UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Human tumor stem-cell assay.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7v47v8q9>

Journal

The New England journal of medicine, 308(24)

ISSN

0028-4793

Authors

Salmon, SE
Alberts, DS
Meyskens, FL
et al.

Publication Date

1983-06-01

DOI

10.1056/nejm198306163082414

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

as the partial response, may not translate into a survival advantage. All these factors are subjects of ongoing research. Complete remission, prolonged survival, and cure will eventually prove to be better benchmarks for clinical correlation of drug effects *in vitro*.⁵ A recent review of clinical evaluations in over 450 patients studied in various institutions⁶ supports the clinical potential of chemosensitivity testing in certain types of tumor and documents that the assay can identify chemosensitive patients. We don't think that the assay system is ready for routine clinical use. Currently, its application to ovarian cancer in relapse appears particularly promising.⁷ However, clonogenic assay procedures cannot be expected to improve the clinical response to drugs markedly as long as available drugs are relatively ineffective.

Principally, on the basis of theoretical constructs, Selby et al.² provided their perspectives on clonal assays, assuming a hierarchical model of tumor stem-cell differentiation. This model will also require critical testing and clinical correlation.

We agree with Von Hoff³ that research on tumor cloning obviously should be viewed as evolutionary rather than as a completed construct requiring immediate acceptance or rejection. The scope of research involving *in vitro* studies of human tumors and investigations of their applicability to chemosensitivity testing is greater now than we would have predicted at the time of the first report in the *Journal* five years ago.

SYDNEY E. SALMON, M.D.

DAVID S. ALBERTS, M.D.

FRANK L. MEYSKENS, JR., M.D.

THOMAS E. MOON, PH.D.

Tucson, AZ 85724

University of Arizona Cancer Center

1. Salmon SE, Hamburger AW, Soehnlen B, Durie BGM, Alberts DS, Moon TE. Quantitation of differential sensitivity of human-tumor stem cells to anticancer drugs. *N Engl J Med* 1978; 298:1321-7.
2. Selby P, Buick RN, Tannock I. A critical appraisal of the "human tumor stem-cell assay." *N Engl J Med* 1983; 308:129-34.
3. Von Hoff DD. "Send this patient's tumor for culture and sensitivity." *N Engl J Med* 1983; 308:154-5.
4. Moon TE, Salmon SE, White CS, et al. Quantitative association between the *in vitro* human tumor stem cell assay and clinical response to cancer chemotherapy. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 1981; 6:211-8.
5. Salmon SE, Alberts DS, Meyskens FL Jr, et al. Clinical correlations of *in vitro* drug sensitivity. In: Salmon SE, ed. *Cloning of human tumor stem cells*. New York: Alan R Liss, 1980:223-45.
6. Johnson PA, Rossof AH. The role of the human tumor stem cell assay in medical oncology. *Arch Intern Med* 1983; 143:111-4.
7. Alberts DS, Chen HSG, Salmon SE, et al. Chemotherapy of ovarian cancer directed by the human tumor stem cell assay. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 1981; 6:279-85.

HUMAN TUMOR STEM-CELL ASSAY

To the Editor: Since our group first reported on the potential clinical applications of the human tumor stem-cell, or clonogenic, assay in the *Journal*,¹ we think some comment from us is warranted regarding the recent article by Selby et al.² and the editorial by Von Hoff.³ We clearly agree that it is important to plate good single-cell suspensions, that drug-sensitivity criteria are still in the developmental stages,⁴ that some drugs may require the evaluation of various exposure times, that inappropriate drug concentrations may be misleading, that drugs requiring bioactivation present special problems, that radiation-survival curves with plateaus at high dosage probably represent artifacts, that individual tumor types need special effort in assay development, and that clinical-response criteria, such