UC Berkeley UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Ultrafast Intersystem Crossing in Acetylacetone via Femtosecond X-ray Transient Absorption at the Carbon K-Edge

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7pr8h6g2

Journal Journal of the American Chemical Society, 139(46)

ISSN 0002-7863

Authors

Bhattacherjee, Aditi Pemmaraju, Chaitanya Das Schnorr, Kirsten <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2017-11-22

DOI

10.1021/jacs.7b07532

Peer reviewed

1	Ultrafast Intersystem Crossing in Acetylacetone via Femtosecond X-ray
2	Transient Absorption at the Carbon K-edge
3	Aditi Bhattacherjee, ^{†,‡,} Chaitanya Das Pemmaraju, [§] Kirsten Schnorr, ^{†,‡} Andrew R. Attar, ^{†,‡} and
4	Stephen R. Leone* ^{†,‡,†}
5	[†] Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA
6	[‡] Chemical Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California
7	94720, USA
8	[§] Theory Institute for Materials and Energy Spectroscopies, SLAC National Accelerator
9	Laboratory, Menlo Park, California 94025, USA
10	[†] Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA
11	
12	Submitted to
13	Journal of the American Chemical Society
14	
15	AUTHOR EMAIL ADDRESS: abhattacherjee@lbl.gov, dasc@slac.stanford.edu,
16	kschnorr@berkeley.edu, andrewattar@berkeley.edu, srl@berkeley.edu
17	
18	*Address for correspondence:
19	Prof. Stephen R. Leone
20	E-mail: srl@berkeley.edu
21	Telephone: (510)-643-5467, Fax: (510)-643-1376
22	Present address: School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TH, United Kingdom.

23 Abstract:

Molecular triplet states constitute a crucial gateway in the photochemical reactions of 24 organic molecules by serving as a reservoir for the excess electronic energy. Here, we report the 25 26 remarkable sensitivity of soft x-ray transient absorption spectroscopy for following the intricate electronic structure changes accompanying the non-adiabatic transition of an excited molecule 27 from the singlet to the triplet manifold. Core-level x-ray spectroscopy at the carbon-1s K-edge 28 (284 eV) is applied to identify the role of the triplet state (T₁, ${}^{3}\pi\pi^{*}$) in the ultraviolet-induced 29 photochemistry of pentane-2,4-dione (acetylacetone, AcAc). The excited-state dynamics initiated 30 at 266 nm (${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}$, S₂) is investigated with element- and site-specificity using broadband soft x-ray 31 pulses produced by high harmonic generation, in combination with time-dependent density 32 functional theory calculations of the x-ray spectra for the excited electronic singlet and triplet 33 states. The evolution of the core-to-valence resonances at the carbon K-edge establishes an 34 ultrafast population of the T₁ state (${}^{3}\pi\pi^{*}$) in AcAc via intersystem crossing on a 1.5 ± 0.2 ps 35 timescale. 36

37 Introduction:

In a vast majority of organic chromophores, light-induced chemical reactions proceed 38 from an intermediate electronic state that is different from the one that is directly excited. Rapid 39 energy relaxation leads to the population of energetically low-lying electronic states, often with 40 different spin multiplicities, which determine the final reaction outcome. For example, the 41 photochemistry of unsaturated organic carbonyl compounds in the ultraviolet is complex with 42 competing processes of internal conversion (IC) and intersystem crossing (ISC).¹ Besides 43 providing an efficient pathway for energy relaxation, the rich and varied photochemistry in these 44 45 molecules also encompasses rapid intramolecular rearrangement, Norrish-type cleavage and even elimination reactions.²⁻³ Understanding the photochemistry of these molecules is important to 46 elucidate key aspects of energy relaxation, photoisomerization, excited-state proton transfer, 47 charge transfer, and coupled electronic-nuclear dynamics. 48

49 Scheme 1: Keto-enol tautomerism in Acetylacetone (AcAc). The enol form is stabilized in the
50 gas phase by a strong intramolecular O-H···O hydrogen bond.



51

52 2,4-Pentanedione (or acetylacetone, abbreviated AcAc, Scheme 1) is a β -diketone that 53 exhibits keto-enol tautomerism. The enolic (E) form of AcAc, the dominant tautomer in the gas 54 phase (>93%),⁴ is an α , β -enone that is stabilized by a strong (~12 kcal mol⁻¹) intramolecular 55 hydrogen bond (Scheme 1).⁵ The oscillator strength underlying the broad structureless absorption 56 of AcAc in the ultraviolet that peaks at 263 nm is attributed to a S₀ \rightarrow S₂($\pi\pi^*$) transition in the

enolic form.⁶ Following preparation of this bright state, the molecule undergoes rapid internal 57 conversion to a lower-lying ${}^{1}n\pi^{*}$ (S₁) state.⁷ The dominant reaction at this excitation wavelength 58 is the unimolecular dissociation reaction to generate hydroxyl and 3-penten-2-on-4-yl radicals 59 via a series of excited electronic states.^{3, 8} Experimentally, the lowest triplet state has been 60 invoked to explain the rotational energy distribution of the product OH radical in the 61 photodissociation of AcAc, which is probed by laser-induced fluorescence.⁸⁻⁹ The T_1 state is 62 proposed to be a common intermediate in both the 266- and 248-nm photolysis of AcAc.¹⁰ The 63 theoretical reaction pathway proposed (depicted in Figure 1) for this reaction indeed reinforces 64 65 the picture of non-adiabatic population transfer from the excited singlet to the triplet state following internal conversion, and calculations even reveal the possibility of a triple $S_1/T_1/T_2$ 66 curve-crossing region.¹¹ However, the role of the triplet state in the ultraviolet-induced energy 67 relaxation of AcAc lacks direct experimental evidence. Ultrafast electron diffraction studies 68 suggest the involvement of a long-lived intermediate state (S₁, $n\pi^*$), which decays with a time-69 constant of 247 ± 34 ps to form the hydroxyl radical, presumably via slow intersystem crossing 70 $(S_1 \rightarrow T_1)$.³ A femtosecond photoelectron spectroscopy study investigated the internal conversion 71 dynamics upon photoexcitation at 266 nm and characterized the time-constants for the initial 72 departure from the Franck-Condon region on the S_2 state (70 ± 10 fs) and the non-adiabatic 73 population transfer from S₂ to S₁ (1.4 \pm 0.2 ps).⁷ A slow decay of the S₁ state was reported (up to 74 80 ps); however, the triplet state could not be observed due to a lack of an appropriate ionizing 75 probe wavelength. 76



(C-OH Bond Length, not to scale)

77

Figure 1: Schematic representation of the potential energy diagram of the ultraviolet-induced 78 79 photochemistry of E-AcAc along the reaction co-ordinate (C-OH bond extension) for hydroxyl 80 radical elimination (curve crossings are not shown). Multiple electronic states are involved and the electronic characters of the excited states are shown. Representative Franck-Condon (FC)-81 excited and stationary points (min) are indicated on the electronic state surfaces for which the x-82 83 ray spectra are simulated. T₁ and T₂ are found to be purely $\pi\pi^*$ and $n\pi^*$, respectively; however, S_1 and S_2 change character on the potential energy surface (see Figure S5 for details). The 84 electronic energy provided by the pump pulse is redistributed over the vibrational modes of the 85 molecule such as the OH stretch, C-O-H bend, C=C-O-H torsion modes⁷ during excited-state 86 relaxation (the one-dimensional schematic shown above does not reflect these normal modes). 87 88

Clearly, the inter-system crossing to the triplet-state manifold is a crucial element in the photochemistry of E-AcAc that remains to be investigated experimentally. Triplet state photochemistry is also common to a host of reaction systems such as fluorescence blinkers,¹² nucleobases,¹³⁻¹⁴ and light-harvesting complexes.¹⁵ Recent progress in the generation of soft xray pulses with table-top sources has opened up new avenues in soft x-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) at unprecedented timescales.¹⁶⁻²⁰ Time-resolved x-ray spectroscopy is a

powerful probe of electronic and structural dynamics in molecules and molecular complexes.²¹⁻²⁴ 95 Electronic transitions from localized core-levels with well-defined orbital symmetries and 96 angular momenta are inherently element-specific and also related to the charge distribution/spin 97 98 of the initial and core-excited states through transition dipole matrix elements and exchange correlation.²⁵⁻²⁶ Thus, when combined with time-resolved detection, the evolving near-edge core-99 to-valence spectral features report accurately on the changes in the electronic charge 100 distributions, oxidation states, chemical environments, and spin crossover of the photoexcited 101 molecule with atomic site specificity.^{19, 27-41} Further, the large energy separations between the 102 absorption edges of different elements (tens to hundreds of eV) and the encoding of the near-103 edge spectral region by a few predominant core-to-valence transitions offer easy spectral 104 elucidation in comparison to valence state photoionization spectroscopies, which may produce 105 broad and overlapping spectral signatures from multiple photoionization channels.⁴² The high 106 photon energies and bandwidth of the x-ray probe allows monitoring large amplitude nuclear 107 motions on multiple electronic states, making core-level spectroscopy generally sensitive to 108 geometrical parameters and charge states.^{27, 43-45} Here, we use femtosecond soft x-ray transient 109 absorption spectroscopy to probe the ultrafast non-adiabatic population transfer into the triplet 110 state after initial excitation of E-AcAc to the optically bright ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}$ (S₂) state. The sensitivity of 111 the core-to-valence pre-edge resonances to the nature of the valence-excited states in polyatomic 112 molecules provides valuable electronic structure insights into the photochemical reaction 113 pathways and transition states.^{42, 46-47} We report the photoexcited dynamics of AcAc by 114 following the evolution of the key core-to-valence resonance peaks in the x-ray absorption 115 spectra at the carbon K-edge and comparing them with TDDFT-simulated x-ray spectra of the 116

excited states. The results show that the non-adiabatic passage to the T_1 state in AcAc is ultrafast and occurs much more rapidly than previously thought.

119 Methods:

A detailed description of the experimental and computational methodologies is included 120 in the Supporting Information (Figure S1-S4). Briefly, 266 nm pulses (5-7 µJ per pulse, 121 corresponding to pump intensities of 1.2×10^{11} to 1.7×10^{11} Wcm⁻²) are used to excite the gas-122 phase AcAc molecules, which are then probed using temporally-delayed, broadband, soft x-ray 123 pulses at the carbon K-edge (high harmonics of a 1320 nm optical parametric amplifier output 124 125 pumped by a Ti:sapphire laser). The differential soft x-ray absorption spectra are acquired in shorter time intervals up to 10 ps, and at longer intervals between 10 to 150 ps. An in-situ cross-126 correlation of the pump and probe pulses is determined by the ponderomotive shift of the core-127 128 excited Rydberg states of Argon, which yields the time-zero and the instrument response function (IRF, 90 fs) of the apparatus. 129

Near-edge x-ray absorption fine structure (NEXAFS) spectra are calculated for fixed 130 131 molecular geometries corresponding to the ground-state minimum, FC-excited and stationary points on the excited electronic state surfaces using a previously demonstrated methodology¹⁹ 132 that combines the restricted energy-window⁴⁸ linear-response time-dependent density functional 133 theory (TDDFT) formalism⁴⁹ for core-excited states with the maximum overlap method 134 (MOM)⁵⁰⁻⁵¹ for valence-excited states. The restricted energy-window TDDFT formalism has 135 been widely applied over the past few years to study near-edge x-ray excitation spectra in 136 molecules,^{48, 52} including recent applications to corroborate transient x-ray absorption 137 experiments.^{19, 53} Simulations are carried out at the CAM-B3LYP/aug-cc-pvdz level for 138 139 molecular geometries reported by Chen et al. using a Complete Active Space Self-Consistent

Field (CASSCF) calculation.¹¹ Calculations of the NEXAFS spectra do not account for any 140 possible vibrational excitation; however, these single-point calculations serve as a reasonable 141 starting point. The computed ground-state NEXAFS is uniformly offset by 10.3 eV to higher 142 143 energies in order to align with the experimental NEXAFS spectrum. A constant blue-shift of 10.3 eV is also applied to the computed spectra for all excited electronic states. In DFT functionals of 144 the type we employ, the absolute binding energy of the C 1s core-state is underestimated (errors 145 in the range of ~ 10 eV). The rigid energy shift therefore acts as a calibration parameter that 146 simply corrects for (without affecting the relative positions of any peaks) a known systematic 147 148 error in the energy of the C 1s core-states that are common to all x-ray transitions. The use of approximate exchange-correlation functionals and spin-contamination effects inherent to a 149 single-determinant TDDFT treatment of excited states are expected to lead to uncertainties in the 150 range of ~ 0.3 eV for the predicted transition energies. 151

152 **Results and Discussion:**

Figure 2 shows a comparison of the NEXAFS spectrum of AcAc (S_0) at the carbon K-153 154 edge with the TDDFT-calculated result for the enol tautomer. The stick spectrum displays the prominent calculated core-valence transitions of the constituent carbon atoms (C_3 in red, C_2 in 155 blue, C₄ in green, and terminal methyl carbons in yellow) underlying the peaks in the observed 156 NEXAFS spectrum (solid black line). The individual sticks are broadened with a Gaussian width 157 of 0.2 eV to obtain the shaded-gray spectrum. The lowest energy peak in the experimental 158 NEXAFS, observed at 284.4 eV, is assigned to a $1s(C_3) \rightarrow \pi^*(LUMO)$ transition. The second 159 peak, identified at 286.6 eV, is assigned to two energetically close-lying $1s(C_2) \rightarrow \pi^*(LUMO)$ and 160 $1s(C_4) \rightarrow \pi^*(LUMO)$ transitions. The greater core-LUMO resonance energies for the C_{2.4} atoms 161 162 in comparison to C_3 are due to a chemical shift of the binding energy of the core-1s electrons

from the proximity of the $C_{2,4}$ atoms to the more electronegative (oxygen) heteroatoms.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁵ A 163 third peak at 288.2 eV is discernible along the rising carbon K-edge, which corresponds to 164 overlapping transitions of the 1s core-electrons of C₁, C₃, and C₅ to higher unoccupied valence 165 166 orbitals (All computed peaks over 287 eV arise from transitions to higher unoccupied valence and Rydberg-type orbitals). The TDDFT-computed spectrum of the enol tautomer reproduces all 167 three peaks in the experimental NEXAFS with remarkably good relative accuracy (within 0 to 168 0.1 eV). The high energy peaks greater than 289 eV in the computed spectrum are not observed 169 in the experiment due to the rising edge that results from ionization of the core-1s electrons. For 170 comparison, the computed NEXAFS spectrum of the diketo tautomer is provided in Figure S6 171 where the first core-valence resonance is expected to occur only at 286.5 eV, corresponding to a 172 $1s(C_{2,4}) \rightarrow \pi^*(LUMO)$ transition. Thus, the peak observed at 284.4 eV in the experimental 173 174 NEXAFS spectrum confirms the existence of the enol tautomer in the gas phase. Because of the close similarity in the calculated energies and oscillator strengths of the core-valence transitions 175 in the enol and dione tautomers at energies greater than 286 eV, it is not possible to extract a 176 177 meaningful keto-enol ratio from the experimental NEXAFS spectrum. However, as the enolic tautomer is known to solely contribute to the 266 nm absorption peak in the UV (the diketone 178 absorption lies above 290 nm),⁶ all changes in absorbance observed in the pump-probe spectra, 179 vide infra, are solely attributed to the enol tautomer. Therefore, the use of AcAc in the rest of the 180 paper refers specifically to the enol tautomer. 181



182

Figure 2: Static near-edge x-ray absorption fine structure (NEXAFS) spectrum of AcAc in the 183 S₀ state (solid black line connecting the data points, error bars correspond to 95% confidence 184 interval over 64 spectra). The calculated (TDDFT) stick spectrum of the enolic tautomer 185 (uniformly shifted to higher energies by 10.3 eV to match the experimental spectrum) shows the 186 specific carbon atoms participating in the prominent core-valence transitions (inset shows the 187 atom numbering scheme; see text for assignment). A Gaussian broadening of 0.2 eV is applied to 188 the stick spectrum to obtain the shaded-gray spectrum. The intensity scale of the computed 189 spectrum is normalized to the strongest peak (286.6 eV) in the experimental NEXAFS. An 190 energy cut-off is imposed in the calculations at 291 eV. 191

Figure 3(a) shows a two-dimensional contour map of the transient x-ray absorption 192 193 spectrum of AcAc upon 266 nm excitation for time delays between -500 fs and 10 ps, where 194 yellow-red and blue-black contours denote positive and negative ΔA features, respectively. The averaged differential-absorption spectra over three representative time-windows (120-200 fs in 195 196 blue, 1.2-2 ps in green, and 7-10 ps in red; Figure 3b), each referenced against the ΔA spectrum at negative time-delays (-450 to -50 fs; gray line), further reveal the prominent features of the 197 time-dependent x-ray spectra (the complete binning of the differential absorption spectra over 198 199 distinct time-windows is provided in Figure S7). Six distinct features, numbered 1-6, are 200 identified in the near-edge over a 10-ps timescale. Up to ~1 ps following photoexcitation, depletion of the ground-state manifests at 286.6 eV (peak 5), and the concomitant rises of two 201 peaks at 284.7 eV (peak 3) and 285.9 eV (peak 4) are observed. Depletion of the $1s(C_3)\pi^*$ 202 203 ground-state resonance at 284.4 eV (see static-NEXAFS, Figure 2) is not observed because of the overlapping positive ΔA peak at 284.7 eV. A weak and broad absorption peak also appears at 204 288.4 eV (peak 6). All four features (peaks 3-6) start to decay after about one picosecond as two 205 206 new peaks begin to emerge at 281.4 eV (peak 1) and 283.8 eV (peak 2). The decay of peak 3 and the concomitant appearance of peak 2 manifests as a gradual red-shift in the contour map in the 207 1-2 ps region. This is also evident from the appearance of a low-energy wing of the 284.7 eV 208 peak in the differential absorption spectrum in the 1.2-2 ps-timescale that transitions into the 209 283.8 eV peak at long time-delays (7-10 ps). 210



211

Figure 3:(a) Two-dimensional contour map of the experimentally measured 266 nm-induced 212 213 excited-state dynamics in E-AcAc shows six notable features (labeled 1-6). The color key on the right provides the scale for the measured differential soft x-ray absorption (ΔA).(b) Experimental 214 215 soft x-ray differential absorption spectra measured at different time-delays between the photoexcitation (266 nm pump) and soft x-ray (probe) pulses. Each spectrum represents the 216 average differential absorption over the respective time-window and is referenced to the 217 differential absorption measured at negative time-delays (gray line). Each division on the y-axis 218 219 corresponds to 50 m ΔA . Features over and below the gray line denote positive and negative ΔA features, respectively. The major peaks observed and discussed in the text are annotated. Error 220 221 bars denote a 95% confidence limit of 24 spectra. (c) Computed (TDDFT) soft x-ray differential absorption spectra corresponding to the Franck-Condon (FC, S₂) and stationary state (min) 222 structures of the excited-singlet and triplet states (each division on the y-axis corresponds to 50 223 224 $m\Delta A$). The spectra are obtained by subtracting the computed ground-state NEXAFS spectrum 225 from the computed excited-state NEXAFS spectra and scaling down the intensity scale uniformly by 10% (accounting for uncertainties in the percentage of excited molecules at our 226 sample densities, pump fluence, and focusing conditions) to match the ΔA scale of the 227 experimental differential absorption spectra. The structures and atom-numbering are shown on 228 229 the right.

230 To elucidate the multiple absorption features that appear in the transient absorption spectra at the carbon K-edge upon UV excitation of AcAc, the TDDFT-simulated differential-231 absorption spectra for representative geometries corresponding to the excited singlet (S_2 and S_1) 232 and triplet (T₂ and T₁) states of AcAc are shown in Figure 3c (see Figure S8 for the computed 233 NEXAFS spectra of the excited electronic states). The underlying stick spectra reveal the 234 particular carbon atoms involved in the core-valence transitions, as per the color scheme 235 introduced earlier (Figure 2), and a Gaussian broadening of 0.2 eV is applied. The positions of 236 peaks 1-6 observed in the experiment are also highlighted in Figure 3(c) by vertical gray bars to 237 guide the spectral assignment. Comparison of the observed transient absorption spectra (Figure 238 3b) with the TDDFT-simulated spectra (Figure 3c) reveals that the peak at 285.9 eV (peak 4) in 239 the experimental differential-absorption spectrum likely originates from the FC region on the S₂ 240 surface. In this region, the electrons are excited into a $\pi\pi^*$ state, however, the nuclear geometry 241 has not yet begun to respond to the electronic excitation. Photoexcitation into the ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}$ excited-242 state opens up a new lower-energy, half-filled π orbital for transition from the carbon 1s core-243

244 electrons, which gives rise to the peaks at 280.1 eV and 281.9 eV in the computed spectrum (note that these peaks appear to the lower-energy side of the computed carbon K-edge absorption 245 peaks in the S₀ state by approximately the UV excitation energy). Some weak absorption features 246 between 280 and 283 eV can be noted in the experimental spectrum (120-200 fs), which appear 247 smeared-out because of the finite experimental IRF (as the molecule exits the FC-region, the 248 orbital character evolves from $\pi\pi^*$ to $n\pi^*$ which also leads to the broadening of these peaks, see 249 Figure S5). Calculations reveal the peak at 285.9 eV in the FC-region corresponds to transitions 250 of the 1s core-electrons of the C_2/C_4 atoms into unoccupied orbitals with mixed π - and Rydberg 251 252 character. Following the gradient of the potential energy surface along the C(4)-O bond extension co-ordinate, the excited molecule departs from the FC region and approaches the 253 254 energy-minimum of the S₂ state along the reaction co-ordinate. For this particular structure, two 255 new peaks are predicted to occur at 285.1 eV and 288.2 eV. The former peak corresponds to overlapping transitions from the 1s-cores of C₄ and C₃ to unoccupied orbitals with mixed non-256 bonding and Rydberg character. The latter peak projects the 1s electrons of these carbon atoms 257 onto higher unoccupied valence orbitals with partial Rydberg character. Meanwhile, the 258 transitions of the core electrons into the frontier valence orbitals, π and π^* , predicted to occur at 259 260 280.5 and 281.8 eV contribute to the weak and broad ΔA peaks between 280 and 283 eV.

The simulations further reveal that the internal conversion of the excited molecule to the S₁ state is only characterized by minor spectral changes in the region between 284 and 289 eV. For example, in the simulated x-ray spectra of the S₁ state, the peak at 285.1 eV (which projects the 1s electrons from the C₂, C₃, and C₄ atoms to unoccupied orbitals with mixed non-bonding and Rydberg character) remains, and a small blue-shift of the higher energy core-valence resonance peak to 288.6 eV is noted. Because of the significant spectral overlap of the predicted 267 core-valence resonances of the S₂ and S₁ states between 285 and 289 eV, peaks 3 and 6 are jointly assigned to the S_2 and S_1 states. The slight discrepancy (~0.4 eV) of peak 3 with the 268 theoretical position is on the order of the spectrometer resolution ($\sim 0.3 \text{ eV}$). Due to the IRF (90 269 270 fs) of the apparatus and the overlapping nature of the computed x-ray peaks for the S₂-FC and relaxed S_{2.1} geometries between 285 eV and 286 eV, the twin peaks observed in the experiment 271 272 at 284.7eV (peak 3) and 285.9 eV (peak 4) are observed to rise and decay together. A shorter 266 nm pulse duration might make it possible to observe differences in the rise-times of these peaks. 273 It is worth pointing out that the S₂/S₁ states possess $\pi\pi^*/n\pi^*$ character, respectively; hence 274 275 following the temporal evolution of the delocalized $1s \rightarrow \pi$ and localized $1s \rightarrow n$ core-valence transition at the oxygen K-edge (543 eV) in the future will allow confirming the internal 276 conversion dynamics in AcAc, which has been well studied.⁷ There is also significant spectral 277 overlap of the computed core-valence resonances of the S1 and S2 states in the region between 278 284 and 289 eV with the theoretical x-ray spectra of the T₂ state and the product radical (Figure 279 S9-S10), however, these are expected to rise in later and be much longer-lived than the singlet 280 states. Hence their contribution to the peak amplitudes of peaks 3, 4 and 6 are expected to be 281 negligible immediately after photoexcitation, although there might be some finite contribution at 282 283 longer time-delays (perhaps explaining the residual differential absorption at these energies in the 7-10-ps window). 284

The ΔA spectra measured in the long-delay limit (7-10 ps, Figure 3b) show characteristic new resonances at 281.4 eV (peak 1) and 283.8 eV (peak 2), which are not present at early times (< 500 fs). The differential absorption spectrum in the 1.2-2 ps time-window marks their onset. These spectroscopic features are in good agreement with the TDDFT-calculated core-valence transition energies of the T₁ state energy-minimum (Figure 3c). The calculated spectrum 290 indicates that the x-ray absorption peaks in the T₁ state arise from electronic excitation of the core-1s electrons of C₃ into the unoccupied valence (π) and the core electrons from C_{2,4} into 291 mixed π - and Rydberg-type orbitals. Chen et al. report that rotational isomerization can easily 292 proceed on the T_1 surface (presumably due to single-bond character of the C_3 - C_4 bond), giving 293 rise to five different conformers (CCT, CTC, CTT, TCC, and TCT).¹¹ Calculation of the x-ray 294 spectra of these rotational isomers indicates the coalescence of the second (283.7 eV) and third 295 peaks (284.5 eV) of the T₁ spectrum into a single peak (284.1 eV, Figure S10), with a minor 296 increase in oscillator strength. However, due to the large vibrational broadening of the 297 experimentally observed x-ray absorption peaks (full-width-at-half-maximum of 0.9 eV for the 298 283.7 eV feature), the presence of the rotational isomers in the experiment cannot be directly 299 observed. Nonetheless, the agreement between the observed spectral positions of peaks 1 and 2 300 with those of the T₁ state leads to unambiguous identification of non-adiabatic population 301 transfer into T_1 . The sensitivity of peaks 1 and 2 to geometrical and electronic changes is 302 independently verified by sampling different geometries on the T₁ state (Figure S11) and for a 303 different electronic structure at the T_1^{min} geometry (Figure S12). Both the peak positions and peak 304 305 amplitudes are seen to significantly differ with variations in geometrical (up to ~1 eV) and 306 electronic changes (up to ~500 meV), which reflects the general sensitivity of x-ray spectroscopy 307 to geometrical parameters and orbital character. It must be noted that intersystem crossing from the S₁ ($^{1}n\pi^{*}$) state to the T₁ ($^{3}\pi\pi^{*}$) state is allowed according to El-Sayed's rules⁵⁶ since the spin-308 flip is accompanied by a change in orbital angular momentum; however, it is forbidden to the T₂ 309 $(^{3}n\pi^{*})$ state, as also noted for many other organic molecules.^{1, 56-58} A new peak at 287.4 eV, 310 although barely evident in Figure 3b, gains prominence at longer timescales (10-100 ps, Figure 311 S13) and is possibly also arising from the T_1 state; the computed spectrum of this state predicts 312

an absorption peak at 287.8 eV (within experimental resolution). In fact, it appears that the 313 seeming recovery of the ground-state bleach (peak 5) also owes its origin to an overlapping 314 resonance with the T_1 state (which gives rise to peaks 1 and 2), as all three peaks are 315 characterized by similar temporal behavior. Although an $S_2 \rightarrow T_2 \rightarrow T_1$ reaction pathway is also 316 allowed by El-Sayed's rules, an initial IC followed by ISC is expected to be kinetically favored 317 because of the lower S_2/S_1 energy gap and a likely S_2/S_1 conical intersection (as seen in the case 318 of malonaldehyde).^{3, 11, 59-60} Previous ultrafast experiments^{3, 7} and theoretical studies¹¹ point 319 towards IC as the predominant S2 relaxation pathway in AcAc. A sequential photophysical 320 process characterized by an ultrafast $S_2 \rightarrow S_1$ relaxation followed by ISC is also noted for several 321 α , β -enones.^{1, 61} 322



323

Figure 4:(a) Reconstructed 2D-contour map from a global fit of the transient absorption spectrum using a sequential two-state $(S \rightarrow T)$ model. (b) Global fits (solid lines) to the timedependent amplitudes of representative peaks 1, 3, and 5 in the transient x-ray absorption spectra

327 (error bars correspond to 95% confidence interval of 24 measured ΔA spectra) (c) Evolution 328 associated spectra for the two states S and T in the model (d) Population evolution of states S 329 and T.

A global fitting $tool^{62}$ based on a singular-value decomposition method reveals the 330 kinetics of the ultrafast non-adiabatic population transfer into the T_1 state of AcAc (Figure 4). A 331 sequential two-state model $(S_{2/1} \rightarrow T_1)$ with a 90 fs IRF is applied. It yields an excellent match 332 333 with the observed transient absorption spectra as seen from the reconstructed contour plot up to 10 ps (Figure 4a) where all of the major peaks 1-6 can be identified. Representative kinetics 334 traces of key resonance features observed at 281.4 eV (peak 1), 284.7 eV (peak 3), and 286.6 eV 335 336 (peak 5) are shown in Figure 4(b) (see Figure S14 for kinetics traces of the other peaks). The evolution associated spectra for the two states in the model (combined S_2/S_1 and T_1) and the 337 time-dependent populations are shown in Figures 4c and 4d, respectively (fits to the 338 339 experimental differential absorption spectra at different time-delays are shown in Figure S15). 340 The global fit identifies an ISC rate (1/k) of 1.5 ± 0.2 ps (one standard error calculated by the 341 root-mean-square method), revealing fast intersystem crossing in AcAc. Other relevant studies of 342 linear and cyclic enones indicate ISC rates ranging from ~1 to 3 ps, consistent with the results here.^{1, 63} Since the stationary point structures of the S_1 and S_2 states are seen to have close-lying 343 core-valence resonances between 285 and 289 eV, the individual $S_2 \rightarrow S_1$ IC step in the reaction 344 345 pathway cannot be directly determined in the transient x-ray absorption data. Complementary xray absorption with compressed 266 nm pulses and/or x-ray photoemission/Auger spectroscopy 346 experiments can be combined in the future to follow population transfer over multiple excited 347 states.⁶⁴ Also, high harmonic probe energies spanning the 'water-window' region can provide a 348 complementary picture of the photochemical reaction by monitoring the core-valence resonances 349 at the oxygen K-edge (543 eV), especially for electronic states with $n\pi^*$ character since the non-350 bonding orbital is localized on the O atom.⁶⁵ 351

352 **Conclusion:**

Time-resolved x-ray absorption spectroscopy using a broadband carbon K-edge high-353 harmonic probe reveals an ultrafast intersystem crossing in the 266 nm-photoexcitation of AcAc 354 that populates the triplet (T_1) state on a sub-2 ps time-scale. The nature of coupling between the 355 $S_2/S_1/T_1$ states (vibronic or conical intersection) remains to be identified by high-level ab initio 356 theory. Nonetheless, the ability of core-level spectroscopy to investigate the non-adiabatic 357 dynamics in polyatomic molecules with multiple excited states is clearly revealed. This detection 358 method is largely universal, being independent of frequently encountered experimental 359 difficulties in pump-probe techniques such as the unfavorable ionization cross-sections of the 360 triplets⁶⁶ or the presence of high-lying Rydberg states used to mediate the ionization pathway.⁶⁷ 361 The method is able to directly distinguish between multiple electronic states by projecting 362 localized core-electrons onto unoccupied valence orbitals in an element- and site-specific manner 363 via chemical shifts. The detection of different spin states should be tractable by x-ray 364 spectroscopy for other chromophores as well, as long as the energy separation between the states 365 366 is greater than the experimental spectral resolution. Even for near-degenerate electronic states, which are characterized by different electronic structures (example, $\pi\pi$ and $n\pi^*$), complementary 367 carbon and oxygen (or heteroatom) K-edge spectra can provide unique element- and orbital-368 specific spectral signatures to unambiguously identify the electronic states, and track the 369 associated population/relaxation timescales. These results shed light on the applicability of time-370 resolved x-ray absorption spectroscopy as a powerful probe to elucidate complex non-adiabatic 371 dynamics in photoexcited polyatomic molecules and pave the way for a universal detection 372 scheme of reactive triplets and other metastable electronic states. 373

374 Supporting Information:

375 Experimental and computational methods; detailed NEXAFS and x-ray transient absorption376 spectra; kinetic and spectral fits to the experimental data from global fitting.

377 Acknowledgement:

This research work, A.B. and A.R.A. were supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office 378 of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences (Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231), the gas 379 380 phase chemical physics program through the Chemical Sciences Division of Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. The apparatus was partially funded by a NSF ERC, EUV Science and 381 Technology, under a previously completed grant (No. EEC-0310717). C.D.P. performed the 382 computational work within TIMES at SLAC, supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, 383 Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Materials Sciences and Engineering, under 384 385 Contract No. DE-AC02-76SF00515. Computational simulations were carried out on the Sherlock cluster administered by the Stanford Research Computing Center. K.S. was supported by a Peter 386 Paul Ewald Fellowship from the Volkswagen Foundation. 387

388 **References:**

- 1. Schalk, O.; Schuurman, M. S.; Wu, G.; Lang, P.; Mucke, M.; Feifel, R.; Stolow, A., J. Phys.
- 390 *Chem. A* **2014**, *118*, 2279-2287.
- 2. Wu, W.; Yang, C.; Zhao, H.; Liu, K.; Su, H., J.Chem. Phys. 2010, 132, 124510.
- 392 3. Xu, S. J.; Park, S. T.; Feenstra, J. S.; Srinivasan, R.; Zewail, A. H., J. Phys. Chem. A 2004,
 393 108, 6650-6655.
- 4. Irving, R. J.; Wadso, I., Acta Chem. Scand. 1970, 24, 589-592.
- 395 5. Dannenberg, J. J.; Rios, R., J. Phys. Chem. **1994**, 98, 6714-6718.
- 396 6. Nakanishi, H.; Morita, H.; Nagakura, S., Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1977, 50, 2255-2261.
- 7. Poisson, L.; Roubin, P.; Coussan, S.; Soep, B.; Mestdagh, J. M., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130,
 2974-2983.
- 8. Yoon, M. C.; Choi, Y. S.; Kim, S. K., Chem. Phys. Lett. 1999, 300, 207-212.
- 400 9. Yoon, M. C.; Choi, Y. S.; Kim, S. K., J.Chem. Phys. 1999, 110, 11850-11855.
- 401 10. Upadhyaya, H. P.; Kumar, A.; Naik, P. D., J. Chem. Phys. 2003, 118, 2590-2598.
- 402 11. Chen, X. B.; Fang, W. H.; Phillips, D. L., J. Phys. Chem. A 2006, 110, 4434-4441.
- 403 12. Basche, T.; Kummer, S.; Brauchle, C., *Nature* **1995**, *373*, 132-134.
- 13. Barbatti, M.; Aquino, A. J. A.; Szymczak, J. J.; Nachtigallova, D.; Hobza, P.; Lischka, H.,
- 405 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **2010**, 107, 21453-21458.
- 406 14. Mai, S.; Pollum, M.; Martinez-Fernandez, L.; Dunn, N.; Marquetand, P.; Corral, I.; Crespo-
- 407 Hernandez, C. E.; Gonzalez, L., *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 13077.
- 408 15. Gradinaru, C. C.; Kennis, J. T. M.; Papagiannakis, E.; van Stokkum, I. H. M.; Cogdell, R. J.;
- 409 Fleming, G. R.; Niederman, R. A.; van Grondelle, R., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2001, 98,
- 410 2364-2369.

- 411 16. Cousin, S. L.; Silva, F.; Teichmann, S.; Hemmer, M.; Buades, B.; Biegert, J., *Opt. Lett.* 2014,
 412 *39*, 5383-5386.
- 413 17. Silva, F.; Teichmann, S. M.; Cousin, S. L.; Hemmer, M.; Biegert, J., *Nat. Commun.* 2015, *6*,
 414 6611.
- 415 18. Teichmann, S. M.; Silva, F.; Cousin, S. L.; Hemmer, M.; Biegert, J., *Nat. Commun.* 2016, *7*,
 416 11493.
- 417 19. Attar, A. R.; Bhattacherjee, A.; Pemmaraju, C. D.; Schnorr, K.; Closser, K. D.; Prendergast,
- 418 D.; Leone, S. R., *Science* **2017**, *356*, 54-59.
- 419 20. Pertot, Y.; Schmidt, C.; Matthews, M.; Chauvet, A.; Huppert, M.; Svoboda, V.; von Conta,
- 420 A.; Tehlar, A.; Baykusheva, D.; Wolf, J. P.; Wörner, H. J., *Science* **2017**, *355*, 264-267.
- 421 21. Bressler, C.; Chergui, M., *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 1781-1812.
- 422 22. Bressler, C.; Chergui, M., Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem. 2010, 61, 263–268.
- 423 23. Milne, C. J.; Penfold, T. J.; Chergui, M., Coord. Chem. Rev. 2014, 277, 44–68.
- 424 24. Chen, L. X.; Zhang, X.; Shelby, M. L., *Chem. Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 4136-4152.
- 425 25. Stöhr, J., NEXAFS Spectroscopy **1996**, Springer Series in Surface Sciences.
- 426 26. Baker, M. L.; Mara, M. W.; Yan, J. J.; Hodgson, K. O.; Hedman, B.; Solomon, E. I., Coord.
- 427 *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *345*, 182-208.
- 428 27. Gawelda, W.; Johnson, M.; de Groot, F. M. F.; Abela, R.; Bressler, C.; Chergui, M., J. Am.
- 429 *Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 5001-5009.
- 430 28. Gawelda, W.; Pham, V. T.; Benfatto, M.; Zaushitsyn, Y.; Kaiser, M.; Grolimund, D.;
- Johnson, S. L.; Abela, R.; Hauser, A.; Bressler, C.; Chergui, M., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2007, *98*,
 057401.

- 433 29. Ochmann, M.; von Ahnen, I.; Cordones, A. A.; Hussain, A.; Lee, J. H.; Hong, K.;
- 434 Adamczyk, K.; Vendrell, O.; Kim, T. K.; Schoenlein, R. W.; Huse, N., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017,
 435 139, 4797–4804.
- 436 30. Bressler, C.; Milne, C.; Pham, V.-T.; ElNahhas, A.; van der Veen, R. M.; Gawelda, W.;
- 437 Johnson, S.; Beaud, P.; Grolimund, D.; Kaiser, M.; Borca, C. N.; Ingold, G.; Abela, R.; Chergui,
- 438 M., Science **2009**, *323*, 489-492.
- 439 31. Huse, N.; Cho, H.; Hong, K.; Jamula, L.; de Groot, F. M. F.; Kim, T. K.; McCusker, J. K.;
- 440 Schoenlein, R. W., J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2011, 2, 880–884.
- 441 32. Van Kuiken, B. E.; Huse, N.; Cho, H.; Strader, M. L.; Lynch, M. S.; Schoenlein, R. W.;
- 442 Khalil, M., J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2012, 3, 1695-1700.
- 443 33. Katz, J. E.; Zhang, X.; Attenkofer, K.; Chapman, K. W.; Frandsen, C.; Zarzycki, P.; Rosso,
- 444 K. M.; Falcone, R. W.; Waychunas, G. A.; Gilbert, B., Science 2012, 337, 1200-1203.
- 445 34. Vura-Weis, J.; Jiang, C. M.; Liu, C.; Gao, H.; Lucas, J. M.; de Groot, F. M. F.; Yang, P.;
- 446 Alivisatos, A. P.; Leone, S. R., J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2013, 4, 3667–3671.
- 447 35. Reinhard, M.; Penfold, T. J.; Lima, F. A.; Rittmann, J.; Rittmann-Frank, M. H.; Abela, R.;
- 448 Tavernelli, I.; Rothlisberger, U.; Milne, C. J.; Chergui, M., Struct. Dyn. 2014, 1, 024901.
- 449 36. Zhang, W.; Alonso-Mori, R.; Bergmann, U.; Bressler, C.; Chollet, M.; Galler, A.; Gawelda,
- 450 W.; Hadt, R. G.; Hartsock, R. W.; Kroll, T.; Kjær, K. S.; Kubicek, K.; Lemke, H. T.; Liang, H.
- 451 W.; Meyer, D. A.; Nielsen, M. M.; Purser, C.; Robinson, J. S.; Solomon, E. I.; Sun, Z.; Sokaras,
- 452 D.; van Driel, T. B.; Vanko, G.; Weng, T.-S.; Zhu, D.; Gaffney, K. J., *Nature* 2014, *509*, 345453 348.
- 454 37. Wernet, P.; Kunnus, K.; Josefsson, I.; Rajkovic, I.; Quevedo, W.; Beye, M.; Schreck, S.;
- 455 Grubel, S.; Scholz, M.; Nordlund, D.; Zhang, W.; Hartsock, R. W.; Schlotter, W. F.; Turner, J.

- J.; Kennedy, B.; Hennies, F.; de Groot, F. M. F.; Gaffney, K. J.; Techert, S.; Odelius, M.;
 Fohlisch, A., *Nature* 2015, *520*, 78-81.
- 458 38. Van Kuiken, B. E.; Cho, H.; Hong, K.; Khalil, M.; Schoenlein, R. W.; Kim, T. K.; Huse, N.,
- 459 J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2016, 7, 465–470.
- 460 39. Shelby, M. L.; Lestrange, P. J.; Jackson, N. E.; Haldrup, K.; Mara, M. W.; Stickrath, A. B.;
- Zhu, D.; Lemke, H. T.; Chollet, M.; Hoffman, B. M.; Li, X.; Chen, L. X., J. Am. Chem. Soc.
 2016, 138, 8752–8764.
- 463 40. Mara, M. W.; Hadt, R. G.; Reinhard, M. E.; Kroll, T.; Lim, H.; Hartsock, R. W.; Alonso-
- 464 Mori, R.; Chollet, M.; Glownia, J. M.; Nelson, S.; Sokaras, D.; Kunnus, K.; Hodgson, K. O.;
- 465 Hedman, B.; Bergmann, U.; Gaffney, K. J.; Solomon, E. I., *Science* **2017**, *356*, 1276–1280.
- 466 41. Van Kuiken, B. E.; Ross, M. R.; Strader, M. L.; Cordones, A. A.; Cho, H. N.; Lee, J. H.;
- 467 Schoenlein, R. W.; Khalil, M., Struct. Dyn. 2017, 4, 044021.
- 468 42. Neville, S. P.; Averbukh, V.; Patchkovskii, S.; Ruberti, M.; Yun, R.; Chergui, M.; Stolow,
- 469 A.; Schuurman, M. S., *Faraday Discuss.* **2016**, *194*, 117-145.
- 470 43. Hosler, E. R.; Leone, S. R., *Phys. Rev. A* 2013, 88, 023420.
- 471 44. Dutoi, A. D.; Leone, S. R., Chem. Phys. 2017, 482, 249-264.
- 472 45. Neville, S. P.; Averbukh, V.; Ruberti, M.; Yun, R.; Patchkovskii, S.; Chergui, M.; Stolow,
- 473 A.; Schuurman, M. S., J.Chem. Phys. 2016, 145, 144307.
- 474 46. Attar, A. R.; Bhattacherjee, A.; Leone, S. R., J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2015, 6, 5072-5077.
- 475 47. Bhattacherjee, A.; Attar, A. R.; Leone, S. R., J. Chem. Phys. 2016, 144, 124311.
- 476 48. Zhang, Y.; Biggs, J. D.; Healion, D.; Govind, N.; Mukamel, S., J.Chem. Phys. 2012, 137,
- 477 194306.
- 478 49. Runge, A.; Gross, E. K. U., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1984**, *52*, 997-1000.

- 479 50. Gilbert, A. T. B.; Besley, N. A.; Gill, P. M. W., J. Phys. Chem. A 2008, 112, 13164–13171.
- 480 51. Hanson-Heine, M. W. D.; George, M. W.; Besley, N. A., J.Chem. Phys. 2013, 138, 064101.
- 481 52. Stener, M.; Fronzoni, G.; de Simone, M., Chem. Phys. Lett. 2003, 373, 115-123.
- 482 53. Lackner, F.; Chatterley, A. S.; Pemmaraju, C. D.; Closser, K. D.; Prendergast, D.; Neumark,
- 483 D. M.; Leone, S. R.; Gessner, O., J.Chem. Phys. 2016, 145, 234313.
- 484 54. Baldea, I.; Schimmelpfennig, B.; Plaschke, M.; Rothe, J.; Schirmer, J.; Trofimov, A. B.;
- 485 Fanghanel, T., J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 2007, 154, 109-118.
- 486 55. Grazioli, C.; Baseggio, O.; Stener, M.; Fronzoni, G.; de Simone, M.; Coreno, M.;
- 487 Guarnaccio, A.; Santagata, A.; D'Auria, M., J.Chem. Phys. 2017, 146, 054303.
- 488 56. Elsayed, M. A., J.Chem. Phys. **1963**, 38, 2834-2838.
- 489 57. Soep, B.; Mestdagh, J. M.; Briant, M.; Gaveau, M. A.; Poisson, L., *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*490 2016, 18, 22914-22920.
- 491 58. Gerbich, T.; Schmitt, H.-C.; Fischer, I.; Mitric, R.; Petersen, J., J. Phys. Chem. A 2016, 120,
 492 2089-2095.
- 493 59. Coe, J. D.; Martinez, T. J., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 4560-4561.
- 494 60. Coe, J. D.; Martinez, T. J., J. Phys. Chem. A **2006**, 110, 618-630.
- 495 61. Spighi, G.; Gaveau, M. A.; Mestdagh, J. M.; Poisson, L.; Soep, B., *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*496 2014, *16*, 9610-9618.
- 497 62. Snellenburg, J. J.; Laptenok, S.; Seger, R.; Mullen, K. M.; Van Stokkum, I. H. M., *J. Stat.*498 Softw. 2012, 49, 1-22.
- 499 63. Lee, A. M. D.; Coe, J. D.; Ullrich, S.; Ho, M. L.; Lee, S. J.; Cheng, B. M.; Zgierski, M. Z.;
- 500 Chen, I. C.; Martinez, T. J.; Stolow, A., J. Phys. Chem. A 2007, 111, 11948-11960.

- 501 64. McFarland, B. K.; Farrell, J. P.; Miyabe, S.; Tarantelli, F.; Aguilar, A.; Berrah, N.; Bostedt,
- 502 C.; Bozek, J. D.; Bucksbaum, P. H.; Castagna, J. C.; Coffee, R. N.; Cryan, J. P.; Fang, L.; Feifel,
- 503 R.; Gaffney, K. J.; Glownia, J. M.; Martinez, T. J.; Mucke, M.; Murphy, B.; Natan, A.; Osipov,
- 504 T.; Petrovic, V. S.; Schorb, S.; Schultz, T.; Spector, L. S.; Swiggers, M.; Tenney, I.; Wang, S.;
- 505 White, J. L.; White, W.; Gühr, M., Nat. Commun. 2014, 5, 4235.
- 506 65. Wolf, T. J. A.; Myhre, R. H.; Cryan, J. P.; Coriani, S.; Squibb, R. J.; Battistoni, A.; Berrah,
- 507 N.; Bostedt, C.; Bucksbaum, P.; Coslovich, G.; Feifel, R.; Gaffney, K. J.; Grilj, J.; Martinez, T.
- J.; Miyabe, S.; Moeller, S. P.; Mucke, M.; Natan, A.; Obaid, R.; Osipov, T.; Plekan, O.; Wang,
- 509 S.; Koch, H.; Gühr, M., Nat. Commun. 2017, 8, 29.
- 510 66. Livingstone, R.; Schalk, O.; Boguslavskiy, A. E.; Wu, G. R.; Bergendahl, L. T.; Stolow, A.;
- 511 Paterson, M. J.; Townsend, D., J.Chem. Phys. 2011, 135, 194307.
- 512 67. Pemberton, C. C.; Zhang, Y.; Saita, K.; Kirrander, A.; Weber, P. M., *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2015,
- 513 *119*, 8832-8845.
- 514

515 TOC Graphic



516