# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

**LBL Publications** 

# Title

Residual strain orientation in rolled titanium determined with synchrotron X-ray Laue microdiffraction

# Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7r14v174

# Journal

Journal of Applied Crystallography, 56(Pt 1)

**ISSN** 0021-8898

## Authors

Devoe, Michelle Tamura, Nobumichi Wenk, Hans-Rudolf

# **Publication Date**

2023-02-01

# DOI

10.1107/s1600576722011311

# **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

# **1** Residual strain orientation in rolled titanium determined

# 2 with synchrotron X-ray Laue microdiffraction

3 Authors

#### 4 XMichelle Devoe<sup>a</sup>\*, Nobumichi Tamura<sup>b</sup> and Hans-Rudolf Wenk<sup>a</sup>

- <sup>5</sup> <sup>a</sup>Earth and Planetary Science, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 94720, United States
- 6 <sup>b</sup>Advanced Light Source, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, 94720, United
- 7 States
- 9 Correspondence email: mdevoe@berkeley.edu

10 Funding information U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science (contract No. DE-AC02-

11 05CH1123 to Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory; award No. DE-SC0014664); National Science

12 Foundation (grant No. EAR2054951; grant No. EAR2154351); U.S. Department of Energy (grant No. DE-

- 13 FG02-05ER15637).
- 14
- 15

16 ynopsis Synchrotron X-ray Laue microdiffraction is used to measure the residual stress in rolled
17 titanium, a metal with known deformation history, and determine the orientation of the residual stress
18 ellipsoid. Previously the method had only been used on naturally deformed quartzites with ambiguous
19 deformation histories.

20 Previously, synchrotron X-ray Laue microdiffraction has been used to measure bstract 21 magnitudes of residual strain in materials. Recently the method was advanced to determine the 22 orientation of the strain ellipsoid and applied to naturally deformed quartzites, however the 23 deformation history of these quartzites is ambiguous due to their natural origin. In this study, 24 synchrotron X-ray Laue microdiffraction ( $\mu$ XRD) is used to measure the residual strain for the first 25 time in a sample with known stress history, rolled titanium. A deviatoric strain tensor is calculated 26 from each Laue diffraction image collected with two µXRD scans of a rolled Ti sheet in different 27 sample orientations. The principal strain axes are calculated using an eigen decomposition of the 28 deviatoric strain tensors. The results show that the principal axis of compression is aligned with the 29 normal direction of the titanium sheet, and the principal axis of extension is aligned with the rolling 30 direction. Pole figures are used to represent the 3D distribution of residual strain axes.

# eywords: Residual stress; residual strains; stress and strain; X-ray synchrotron radiation; Laue diffraction

#### 34 1. Introduction

Residual stress has been of interest to metallurgists and structural engineers for decades (e.g. Noyan & Cohen, 1987). It affects the strength of metals and welds and is significant for the stability of ships, pipelines, bridges (e.g. Hosford, 2005; Withers, 2005; Van Puymbroeck et al., 2019), and the strength of thin films (e.g. Noyan et al., 1995; Ma et al., 2012). Residual stress can be introduced into a material at any point during fabrication and processing from mechanical working or heat treatment, and thus its characterization is key to understanding not only the quality of the material, but also the effects of the manufacturing process on material properties.

42 Residual strain is a change to the lattice geometry of a crystalline material as a result of 43 deformation or thermal changes. Residual strain, which remains in the lattice after the external forcing 44 has been removed, can be categorized as plastic strain, which manifests as dislocations that disrupt the 45 periodicity of the crystalline lattice, or elastic strain, which describes the shape distortion of the 46 crystal lattice surrounding dislocations (Noyan & Cohen, 1987). These strains are related to stress 47 through Hooke's law. Many techniques have been developed to detect and measure strain in metals 48 (e.g. Schajer, 2013). Bulk properties can be measured with neutron diffraction due to its great 49 penetration depth (Krawitz & Holden, 1990; Robinson et al., 2017; Noyan et al. 2020; Wissink et al., 50 2020). X-ray diffraction (XRD) has been used as a non-destructive method (Ungár & Borbély, 1996; 51 Ungár et al., 2001; Cauchois et al., 2014). Single crystal properties can be measured using 52 synchrotron X-ray microdiffraction (µXRD) (Tamura et al., 2002; Levine et al., 2006; Renversade & 53 Borbély, 2017; Morawiec, 2018). µXRD utilizes a micro-focused polychromatic X-ray beam from a 54 synchrotron X-ray source with a diameter of  $\sim 0.1$ -1  $\mu$ m to raster scan a crystalline material over a 55 large area (mm) and collects 2D Laue images at each step. The subsequent analysis generates high-56 resolution strain maps of polycrystalline materials (Spolenak et al., 2003; Ice et al., 2005; Hofmann et 57 al., 2010; Kwon et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2014; Qian et al., 2017). If the grain size is larger than the 58 beam diameter and step size, strain differentials may be resolved across grain boundaries (Spolenak et 59 al., 2003). 60 For the past 10 years, we have analyzed the orientation of macrostresses responsible for

61 residual strain in natural quartzite using  $\mu XRD$  and have found results consistent with the stress

- 62 history presumed from geological events. We developed a methodology to represent the 3D
- 63 orientation of residual strain axes with pole figures generally used for crystal orientations or textures
- 64 (Chen et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2016; Li et al. 2020; Wenk et al., 2020). However, the stress history of
- 65 geological materials is complex, enduring a variety of stresses over long time scales, and thus there
- 66 remains some uncertainty about their presumed stress history. Therefore, we decided to test μXRD

and our experimental and analytical approach on a simple metal with a known deformation history toverify the accuracy of the results.

- 69 Studies looking at directionality of residual strain in metals have been primarily focused on
- 70 cubic metals (Spolenak et al., 2003; Shen et al., 2022 in press). In this study, we determine the
- 71 directionality of the residual strain tensor of a hexagonal metal, titanium, with µXRD. The strength,
- 72 corrosion resistance, and other mechanical and chemical properties of Ti and its alloys make them
- 73 useful in a number of engineering sectors, including aerospace, nuclear, automotive, and
- 74 bioengineering (e.g. Lütjering et al., 2007; Mehta et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2020) and the
- 75 microstructures and textures of Ti and Ti alloys have been well-characterized (Patridge, 1967;
- 76 Blicharski et al., 1979; Zaefferer, 2003; Lonardelli et al., 2007; Britton et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2015).
- 77 We present strain maps and 3D orientation distributions of the residual strain tensor, equivalent stress
- 78 calculations, and the deformation texture of rolled Ti derived from  $\mu XRD$  data.

#### 79 2. Experimental Methodology

- 80 A 1.2 mm thick sheet of ASTM B 295 Grade 4 commercially pure rolled Ti was cut into a 1.8 x 1.4
- 81 cm piece used for analysis. The sample grain size ranges from 5 to 30 µm. Grains appear to be
- 82 flattened with a long axis parallel to the rolling direction (RD) (Fig. 1).



83

Figure 1 Scanning electron microscope backscatter electron image of cross section of rolledtitanium. RD is rolling direction, ND is normal direction.

86

### 87 2.1. X-ray Laue Microdiffraction

- 88 X-ray Laue microdiffraction ( $\mu$ XRD) measurements were conducted at beamline 12.3.2 of the 89 Advanced Light Source at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. The methodology has been 90 previously described (Tamura, 2014; Chen et al., 2016; Li et al., 2020; Wenk et al., 2020). The 1.8 x 91  $1.4 \times 0.12$  mm Ti sample was loaded onto a translational stage. The stage was tilted  $45^{\circ}$  to the 92 incident X-ray beam (Fig. 2A). A polychromatic X-ray beam with energy range of 5 to 24 keV was 93 collimated to a spot size 1 µm in diameter. The rolled surface, perpendicular to the normal direction 94 (ND), of the Ti sample was placed at the focal point of the X-ray beam using a laser proxy. The 95 translational stage then rastered across the X-ray beam at the user-set step size and scan dimensions
- 96 which are programmed using the beamline control software. 2D diffraction images (Fig. 2B) were
- 97 collected at each step by a DECTRIS Pilatus 1M detector positioned 90° to the incident beam.
- 98 Maximum penetration depth is 100 µm (source: CXRO.lbl.gov).



Figure 1 A) Schematic of beamline experimental geometry at ALS 12.3.2 showing polycrystalline
Ti sample on translational stage.- DECTRIS Pilatus 1M Area detector located 90° above the incident
X-ray beam collects a diffraction image. B) Example Ti X-ray Laue diffraction image. A few
exemplary peaks have been assigned Miller indices. White lines are interstitial space between detector
panels. White rectangle in bottom right is a defective submodule that has been removed from
analysis.- Dark vertical shadow is the boundary of Kapton tape used to repair the detector.

A single crystal of silicon crushed into grains ranging in size from 0.05 to 2 mm were
mounted on a glass slide with double-sided sticky tape and used as a calibrant. This is different from
previous experiments which used a single crystal of silicon or synthetic quartz (Chen et al., 2016;

110 Wenk et al., 2020). The multiple peaks generated from a polycrystalline calibrant (Fig. 3A) eliminate

111 the orientation bias that is introduced into the strain analysis from the use of a single crystal calibrant,

- which only has a few peaks on each detector panel (Fig. 3B). The calibration is used in data
- 113 processing and does not affect the data collection.

114 An area of 1500 x 2000 µm on the rolled surface of the Ti sample was scanned with the X-ray 115 beam in 50 µm steps to cover a large area for good grain statistics (scan Ti 6). While a 1 um step size 116 is achievable at beamline ALS 12.3.2, the grain size of the sample ranged from 5 to 30 um and thus 117 use of a 1 um step size would sample a very limited number of grains during a reasonable collection 118 time period. Thus, a larger step size of 50 um was chosen to measure a large number of grains and 119 collect data more representative of the whole sample. Exposure time was 1 s and the scan took 120 approximately 20 mins to complete. Since the maximum penetration depth of X-rays is 100 um, 121 approximately 1/12 of the depth profile of the rolled Ti sheet was sampled. The sample was then 122 rotated 90° and approximately the same area was re-scanned (scan Ti\_6\_90d). The purpose of this 123 repeated scan is to verify that the orientation of residual strain rotates with sample rotation,

- 124 demonstrating that the strain measured is not an artifact due to calibration geometry uncertainties and
- 125 other errors.



**127** Figure 2 X-ray Laue diffraction images of A) polysilicon calibrant and B) single crystal silicon

- 128 calibrant. A few exemplary peaks have been assigned Miller indices. White lines are interstitial space
- 129 between detector panels. White rectangle is a defective submodule that has been removed from
- 130 analysis.

#### 131 1.1. Data Analysis Overview

132 A more detailed explanation on data analysis has been published by Tamura (2014) and Wenk et al.

133 (2020). The total strain tensor ( $\varepsilon_{ij}\dot{c}$  is a sum of the dilatational strain tensor ( $\Delta_{ij}\dot{c}$ , which describes a

134 change in volume of the unit cell, and the deviatoric strain tensor  $(\varepsilon_{ij}\dot{\delta}, which describes a change in$ 

- 135 shape (Fig. 4A):  $\varepsilon_{ij} = \Delta_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}$
- 136 where  $\Delta_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta \end{bmatrix}$

137 and 
$$\varepsilon_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{11} & \varepsilon_{12} & \varepsilon_{13} \\ \varepsilon_{12} & \varepsilon_{22} & \varepsilon_{23} \\ \varepsilon_{13} & \varepsilon_{23} & \varepsilon_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

- 139 diffraction image, a Cartesian coordinate system attached to the unit cell, to the sample coordinate
- 140 system (x, y, z) using the orientation matrix M and transformation  $\varepsilon'_{xyz} = M \varepsilon'_{ij} M^T$ . Such that

141 
$$\varepsilon'_{xyz} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon'_{xx} & \varepsilon_{xy} & \varepsilon_{xz} \\ \varepsilon_{yx} & \varepsilon'_{yy} & \varepsilon_{zz} \\ \varepsilon_{zx} & \varepsilon_{zy} & \varepsilon'_{zz} \end{bmatrix}$$

142 An eigen decomposition of  $\varepsilon'_{xyz}$  provides the geometry of the deviatoric strain ellipsoid in sample 143 coordinates; the eigenvectors are the axes of the ellipsoid scaled by their associated eigenvalues (Fig. 144 4B) (Noyan & Cohen, 1987; Tamura, 2014). Negative values are herein defined as compression, and 145 positive values are defined as extension.



**Figure 3** A) Hexagonal unit cell (solid lines, lattice parameters a,  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ ) distorted into a new triclinic shape (dashed lines, lattice parameters a',  $c_1$ ',  $c_2$ ',  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ). B) A sphere (light gray) is distorted into a strain ellipsoid (yellow) to represent the distortion shown in (A). Strain ellipsoid axes ( $\varepsilon_1$ ,  $\varepsilon_2$ ,  $\varepsilon_3$ ) are noted relative to sample coordinate axes (x, y, z).

#### 151 **1.2.** How deviatoric strain is measured and principal stress is calculated

152 The XMAS software determines the crystal orientation and calculates the deviatoric strain tensor for 153 each diffraction image. In this experiment, 1,200 diffraction images were collected for each scan. The 154 experimental geometry was refined using the polysilicon calibrant (Fig. 3A). The strain is refined 155 from the lattice parameters of the deformed crystal relative to those of unstrained Ti (Fig. 4A). The 156 diffraction images are preprocessed by removing bad pixels and background signal due to air 157 scattering and X-ray fluorescence. Next, the reflection positions are located by finding intensity 158 maxima above a certain threshold value, and peaks are fit with a 2D Gaussian function. Then, each 159 peak is "indexed" and assigned a corresponding *hkl* plane by triangulation of three peak positions. 160 The crystal orientation can then be derived from the indexation.

161 The deviatoric strain is calculated by measuring the difference between the observed position 162 of the measured diffraction peaks and the ideal position of peaks calculated for an unstrained lattice. 163 XMAS searches for three unique grain orientations per diffraction image using the "multigrain" 164 setting. If a diffraction image cannot be indexed by XMAS, the grain orientation and strain tensor 165 cannot be calculated and is thus excluded from the analysis. After the indexation parameters of a 166 representative Laue image have been optimized for accurate analysis, the rest of the Laue images are 167 automatically processed using the same parameters on a high-performance computing cluster.

168 The output from XMAS, a sequential list file which contains the crystal orientations and strain 169 tensor for every diffraction image indexed, is then loaded into the MATLAB code XtalCAMP (Li et 170 al., 2020). Orientation and magnitude of the principal strain axes are calculated for each diffraction 171 image using an eigen decomposition of the deviatoric strain tensor. Strain maps and other 172 visualizations are also plotted using this software. Stress ( $\sigma_{ii}$ ) can be calculated from strain ( $\varepsilon_{kl}$ ) by 173 applying Hooke's law:  $\sigma_{ii} = C_{iikl} \varepsilon_{kl}$  where  $C_{iikl}$  is the fourth rank stiffness tensor. We used the 174 experimental stiffness tensor components of monocrystal hcp-Ti determined by Dumontet et al. 175 (2019). Prior to plotting the strain maps and calculating the principal strains, all diffraction images 176 with less than 10 indexed reflections were filtered out to eliminate strain measurements with low 177 confidence. Low indexation is likely due to peak distortion from high strain. After filtering, the 178 deviatoric and principal strains were calculated for each diffraction image and the strain maps of the 179 scan area were generated (Figs. 5 & 6). Normalized frequency distributions of equivalent strain and 180 stress were also plotted for each scan using XtalCAMP (Fig. 7).

From XtalCAMP the orientations of the crystal and the residual strain ellipsoid for each diffraction image, defined by three Euler angles relative to sample coordinates, were then exported and used by BEARTEX (Wenk et al., 1998) to generate 3D orientation distributions and plot corresponding pole figures (Figs. 8 and 9).



187 showing the magnitude of the  $\varepsilon_{xx}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{yy}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{zz}$  components of the deviatoric residual strain tensor in sample 188 coordinates (x, y) for Ti\_6 scan of dimensions 2000 x 1500 mm with step size of 50 µm. Projections 189 of principal strain axes  $\varepsilon_1$ ,  $\varepsilon_2$ , or  $\varepsilon_3$  are overlain onto each pixel as a black line (enlarged inset on left). 190 Each pixel represents one step and shows the data associated with the diffraction image collected at 191 that step. The color of each pixel indicates the deviatoric strain magnitude at that position in 192 millistrains (10<sup>-3</sup>). Red color (positive values) indicates extension, blue color (negative values) 193 indicates compression. Gray pixels are Laue diffraction images that had less than 10 indexed

- 194 reflections and were thus removed from analysis. RD is rolling direction, TD is transverse direction.
- **195** B) Normalized frequency distributions of residual strain values from plots in (A).



197 Figure 5 A) Maps showing the magnitude of the  $\varepsilon_{xx}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{yy}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{zz}$  components of the deviatoric residual 198 strain tensor in sample coordinates (x, y) for Ti\_6\_90d scan of dimensions 1500 x 2000 mm with step 199 size of 50  $\mu$ m. Projections of principal strain axes  $\varepsilon_1$ ,  $\varepsilon_2$ , or  $\varepsilon_3$  are overlain onto each pixel as a black 200 line (enlarged inset on left). Each pixel represents one step and shows the data associated with the 201 diffraction image collected at that step. The color of each pixel indicates the deviatoric strain 202 magnitude at that position in millistrains (10<sup>-3</sup>). Red color (positive values) indicates extension, blue 203 color (negative values) indicates compression. Gray pixels are Laue diffraction images that had less 204 than 10 indexed reflections and were thus removed from analysis. RD is rolling direction, TD is 205 transverse direction. B) Normalized frequency distributions of residual strain values from plots in (A).



Figure 6 Normalized equivalent strain and stress distributions for Ti\_6 (A) and Ti\_6\_90d (B).





**Figure 7** Principal strain axes for Ti\_6 (top) and Ti\_6\_90d (bottom) plotted as pole figures in equal area projection. Pole figures provide a 3D representation of the principal axes of compression ( $\varepsilon_1$ ), intermediate strain ( $\varepsilon_2$ ) and extension ( $\varepsilon_3$ ) relative to sample coordinates. RD is rolling direction, TD is transverse direction. Contours are in multiples of random distribution (m.r.d.).



## 216

Figure 8 Deformation textures of crystal (0001) poles plotted as equal area projection pole figures
for Ti\_6 (A) and Ti\_6\_90d (B). RD is rolling direction, TD is transverse direction. Contours are in
multiples of random distribution (m.r.d.). C) Equal area projection of deformation texture for (0002)
poles for rolled Ti reduced to 3% of its original thickness measured with an X-ray pole figure
goniometer (Blicharski et al., 1979).

222

#### 223 2. Results

- 224 The µXRD data are most easily understood visually using maps to spatially resolve the data collected
- from the scanned area. Because grain size of the sample ranged from 5 to 30 µm in diameter (Fig. 1),
- and the beam raster step for each scan was 50 µm, grains cannot be resolved in the maps generated
- 227 (Figs. 5 & 6). Pole figures of strain ellipsoid axes (i.e. principal strain axes) are used to compile the
- data into a 3D representation of residual strain orientation in sample coordinates (Fig. 8).

#### 229 2.1. Strain

- 230 Strain maps for each scan are plotted in Figures 5 (Ti\_6) and 6 (Ti\_6\_90d). Three maps are displayed
- 231 for each scan, one for each deviatoric strain component ( $\varepsilon_{xx}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{yy}$ , and  $\varepsilon_{zz}$ ) (Figs. 5A, 6A).  $\varepsilon_{xx}$  is
- 232 deviatoric strain along the x-axis,  $\varepsilon_{yy}$  along the y-axis, and  $\varepsilon_{zz}$  along the z-axis. The color of each pixel
- 233 corresponds to the magnitude of the deviatoric strain component; red indicates positive strain
- 234 (extension) and blue indicates negative strain (compression). Deviatoric strain values range from -3 to
- 235 3 millistrains (10<sup>-3</sup>). The frequency distributions (Figs. 5B, 6B) of the deviatoric strain magnitudes
- 236 clearly indicate the direction of the most compressive (negative), and most tensile (positive) strain.
- 237 Strains are most negative in the direction normal to the sample surface (z=ND) for both Ti\_6 and
- 238 Ti\_6\_90d. The scan for Ti\_6 shows the most positive strain in the y=RD direction, while strain in the
- x=TD direction spans both positive and negative strain values (Fig. 5). A 90° sample rotation
- 240 (Ti\_6\_90d, Fig. 6) shows a 90° rotation strain, with the most positive strain values now in the x=RD
- 241 direction, and strain in the y=TD direction spans positive and negative values.
- The projections of the principal strain axes are superposed as black lines onto the color maps. The axis of compression ( $\varepsilon_1$ ) is overlain onto the map showing the most negative deviatoric strain, and the axis of extension ( $\varepsilon_3$ ) is overlain onto the map showing the most positive deviatoric strain. Small portions of each scan are enlarged (Figs. 5A left, 6A left) to more clearly show the relationship between deviatoric strain magnitude and principal strain axis orientation.
- 247 For Ti\_6 (Fig. 5), principal compressive strain ( $\varepsilon_1$ ) show many axes displayed as dots which is 248 indicative of a large component in the z direction as the projection of the normalized principal axis 249 rotates toward the z-axis, reducing the visible length of the line to a dot. Other  $\varepsilon_1$  axes are oriented 250 horizontally and thus dominated by a component in the x direction. The principal tensile strain  $(\varepsilon_3)$ 251 axes are mostly vertically oriented and thus parallel with the sample y-axis. The intermediate axes  $(\varepsilon_2)$ 252 are more or less aligned along the x-axis as shown by the horizontally oriented lines. For Ti\_6\_90d 253 (Fig. 6), the principal compressive strain axes also show a larger component in the z direction, 254 displayed by the short, dot-like projections. The principal tensile strain axes are primarily oriented 255 horizontally along the sample x-axis, and the intermediate axes are more or less vertically aligned
- along the sample y-axis.

Equivalent strain has been used to estimate the magnitude of the deviatoric strain tensor (Liu,
2005, p. 15, eq. 1.29). For both scans, the equivalent strain was calculated to be around 3.25
millistrains (Fig. 7). The 90° rotated sample has some low equivalent strain values between 1 and 2
millistrains that are absent in the non-rotated sample. Equivalent stress maxima obtained from
equivalent strain using Hooke's law for Ti\_6 are approximately 575 MPa. The equivalent stress for
Ti\_6\_90d shows a broader distribution with two maxima at approximately 450 and 575 MPa. These
fluctuations are likely due to heterogeneous stress distribution and slight variations in scan areas.

264 The orientation of principal residual strain axes  $\varepsilon_1$ ,  $\varepsilon_2$  and  $\varepsilon_3$  relative to sample coordinates 265 have been plotted as pole figures using the BEARTEX software (Wenk et al., 1998) to provide a 3D 266 visualization of the principal strain axis orientations for each scan (Fig. 8). The pole figures display 267 the 3D distributions of each strain axis in equal area projection with respect to the sample reference 268 frame. For Ti\_6, a concentration of principal axes of compression is parallel to the normal direction 269 (ND), and the principal axes of extension are aligned with the rolling direction (RD). For Ti\_6\_90d, 270 the principal axes of compression are also oriented parallel to ND, and the principal axes of extension 271 are aligned with RD, now rotated 90°.

#### 272 2.2. Deformation texture

273 The deformation texture measured in the Ti sheet is displayed with (0001) pole figures (Fig. 9A, B).

274 The crystal orientation relative to sample coordinates is determined by XMAS for each indexed

275 diffraction image based on relative peak position. Pole figures are then plotted in equal area projection

- 276 using BEARTEX. The experimentally determined pole figure for Ti\_6 shows a girdle of maxima
- along the transverse direction with a concentration of (0001) poles aligned close to the normal

278 direction. The pole figure for Ti\_6\_90d shows a 90° rotation of the girdle, still located along the

- 279 transverse direction which is now oriented vertically. The measured deformation textures are
- 280 consistent with the deformation texture of rolled Ti reduced to 3% of its original thickness (Fig. 9C)
- **281** (Blicharski et al., 1979).

#### 282 3. Discussion

#### 283 3.1. Stress and strain

 $\label{eq:mass-state-s$ 

the rolled Ti sample. The relative magnitude of the deviatoric strain tensor components (Figs. 5B, 6B)

**286** suggest compression occurred in the direction normal to the sample surface (z), the normal direction

- 287 (ND), which agrees with the deformation conditions. The rolling direction (RD) is confirmed through
- 288 observation of a consistent direction of principal extension. In the non-rotated sample, this is the y-
- axis, and in the 90° rotated sample it becomes the x-axis. Similarly, the orientation of the principal

strain axes show that the axis of maximum compression is parallel with the ND, and the axis ofmaximum extension is parallel with the RD (Fig. 8).

These findings suggest that the residual strain measured by  $\mu$ XRD is consistent with the deformation conditions used to produce rolled Ti. Hooke's law states a direct correlation between the orientation of strain to stress, thus confirming the directionality of the principal macrostress is coincident with that of the principal strains.

296 Some diffraction images could not be indexed sufficiently, likely due to high local plastic 297 strain, and thus were not used in the analysis (gray pixels in Figs. 5, 6). Plastic strain distorts the shape 298 of the reflections, and if the reflections are too highly distorted, it is difficult to accurately assign 299 Miller indices. Additionally, recrystallization, another possibility, results in many small grains and 300 thus very small diffracting volumes that produce overlapping, low intensity Laue peaks with many 301 crystallites which are difficult to index. This technique is limited to samples with large enough crystal 302 size and which have also not been severely plastically deformed. To ensure accurate analysis, a 303 minimum number of indexed peaks per diffraction pattern is necessary, and this number is dependent 304 upon the material. Quartz can have upwards of 50 peaks per diffraction pattern from an undeformed 305 sample, other materials like titanium have around 15 peaks per diffraction pattern. Approximately two 306 thirds of the expected peaks should be indexed for strong confidence in the strain measurement. If 307 indexing is less satisfactory, it is likely due to small crystallite size or high plastic deformation for 308 which alternative techniques would be more successful such as high resolution EBSD measurements 309 (Qian et al., 2017), and for samples with high amounts of plastic deformation, equations have been 310 developed to estimate the magnitude of strain based on peak shape and size (Ungár and Groma, 1989; 311 Barabash et al., 2003; Ice et al., 2004)

- The variation in stress orientation can be attributed to grain statistics and inhomogeneous stress distribution amongst the grains in the polycrystalline sample; crystal orientation, grain boundary geometries, and grain-grain interactions affect how each grain experiences stress (Wilkinson & Dingley, 1992) (Fig. 1). Additionally, only a small portion of the overall sample was measured during this experiment and, due to the large grain size, poor grain statistics could distort the representation of strain in this sample. This is likely the cause for the broadness of strain distributions around their maxima (Figs. 5B, 6B and 8).
- The equivalent stress calculations show a maximum between 400 600 MPa (Fig. 7). This is similar in magnitude to residual stress measured in a Ti-Al-V alloy (Fig. 10 in Wang et al., 2020) and low-Fe Ti alloys (Fig. 7.25 in Schajer, 2013). The broadness of equivalent strain distributions (Fig. 7), and the variation in  $\varepsilon_{zz}$  distribution (Figs. 5B & 6B) could be due to slight variations in the areas
- 323 scanned due to the manual 90° sample rotation and thus different grains were measured. This could be

324 ameliorated with the use of fiducial markers indicating scan start and stop points. A smaller raster step

325 size would also decrease the variability in strain measured due to slight differences in the scanned area

326 between sample rotations. Additionally there will be some variation in the strain measured (Figs. 5B

- 327 and 6B) because the diffraction images and the optimized indexation routine are unique for each scan.
- 328 Indexation parameters optimized for both scans could be averaged and applied such that the treatment
- 329 of Laue diffraction images was identical for both scans, which could eliminate any variation in stress
- 330 due to slight variations in indexation caused by optimization.
- 331

#### 332 3.2. Deformation texture

333 The (0001) pole figures for both scans are consistent with the deformation texture of rolled Ti (Fig. 9).

334 Compared to Blicharski et al. (1979), our images are more irregular which we attribute to grain

335 statistics with relatively few grains compared with pole figure goniometry, and representative of a

- 336 deformation texture reflective of the sample surface rather than the bulk material, as well as
- 337 inhomogeneous strain distribution in a polycrystalline material (Wilkinson & Dingley, 1992). The
- 338 deformation textures produced have been attributed to basal and pyramidal slip and twinning
- 339 (Zaefferer, 2003).

#### 340 4. Conclusions

341 The directionality of the residual strain agrees with the deformation conditions of the rolled Ti, and 342

the magnitudes of residual strain measured are in agreement with values measured in other studies.

343 This suggests that  $\mu$ XRD and XtalCAMP are effective methodologies that could be used to determine

- 344 the directionality of residual stress reflective of the macroscopic deformation endured by crystalline
- 345 materials. Our new method of residual strain pole figures provides an approach for a three-
- 346 dimensional representation of residual strain, analogous to crystallographic pole figures in texture
- 347 analysis. It also concludes that our predictions of macrostress directionality for quartzite appear to be
- 348 reliable, thus making quartz a useful "paleopiezometer" to record geological deformation histories.
- 349 Future work should be done to develop the methodology for materials with different crystal
- 350 symmetries.

351 cknowledgements All opinions expressed in this paper are the author's and do not necessarily

- 352 reflect the policies and views of DOE, ORAU, or ORISE. We would like to thank Kai Chen and
- 353 Jiawei Kou, both at Xi'an Jiaotong University, and Derek Gobeil from Yokogawa Fluid Imaging
- 354 Technologies, Inc., for their helpful discussions and feedback. We are also appreciative to comments
- 355 from the editor and two anonymous reviewers which helped improve the manuscript. This research

- **356** used Beamline 12.3.2 which is a resource of the Advanced Light Source, a U.S. DOE Office of
- 357 Science User Facility under contract no. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

#### 358 **References**

- 359 Blicharski, M., Nourbakhsh, S. & Nutting, J. (1979). Met. Sci. 13, 516–522.
- 360 Barabash, R.I., Ice, G.E. & Walker, F.J. (2003). J. Appl. Phys. 93, 1457-1464.
- 361 Britton, T.B., Dunne, F.P.E. & Wilkinson, A.J. (2015). Proc. Math. Phys. Eng. 471, 20140881.
- 362 Cauchois, R., Borbély, A., Gergaud, P., Saadaoui, M. & Inal, K. (2014). Adv. Mat. Res. 996, 930-935.
- 363 Chen, K., Kunz, M., Li, Y., Zepeda-Alarcon, E., Sintubin, M. & Wenk, H.-R. (2016). Geophys. Res.
- **364** *Lett.* **43**, 6178–6185.
- 365 Chen, K., Kunz, M., Tamura, N. & Wenk, H.-R. (2011). Eur. J. Mineral. 23, 169–178.
- 366 Dumontet, N., Connétable, D., Malard, B. & Viguier, B. (2019). Scripta Mat. 167, 115-119.
- 367 Guo, Y., Collins, D.M., Tarleton, E., Hofmann, F., Tischler, J., Liu, W., Xu, R., Wilkinson, A.J. &
- 368 Britton, T.B. (2015). Acta Mat. 96, 229–236.
- 369 Hofmann, F., Song, X., Jun, T.-S., Abbey, B., Peel, M., Daniels, J., Honkimäki, A.M., & Korsunsky,
- **370** A.M. (2010). *Mat. Lett.* **64**, 1302–1305.
- Hosford, W.F. (2005). Mechanical Behaviors of Materials. United Kingdom: Cambridge UniversityPress.
- 373 Ice, G.E., Larson, B.C., Tischler, J.Z., Liu, W. & Yang, W. (2005). Mat. Sci. Eng. A. 399, 43–48.
- 374 Ice, G.E., Larson, B.C., Yang, W., Budai, J.D., Tischler, J.Z., Pang, J.W.L., Barabash, R.I. & Liu, W.
- **375** (2004). J. Synchrotron Rad. **12**, 155-162.
- 376 Jiang, T., Wu, C., Tamura, N., Kunz, M., Kim, B.G., Son, H.-Y., Suh, M.-S. & Im, J. (2014). IEEE
- **377** *Trans. Device Mater. Reliab.* **14**, 698–703.
- 378 Krawitz, A.D. & Holden, T.M. (1990). MRS Bull. 15, 57–64.
- 379 Kwon, E.P., Sato, S., Fujieda, S., Shinoda, K., Kajiwara, K., Sato, M. & Suzuki, S. (2013). *Mat. Sci.*380 *Eng. A.* 570, 43–50.
- 381 Levine, L.E., Larson, B.C., Yang, W., Kassner, M.E., Tischler, J.Z., Delos-Reyes, M.A., Fields, R.J.
- **382** & Liu, W. (2006) *Nat. Mater.* **5**, 619–622.
- 383 Li, Y. Chen, K., Dang, X., Zhang, F., Tamura, N., Ku, C.-S., Kang, H. & Wenk, H.-R. (2020). J.
- **384** *Appl. Cryst.* **53**, 1392–1403.
- 385 Liu, A. (2005). Mechanics and Mechanism of Fracture: An Introduction, Ohio, USA: ASM
- 386 International.
- 387 Lonardelli, I., Gey, N., Wenk, H.-R., Humbert, M., Vogel, S.C. & Lutterotti, L. (2007). Acta Mat. 55,
- **388** 5718–5727.
- 389 Lütjering, G. & Williams, J.C. (2007). *Titanium*, second ed., Heidelberg: Springer.

- 390 Ma, Z.S., Zhou, Y.C., Long, S.G. & Lu, C. (2012). Surf. Coat. Technol. 207, 305–309.
- 391 Mehta, A., Gong, X.-Y., Imbeni, V., Pelton, A.R. & Ritchie, R.O. (2007). Adv. Mater. 19, 1183–1186.
- **392** Morawiec, A. (2018). J. Appl. Cryst. **51**, 148–156.
- 393 Noyan, I.C., Bunn, J.R., Tippett, M.K., Payzant, E.A., Clausen, B. & Brown, D.W. (2020) J. Appl.
- **394** *Cryst.* **52**, 494-511.
- 395 Noyan, I.C & Cohen, J. B. (1987). *Residual Stress*. New York: Springer.
- 396 Noyan, I.C., Huang, T.C. & York, B. R. (1995). Crit. Rev. Solid State and Mater. Sci. 20, 125-177.
- 397 Renversade, L. & Borbely, A. (2017). J. Appl. Cryst. 50, 1144-1157.
- 398 Robinson, J.S., Truman, C.E., Pirling, T. & Panzner, T. (2017). Mater. Sci. Forum 905, 31–39.
- 399 Schajer, G.S. (2013). Practical Residual Stress Measurement Methods. United Kingdom: Wiley.
- 400 Shen, H., Chen, K., Kou, J., Jia, Z., Tamura, N., Hua, W., Tang, W., Ehrenberg, H. & Doeff, M.
- 401 (2022). *Mater. Today* 57, 180-191.
- 402 Spolenak, R., Brown, W.L., Tamura, N., MacDowell, A.A., Celestre, R.S., Padmore, H.A., Valek, B.,
- 403 Bravman, J.C., Marieb, T., Fujimoto, H., Batterman, B.W. & Patel, J.R. (2003). Phys. Rev. Lett. 90,
- 404 096102.
- 405 Tamura, N. (2014). XMAS: a versatile tool for analyzing synchrotron microdiffraction data. In: G.
- 406 Ice, & B. Barabash, editors. Strain dislocation gradients from diffraction. Vol. 4. Singapore: World
- 407 Scientific, p. 125–55.
- 408 Tamura, N., Celestre, R.S. & MacDowell, A.A. (2002). Rev. Sci. Instrum. 73, 1369-1372.
- 409 Ungár, T. & Borbély, A. (1996). Appl. Phys. Lett. 69, 3173-3175.
- 410 Ungár, T. & Groma, I. (1988). J. Appl. Cryst. 22, 26-34.
- 411 Ungár, T., Gubicza, J., Ribárik, G. & Borbély, A. (2001). J. Appl. Cryst. 34, 298-310.
- 412 Van Puymbroeck, E., Nagy, W., Schotte, K., Ul-Abdin, Z. & De Backer, H. (2019). Appl. Sci. 9, 536.
- 413 Wang, F., Men, X., Liu, Y. & Fu, X. (2020). Simul. Model. Pract. Theory 104, 102121.
- 414 Wenk, H.-R., Chandler, B.C., Chen, J., Li, Y., Tamura, N. & Yu, R. (2020). Geophys. J. Int. 222,
- **415** 1363–1378.
- 416 Wenk, H.-R., Matthies, S., Donovan, J. & Chateigner, D. (1998). J. Appl. Cryst. 31, 262-269.
- 417 Wilkinson, A.J. & Dingley, D.J. (1992). Acta Metall. Mater. 40, 3357–3368.
- 418 Wissink, M.L., Chen, Y., Frost, M.J., Curran, S.J., Rios, O., Sims, Z.C., Weiss, D., Stromme, E.T. &
- 419 An, K. (2020). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 117, 33061–33071.
- 420 Withers, P.J. (2007). *Reports on Progress in Physics* **70**, 2211–2264.
- 421 Zaefferer, S. (2003). *Mat. Sci. Eng.*: A. 344, 20–30.