Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

ENERGY- AND STRESS-DEPENDENT HOLE MASSES IN GERMANIUM AND SILICON

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7tm709kd>

Author

Kelso, S.M.

Publication Date

1981-10-01

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Materials & Molecular Research Division

To be published in Physical Review B

ENERGY-AND STRESS-DEPENDENT HOLE
MASSES IN GERMANIUM AND SILICON

S.M. Kelso

October 1981

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

DEC 16 1981

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 6782

LBL-12854

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

ENERGY- AND STRESS-DEPENDENT HOLE MASSES IN GERMANIUM AND SILICON*

S. M. Kelso

Physics Department, University of California and
Materials and Molecular Research Division,
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720

and

Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, NJ 07974^(a)

ABSTRACT

We present calculated results for several energy- and stress-dependent hole masses for uniaxial $\langle 001 \rangle$, $\langle 111 \rangle$, and $\langle 110 \rangle$ stresses in Ge and Si: local and integrated density-of-states masses and longitudinal and transverse optical mass components for the valence bands corresponding to $|M_J|=1/2$ and $3/2$. These masses depend on energy E and stress σ through the form $m(E, \sigma) = m(E/\sigma)$. The masses will be useful in the analysis of experimental luminescence and plasma absorption lineshapes, as well as in the calculation of other properties of electron-hole liquids in stressed Ge and Si.

*This work was supported in part by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Material Sciences Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Number W-7405-ENG-48.

^(a) Present address.

Accepted for publication in Physical Review B.

This manuscript was printed from originals provided by the author.

I. Introduction

Over the last several years there has been much interest in the electron-hole liquid¹ (EHL) in stressed Ge and Si. A primary motivation for this interest is the fact that the band structure of Ge (Si) simplifies considerably under infinite uniaxial $\langle 111 \rangle$ ($\langle 001 \rangle$) compression, thus making theories more tractable. From the experimental point of view, properties of the EHL for infinite stress must of course be inferred from measurements at finite stress. There is also considerable interest in the variation of EHL properties with stress at moderate stresses, where the band structure is more complex than for either zero or infinite stress.

The experimental probe most widely used to study electron-hole liquids is the characteristic (near-infrared) luminescence emitted as electron-hole pairs recombine.¹ Another experimental probe, less widely used, is the far-infrared plasma absorption of the electrons and holes.¹ In both types of experiment a proper lineshape analysis requires a description of the structure of the valence bands. This is particularly true at intermediate stresses, where the bands interact strongly. An accurate description of the valence bands may be conveniently obtained through the use of appropriately defined masses.² For example, the luminescence lineshape uses a density-of-states mass, while the plasma absorption lineshape uses a plasma or optical mass. In stressed Ge or Si these masses are functions of both energy E and stress σ , but the dependences are not separate: $m(E, \sigma) = m(E/\sigma)$.

In this paper we present calculations of local and integrated density-of-states masses as well as longitudinal and transverse optical mass components for the valence bands of Ge and Si stressed along each of the principal crystallographic directions $\langle 001 \rangle$, $\langle 110 \rangle$, and $\langle 111 \rangle$. The local density-of-states masses for $\langle 111 \rangle$ -stressed Ge have been published previously³ and are repeated here for completeness. Liu⁴ showed partial results for an energy-dependent integrated density-of-states mass for two values of $\langle 111 \rangle$ stress in Ge and $\langle 001 \rangle$ stress in Si, apparently not recognizing the scaling relation. Kirczenow and Singwi⁵ gave results for a quantity related to an integrated density-of-states mass averaged over both bands, for $\langle 111 \rangle$

stress in Ge. One motivation for presenting the masses explicitly is to provide the necessary tools to experimentalists for EHL lineshape analyses in uniaxially stressed Ge and Si. In addition, the masses are used in the calculation of other properties of electron-hole liquids in stressed semiconductors.^{2,4-9}

The calculation of the density-of-states and optical masses is outlined in Section II. Results are presented in Section III for the three principal stress directions in Ge and Si. One use of the masses for the analysis of luminescence lineshapes is illustrated in Section IV.

II. Theory

We begin by summarizing the valence band structure near $\vec{k}=0$ for strained Ge and Si, as calculated using the $\vec{k}\cdot\vec{p}$ formalism by Pikus and Bir.¹⁰ The valence band dispersion is given by^{10,11}

$$E(\mathbf{k}) = Ak^2 + |E_{\epsilon\epsilon}| \pm \sqrt{E_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}}^2 + E_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon}^2 + E_{\epsilon\epsilon}^2} \quad (1a)$$

where

$$E_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}}^2 = B^2k^4 + C^2(k_x^2k_y^2 + \text{c.p.}) \quad (1b)$$

$$E_{\mathbf{k}\epsilon}^2 = Bb \left[3(k_x^2 \epsilon_{xx} + \text{c.p.}) - k^2\Delta \right] \quad (1c)$$

$$+ 2Dd (k_xk_y \epsilon_{xy} + \text{c.p.})$$

$$E_{\epsilon\epsilon}^2 = \frac{1}{2} b^2 \left[(\epsilon_{xx} - \epsilon_{yy})^2 + \text{c.p.} \right] + d^2 \left[\epsilon_{xy}^2 + \text{c.p.} \right] \quad (1d)$$

Here A , B and C are inverse-mass band parameters,¹² $D = (3B^2 + C^2)^{1/2}$, b and d are deformation potentials, the ϵ_{ij} are strain components, $\Delta = \epsilon_{xx} + \epsilon_{yy} + \epsilon_{zz}$, and c.p. indicates cyclic permutation of the crystal coordinates x , y and z . The two bands are warped due to the mixing which arises from the degeneracy at $\vec{k}=0$ at zero stress. At infinite stress the bands are completely decoupled and are given by simple ellipsoids. However, as will be seen below, the residual nonparabolicity remains important at stresses much greater than those required to

merely depopulate the upper band.

A comment is in order on the labelling of the two bands. At zero stress the designations heavy and light, determined by the relative curvature, are conventional. Under compressive stresses the light hole band moves "through" the heavy hole band,^{13,14} resulting in strong mixing. For high stresses it is more appropriate to label the bands by the quantum number $|M_J|$, where $|M_J|=11/2$ or $3/2$. This labelling is exact (at $\vec{k}=0$) for $\langle 001 \rangle$ and $\langle 111 \rangle$ stresses. For $\langle 110 \rangle$ stress the $|M_J| = 1/2$ and $3/2$ states are in general mixed, but the designation is still approximately correct if the splitting anisotropy parameter β , defined below, is not too different from 1. This is the case for Ge and Si. Here we shall label the masses with the most appropriate quantum number $|M_J|$. Thus the lower sign in Eq. (1a) corresponds to $|M_J| = 1/2$ (heavy hole at zero stress). This band remains populated at all stresses. The upper sign then corresponds to the $|M_J| = 3/2$ band (light hole at zero stress), which becomes depopulated at high stress.

The strain components ϵ_{ij} in Eq. (1) are given by Hensel and Feher¹³ for stresses along the principal crystallographic directions, with the x, y, and z crystal coordinates along (100) directions. Thus Eqs. (1c) and (1d) may be simplified as follows:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} E_{\epsilon\epsilon} &= b \sigma_{001} / (C_{11} - C_{12}) \\ E_{\epsilon k}^2 &= B E_{\epsilon\epsilon} (3k_z^2 - k^2) \end{aligned} \right\} \langle 001 \rangle \text{ stress,} \quad (2a)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} E_{\epsilon\epsilon} &= d \sigma_{111} / (2\sqrt{3} C_{44}) \\ E_{\epsilon k}^2 &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} D E_{\epsilon\epsilon} (k_x k_y + \text{c.p.}) \end{aligned} \right\} \langle 111 \rangle \text{ stress,} \quad (2b)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} E_{\epsilon\epsilon} &= \left[\frac{b^2}{4(C_{11} - C_{12})^2} + \frac{d^2}{16 C_{44}^2} \right]^{1/2} \sigma_{110} \\ E_{\epsilon k}^2 &= \left[\frac{Bb(k^2 - 3k_z^2)}{2(C_{11} - C_{12})} - \frac{Ddk_x k_y}{2 C_{44}} \right] \sigma_{110} \end{aligned} \right\} \langle 110 \rangle \text{ stress,} \quad (2c)$$

where σ_{klm} is the stress along the $\langle klm \rangle$ crystallographic direction and the C_{ij} are stiffness constants.¹⁵ Values for the inverse-mass band parameters, deformation potentials, and stiffness constants for Ge and Si are listed in Table I. Also given is the energy splitting $E_{spl}^h = 2|E_{\epsilon\epsilon}|$

between the $|M_J| = 1/2$ and $3/2$ bands at $\vec{k}=0$, normalized by the stress, for each of the principal stress directions. Note that compressional stresses are negative and are expressed in kgf/mm^2 , where $1 \text{ kgf} = 9.80665 \text{ Newton}$.

Consider next the density of states for a single band of carriers:

$$D(E) = \frac{V}{4\pi^3} \int k^2 \frac{dk}{dE} d\Omega, \quad (3)$$

where the integration is performed over solid angle on the k -space surface with energy E . If the band is parabolic, $E(k) = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m_d}$ for a density-of-states mass m_d , then Eq. (3) reduces to a well-known simple form:

$$D(E) = \frac{\sqrt{2}V}{\pi^2 \hbar^3} m_d^{3/2} E^{1/2}. \quad (4a)$$

If the band is not parabolic, the nonparabolicity can be taken into account by writing

$$D(E) = \frac{\sqrt{2}V}{\pi^2 \hbar^3} m_{d\text{loc}}^{3/2}(E) E^{1/2}. \quad (4b)$$

Thus the nonparabolicity may be described via an energy-dependent local density-of-states mass:

$$m_{d\text{loc}}^{3/2}(E) = \frac{\hbar^3}{4\sqrt{2} \pi E^{1/2}} \int k^2 \frac{dk}{dE} d\Omega. \quad (5)$$

This local density-of-states mass should be used for calculations of any quantities involving the density of states at finite temperature,^{7,9} such the EHL luminescence lineshape (see Section IV). For example, the number of carriers N is given by

$$N = \int_0^\infty D(E) \left[1 + e^{(E-E_F(T))/kT} \right]^{-1} dE, \quad (6)$$

where $E_F(T)$ is the Fermi energy at temperature T .

For $T=0$ such integrals simplify, as the Fermi function becomes a step function. In this case it is convenient to introduce a second density-of-states mass. For example, Eq. (6) becomes

$$N = \frac{2^{3/2}V}{3\pi^2 \hbar^3} (E_F(0))^{3/2} m_{d\text{int}}^{3/2}(E_F(0)), \quad (7)$$

where the integrated density-of-states mass is defined by:

$$m_{\text{dint}}^{3/2}(E) = \frac{3}{2} E^{-3/2} \int_0^E m_{\text{doc}}^{3/2}(u) u^{1/2} du. \quad (8)$$

Of course, for a parabolic band $m_{\text{dint}}(E) = m_{\text{doc}}(E) = \text{constant}$.

For calculations involving the dielectric function, optical or conductivity masses should be used.¹⁶ These have been defined by Lax and Mavroides¹⁷ as follows, for a single band:

$$\frac{n}{m_{ij}(E)} = \frac{1}{4\pi^3 \hbar^2} \int \frac{\partial E}{\partial k_i} \frac{\partial E}{\partial k_j} \frac{\partial k}{\partial E} k^2 d\Omega. \quad (9)$$

Here $n = N/V$ is the number of carriers per unit volume; i and j are crystal coordinates x , y , and z , which are along (100) directions; and the integration is again performed over the k -space surface with energy E . Transverse and longitudinal mass components can be defined, with respect to the stress direction, for stresses along the primary crystallographic directions:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} m_{ot}^{-1} &= m_{zz}^{-1} \\ m_{ot1}^{-1} &= m_{xx}^{-1} \\ m_{ot2}^{-1} &= m_{yy}^{-1} \end{aligned} \right\} \langle 001 \rangle \text{ stress}, \quad (10a)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} m_{ot}^{-1} &= \frac{1}{3} (m_{xx}^{-1} + m_{yy}^{-1} + m_{zz}^{-1}) + \frac{2}{3} (m_{xy}^{-1} + m_{yz}^{-1} + m_{zy}^{-1}) \\ m_{ot1}^{-1} &= \frac{1}{2} (m_{xx}^{-1} + m_{yy}^{-1}) - m_{xy}^{-1} \\ m_{ot2}^{-1} &= \frac{1}{6} (m_{xx}^{-1} + m_{yy}^{-1} + 2m_{xy}^{-1} + 4(m_{zz}^{-1} - m_{yz}^{-1} - m_{zx}^{-1})) \end{aligned} \right\} \langle 111 \rangle \text{ stress}, \quad (10b)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} m_{ot}^{-1} &= \frac{1}{2} (m_{xx}^{-1} + m_{yy}^{-1}) - m_{xy}^{-1} \\ m_{ot1}^{-1} &= \frac{1}{2} (m_{xx}^{-1} + m_{yy}^{-1}) + m_{xy}^{-1} \\ m_{ot2}^{-1} &= m_{zz}^{-1} \end{aligned} \right\} \langle 110 \rangle \text{ stress}. \quad (10c)$$

For the $\langle 001 \rangle$ and $\langle 111 \rangle$ directions $m_{ot1} = m_{ot2}$, while for the $\langle 110 \rangle$ direction these transverse components differ. An overall transverse mass is defined via

$$m_{ot}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} (m_{ot1}^{-1} + m_{ot2}^{-1}). \quad (11)$$

The above components are combined to give the optical mass for band $|M_j|$:

$$m_{o|M_j|}^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} (2m_{o1}^{-1} + m_{o4}^{-1}). \quad (12)$$

The overall hole optical mass m_{oh} is obtained from the individual band optical masses, as follows:

$$\frac{n}{m_{oh}} = \sum_{|M_j|} \frac{n_{|M_j|}}{m_{o|M_j|}}, \quad (13)$$

where $\sum_{|M_j|} n_{|M_j|} = n$.

Finally, note that for infinite stress the valence bands become ellipsoidal and thus can be completely characterized by longitudinal and transverse components. For the valence band dispersion given by Eq. (1), the mass components reduce to the following simple forms:¹³

$$\left. \begin{aligned} m_4/m_o &= (A + B)^{-1} \\ m_{t1}/m_o &= m_{t2}/m_o = (A - B/2)^{-1} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{infinite } \langle 001 \rangle \text{ stress,} \quad (14a)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} m_4/m_o &= (A + \frac{D}{\sqrt{3}})^{-1} \\ m_{t1}/m_o &= m_{t2}/m_o = (A - \frac{D}{2\sqrt{3}})^{-1} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{infinite } \langle 111 \rangle \text{ stress,} \quad (14b)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} m_4/m_o &= (A + B\eta_1/2 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} D\eta_2)^{-1} \\ m_{t1}/m_o &= (A + B\eta_1/2 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} D\eta_2)^{-1} \\ m_{t2}/m_o &= (A - B\eta_1)^{-1} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{infinite } \langle 110 \rangle \text{ stress,} \quad (14c)$$

where m_o is the free electron mass, $\eta_1 = \left(\frac{1}{1 + 3\beta^2} \right)^{1/2}$, $\eta_2 = \left(\frac{\beta^2}{1 + 3\beta^2} \right)^{1/2}$, and

$\beta = \frac{d(C_{11} - C_{12})}{2\sqrt{3} b C_{44}} = \frac{E_{\epsilon\epsilon}/\sigma \text{ for } \langle 111 \rangle}{E_{\epsilon\epsilon}/\sigma \text{ for } \langle 001 \rangle}$ is the splitting anisotropy parameter. The optical mass

(from Eqs. (11) and (12)) and density-of-states mass are

$$\left. \begin{aligned} m_{oh}/m_o &= A^{-1} \\ m_{dh}/m_o &= (m_{t1} m_{t2} m_4)^{1/3}/m_o \end{aligned} \right\} \text{infinite stress,} \quad (15)$$

with the transverse and longitudinal components given by Eq. (14).

III. Results

It can easily be seen from Eqs. (1) and (2) that the valence band dispersion scales with stress, suggesting the introduction of reduced units $E' = -E/\sigma$ and $k' = k/\sqrt{-\sigma}$. The masses then are functions only of E' , not of energy and stress independently.

Local and integrated density-of-states masses were calculated versus E' for the $|M_j| = 1/2$ and $3/2$ bands using Eqs. (5) and (8). The results for stresses along the principal crystallographic directions $\langle 001 \rangle$, $\langle 110 \rangle$, and $\langle 111 \rangle$ are shown in Fig. 1 for Ge and in Fig. 2 for Si. The local masses in Fig. 1c were published previously³ and are reproduced here for completeness. The integrated masses smooth out the more rapid changes in the local masses, including the structure in the local $\langle 111 \rangle$ $|M_j| = 1/2$ masses. Note that the local and integrated masses become equal at infinite stress ($E' = 0$) and at zero stress ($E' = \infty$, indicated by arrows in the figures). The values obtained at zero stress were $m_{dHH} = 0.3460 m_0$, $m_{dLH} = 0.04231 m_0$ for Ge and $m_{dHH} = 0.5230 m_0$, $m_{dLH} = 0.1549 m_0$ for Si, in agreement with those calculated by Brinkman and Rice.¹⁸ In addition, the calculated infinite-stress values agree with those obtained from Eq. (15), as they should.

Longitudinal and transverse components of the $|M_j| = 1/2$ and $3/2$ optical masses were also calculated, using Eqs. (9) and (10). Results for $\langle 001 \rangle$, $\langle 110 \rangle$ and $\langle 111 \rangle$ stresses are shown in Fig. 3 for Ge and in Fig. 4 for Si. For the $|M_j| = 1/2$ band the transverse optical masses are always larger than the longitudinal optical mass, while the reverse is true for the $|M_j| = 3/2$ band. The mass anisotropies, represented by the ratios m_{o1l}/m_{o1t} and m_{o3l}/m_{o3t} , are greater for the $\langle 110 \rangle$ and $\langle 111 \rangle$ directions than for $\langle 001 \rangle$. The $|M_j| = 3/2$ mass anisotropy for $\langle 110 \rangle$ and $\langle 111 \rangle$ undergoes a remarkable change for E' just greater than $-E_{sp}^h/\sigma$. This would be very interesting to observe experimentally. Note, however, that the rapid change occurs when this band has relatively low population. The longitudinal and transverse components become equal at zero stress, as a consequence of the cubic symmetry of the lattice. These zero-stress values, indicated by arrows in the figures, are $m_{oHH} = 0.2754 m_0$,

$m_{oLH} = 0.04210 m_o$ for Ge and $m_{oHH} = 0.4195 m_o$, $m_{oLH} = 0.1497 m_o$ for Si. The calculated infinite-stress values agree with those obtained from Eq. (14), as they should.

The total optical masses m_{oh} , or m_{opt} , averaged over longitudinal and transverse components as well as $|M_j|$ values according to Eqs. (11)-(13), are also shown in Figs. 3 and 4. It is notable that m_{opt} is not a monotonic function of E' but has a maximum for $E' \sim 0.6$ in Ge and $E' \sim 0.3$ in Si, slightly greater than $-E_{sp}^h/\sigma$. Since the mass m_{o1} is monotonic, the maximum in m_{opt} occurs as the $|M_j| = 3/2$ contribution becomes more important. The values obtained for zero stress are $\bar{m}_{opt} = 0.2244 m_o$ for Ge and $m_{opt} = 0.3356 m_o$ for Si.

The curves of Figs. 1-4 can easily be fitted with analytic formulas to facilitate further calculations.^{7,9} Tables of values for all the mass components may be obtained from the author.

It may be anticipated from the figures that it is important to include the energy dependences of the masses at stresses beyond the stress at which the $|M_j| = 3/2$ band becomes depopulated. An example is given in the next section.

IV. Discussion

As an illustration of one use of the energy- and stress-dependent masses defined in Section II, consider the luminescence lineshape for the electron-hole liquid in stressed Ge or Si. For an allowed indirect transition with an energy-independent matrix element, the luminescence intensity as a function of photon energy $h\nu$ is given by the joint density of occupied electron and hole states. For a single conduction band and valence band^{19,20}

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(h\nu) = I_o \int_0^\infty dE_e \int_0^\infty dE_h D_e(E_e) D_h(E_h) \\
 \times \left[1 + e^{(E_e - E_F^e(T))/kT} \right]^{-1} \left[1 + e^{(E_h - E_F^h(T))/kT} \right]^{-1} \\
 \times \delta(h\nu - E_e - E_h + \hbar\omega_{ph} - E_{BB}),
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $E_{e(h)}$ and $E_F^{e(h)}$ are the electron (hole) energy and Fermi energy, E_{BB} is the bottom of the band within the EHL, $\hbar\omega_{ph}$ is the energy of the phonon emitted to conserve momentum in the indirect transition, and the densities of states are given by Eqs. (4a) and (4b) for electrons and

holes.

In stressed Ge or Si the valence bands are split by an energy E_{spl}^h and the conduction bands are split by an energy E_{spl}^e , with ν_1 electron valleys below the other ν_2 electron valleys. The contributions must be added for transitions between each pair of bands. The integral over E_c can be performed immediately. Thus

$$I(E_{BB} - \hbar\omega_{ph} + h\nu') = I_0 \int_0^{h\nu'} (R_{e1} + R_{e2})(R_{h1} + R_{h3}) dE_h, \quad (17a)$$

where

$$R_{e1} = \nu_1 m_{de}^{3/2} (h\nu' - E_h)^{1/2} \left[1 + e^{(h\nu' - E_h - E_F^e(T))/kT} \right]^{-1} \quad (17b)$$

$$R_{e2} = \begin{cases} 0 & h\nu' - E_h \leq E_{spl}^e \\ \nu_2 m_{de}^{3/2} (h\nu' - E_{spl}^e - E_h)^{1/2} & h\nu' - E_h > E_{spl}^e \end{cases} \quad (17c)$$

$$\times \left[1 + e^{(h\nu' - E_h - E_F^e(T))/kT} \right]^{-1}$$

$$R_{h1} = m_{d1}^{3/2} (E_h')^{1/2} \left[1 + e^{(E_h - E_F^h(T))/kT} \right]^{-1} \quad (17d)$$

$$R_{h3} = \begin{cases} 0 & E_h \leq E_{spl}^h \\ m_{d3}^{3/2} (E_h') (E_h - E_{spl}^h)^{1/2} \left[1 + e^{(E_h - E_F^h(T))/kT} \right]^{-1} & E_h > E_{spl}^h \end{cases} \quad (17e)$$

Here $h\nu' = h\nu - E_{BB} + \hbar\omega_{ph}$, m_{de} is the density-of-states electron mass, the local density-of-states hole masses are used, $E_h' = -E_h/\sigma$, and some constants have been absorbed into I_0 .

The importance of including the nonparabolicity of the hole bands in the luminescence lineshape is illustrated in Fig. 5. The solid curve is a lineshape calculated for $T = 1.4K$ and $-\sigma = 55 \text{ kgf/mm}^2$ along $\langle 100 \rangle$ in Si ($\nu_1 = 2$, $\nu_2 = 4$, $m_{de} = 0.3216 m_0$). The electron-hole pair density was chosen to be $n = 5.53 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, corresponding to electron and hole Fermi energies $E_F^e = 4.81 \text{ meV}$ and $E_F^h = 8.50 \text{ meV}$. The resulting full-width-at-half-maximum linewidth was $\Delta E = 6.8 \text{ meV}$. The energy-dependent density-of-states mass from Fig. 2a was used in the calculation. Note that $-E_F^h/\sigma = 0.155 \text{ meV mm}^2/\text{kgf}$, which is well below the value $-E_{spl}^h/\sigma = 0.272 \text{ meV mm}^2/\text{kgf}$ for this stress direction (see Table I). Thus the stress is well above the critical stress required to depopulate the $|M_J| = 3/2$ band.

In the absence of information about the energy dependence of the hole density-of-states mass it would seem reasonable to fit an experimental spectrum for such a high stress to a spectrum calculated using the infinite-stress mass. The dashed curve in Fig. 5 was calculated in that way, with the density adjusted to give the same linewidth $\Delta E = 6.8$ meV. In this case $E_F^e = 3.74$ meV, $E_F^h = 8.15$ meV, and the density $n = 3.79 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. With the incorrect use of the infinite-stress hole mass the density is underestimated in this example by nearly 1/3, even though the stress is high enough to depopulate the $|M_J| = 3/2$ band. If the infinite-stress mass is used to fit a spectrum for a lower stress the deduced density will have a larger error.

It is clear from this example that it is necessary to use the energy-dependent hole masses to analyze luminescence lineshapes. In addition to the (possibly large) errors in deduced densities, the entire lineshape changes. Clearly the *quality* of the lineshape fit should change (hopefully, improve) when the correct theoretical lineshape is used.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank R. S. Markiewicz for assistance and advice during the initial phase of the calculations. The work performed at the University of California and at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory was supported in part by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Material Sciences Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Number W-7405-ENG-48.

References

1. For a review and further references, see the articles by T. M. Rice and by J. C. Hensel, T. G. Phillips and G. A. Thomas in *Solid State Physics*, edited by H. Ehrenreich, F. Seitz and D. Turnbull, vol. 32 (Academic Press, 1977).
2. R. S. Markiewicz and S. M. Kelso, *Solid State Commun.* 25, 275 (1978).
3. J. P. Wolfe, R. S. Markiewicz, S. M. Kelso, J. E. Furneaux and C. D. Jeffries, *Phys. Rev. B* 18, 1479 (1978).
4. L. Liu, *Solid State Commun.* 25, 805 (1978).
5. G. Kirczenow and K. S. Singwi, *Phys. Rev. B* 19, 2117 (1979).
6. L. Liu and Lu Sun Liu, *Solid State Commun.* 27, 801 (1978).
7. S. M. Kelso, Thesis, University of California, Berkeley (1979) (unpublished).
8. G. Kirczenow and K. S. Singwi, *Phys. Rev. B* 21, 3597 (1980); G. Kirczenow, *Phys. Rev. B* 23, 1902 (1981); and references therein.
9. S. M. Kelso, to be published.
10. G. E. Pikus and G. L. Bir, *Fiz. Tverd. Tela* 1, 1642 (1959) [*Soviet Physics-Solid State* 1, 1502 (1959)].
11. I. Balslev, *Phys. Rev.* 143, 636 (1966).
12. G. Dresselhaus, A. F. Kip and C. Kittel, *Phys. Rev.* 98, 368 (1955).
13. J. C. Hensel and G. Feher, *Phys. Rev.* 129, 1041 (1963).
14. J. C. Hensel and K. Suzuki, *Phys. Rev. B* 9, 4219 (1974).
15. Formulas are given here in terms of stiffness constants C_{ij} rather than compliance constants S_{ij} , since experimental values for the C_{ij} are available (see Table I).

Traditionally there has been some confusion over tensor vs. engineering notation for these quantities. We assume that the same notation has been used in all cases, so that $S_{11} - S_{12} = (C_{11} - C_{12})^{-1}$, $S_{11} + 2 S_{12} = (C_{11} + 2 C_{12})^{-1}$ and $S_{44} = (C_{44})^{-1}$.

16. J. H. Rose, H. B. Shore and T. M. Rice, *Phys. Rev. B* 17, 752 (1978).
17. B. Lax and J. G. Mavroides, *Phys. Rev.* 100, 1650 (1955).
18. W. F. Brinkman and T. M. Rice, *Phys. Rev. B* 7, 1508 (1973).
19. Ya. E. Pokrovskii, A. Kaminskii and K. I. Svistunova, *Proc. X Int'l Conf. on Phys. Semic., Cambridge*, edited by S. P. Keller, J. C. Hensel and F. Stern (USAEC, 1970), p. 504.
20. C. Benôit à la Guillaume and M. Voos, *Phys. Rev. B* 7, 1723 (1973).

Table I. Values for parameters used in the calculation of hole masses.

Parameter	Unit	Ge	Ref.	Si	Ref.
A		13.38	a,b	4.28	c
B		8.48	a,b	0.75	c
C		13.14	a,b	4.85	d
b	eV	2.21	a,e	1.36	c,e
d	eV	4.40	a,e	3.09	c,e
C ₁₁	kgf/mm ²	13360	f	17100	g
C ₁₂	kgf/mm ²	4996	f	7093	g
C ₄₄	kgf/mm ²	7016	f	8180	g
$-E_{sp}^h/\sigma_{001}$	meV mm ² /kgf	0.528	h	0.272	h
$-E_{sp}^h/\sigma_{111}$	meV mm ² /kgf	0.362	h	0.218	h
$-E_{sp}^h/\sigma_{110}$	meV mm ² /kgf	0.410	h	0.233	h

- a. J. C. Hensel and K. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. B 9, 4219 (1974).
- b. Reference a gives values for γ_1 , γ_2 , and γ_3 where $A = -\gamma_1$, $B = -2\gamma_2$, $C^2 = 12(\gamma_2^2 - \gamma_3^2)$. The signs of A and B are changed here to make the hole band energies positive.
- c. J. C. Hensel and G. Feher, Phys. Rev. 129, 1041 (1963).
- d. J. C. Hensel's experimental value, quoted in P. Lawaetz, Phys. Rev. B 4, 3460 (1971).
- e. References a and c give values for D_u and D'_u , where $b = -\frac{2}{3} D_u$ and $d = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} D'_u$. The signs are again changed here to make the energies $E(k)$ positive.
- f. M. E. Fine, J. Appl. Phys. 26, 862 (1965), for $T = 1.7K$.
- g. H. J. McSkimin, J. Appl. Phys. 24, 988 (1953). The data were extrapolated to liquid helium temperatures from 78K by multiplying by 1.002, a factor obtained by comparison with data for Ge in Reference f.

- h. $E_{ip}^h = 2|E_{\epsilon\epsilon}|$, where $E_{\epsilon\epsilon}$ is given by Eq. (2) for stresses along the principal crystallographic directions.

Figure Captions

1. Local and integrated density-of-states masses for the valence bands corresponding to $|M_j| = 1/2$ and $3/2$ in stressed Ge, as a function of reduced energy $E' = -E/\sigma$. The 2s have been suppressed. The arrows indicate zero-stress values. (a) $\langle 001 \rangle$, (b) $\langle 110 \rangle$, (c) $\langle 111 \rangle$ stress.
2. Local and integrated density-of-states masses for the valence bands corresponding to $|M_j| = 1/2$ and $3/2$ in stressed Si, as a function of reduced energy $E' = -E/\sigma$. The 2s have been suppressed. The arrows indicate zero-stress values. (a) $\langle 001 \rangle$, (b) $\langle 110 \rangle$, (c) $\langle 111 \rangle$ stress.
3. Transverse and longitudinal components of optical masses for the $|M_j| = 1/2$ and $3/2$ valence bands in stressed Ge, as a function of reduced energy $E' = -E/\sigma$. The 2s have been suppressed. The total optical mass is also shown. The arrows indicate zero-stress values for the heavy and light masses. (a) $\langle 001 \rangle$, (b) $\langle 110 \rangle$, (c) $\langle 111 \rangle$ stress.
4. Transverse and longitudinal components of optical masses for the $|M_j| = 1/2$ and $3/2$ valence bands in stressed Si, as a function of reduced energy $E' = -E/\sigma$. The 2s have been suppressed. The total optical mass is also shown. The arrows indicate zero-stress values for the heavy and light masses. (a) $\langle 001 \rangle$, (b) $\langle 110 \rangle$, (c) $\langle 111 \rangle$ stress.
5. Theoretical luminescence lineshapes for the electron-hole liquid in $\langle 001 \rangle$ -stressed Si, with densities chosen to give a full width at half maximum $\Delta E = 6.8$ meV ($T = 1.4$ K): Solid curve: uses energy-dependent density-of-states hole mass from Fig. 2a, with $-\sigma = 55$ kgf/mm² and $n = 5.53 \times 10^{17}$ cm⁻³. Dashed curve: uses infinite-stress hole mass and $n = 3.79 \times 10^{17}$ cm⁻³. The dashed curve has been shifted so its half-maximum points agree with those of the solid curve.

FIG. 1a

FIG. 1b

FIG. 1c

FIG. 2a

FIG. 2b

FIG. 2c

FIG. 3a

FIG. 3b

FIG. 3c

FIG. 4a

FIG. 4b

FIG. 4c

FIG. 5

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720