet HIV 2019;6(7):e447-e455.

4. Montaño MA, Dombrowski JC, Dasgupta S, et al. Changes in sexual behavior and STI diagnoses among MSM initiating PrEP in a clinic setting. AIDS Behav 2019;23:548-55.

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc2306480

THE AUTHORS AND A STUDY TEAM MEMBER REPLY:

We agree that investigating changes in sexual behavior during the use of doxycycline postexposure prophylaxis (doxy-PEP) is important. Sexual behavior was assessed with the use of quarterly questionnaires and a mobile app throughout the

The New England Journal of Medicine

Downloaded from nejm.org at SAN FRANCISCO (UCSF) on August 9, 2023. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.

Copyright © 2023 Massachusetts Medical Society. All rights reserved.