

# UCSF

## UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

### Title

Looking beyond the guidelines for perioperative antibiotics in nephrolithiasis

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7r19n922>

### Journal

Translational Andrology and Urology, 3(3)

### ISSN

2223-4683

### Authors

Chi, Thomas  
Taylor, Eric  
Stoller, Marshall L

### Publication Date

2014-09-01

### DOI

10.3978/j.issn.2223-4683.2014.08.01

Peer reviewed

# Looking beyond the guidelines for perioperative antibiotics in nephrolithiasis

Thomas Chi, Eric Taylor, Marshall L. Stoller

Department of Urology, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA

*Correspondence to:* Thomas Chi. Assistant Professor, Department of Urology, University of California, 400 Parnassus Ave, 6th Floor Urology Clinics, Box 0638, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA. Email: tchi@urology.ucsf.edu; Marshall L. Stoller, M.D. Professor and Vice Chair, Department of Urology, University of California, 400 Parnassus Ave, 6th Floor Urology Clinics, Box 0638, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA. Email: MStoller@urology.ucsf.edu.

Submitted Jul 09, 2014. Accepted for publication Aug 11, 2014.

doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2223-4683.2014.08.01

**View this article at:** <http://dx.doi.org/10.3978/j.issn.2223-4683.2014.08.01>

Establishing guidelines for the use of perioperatively antibiotics for patients being treated for urinary stone disease presents a particularly challenging clinical issue. Nephrolithiasis patients represent a variety of etiologies, from obstructive to infectious stones, and their treatment ranges from practically non-invasive in the form of extracorporeal shockwave therapy to relatively invasive with percutaneous approaches. In addition, within each procedure type, there is a large gradation in level of associated morbidity—a ureteroscopy with a basket stone extraction for a distal 5 mm stone likely represents a much different infectious risk compared to a ureteroscopy with laser lithotripsy for a proximal 1 cm ureteral stone requiring ureteral orifice dilation along with access sheath placement. Therefore, the application of guidelines to even one type of procedure may not encapsulate the complex diorama that embodies stone patients and their treatments. As the authors very nicely summarized (1), recommendations regarding antibiotic use for stone procedures reflect an

extremely broad and varied number of practice patterns. What this points to is the pressing need for better research to understand markers to predict which patients will develop infection postoperatively and how to best apply appropriate antibiotics for these patients. Additionally, this highlights the need for a better biomarker for infection than traditional urine or even stone cultures in order for us all to more safely manage our nephrolithiasis patients.

## Acknowledgements

*Disclosure:* The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Motamedinia P, Korets R, Badalato G, et al. Perioperative cultures and the role of antibiotics during stone surgery. *Transl Androl Urol* 2014;3:297-301.

**Cite this article as:** Chi T, Taylor E, Stoller ML. Looking beyond the guidelines for perioperative antibiotics in nephrolithiasis. *Transl Androl Urol* 2014;3(3):302. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2223-4683.2014.08.01