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Background: The efficacy and safety of biologic and phototherapy in treating moderate-to-severe psoriasis is well known. However, some patients may not respond well to biologic agents or phototherapy on their own and may require combination therapy. Skillfully combining a biologic agent and phototherapy may provide an additive improvement without much increase in risks.

Objective: To summarize the current state of evidence for the efficacy and safety of combining biologics with phototherapy in the treatment of moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis.

Methods: We conducted an extensive search on Pubmed database for English language literature that evaluated the use of a combination of biologic and phototherapy for the treatment of moderate-to-severe psoriasis through January 2016. The search included the following keywords: psoriasis, etanercept, adalimumab, infliximab, ustekinumab, biologics, phototherapy, and combination therapy.

Results: The primary literature included randomized controlled trials, a head-to-head study, open-label controlled and uncontrolled trials, case series, and case reports. Etanercept was used in over half of the reported cases, but other biologic agents used included ustekinumab, adalimumab, and infliximab. The vast majority of phototherapy was narrowband ultraviolet B (NBUVB) radiation. Most cases reported enhanced improvement with combination therapy. Serious adverse events throughout the study duration were reported in <3% of the patients. Long-term adverse events cannot be excluded.

Conclusion: Combination of biologic and phototherapy appears to be a viable clinical strategy in the treatment of moderate-to-severe psoriasis not responsive to monotherapy, despite limitations in the data available. NBUVB in combination with biologics appears to be especially effective. However, the long-term impact of these combinations is yet to be determined.
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Introduction
Psoriasis is a common chronic inflammatory skin condition with a worldwide prevalence of 0.5% (Asia) to 8.5% (Norway).1,2 Symptoms of psoriasis—which include redness, scaling, flaking, pruritus, skin tightness, pain, and bleeding—have a significantly negative impact on patients’ physical and mental functioning.3 Psoriasis also leads to impairment in the quality of life, psychological well-being, and work productivity.3,4 Despite the rapid development of novel treatment modalities over the past two decades, surveys conducted by the National Psoriasis Foundation reveal that a significant portion of patients with psoriasis remains undertreated relative to the severity of their disease.3,5 This is especially true for patients with moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis, who account for 20%-30% of the total psoriasis population.2,4
US Food and Drug Administration-approved biologic agents for the treatment of psoriasis include the antitumor necrosis factor agents (etanercept, adalimumab, and infliximab), the anti-interleukin-12/23 antibody (ustekinumab), and most recently, the anti-interleukin-17 antibodies (secukinumab and ixekizumab). Biologics have significantly advanced the treatment of psoriasis, although some may experience an inadequate response and others may experience loss of efficacy (ie, “biologic fatigue”) with long-term use. The combination of agents may act synergistically and is often more effective than a single agent alone. Combination therapy is a concept that uses two different agents, sometimes with reduced doses, which target specific steps in the pathogenesis of psoriasis and have distinct risk profiles. This may enhance efficacy and allow drug sparing, decreasing the risk of long-term cumulative toxicity from a single agent at higher doses. There is an increasing number of publications demonstrating the efficacy of combination therapy with biologic agents in moderate-to-severe psoriasis. We reviewed the safety, efficacy, and patient acceptability of combination therapy involving biologic agents and phototherapy.

Methods

We searched the PubMed database up to January 1, 2016, using the following keywords: “psoriasis” or “psoriatic arthritis” and with “biologic”, “etanercept”, “adalimumab”, “ustekinumab”, “infliximab”, “combination therapy”, “phototherapy”, “UV phototherapy”, “corticosteroids”, and “topical treatment”. Only English-language publications involving adult humans with moderate-to-severe psoriasis were included. Publications included were randomized controlled trials, open-label controlled and uncontrolled prospective studies, retrospective studies, case series, and case reports. The references of identified publications were also investigated for additional publications of interest. Publications on the effect on psoriatic arthritis as a primary endpoint were included if information about the effect on psoriasis were included. The authors defined combination therapy as “two therapies used concomitantly for at least 4 weeks or at least one dose of an additional systemic agent at some point during treatment.” We included studies that had clinical intent to transition to another medication for safety reasons. Alefacept was not included in this review as Astellas Pharma U.S. Inc. (Northbrook, IL, USA) ceased manufacturing the drug in 2011. Efalizumab was withdrawn from the market in 2009 and thus not included. At the time of writing this manuscript, there were no combination studies involving the biologics golimumab, certolizumab, secukinumab, or ixekizumab.

Results

We found a total of ten publications assessing combination therapy involving biologics and phototherapy, with all phototherapy used being narrowband ultraviolet B (NBUVB). A total of 268 patients had been placed on combination therapy among all the trials, with an average age of 43 years (Table 1). The cohorts studied were largely similar; in general, there was evidence of benefit for the use of combination therapy in psoriasis, with eight trials (six controlled and two uncontrolled) showing enhanced clinical benefit, one controlled trial showing enhanced benefit only in those patients with high adherence to NBUVB treatment regimen, and one head-to-head trial showing no benefit. Combination of NBUVB and etanercept was studied in 234 patients (Table 1). In order of frequency of study use, this was followed by adalimumab (24) and ustekinumab (ten).

Many studies demonstrated the efficacy of combination of etanercept with NBUVB with improvements in Psoriasis Area Severity Index (PASI) in previously untreated patients, and in patients who experienced an inadequate response with etanercept alone at 50 mg once or twice weekly (Table 1). Moreover, NBUVB reduced time to clearance with etanercept 50 mg once weekly and etanercept 50 mg twice weekly. Calzavara-Pinton et al, in a randomized controlled intrapatiental comparison study demonstrated that all eight patients in the study who did not achieve an adequate response with either etanercept or NBUVB monotherapy ultimately achieved PASI-75 with combination treatment (Table 1). This study validated that NBUVB or etanercept alone was not responsible for the therapeutic results.

Additionally, Lynde et al demonstrated the importance of high adherence to the NBUVB regimen for achieving significant improvement in clinical response to etanercept. High adherence to the NBUVB regimen was defined as missing only two or less treatments in any 4-week period. Patients missing more than two treatments in a 4-week period were considered nonadherent, and did not achieve clinically significant improvement. At 16 weeks, the proportion of patients in the high adherence group achieving PASI-90 was 42.9% for etanercept with NBUVB, compared with 3.4% for etanercept monotherapy (P=0.018).

Adalimumab in combination with NBUVB therapy and ustekinumab in combination with NBUVB therapy were also investigated. Two studies were conducted with
Table 1 Combinations of biologics with NBUVB for treatment of psoriasis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Nonbiologic treatment</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Prior systemic treatment</th>
<th>Patients (n)</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Endpoint</th>
<th>Efficacy</th>
<th>Safety of combination</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kircik et al&lt;sup&gt;10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>NBUVB/ETN</td>
<td>Open-label, uncontrolled, single arm</td>
<td>Moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis</td>
<td>Mean, 40.6</td>
<td>Oral: MTX (13), systemic steroids (13), ACT (12), CSA (4), tacrolimus (1) Biologic: alefacept (4) Other systemic: (9)</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>ETN 50 mg BIW + NBUVB (three sessions/week)</td>
<td>PASI-75 at week 12</td>
<td>At week 12, 84.9% achieved PASI-75, 26% achieved PASI-100</td>
<td>No SAEs reported</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Simone et al&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>NBUVB/ETN</td>
<td>Open-label, uncontrolled, single-arm</td>
<td>Moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis</td>
<td>Mean, 48.3</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>ETN 50 mg QW + NBUVB (three sessions/week) for 8 weeks, then ETN monotherapy for 4 weeks</td>
<td>PASI-75 at week 12</td>
<td>At week 12, 81.8% achieved PASI-75; 57.6% achieved PASI-90; and 24.2% achieved PASI-100</td>
<td>No SAEs reported</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolf et al&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>NBUVB/ETN</td>
<td>Open-label, controlled, half-body comparison study</td>
<td>Moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis, not achieving PASI-75 after 6 weeks of ETN 50 mg BIW</td>
<td>Mean, 48–66</td>
<td>Light therapy: NBUVB (5)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>ETN 50 mg BIW weeks 1–12 + half-body NBUVB, 3 sessions/week (weeks 6–12)</td>
<td>Half-body mean PASI score at week 12</td>
<td>At week 12, mean PASI reduction from baseline was 89% (ETN + UVB) vs 68% (ETN; P&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>No SAEs reported</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calzavara-Pinton et al&lt;sup&gt;14&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>NBUVB/ETN</td>
<td>Randomized controlled intraindividual comparison study</td>
<td>Moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis not achieving PASI-75 after NBUVB as first-line therapy and etanercept as second-line therapy</td>
<td>Mean, 40.4</td>
<td>Biologic: etanercept alone Light therapy: NBUVB</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>ETN 50 mg BIW for 12 weeks, if patients did not achieve PASI-75 and were ineligible for conventional systemic therapy, NBUVB (3 sessions/week) was added (mean 14.6±3.3 NBUVB sessions) (n=8)</td>
<td>PASI-75 at week 24</td>
<td>At 24 weeks, 8/8 (100%) achieved PASI-75</td>
<td>No SAEs reported</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park et al&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>NBUVB/ETN</td>
<td>Pilot, randomized, “head-to-head” prospective comparison study</td>
<td>Psoriasis patients with a BMI &gt; 30 (average BMI 38.6)</td>
<td>Mean, 44 years range, 18–80</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1. ETN 50 mg BIW for 12 weeks, then ETN 50 mg QW for 12 weeks 2. ETN 50 mg BIW for 12 weeks, then ETN 50 mg QW + NBUVB (3 sessions/week) for 12 weeks (n=13)</td>
<td>PASI-75 at week 24</td>
<td>At week 24, 46.7% of subjects in the ETN monotherapy group, versus 53.3% in the ETN+ NBUVB group achieved PASI-75</td>
<td>No SAEs reported</td>
<td>Limited by relatively small sample size, unable establish significance. A total of five patients did not complete the study.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Nonbiologic treatment</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Prior systemic treatment</th>
<th>Patients (n)</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Endpoint</th>
<th>Efficacy</th>
<th>Safety of combination</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lynde et al</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>NBUVB/ETN</td>
<td>Randomized, open-label single-blinded study</td>
<td>Psoriatic patients not achieving PASI-90 after 12 weeks of ETN treatment</td>
<td>Mean, 43.9</td>
<td><strong>Biologic:</strong> etanercept alone</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>ETN 50 mg QW monotherapy or in combination with NBUVB (3 sessions/week) for a period of 4 weeks (n=75)</td>
<td>PASI-90 at 24 weeks</td>
<td>At week 24, 16.2% of patients in the combination group and 15.8% of patients in the ETN monotherapy group achieved PASI-90. In those with high adherence to NBUVB, the PASI-90 at week 16 was 42.9% for etanercept with NBUVB, compared with 3.4% for etanercept monotherapy (P=0.018).</td>
<td>No SAEs reported</td>
<td>The combination of NBUVB/ETN enhanced the PASI response at weeks 16 and 24 in a small subset of patients with a high adherence to the NBUVB treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gambichler et al</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>NBUVB/ETN</td>
<td>Prospective, investigator-blinded, within-patient irradiated/nonirradiated, controlled study</td>
<td>Moderate-to-severe psoriasis</td>
<td>Mean, 42</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>ETN 25 mg BIV + NBUVB, 3 sessions/week for 6 weeks. One marker lesion covered as nonirradiated control for 6 weeks</td>
<td>M-PASI</td>
<td>After 6 weeks, the relative M-PASI reduction (mean ± SD) in ETN only sites (53.7±36.9%) was significantly lower than the reduction in ETN/NBUVB-treated lesions (64±27.8%; P=0.011).</td>
<td>No SAEs reported</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolf et al</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>NBUVB/ADA</td>
<td>Open-label, controlled, half-body comparison study</td>
<td>Moderate-to-severe plaque type psoriasis</td>
<td>Mean, 59</td>
<td><strong>Oral:</strong> MTX (2), ACT (2), fumaric acid (1), PUVA (2) <strong>Biologic:</strong> ETN (3), ADA (1), alefacept (1) <strong>Light therapy:</strong> UVB broadband (1), NBUVB (1)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>ADA 80 mg, week 0; 40 mg BIV + NBUVB to randomly selected body half (left or right, excluding the head), 3 sessions/week for 6 weeks</td>
<td>Half-body PASI at 6 weeks</td>
<td>Mean PASI reduction from baseline (start of ADA) of 86% on UV-irradiated body halves vs 53% on nonirradiated body halves</td>
<td>No SAEs reported</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bagel et al</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>NBUVB/ADA</td>
<td>Single-center, single-arm, open-label, prospective pilot study</td>
<td>Moderate-to-severe plaque type psoriasis</td>
<td>Mean, 39</td>
<td><strong>No prior therapy</strong></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>ADA 80 mg, week 0; 40 mg every other week + NBUVB, 3 sessions/week</td>
<td>PASI-75 at week 12</td>
<td>At week 12, 95% achieved PASI-75, 75% achieved PASI-90, 55% achieved PASI-100</td>
<td>No SAEs reported</td>
<td>Limited by relatively small sample size, and an uncontrolled and unpowered single arm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 (Continued)
Efficacy and safety of biologic and phototherapy for psoriasis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Nonbiologic treatment</th>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Patients (n)</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Endpoint</th>
<th>Efficacy</th>
<th>Safety of combination</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wolf et al</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>NBUVB/ustekinumab</td>
<td>Moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis, randomized, half-body comparison study</td>
<td>Half-body at 6 weeks</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ustekinumab (standard dosage) at weeks 0 and 4 + NBUVB randomly selected body half (equal or right, excluding the head), 3 sessions/week for 6 weeks (n = 9)</td>
<td>Half-body PASI at 6 weeks</td>
<td>At week 6, 7/9 patients (78%) in the UV-irradiated body half arm and 1/9 patients (11%) in the nonirradiated body half arm achieved PASI-75 (P = 0.007).</td>
<td>1/10 (10%) of patients reported SAEs in the UV-irradiated body half. Circumscribed herpetic eruption (1), patient was discontinued from study.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ‘–’ indicates no data.

Abbreviations: ACT, acitretin or oral retinoid; ADA, adalimumab; BIW, twice weekly; BMI, body mass index; CSA, cyclosporine; ETN, etanercept; MTX, methotrexate; n, number of patients; NBUVB, narrow band ultraviolet B; NR, not reported; PASI, Psoriasis Area Severity Index; PUVA, psoralen + ultraviolet A; QW, every week; SAE, serious adverse event; UV, ultraviolet; UVB, ultraviolet B.

A combination of adalimumab with NBUVB. Both studies demonstrated that NBUVB significantly accelerated the response to and improved the clearance of psoriatic lesions in adalimumab-treated patients. The sole study examining ustekinumab combined with NBUVB was an intradiagnostic half-body comparison study conducted by Wolf et al. They found that PASI-75 was achieved significantly more often on the UV-irradiated body halves than the nonirradiated body halves at week 6 of the patients taking ustekinumab at a dose of 45 or 90 mg (depending on body weight). Both combinations showed enhanced clinical improvement compared to biologic monotherapy (Table 1).

Only one study failed to establish the efficacy of combination therapy. The head-to-head pilot study by Park et al, which examined the combination treatment of NBUVB and etanercept 50 mg twice weekly, and compared it with etanercept monotherapy, failed to demonstrate significantly enhanced improvement with combination therapy. Patients in the etanercept monotherapy, and combination of etanercept and NBUVB therapy arms had similar rates of achieving PASI-75 (46.7% and 53.3%, respectively); however, the small sample size limited the ability to achieve significance (Table 1). In addition, it is important to note that this head-to-head comparison study used psoriasis patients with a body mass index >30. Studies have reported a suboptimal response to etanercept in psoriasis patients with a body mass index >30.19,20

Numerous studies have reported patient dissatisfaction as a significant barrier to optimal psoriasis treatment. Treatment satisfaction has been shown to predict adherence, which may affect treatment effectiveness in real-world clinical practice. Patients receiving combination treatment that was more effective in clearing psoriasis were significantly more satisfied than patients treated with monotherapy. In a study by Duffin et al, it was observed that patients receiving adalimumab, etanercept, ustekinumab, or NBUVB had significantly higher effectiveness scores and rates of overall satisfaction than methotrexate monotherapy or topical steroids alone. Interestingly enough, inconvenience has been shown to be a main factor in discontinuation of NBUVB phototherapy. This suggests that patient satisfaction with a treatment’s effectiveness and side-effect profile may compensate for its inconvenience among patients who continue on therapy. Although no studies have directly examined patient adherence to and satisfaction of biologics in combination with phototherapy, the increased efficacy of this combination hints at the possibility of increased patient satisfaction and adherence.

In general, combination therapy involving biologics and NBUVB phototherapy was very well tolerated. The most...
common side effect noted among the studies was erythema. Nine out of the ten studies reported no serious adverse events for the combination therapy. In the study examining ustekinumab combined with NBVUB by Wolf et al., the serious adverse event of a herpetic eruption on the thigh, which led to treatment discontinuation, was observed. No skin cancers were reported throughout the duration of any trials. Long-term data on the development of skin cancer or other adverse events from the use of such a treatment combination has not yet been provided.

**Discussion**

The use of combination therapy involving a biologic agent and another form of therapy to target moderate-to-severe psoriasis is becoming more common with increased literature being released on the topic. We specifically looked at current literature that consisted of ten publications that studied combination biologic therapy with phototherapy, specifically NBVUB. The majority of publications were open-label prospective studies. In total, combination therapy was reported in 618 cases of moderate-to-severe psoriasis. The average age of these patients was 42 years, and nearly all patients had failed at least one prior systemic treatment. A serious adverse event was noted in only one patient. In general, most prospective studies used PASI-75 at week 12 or week 24 as the primary endpoint (Table 1).

The majority of available data reviewed showed that the combination of biologics with phototherapy agents had a superior efficacy compared to monotherapy in patients with moderate-to-severe psoriasis. Treatment benefit with combination therapy was demonstrated across various study designs, demonstrating that combining a biologic with phototherapy is reasonable when efficacy of monotherapy is insufficient. The largest body of evidence assessed the combination of NBVUB and etanercept. According to the nine evaluated studies, there is a reasonable evidence for the use of combination therapy with NBVUB for moderate-to-severe psoriasis. Etanercept 50 mg both once and twice weekly showed benefits, without added adverse effects.

In general, the combination of biologics with NBVUB showed good tolerability and few concerns relating to safety throughout the duration of the studies. Laboratory values and rate of adverse events for the biologics combined with phototherapy did not appear to be different from using either therapy alone. However, a potential increase in skin cancers is an important concern with the use of this combination. The immunosuppressive effect of cyclosporine, for instance, combined with phototherapy has been documented to increase the risk of skin cancer. Although current biologic agents are thought to be less globally immunosuppressive than cyclosporine, biologic monotherapy has been associated with a possible slightly increased risk of nonmelanoma skin cancer (NMSC) in some studies. With this in mind, the combination should be applied with caution. If possible, the combination should be limited to short durations of time for induction in difficult-to-treat cases, especially if the patient is a fair-skinned Caucasian individual. Long-term observations with NBVUB phototherapy alone have not yet demonstrated evidence of increased risk of NMSC. However, no conclusive statements can yet be made on the long-term risk of NMSC with combination treatment. Further investigation assessing long-term skin cancer risk and other adverse events in large controlled trials would be of interest.

There are some major limitations to the conclusions that can be drawn from this review. Interpreting the results is complicated by the heterogeneous study populations, small number of study subjects, and varying definitions of therapeutic success or relapse in some of the included studies investigating the efficacy and safety of combination therapy with NBVUB.

In total, 9 out of 10 studies demonstrated favorable efficacy and safety of combination therapy involving biologic and phototherapy, although the degrees of therapeutic enhancement varied. This review is significant because the subsets of patients who do not respond adequately to nonbiologic therapy are commonly encountered. Combining biologic agents with nonbiologic treatments, such as NBVUB phototherapy, broadens the armamentarium for the long-term control of moderate-to-severe psoriasis. Although no regimen involving the combination of a biologic agent and phototherapy has been approved for the management of moderate-to-severe psoriasis, the results of several relevant studies demonstrate the usefulness of such a treatment combination. Nevertheless, further studies are required to assess the long-term safety and efficacy of such combinations.
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