# UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

# Title

Laboratory studies of sources of HONO in polluted urban atmospheres

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7q37047j

**Journal** Geophysical Research Letters, 27(19)

**ISSN** 00948276

# Authors

Saliba, Najat A Mochida, Michihiro Finlayson-Pitts, Barbara. J

**Publication Date** 

2000-10-01

## DOI

10.1029/2000GL011724

Peer reviewed

# Laboratory Studies of Sources of HONO in Polluted Urban Atmospheres

Najat A. Saliba, Michihiro Mochida<sup>†</sup> and Barbara. J. Finlayson-Pitts<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, California

Abstract. Laboratory studies reported here and in previous work show that the reaction of NO(g) with surface adsorbed HNO<sub>3</sub> may be a significant source of HONO in polluted urban atmospheres. If these laboratory studies can be extrapolated to ambient conditions, this heterogeneous reaction may generate HONO to about the same extent as the hydrolysis of NO<sub>2</sub> on surfaces, which is greater than the heterogeneous reaction of NO, NO<sub>2</sub> and water. It may also be involved in generating HONO in snowpacks, and important in reconciling the discrepancy between measured and modeled HNO<sub>3</sub>/NO<sub>x</sub> ratios in the troposphere.

### 1. Introduction

Nitrous acid (HONO) is a major source of tropospheric OH radicals. The highest concentrations have been detected at dawn in a number of polluted urban air [Winer and Biermann, 1994; Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 2000, and references therein]. Despite the importance of HONO, its sources are not well understood. It is found in direct emissions from non-catalyst equipped automobiles [Pitts et al., 1984b; Vecera and Dasgupta, 1994; Kirchstetter et al., 1996], and as a product of the heterogeneous reactions of nitrogen oxides on surfaces [Wayne and Yost, 1951; Graham and Tyler, 1972; Chan et al., 1976a; b; Sakamaki et al., 1983; Pitts et al., 1984a; Akimoto et al., 1987; Svensson et al., 1987; Jenkin et al., 1988; Lammel and Perner, 1988; Febo and Perrino, 1991; Bambauer et al., 1994; Mertes and Wahner, 1995; Kleffmann et al., 1998a; b]. Laboratory studies suggest that the surface hydrolysis of NO

$$2 \text{ NO}_{2} + H_{2}O \xrightarrow{\text{surface}} HONO + HNO_{2}$$
 (1)

is a major source of HONO in air, while the heterogeneous reaction (2) of NO, NO, and water,

$$NO + NO_2 + H_2O \xrightarrow{surface} 2 HONO \qquad (2, -2)$$

is of little or no importance in HONO generation [Wayne and Yost, 1951; Graham and Tyler, 1972; Cox and Derwent, 1976/77; Chan et al., 1976a; b; Kaiser and Wu, 1977; Sakamaki et al., 1983; Pitts et al., 1984a; Atkinson, 1986]. NO<sub>2</sub> has also been shown to react with soot, generating HONO and NO [Tabor et al., 1994; Rogaski et al., 1997; Ammann et al., 1998; Gerecke et al., 1998; Aumont et al., 1999; Kalberer et al., 1999; Longfellow et al., 1999].

However, a number of field measurements of HONO and associated nitrogen oxides have shown that HONO concentrations are better correlated with the product

Copyright 2000 by the American Geophysical Union.

Paper number 2000GL011724. 0094-8276/00/2000GL011724\$05.00 [NO][NO<sub>2</sub>][H<sub>2</sub>O] than with [NO<sub>2</sub>][H<sub>2</sub>O] [Sjodin and Ferm, 1985; Notholt et al., 1992; Calvert et al., 1994; Winer and Biermann, 1994]. As a result, reaction (2) has been proposed as a possible HONO source.

Recent studies in this laboratory have shown that gas phase NO reacts with surface-adsorbed HNO<sub>3</sub> to generate NO<sub>2</sub> as a product, and HONO as an intermediate rapidly removed by secondary reactions [*Mochida and Finlayson-Pitts*, 2000a]. Due to experimental limitations, the lowest NO concentration studied was in the low Torr range, about four orders of magnitude higher than that found in polluted atmospheres.

In this paper, we extend our studies of the heterogeneous reaction of HNO<sub>3</sub> with NO two orders of magnitude. If we extrapolate the results further to ambient conditions, this reaction may be an important source of HONO in polluted urban areas. This is consistent with the suggestion [*Fairbrother et al.*, 1997] that the HNO<sub>3</sub> reaction with NO may occur during the day in the troposphere via heterogeneous catalysis. This reaction also explains the observed correlation of HONO with NO and may contribute to reconciling the discrepancy between measured and modeling ratios of HNO<sub>3</sub> to NO<sub>x</sub> in the troposphere [*Chatfield*, 1994; *Hauglustaine et al.*, 1996].

### 2. Experimental

Two different borosilicate glass cells, were used for these experiments.

Long Path Gas Cell: The long path cell consisted of a 7.6 L cylinder, equipped with White cell optics, giving an optical path of 38.4 m. This permitted monitoring gases but not surface-adsorbed species. The metal holders for the optics were coated with halocarbon wax (Halocarbon Products Corp. Series 1500). The cell was located in the sampling compartment of a Mattson FTIR spectrometer (Research HNO, obtained from the vapor above an Series). HNO,/H\_SO\_ mixture (1:2 v:v 69.3% HNO,, Fisher and 95.7% H SO, Fisher ), was added to the cell and then pumped out, leaving HNO adsorbed on the cell walls. This was repeated several times and then water vapor (generated from Nanopure water, 18 M $\Omega$  cm) in N<sub>2</sub> was added to the cell to a total pressure of approximately 550 Torr. NO (Matheson 99%) in N<sub>a</sub> (99.999%, Oxygen Services Company) was added and the relative humidity and total pressure adjusted to 50% RH at 1 atm. The loss of NO and formation of gaseous products were followed using FTIR.

*Porous Glass Cell*: The porous glass cell of volume 79 cm<sup>2</sup> and surface area ~ 240 cm<sup>2</sup>, described in detail elsewhere [*Mochida and Finlayson-Pitts*, 2000a], was fixed in the sampling compartment of an FTIR spectrometer (Mattson, Research Series). A 2 x 3 cm porous glass plate (Corning) with original thickness 1 mm was etched in a 3 cm<sup>2</sup> area in the center using an HF solution to make it sufficiently thin to transmit infrared radiation down to ~1500 cm<sup>-1</sup>. This plate, with a BET surface area of 28.5 m<sup>2</sup>, was suspended in the cell and could be moved in and out of the infrared beam so that spectra of either the surface plus the gas phase, or the gas phase alone, could be measured. The difference gives the surface-adsorbed species. The pathlength was 6.7 cm so that only higher gas concentrations could be followed. The porous glass was not heated so that the surface held a significant amount of physisorbed water observed by its broad band around 3500 cm<sup>-</sup> [Kiselev and Lygin, 1975]. Gaseous HNO was admitted to the cell to adsorb on the "wet" porous glass and the cell walls; the cell was then pumped out to remove the gas, leaving HNO, on the glass surfaces. Adsorbed HNO, on the porous glass was followed using the infrared band at 1677 cm<sup>-1</sup>. After NO was added to the cell, the loss of HNO<sub>3</sub> on the surface and the formation of NO<sub>2</sub> in the gas phase were followed using FTIR.

#### 3. Results

Porous glass was used primarily as a means of supporting a thin water film, which could be interrogated using transmission infrared spectroscopy. Uptake and reactions of  $HNO_3$  in this water film determine the chemistry, and hence surfaces in the atmosphere holding appropriate amounts of water are expected to behave similarly. However, since silica is a significant component of soil dust [*Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts*, 2000, and references therein], this surface is also directly relevant to the atmosphere.

Mochida and Finlayson-Pitts [Mochida and Finlayson-Pitts, 2000a] showed that HNO<sub>2</sub> preadsorbed onto the porous glass surface decayed when NO(g) was added to the cell; NO<sub>2</sub> and small amounts of HONO were generated. Figure 1 shows a typical set of HNO<sub>3</sub> decays at different initial NO concentrations. The stoichiometry  $\Delta NO_2/\Delta NO$  averaged over 6 runs was 2.2 ± 0.3 (2 $\sigma$ ) [Mochida<sup>2</sup> and Finlayson-Pitts, 2000a]. This calculation does not account for the N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in the gas phase and on the surface, where its concentration is enhanced [Barney and Finlayson-Pitts, 2000].

The decay of HNO<sub>3</sub> and the formation of NO<sub>2</sub> as the major gas phase product are consistent with the following reaction:

HNO<sub>3</sub> + NO → HONO + NO<sub>2</sub> (3)  

$$\Delta H_{t}(298 \text{ K}) = -1.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}, \Delta G_{t}(298 \text{ K}) = -5.4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$



Figure 1. Decay of HNO, adsorbed on a porous glass surface before and after addition of gas phase NO at the initial concentrations indicated. The initial number of HNO, adsorbed on the porous glass was  $8 \times 10^{17}$  molecules (data from Mochida and Finlayson-Pitts, 2000a).



Figure 2. Typical decay of gas phase NO and formation of NO<sub>2</sub> at 50% RH in the long path cell whose walls had first been exposed to HNO<sub>2</sub>. Data are shown for run #6, Table 1

followed by the heterogeneous reaction (-2) of HONO, or by:

HONO + HNO<sub>3</sub>  $\rightarrow$  2 NO<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O (4)  $\Delta H_r(298 \text{ K}) = + 37.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}, \Delta G_r(298 \text{ K}) = -8.4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ 

The cited enthalpies and free energies are for all species as gases. Reaction (3) is near thermoneutral in the gas phase, and reaction (4) is endothermic. Thus, in the atmosphere, reaction (3) is likely to proceed to give HONO, while secondary reactions of HONO such as reaction (-2) or (4) maybe important under lab conditions. This is consistent with the studies of Fairbrother *et al.* (1997), who suggested that a heterogeneous form of reaction (3) is a potential source of HONO in the troposphere, and Besemer and Nieboer (1985) who reported that the photochemical oxidation of CO and organics in a mixture with NO, and air could be quantitatively modeled only if it was assumed that gas phase NO reacted with HNO, on the walls to form HONO.

In order to extend these initial studies to lower concentrations, FTIR studies of the decay of NO and formation of NO<sub>2</sub> were also carried out in the long path cell. The relative humidity in these experiments was ~50% to simulate the porous glass experiments where surface-adsorbed water was present. Figure 2 shows a typical concentration-time profile for the decay of NO and formation of NO<sub>2</sub>. Small HONO (~10<sup>H</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>) concentrations were observed, suggesting that it was removed by secondary reactions such as (-2) or (4). Table 1 summarizes the stoichiometry,  $\Delta NO_2/\Delta NO$ , which averaged 3.5 ± 0.5 (2 $\sigma$ ). At small NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and without a high-surface area substrate such as the porous glass which enhanced N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> concentrations [*Barney and Finlayson-Pitts*, 2000], corrections to N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> stoichiometry are not important.

Table 1. Summary of the Long Path Cell FTIR Measurements of the Decay of NO and Formation of NO, in the Reaction of NO with HNO, Adsorbed on the Cell Walls

	,			
Run #	[NO]	∆[NO]	∆[NO <sub>2</sub> ]	$\Delta[NO_2]/\Delta[NO]$
1	0.6	0.50	1.03	2.05
2	3.2	0.70	2.70	3.86
3	7.0	1.50	5.50	3.67
4	8.20	2.20	6.90	3.14
5	14.30	2.80	10.0	3.57
6	22.00	5.00	16.0	3.20
7	40.00	8.00	26.8	3.35
			Average (±2σ)	$3.5 \pm 0.5$

All concentrations are expressed in  $(10^{15} \text{ molecule cm}^3)$ 



Figure 3. Rate as a function of initial NO concentration of (a) decay of surface HNO, on the porous glass, and (b) NO, formation in the long path cell at 1 atm pressure and 50% RH<sup>2</sup>

The initial rate of decay of HNO, adsorbed on the porous glass and the rate of NO<sub>2</sub> formation in the long path cell show (Fig. 3) that the reaction is first-order in NO. This consistency in the kinetics and stoichiometry over approximately four orders of magnitude in the NO concentration suggests that it is reasonable to extrapolate further to atmospheric levels.

### 4. Discussion

For the purposes of comparing HONO formation from reactions (1), (2) and (3) at night in polluted urban atmospheres, we assume an air mass containing 10<sup>4</sup> particles cm<sup>''</sup> having a diameter of 1  $\mu$ m. We also assume that NO and NO, concentrations are 100 ppb, typical of polluted urban areas at night, and a relative humidity of 50%. The mixing height is taken to be 100 m, and the ground is also considered available for these heterogeneous reactions. The surface area for the particles is  $3.1 \times 10^{-1}$  cm<sup>2</sup> per cm<sup>3</sup> air or 3.1 cm<sup>2</sup> total particle surface in a column of air of 100 m high and area 1 The ground geometric surface area of 1 cm<sup>2</sup> is cm<sup>4</sup>. multiplied by two because of the increased area associated with the porosity of the soil and the buildings in urban areas. The total surface area (S) in this column is thus 5 cm<sup>2</sup>. Clearly this assumption, and indeed the size and concentration of suspended particles, is somewhat arbitrary but provides a consistent set of conditions to compare the three mechanisms.

**Reaction (3) of Surface-Adsorbed HNO**, with NO:. The measured pseudo-first order rate constant for the decay of surface-adsorbed HNO, in the presence of  $3.3 \times 10^{16}$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> of NO (Fig. 1) is 0.49 s<sup>-1</sup>. Scaling this rate constant down to  $2.5 \times 10^{12}$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> (100 ppb), gives a pseudo-first order rate constant of  $3.7 \times 10^{5}$  s<sup>-1</sup>. The rate constant for HONO generation would be half of this if it were not removed in subsequent reactions. If there are  $1 \times 10^{14}$  HNO, per cm<sup>2</sup>, then the total rate of HNO<sub>3</sub> decay in the air column is  $9.3 \times 10^{5}$  HNO<sub>3</sub> cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, which if sustained, would generate about 1 ppb HONO in 8 hours.

Clearly this involves many assumptions, including that the surface coverage of HNO, on the particles and ground is similar to that on the porous glass and that HONO is not removed by secondary reactions in air as is the case in our laboratory system. However, even this crude estimate of potential HONO production is of the same order of magnitude as that measured for HONO in air [*Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts*,

2000, and references therein]. Thus, this surface reaction of NO with adsorbed HNO, cannot be discounted as a source of HONO in air. Furthermore, it would be consistent with the field observations that HONO concentrations often appear to correlate better with  $[NO][NO_2][H_0]$  rather than  $[NO_2][H_2O]$  [Sjodin and Ferm, 1985; Notholt et al., 1992; Calvert et al., 1994; Winer and Biermann, 1994].

Reaction (2) of NO and NO, with Water on Surfaces: In a separate set of studies carried out in the porous glass cell, [Mochida and Finlayson-Pitts, 2000b] the formation of HONO was observed when water vapor was added to a mixture of NO and NO (again at high NO and NO concentrations). The initial rate of HONO formation without the porous glass present was measured to be  $6.9 \times 10^{14}$ molecule cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>1</sup> at initial concentrations of NO, NO and H<sub>0</sub> of 11, 1.6 and  $6.6 \times 10^{17}$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>, respectively. Scaling this rate of HONO generation to the air column (S =  $5 \text{ cm}^2$ ) with NO and NO, concentrations of 100 ppb, and a water concentration equivalent to 50% relative humidity, the rate of HONO generation is estimated to be 2 molecules cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> Over 8 hours, this gives a HONO concentration of only  $\sim 10^{-6}$ ppb, a value much smaller than the one obtained from the heterogeneous HNO, + NO reaction. Thus, it seems more likely that the dependence of HONO on NO concentrations in air originates from the heterogeneous HNO, reaction with NO, rather than NO + NO, with water at surfaces.

**Reaction (1) of \dot{NO}\_2 with Water on Surfaces:** Svensson and coworkers [Svensson et al., 1987] found that the rate of reaction (1) in their laboratory system could be expressed by:

$$\frac{-d[NO_2]}{dt} = \frac{2d[HONO]}{dt} = \left[ 5.6 \times 10^{-9} \frac{S}{V} + 2.3 \times 10^{-9} \right] NO_2 [H_2O]$$
(I)

S/V is the surface-to-volume ratio (m<sup>-1</sup>), the concentrations are in ppm and the rates in ppm min<sup>-1</sup>. Using the conditions assumed above, S/V in the air column is  $0.05 \text{ m}^{-1}$ . At 100 ppb NO, and 50% RH, the rate of HONO formation is 0.12 ppb hr<sup>-1</sup>, giving 0.9 ppb of HONO over 8 hours, a value similar to that from the heterogeneous reaction of HNO, with NO.

**Reaction of NO**<sub>2</sub> with Soot: The importance of the NO<sub>2</sub> reaction with soot as a HONO source in polluted areas is uncertain. If no surface deactivation occurs, this reaction could generate as much as 15 ppb overnight [Aumont et al., 1999]; however, given the likelihood of some surface deactivation, this appears to be an upper limit [Aumont et al., 1999; Kalberer et al., 1999; Longfellow et al., 1999].

### 5. Conclusions

These studies suggest that the heterogeneous reaction of NO with HNO, adsorbed on surfaces could be a significant source of HONO in polluted urban areas. The NO reaction with surface HNO, adsorbed on ice may also play a role in generation of HONO and NO, in the snowpack [Honrath et al., 1999; Jones et al., 2000]. In more remote locations, the reaction will be most important during the day when NO levels are higher due to NO photolysis. Finally, such a reaction which converts HNO, to NO, may help to reconcile the discrepancy between the measured and modeled ratios of HNO, to NO, in the troposphere [Chatfield, 1994; Hauglustaine et al., 1996].

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the California Air Resources Board (Contract No. 97-311) and the Research Fellowships of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Young Scientists. We are also grateful to J. N. Pitts, Jr., J. C. Hemminger, A. M. Winer, T. J. Wallington and A. R. Ravishankara for helpful discussions and comments on the manuscript.

### References

- Akimoto, H., H. Takagi, and F. Sakamaki, Photoenhancement of the Nitrous Acid Formation in the Surface Reaction of Nitrogen Dioxide and Water Vapor: Extra Radical Source in Smog Chamber Experiments, Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 19, 539-551, 1987.
- Ammann, M., M. Kalberer, D.T. Jost, L. Tobler, E. Rossler, D. Piguet, H.W. Gaggeler, and U. Baltensperger, Heterogeneous Production of Nitrous Acid on Soot in Polluted Air Masses, *Nature*, 395, 157-160, 1998.
- Atkinson, R., Comment on "Measurements of Nitrous Acid in an Urban Area", Atmos. Environ., 20, 408-409, 1986.
- Aumont, B., S. Madronich, M. Ammann, M. Kalberer, U. Baltensperger, D. Hauglustaine, and F. Brocheton, On the NO, + Soot Reaction in the Atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 1729-1736, 1999.
- Bambauer, A., B. Brantner, M. Paige, and T. Novakov, Laboratory Study of NO Reaction with Dispersed and Bulk Liquid Water, *Atmos. Environ.*, 28, 3225-3232, 1994.
- Barney, W.S., and B.J. Finlayson-Pitts, Enhancement of NO on Porous Glass at Room Temperature: A Key Intermediate<sup>2</sup> in<sup>4</sup> the Heterogeneous Hydrolysis of NO<sub>2</sub>?, J. Phys. Chem. A, 104, 171-175, 2000.
- Besemer, A.C., and H. Nieboer, The Wall as a Source of Hydroxyl Radicals in Smog Chambers, Atmos. Environ., 19, 507-513, 1985.
- Calvert, J.G., G. Yarwood, and A.M. Dunker, An Evaluation of the Mechanism of Nitrous Acid Formation in the Urban Atmosphere, *Res. Chem. Intermed.*, 20, 463-502, 1994.
- Chan, W.H., R.J. Nordstrom, J.G. Calvert, and J.H. Shaw, An IRFTS Spectroscopic Study of the Kinetics and Mechanism of the Reactions in the Gaseous System HONO, NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 37, 441-446, 1976a.
- *Phys. Lett.*, 37, 441-446, 1976a. Chan, W.H., R.J. Nordstrom, J.G. Calvert, and J.H. Shaw, Kinetic Study of HONO Formation and Decay Reactions in Gaseous Mixtures of HONO, NO, NO, NO, H<sub>2</sub>O and N, *Env. Sci. Technol.*, 10, 674-682, 1976b.
- Chatfield, R.B., Anomalous HNO, NO Ratio of Remote Tropospheric Air - Conversion of Nitric Acid to Formic Acid and NO, Geophys. Res. Lett., 21, 2705-2708, 1994.
- Cox, R.A., and R.G. Derwent, The Ultraviolet Absorption Spectrum of Gaseous Nitrous Acid, J. Photochem., 6, 23-34, 1976/77.
   Fairbrother, D.H., D.J.D. Sullivan, and H.S. Johnston, Global
- Fairbrother, D.H., D.J.D. Sullivan, and H.S. Johnston, Global Thermodynamic Atmospheric Modeling: Search for New Heterogeous Reactions, J. Phys. Chem. A., 101, 7350-7358, 1997.
- Febo, A., and C. Perrino, Prediction and Experimental Evidence for High Air Concentrationof Nitrous Acid in Indoor Environments, *Atmos. Environ.*, 25A, 1055-1061, 1991.
- Finlayson-Pitts, B.J., and J.N. Pitts, Chemistry of the Upper and Lower Atmosphere: Theory, Experiments and Applications, 969 pp., Academic Press, San Diego, 2000, and references therein.
- Gerecke, A., A. Thielmann, L. Gutzwiller, and M.J. Rossi, The Chemical Kinetics of HONO Formation Resulting from Heterogeneous Interaction of NO<sub>2</sub> with Flame Soot, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 25, 2453-2456, 1998.
- Graham, R.F., and B.J. Tyler, Formation of Nitrous Acid in a Gas-Phase Stirred Flow Reactor, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1, 68, 683-688, 1972.
- Hauglustaine, D.A., B.A. Ridley, S. Solomon, P.G. Hess, and S. Madronich, HNO, NO, Ratio in the Remote Troposphere During Mlopex 2 - Evidence For Nitric Acid Reduction On Carbonaceous Aerosols, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 23, 2609-2612, 1996.
- Honrath, R.E., M.C. Peterson, S. Guo, J.E. Dibb, P.B. Shepson, and B. Campbell, Evidence of NO Production Within or Upon Ice Particles in the Greenland Snowpack, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 26, 695-698, 1999.
- Jenkin, M.E., R.A. Cox, and D.J. Williams, Laboratory Studies of the Kinetics of Formation of Nitrous Acid from the Thermal Reaction of Nitrogen Dioxide and Water Vapor, Atmos. Environ., 22, 487-498, 1988.
- Jones, A.E., R. Weller, E.W. Wolff, and H.W. Jacobi, Speciation and Rate of Photochemical NO and NO, Production in Antarctic Snow, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27, 345-348, 2000.
- Kaiser, E.W., and C.H. Wu, A Kinetic Study of the Gas Phase Formation and Decomposition Reactions of Nitrous Acid, J. Phys. Chem., 81, 1701-1706, 1977.

- Kalberer, M., M. Ammann, F. Arens, H.W. Gaggeler, and U. Baltensperger, Heterogeneous Formation of Nitrous Acid (HONO) on Soot Aerosol Particles, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 13825-13832, 1999.
- Kirchstetter, T.W., R.A. Harley, and D. Littlejohn, Measurement of Nitrous Acid in Motor Vehicle Exhaust, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 30, 2843-2849, 1996.
- Kiselev, A.V., and V.I. Lygin, Infrared Spectra of Surface Compounds, Wiley, New York, 1975.
- Kleffmann, J., K.H. Becker, and P. Wiesen, Heterogeneous NO Conversion Processes on Acid Surfaces: Possible Atmospheric Implications, Atmos. Environ., 32, 2721-2729, 1998a.
- Kleffmann, J., K.H. Becker, and P. Wiesen, Investigation of the Heterogeneous NO, Conversion on Perchloric Acid Surfaces, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans., 94, 3289-3292, 1998b.
- Lammel, G., and D. Perner, The Atmospheric Aerosol as a Source of Nitrous Acid in the Polluted Atmosphere, *Aerosol Sci.*, 19, 1199-1201, 1988.
- Longfellow, C.A., A.R. Ravishankara, and D.R. Hanson, Reactive Uptake on Hydrocarbon Soot: Focus on NO<sub>2</sub>, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 13833-13840, 1999.
- Mertes, S., and A. Wahner, Uptake of Nitrogen Dioxide and Nitrous Acid on on Aqueous Surfaces, J. Phys. Chem., 99, 14000-14006, 1995.
- Mochida, M., and B.J. Finlayson-Pitts, FTIR Study of the Reaction of Gaseous NO with HNO, Adsorbed on Silica Surfaces: Implications for Conversion of HNO, to Photochemically Active NO in the Atmosphere, J. Phys. Chem. A., Submitted for publication, 2000a.
- Mochida, M., and B.J. Finlayson-Pitts, FTIR Study of N<sub>2</sub>O, on Hydrated Silica Surfaces at Room Temperature, J. Phys. Chem. A, In press, 2000b.
- Notholt, J., J. Hjorth, and F. Raes, Formation of HNO, on Aerosol Surfaces During Foggy Periods in the Presence of NO and NO<sub>2</sub>, Atmos. Environ., 26A, 211-217, 1992.
- Pitts, J., J. N., E. Sanhueza, R. Atkinson, W.P.L. Carter, A.M. Winer, G.W. Harris, and C.N. Plum, An Investigation of the Dark Formation of Nitrous Acid in Environmental Chambers, Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 16, 919-939, 1984a.
- Pitts, J.N., H.W. Biermann, A.M. Winer, and E.C. Tuazon, Spectroscopic Identification and Measurement of Gaseous Nitrous Acid in Direct Auto Exhaust, *Atmos. Environ.*, 18, 847-8854, 1984b.
- Rogaski, C.A., D.M. Golden, and L.R. Williams, Reactive Uptake and Hydration Experiments on Amorphous Carbon Treated with NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>1</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24, 381-384, 1997.
- Sakamaki, F., S. Hatakeyama, and H. Akimoto, Formation of Nitrous Acid and Nitric Oxide in the Heterogeneous Dark Reaction of Nitrogen Dioxide and Water Vapor in a Smog Chamber, Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 15, 1013-1029, 1983.
- Sjodin, A., and M. Ferm, Measurement of Nitrous Acid in Urban Air, Atmos. Environ., 19, 985-992, 1985.
- Svensson, R., E. Ljungstrom, and O. Lindqvist, Kinetics of the Reaction Between Nitrogen Dioxide and Water Vapor, Atmos. Environ., 21, 1529-1539, 1987.
- Tabor, K., L. Gutzwiller, and M.J. Rossi, Heterogeneous Chemical Kinetics of NO<sub>2</sub> on Amorphous Carbon at Ambient Temperature, J. Phys. Chem., 98, 6172-6186, 1994.
- Vecera, Z., and P.K. Dasgupta, Indoor Nitrous Acid Levels-Production of Nitrous Acid from Open Flame Sources, Intern. J. Environ. Anal. Chem., 56, 311-316, 1994.
- Wayne, L.G., and D.M. Yost, Kinetics of the Rapid Gas Phase Reaction Between NO, NO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O, J. Chem. Phys., 19, 41-47, 1951.
- Winer, A.M., and H.W. Biermann, Long Pathlength Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS) Measurements of Gaseous HONO, NO<sub>2</sub> and HCHO in the California South Coast Air Basin, *Res. Chem. Intermed.*, 20, 423-445, 1994.

Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: e-mail bjfinlay@uci.edu; phone: (949) 824-7670; FAX: (949) 824-3168

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Now at Institute of Low Temperature Science, Hokkaido University, N19 W8, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-0819, Japan