## UCSF

### UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

### Title

Associations between APOL1 genetic variants and blood pressure in African American mothers and children from a U.S. pregnancy cohort: Modification by air pollution exposures

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7t55s20k

Journal Environmental Research, 212(Pt A)

ISSN

0013-9351

Authors

Ni, Yu Simpson, Claire L Davis, Robert L <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2022-09-01

DOI 10.1016/j.envres.2022.113186

Peer reviewed



# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Environ Res.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 September 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Environ Res. 2022 September ; 212(Pt A): 113186. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2022.113186.

## Associations between *APOL1* genetic variants and blood pressure in African American Mothers and Children from a U.S. Pregnancy Cohort: modification by air pollution exposures

Yu Ni, Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>,

Claire L. Simpson, Ph.D.<sup>2</sup>,

Robert L. Davis, M.D., MPH<sup>3,4</sup>,

Adam A. Szpiro, Ph.D.<sup>5</sup>,

Catherine J. Karr, M.D., Ph.D.<sup>1,6,7</sup>,

Csaba P. Kovesdy, M.D.<sup>8,9</sup>,

Rebecca C. Hjorten, M.D.<sup>10</sup>,

Frances A. Tylavsky, DrPH<sup>11</sup>,

Nicole R. Bush, Ph.D.<sup>12,13</sup>,

Kaja Z. LeWinn, ScD<sup>12</sup>,

Cheryl A. Winkler, Ph.D.<sup>14</sup>,

Jeffrey B. Kopp, M.D.<sup>15</sup>,

Yoshitsugu Obi, M.D., Ph.D.<sup>16,17</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, School of Public Health, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, U.S.A.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Genetics, Genomics and Informatics, College of Medicine, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN, U.S.A.

Institutional Review Board Approval

Declaration of interests

Corresponding author: Name: Yu Ni, Postdoctoral scholar, niyu@uw.edu, Phone: 857-210-7019, Address: 4225 Roosevelt Way NE, Room 301, Seattle, WA, 98105.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants at enrollment. All CANDLE research activities were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Tennessee Health Sciences Center, and this secondary analysis was approved by the University of Washington Human Subjects Division.

Disclosures

Dr. Csaba Kovesdy has served as consultant for Amgen, Astra Zeneca, Bayer, Cara Therapeutics, Reata, Sanofi Aventis, Takeda and Tricida. Dr. Adam Szpiro has served as consultant for the Health Effects Institute on the traffic-related air pollution panel. Their freedom to design, conduct, interpret and publish research is not compromised by these roles. The other authors declare they have no actual or potential competing financial interests.

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

The data from the Conditions Affecting Neurocognitive Development and Learning in Early Childhood (CANDLE) Study can be requested from the study website (https://candlestudy.uthsc.edu/research/guidelines-collaboration). The computing code in R can be obtained from the corresponding author via email request.

<sup>3</sup>Center for Biomedical Informatics, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN, U.S.A.

<sup>4</sup>·Department of Pediatrics, College of Medicine, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN, U.S.A.

<sup>5</sup> Department of Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, U.S.A.

<sup>6</sup>. Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, U.S.A.

<sup>7</sup> Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, U.S.A.

<sup>8</sup> Division of Nephrology, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN, U.S.A.

<sup>9</sup>Nephrology Section, Memphis VA Medical Center, Memphis, TN, U.S.A.

<sup>10.</sup>Pediatrics Division of Nephrology, Seattle Children's Hospital, Seattle, WA, U.S.A.

<sup>11.</sup>Department of Preventive Medicine, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN, U.S.A.

<sup>12</sup>.Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, School of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, U.S.A.

<sup>13.</sup>Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, U.S.A.

<sup>14</sup>·Basic Research Laboratory, Molecular Genetic Epidemiology Section, Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, Frederick, MD, U.S.A.

<sup>15</sup>Kidney Disease Section, Kidney Diseases Branch, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, U.S.A.

<sup>16</sup> Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS, U.S.A.

<sup>17.</sup>Department of Medicine-Nephrology, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN, U.S.A.

#### Abstract

**Introduction:** Carriage of high-risk *APOL1* genetic variants is associated with increased risks for kidney diseases in people of African descent. Less is known about the variants' associations with blood pressure or potential moderators.

**Methods:** We investigated these associations in a pregnancy cohort of 556 women and 493 children identified as African American. Participants with two *APOL1* risk alleles were defined as having the high-risk genotype. Blood pressure in both populations was measured at the child's 4–6 years visit. We fit multivariate linear and Poisson regressions and further adjusted for population stratification to estimate the *APOL1*-blood pressure associations. We also examined the associations modified by air pollution exposures (particulate matter  $2.5\mu m$  in aerodynamic

diameter  $[PM_{2.5}]$  and nitrogen dioxide) and explored other moderators such as health conditions and behaviors.

**Results:** Neither *APOL1* risk alleles nor risk genotypes had a main effect on blood pressure in mothers or children. However, each  $2-\mu g/m^3$  increase of four-year average PM<sub>2.5</sub> was associated with a 16.3 (95% CI: 5.7, 26.9) mmHg higher diastolic blood pressure in mothers with the *APOL1* high-risk genotype, while the estimated effect was much smaller in mothers with the low-risk genotype (i.e., 2.9 [95% CI: -3.1, 8.8] mmHg; P<sub>interaction</sub>=0.01). Additionally, the associations of *APOL1* risk alleles and the high-risk genotype with high blood pressure (i.e., SBP and/or DBP 90<sup>th</sup> percentile) were stronger in girls vs. boys (P<sub>interaction</sub>=0.02 and 0.005, respectively).

**Conclusion:** This study sheds light on the distribution of high blood pressure by *APOL1* genetic variants and informs regulatory policy to protect vulnerable population subgroups.

#### Keywords

*APOL1* genetic variants; blood pressure; hypertension; air pollution exposures; child health; Gene–environment interaction

#### Introduction:

Adult hypertension is the most common chronic disease leading to office visits and the use of prescription drugs in the U.S (CDC, 2020; Whelton Paul K. et al., 2018) and is a major risk factor for adverse cardiovascular and renal outcomes (Flint et al., 2019; Tang et al., 2018). In children, elevated blood pressure may persist over time and progress to clinical hypertension in adulthood (Chen and Wang, 2008). Persistent racial disparities exist in many aspects of hypertension: compared to Whites, African Americans develop high blood pressure (HBP) earlier in life with a higher average blood pressure (Goulding et al., 2021; Wright et al., 2011). They are also disproportionally affected by complications attributed to hypertension, particularly chronic kidney disease (CKD) (Writing Group Members et al., 2016). Along with well-established risk factors for this health concern, including socioeconomic status and lifestyle factors, heritability estimates indicate that genetic polymorphisms also contribute to blood pressure traits in people of African descent.

Approximately half of African Americans carry at least one kidney disease-associated risk variant (G1 or G2 vs. G0) in the apolipoprotein L1 gene (*APOL1*) on chromosome 22, and 12-15% carry two risk variants (Friedman et al., 2011). *APOL1* G1 (rs73885319/ rs60910145) and G2 (rs71785313) risk alleles, found only in people of African descent, confer resistance to some forms of African trypanosomiasis (Genovese et al., 2010a). Adult and pediatric data suggest individuals with two variant alleles are at higher risk for albuminuria and various forms of glomerular kidney disease (Kopp et al., 2011; Ekulu et al., 2019; Genovese et al., 2010b; Zahr et al., 2019; Ashley-Koch et al., 2011; Kopp, 2013). However, the role of *APOL1* risk variants in hypertension is unclear. To the best of our knowledge, only four studies, three in the U.S. and one in South Africa, have characterized this association in adults, with inconclusive results (Chen Teresa K. et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2017; Matsha et al., 2015; Nadkarni et al., 2017). The one pediatric study published to date reported a positive association between the *APOL1* high-risk genotype and

uncontrolled hypertension in American children with focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) (Woroniecki et al., 2016). This relationship has not previously been examined in the general pediatric population.

Only some individuals with two *APOL1* risk variants develop hypertension and/or overt kidney disease, suggesting a possible role for gene-environment interactions (Langefeld et al., 2018). Mounting evidence from animal and human adult studies implicate air pollution in adverse cardiovascular and kidney outcomes (Brook et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2020). Particular attention has been directed toward ambient particulate matter  $2.5\mu$ m in aerodynamic diameter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) (Giorgini et al., 2016; Li et al., 2020; Ni et al., 2021). To date, only one study in New York City has estimated the association between one-year average PM<sub>2.5</sub> and CKD by *APOL1* genotypes in older African Americans, and reported a significantly stronger association in the high-risk *APOL1* group (Paranjpe et al., 2020). However, there are no published data examining this *APOL1*-air pollution exposure interaction on blood pressure.

In the present study, we investigated associations between *APOL1* genetic variants and blood pressure in African American mothers and children, and we assessed modification of these associations by air pollution exposures, using data from a community-based pregnancy cohort in Southern U.S. Further, we explored potential modifications of the *APOL1*-blood pressure associations by history of hypertensive disorders in pregnancy, obesity, and smoking history in mothers, and the potential modifications by sex, preterm birth, prenatal smoking exposures, and obesity in children.

#### Methods:

#### Subjects

Study subjects were African American mothers and children from the Conditions Affecting Neurocognitive Development and Learning in Early Childhood (CANDLE) study in Memphis, Tennessee. Participants were pregnant women with a singleton low risk pregnancy, aged 16-40, recruited at 16-27 weeks of gestation, residing in Shelby County, Tennessee. More details of the sampling, recruitment and data collection have been described elsewhere (Sontag-Padilla et al., 2015). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants at enrollment. All CANDLE research activities were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Tennessee Health Sciences Center, and this secondary analysis was approved by the University of Washington Human Subjects Division.

We defined the study population using self-reported race, as only a subset of participants had the entire set of genotype data available to determine ancestry. Starting with 999 mothers who self-identified as African American, we excluded those without DNA genotyping (N=83) or valid blood pressure measurements at the child 4-6 years visit (N=313), and further excluded those who were pregnant at blood pressure assessment (N=47). Among 786 parental-identified African American children, we excluded 141 without DNA genotyping and 152 without valid blood pressure measurement. The final analytic sample comprised 556 mothers and 493 children.

#### APOL1 genotype assessment

Maternal DNA was extracted from buffy coat specimens, separated from whole blood by centrifuging at 3000 rpm for 10 minutes and aliquoted to 500 µl in cryovials. Child DNA was isolated from either the buffy coat blood sample (N=289) or cells collected by buccal swabs (N=204). TaqMan assays (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) were used for DNA genotyping. The APOL1 G1 allele is composed of two missense variants in very high linkage disequilibrium, rs73885319 (G1g) and rs60910145 (G1m), and we considered it sufficient to use only the G1g variant to define this risk allele (Kopp et al., 2011). The APOL1 G2 allele consists of rs717185313, a 6 bp in-frame deletion. To examine whether allele dropout resulted in an excess of G2 homozygosity, we performed quality control measures of repeated PCR with and without a preamplification step, Sanger sequencing, and manual visualization of genotype clusters (Baak-Pablo et al., 2010). The results supported the robustness and reproducibility of our genotype assignments. We obtained the counts of APOL1 risk alleles for each participant as follows: zero risk allele: G0/G0; one risk allele: G1/G0 or G2/G0; two risk alleles: G1/G1, G1/G2, or G2/G2. High-risk genotypes were further defined as those containing two high-risk alleles (G1/G1, G1/G2, or G2/G2), and low-risk genotypes were defined as those containing zero or one risk allele.

#### Blood pressure assessment

At the child age 4-6 years visit, maternal and child blood pressure measurements were obtained using a blood pressure monitor (model BPM-100 from BpTRU Medical Devices, Coquitlam, BC, Canada), according to a standardized protocol (NHANES 2015-2016 Procedure Manuals). Arm circumference was measured to select the correct cuff size. Following at least two minutes of rest in a quiet room, blood pressure was measured twice in the right arm at heart level. In mothers, measurements were repeated up to four times if there was a greater than 10 mmHg discrepancy in systolic blood pressure (SBP) and/or a greater than 6 mmHg discrepancy in diastolic blood pressure (DBP). Final blood pressure values were calculated by averaging the measurements within a 10-mmHg difference in SBP and a 6-mmHg difference in DBP among measurements. Maternal hypertension was defined as 130 mmHg SBP and/or 80 mmHg DBP (Whelton Paul K. et al., 2018). In children, up to four measurements were taken if there was a discrepancy >5 mmHg in either SBP or DBP. Final blood pressure values were calculated by averaging the measurements within a 5-mmHg difference among measurements. Following recommendations of the American Academy of Pediatrics 2017 Clinical Practice Guideline, we calculated sex-, ageand height specific blood pressure percentile based on the U.S. pediatric population with normal weight, and further characterized HBP as SBP and/or DBP at 90th percentile and above (Flynn et al., 2017).

#### Effect modifiers

Using participants' residential address history, point-based  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $NO_2$  exposures were estimated from a well-validated advanced spatiotemporal model (mean square error-based  $R^2$ : 0.80-0.93 for the  $PM_{2.5}$  model and 0.74-0.89 for the  $NO_2$  model) (Keller et al., 2015; Kirwa et al., 2021). The model was informed by monitoring data from regulatory networks and was further enhanced by air pollution measurements from intensive research

cohort-specific monitors. We used a geographic information system to identify covariates representing land-use characteristics that could reflect spatial variability in air pollution distribution, and the dimension-reduced regression covariates were obtained using partial least squares. The space-time features of pollution concentrations were decomposed into spatially varying long-term averages, spatially varying seasonal and long-term trends, and spatially correlated but temporally independent residuals. These components were fitted jointly in a likelihood-based spatiotemporal extension of universal kriging. Biweekly NO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> predictions were estimated from region-specific models and were aggregated over the whole pregnancy and the postnatal windows from childbirth to four years old.

Other potential effect modifiers were also explored. History of hypertensive disorders in pregnancy was collected from both medical records and questionnaires. Mothers who reported smoking at enrollment or had urinary cotinine concentrations 200 ng/mL in the third trimester were classified as positive for pregnancy smoking (Benowitz et al., 2009). Maternal smoking history was determined using questionnaires that were administered from enrollment to the child age 4-6 years visit, combined with urinary cotinine aforementioned. Maternal and child body mass index (BMI) were derived from height and weight measured at the visit of outcome assessment. We characterized mothers as obese if they had a BMI 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, and classified children as obese at or above the BMI 95<sup>th</sup> percentile in the pediatric population of the same age and sex (Grummer-Strawn et al., 2010; Jensen et al., 2014). Child sex was obtained from birth records, and preterm birth was defined as birth before 37 completed weeks of gestation.

#### Covariates

Several maternal and child characteristics, mostly socioeconomic indicators, were considered precision variables in this study. Maternal characteristics included age at blood pressure assessment, income adjusted by household size (Burniaux et al., 1998), education, and insurance coverage. Child characteristics included age and height at outcome assessment, and current use of medication that may increase blood pressure, including albuterol, methylphenidate, and glucocorticoids. Other covariates included recruitment site and time splines of birthday date and visit date. Considering that African Americans manifest substantial population substructure, a well-established confounder in genetic association studies, we used standard protocols to apply principal component analysis (PCA) to a subset of study participants with complete genome-wide association study (GWAS) data to determine population stratification (Jolliffe, 2002). PCA was conducted using EIGENSOFT (Patterson et al., 2006; Price et al., 2006), and outliers were identified and removed before eigenvectors and eigenvalues were generated.

#### Statistical analysis

We summarized the maternal and child characteristics overall and by *APOL1* genetic variants and estimated the distributions of genetic polymorphisms and blood pressure measurements. Using the complete data, we performed linear regressions with robust standard error to estimate the associations of *APOL1* risk allele counts and *APOL1* risk genotypes with blood pressure in mothers and blood pressure percentiles in children. We performed Poisson regressions with robust standard error to quantify the relative risk (RR)

of hypertension in mothers and HBP in children. A hierarchical adjustment approach using two models for each population was implemented. In mothers, Model 1 (the minimally adjusted model) controlled for age and BMI at outcome assessment, overall smoking history, and recruitment site; Model 2 (the fully adjusted model) was additionally adjusted for education, income adjusted by household size, and insurance coverage. In children, Model 1 controlled for age, height, and BMI z-score at outcome assessment, sex, gestational age, medication use that may increase blood pressure, and recruitment site. Model 2 extended Model 1 and included maternal education levels, income adjusted by household size, and maternal insurance coverage.

In the secondary analyses of effect modifications, we included cross-product terms of each *APOL1* indicator (*APOL1* risk allele counts and *APOL1* risk genotypes) and the individual effect modifier in the fully adjusted models. To enable comparisons across studies,  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $NO_2$  were rescaled to two-unit increments of the predictions in each window, which were close to interquartile ranges (IQR) for exposures across different windows in Memphis, Tennessee. The other effect modifiers were treated as binary variables. In all the interaction models with air pollutions, time splines of visit date with 1 degree of freedom per year (df/year) were further adjusted to capture secular trends of blood pressure. In children, we extensively included time splines of conception date (4 df/year for prenatal  $PM_{2.5}$ ; 1 df/year for  $NO_2$  in each window and postnatal  $PM_{2.5}$ ) to account for enrollment patterns.

In the first sensitivity analysis, we repeated the primary and secondary analyses with an additional adjustment of population stratification in Model 2 in 427 mothers and 290 children with available GWAS data. We were able to capture the majority of variation across the subpopulations by incorporating the first four principal components in the models of mothers, and the first three principal components in the models of children. Additionally, to verify that the observed associations were not biased by unmeasured CKD, we performed a deterministic sensitivity analysis to estimate an external CKD-adjusted RR of the *APOL1* high-risk genotype on maternal hypertension upon specification of three hypothetical values for the bias parameters, including the RR of CKD on hypertension, the prevalence of CKD in the *APOL1* high-risk and low-risk genotype groups (Greenland, 1996). Finally, we performed a post-hoc analysis to estimate linearity of the association between DBP and four-year average  $PM_{2.5}$  by *APOL1* risk genotype in mothers using fully adjusted generalized additive models, and further truncated  $PM_{2.5}$  at 9-11 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. The analyses were conducted in R 3.6.1 (R Core Team) and Stata 15 (StataCorp).

#### Results

#### Characteristics of the study population

The retention of the CANDLE study from enrollment to the child 4-6 years visit as well as the sample sizes for primary and sensitivity analyses are illustrated in Figure 1. The analytic sample of 556 African American mothers was on average 30.5 (SD: 5.3) years old (Table 1). More than 70% had a high school education or less, and the median annual income adjusted for household size was \$8,300. More than half were classified as obese, 22% had ever smoked, and 14% developed hypertensive disorders in previous pregnancies. The aggregated PM<sub>2.5</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> from childbirth to age 4 were on average 10.0 (SD: 0.5)  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and 9.7 (SD:

1.9) ppb, respectively. Most mothers had zero (43%) or one (46%) *APOL1* allele, and only 58 (10%) had the *APOL1* high-risk genotype with two risk alleles. Average SBP and DBP were 118.9 (SD: 14.8) and 77.5 (SD: 10.9) mmHg, respectively (Appendix Figure A.1). There were 231 (42%) mothers classified as hypertensive.

The 493 CANDLE children were on average 4.1 (SD: 0.5) years old, with an equal sex distribution (Table 2). Of these children, 12% had prenatal smoking exposures, 9% were born preterm, and 17% were classified as obese at the age 4-6 years visit. The average  $PM_{2.5}$  in the overall pre- and postnatal period were 10.8 (SD: 0.9) and 10.0 (0.5)  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively. Pre- and postnatal NO<sub>2</sub> had a corresponding average of 9.1 (SD: 2.5) and 9.7 (SD: 2.0) ppb. The proportions of children with zero, one, or two *APOL1* risk alleles were 43%, 42%, and 15%, respectively. SBP percentile was relatively normally distributed (Appendix Figure A.2), with an average of 49.8 (SD: 26.2), while DBP percentile was left skewed with a median of 83 (IQR: 29). There were 154 (31.2%) children with a SBP and/or DBP 90<sup>th</sup> percentile classified as HBP.

#### Primary associations between APOL1 and blood pressure

We did not find statistically significant evidence to support an overall association of *APOL1* risk allele counts or *APOL1* risk genotypes with blood pressure, as assessed by multivariate linear and Poisson regressions, in either mothers or children (Figure 2 and Appendix Table A.1). The associations with the greatest magnitude were found in the recessive models in children: the *APOL1* high-risk genotype was associated with a higher SBP percentile ( $\beta$ : 1.57, 95%CI: -5.41, 8.85), but a lower DBP percentile ( $\beta$ : -2.62, 95%CI: -8.27, 3.03), and these results had large statistical uncertainty as shown. The rest of the point estimates were very closer to null.

#### **Effect Modification Analyses**

There was a minor correlation between  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $NO_2$  (Spearman correlation: 0.28). We investigated whether these two air pollutants modified the associations between *APOL1* and blood pressure (Table 3). To enhance communication of findings, we present the results as the associations between air pollution exposures and blood pressure modified by *APOL1* risk variants. Significant interactions of  $PM_{2.5}$  averaged from birth to four-year-old birthday with maternal *APOL1* risk genotypes were found for DBP: each 2-µg/m<sup>3</sup> higher  $PM_{2.5}$  was associated with a 16.33 (95% CI: 5.73, 26.94) mmHg and a 2.85 (95% CI: -3.10, 8.79) mmHg higher DBP in mothers with the *APOL1* high-risk and low-risk genotypes, respectively ( $P_{interaction}$ : 0.01). We did not find similar interactive effects between *APOL1* risk alleles and  $PM_{2.5}$  on the associations were not modified by four-year average  $NO_2$  in mothers. Similarly, the *APOL1*-air pollution exposure interactions did not affect the associations with blood pressure in children.

We further examined other potential effect modifiers, including history of hypertensive disorders in previous pregnancies, obesity and smoking history in mothers, and sex, obesity, preterm birth, and prenatal smoking exposure in children (Appendix Table A.2). The results suggested that there were sex-specific associations of HBP with *APOL1* risk alleles and risk

genotypes in children. The RR comparing those with one count increase of *APOL1* risk alleles to one count lower was 1.33 (95%CI: 0.95, 1.86) in girls and 0.82 (95%CI: 0.65, 1.04) in boys (P<sub>interaction</sub>: 0.02). There was a 0.87 (RR: 1.87, 95%CI: 1.16, 3.03) higher risk of HBP in girls comparing those with the high-risk genotype to those with low-risk genotype, but a 0.34 (RR: 0.66, 95%CI: 0.38, 1.13) reduced risk in boys (P<sub>interaction</sub>: 0.005). We did not find evidence to support a role for other modifiers.

#### Sensitivity Analysis

After additionally adjusting for population stratification, the effect estimates for the APOLIblood pressure associations were mostly augmented in children but were unchanged for mothers, although all the confidence intervals included null (Appendix Table A.3). In addition, significant interactive effects between PM2.5 averaged from childbirth to four-yearold birthday and maternal APOL1 risk genotypes on DBP remained (Appendix Table A.4). Sex-specific associations of child HBP with APOL1 risk allele and risk genotypes were also identified (Appendix Table A.5). In the deterministic sensitivity analysis, we assumed that the prevalence of CKD was 6.7% and 1.7% in the APOL1 high-risk and low-risk genotype group, respectively, based on the previous literature (Foster et al., 2013). When varying the RR of CKD on hypertension at 1.54 (Stage I CKD vs. non-CKD), 2.06 (Stage II CKD vs. non-CKD), 2.57 (Stage III CKD vs. non-CKD), and 3.61 (Stage IV CKD vs. non-CKD) (Tedla et al., 2011), the external CKD-adjusted RR of the APOL1 high-risk genotype on maternal hypertension were 0.98, 0.96, 0.94, and 0.90, respectively, compared to the observed univariate RR of 1.01. In the post-hoc analysis with generalized additive model (Appendix Figure A.3), we observed an imprecise upward trend of SBP along with increasing PM2.5 levels in mothers with the APOL1 high-risk genotype (P: 0.08) but a flat inverted U-shape association in the low-risk genotype group (P: 0.83). After PM2.5 being truncated at 9-11 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, we still visualized an upward trend of PM<sub>2 5</sub>-DBP associations in mothers with the APOL1 high-risk genotype, with the possibility that the association was driven by the high  $PM_{2.5}$  exposures.

#### Discussion

Using prospective data from the CANDLE cohort, we found no associations of *APOL1* risk alleles and *APOL1* risk genotypes with blood pressure in African American mothers or children. Analyses with and without population stratification adjustments both suggested two findings. First, four-year average  $PM_{2.5}$  exposure was related to a greater increase in DBP in the mothers with the *APOL1* high-risk genotype, compared to their counterparts with the *APOL1* low-risk genotype. Second, girls with *APOL1* risk alleles or the high-risk genotype had a higher risk of HBP than boys with the same *APOL1* risk variants.

The null results for associations between *APOL1* risk variants and blood pressure in mothers are consistent with the two multi-site U.S. studies by Chen et al. (2017 and 2020), one from the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults cohort, and another from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis study of an elderly population (Chen Teresa K. et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2017). However, another U.S. study using data from the Bio*Me* discovery cohort reported a positive association between *APOL1* risk alleles and SBP in the

20 to 39 year age group (Nadkarni et al., 2017). Similarly, a study of mixed-ancestry South Africans found relationships between the *APOL1* G2 risk allele and SBP, more pronounced in adults with diabetes (Matsha et al., 2015). The only analysis based on pediatric data, from the Chronic Kidney Disease in Children study, showed a higher prevalence of uncontrolled hypertension in the *APOL1* high-risk genotype group, but this study was limited to children with FSGS (Woroniecki et al., 2016).

Apart from the population heterogeneities across studies, two other factors may contribute to our null results. First, none of the known genetic variants associated with CKD, including *APOL1*, appear to fully explain the excess burden of hypertension in African Americans (Simino et al., 2012; Trudu et al., 2013; Tu and Pratt, 2013). Second, it remains obscure whether blood pressure is a mediator, a potentiator, or an aftereffect for the association between *APOL1* genetic variants and kidney diseases (Nadkarni and Coca, 2017). We conducted an external adjustment of CKD in the deterministic sensitivity analysis and found no change in our conclusions. Perhaps the *APOL1*-blood pressure association exists but cannot be detected in a young and low risk population, as exemplified by the CANDLE participants. It appears that subclinical *APOL1*-related nephropathy does not manifest itself as elevated blood pressure strongly early in life.

We found a stronger association between four-year average PM2.5 and DBP in mothers with the APOL1 high-risk genotype. To date, only one study in New York City has estimated similar interactive effects, and it reported a significantly greater risk of CKD with higher one-year average PM2.5 exposures in the APOL1 high-risk subgroup of adult African Americans (Paranjpe et al., 2020). Several other studies quantified the effects of gene-air pollution interactions on various cardiovascular outcomes, and mostly focused on individual polymorphisms in the angiotensin pathway or single candidate genes in the oxidative stress defense pathway (Mordukhovich et al., 2009; Wilker et al., 2010; Zanobetti et al., 2011). Akin to our study, a key feature of these studies is that the estimated effects of interaction terms were quite large, with the adverse response to air pollution essentially only found in subgroups with the unfavorable polymorphisms. As with other genetic variants being investigated, APOL1 risk variants may share biomechanisms influencing PM<sub>2.5</sub>-related cardiovascular outcomes, including inflammasome activation, endothelial dysfunction, and altered high-density lipoprotein profiles (Brook and Rajagopalan, 2012; Gaio et al., 2019; Lenters et al., 2010). This finding highlights the extra vulnerability to future vascular dysfunction and/or CKD in young women with the APOL1 high-risk genotype when exposed to air pollution. Further investigations are required to explore the potential mechanism for this gene-environmental interaction.

We also detected sex-specific associations between *APOL1* risk variants and HBP in children from both additive and recessive genetic models, with more pronounced effects in girls. Nevertheless, the adult study based on the African American Study of Kidney Disease and Hypertension did not find a sex difference in the associations between *APOL1* risk alleles and CKD progression (Chen et al., 2015). Similarly, a South African study of mixed-ancestry adults also reported that sex did not modify the relationships of *APOL1* risk variants with blood pressure and kidney functions (Matsha et al., 2015). Adult studies indicated that CKD affects more women than men (Carrero et al., 2018). However, national

representative data showed a greater proportion of boys with elevated blood pressure than girls in the past decade (Al Kibria et al., 2019), but a comparable prevalence of hypertension between sex in African American adults (Fryar et al., 2017). Existing data have indicated that estrogens alone or combined with progestins may have beneficial cardiovascular effects in women (Vitale et al., 2009), although this potential protection can be inconsequential in early childhood. The variation in lifestyle factors between boys and girls may partially contribute to the sex-specific association found in this analysis. Previous studies also suggested that lipid-related genes may have sex-specific effects on metabolism of lipoproteins and atherogenesis in women, because the function of their encoded lectinlike oxidized low-density lipoprotein receptor relies on sex hormones and hormone receptors (Wang et al., 2011; Wittrup et al., 2000). At this time, pediatric data are insufficient to draw conclusions.

Several other potential modifiers were examined in the current study, such as hypertensive disorder in mothers and preterm birth in children, and none were significant. To our knowledge, these modifiers have not been previously investigated for the *APOL1*-blood pressure association. However, these factors are well-established determinants of high blood pressure and were associated with *APOL1* risk variants in several other studies. Reidy and Hjorten et al. (2018) reported that fetal, not maternal, *APOL1* risk variants increased the risk of preeclampsia among young African American women (Reidy and Hjorten et al., 2018). Chen et al. (2015) concluded that obesity was associated with lower risk of *APOL1*-related CKD progression, but they found no difference in effect by smoking status (Chen et al., 2015). Additionally, the study by Ng et al. (2017) estimated an odds ratio of 4.6 for preterm birth comparing the *APOL1* high-risk genotype to low-risk genotype in African American children with glomerular disease (Ng et al., 2017). The current analysis is likely underpowered to reveal the modified associations by these factors, and future studies of larger cohorts of well-characterized populations are warranted to verify our hypotheses.

The present study has several strengths. First, it is one of the very few population studies to examine the associations between *APOL1* risk variant and blood pressure, particularly including general pediatric data. Second, to our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate several modifiable moderators for the *APOL1*-blood pressure associations, including spatiotemporally resolved PM<sub>2.5</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> estimated from a well-validated advanced model. Third, we conducted PCA with a strict protocol to maximally remove linkage disequilibrium and capture population substructure.

There are also a few major limitations to be acknowledged. Firstly, we had a limited statistical power to detect effect modifiers, particularly in the analysis with population stratification adjustments, which caused a large uncertainty in our findings. Moreover, although the CANDLE study recruited women with a low-risk pregnancy, it is possible that some women developed chronic diseases such as hypertension and diabetes, and/or drug addiction during follow-up. Failure to account for these factors and their treatments/ interventions due to data unavailability may distort the associations of interest. In addition, measurement of maternal and child blood pressure was performed at one time point (at child 4–6 years visit). As such, the ascertainment of hypertension and HBP do not meet the clinical definition, and potential misclassification may have occurred (Du et

al., 2019; Gillman and Cook, 1995; Pickering et al., 2005). Furthermore, we did not include longitudinal measurements of blood pressure and biomarkers of kidney function in this analysis, which could have provided additional insights to support the mechanistic hypotheses that *APOL1* risk variants contribute to CKD development with the involvement of increased blood pressure. Longitudinal blood pressure measurements may also reduce random error from outcome assessments on a single occasion. Lastly, our findings in the effect modifier analyses need to be interpreted with caution owing to the multiple comparisons.

Despite these limitations, our study concludes that *APOL1* risk variants are not associated with maternal or child blood pressure in a community-based cohort in the U.S. Importantly, there was suggestive evidence of gene-environmental interactions between *APOL1* risk variants and PM<sub>2.5</sub> in mothers and sex-specific associations in girls, and this finding warrants further exploration.

#### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank all the participating families and study team in the CADNEL cohort. All aspects of study design, data analysis, result interpretations, article writing, and revision were carried out by the authors. All coauthors have provided the corresponding author with permission to be named in the manuscript. The manuscript has been reviewed by the PATHWAYS research team for scientific content and consistency of data interpretation with previous PATHWAYS publications. The manuscript has not been formally reviewed by the EPA or the Department of Health and Human Services. The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the view or policy of the U.S Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Department of Health and Human Services, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the government.

#### Sources of Funding

This research is supported by the ECHO PATHWAYS consortium (NIH: UG3/UH3OD023271 and P30ES007033). The CANDLE study is funded by both the Urban Child Institute and NIH (1R01HL109977). Air pollution models were developed under STAR research assistance agreements, No. RD831697 (MESA Air) and RD-83830001 (MESA Air Next Stage), RD83479601 (UW Center for Clean Air Research), and R83374101 (MESA Coarse), awarded by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, with additional grants R56ES026528, R01ES023500, and R01ES025888 from NIEHS and P01AG055367 from NIA. Funding was also provided by Kresge Foundation Grant No. 243365. This research is also supported in part by the National Institutes of Health and the National Cancer Institute Intramural Research Program (HHSN26120080001E). Dr. Jeffrey Kopp is supported by the Intramural Research Program, NIDDK, NIH.

#### Abbreviations:

APOL1	the apolipoprotein L1 gene
BMI	body mass index
CANDLE	the Conditions Affecting Neurocognitive Development and Learning in Early Childhood
СКД	chronic kidney disease
DBP	diastolic blood pressure

df	degree of freedom
FSGS	focal segmental glomerulosclerosis
GWAS	genome-wide association study
HBP	high blood pressure
IQR	interquartile ranges
NO <sub>2</sub>	nitrogen dioxide
PCA	principal component analysis
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	particulate matter $2.5\mu$ m in aerodynamic diameter
RR	relative risk
SBP	systolic blood pressure

#### **References:**

- Al Kibria GM, Swasey K, Sharmeen A, Day B, 2019. Estimated Change in Prevalence and Trends of Childhood Blood Pressure Levels in the United States After Application of the 2017 AAP Guideline. Prev Chronic Dis 16, E12. 10.5888/pcd16.180528 [PubMed: 30702999]
- Ashley-Koch AE, Okocha EC, Garrett ME, Soldano K, De Castro LM, Jonassaint JC, Orringer EP, Eckman JR, Telen MJ, 2011. MYH9 and APOL1 are both associated with sickle cell disease nephropathy. Br. J. Haematol 155, 386–394. 10.1111/j.1365-2141.2011.08832.x [PubMed: 21910715]
- Baak-Pablo R, Dezentje V, Guchelaar H-J, van der Straaten T, 2010. Genotyping of DNA Samples Isolated from Formalin-Fixed Paraffin-Embedded Tissues Using Preamplification. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics 12, 746–749. 10.2353/jmoldx.2010.100047 [PubMed: 20847277]
- Benowitz NL, Hukkanen J, Jacob P, 2009. Nicotine chemistry, metabolism, kinetics and biomarkers. Handb Exp Pharmacol 29–60. 10.1007/978-3-540-69248-5\_2 [PubMed: 19184645]
- Brook RD, Rajagopalan S, 2012. Chronic air pollution exposure and endothelial dysfunction: what you can't see--can harm you. J Am Coll Cardiol 60, 2167–2169. 10.1016/j.jacc.2012.08.974 [PubMed: 23103034]
- Brook RD, Rajagopalan S, Pope CA, Brook JR, Bhatnagar A, Diez-Roux AV, Holguin F, Hong Y, Luepker RV, Mittleman MA, Peters A, Siscovick D, Smith SC, Whitsel L, Kaufman JD, American Heart Association Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, Council on the Kidney in Cardiovascular Disease, and Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity and Metabolism, 2010. Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease: An update to the scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation 121, 2331–2378. 10.1161/ CIR.0b013e3181dbece1 [PubMed: 20458016]
- Burniaux J-M, Dang T-T, Fore D, Förster M, d'Ercole MM, Oxley H, 1998. Income Distribution and Poverty in Selected OECD Countries. 10.1787/730801800603
- Carrero JJ, Hecking M, Chesnaye NC, Jager KJ, 2018. Sex and gender disparities in the epidemiology and outcomes of chronic kidney disease. Nat Rev Nephrol 14, 151–164. 10.1038/nrneph.2017.181 [PubMed: 29355169]
- CDC, 2020. Hypertension Prevalence in the U.S. | Million Hearts<sup>®</sup> [WWW Document]. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. URL https://millionhearts.hhs.gov/data-reports/hypertension-prevalence.html (accessed 4.15.20).
- Chen Teresa K., Katz Ronit, Estrella Michelle M., Post Wendy S., Kramer Holly, Rotter Jerome I., Tayo Bamidele, Mychaleckyj Josyf C., Wassel Christina L., Peralta Carmen A., 2020. Association of APOL1 Genotypes With Measures of Microvascular and Endothelial Function,

and Blood Pressure in MESA. Journal of the American Heart Association 9, e017039. 10.1161/ JAHA.120.017039 [PubMed: 32851884]

- Chen TK, Choi MJ, Kao WHL, Astor BC, Scialla JJ, Appel LJ, Li L, Lipkowitz MS, Wolf M, Parekh RS, Winkler CA, Estrella MM, Crews DC, 2015. Examination of Potential Modifiers of the Association of APOL1 Alleles with CKD Progression. CJASN 10, 2128–2135. 10.2215/ aN.05220515 [PubMed: 26430087]
- Chen TK, Estrella MM, Vittinghoff E, Lin F, Gutierrez OM, Kramer H, Lewis CE, Kopp JB, Allen NB, Winkler CA, Bibbins-Domingo KB, Peralta CA, 2017. APOL1 genetic variants are not associated with longitudinal blood pressure in young black adults. Kidney Int. 92, 964–971. 10.1016/j.kint.2017.03.028 [PubMed: 28545715]
- Chen X, Wang Y, 2008. Tracking of blood pressure from childhood to adulthood: a systematic review and meta-regression analysis. Circulation 117, 3171–3180. 10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.107.730366 [PubMed: 18559702]
- Du T, Fernandez C, Barshop R, Chen W, Urbina EM, Bazzano LA, 2019. 2017 Pediatric Hypertension Guidelines Improve Prediction of Adult Cardiovascular Outcomes. Hypertension 73, 1217–1223. 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.118.12469 [PubMed: 31006329]
- Ekulu PM, Nkoy AB, Betukumesu DK, Aloni MN, Makulo JRR, Sumaili EK, Mafuta EM, Elmonem MA, Arcolino FO, Kitetele FN, Lepira FB, van den Heuvel LP, Levtchenko EN, 2019. APOL1 Risk Genotypes Are Associated With Early Kidney Damage in Children in Sub-Saharan Africa. Kidney Int Rep 4, 930–938. 10.1016/j.ekir.2019.04.002 [PubMed: 31317115]
- Flint AC, Conell C, Ren X, Banki NM, Chan SL, Rao VA, Melles RB, Bhatt DL, 2019. Effect of Systolic and Diastolic Blood Pressure on Cardiovascular Outcomes. N. Engl. J. Med 381, 243– 251. 10.1056/NEJMoa1803180 [PubMed: 31314968]
- Flynn JT, Kaelber DC, Baker-Smith CM, Blowey D, Carroll AE, Daniels SR, Ferranti S.D. de, Dionne JM, Falkner B, Flinn SK, Gidding SS, Goodwin C, Leu MG, Powers ME, Rea C, Samuels J, Simasek M, Thaker VV, Urbina EM, Children S on S. and M. of H.B.P.I., 2017. Clinical Practice Guideline for Screening and Management of High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents. Pediatrics 140. 10.1542/peds.2017-1904
- Foster MC, Coresh J, Fornage M, Astor BC, Grams M, Franceschini N, Boerwinkle E, Parekh RS, Kao WHL, 2013. APOL1 Variants Associate with Increased Risk of CKD among African Americans. J Am Soc Nephrol 24, 1484–1491. 10.1681/ASN.2013010113 [PubMed: 23766536]
- Friedman DJ, Kozlitina J, Genovese G, Jog P, Pollak MR, 2011. Population-based risk assessment of APOL1 on renal disease. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol 22, 2098–2105. 10.1681/ASN.2011050519 [PubMed: 21997396]
- Fryar CD, Ostchega Y, Hales CM, Zhang G, Kruszon-Moran D, 2017. Hypertension Prevalence and Control Among Adults: United States, 2015-2016. NCHS Data Brief 1–8.
- Gaio V, Roquette R, Dias CM, Nunes B, 2019. Ambient air pollution and lipid profile: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Environ Pollut 254, 113036. 10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113036 [PubMed: 31465899]
- Genovese G, Friedman DJ, Ross MD, Lecordier L, Uzureau P, Freedman BI, Bowden DW, Langefeld CD, Oleksyk TK, Uscinski Knob AL, Bernhardy AJ, Hicks PJ, Nelson GW, Vanhollebeke B, Winkler CA, Kopp JB, Pays E, Pollak MR, 2010a. Association of trypanolytic ApoL1 variants with kidney disease in African Americans. Science 329, 841–845. 10.1126/science.1193032 [PubMed: 20647424]
- Genovese G, Friedman DJ, Ross MD, Lecordier L, Uzureau P, Freedman BI, Bowden DW, Langefeld CD, Oleksyk TK, Uscinski Knob AL, Bernhardy AJ, Hicks PJ, Nelson GW, Vanhollebeke B, Winkler CA, Kopp JB, Pays E, Pollak MR, 2010b. Association of trypanolytic ApoL1 variants with kidney disease in African Americans. Science 329, 841–845. 10.1126/science.1193032 [PubMed: 20647424]
- Gillman MW, Cook NR, 1995. Blood pressure measurement in childhood epidemiological studies. Circulation 92, 1049–1057. 10.1161/01.cir.92.4.1049 [PubMed: 7641339]
- Giorgini P, Di Giosia P, Grassi D, Rubenfire M, Brook RD, Ferri C, 2016. Air Pollution Exposure and Blood Pressure: An Updated Review of the Literature. Curr. Pharm. Des 22, 28–51. 10.2174/1381612822666151109111712 [PubMed: 26548310]

- Goulding M, Goldberg R, Lemon SC, 2021. Differences in Blood Pressure Levels Among Children by Sociodemographic Status. Prev Chronic Dis 18, E88. 10.5888/pcd18.210058 [PubMed: 34529555]
- Greenland S, 1996. Basic methods for sensitivity analysis of biases. Int J Epidemiol 25, 1107–1116. [PubMed: 9027513]
- Grummer-Strawn LM, Reinold C, Krebs NF, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 2010. Use of World Health Organization and CDC growth charts for children aged 0-59 months in the United States. MMWR Recomm Rep 59, 1–15.
- Jensen Michael D, Ryan Donna H, Apovian Caroline M, Ard Jamy D, Comuzzie Anthony G, Donato Karen A, Hu Frank B, Hubbard Van S, Jakicic John M, Kushner Robert F, Loria Catherine M, Millen Barbara E, Nonas Cathy A, Pi-Sunyer F. Xavier, Stevens June, Stevens Victor J., Wadden Thomas A., Wolfe Bruce M., Yanovski Susan Z., 2014. 2013 AHA/ACC/TOS Guideline for the Management of Overweight and Obesity in Adults. Circulation 129, S102–S138. 10.1161/01.cir.0000437739.71477.ee [PubMed: 24222017]
- Jolliffe IT, 2002. Principal component analysis, 2nd ed. ed, Springer series in statistics. Springer, New York.
- Keller JP, Olives C, Kim S-Y, Sheppard L, Sampson PD, Szpiro AA, Oron AP, Lindström J, Vedal S, Kaufman JD, 2015. A unified spatiotemporal modeling approach for predicting concentrations of multiple air pollutants in the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis and air pollution. Environ. Health Perspect 123, 301–309. 10.1289/ehp.1408145 [PubMed: 25398188]
- Kirwa K, Szpiro AA, Sheppard L, Sampson PD, Wang M, Keller JP, Young MT, Kim S-Y, Larson TV, Kaufman JD, 2021. Fine-Scale Air Pollution Models for Epidemiologic Research: Insights From Approaches Developed in the Multi-ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis and Air Pollution (MESA Air). Curr Environ Health Rep 8, 113–126. 10.1007/s40572-021-00310-y [PubMed: 34086258]
- Kopp JB, 2013. Rethinking hypertensive kidney disease: arterionephrosclerosis as a genetic, metabolic, and inflammatory disorder. Curr. Opin. Nephrol. Hypertens 22, 266–272. 10.1097/ MNH.0b013e3283600f8c [PubMed: 23470819]
- Kopp JB, Nelson GW, Sampath K, Johnson RC, Genovese G, An P, Friedman D, Briggs W, Dart R, Korbet S, Mokrzycki MH, Kimmel PL, Limou S, Ahuja TS, Berns JS, Fryc J, Simon EE, Smith MC, Trachtman H, Michel DM, Schelling JR, Vlahov D, Pollak M, Winkler CA, 2011. APOL1 genetic variants in focal segmental glomerulosclerosis and HIV-associated nephropathy. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol 22, 2129–2137. 10.1681/ASN.2011040388 [PubMed: 21997394]
- Langefeld CD, Comeau ME, Ng MCY, Guan M, Dimitrov L, Mudgal P, Spainhour MH, Julian BA, Edberg JC, Croker JA, Divers J, Hicks PJ, Bowden DW, Chan GC, Ma L, Palmer ND, Kimberly RP, Freedman BI, 2018. Genome-wide association studies suggest that APOL1-environment interactions more likely trigger kidney disease in African Americans with nondiabetic nephropathy than strong APOL1-second gene interactions. Kidney Int. 94, 599–607. 10.1016/j.kint.2018.03.017 [PubMed: 29885931]
- Lenters V, Uiterwaal CS, Beelen R, Bots ML, Fischer P, Brunekreef B, Hoek G, 2010. Long-term exposure to air pollution and vascular damage in young adults. Epidemiology 21, 512–520. 10.1097/EDE.0b013e3181dec3a7 [PubMed: 20407379]
- Li G, Huang J, Wang J, Zhao M, Liu Y, Guo X, Wu S, Zhang L, 2020. Long-Term Exposure to Ambient PM2.5 and Increased Risk of CKD Prevalence in China. J Am Soc Nephrol. 10.1681/ ASN.2020040517
- Matsha TE, Kengne AP, Masconi KL, Yako YY, Erasmus RT, 2015. APOL1 genetic variants, chronic kidney diseases and hypertension in mixed ancestry South Africans. BMC Genet. 16, 69. 10.1186/ s12863-015-0228-6 [PubMed: 26112018]
- Mordukhovich I, Wilker E, Suh H, Wright R, Sparrow D, Vokonas PS, Schwartz J, 2009. Black Carbon Exposure, Oxidative Stress Genes, and Blood Pressure in a Repeated-Measures Study. Environ Health Perspect 117, 1767–1772. 10.1289/ehp.0900591 [PubMed: 20049130]
- Nadkarni GN, Coca SG, 2017. APOL1 and blood pressure changes in young adults. Kidney Int 92, 793–795. 10.1016/j.kint.2017.05.030 [PubMed: 28938952]
- Nadkarni GN, Galarneau G, Ellis SB, Nadukuru R, Zhang J, Scott SA, Schurmann C, Li R, Rasmussen-Torvik LJ, Kho AN, Hayes MG, Pacheco JA, Manolio TA, Chisholm RL, Roden DM, Denny JC, Kenny EE, Bottinger EP, 2017. Apolipoprotein L1 Variants and Blood Pressure Traits

in African Americans. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol 69, 1564–1574. 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.01.040 [PubMed: 28335839]

- Ng DK, Robertson CC, Woroniecki RP, Limou S, Gillies CE, Reidy KJ, Winkler CA, Hingorani S, Gibson KL, Hjorten R, Sethna CB, Kopp JB, Moxey-Mims M, Furth SL, Warady BA, Kretzler M, Sedor JR, Kaskel FJ, Sampson MG, 2017. APOL1-associated glomerular disease among African-American children: a collaboration of the Chronic Kidney Disease in Children (CKiD) and Nephrotic Syndrome Study Network (NEPTUNE) cohorts. Nephrol. Dial. Transplant 32, 983– 990. 10.1093/ndt/gfw061 [PubMed: 27190333]
- NHANES 2015-2016 Procedure Manuals [WWW Document], n.d. URL https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/ nhanes/continuousnhanes/manuals.aspx?BeginYear=2015 (accessed 2.2.21).
- Ni Y, Szpiro AA, Young MT, Loftus CT, Bush NR, LeWinn KZ, Sathyanarayana S, Enquobahrie DA, Davis RL, Kratz M, Fitzpatrick AL, Sonney JT, Tylavsky FA, Karr CJ, 2021. Associations of Preand Postnatal Air Pollution Exposures with Child Blood Pressure and Modification by Maternal Nutrition: A Prospective Study in the CANDLE Cohort. Environ Health Perspect 129, 47004. 10.1289/EHP7486 [PubMed: 33797937]
- Paranjpe I, Chaudhary K, Paranjpe M, O'Hagan R, Manna S, Jaladanki S, Kapoor A, Horowitz C, DeFelice N, Cooper R, Glicksberg B, Bottinger EP, Just AC, Nadkarni GN, 2020. Association of APOL1 Risk Genotype and Air Pollution for Kidney Disease. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 15, 401–403. 10.2215/CJN.11921019 [PubMed: 32079610]
- Patterson N, Price AL, Reich D, 2006. Population Structure and Eigenanalysis. PLOS Genetics 2, e190. 10.1371/journal.pgen.0020190 [PubMed: 17194218]
- Pickering TG, Hall JE, Appel LJ, Falkner BE, Graves J, Hill MN, Jones DW, Kurtz T, Sheps SG, Roccella EJ, 2005. Recommendations for blood pressure measurement in humans and experimental animals: part 1: blood pressure measurement in humans: a statement for professionals from the Subcommittee of Professional and Public Education of the American Heart Association Council on High Blood Pressure Research. Circulation 111, 697–716. 10.1161/01.CIR.0000154900.76284.F6 [PubMed: 15699287]
- Price AL, Patterson NJ, Plenge RM, Weinblatt ME, Shadick NA, Reich D, 2006. Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. Nature Genetics 38, 904– 909. 10.1038/ng1847 [PubMed: 16862161]
- Reidy KJ, Hjorten RC, Simpson CL, Rosenberg AZ, Rosenblum SD, Kovesdy CP, Tylavsky FA, Myrie J, Ruiz BL, Haque S, Mozhui K, Nelson GW, David VA, Yang X, Suzuki M, Jacob J, Reznik SE, Kaskel FJ, Kopp JB, Winkler CA, Davis RL, 2018. Fetal-Not Maternal-APOL1 Genotype Associated with Risk for Preeclampsia in Those with African Ancestry. Am. J. Hum. Genet 103, 367–376. 10.1016/j.ajhg.2018.08.002 [PubMed: 30173819]
- Simino J, Rao DC, Freedman BI, 2012. Novel findings and future directions on the genetics of hypertension. Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens 21, 500–507. 10.1097/MNH.0b013e328354e78f [PubMed: 22614628]
- Sontag-Padilla L, Burns RM, Shih RA, Griffin BA, Martin LT, Chandra A, Tylavsky F, 2015. The Urban Child Institute CANDLE Study: Methodological Overview and Baseline Sample Description [WWW Document]. URL https://www.rand.org/pubs/research\_reports/RR1336.html (accessed 6.9.20).
- Tang M, Batty JA, Lin C, Fan X, Chan KE, Kalim S, 2018. Pulmonary Hypertension, Mortality, and Cardiovascular Disease in CKD and ESRD Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Am J Kidney Dis 72, 75–83. 10.1053/j.ajkd.2017.11.018 [PubMed: 29429751]
- Tedla FM, Brar A, Browne R, Brown C, 2011. Hypertension in Chronic Kidney Disease: Navigating the Evidence. Int J Hypertens 2011, 132405. 10.4061/2011/132405 [PubMed: 21747971]
- Trudu M, Janas S, Lanzani C, Debaix H, Schaeffer C, Ikehata M, Citterio L, Demaretz S, Trevisani F, Ristagno G, Glaudemans B, Laghmani K, Dell'Antonio G, Loffing J, Rastaldi MP, Manunta P, Devuyst O, Rampoldi L, 2013. Common noncoding UMOD gene variants induce salt-sensitive hypertension and kidney damage by increasing uromodulin expression. Nat Med 19. 10.1038/nm.3384
- Tu W, Pratt JH, 2013. A consideration of genetic mechanisms behind the development of hypertension in blacks. Curr. Hypertens. Rep 15, 108–113. 10.1007/s11906-013-0332-9 [PubMed: 23397215]

- Vitale C, Mendelsohn ME, Rosano GMC, 2009. Gender differences in the cardiovascular effect of sex hormones. Nat Rev Cardiol 6, 532–542. 10.1038/nrcardio.2009.105 [PubMed: 19564884]
- Wang L, Yanuck D, Beecham A, Gardener H, Slifer S, Blanton SH, Sacco RL, Rundek T, 2011. A candidate gene study revealed sex-specific association between the OLR1 gene and carotid plaque. Stroke 42, 588–592. 10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.596841 [PubMed: 21257822]
- Whelton Paul K, Carey Robert M, Aronow Wilbert S, Casey Donald E, Collins Karen J, Dennison Himmelfarb Cheryl, DePalma Sondra M., Gidding Samuel, Jamerson Kenneth A., Jones Daniel W., MacLaughlin Eric J., Muntner Paul, Ovbiagele Bruce, Smith Sidney C., Spencer Crystal C., Stafford Randall S., Taler Sandra J., Thomas Randal J., Williams Kim A., Williamson Jeff D., Wright Jackson T., 2018. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/ PCNA Guideline for the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Hypertension 71, e13–e115. 10.1161/ HYP.0000000000000065 [PubMed: 29133356]
- Wilker EH, Baccarelli A, Suh H, Vokonas P, Wright RO, Schwartz J, 2010. Black Carbon Exposures, Blood Pressure, and Interactions with Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms in MicroRNA Processing Genes. Environ Health Perspect 118, 943–948. 10.1289/ehp.0901440 [PubMed: 20211803]
- Wittrup HH, Nordestgaard BG, Sillesen H, Schnohr P, Tybjaerg-Hansen A, 2000. A common mutation in lipoprotein lipase confers a 2-fold increase in risk of ischemic cerebrovascular disease in women but not in men. Circulation 101, 2393–2397. 10.1161/01.cir.101.20.2393 [PubMed: 10821816]
- Woroniecki RP, Ng DK, Limou S, Winkler CA, Reidy KJ, Mitsnefes M, Sampson MG, Wong CS, Warady BA, Furth SL, Kopp JB, Kaskel FJ, 2016. Renal and Cardiovascular Morbidities Associated with APOL1 Status among African-American and Non-African-American Children with Focal Segmental Glomerulosclerosis. Front Pediatr 4, 122. 10.3389/fped.2016.00122 [PubMed: 27900314]
- Wright JD, Hughes JP, Ostchega Y, Yoon SS, Nwankwo T, 2011. Mean systolic and diastolic blood pressure in adults aged 18 and over in the United States, 2001-2008. Natl Health Stat Report 1–22, 24.
- Writing Group Members, Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, Arnett DK, Blaha MJ, Cushman M, Das SR, de Ferranti S, Després J-P, Fullerton HJ, Howard VJ, Huffman MD, Isasi CR, Jiménez MC, Judd SE, Kissela BM, Lichtman JH, Lisabeth LD, Liu S, Mackey RH, Magid DJ, McGuire DK, Mohler ER, Moy CS, Muntner P, Mussolino ME, Nasir K, Neumar RW, Nichol G, Palaniappan L, Pandey DK, Reeves MJ, Rodriguez CJ, Rosamond W, Sorlie PD, Stein J, Towfighi A, Turan TN, Virani SS, Woo D, Yeh RW, Turner MB, American Heart Association Statistics Committee, Stroke Statistics Subcommittee, 2016. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2016 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. Circulation 133, e38–360. 10.1161/CIR.000000000000350 [PubMed: 26673558]
- Wu M-Y, Lo W-C, Chao C-T, Wu M-S, Chiang C-K, 2020. Association between air pollutants and development of chronic kidney disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci. Total Environ 706, 135522. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135522 [PubMed: 31864998]
- Zahr RS, Rampersaud E, Kang G, Weiss MJ, Wu G, Davis RL, Hankins JS, Estepp JH, Lebensburger J, 2019. Children with sickle cell anemia and APOL1 genetic variants develop albuminuria early in life. Haematologica 104, e385–e387. 10.3324/haematol.2018.212779 [PubMed: 30890594]
- Zanobetti A, Baccarelli A, Schwartz J, 2011. Gene-air pollution interaction and cardiovascular disease: a review. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 53, 344–352. 10.1016/j.pcad.2011.01.001 [PubMed: 21414469]

Ni et al.



Figure 1. Inclusion Flowchart between enrollment and the child 4-6 years visit as well as sample sizes for the two analytic samples in CANDLE participants

Shown are the CANDLE cohort retention between enrollment and the child 4-6 years visit as well as sample sizes for the two analytic samples.



## Figure 2. Estimated effects of APOL1 on blood pressure in the study population of the CANDLE cohort

Shown are the estimated effects of *APOL1* risk alleles and risk genotype on blood pressure in the CANDLE study population. The additive model was used for *APOL1* risk alleles, and the recessive model was used for *APOL1* risk genotype. In mothers, Model 1 was controlled for age and BMI at outcome assessment, overall smoking history and recruitment site; Model 2 was additionally adjusted for education levels, income adjusted by household size and insurance coverage. In children, Model 1 was controlled for age, height and BMI z score at outcome assessment, sex, gestational age, medication use that potentially increased blood pressure and recruitment site; Model 2 was extensively included maternal education levels, income adjusted by household size and maternal insurance coverage. Triangle/circle represent the effect estimates, error bars are 95% CIs, and dotted lines show null values. Abbreviations: SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HBP, high blood pressure; RR, relative risk

Author Manuscript

# Table 1.

Characteristics of CANDLE African American mothers in the overall analytic sample and by APOLI risk allele counts and genotypes\*

		AP	'OLI risk allele cou	nt	APOLI rish	k genotype
	Total (N=556)	0 count (N=241)	1 count (N=257)	2 counts (N=58)	low risk (N=498)	high risk (N=58)
Age (years)	30.5 (± 5.3)	30.3 (± 5.2)	$30.6 (\pm 5.4)$	<b>30.8</b> (± 5.5)	30.5 (± 5.3)	30.8 (± 5.5)
Missing	11 (2.0%)	7 (3%)	3 (1%)	1 (2%)	10 (2%)	1 (2%)
$BMI (kg/m^2)$	32.5 (主 8.8)	32.4 (± 8.6)	$33.0 \ (\pm 9.0)$	$31.0 (\pm 8.5)$	32.7 (± 8.8)	$31.0 \ (\pm 8.5)$
Missing	10 (2%)	4 (2%)	3 (1%)	3 (5%)	7 (1%)	3 (5%)
Ever hypertensive disorder						
No	466 (84 %)	197 (82 %)	219 (85 %)	50 (86 %)	416 (84 %)	50 (86 %)
Yes	77 (14%)	35 (15 %)	34 (13 %)	8 (14 %)	69 (14 %)	8 (14 %)
Missing	13 (2%)	9 (4%)	4 (2%)	0 (0%)	13 (3%)	0 (0%)
Smoking						
No	431 (78 %)	185 (77 %)	205 (80 %)	41 (71 %)	390 (78 %)	41 (71 %)
Yes	124 (22 %)	55 (23 %)	52 (20 %)	17 (29 %)	107 (21 %)	17 (29 %)
Missing	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0%)
Education level						
< High School	71 (13 %)	36 (15 %)	32 (12 %)	3 (5 %)	68 (14 %)	3 (5 %)
High School/GED	330 (59 %)	154 (64 %)	142 (55 %)	34 (59 %)	296 (59 %)	34 (59 %)
Technical School	66 (12 %)	22 (9 %)	31 (12 %)	13 (22 %)	53 (11 %)	13 (22 %)
College Degree	63 (11 %)	19 (8 %)	38 (15 %)	6(10~%)	57 (11 %)	6(10%)
Grad/Professional Degree	25 (4 %)	10 (4 %)	13 (5 %)	2 (3 %)	23 (5 %)	2 (3 %)
Missing	1(0.2%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0%)
BMI class						
Underweight	9 (2 %)	3 (1 %)	3 (1 %)	3 (5 %)	6 (1 %)	3 (5 %)
Normal weight	101 (18%)	48 (20 %)	40 (16 %)	13 (22 %)	88 (18 %)	13 (22 %)
Overweight	136 (24 %)	56 (23 %)	69 (27 %)	11 (19 %)	125 (25 %)	11 (19%)
Obesity	300 (54 %)	130 (54 %)	142 (55 %)	28 (48 %)	272 (55 %)	28 (48 %)
Missing	10 (2%)	4 (2%)	3 (1%)	3 (5%)	7 (1%)	3 (5%)
Insurance coverage						
Medicaid or Medicare only	397 (71 %)	175 (73 %)	179 (70 %)	43 (74 %)	354 (71 %)	43 (74 %)

		<b>A</b> I	<i>OLI</i> risk allele cou	nt	APOLI ris	s genotype
	Total (N=556)	0 count (N=241)	1 count (N=257)	2 counts (N=58)	low risk (N=498)	high risk (N=58)
Medicaid/Medicare and private insurance	27 (5 %)	11 (5 %)	13 (5 %)	3 (5 %)	24 (5 %)	3 (5 %)
Private insurance only	132 (24 %)	55 (23 %)	65 (25 %)	12 (21 %)	120 (24 %)	12 (21 %)
Income adjusted by household size (thousand dollar)	8.3 [3.1, 16.7]	7.8 [3.1, 14.3]	9.4 [3.0, 17.5]	9.8 [3.6, 19.0]	8.3 [3.1, 15.8]	9.8 [3.6, 19.0]
Missing	4 (1%)	1 (0.4%)	2 (0.8%)	1 (2%)	3 (1%)	1 (2%)
Recruitment site						
General recruitment	405 (73 %)	179 (74 %)	183 (71 %)	43 (74 %)	362 (73 %)	43 (74 %)
Safety net hospitals	151 (27 %)	62 (26 %)	74 (29 %)	15 (26 %)	136 (27 %)	15 (26 %)
4-year average PM <sub>2.5</sub> (μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	$9.99~(\pm 0.53)$	$9.96~(\pm 0.49)$	$10.03 (\pm 0.56)$	$9.97~(\pm 0.55)$	$10.00 (\pm 0.53)$	<b>9.97 (± 0.55)</b>
Missing	10 (2%)	6 (3%)	3 (1%)	1 (2%)	9 (2%)	1 (2%)
4-year average NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	$9.66 (\pm 1.94)$	$9.75~(\pm 1.97)$	$9.64 (\pm 1.98)$	$9.32~(\pm 1.58)$	$9.69 \ (\pm 1.97)$	$9.32~(\pm 1.58)$
Missing	31 (6%)	15 (6%)	12 (5%)	4 (7%)	27 (5%)	4 (7%)
\$						

 $\overset{*}{}$  Shown are mean (± SD), counts (%), and median [25<sup>th</sup> percentile, 75<sup>th</sup> percentile].

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; GED, graduate equivalency degree

Environ Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 September 01.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Characteristics of CANDLE African American children in the overall analytic sample and by APOLI risk allele counts and genotypes\*

Author Manuscript

	AP	<i>OLI</i> risk allele cou	nt	
tal (N=493)	0 count (N=212)	1 count (N=208)	2 counts (N=73)	low ri
i.1 (± 0.5)	$4.1 (\pm 0.5)$	$4.1 ~(\pm 0.6)$	$4.1 ~(\pm 0.4)$	4
<b>16.0</b> (± 5.8)	$106.0 (\pm 5.9)$	$107.0 (\pm 6.0)$	$106.0 (\pm 5.0)$	106
$8.9 (\pm 1.9)$	38.8 (± 2.0)	$38.8 \ (\pm 1.9)$	$39.2 (\pm 1.3)$	38.
12 (2%)	7 (3%)	4 (2%)	1 (1%)	1
tal (N=493) ∴1 (± 0.5) 6.0 (± 5.8) 8.9 (± 1.9) 12 (2%)	0 count (N=212)           4.1 (± 0.5)           106.0 (± 5.9)           38.8 (± 2.0)           7 (3%)	-	1 count (N=208) $4.1 (\pm 0.6)$ $107.0 (\pm 6.0)$ $38.8 (\pm 1.9)$ $4.2\%$	1 count (N=208)         2 counts (N=73) $4.1 (\pm 0.6)$ $4.1 (\pm 0.4)$ $107.0 (\pm 6.0)$ $106.0 (\pm 5.0)$ $38.8 (\pm 1.9)$ $39.2 (\pm 1.3)$ $4.2\%$ $1.1\%$

	Total (N=493)	0 count (N=212)	<b>1</b> count (N=208)	2 counts (N=73)	low risk (N=420)	high risk (N=73)
Age (years)	$4.1 (\pm 0.5)$	4.1 (± 0.5)	$4.1 (\pm 0.6)$	$4.1 (\pm 0.4)$	$4.1 (\pm 0.5)$	4.1 (± 0.4)
Height (cm)	$106.0 (\pm 5.8)$	$106.0 (\pm 5.9)$	$107.0 (\pm 6.0)$	$106.0 (\pm 5.0)$	$106.0 (\pm 6.0)$	$106.0 (\pm 5.0)$
Gestational week	$38.9 (\pm 1.9)$	38.8 (± 2.0)	$38.8 (\pm 1.9)$	$39.2 (\pm 1.3)$	38.8 (± 2.0)	$39.2 (\pm 1.3)$
Missing	12 (2%)	7 (3%)	4 (2%)	1 (1%)	11 (3%)	1 (1%)
Child sex						
Female	252 (51 %)	100 (47 %)	114 (55 %)	38 (52 %)	214 (51 %)	38 (52 %)
Male	241 (49 %)	112 (53 %)	94 (45 %)	35 (48 %)	206 (49 %)	35 (48 %)
Preterm birth						
No	439 (89 %)	188 (89 %)	182 (88 %)	69 (95 %)	370 (88 %)	69 (95 %)
Yes	42 (9 %)	17 (8 %)	22 (11 %)	3 (4 %)	39 (9 %)	3 (4 %)
Missing	12 (2%)	7 (3%)	4 (2%)	1 (1%)	11 (3%)	1 (1%)
Maternal pregnancy smoking						
No	428 (87 %)	190 (90 %)	178 (86 %)	60 (82 %)	368 (88 %)	60 (82 %)
Yes	65 (13 %)	22 (10 %)	30 (14 %)	13 (18 %)	52 (12 %)	13 (18 %)
Maternal education						
< High School	70 (14 %)	33 (16 %)	29 (14 %)	8 (11 %)	62 (15 %)	8 (11 %)
High School/GED	282 (57 %)	122 (58 %)	116 (56 %)	44 (60 %)	238 (57 %)	44 (60 %)
Technical School	56 (11 %)	22 (10 %)	25 (12 %)	9 (12 %)	47 (11 %)	9 (12 %)
College Degree	61 (12 %)	22 (10 %)	29 (14 %)	10 (14 %)	51 (12 %)	10 (14 %)
Grad/Professional Degree	23 (5 %)	12 (6 %)	9 (4 %)	2 (3 %)	21 (5 %)	2 (3 %)
Missing	1 (0.2%)	1(0.5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0%)
Medical use that potentially increases blood pressure						
No	434 (88 %)	184 (87 %)	183 (88 %)	67 (92 %)	367 (87 %)	67 (92 %)
Yes	59 (12 %)	28 (13 %)	25 (12 %)	6 (8 %)	53 (13 %)	6 (8 %)
BMI class						
Underweight	12(2%)	5 (2 %)	5 (2 %)	2 (3 %)	10(2%)	2 (3 %)
Normal weight	324 (66 %)	142 (67 %)	133 (64 %)	49 (67 %)	275 (65 %)	49 (67 %)

Environ Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 September 01.

APOLI risk genotype

		AI	oLI risk allele cou	nt	APOLI risl	s genotype
	Total (N=493)	0 count (N=212)	1 count (N=208)	2 counts (N=73)	low risk (N=420)	high risk (N=73)
Overweight	71 (14%)	25 (12 %)	36 (17 %)	10 (14 %)	61 (15 %)	10 (14 %)
Obesity	85 (17 %)	39 (18 %)	34 (16 %)	12 (16 %)	73 (17 %)	12 (16 %)
Missing	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0%)
Maternal insurance coverage						
Medicaid or Medicare only	357 (72 %)	155 (73 %)	142 (68 %)	60 (82 %)	297 (71 %)	60 (82 %)
Medicaid/Medicare and private insurance	19 (4 %)	9 (4 %)	9 (4 %)	1 (1 %)	18 (4 %)	1 (1 %)
Private insurance only	117 (24 %)	48 (23 %)	57 (27 %)	12 (16 %)	105 (25 %)	12 (16 %)
Income adjusted by household size (thousand dollar)	8.3 [2.9, 17.0]	8.3 [3.2, 16.7]	9.2 [2.9, 18.1]	6.3 [1.4, 15.7]	8.6 [3.1, 17.3]	6.3 [1.4, 15.7]
Missing	2 (0.4%)	1 (0.5%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	1 (0.2%)	1 (1%)
Recruitment site						
General recruitment	372 (75 %)	167 (79 %)	154 (74 %)	51 (70 %)	321 (76 %)	51 (70 %)
Safety net hospitals	121 (25 %)	45 (21 %)	54 (26 %)	22 (30 %)	99 (24 %)	22 (30 %)
Prenatal $PM_{2.5}$ ( $\mu g/m^3$ )	$10.80\ (\pm\ 0.88)$	$10.80 \ (\pm 0.90)$	$10.81 (\pm 0.85)$	$10.76~(\pm 0.91)$	$10.81 \ (\pm 0.87)$	$10.76~(\pm 0.91)$
Missing	12 (2%)	7 (3%)	4 (2%)	1(1%)	11 (3%)	1 (1%)
Postnatal $\mathrm{PM}_{2,S}$ (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	$9.95~(\pm 0.50)$	$9.92~(\pm 0.46)$	$9.96 (\pm 0.52)$	$9.99~(\pm 0.54)$	$9.94~(\pm 0.49)$	$9.99 \ (\pm 0.54)$
Missing	9 (2%)	7 (3%)	2 (1%)	0 (0%)	9 (2%)	0 (0%)
Prenatal NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	9.05 (± 2.54)	8.89 (± 2.49)	9.18 (± 2.52)	9.12 (± 2.76)	$9.03 (\pm 2.51)$	$9.12 \ (\pm 2.76)$
Missing	12 (2%)	7 (3%)	4 (2%)	1 (1%)	11 (3%)	1 (1%)
Postnatal NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	9.67 (± 2.02)	9.71 (± 2.07)	$9.66 (\pm 1.98)$	$9.55~(\pm 2.03)$	9.69 (± 2.02)	$9.55 (\pm 2.03)$
Missing	26 (5%)	13 (6%)	8 (4%)	5 (7%)	21 (5%)	5 (7%)
* * Shown are mean (+ SD). counts (%), and median [25th ne	srcentile. 75 <sup>th</sup> nerc	entilel.				

Environ Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 September 01.

2, Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; GED, graduate equivalency degree

Ni et al.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

# Table 3.

Modified associations between APOLI variants and blood pressure by PM<sub>2.5</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> from the fully adjusted interaction models

		- John 1 1001 James and	*		Meton	***************************************	
		Maternal APULI risk :	allele		Materna	I risk genotype	
	0 count	1 count	2 counts	$\mathbf{P}^{\dagger}$	Low risk	High risk	$\mathbf{P}^{\dagger}$
4-year average $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ $\ddagger$							
SBP, <b><i>β</i></b> (95% CI)	$-1.6\left(-11.58, 8.38\right)$	2.05 (-6.48, 10.58)	5.7 (-7.47, 18.87)	0.37	-0.11 (-8.18, 7.95)	14.11 (-3.07, 31.29)	0.09
DBP, <b><i>β</i></b> (95% CI)	2.27 (-5.49, 10.04)	4.72 (-1.34, 10.77)	7.16 (-2.04, 16.36)	0.42	2.85 (-3.1, 8.79)	16.33 (5.73, 26.94)	0.01
Hypertension, RR (95% CI)	1.01 (0.42, 2.42)	1.22 (0.62, 2.39)	1.47 (0.54, 3.95)	0.57	1.03 (0.52, 2.05)	2.94 (0.9, 9.56)	0.09
4-year average NO $_2$ $^{\ddagger}$							
SBP, <b><i>β</i></b> (95% CI)	-0.52 (-1.75, 0.72)	0.2 (-1.24, 1.65)	0.92 (-1.95, 3.8)	0.40	-0.2 (-1.3, 0.91)	1.81 (-2.64, 6.26)	0.38
DBP, <b><i>β</i></b> (95% CI)	0.28 (-1.17, 1.74)	0.26 (-0.8, 1.31)	0.23 (-1.91, 2.37)	0.97	0.18 (-0.84, 1.2)	1.83 (-1.11, 4.78)	0.29
Hypertension, RR (95% CI)	0.96 (0.82, 1.12)	$0.96\ (0.83,1.1)$	0.96 (0.72, 1.27)	0.99	$0.94\ (0.84,1.06)$	1.24 (0.78, 1.98)	0.25
		Child <i>APOL1</i> risk all	lele*		Child r	risk genotype <sup>*</sup>	
	0 count	1 count	2 counts	$\mathbf{P}^{\dagger}$	Low risk	High risk	$\mathbf{P}^{\dagger}$
Prenatal PM $_{2,5}$ $\ddagger$							
SBP, <b><i>β</i></b> (95% CI)	9.41 (-7.32, 26.14)	$5.74 \ (-9.1, \ 20.58)$	2.08 (-15.26, 19.42)	0.39	5.52 (-9.82, 20.86)	6.62 (-12.76, 26.01)	0.89
DBP, <b><i>β</i></b> (95% CI)	9.32 (-2.16, 20.8)	11.16 (1, 21.33)	13.01 (0.35, 25.67)	0.58	8.91 (-1.47, 19.28)	17.27 (2.41, 32.14)	0.23
HBP, RR (95% CI)	1.55 (0.6, 4.01)	$1.44 \ (0.61, \ 3.44)$	1.35 (0.5, 3.62)	0.12	1.4 (0.57, 3.46)	$1.53\ (0.56, 4.16)$	0.83
Postnatal PM $_{2.5}$ $\ddagger$							
SBP, <b><i>β</i></b> (95% CI)	10.98 (-12.86, 34.81)	9.72 (-10.84, 30.27)	8.46 (-17.37, 34.28)	0.86	10.28 (-10.56, 31.11)	8.75 (-21.16, 38.66)	0.91
DBP, <b><i>β</i></b> (95% CI)	0.1 (-16.37, 16.57)	7.03 (-7.72, 21.78)	13.96 (-5.39, 33.32)	0.19	4.71 (-9.63, 19.05)	10.64 (-12.79, 34.07)	0.56
HBP, RR (95% CI)	1.58 (0.42, 5.95)	1.79 (0.52, 6.17)	2.01 (0.4, 10.1)	0.77	1.81 (0.53, 6.17)	1.33 (0.24, 7.35)	0.66
Prenatal NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>‡</sup>							
SBP, <b><i>β</i></b> (95% CI)	3.62 (0.65, 6.6)	1.69 (-0.8, 4.19)	-0.24 (-4.52, 4.04)	0.16	2.8 (0.32, 5.29)	-0.78 (-5.76, 4.19)	0.18
DBP, <b><i>β</i></b> (95% CI)	0.96(-1.37, 3.3)	2.19 (0.36, 4.02)	3.42 (0.14, 6.69)	0.27	1.7 (-0.16, 3.56)	2.64 (-1.47, 6.75)	0.68
HBP, RR (95% CI)	1.08 (0.91, 1.28)	1.06(0.91, 1.23)	1.04 (0.81, 1.32)	0.79	$1.09\ (0.94,1.25)$	0.96 (0.74, 1.25)	0.36
Postnatal NO $_2$ $\ddagger$							

~
-
=
-
_
0
×
$\leq$
5
LU L
=
<u> </u>
S
0
<u> </u>
<u> </u>
$\mathbf{O}$
Ť.

		Maternal APOL1 risk	allele		Materns	ıl risk genotype	
	0 count	1 count	2 counts	$\mathbf{P}^{\dagger}$	Low risk	High risk	$\mathbf{P}^{\dagger}$
SBP, <b><i>β</i></b> (95% CI)	0.74 (-2.81, 4.29)	1.33 (-1.56, 4.22)	1.92 (-3.37, 7.21)	0.74	1.13 (-1.73, 4)	1.58 (-4.95, 8.12)	06.0
DBP, <b><i>β</i></b> (95% CI)	0.72 (-1.79, 3.23)	1.64 (-0.39, 3.66)	2.55 (-1.42, 6.53)	0.49	1.42 (-0.57, 3.41)	0.9 (-4.46, 6.25)	0.86
HBP, RR (95% CI)	1.06 (0.87, 1.28)	1.11 (0.93, 1.33)	1.18 (0.85, 1.63)	0.60	1.09 (0.92, 1.28)	1.13 (0.77, 1.67)	0.85

smoking history, recruitment site, education levels, income adjusted by household size, insurance coverage, and an interaction term between APOLI variants and individual modifiers. Time splines of visit increased blood pressure, recruitment site, maternal education levels, income adjusted by household size, maternal insurance coverage, and an interaction term between APOLI variants and individual date with 1 df/year were further included in the models. In children, the models controlled for age, height and BMI z score at outcome assessment, sex, gestational age, medication use that potentially \* The additive model was used for APOLI alleles, and the recessive model was used for APOLI risk genotype. In mothers, the models were adjusted for age and BMI at outcome assessment, overall modifiers. Time splines of visit date with 1 df/year and date of conception with varied df/year were further included in the models.

 $\dot{\tau}_{\rm P}$  palue was for the interaction term.

 $\overset{4}{\mathcal{F}}PM2.5$  and NO2 in each window were rescaled to two-unit increment.

Abbreviations: SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HBP, high blood pressure; RR, relative risk