Overcoming the challenges of cancer drug resistance through bacterial-mediated therapy

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7q8811g0

Chronic Diseases and Translational Medicine, 5(4)

2095-882X

Zargar, Amin
Chang, Samantha
Kothari, Ankita

et al.

2019-12-01

10.1016/j.cdtm.2019.11.001

Peer reviewed
Perspective

Overcoming the challenges of cancer drug resistance through bacterial-mediated therapy

Amin Zargar\textsuperscript{a,b,\,*}, Samantha Chang\textsuperscript{a}, Ankita Kothari\textsuperscript{c}, Antoine M. Snijders\textsuperscript{c}, Jian-Hua Mao\textsuperscript{c}, Jessica Wang\textsuperscript{a}, Amanda C. Hernández\textsuperscript{a,d}, Jay D. Keasling\textsuperscript{a,b}, Trever G. Bivona\textsuperscript{e,f,\,**}

\textsuperscript{a} Joint BioEnergy Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Emeryville, CA 94608, USA
\textsuperscript{b} QB3 Institute, University of California-Berkeley, 174 Stanley Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
\textsuperscript{c} Biological Systems and Engineering, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
\textsuperscript{d} Department of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering, Department of Bioengineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
\textsuperscript{e} Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA
\textsuperscript{f} Department of Cellular and Molecular Pharmacology, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA

Received 22 July 2019
Available online 8 January 2020

Abstract

Despite tremendous efforts to fight cancer, it remains a major public health problem and a leading cause of death worldwide. With increased knowledge of cancer pathways and improved technological platforms, precision therapeutics that specifically target aberrant cancer pathways have improved patient outcomes. Nevertheless, a primary cause of unsuccessful cancer therapy remains cancer drug resistance. In this review, we summarize the broad classes of resistance to cancer therapy, particularly pharmacokinetics, the tumor microenvironment, and drug resistance mechanisms. Furthermore, we describe how bacterial-mediated cancer therapy, a bygone mode of treatment, has been revitalized by synthetic biology and is uniquely suited to address the primary resistance mechanisms that confound traditional therapies. Through genetic engineering, we discuss how bacteria can be potent anticancer agents given their tumor targeting potential, anti-tumor activity, safety, and coordinated delivery of anti-cancer drugs.

© 2019 Chinese Medical Association. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co., Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Keywords: Cancer therapy; Synthetic biology; Drug resistance; Bacterial-mediated therapy
In 1971, the US government passed the National Cancer Act, which provided more funding and support for the nation’s effort in what colloquially became known as the “war on cancer.” Despite the tremendous progress made in screening, detection, and treatment, the number of cancer deaths in the United States has nearly doubled from 335,000 in 1971 to 600,920 in 2017.1 Chemotherapy is a widely used treatment for cancers that have spread from the primary tumor location. However, chemotherapeutic drug resistance is a major impediment to patient survival and is the primary cause of patient death in most advanced stage cancers.2 In fact, unsuccessful chemotherapeutic treatment is often a result of multifactorial issues dependent on pharmacokinetics, the tumor microenvironment (TME), and drug resistance.3,4 As a targeted alternative to systemic chemotherapy, bacterial-mediated therapy could deliver tumor clearance in diverse and metastatic cancers. While it has been known for at least 200 years that infections with microbes could result in cancer remission, this remained a dormant field until recently, where advancements in synthetic biology now enable controlled targeting and delivery of therapeutic agents. In this review, we discuss the challenges of traditional chemotherapeutic treatment and advancements in bacterial-mediated therapy that overcome these obstacles.

Pharmacokinetic failure

Therapeutic dose levels that can successfully treat a tumor site require a satisfactory ADME (Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, Excretion) profile. Pharmacokinetic failure that results in insufficient dosing at the tumor site can lead to incomplete antitumor therapy resulting in residual disease (Fig. 1).5 Major sources of pharmacokinetic failure can be due to issues with drug solubility, distribution, and dose-limiting toxicity that incompletely suppress the targeted tumor pathway.6 Also, tumor cells themselves can actively reduce the intracellular drug concentration through efflux pumps that transport anticancer drugs, thereby providing another level of resistance.7 While mathematical models have been developed to predict drug delivery, drug concentration, and tumor clearance,8,9 the multifactorial nature of the problem makes successful targeted therapy a challenge.

As such, there has been much effort in developing therapies that are target ligand specific. While these efforts have resulted in powerful advances in therapeutics, both targeted and untargeted therapies have very low levels of accumulation of the injected dose at the target site. Delivery of the injected dose to the targeted cancer site can range from below 0.1% for drugs without a targeting ligand (e.g. small molecule inhibitors) to over 1% of the injected dose for targeted drugs (e.g. antibody drug conjugates).10 However, while the low percentage of the total dose can be discouraging, the critical factor for successful treatment is the ratio between on-site and off-site accumulation. Increases in target site activation without an increase in toxicity from accumulation in normal tissue could thus greatly improve patient outcomes.

Barriers of the tumor microenvironment

While once thought of as a detached spectator to cancer progression, the complex interplay between the tumor and stroma is a fundamental hallmark of cancer and is known to influence tumor progression, metastasis, and importantly, therapeutic resistance.11 The TME consists of a variety of malignant cells, stromal...
cells, immune cells, and soluble growth factors and cytokines that can secrete into an extracellular matrix (ECM). In various cancer types, the TME has been shown to reduce drug penetration, provide proliferative and anti-apoptotic advantages to the tumor cells, and modify the immune response.

A major cause of drug resistance from the tumor microenvironment is the ECM physical barrier against cytotoxic therapeutics (Fig. 2). The ECM is mainly composed of glycoproteins, proteoglycans, elastin, collagen, and hyaluronan. Increasing amounts of ECM has been shown to have a direct impact on the intra-tumoral drug concentration, due to reduced penetration and distribution. A study on pancreatic ductal carcinoma has shown that excessive stroma can cause increased tumor stiffness and compressed tumor vessels resulting in decreased drug accumulation in the tumor. Moreover, increased stiffness in the ECM of hepatic carcinoma has also been shown to promote drug resistance. Originating from the ECM, exosomes are another TME component that reduces drug penetration by trapping therapeutic antibodies such as rituximab and trastuzumab, thereby limiting therapeutic efficacy.

Pharmaceuticals have been developed to specifically degrade the ECM to improve therapeutic delivery. Increased levels of hyaluronan, a linear polysaccharide found in the extracellular space of most tissues, results in increased interstitial pressure and reduced drug penetration. Collagen is also overexpressed in many tumors leading to proliferative oncogenic environment through structural and signaling interactions. As such, ECM remodeling enzymes such as hyaluronidase and collagenase have been used in conjunction with anticancer drugs to increase drug penetration. However, the timing and control of ECM degradation must be carefully controlled, as enhanced metastasis of cancer cells due to loss of ECM integrity can be a dangerous side effect.

**Drug resistance**

While conventional cytotoxic drugs such as 5-fluorouracil have been widely used as cancer therapeutics, increased knowledge of molecular cancer mechanisms has allowed the development of precision medications (e.g. targeted therapies such as kinase inhibitors). These targeted therapies disrupt the function of oncogenic driver proteins and have revolutionized cancer therapy. A few examples include kinase inhibitors of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK), and BRAF. Unlike conventional cytotoxic drugs that simply target rapidly proliferative cells, these precision therapies specifically target molecular aberrations common in cancerous tissues, with a relatively lesser effect on normal tissue. A canonical example is the targeting of the kinase BRAF, a member of the mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase signal transduction pathway responsible for growth and cell differentiation by kinase inhibitors such as vemurafenib. The basis of these precision therapies could only be realized by the identification of the primary genetic drivers of cancer progression through rigorous mechanistic laboratory studies coupled with biomarker-driven clinical trials.

Despite the success of these treatments, many cancers eventually adapt to both conventional and precision pharmaceuticals, and this resistance is a primary cause of patient death in most advanced stage cancers. Resistance may be present at the time of initial therapy (intrinsic) or may develop during the course of the therapy (acquired). Drug resistance is multifactorial,
and is further complicated by the genetic and epigenetic heterogeneity between and within tumor populations that can result in subpopulations with different drug sensitivities.4 The administration of an anticancer drug to a mixture of drug-sensitive and drug-resistant subpopulations in a tumor can be a significant cause of drug resistance (Fig. 3).27 A convergence-based classification of resistance mechanisms can illuminate polytherapy pathways that target parallel cancer dependencies. By simultaneously targeting these resistance pathways, polytherapies are less likely to result in resistance to a multi-drug mixture. Importantly, in some cases a polytherapy is better tolerated than each individual agent due to off-setting toxicities (e.g. BRAF + MEK inhibitor treatment),28 while increased off-target toxicity in others can result in greater toxicity with polytherapies.29

New therapeutic platforms are needed to address the multifactorial challenges presented by drug delivery, the TME, and tumor heterogeneity. Synthetic biology has enabled the creation of “living therapeutics” that are biologically programmed to perform specific pre-designed therapeutic treatments. With the ability to actively move towards the nutrients at the cancer site via chemotaxis, modulate the TME, and deliver on-site therapies, genetically modified bacteria are a promising and relatively unexplored avenue in cancer therapeutics.

**Bacterial-mediated therapy**

In the late 19th century, Dr. William Coley began experimenting with treating his cancer patients with *Streptococcus pyogenes*.30 Now considered the father of cancer immunotherapy, Coley’s toxins, as they came to be known, were largely set aside once radiotherapy was developed. In the past few decades, however, there has been renewed interest in both preclinical and clinical studies in using bacteria for cancer therapy. Bacterial-mediated therapy may be used to treat nearly all cancer sites including blood cancer, sarcoma, melanoma, and solid carcinomas. Oral administration of genetically engineered probiotics is an exciting avenue to treat gastrointestinal cancer31 and enteral administration of heterologous bacteria, either as an isolated probiotic or a fecal transplant, is well-studied and has reduced safety concerns compared to parental administration.32 However, the vast majority of clinical and preclinical trials to treat cancer has been through direct tumor injection and intravenous systemic injection of tumor-targeting bacteria, which is the context we discuss in this review.

In principle, bacteria may be engineered to selectively target a tumor site, multiply within the tumor microenvironment, recruit the immune system, and release multiple drugs targeting parallel disease pathways resulting in complete elimination of the cancer cells (Fig. 4). With advancements in synthetic biology, bacterial mediated therapy can be programmed to address the shortcomings of conventional treatment in dealing with pharmacokinetics, the tumor microenvironment, and drug resistance. We discuss the progress made in addressing these challenges with bacterial-mediated therapy below as well as highlight safety and prospective directions and opportunities.

**Targeting and on-site production**

Bacteria have a unique ability to selectively target and colonize tumors compared to normal tissues. Small molecules produced by tumor cells can act as chemo-attractants to bacteria, and the suppressed immune
response at the tumor site can prevent bacterial clearance. While an extensive ECM caused by cancer creates a hypoxic environment and reduces conventional therapeutic dose, both obligate anaerobes (e.g. *Clostridium* and *Bifidobacterium*) and facultative anaerobes (e.g. *Escherichia* and *Salmonella*) have been shown to colonize the necrotic and hypoxic conditions of the tumor. Moreover, bacteria have been genetically engineered to express binding peptides to selectively target cancer biomarkers and colonize tumors (Fig. 5). This selective colonization can be leveraged with other treatment modalities. Conventional chemotherapy and radiotherapy are much more effective in the well-perfused areas compared to the more dense, hypoxic core of a tumor where bacteria colonize. The synergy of these modalities has been shown in murine models by dosing *Clostridium novyi-NT* with radiotherapy or chemotherapeutic treatments.

Leveraging the preferential accumulation of bacteria at the tumor site, genetic switches have been developed that respond to bacterial cell-density dependent quorum sensing (QS). As these bacteria accumulate at a site, the communication molecules they produce eventually reach a critical threshold activating the genetic switch and coordinating gene expression. This coupling of QS mechanisms to drug release enables coordinated therapeutic release and acts as a safety valve to prevent off-site accumulation and increase drug delivery.

**Tumor clearance through immune system activation and direct oncolysis**

The intrinsic ability of bacterial cells to colonize the TME can result in remodeling of the environment, primarily through the activation of immune pathways.
Differential expression of pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) such as flagella, pili, and lipopolysaccharide by bacteria elicit the immune system in a manner unique to each bacterial strain. This response includes repolarization of tumor associated macrophages, elimination of tumor associated myeloid derived suppressor cells, and promotion of dendritic cell maturation. A prominent example is the sensitization of cluster of differentiation (CD) 8+ T cells, a major component of the adaptive immune response, to tumor antigens by enhancing T-cell receptor signaling. Beyond the natural ability of some bacteria to elicit immune pathways, the immune-suppressive TME can be activated to become immune stimulating through the release of adjuvants, antigens, cytokines and checkpoint inhibitors. Salmonella enterica and C. novyi-NT have been engineered to release cytokines or tumor-specific antigens to convert the TME from immune-suppressive to immune-activated. Exciting new studies in Escherichia coli have shown that a lysis mechanism based on quorum sensing can be used to release nanobody fragments against receptors programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1), cytotoxic T lymphocyte associated antigen-4 (CTLA-4) and CD47, thereby reducing or clearing tumor growth in syngeneic mouse models.

Beyond bacterial recruitment of immune cells, genetically engineered bacteria can directly cause tumor regression by competing for nutrients, uncontrolled growth that causes tumor cells to lyse, or through secretion of exotoxins and pro-apoptotic molecules. In syngeneic mice models, the direct release of a clinical therapeutic along with an exotoxin haemolysin E, a pore-forming anti-tumor toxin, resulted in reduced tumor size without causing widespread toxicity. However, systemic cytokine injection cannot be used due to off-target toxicity, whereas localized release by bacteria could reduce tumor size without causing widespread toxicity. Such release of pro-apoptotic cytokines by genetically engineered bacteria has already begun to be explored, including the expression of FAS-ligand, tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), and TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand.

A therapeutic platform that enables more selective on-target drug release of multiple therapies that act in parallel would represent a significant step in cancer therapeutics. Systemic administration of drug cocktails that target multiple disease pathways can have dangerous side effects. By genetically encoding the localized production of anti-tumor compounds, targeted bacteria could, in principle, selectively release multiple oncolytic therapeutics. Moreover, programmable design through genetic circuits could enable controllable timed release of therapeutics for maximum efficiency. While most bacteria have been engineered to release simple peptides, many chemotherapeutics are inspired or directly taken from natural products. Through heterologous natural product synthesis, bacteria could be engineered to release Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved chemotherapeutics.

Safety of bacterial-mediated therapy

Numerous preclinical pharmacological and toxicity studies have shown that select bacteria have satisfactory safety profiles in healthy and tumor bearing animals. The FDA has approved several clinical trials with tumor targeting bacteria. These studies showed acceptable safety profiles with promising results for anti-tumor activity. The most noteworthy clinical example is the FDA-approved treatment of bladder cancer with the Bacillus Calmette-Guerin vaccine, an attenuated Mycobacterium bovis.

In the studies shown so far, substantial colonization has been required for clinical benefit, which highlights the uniqueness of the dose profile with a live therapeutic. As live bacteria generally multiply in the tumor site, the injected dose of bacteria plays less of a role than the type of tumor and bacterium used. Well-perfused tumors compared to necrotic tumors will have different colonization profiles depending on the type of bacterium employed. Genetic circuits programmed to maintain bacterial density at a certain threshold have been developed. If these systems were applied to a tumor site, a density-dependent signal would trigger a kill switch in a subset of the population, thereby maintain a bacterial density range (Fig. 6). With the maturation of synthetic biology enhancements allowing the precise delivery of oncolytic payloads, future Phase I clinical trials may reveal that lower bacterial loads are required for successful anti-tumor activity.

Future directions

With its anti-tumor effects and preference to selectively colonize tumors, bacterial-mediated therapy has the potential to treat cancers that are resistant to current therapies, including refractory metastatic cancer and...
multi-drug resistant cancer. Depending on the payload and tissue target, bacterial-mediated therapy could be effective beyond direct treatment of manifested cancer. By targeting precancerous lesions, microbes could be engineered to prevent tumor occurrence or recurrence. While there is great potential in bacterial-mediated therapy, improved tools and knowledge are required for successful clinical translation.

Synthetic biology advancements have enabled bacteria to perform more coordinated and complex actions, which is a major advantage to their use as a “living therapeutic”. While most synthetic biology studies have centered on well-studied organisms, particularly E. coli, the recent genetic “domestication” of a wide variety of organisms could provide a better-suited chassis for applications such as anticancer therapy. The bacteria studied the most in this context have been E. coli, Salmonella typhimurium, and S. enterica because of their relative ease of genetic manipulation. Future efforts should develop genetic tools in other non-model organisms that naturally elicit the immune system, but were previously considered too difficult to engineer, such as Listeria monocytogenes and C. novyi.

An important therapeutic consideration is the level and timing of therapeutic dose. As tumor heterogeneity can contain a drug-insensitive population that can flourish if the drug-sensitive population is eliminated, intermittent dose programs to contain the tumor at a certain size could be the preferred course. Further, drug discontinuation can also result in the re-sensitization of tumor cells to the drug. Genetic circuits have been built in E. coli to oscillate cell population with varying growth dynamics within the TME. These forms of circuits could be used to not only maintain the bacterial population, but also oscillate therapeutic release without subsequent injected doses (Fig. 6).

Advancements in cancer treatment require an increased understanding of cancer pathogenesis, particularly as the cancer evolves. In fact, under-sampling of cancers has been noted as a critical knowledge gap in tumor progression. To help provide some of this information, tools such as liquid biopsies have been developed that monitor genetic, transcriptional and epigenetic changes by isolating circulating tumor cells from the blood. A promising method to further understand tumor development could be through colonized bacteria. For example, bacteria have been engineered to sense and record changes in their environment through targeted alterations in their own DNA. Applying these bacteria that record changes in tumor cells or tumor microenvironments in real time could provide vital information about cancer progression and regression.
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